doi: 10.1093/oxfimm/iqab003 Advance Access Date: 28 January 2021 Review Article

REVIEW ARTICLE

OXFORD

The role and uses of antibodies in COVID-19 infections: a living review

D. Oliver Scourfield^{1,*,‡}, Sophie G. Reed¹, Max Quastel², Jennifer Alderson³, Valentina M. T. Bart¹, Alicia Teijeira Crespo⁴, Ruth Jones⁵, Ellie Pring¹, Felix Clemens Richter ¹, The Oxford-Cardiff COVID-19 Literature Consortium⁻ and Stephanie E. A. Burnell ¹,*,[‡]

¹Division of Infection and Immunity, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF14 4XN, UK, ²Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 7FZ, UK, ³Kennedy Institute of Rheumatology, NDORMS, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 FTY, UK, ⁴Division of Cancer and Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF14 4XN UK, ⁵Dementia Research Institute, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF24 4HQ, UK

*Correspondence address. Stephanie E. A. Burnell, Division of Infection and Immunity, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4XN, UK. Tel: 02920687060, E-mail: BurnellS@Cardiff.ac.uk and ScourfieldDO@Cardiff.ac.uk

[‡]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Extensive author list of The Oxford-Cardiff COVID-19 Literature Consortium is given in Appendix 1.

ABSTRACT

Coronavirus disease 2019 has generated a rapidly evolving field of research, with the global scientific community striving for solutions to the current pandemic. Characterizing humoral responses towards SARS-CoV-2, as well as closely related strains, will help determine whether antibodies are central to infection control, and aid the design of therapeutics and vaccine candidates. This review outlines the major aspects of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody research to date, with a focus on the various prophylactic and therapeutic uses of antibodies to alleviate disease in addition to the potential of cross-reactive therapies and the implications of long-term immunity.

Key words: antibodies; COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; convalescent plasma, nanobodies; vaccines; long-term immunity.

INTRODUCTION

Humoral immunity is a vital aspect of the immune system highly implicated in infection control. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a highly infectious virus that is responsible for the current worldwide coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Understanding the immune response to this virus is paramount to limit disease burden in the population, and to discover new therapeutic options. One such response is that of antibodies; the immunoglobulins secreted by B-cells following antigen recognition. Antibodies have a multitude of effector functions and can coordinate the

Submitted: 9 October 2020. Received (in revised form): 18 December 2020. Accepted: 7 January 2021

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Box 1: What is the consensus on antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 infection?

When infected with COVID-19, patients produce antibodies to fight off the infection. These antibodies are known as immunoglobulins; IgM, IgA and IgG, and are key players in the response to COVID-19. Each has a unique role and therefore takes different lengths of time to be detected in the blood, to reach the maximum quantity and diminish from the system. As this is still a new disease, further work is needed to determine how long these antibody responses last in the body. Most COVID-19 patients that do not display any symptoms have low levels of IgM, while levels of IgA and IgG antibodies are higher in more severe, symptomatic patients. However, more in-depth study is needed to see if these antibody responses are important in controlling infection and how they co-ordinate with other immune responses to COVID-19.

Patients with strong immune responses to COVID-19 have high levels of neutralizing antibodies, which successfully control the infection. Once recovered, plasma can be taken from these patients and be administered to those who are currently severely infected. This is known as CP treatment. Other treatment options, which include mAbs and nanobodies, are more focused therapies, having developed from the most potent antibodies. Approval of two potent mAb therapies signifies the importance of antibodies in overcoming infection. However, these are most effective at preventing severe disease, so research to identify treatments to benefit those severely infected is still needed. However, these are most effective at preventing progression to severe disease, so research to identify treatments to benefit those severely infected is still needed. Work is also being carried out to investigate previous coronavirus infections to see what we can learn from them. It is possible that antibodies made against these other strains may help protect people during this pandemic.

It is currently unknown whether people who have recovered from COVID-19 are protected against a future SARS-CoV-2 infection as reinfection has been reported in several people worldwide. This has implications for vaccine design as regular boosters may be required if the immune response declines. Key components to creating a long-lasting immunity to the virus will become clearer once further research has been conducted.

Box 2: Why do antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 infection matter?

COVID-19 has rapidly changed the World, from countless deaths and long-term health problems in survivors, to creating a social and economic burden. Research on COVID-19 is being produced quickly, so it is crucial that we view this critically to distinguish robust data. From this baseline, we are then able to produce successful therapies as soon as possible to help fight this pandemic. Looking at previous coronavirus strains is necessary to gain useful insights into this new and novel virus. There are similarities between SARS-CoV-2 and former strains we have faced, which give us invaluable knowledge in treating patients and limiting global disease burden. What we learn from COVID-19 may also be applied to future epidemic or pandemic strains. Using antibodies taken from patients that have recovered from COVID-19 infection and giving them to those that are struggling to fight off the infection has the potential to save lives and bridge the gap while doctors and scientists are learning more about how to fight the virus and produce other treatments and vaccines.

responses of other immune cells, including T cells and macrophages, to eliminate pathogens. Studying the antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 will aid in vaccine design and the understanding of long-term immunity prospects. Additionally, antibodies that bind and neutralize the SARS-CoV-2 virus have the potential to be used as therapies for patients in the various forms of convalescent plasma, monoclonal antibodies and nanobodies, all of which are discussed within this review.

ANTIBODY RESPONSES TO SARS-COV-2 IN DIFFERENT PATIENT POPULATIONS

Immunoglobulins IgM, IgA and IgG are key components of the antibody response towards SARS-CoV-2 and differ in titre and duration of response, as with other viral infections (Figure 1) [4]. Table 1 summarizes the SARS-CoV-2 antibody literature to date. This includes seroconversion; how long it takes antibodies to be detected in the serum following infection, response kinetics; how long it takes antibodies to achieve their peak titre, and the prediction of response duration.

IgG levels were shown to peak earlier in asymptomatic and mild cases compared to severe cases [~20 vs. ~35 days post symptom onset (d.p.s.o)] and most asymptomatic patients, many of whom were children, had low or undetectable IgM



Figure 1: Changes in antibody concentration in response to viral infection. Following exposure to the virus and the initial incubation period of around 5 days, the infection takes hold. During the infection period, patients may develop symptoms as the first virus-specific antibodies are produced and the immune system is activated. IgM and IgA are produced initially, followed by IgG, which increases more slowly, but remains in the blood for a longer period. During the recovery and convalescent phases of infection, the viral RNA reduces to undetectable levels. IgA levels can persist, particularly at the mucous membranes [1–3], it is currently unclear how long the IgG titres last.

levels, leading to speculation that high and persistent IgM may result in more severe symptoms [19, 21, 25–27]. Interestingly, many publications have shown significant correlations of

Table 1: Summary of analysis of IgM, IgA and IgG responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection

IgM	IgA	IgG
>73 [5–9]	>72 [5, 6, 10]	84–100 [5–12]
10-14 [8, 13-16]	13 [16]	12–14 [8, 13–16]
15–30 [3–5, 7, 9, 14, 17–19]	16-30 [3, 5, 20-23]	16-50 [3-7, 9, 17, 19, 20, 22-24]
46.9 days [6]	51.0 days [6]	
	IgM >73 [5–9] 10–14 [8, 13–16] 15–30 [3–5, 7, 9, 14, 17–19] 46.9 days [6]	IgM IgA >73 [5-9] >72 [5, 6, 10] 10-14 [8, 13-16] 13 [16] 15-30 [3-5, 7, 9, 14, 17-19] 16-30 [3, 5, 20-23] 46.9 days [6] 51.0 days [6]

Following infection by SARS-CoV-2, IgM, IgA and IgG are rapidly seroconverted within the first 2 weeks; IgM and IgA appear to reach their peak titre at similar d.p.s.o, whereas IgG often peaks at a later time point.

higher antibody titres in both older patients and those with more severe disease [7, 13, 17, 19, 28–30]. Relative levels of IgA and IgG have been reported to be significantly higher in severe patients in addition to a significant correlation between IgA levels and APACHE-II score in critically ill patients [16, 23]. A study investigating the specificity and functionality of antibody responses in children found that SARS-CoV-2 positive children had low levels of IgM, IgA and IgG when compared to severe COVID-19 adults and demonstrated that children predominantly generated an anti-S IgG response compared to the broader antibody response generated by adults [31]. It has been suggested that the reduced symptoms demonstrated by children could be due to the reduced expression of the viral receptor in children or that children generate a more robust innate immune response [32, 33].

In addition to age, biological sex is also a potential factor in COVID-19 disease severity. Several countries have reported higher hospital admissions and mortality rates in males, with a case fatality rate 1.7 times higher for men than for women [34]. The production of IgG appears to be higher in females in the early stages of infection, possibly preventing the progression to advanced disease and decreasing the mortality rate [35, 36]. Patients that succumb to SARS-CoV-2 infection were unable to generate a functional IgG response, coordinate Fc receptorbinding and produce innate immune effector binding [37]. Further to this, patients with severe COVID-19, particularly males, have been shown to generate IgG1 antibodies with significantly reduced Fc fucosylation, in addition to increased IgG3 antibodies when compared to patients with mild symptoms and children, indicating that severe COVID-19 resulted from the production of pro-inflammatory IgG antibodies [38].

Coordinated responses between B cells, CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells are necessary to control and clear infection, without a functional B-cell response, virus-specific memory T cells cannot provide complete protection [39]. Neutralizing antibody (nAb) responses and B cell memory decline over time and depend on CD4⁺ T cell help, leaving the role of long-term protection to the memory T cells [40, 41]. This, therefore, indicates that the immune system as a whole must be analysed, in addition to the individual components, to understand why some people are asymptomatic while others succumb to the disease.

THE USE OF ANTIBODIES AS THERAPY FOR COVID-19

There are various strategies to treat SARS-CoV-2 infection with antibodies, as summarized in Figure 2. Plasma extracted from recovered COVID-19 patients is known as convalescent plasma (CP). CP contains antibodies of various diversity (polyclonal) and affinities to SARS-CoV-2 and was greatly employed during the early phases of the pandemic. More recently, monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) and nanobodies/sybodies have been developed. By isolating memory B cells from recovered patients and immunized animals or screening of antibody mRNA using phage display, highly selective candidates with high-neutralization capacity have been identified. Neutralizing responses to SARS-CoV-2 target the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the spike (S) glycoprotein, which is required to interact with the target receptor angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (ACE2) on host cells [42–48]. Steric hindrance of the RBD–ACE2 interaction by antibodies will block viral entry and prevent infection. It should be noted that other neutralizing epitopes, distant from the RBD, exist but are less studied [43–50].

CONVALESCENT PLASMA

CP has been used to successfully reduce mortality in a variety of viral epidemics, including influenza, SARS and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) [51, 52]. During the current COVID-19 pandemic, several studies have investigated CP transfusions with high nAb titres as a treatment option (see Figure 2A). Plasma is harvested from donors with total antispike IgG titres of >1:320 using plasmapheresis, this can then be transfused into an ABO-compatible patient [53]. Table 2 summarizes studies investigating the use of CP in COVID-19 patients.

An early meta-analysis of CP treatment for COVID-19 found evidence of reduced mortality as well as increased viral clearance, and clinical improvements [60]. Additionally, a more recent meta-analysis of larger, better quality studies confirmed these findings [61]. However, both the PLACID and PlasmAr randomized trials found no differences in disease progression or mortality in COVID-19 patients receiving CP or best standard of care/placebo [58, 59]. Larger, blinded, randomized control trials are still ongoing to confirm the efficacy of CP treatment, the RECOVERY trial in Oxford is one such Phase 3 trial of CP (NCT04381936).

In SARS patients, early CP treatment within 14 days of infection significantly improved outcomes [62]. This has also been suggested for COVID-19, but more studies are required to fully evaluate this [55]. Recovered patients with high nAb titres have relatively stable levels but these do decrease over time. Gontu *et al.* observed that the optimal time window for recovered patients to donate plasma is within 60 d.p.s.o [9].

Finally, CP treatment could be particularly beneficial for individuals who are immunocompromised [63, 64]. The nAbs in CP are likely targeted to a range of SARS-CoV-2 S protein epitopes, which is advantageous compared to single or even 'cocktail' mAb treatment where there is greater likelihood of escape mutations [65].

Monoclonal antibodies

Many studies have tested the neutralizing capacity of mAbs against SARS-CoV-2 in vitro (Figure 2B) and assessed their



Figure 2: SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies can be utilized in multiple ways to treat COVID-19. (A) Sera from recovered COVID-19 patients can be given intravenously as CP to ABO-matched-infected patients in order to reduce infectious burden and alleviate active disease. (B) mAbs (typically IgG) can be identified following isolation of spike/RBD-specific memory B cells, which are sourced from recovered patients or mice immunized with target antigen. Potential candidates are screened for various parameters, including specificity to target antigen and neutralization capacity. Selected lead candidates are further optimized before clinical evaluation as both a prophylactic and therapeutic. (C) The epitope-binding domain of antibody heavy chains (VHH) also has therapeutic potential. These can be isolated following immunization capacity of vHH mRNA is screened (e.g. using phage display) to identify those that have high affinity to the target antigen. Like mAbs, these are evaluated for their specificity and neutralization capacity before clinical evaluation. Figure created using BioRender.com

functionality in vivo. Neutralizing mAbs have shown a reduction in viral load and protection from challenge in animal models [42, 44–50, 66, 67]. This ability to inhibit infection highlights mAbs as potential therapeutic candidates for COVID-19.

Multiple candidates are in advanced clinical trials (Table 3). Recently, two mAb therapies (bamlanivimab, formerly LY-CoV555, and REGN-COV2) have received emergency use authorization by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to prevent mild-to-moderately-infected patients from progressing to severe disease. While bamlanivimab is a single mAb isolated from the B cells of a convalescent patient [68], REGN-COV2 is a cocktail of two mAbs (casirivimab and imdevimab) identified using both recovered patients and humanized mice [70]. Casirivimab and imdevimab recognize non-overlapping epitopes on the RBD which may overcome resistance posed by 'viral escape' mutations, such as D614G, a missense mutation in the spike protein that results in a more transmissible form of SARS-CoV-2 [72]. This approach of 'antibody cocktails' is also being explored by AstraZeneca, with their candidate AZD7442, comprising two mAbs, recently entering Phase 3 trials [42].

Cross-reactive nAb therapies

Multiple SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV mAbs were identified following the SARS and MERS epidemics in 2003 and 2012, respectively [73]. However, therapeutic developments were limited due to the short duration of these outbreaks. Both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 utilize ACE2 as their cell-entry receptor and the S- glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 is over 70% identical to that of SARS-CoV [74–79]. Conversely, MERS-CoV binds to the CD26 receptor and is less homologous to SARS-CoV-2 [79, 80]. Antibody cross-reactivity could potentially allow repurposing of these SARS-CoV mAbs to combat COVID-19.

RBD-directed mAbs, which interfere with ACE2 binding, thereby neutralizing SARS-CoV (e.g. 80R, CR3014), were unable to bind to SARS-CoV-2-RBD [81, 82]. Conversely, multiple SARS-CoV-targeted mAbs, which do not compete with ACE2, have shown potent cross-neutralizing capacity including 47D11 and CR3022 [82-84]. The ability of CR3022 to neutralize SARS-CoV-2 has been disputed by Yuan et al., however, who used a pseudovirus neutralization assay to assess this rather than one with live virus as with Huo et al. [84, 85]. A further explanation for the differences seen is that antibodies that show cross-reactivity recognize epitopes that are highly conserved between the strains. For example, the epitope of CR3022 is 86% conserved between SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, and the more recently identified S309 (see Table 3) binds an epitope that is 77% conserved [47, 85]. Additional work has shown that further increasing the conservation of CR3022's epitope vastly increases the antibody's affinity to SARS-CoV-2 RBD, suggesting that antibody crossreactivity is highly dependent on epitope recognition [86].

Nanobodies

Efforts have also been directed towards the development of nanobodies to treat COVID-19 (Figure 2C). Sequences of these

Author and study type	Dose	No. of patients	Patient severity	Administration	Patient outcomes
Li et al [54] Open-label randomized clinical trial	>1:640 S-RBD-specific IgG	103	Control group: 29 life-threatening, 22 severe CP group: 29 life-threatening, 23 severe	4–13 ml/kg of recipient body weight	Mortality: 15.7% CP group vs. 24% control $P = 0.30$ Clinical improvement: Severe patients 91.3% CP group vs. 68.2% control P = 0.03 Critically ill patients 20.7% CP group vs. 24.1% control P = 0.83
Duan et al. <mark>[55</mark>] Case series	>1:640 nAb	10	10 severe	1 dose of 200 ml	All recovered No severe adverse effects observed
Shen et al. [56] Case series	>1:80 nAb	Ŀ	5 critically ill	2 transfusions of 200 ml	Of the five patients, three discharged and two were in stable condition
Liu et al. [53] Matched control	≥1:320 S-specific IgG	õ	CP group: 39 severe to life-threatening Matched controls: 152 severe to life-threatening	Two transfusions of 250 ml	 12.8% mortality for CP group 24.4% mortality for matched controls (P = 0.039) CP improved survival in non-intubated patients (P = 0.752)
Donato et al. [57] Case series	>1:500 nAb	47	32 non-mechanically ventilated, 22% immunocompromised and 19% had active cancer 15 mechanically ventilated	400-500 ml	Non-mechanically ventilated: 15.6% intubation rate compared to institutional data (not reported; $P = 0.038$) 87.5% survival rate compared to 66% from institutional data ($P = 0.012$) Mechanically ventilated: 46.7% 30-day mortality rate compared to institutional data 68.5% ($P = 0.093$)
Agarwal et al. [58] Open-label randomized control trial	>1:20 nAb	464	Moderate illness	Two transfusions of 200ml	Progression to severe disease or mortality: 19% CP group vs. 18% control
Simonovich et al. [59] Double-blinded randomized control	>1:800 S-specific IgG	333	Patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia	5–10 ml/kg of recipient body weight	Mortality: 10.96% CP group vs. 11.43% control

Table 2: Information to show the CP treatment regimen and outcome of several COVID-19 studies

I able 3: Cuttettt leauting tittAb uter	apies agaiiist 2000-0005 apike/ RDI			
Company	mAb name	Comments	Stage of development	Study group
Eli Lilly and Company (Developed with	Bamlanivimab ^a (LY-CoV555/LY3819253)	Human IgG1 isolated from conva- lesced patient using high-	Phase 3—NCT04497987 'BLAZE-2'	Nursing Home residents and staff
AbCellera)		throughput microfluidic screen- ing [68]	Phase 3—NCT04501978 'ACTIV-3'	Inpatients
			Phase 2/3—NCT04518410 'ACTIV-2'	Outpatients
			Phase 1—NCT04537910	Healthy Participants
	LY-CoV555 (LY3819253) + LY-CoV016 (LY3832479)	Combination therapy [69]	Phase 2—NCT04427501 'BLAZE-1'	Mild to Moderate Illness
Regeneron Pharmaceuticals	REGN-COV2 ^a (Casirivimab + Imdevimab)	Identified from humanized mice and convalescent samples. This	Phase 3—NCT04452318	Healthy adults who are household contacts with a positive case
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	dual-antibody cocktail target	Phase 2/3—NCT04381936	COVID-19 Patients
		non-overlapping epitopes [70]	'RECOVERY'	
			Phase 1/2—NCT04425629	Ambulatory COVID-19 patients
			Phase 1/2—NCT04426695	Hospitalized patients
			Phase 1	Volunteers—Healthy, Chronic stable illness
			NC10401340/	
Vir Biotechnology/ GlaxoSmithKline	Sotrovimab (VIR-7831/ GSK4182136)	Fully human based on S309 IgG which was isolated from the	Phase 2/3— NCT04545060	Patients who are at high risk of hospitalization
		memory B-cells of an individual recovered from SARS-CoV (rross-reartive) [47]	'COMET-ICE'	
AstraZeneca	AZD7442 (Tixagevimab +	Antibodies with non-overlapping	Phase 3—NCT04625972	Adults with potential recent (within 8 days)
	Cilgavimab)	epitopes identified from a con-	'STORM CHASER'	exposure to a confirmed positive case
		valescent patient [42]. The anti-	Phase 3—NCT04625725	Adults who have no history of SARS-CoV-2 but
		boares have been opumized to extend half-life so they should	PROVENT	nave been exposed
		be prevalent for 6–12 months—		
		'Long-Acting Antibody Combination'		
Celltrion	Regdanvimab (CT-P59)	Targets the RBD of the spike	Phase 2/3—NCT04602000	Diagnosed outpatients with mild conditions
		protein		
Traindad am tha maat adminiat	stee dataminad se threa that have antere	d Dhace 2/2 clinical stage		

Included are the most advanced candidates, determined as those that have entered Phase 2/3 clinical stage. "Those which have received emergency use authorization by the FDA. Table created with aid from Yang et al. [71]. single-domain antibodies (VHH) capable of blocking the RBD/ ACE2 interaction and neutralize SARS-CoV-2 have been identified using synthetic libraries (synthetic nanobodies, sybodies) and camelids (nanobodies), which produce heavy-chain-only antibodies [13, 87–95]. Nanobodies have multiple benefits over conventional antibodies such as their biophysical and biochemical characteristics, and ease of manufacture and varied administrative potential (e.g. via inhalation) [91, 96].

Recent literature has shown a variety of ways in which antibodies can be used as treatment for COVID-19. While CP may work as a polyclonal approach, mAbs and nanobodies recognizing the RBD epitope of the virus are more promising since they are potent, high titre, relatively safe and can be readily manufactured in bulk. Because of this, multiple candidates are reaching clinical trials within a short timescale. Candidates recognizing epitopes that are highly conserved between coronaviruses have scope as potential pan-coronavirus therapies and may protect individuals from future epidemic/pandemic strains.

ANTIBODY RESPONSES TO SARS-COV-2 VACCINES AND LONG-TERM IMMUNITY

Prophylactic vaccines are in development to protect against COVID-19, with the aim of inducing nAb and T cell responses to combat infection. *In vivo* antiviral efficacy has been demonstrated in animal models, including preventing infection when challenged, and is being tested in clinical trials [97–117].

The majority of vaccines include the whole SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, and may also include the nucleocapsid protein (NP), while others only employ the RBD [97-109, 112-117]. The NP antigen does not generate antibodies that are neutralizing against SARS-CoV-2, whereas RBD and spike protein antigens elicit nAb responses [102]. The RBD and S1 domain of the spike protein unsurprisingly produce the greatest nAb responses, as these domains are responsible for ACE2 binding and gaining entry to host cells [118, 119]. Smith et al. and Yarmarkovich et al. took a computational approach to predict epitopes that produce humoral and cell-mediated responses, which may be broadly protective across various coronaviruses [120, 121]. Unfortunately, some non-neutralizing antibodies may have the potential to bridge viral entry into host immune cells via Fc receptors, known as antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE). This leads to increased infectivity, higher viral loads, more severe disease and has been observed in previous SARS/MERS vaccines [122]. Thus far, no study has yet shown evidence of vaccine-induced ADE for SARS-CoV-2.

The duration of long-term immunity to SARS-CoV-2 following infection or vaccination, as well as the level of nAb required for immunity, is currently unknown. Using a mathematical model of antibody kinetics determined by follow-up of coronavirus convalescent patients, one study has predicted that antibody responses will decline according to a biphasic pattern-a rapid decline initially, followed by a slower rate of decay [123]. This study indicated that, due to the substantial initial reduction of antibodies, up to 50% of patients could test seronegative after just 1 year [123]. Although these results cannot be verified until those patients are followed for several years following infection, other studies have estimated the time of seroreversion of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies based on the time taken for patients to become seronegative; 46.9 days for IgM and 51 days for IgA, as of yet, there is no consensus on IgG (Table 1) [6]. The nAb titres initially increase and remain stable for 3-4 months [5, 124-127]. Individuals with high peak nAb titres were observed to maintain these, but levels decreased to those of less severe groups at >90 d.p.s.o [5, 127].

The duration of the immune response resulting from seasonal coronavirus infection varies, but the results obtained from these can help predict the duration of antibody responses until longer-term studies with large cohorts of patients can be carried out for SARS-CoV-2. Previous work carried out on SARS-CoV has indicated convalescent patients remained IgG positive for 2-4 years and antibody responses declined after 2-3 years, with severely affected individuals more likely to maintain detectable responses [128-134]. However, antibody responses for six out of nine volunteers inoculated with seasonal coronavirus strain 229E were no longer sufficient to prevent reinfection 1 year later [135]. Furthermore, a 35-year-long study found that most seasonal coronavirus reinfections occurred every 3 years, depending on re-exposure and lingering immunity [136]. Adapted seasonal coronavirus modelling estimates that SARS-CoV-2 immunity may last approximately 45 weeks, but an antibody response may not confer complete protection from reinfection [133, 137].

Reinfection has been reported in a number of cases, summarized in Table 4. The majority of the reinfected individuals had an initial mild or asymptomatic infection, and these may not elicit a sufficiently robust antibody response to be sustained and protective since patients whose nAb responses were measured had low to undetectable responses [138–145]. These

Location	Patient: age (years) and sex (M/F)	Severity of first infection	Severity of second infection	Days between first and second infection	Reference
Hong Kong	34 (M)	Mild	Asymptomatic	142	[138]
USA	25 (M)	Mild	Severe	48	[139]
	42 (M)	Mild	Moderate	51	[140]
	60–69 ^a	Severe	Mild	118	[141]
Ecuador	46 (M)	Mild	Moderate	47	[142]
India	25 (M)	Asymptomatic	Asymptomatic ^b	100	[143]
	28 (F)	Asymptomatic	Asymptomatic ^b	101	
	27 (M)	Mild	Moderate	66	[144]
	31 (M)	Asymptomatic	Mild	65	
	27 (M)	Asymptomatic	Mild	19	
	24 (F)	Mild	Moderate	55	

Table 4: A summary of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection cases confirmed by whole-genome sequencing

^aPatient details only gave age range of 60–69 years.

^bAsymptomatic but had a higher viral load upon reinfection.

reinfection cases highlight that since most cases of COVID-19 will be mild, reinfection is possible especially following a reduction in nAbs and the possibility of spike protein mutations that reduce nAb-binding affinity [65]. Two patients were reinfected with a D614G variant, and one patient was reinfected with an N440K variant, which is a known nAb escape mutation [65, 140, 141, 143]. A recent study has demonstrated that although antibody titres decrease substantially over time, neutralization activity is retained for up to 6 months [146]. Longer studies involving more individuals are required to evaluate when people might become vulnerable to reinfection. This work supports a vaccine-based approach to controlling SARS-CoV-2 transmission but if serology of vaccinated individuals follows a similar pattern to those who have recovered, then regular boosters may be required.

Conclusion

Antibodies are an important aspect of the immune response to COVID-19. While there remains a lot to learn, it is encouraging to see that in a matter of months, many promising antibodybased prophylactics and therapies are making their way into the clinic. Considering the number of reported cases of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection, the uncertainty surrounding long-term immunity will hopefully be more conclusively addressed in the months to come. To date, the current estimate of antibody longevity is 46.9 days for IgA and 51 days for IgM, with no consensus on IgG. Reinfections have occurred between 19 and 142 days, with the majority greater than 50 days, after recovery from the first infection, resulting in both mild and severe illness. These numbers could change greatly in the coming months and may not be representative of the population. It is important to stress that antibodies are not the sole immune defence against COVID-19, and many vaccines aim to elicit general adaptive immune responses. Evaluating the collective immune response to SARS-CoV-2 will advance our understanding of the mechanism of disease and its control.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Professor Awen Gallimore, Professor Paul Morgan, Dr Wioleta Zelek, Dr Jakub Kopycinski, Dr Gemma Hancock and Professor Quentin Sattentau for their help, suggestions and expertise when writing this manuscript. We would also like to thank the Oxford-Cardiff COVID-19 Literature Consortium for initiating these COVID-19 discussions and providing the opportunity to write this review.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

S.E.A.B. and D.O.S. are responsible for conceptualization. S.E.A.B. and F.C.R. are responsible for supervision. S.E.A.B., D.O.S., S.G.R., V.M.T.B., A.T.C., R.J., E.P. and The Oxford-Cardiff COVID-19 Literature Consortium contributed to writing—original draft. S.E.A.B., D.O.S., S.G.R., M.Q. and J.A. contributed to writing—review and editing.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data are contained within the manuscript. This review was facilitated by frequent releases of the Oxford-Cardiff COVID19 Literature Consortium journal club—a database of reviewed articles and journals will be made available on request.

APPENDIX 1

David J. Ahern¹, Hannah Almuttagi¹, Dominic S. Alonzi², Aljawharah Alrubayyi³, Ghada Alsaleh¹, Valentina M. T. Bart⁴, Vicky Batchelor¹, Rebecca Bayliss⁵, Dorothée L. Berthold¹, Jelena S. Bezbradica¹, Tehmina Bharuchg², Helene Borrmann³, Mariana Borsa¹, Rowie Borst¹, Juliane Brun², Stephanie E. A. Burnell⁴, Lorenzo Capitani⁴, Athena Cavounidis⁶, Lucy Chapman⁴, Anne Chauveau¹, Liliana Cifuentes¹, Amy Susan Codd⁴, Ewoud Bernardus Compeer¹, Clarissa Coveney¹, Amy Cross⁷, Sara Danielli¹, Luke C. Davies⁴, Calliope A. Dendrou⁸, Sandra Dimonte⁴, Ruban Rex Peter Durairaj⁴, Lynn B. Dustin¹, Arthur Dyer⁹, Ceri Fielding⁴, Fabian Fischer¹, Awen Gallimore⁴, Sarah Galloway⁴, Anís Gammage¹, Ester Gea-Mallorquí¹, Andrew Godkin⁴, Stephanie Jean Hanna⁴, Cornelia Heuberger¹, Sarah Hulin-Curtis⁴, Fadi Issa⁷, Emma Jones⁴, Ruth Jones¹⁰, Kristin Ladell⁴, Sarah N. Lauder⁴, Kate Liddiard⁵, Petros Ligoxygakis¹¹, Fangfang Lu¹², Bruce MacLachlan⁴, Shayda Maleki-Toyserkani⁴, Elizabeth H. Mann¹, Anna M. Marzeda¹, Reginald James Matthews¹³, Julie M. Mazet¹, Anita Milicic¹⁴, Emma Mitchell⁴, Owen Moon⁴, Van Dien Nguyen⁴, Miriam O'Hanlon¹, Clara Eléonore Pavillet¹⁵, Dimitra Peppa³, Ana Pires⁴, Eleanor Pring⁴, Max Quastel¹⁶, Sophie Reed⁴, Jan Rehwinkel¹⁷, Niamh Richmond¹, Felix Clemens Richter¹, Alice J. B. Robinson¹, Patrícia R. S. Rodrigues⁴, Pragati Sabberwal⁴, Arvind Sami¹⁸, Raphael Sanches Peres¹, Quentin Sattentau¹², Barbora Schonfeldova¹, David Oliver Scourfield⁴, Tharini A. Selvakumar¹⁶, Freya R. Shepherd⁴, Cariad Shorten¹⁵, Anna Katharina Simon¹, Adrian L. Smith¹⁹, Alicia Teijeira Crespo⁵, Michael Tellier¹², Emily Thornton¹⁷, Lion F. K. Uhl¹, Erinke van Grinsven¹, Angus K. T. Wann¹, Richard Williams¹, Joseph D. Wilson¹⁵, Dingxi Zhou¹ and Zihan Zhu¹²

AFFILIATIONS OF CONSORTIUM MEMBERS

¹Kennedy Institute of Rheumatology, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ²Oxford Glycobiology Institute, Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ³Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ⁴Division of Infection and Immunity, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK; ⁵Division of Cancer and Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK; ⁶Translational Gastroenterology Unit, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ⁷Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ⁸Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ⁹Department of Oncology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ¹⁰Dementia Research Institute, Haydn Ellis Building, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK; ¹¹Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ¹²Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, Medical Science Division, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ¹³Centre for Medical Education, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK; ¹⁴The Jenner Institute, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ¹⁵Medical Sciences Division, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK;
¹⁶Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK;
¹⁷Medical Research Council Human Immunology Unit, Medical Research Council Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK;
¹⁸Botnar Research Centre, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK;
¹⁹Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK;

References

- 1. Hsu DC. Janeway's immunobiology. Shock 2008;**29**:770.
- 2. Yao X-Y, Liu W, Li Z-Y et al. Neutralizing and binding antibody kinetics of COVID-19 patients during hospital and convalescent phases. *med*Rxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.07.18.20156810.
- Xiao AT, Gao C, Zhang S. Profile of specific antibodies to SARS-CoV-2: the first report. J Infect 2020;81(1):147–178. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.012.
- Jacofsky D, Jacofsky EM, Jacofsky M. Understanding antibody testing for COVID-19. J Arthroplasty 2020;35(75):S74–S81. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2020.04.055.
- Seow J, Graham C, Merrick B et al. Longitudinal observation and decline of neutralizing antibody responses in the three months following SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans. Nat Microbiol 2020;5(12):1598–1607. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-00813-8.
- 6. Iyer AS, Jones FK, Nodoushania A et al. Dynamics and significance of the antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 infection. *medRxiv* 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.07.18.20155374.
- Hu Q, Cui X, Liu X et al. The production of antibodies for SARS-CoV-2 and its clinical implication. medRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.04.20.20065953.
- Lou B, Li T-D, Zheng S-F et al. Serology characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 infection after exposure and post-symptom onset. Eur Respir J 2020;56(2):2000763. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00763-2020.
- Gontu A, Srinivasan S, Salazar E et al. Limited window for donation of convalescent plasma with high live-virus neutralizing antibodies for COVID-19 immunotherapy. *bioRxiv* 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.08.21.261909.
- Rijkers G, Murk JL, Wintermans B et al. Differences in antibody kinetics and functionality between severe and mild severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infections. J Infect Dis 2020;222(8):1265–1269. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiaa463.
- Phipps WS, SoRelle JA, Li Q-Z et al. SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses do not predict COVID-19 disease severity. Am J Clin Pathol 2020;154(4);459–465. doi: 10.1093/ajcp/aqaa123.
- Premkumar L, Segovia-Chumbez B, Jadi R et al. The receptorbinding domain of the viral spike protein is an immunodominant and highly specific target of antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 patients. Sci Immunol 2020;5(48):eabc8413. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abc8413.
- Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients of novel coronavirus disease 2019. Clin Infect Dis 2020;71(16):2027–2034. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa344.
- Long Q-X, Liu B-Z, Deng H-J et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19. Nat Med 2020;26(6): 845–848. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0897-1.
- 15. Lynch KL, Whitman JD, Lacanienta NP et al. Magnitude and kinetics of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses and their relationship to disease severity. Clin Infect Dis 2020: ciaa979. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa979.
- Yu H-q, Sun B-q, Fang Z-f *et al*. Distinct features of SARS-CoV-2-specific IgA response in COVID-19 patients. *Eur Respir* J 2020: 56(2); 2001526. doi:10.1183/13993003.01526-2020.

- Tan W, Lu Y, Zhang J et al. Viral kinetics and antibody responses in patients with COVID-19. medRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.03.24.20042382.
- Nakano Y, Kurano M, Morita Y, Shimura T, Yokoyama R, Qian C, et al. Time course of the sensitivity and specificity of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG antibodies for symptomatic COVID-19 in Japan. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):2776. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-021-82428-5.
- Li K, Huang B, Wu M et al. Dynamic changes in anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies during SARS-CoV-2 infection and recovery from COVID-19. Nat Commun 2020;11(1):6044. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19943-y.
- Isho B, Abe KT, Zuo M et al. Persistence of serum and saliva antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 spike antigens in COVID-19 patients. Sci Immunol 2020;5:eabe5511. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abe5511.
- Cervia C, Nilsson J, Zurbuchen Y et al. Systemic and mucosal antibody responses specific to SARS-CoV-2 during mild versus severe COVID-19. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2020; 147(2): 545–557.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2020.10.040.
- 22. Sterlin D, Mathian A, Miyara M et al. IgA dominates the early neutralizing antibody response to SARS-CoV-2. Sci Transl Med 2021; 13(577):eabd2223. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abd2223.
- 23. Ma H, Zeng W, He H et al. Serum IgA, IgM, and IgG responses in COVID-19. Cell Mol Immunol 2020;**17**(7):773–775. doi: 10.1038/s41423-020-0474-z.
- Gozalbo-Rovira R, Gimenez E, Latorre V et al. SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, serum inflammatory biomarkers and clinical severity of hospitalized COVID-19 patients. J Clin Virol 2020; 131:104611. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104611.
- Zhang Z, Xiao T, Wang Y et al. Early viral clearance and antibody kinetics of COVID-19 among asymptomatic carriers. medRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.04.28.20083139.
- Hansen CB, Jarlhelt I, Pérez-Alós L et al. SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses are correlated to disease severity in COVID-19 convalescent individuals. J Immunol 2020;206(1):109–117. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2000898.
- Lei Q, Li Y, Hou H-y et al. Antibody dynamics to SARS-CoV-2 in asymptomatic COVID-19 infections. Allergy. 2020; doi: 10.1111/all.14622.
- Wang X, Guo X, Xin Q et al. Neutralizing antibodies responses to SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 inpatients and convalescent patients. Clin Infect Dis 2020; doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa721.
- Wu F, Wang A, Liu M et al. Neutralizing antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in a COVID-19 recovered patient cohort and their implications. medRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.03.30.20047365
- Hasan Ali O, Bomze D, Risch L et al. Severe COVID-19 is associated with elevated serum IgA and antiphospholipid IgAantibodies. Clin Infect Dis 2020; doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1496.
- Weisberg SP, Connors TJ, Zhu Y et al. Distinct antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in children and adults across the COVID-19 clinical spectrum. Nat Immunol 2020; 22(1), 25–31 (2021). doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-00826-9.
- Bunyavanich S, Do A, Vicencio A. Nasal gene expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 in children and adults. JAMA 2020;323(23):2427–2429. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.8707.
- Pierce CA, Preston-Hurlburt P, Dai Y et al. Immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection in hospitalized pediatric and adult patients. Sci Transl Med 2020;12(564):eabd5487. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abd5487.
- Scully EP, Haverfield J, Ursin RLet al. Considering how biological sex impacts immune responses and COVID-19 outcomes. Nat Rev Immunol 2020;20(7):442–447. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-0348-8.

- Zeng F, Dai C, Cai P et al. A comparison study of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody between male and female COVID-19 patients: a possible reason underlying different outcome between sex. J Med Virol 2020;92(10):2050–2054. doi: 10.1002/jmv.25989.
- 36. Salazar E, Christensen PA, Graviss EA et al. Significantly decreased mortality in a large cohort of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients transfused early with convalescent plasma containing high-titer anti-severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spike protein IgG. Am J Pathol 2020; 191(1) (2021): 90-107. doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2020.10.008.
- Zohar T, Loos C, Fischinger S et al. Compromised humoral functional evolution tracks with SARS-CoV-2 mortality. Cell 2020;183(6):1508–1519.e12. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.052.
- Chakraborty S, Gonzalez J, Edwards K et al. Proinflammatory IgG Fc structures in patients with severe COVID-19. Nat Immunol 2020; 22(1):67-73. doi: 10.1038/s41590-020-00828-7.
- Xu R-H, Fang M, Klein-Szanto Aet al. Memory CD8+ T cells are gatekeepers of the lymph node draining the site of viral infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007;104(26):10992–10997. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0701822104.
- Rydyznski Moderbacher C, Ramirez SI, Dan JM et al. Antigenspecific adaptive immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in acute COVID-19 and associations with age and disease severity. Cell 2020; 183(4):996–1012.e19. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.038.
- Channappanavar R, Zhao J, Perlman S. T cell-mediated immune response to respiratory coronaviruses. *Immunol Res* 2014;59(1-3):118–128. doi: 10.1007/s12026-014-8534-z.
- Zost SJ, Gilchuk P, Chen RE et al. Rapid isolation and profiling of a diverse panel of human monoclonal antibodies targeting the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. Nat Med 2020;26(9): 1422–1427. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0998-x.
- Ju B, Zhang Q, Ge J et al. Human neutralizing antibodies elicited by SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nature 2020; 584(7819): 115-119. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2380-z.
- 44. Wu Y, Wang F, Shen C et al. A noncompeting pair of human neutralizing antibodies block COVID-19 virus binding to its receptor ACE2. Science (N Y) 2020;368(6496):1274–1278. doi: 10.1126/science.abc2241.
- 45. Cao Y, Su B, Guo X et al. Potent neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 identified by high-throughput singlecell sequencing of convalescent patients' B cells. Cell 2020; 182(1): 73-84.e16. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.025.
- 46. Shi R, Shan C, Duan X et al. A human neutralizing antibody targets the receptor binding site of SARS-CoV-2. Nature 2020; 584(7819):120-124. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2381-y.
- Pinto D, Park Y-J, Beltramello M et al. Cross-neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-CoV antibody. Nature 2020;583(7815):290–295. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2349-y.
- Lv Z, Deng YQ, Ye Q et al. Structural basis for neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV by a potent therapeutic antibody. Science (N Y) 2020; 369(6510):1505-1509. doi: 10.1126/science.abc5881.
- Chi X, Yan R, Zhang J et al. A neutralizing human antibody binds to the N-terminal domain of the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2. Science (N Y) 2020; 369(6504):650-655. doi: 10.1126/science.abc6952.
- Poh CM, Carissimo G, Wang B et al. Two linear epitopes on the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein that elicit neutralising antibodies in COVID-19 patients. Nat Commun 2020;11(1): 2806. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16638-2.
- Al-Tawfiq JA, Arabi Y. Convalescent plasma therapy for coronavirus infection: experience from MERS and application in COVID-19. Hum Vaccines Immunother 2020; 16(12): 2973-2979. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2020.1793712.

- 52. Mair-Jenkins J, Saavedra-Campos M, Baillie JK et al. The effectiveness of convalescent plasma and hyperimmune immunoglobulin for the treatment of severe acute respiratory infections of viral etiology: a systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. J Infect Dis 2015;211(1):80–90. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiu396.
- Liu STH, Lin H-M, Baine I et al. Convalescent plasma treatment of severe COVID-19: a propensity score–matched control study. Nat Med 2020; 26(11):1708-1713. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-1088-9.
- 54. Li L, Zhang W, Hu Y et al. Effect of convalescent plasma therapy on time to clinical improvement in patients with severe and life-threatening COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2020; 324(5):460-470. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.10044.
- Duan K, Liu B, Li C et al. Effectiveness of convalescent plasma therapy in severe COVID-19 patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2020;117(17):9490–9496. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2004168117.
- Shen C, Wang Z, Zhao F et al. Treatment of 5 critically ill patients with COVID-19 with convalescent plasma. JAMA 2020;**323**(16):1582–1589. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.4783.
- 57. Donato M, Park S, Baker M et al. Clinical and laboratory evaluation of patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia treated with high-titer convalescent plasma: a prospective study. medRxiv 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.07.20.20156398.
- Agarwal A, Mukherjee A, Kumar G Convalescent plasma in the management of moderate covid-19 in adults in India: open label phase II multicentre randomised controlled trial (PLACID Trial). BMJ 2020;371:m3939. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3939.
- Simonovich VA, Burgos Pratx LD, Scibona P et al. A randomized trial of convalescent plasma in Covid-19 severe pneumonia. N Engl J Med 2020. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2031304.
- Sarkar S, Soni KD, Khanna P. Convalescent plasma is a clutch at straws in COVID-19 management! A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Virol 2021; 93(2):1111-1118. doi: 10.1002/jmv.26408.
- Joyner MJ, Klassen SA, Senefeld J et al. Evidence favouring the efficacy of convalescent plasma for COVID-19 therapy. medRxiv 2020. doi: 10.1101/2020.07.29.20162917.
- Cheng Y, Wong R, Soo YOY et al. Use of convalescent plasma therapy in SARS patients in Hong Kong. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2005;24(1):44–46. doi: 10.1007/s10096-004-1271-9.
- Clark E, Guilpain P, Filip IL et al. Convalescent plasma for persisting COVID-19 following therapeutic lymphocyte depletion: a report of rapid recovery. Br J Haematol 2020;190(3): e154–156. doi: 10.1111/bjh.16981.
- 64. Wright Z, Bersabe A, Eden Ret al. Successful use of COVID-19 convalescent plasma in a patient recently treated for follicular lymphoma. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk 2021;21(1):66–68. doi:10.1016/j.clml.2020.06.012.
- 65. Weisblum Y, Schmidt F, Zhang F *et al*. Escape from neutralizing antibodies by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein variants. Elife 2020;**9**:e61312. doi: 10.7554/eLife.61312.
- Baum A, Ajithdoss D, Copin R et al. REGN-COV2 antibodies prevent and treat SARS-CoV-2 infection in rhesus macaques and hamsters. Science (N Y) 2020;370(6520):1110–1115. doi: 10.1126/science.abe2402.
- Rogers TF, Zhao F, Huang D et al. Isolation of potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies and protection from disease in a small animal model. Science (N Y) 2020;369(6506): 956–963. doi: 10.1126/science.abc7520.
- Jones BE, Brown-Augsburger PL, Corbett KS et al. LY-CoV555, a rapidly isolated potent neutralizing antibody, provides protection in a non-human primate model of SARS-CoV-2 infection. bioRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.09.30.318972.

- 69. Chen P, Nirula A, Heller B *et al*. SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody LY-CoV555 in outpatients with Covid-19. N *Engl J Med* 2021; 384(3):229-237. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2029849.
- Hansen J, Baum A, Pascal KE et al. Studies in humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2 antibody cocktail. Science (N Y) 2020;369(6506):1010–1014. doi: 10.1126/science.abd0827.
- Yang L, Liu W, Yu X et al. COVID-19 antibody therapeutics tracker: a global online database of antibody therapeutics for the prevention and treatment of COVID-19. Antib Ther 2020;3(3):205–212. doi: 10.1093/abt/tbaa020.
- Baum A, Fulton BO, Wloga E et al. Antibody cocktail to SARS-CoV-2 spike protein prevents rapid mutational escape seen with individual antibodies. Science (N Y) 2020;369(6506): 1014–1018. doi: 10.1126/science.abd0831.
- Shanmugaraj B, Siriwattananon K, Wangkanont Ket al. Perspectives on monoclonal antibody therapy as potential therapeutic intervention for Coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19). Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol 2020;38(1):10–18. doi: 10.12932/AP-200220-0773.
- 74. Li W, Moore MJ, Vasilieva N et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. Nature 2003;426(6965):450–454. doi: 10.1038/nature02145.
- Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell 2020;181(2): 271–280.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052.
- Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 2020;579(7798):270–273. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7.
- Walls AC, Park Y-J, Tortorici MAet al. Structure, function, and antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein. Cell 2020;181(2):281–292.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058.
- Letko M, Marzi A, Munster V. Functional assessment of cell entry and receptor usage for SARS-CoV-2 and other lineage B betacoronaviruses. Nat Microbiol 2020;5(4):562–569. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-0688-y.
- Hicks J, Klumpp-Thomas C, Kalish H et al. Serologic cross-reactivity of SARS-CoV-2 with endemic and seasonal Betacoronaviruses. *medRxiv* 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.06.22.20137695.
- Wang N, Shi X, Jiang L et al. Structure of MERS-CoV spike receptor-binding domain complexed with human receptor DPP4. Cell Res 2013;23(8):986–993. doi: 10.1038/cr.2013.92.
- Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS et al. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science (N Y) 2020;367(6483):1260–1263. doi: 10.1126/science.abb2507.
- Tian X, Li C, Huang A et al. Potent binding of 2019 novel coronavirus spike protein by a SARS coronavirus-specific human monoclonal antibody. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 2020;9(1): 382–385. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2020.1729069.
- Wang C, Li W, Drabek D et al. Publisher correction: a human monoclonal antibody blocking SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Commun 2020;11(1):2511. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16452-w.
- Huo J, Zhao Y, Ren J et al. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by destruction of the prefusion spike. Cell Host Microbe 2020; 28(3): 445-454.e6, doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2020.06.010.
- Yuan M, Wu NC, Zhu X et al. A highly conserved cryptic epitope in the receptor binding domains of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Science (N Y) 2020;368(6491):630–633. doi: 10.1126/science.abb7269.
- Wu NC, Yuan M, Bangaru S et al. A natural mutation between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV determines neutralization by a

cross-reactive antibody. PLOS Pathogens 2020;**16**(12):e1009089. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1009089.

- Custódio TF, Das H, Sheward DJ et al. Selection, biophysical and structural analysis of synthetic nanobodies that effectively neutralize SARS-CoV-2. Nat Commun 2020;11(1):5588. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19204-y.
- Schoof M, Faust B, Saunders RA et al. An ultrapotent synthetic nanobody neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 by stabilizing inactive Spike. *Science* (N Y) 2020; 370(6523):1473-1479. doi: 10.1126/science.abe3255.
- Walter JD, Hutter CAJ, Zimmermann I et al. Sybodies targeting the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain. *bioRxiv* 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.04.16.045419.
- Wrapp D, De Vlieger D, Corbett KS et al. Structural basis for potent neutralization of betacoronaviruses by singledomain camelid Antibodies. Cell 2020;181(5):1004-1015.e15. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.031.
- Gai J, Ma L, Li G et al. A potent neutralizing nanobody against SARS-CoV-2 with inhaled delivery potential. bioRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.08.09.242867.
- Hanke L, Vidakovics Perez L, Sheward DJ et al. An alpaca nanobody neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 by blocking receptor interaction. Nat Commun 2020;11(1):4420. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18174-5.
- Huo J, Le Bas A, Ruza RR et al. Neutralizing nanobodies bind SARS-CoV-2 spike RBD and block interaction with ACE2. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2020;27(9):846–854. doi: 10.1038/s41594-020-0469-6.
- Bao L, Deng W, Gao H et al. Lack of reinfection in rhesus macaques infected with SARS-CoV-2. bioRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.03.13.990226.
- Hamers-Casterman C, Atarhouch T, Muyldermans S et al. Naturally occurring antibodies devoid of light chains. Nature 1993;363(6428):446–448. doi: 10.1038/363446a0.
- Kolkman JA, Law DA. Nanobodies from llamas to therapeutic proteins. Drug Discov Today Technol 2010;7(2):e139–146. doi: 10.1016/j.ddtec.2010.03.002.
- McKay PF, Hu K, Blakney AK et al. Self-amplifying RNA SARS-CoV-2 lipid nanoparticle vaccine candidate induces high neutralizing antibody titers in mice. Nat Commun 2020; 11(1):3523. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17409-9.
- 98. Erasmus JH, Khandhar AP, O'Connor MA et al. An Alphavirus-derived replicon RNA vaccine induces SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody and T cell responses in mice and nonhuman primates. Sci Transl Med 2020;12(555): eabc9396. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abc9396.
- Smith TRF, Patel A, Ramos S et al. Immunogenicity of a DNA vaccine candidate for COVID-19. Nat Commun 2020;11(1): 2601. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16505-0.
- 100. Rice A, Verma M, Shin A et al. A next generation bivalent human Ad5 COVID-19 vaccine delivering both spike and nucleocapsid antigens elicits Th1 dominant CD4+, CD8+ T-cell and neutralizing antibody responses. *bioRxiv* 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.07.29.227595.
- 101. Routhu NK, Gangadhara S, Cheedarla N et al. Modified vaccinia ankara based SARS-CoV-2 vaccine expressing fulllength spike induces strong neutralizing antibody response. bioRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.06.27.175166.
- 102. Chiuppesi F, Salazar MdA, Contreras H et al. Development of a multi-antigenic SARS-CoV-2 vaccine candidate using a synthetic poxvirus platform. Nat Commun 2020;**11**(1):6121. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19819-1.
- 103. Mandolesi M, Sheward DJ, Hanke L et al. SARS-CoV-2 protein subunit vaccination elicits potent neutralizing antibody responses. bioRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.07.31.228486.

- 104. Zang J, Gu C, Zhou B et al. Immunization with the receptorbinding domain of SARS-CoV-2 elicits antibodies crossneutralizing SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV without antibodydependent enhancement. Cell Discov 2020;6:61. doi: 10.1038/s41421-020-00199-1.
- 105. Dai L, Zheng T, Xu K et al. A universal design of betacoronavirus vaccines against COVID-19, MERS, and SARS. Cell 2020; 182(3):722–733.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.035.
- 106. Quinlan BD, Mou H, Zhang L et al. The SARS-CoV-2 receptorbinding domain elicits a potent neutralizing response without antibody-dependent enhancement. bioRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.04.10.036418.
- 107. Yu J, Tostanoski LH, Peter L et al. DNA vaccine protection against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus macaques. Science (N Y) 2020; 369(6505):806–811. doi: 10.1126/science.abc6284.
- 108. van Doremalen N, Lambe T, Spencer A et al. ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine prevents SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in rhesus macaques. Nature 2020; 586(7830):578-582. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2608-y.
- 109. Tian J-H, Patel N, Haupt R et al. SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein vaccine candidate NVX-CoV2373 elicits immunogenicity in baboons and protection in mice. bioRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.06.29.178509.
- 110. Wang H, Zhang Y, Huang B et al. Development of an inactivated vaccine candidate, BBIBP-CorV, with potent Protection against SARS-CoV-2. Cell 2020;182(3):713–721.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.008.
- 111. Gao Q, Bao L, Mao H et al. Development of an inactivated vaccine candidate for SARS-CoV-2. Science (N Y) 2020;369(6499): 77–81. doi: 10.1126/science.abc1932.
- 112. Jackson LA, Anderson EJ, Rouphael NG et al. An mRNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 - preliminary report. N Engl J Med 2020; 383(20):1920-1931. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2022483.
- 113. Mulligan MJ, Lyke KE, Kitchin N et al. Phase 1/2 study of COVID-19 RNA vaccine BNT162b1 in adults. Nature 2020; 586(7830):589-593. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2639-4.
- 114. Sahin U, Muik A, Derhovanessian E et al. Concurrent human antibody and TH1 type T-cell responses elicited by a COVID-19 RNA vaccine. *medRxiv* 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.07.17.20140533.
- 115. Folegatti PM, Ewer KJ, Aley PK et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2: a preliminary report of a phase 1/2, single-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2020;**396**(10249):467–478. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31604-4.
- 116. Zhu F-C, Guan X-H, Li Y-H et al. Immunogenicity and safety of a recombinant adenovirus type-5-vectored COVID-19 vaccine in healthy adults aged 18 years or older: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet 2020; 396(10249):479–488. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31605-6.
- 117. Zhu F-C, Li Y-H, Guan X-H et al. Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of a recombinant adenovirus type-5 vectored COVID-19 vaccine: a dose-escalation, open-label, nonrandomised, first-in-human trial. *Lancet* 2020;**395**(10240): 1845–1854. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31208-3.
- 118. Ravichandran S, Coyle EM, Klenow L et al. Antibody signature induced by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein immunogens in rabbits. Sci Transl Med 2020;12(550):eabc3539. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abc3539.
- 119. Bertoglio F, Meier D, Langreder N *et al*. SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing human recombinant antibodies selected from prepandemic healthy donors binding at RBD-ACE2 interface. *bioRxiv* 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.06.05.135921.
- 120. Smith CC, Entwistle S, Willis C *et al*. Landscape and selection of vaccine epitopes in SARS-CoV-2. *bioRxiv* 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.06.04.135004.

- 121. Yarmarkovich M, Warrington JM, Farrel Aet al. Identification of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine epitopes predicted to induce longterm population-scale Immunity. Cell Rep Med 2020;1(3): 100036. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100036.
- 122. Eroshenko N, Gill T, Keaveney MKet al. Implications of antibody-dependent enhancement of infection for SARS-CoV-2 countermeasures. Nat Biotechnol 2020;38(7):789–791. doi: 10.1038/s41587-020-0577-1.
- 123. Rosado J, Pelleau S, Cockram C et al. Serological signatures of SARS-CoV-2 infection: Implications for antibody-based diagnostics. medRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.05.07.20093963.
- 124. Wajnberg A, Amanat F, Firpo A et al. Robust neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 infection persist for months. Science (N Y) 2020;**370**(6521):1227–1230. doi: 10.1126/science.abd7728.
- 125. Wu J, Liang B, Chen C et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection induces sustained humoral immune responses in convalescent patients following symptomatic COVID-19. *medRxiv* 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.07.21.20159178.
- 126. Crawford KHD, Dingens AS, Eguia R et al. Dynamics of neutralizing antibody titers in the months after SARS-CoV-2 infection. J Infect Dis 2020:jiaa618. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiaa618.
- 127. Ripperger TJ, Uhrlaub JL, Watanabe M et al. Orthogonal SARS-CoV-2 serological assays enable surveillance of lowprevalence communities and reveal durable humoral immunity. Immunity 2020; 53 (5); 925-933.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.10.004.
- 128. Wu L-P, Wang N-C, Chang Y-H et al. Duration of antibody responses after severe acute respiratory syndrome. Emerg Infect Dis 2007;13(10):1562–1564. doi: 10.3201/eid1310.070576.
- 129. Mo H, Zeng G, Ren X et al. Longitudinal profile of antibodies against SARS-coronavirus in SARS patients and their clinical significance. Respirology 2006;11(1):49–53. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1843.2006.00783.x.
- 130. Liu W, Fontanet A, Zhang P-H *et al*. Two-year prospective study of the humoral immune response of patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *J Infect Dis* 2006;**193(**6): 792–795. doi: 10.1086/500469.
- 131. Liu L, Xie J, Sun J et al. Longitudinal profiles of immunoglobulin G antibodies against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus components and neutralizing activities in recovered patients. Scand J Infect Dis 2011;43(6-7):515–521. doi: 10.3109/00365548.2011.560184.
- 132. Guo X, Guo Z, Duan C et al. Long-term persistence of igg antibodies in SARS-CoV infected healthcare workers. *medRxiv* 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.02.12.20021386.
- 133. Kellam P, Barclay W. The dynamics of humoral immune responses following SARS-CoV-2 infection and the potential for reinfection. *J Gen Virol* 2020; 101(8):791-797. doi: 10.1099/jgv.0.001439.
- 134. Huang AT, Garcia-Carreras B, Hitchings MDT et al. A systematic review of antibody mediated immunity to coronaviruses: kinetics, correlates of protection, and association with severity. Nat Commun 2020;11(1):4704. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18450-4.
- 135. Callow KA, Parry HF, Sergeant Met al. The time course of the immune response to experimental coronavirus infection of man. Epidemiol Infect 1990;**105**(2):435–446. doi: 10.1017/s0950268800048019.
- 136. Edridge AWD, Kaczorowska J, Hoste ACR et al. Seasonal coronavirus protective immunity is short-lasting. Nat Med 2020; 26(11):1691–1693. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-1083-1.
- 137.Kissler SM, Tedijanto C, Goldstein Eet al. Projecting the transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 through the

postpandemic period. *Science* (N Y) 2020;**368**(6493):860–868. doi: 10.1126/science.abb5793.

- 138. To KK, Hung IF, Ip JD et al. COVID-19 re-infection by a phylogenetically distinct SARS-coronavirus-2 strain confirmed by whole genome sequencing. Clin Infect Dis 2020; doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1275.
- 139. Tillett RL, Sevinsky JR, Hartley PD et al. Genomic evidence for reinfection with SARS-CoV-2: a case study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020; 21(1):52-58. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30764-7.
- 140. Larson D, Brodniak SL, Voegtly LJ et al. A case of early reinfection with SARS-CoV-2. Clin Infect Dis 2020; doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1436.
- 141. Goldman JD, Wang K, Roltgen K et al. Reinfection with SARS-CoV-2 and failure of humoral immunity: a case report. medRxiv 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.09.22.20192443.
- 142. Prado-Vivar B, Becerra-Wong M, Guadalupe JJ et al. COVID-19 re-infection by a phylogenetically distinct SARS-CoV-2 variant, first confirmed event in South America. First Confirmed

Event in South America, 3 September 2020, Preprint SSRN 2020; doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3686174.

- 143. Gupta V, Bhoyar RC, Jain A et al. Asymptomatic reinfection in two healthcare workers from India with genetically distinct SARS-CoV-2. Clin Infect Dis 2020; doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1451.
- 144. Shastri J, Parikh S, Agarwal S et al. Whole genome sequencing confirmed SARS-CoV-2 reinfections among healthcare workers in India with increased severity in the second episode. Preprint SSRN 2020; doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3688220.
- 145. To KK-W, Hung IF-N, Chan K-H et al. Serum antibody profile of a patient with COVID-19 reinfection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1368.
- 146. Figueiredo-Campos P, Blankenhaus B, Mota C et al. Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in COVID-19 patients and healthy volunteers up to 6 months post disease onset. Eur J Immunol 2020;50(12):2025–2040. doi: 10.1002/eji.202048970.