#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Vijay Gahlaut, Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology (CSIR), India

REVIEWED BY Cleverson Carlos Matiolli, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal Jinpeng Wang, Institute of Botany (CAS), China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jiangping Bai, baijp@gsau.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Plant Genomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Genetics

RECEIVED 17 July 2022 ACCEPTED 14 September 2022 PUBLISHED 30 September 2022

#### CITATION

Dekomah SD, Bi Z, Dormatey R, Wang Y, Haider FU, Sun C, Yao P and Bai J (2022), The role of CDPKs in plant development, nutrient and stress signaling. *Front. Genet.* 13:996203. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.996203

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Dekomah, Bi, Dormatey, Wang, Haider, Sun, Yao and Bai. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The role of CDPKs in plant development, nutrient and stress signaling

Simon Dontoro Dekomah<sup>1,2†</sup>, Zhenzhen Bi<sup>1,2†</sup>, Richard Dormatey<sup>1,2</sup>, Yihao Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Fasih Ullah Haider<sup>2,3</sup>, Chao Sun<sup>1,2</sup>, Panfeng Yao<sup>1</sup> and Jiangping Bai<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Gansu Provincial Key Laboratory of Aridland Crop Science, Lanzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>College of Agronomy, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou, China

The second messenger calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) is a ubiquitous intracellular signaling molecule found in eukaryotic cells. In plants, the multigene family of calciumdependent protein kinases (CDPKs) plays an important role in regulating plant growth, development, and stress tolerance. CDPKs sense changes in intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration and translate them into phosphorylation events that initiate downstream signaling processes. Several functional and expression studies on different CDPKs and their encoding genes have confirmed their multifunctional role in stress. Here, we provide an overview of the signal transduction mechanisms and functional roles of CDPKs. This review includes details on the regulation of secondary metabolites, nutrient uptake, regulation of flower development, hormonal regulation, and biotic and abiotic stress responses.

#### KEYWORDS

calcium, calcium-dependent protein kinases, stress tolerance, plants, biotic and abiotic stress

## **1** Introduction

Calcium is an important messenger in plants that coordinates a wide range of physiological processes in response to external and endogenous factors. A transient increase in cytosolic calcium often occurs during plant stress responses (Mahajan et al., 2008) and produces specific calcium signatures that can be detected by various calcium sensors to trigger downstream effects. These effects are manifested in changes in protein phosphorylation and gene expression patterns (Kudla et al., 2010). Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs), calmodulin (CaM), calmodulin-like proteins (CMLs), calcineurin B-like proteins (CBLs), and their interacting kinases (CIPKs) are four major classes of calcium-sensing proteins in plants (Hashimoto and Kudla 2011; Batistic and Kudla 2012). CDPKs are Ca<sup>2+</sup>-regulated serine/threonine protein kinases encoded by a large family of multigene found in plants, green algae, protists, and oomycetes (Valmonte et al., 2014). Among calcium sensors, CDPKs are the only ones

that combine sensing activity *via* EF-hand calcium-binding motifs with response activity *via* the protein kinase domain in a single protein (Yip Delormel and Boudsocq, 2019).

CDPKs consists of four characteristic domains including a variable N-terminal domain containing myristoylation and palmitoylation sites, a catalytic ser/thr protein kinase domain that phosphorylates the serine and threonine residues of its substrates, an autoinhibitory domain acting as a pseudosubstrate blocking enzyme activity in the absence of Ca<sup>2+</sup> stimulation, and a C-terminal regulatory calmodulin-like domain with one to four conserved EF-hand motifs for calcium-binding (Cheng et al., 2002; Liese and Romeis, 2013; Valmonte et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2015). CDPKs recognize Ca2+ signals via the EF-hand motif, a distinctive and conserved helixloop-helix structure composed of 12 amino acids that confer the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding activity. Because EF-hands typically occur in pairs as a discrete domain, the majority of CDPK family members have two, four, or six EF-hands (Shi et al., 2018; Yip Delormel and Boudsocq, 2019). In certain conditions, the pairing exhibits positive interaction, reducing the Ca2+ signal required to achieve protein saturation. Ca2+ binding to the CDPK cause a structural change that promotes the CDPK's interaction with its target proteins or alters the CDPKs enzyme activity. CDPKs are one general class of EF-hand-containing proteins found in plants that translate chemical signals into diverse biochemical responses (Shi et al., 2018; Yip Delormel and Boudsocq, 2019). Many CDPK genes have been identified in plants, 34 in Arabidopsis thaliana (Cheng et al., 2002), 31 in rice (Oryza sativa) (Ray et al., 2007), 29 in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) (Wang et al., 2016), 18 in melon (Cucumis melo) (Zhang et al., 2017), 31 and 32 in Cucurbita maxima and C. pepo, respectively (Wei et al., 2019), and 19 in cucumber (Cucumis sativus) (Xu et al., 2015).

CDPKs have been found in various subcellular locations, such as the plasma membrane, cytosol, nucleus, endoplasmic reticulum, peroxisomes, outer mitochondrial membrane, and oil bodies, implying that they play roles in a variety of physiological processes (Dammann et al., 2003; Harper et al., 2004). They are involved in starch and protein accumulation in immature rice seeds, tolerance to cold, salt, drought stress, and abscisic acid (ABA) response in Arabidopsis, rice, and watermelon (Citrullus lanatus) (Mehlmer et al., 2010; Asano et al., 2011; Asano et al., 2012b; Wei et al., 2019), defense response in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) (Romeis et al., 2000) and tomato (Chico et al., 2002), nodulation in Medicago truncatula (Gargantini et al., 2006), and pollen tube growth in petunia and abscisic acid (ABA) response in Arabidopsis (Nakata et al., 2009). CDPKs regulate seed germination (Jiang et al., 2013), pigment accumulation, and fruit development (Pawełek et al., 2015), and promote grain filling in rice which shortens the crop duration (Manimaran et al., 2015).

Plants are constantly faced with environmental stresses, and adequate stress management requires a high energy

expenditure and often results in slowed or completely impaired growth. Therefore, it is in the best interest of plants to develop mechanisms to prioritize simultaneous external challenges to balance growth and stress responses (Seybold et al., 2019) so that plants can escape, avoid, or tolerate environmental changes (Blum, 1996; Lerner, 2018). Several mechanisms come into play, depending largely on the type, severity, and duration of stress, and may also vary among plant species and developmental stages (Pinheiro and Chaves, 2011). Among the known mechanisms, pathways involving CDPKs are one of the best-studied cases. CDPKs are promising candidates for a role in coordinating the trade-off between stress tolerance and growth (Claeys and Inzé, 2013). Therefore, this review will focus on the progress of CDPK research, particularly on how CDPKs regulate plant stress tolerance and promote growth and development.

## 2 Evolutionary pattern and functional changes in the CDPK family in plants

The CDPK gene family has a long evolutionary history that can be traced back to the earliest land plants, such as pteridophytes (Selaginella moellendorffii) and bryophytes (Physcomitrella patens), both of which have at least 35 CDPKs in their genomes (Hamel et al., 2014). CDPK homologs were previously thought to be plant-specific, but they have now been discovered in ciliates and apicomplexan parasites (Zhang and Choi. 2001; Billker et al., 2009). The first CDPK gene appeared prior to the basal split between green plants and alveolate protists (Zhang and Choi. 2001). The cucumber's genome encodes 19 CsCDPKs and 18 CmCDPKs in melon, compared to 34 AtCPKs in Arabidopsis (Harper and Harmon 2005; Xu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017), 25 in potato (Bi et al., 2021), 30 PtCDPKs in Poplar (Zuo et al., 2013), and 31 OsCDPKs in rice (Ray et al., 2007). The lower number of CDPK genes in some plants e.g. cucumber and melon may be attributed to a lack of whole genome duplication (WGD) events following the gamma WGD, as seen in Arabidopsis, or the WGD after the split between eudicots and monocots, as seen in rice (Jiao et al., 2011). Another possible explanation could be the cucumber or melon genome's low number of segmental duplications and tandem gene duplications (Asano et al., 2012a). However, recent duplication events in Arabidopsis, Populus, and rice may have resulted in an increase in the number of CDPK family members in their genomes (Remington et al., 2004).

The evolutionary history of CDPKs phylogenetic groupings and gene structure can be used to predict the functional specificity between paralogs. The *Arabidopsis* genome encodes 34 CDPKs, grouped into four distinct clusters (Boudsocq and Sheen, 2013) which is similar to several angiosperms CDPK family's basal architecture such as tomato (Hu et al., 2016),

Chinese cabbage (Wu et al., 2017), potato (Dekomah et al., 2022), maize (Li et al., 2018). However, recent studies in sweet potato (Ipomoea spp.) revealed more than four clusters, with three CDPKs forming a fifth cluster (V) (IbCDPK35, ItfCDPK35, ItbCDPK35) that was speculated to have resulted from chromosomal hybridization (Li et al., 2022). With gene structure, it has been proven that when CDPK sequences within a genome belonged to the same evolutionary cluster they showed similar intron-exon patterns. Although this is not always the case, but highly homologous CDPKs have very similar intron-exon patterns. One example is the comparison of AtCPK4 and AtCPK11 to AtCPK12. In terms of protein sequence, these three sequences share a lot of similarities. AtCPK4 and AtCPK11 have very similar intron-exon patterns, but the AtCPK12 gene structure differs from these two (Valmonte et al., 2014). In terms of function, AtCPK4 and AtCPK11 display functional similarity, but AtCPK12 was different (Valmonte et al., 2014). CDPKs, CMLs, CaMs, and CBLs account for more than one-third of all EF-hand containing domain found in plant genomes (Mohanta et al., 2017). The overall trend in the evolutionary process of green plants is a significant and sustained increase in the number of EF-hand domains, whereas the number of EFhands was initially very low in early algae, indicating that Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensing appeared to experience differential expansion and functional specialization in CDPKs, CMLs, CaMs, and CBLs. Abiotic stresses and plant morphological complexity may also be linked to changes in the number of Ca2+-sensing genes and EFhand motifs (Tong et al., 2021). Considering the evolutionary history of CDPKs it is established that, this multigene family is conserved among angiosperms based on their basal architecture and gene structure which has contributed to expanding their signaling roles.

# **3** Gene duplication

Plant phenotypes have been significantly altered during evolution to adapt to environmental changes by transforming the form and function of genes. Most land plants have undergone polyploidization, which has resulted in Whole-Genome Duplication (WGD) and allowed duplicated genes to diverge in function. Each of these genes went through one of three transformations: neofunctionalization, subfunctionalization, or non-functionalization (pseudogenization). These outcomes allowed duplicated genes to acquire functional diversification, culminating in more complex organisms (Wu et al., 2017; Rensing, 2014). Neofunctionalization is an adaptive process in which one copy of a duplicated gene mutates and ultimately performs a novel function (that the ancestral sequence cannot perform. This is one of the mechanisms that can result in the long-term retention of both copies of a gene after duplication. A classic example was found in the functional diversification of cucumber CsCDPKs paralogs gene pair (CsCDPK1 and

CsCDPK3) in cluster A. Both genes lost motif 9 whiles sharing the same intron phase, with one of the duplicates changing its expression pattern to be more tissue (fruit) specific indicating neofunctionalization and may play a significant role in fruit development (Xu et al., 2015). The duplicate gene pair in grapevine (VvCPK5 and VvCPK11) in cluster C underwent neofunctionalization where VvCPK5 evolved to become more specific in pollen development while VvCPK11 retained its housekeeping role (Chen et al., 2013). Subfunctionalization is a related process in which duplicated gene copies diverge to perform specific functions than the ancestral gene, which can help to explain the duplicates' short-term fate and can be considered as a transition state towards neofunctionalization (Rastogi and Liberles, 2005). In the case of CsCDPK8 and CsCDPK17 in cluster B, CsCDPK17 is primarily expressed in tendrils, whereas CsCDPK8 is expressed at a relatively similar level in all tissues maintaining their housekeeping role, suggesting subfunctionalization (Xu et al., 2015). For VvCPK8 and VvCPK9 in cluster A, both genes were found to express in fewer tissues such as pollens compared to VvCPK13 in the same cluster A which retained its ancestral function in stress response (Chen et al., 2013).

Since it diverged from Carica papaya, the genome of A. thaliana has undergone a paleohexaploidy ( $\beta$ ) duplication, as well as two subsequent genome duplications ( $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ ), in addition to rapid DNA sequence divergence and extensive gene loss (Jiao et al., 2011). The evolutionary history of grapevine CDPKs occurred in the absence of the alpha  $(\alpha)$ and beta  $(\beta)$  whole-genome duplications experienced by A. thaliana (Chen et al., 2013). The CDPK family in angiosperms is characterized by the presence of numerous paralogs with high levels of homology. These closely related genes most likely arose as a result of recent duplication events and, as a result, have not yet expanded significantly (Hamel et al., 2014). In some instances, duplication appears to predate the monocoteudicot split (e.g., OsCPK17/27, AtCPK1/2, and PtCPK1/2), whereas, in others, duplication appears to be specific to a particular lineage (OsCPK12 and AtCPK29 versus PtCPK29-1/29-2) (Hamel et al., 2014). Some duplicated regions in CDPK-SnRK protein kinases found in A. thaliana indicated that CDPK-SnRK protein kinases are paralogs that emerged by divergence after genome duplication events (Hrabak et al., 2003). Arabidopsis and maize CPK genes have undergone both segmental and tandem duplication, which has contributed to the CPK family's expansion. In Populus, segmental duplication was the major factor in the expansion of CPK genes (Zuo et al., 2013). A study of gene chromosomal distribution reveals that few CDPK genes occur as tandem duplicates within angiosperms. CPK20-1/20-3 and CPK20-2/20-4 in poplar (Zuo et al., 2013) are notable exceptions, as well as a cluster of five Group II CDPKs in Arabidopsis (CPK21/22/23/ 27/31) (Cheng et al., 2002; Hrabak et al., 2003). As identified for mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) (Hamel et al.,



#### FIGURE 1

A prototype of CDPK protein structure and its decoding mechanism. (A) A typical CDPK comprises a variable N-terminal domain (VNTD) which contains (Myristoylation site and Palmitoylation site), serine/threonine kinase domain, active site (AS), auto-inhibitory junction domain (AI-JD) made up of [auto-inhibitor (AJ) and junction domain (JD]), and a C-terminal CaM-like domain (CaMLD) which harbor (four EF-hands) for  $Ca^{2+}$  binding. (B) CDPK in an inactive state with Al acting as a restraining molecule. In a resting position, the AI-JD interacts with the C-lobe and C-EF by binding in the AS whiles the N-lobe interacts with N-EF. (C) CDPK in an active state. Upon increase in cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$  concentration, the AI-JD detaches from the AS as a result of large conformation changes that occurred in the kinases domain.  $Ca^{2+}$  ions bind with the C-terminal lobe (C-EF) and N-terminal lobe (N-EF) and then interact with AI-JD on both sides.

2014), the expansion of the CDPK family from angiosperms depended largely on large-scale DNA rearrangements, namely whole-genome or large segmental duplications. No tandem duplication of CPK genes has occurred in the rice genome (Asano et al., 2012a). Two duplicated gene pairs (*TkCPK26/TkCPK27; TkCPK27/TkCPK*) were found in *Taraxacum koksaghyz* indicating accelerated evolution rates (Zhu et al., 2010). Most ancestral CDPKs of plants had diverse functions in the development of reproductive structures and maintenance of other cellular processes; however, several duplication events over the years have contributed to expanding, gaining, or retention of CDPK functions in response to changing environmental conditions.

# 4 Structure and activation of CDPK

Plants have a large number of calcium-binding proteins that act as cellular  $Ca^{2+}$  sensors as well as the first point of information translation (McCormack et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2007; Kudla et al., 2010). As one of the  $Ca^{2+}$  sensors, CDPKs have four domains including; a calmodulin-like domain (CaM-LD) or calcium-binding domain, a serine/threonine protein kinase domain, a variable N-terminal domain (VNTD), and an autoinhibitory junction domain (AI-JD) (Batistic and Kudla 2012) (Figure 1A). At its C-terminus, the auto-inhibitoryjunction domain contains a pseudosubstrate auto-inhibitor (20~30 amino acids) and a junction region, whereas the CaM-LD domain contains four EF-hand Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding motifs classified into two lobes, with the N-lobe having a lower calcium affinity than the C-lobe (Christodoulou et al., 2004; Liese and Romeis 2013; Yip Delormel and Boudsocq, 2019). The covalent tethering of the CaM-LD to its regulatory-junction region in CDPKs is a unique feature of the CaM superfamily (Chandran et al., 2006). In an inactive state, the auto-inhibitor binds to the adjacent kinase domain in the active site and prevents it from performing its activity (Figure 1B). When activated by increased Ca2+, Ca2+ ions loads into the EF-lobes, causing a structural change of CaM-LD. Meanwhile, the EF-lobes cling to the junction region, allowing the auto-inhibitor to be released. The kinase domain is then exposed and phosphorylated by an uncharacterized cellular kinase (Liese and Romeis 2013; Christodoulou et al., 2004; Klimecka and Muszy'nska, 2007) (Figure 1C). The activated CDPK can then recognize and phosphorylate its targets (Figure 1C).

CDPK proteins contain a variable N-terminal domain (VNTD) at the N- terminus (Hamel et al., 2014) (Figure 1A), which varies in amino acid sequence and length (Cheng et al.,

2002). Although there is little information about the function of the VNTD, recent studies suggest that this region is involved in substrate specificity (Ito et al., 2010; Asai et al., 2013). Most flowering plants CDPK VNTDs also have predicted N-myristoylation and S-palmitoylation sites, which promote protein targeting to lipid membranes (Boudsocq and Sheen 2013; Zuo et al., 2013; Hamel et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2015) (Figure 1A). The membrane-anchoring of a CDPK was first demonstrated experimentally in rice (Mart'n and Busconi 2000); however, this phenomenon has since been demonstrated for many Arabidopsis candidates, as well as CDPKs from other flowering plant species (Boudsocq and Sheen 2013; Liese and Romeis 2013). Importantly, CDPKs that are membrane-targeted can also move away from membranes in response to stress signals (Gao et al., 2013). This feature most likely allows CDPKs to shuttle between various subcellular compartments in order to perform a broader range of cellular functions.

# 5 Functional characterization of the CDPK family in plants

# 5.1 CDPKs in secondary metabolite production

CDPKs have been linked to the regulation of secondary metabolite production through phosphorylation of the enzyme PAL (phenylalanine ammoniumlyase) (Zhang and Liu, 2015). AtCPK1 could phosphorylate PAL in vitro, the first-step enzyme in Phe-derived phytoalexin biosynthesis. Overexpression of AtCPK1 in soybean cells causes the accumulation of phytoalexins, the antimicrobial compounds, indicating the involvement of AtCPK1 in defense (Cheng et al., 2001; Veremeichik et al., 2019). In addition, heterologous expression of AtCPK1 in Rubia cordifolia cells was found to have a strong stimulatory effect on anthraquinone (AQ) production (Shkryl et al., 2011). Furthermore, by WRKY33, phosphorylating the pathogen-responsive CPK5 and CPK6 in Arabidopsis regulate camalexin biosynthesis (Zhou et al., 2020). It was shown that phosphorylation of WRKY33 by MPK3/6 promotes its transactivation, whereas CPK5/CPK6 phosphorylation increases its DNA binding ability (Zhou et al., 2020), implying that CPKs and MAPKs may function synergistically (Yang et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). Aleynova-Shumakova et al. (2014) found that overexpression of VaCPK20 or VaCPK29 increased resveratrol production in Vitis amurensis cells whiles some CDPKs such as HbCPK9/15 are associated with latex biosynthesis (Zhu et al., 2010; Xiao et al., 2017). On the other hand, FaCDPK4 and FaCDPK11 have been linked to drought stress tolerance where ABA is used as a signaling molecule to increase phenolics, anthocyanins, ascorbic acid, and sugar compounds (Crizel et al., 2020).

# 5.2 CDPKs regulate nutrient transport in plants

It is well understood that nitrate (NO3-) availability causes extensive transcriptional changes, which are mediated in part by changes in [Ca<sup>2+</sup>] in various cellular compartments. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> induces distinct Ca<sup>2+</sup> signatures, culminating in the activation of CDPKs and CIPKs, which phosphorylate channels, metabolic enzymes, and transcription factors (Liu et al., 2020). AtCPK10/ AtCPK30/AtCPK32, for example, phosphorylates NIN-LIKE PROTEIN (NLP) transcription factors to modulate transcriptional responses to nitrate availability. An Arabidopsis triple-mutant of cpk10 cpk30 cpk32 3-day-old seedlings placed on a 3MBiP medium in the presence of 5 mM KNO<sub>3</sub> for 5-8 days exhibits down-regulation of nitrate-responsive genes as well as impaired cotyledon greening and expansion (Liu et al., 2017). The triple-mutant-specific deficiency of cotyledon and leaf expansion in response to nitrate may be partly correlated with decreased expression of CYP735A2 for trans-zeatin synthesis (Kiba et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2017).

One of the most important macronutrients in crop production is nitrogen. A. thaliana roots gain access to ammonium (NH4<sup>+</sup>) by promoting the expression of ammonium transporters (AMTs) of the Ammonium Transporter/methylammonium permease/rhesus (AMT/MEP/ Rh) protein superfamily (Loqué and von Wirén, 2004). AMTs are potent transporters with Km values in the micromolar (µM) range, exhibiting high substrate specificity for NH4+ and its methylated analog, methylammonium (MeA) (Loqué et al., 2006; Pantoja, 2012). Six AMTs have been identified in Arabidopsis. Of these AMT1; 1, AMT1; 2, and AMT1; 3, are the major transporters for high-affinity ammonium uptake into roots, with ammonium substrate affinities of 50, 234, and 61 µM respectively (Loqué et al., 2006; Yuan et al., 2007). AMT1; 1 and AMT1; 3 localized predominantly in the rhizodermal and cortical cells, form homo- and hetero-oligomers at the plasma membrane, and contribute 30-35% of the high-affinity ammonium uptake capacity (Yuan et al., 2007; Yuan et al., 2013). The function of AMT1:1 depends on CPK32-mediated phosphorylation at the non-conserved serine residue S450 in its C-terminal domain (Qin et al., 2020). The phosphorylated variant AMT1; 1<sup>S450E</sup> but not the non-phosphorylatable variant AMT1; 1<sup>S450A</sup> was found to wholly complement MeA insensitivity and restore increased <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> uptake in both *amt1;1* and cpk32 mutants in transgenic plants (Qin et al., 2020). This suggests that CDPK positively regulates the uptake of both nitrate and ammonium in plant roots.

### 5.3 CDPKs in plant development

Pollen tube growth, which involves ions  $(Ca^{2+}, H^+, K^+, and Cl^-)$  and water fluxes regulated by a calcium gradient at the tube

tip, is essential for successful fertilization. Some Arabidopsis CPKs are only expressed in pollen tubes, revealing major roles for CDPKs in this process (Gutermuth et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013). The CPK11-CPK24-SPIK pathway has also been identified in pollen tube cells (Zhao et al., 2013; Shi et al., 2018). Using patch-clamp analysis, it was discovered that an increased cytoplasmic Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration inhibited K<sup>+</sup> influx in pollen tube protoplasts. Among 16 examined pollen-tubeexpressed CDPKs, six AtCPK mutants differed significantly from wild-type plants in pollen germination or pollen tube growth (Zhao et al., 2013). The measurement of the K<sup>+</sup> influx currents in cpk11 and cpk24 mutants revealed that both CPK11 and CPK24 are required for Ca2+-dependent inhibition of K<sup>+</sup> influx channels and showed that these two CPKs function in the same pathway (Zhao et al., 2013). CPK11 can bind to and phosphorylate CPK24 in vivo (Shi et al., 2018). Further electrophysiological experiments revealed that the shaker pollen K+ influx channel (SPIK) may act as the substrate protein in this pathway, implying that CDPK can regulate pollen tube elongation through K<sup>+</sup> influx regulation (Zhao et al., 2013).

CDPKs have been implicated in mediating Ca2+-regulated pollen tube growth as an important node in Ca<sup>2+</sup> signaling pathways (Estruch et al., 1994; Moutinho et al., 1998). The influence of CPK in promoting pollen tube germination and growth was first found in maize (Zea mays), where inhibition of a pollen-specific CPK hindered both germination and polar growth (Estruch et al., 1994). Moreover, PiCDPK1 and PiCDPK2 have been shown to regulate pollen tube growth polarity and extension, respectively (Yoon et al., 2006). Genetic evidence has proven that CPKs are involved in pollen tube growth, AtCPK17 and AtCPK34 essentially help in maintaining pollen tube tip growth rate and facilitating response to tropism signals (Myers et al., 2009). Further research discovered two pollen-specific water and nonionic channels, NIP4; 1 and NIP4; 2, as substrates of AtCPK34 that regulate pollen germination and tube growth (DiGiorgio et al., 2016). A negative gradient of anions at the pollen tube tip, which has an inverse correlation with Ca2+ concentration, is also required for pollen tube growth and is maintained by the anion efflux transporter S-type anion channel SLOW ANION CHANNEL-ASSOCIATED 3 (SLAH3). CPK2 and CPK20 were reported to enhance pollen tube growth at the pollen tip by activating SLAH3 (Gutermuth et al., 2013). In addition, the cpk11/24 double mutant showed improved pollen tube growth but impaired Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent inhibition of inward K<sup>+</sup> channels, indicating that these CPKs negatively regulate pollen tube elongation (Zhao et al., 2013). The maize gene ZmCPK32 is expressed in pollen tube growth; ZmCPK32 has CPK activity and is localized to the plasma membrane and punctate internal membrane compartments. qPCR and in situ hybridization revealed that ZmCPK32 is expressed at high levels in mature pollen grains, suggesting that it may be functionally linked with pollen tube development (Li et al., 2018). Transient expression of ZmCPK32 in tobacco repressed pollen tube germination and growth, which depended on its kinase activity. Again, the constitutively active form of ZmCPK32 reduces seed germination rate, whereas cytosol-localized ZmCPK32 had a minor effect on pollen tube elongation (Li et al., 2018). These findings support the theory that different CPK members may be linked to different aspects of pollen tube growth.

CDPKs have been found in floral transition, which is initiated by the florigen complex. Florigen is made up of two transcription factors, flowering locus T and D (FT/FD), whose interaction is known to require Ca2+-dependent phosphorylation of FD on T282 by 14-3-3 proteins (Kawamoto et al., 2015b). In a screen of ten nuclear CPKs, AtCPK33 and AtCPK6 were identified as the major protein kinases interacting with and phosphorylating FD on T282 *in vitro*. However, single and double *cpk6*, 33 mutants showed only a weak delayed in flowering time when compared to the *fd* mutant (Kawamoto et al., 2015b), showing that additional CPKs are likely involved in this process. Transgenic lines expressing a dominant negative variant of *AtCPK33* that can interact with FD without phosphorylating it displayed a distinct late-flowering phenotype (Kawamoto et al., 2015a).

CDPKs are expressed in plant roots, stems, leaves, fruits, and seeds. IbCDPK28 expression levels increased significantly in sweet potato tuberous roots during tuberization, and may play a functional role in regulating tuberous root growth (Li et al., 2022). The expression of potato CDPK isoforms has been linked to the stolon-to-tuber transition, with StCDPK1 being involved in gibberellic acid (GA) signaling, indicating a potential role in tuberization (Gargantini et al., 2009). An integrated network centered on the small GTPase ROP (rho of plants) revealed a role for CDPKs in root hair development. The GDP dissociation inhibitor (RhoGDI) and the guanine nucleotide exchange factor (RopGEF) regulate the transition of ROP from GDP-bound inactive to GTP-bound active states (Yip Delormel and Boudsocq, 2019). ROP11 and RopGEF1 impede root hair development, whereas RhoGDI promotes it (Yip Delormel and Boudsocq, 2019). AtCPK3 has been shown to phosphorylate RhoGDI at three residues: S45/S48/T52 (Wu et al., 2013). Unlike a phosphodead variant, a phosphomimic variant, which had a higher affinity for ROP, could rescue the gdi mutant's defective root hair morphology, demonstrating a positive role of AtCPK3 by stimulating RhoGDI. Table 1 provides an overview of some identified CDPK genes in plants and their known biological functions.

# 5.4 Role of CDPKs in biotic and abiotic stress response

The major biological function of CDPKs is associated with plant response to abiotic and biotic stresses. Differential expression of CDPKs has been found in response to a variety

Gene	Organism	Sub localization	Gene function	References	
AtCPK32	Arabidopsis thaliana	Expressed in protoplast	Enhances ammonium uptake regulation in root	Qin et al. (2020)	
AtCPK33	Arabidopsis thaliana	Not identified	Induce stomatal closure	Wang et al. (2019)	
ZmCPK32	Zea mays	Plasma membrane	Regulate pollen tube growth and germination	Li et al. (2018)	
PnCDPK1	Pharbitis nil	Cytosol	Regulate flower development	Jaworski et al. (2012)	
НЬСРК9/15	Hevea brasiliensis	Cytoplasm	Implicated in ethylene-simulated latex production, induced abiotic stresses	Xiao et al. (2017)	
BdCDPK2/ 3/8	Brachypodium distachyon	Cytoplasm, chloroplast, mitochondrial	Involved in phytohormones signaling	Wen et al. (2020)	

TABLE 1 CDPKs and their biological functions.

of stimuli, including ABA, drought, salinity, cold, pathogens, and wounding (Ray et al., 2007; Romeis et al., 2001; Wan et al., 2007). In rice, stress-responsive cis-elements have been identified as the promoters of its CDPK genes (Wan et al., 2007). Table 2 shows some known CDPKs involved in signal transduction pathways leading to various stress responses.

#### 5.4.1 Abscisic acid (ABA) stress response

ABA acts as a chemical signal in response to environmental stresses, triggering the activation of numerous physiological and developmental processes in plants adaptation to stress conditions (Finkelstein et al., 2002; Ton et al., 2009). Several studies have recently described a group of CDPKs as parts of the ABA signaling pathway controlling plant responses to abiotic stresses. For example, AtCPK32 binds to and phosphorylates the ABA-responsive transcription factor ABF4, and AtCPK32overexpressing plants showed a hypersensitive phenotype to ABA (Choi et al., 2005). As mentioned above, AtCPK23, together with AtCPK21, regulates the anion channel SLAC1 and SLAH3 to control ABA-mediated stomatal movement (Geiger et al., 2010), where the ABA- receptor RCAR1-ABI1 pathway regulates AtCPK21 expression (Geiger et al., 2011). Moreover, AtCPK3 and AtCPK6 are both positive regulators of ABA signaling in stomatal movement (Mehlmer et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2010), while AtCPK6 plays a positive role in regulating methyl jasmonate signaling in guard cells resulting in stomatal closure (Ye et al., 2013). The Arabidopsis mutants lacking AtCPK10 expression were impaired in their ability to inhibit ABA-induced stomatal opening (Zou et al., 2010). Additionally, AtCPK12 interacts with, phosphorylates, and activates the type 2C protein phosphatase ABI2 and two ABA-dependent transcription factors ABF1 and ABF4 (Zhao et al., 2011). ABF1 and ABF4 are ABA-dependent, simple leucine zipper transcription factors that play a positive role in ABA signal transduction (Choi et al., 2000). However, ABI2 plays a negative role in ABA signal transduction (Cutler et al., 2010). When AtCPK12 is downregulated, AtCPK12-induced stimulation of ABI2 phosphatase activity can be abolished, whereas when AtCPK12 is upregulated, AtCPK12-induced repression of transcription factors ABF1 and ABF4 can be relieved. As a result, ABA signaling can be enhanced in both cases, which may explain why both *AtCPK12* overexpression and RNAi lines exhibit ABA hypersensitive phenotypes (Zhao et al., 2011). Exogenous ABA treatment increased the expression of *BrrCDPK38/42* and *FaCDPK4/11* in *Brassica* and *Fragaria*, respectively (Wang et al., 2017; Crizel et al., 2020). In rice, *OsCPK14* and *OsCPK21* interact with and phosphorylate *OsDi19–4* transcription factor (Wang et al., 2016) and 14–3-3 protein (*OsGF14e*) (Chen et al., 2017), respectively, and positively regulate ABA signaling and other abiotic factors.

#### 5.4.2 Drought stress response

Drought is one of several factors affecting crop production and productivity in developing countries (Aravind et al., 2017). The effects of drought stress on plants include reduction in water potential, closure of stomata due to increased accumulation of abscisic acid (ABA), and reduced photosynthesis, biomass, and grain yield (Shikha et al., 2017; Van Gioi et al., 2017). To minimize the adverse effects of drought, the role of CDPKs in regulating plant stress adaptation was investigated to gain more insight into their signaling processes. CPK33 interferes with stomatal closure and slow anion currents (Li et al., 2016). Drought stress and ABA treatment resulted in two unique cpk33 mutants with significantly smaller stomatal apertures than wild-type plants, as well as drought tolerance and increased slow anion channel activity. CPK33 over-expression lines displayed the reverse phenotypes as mutants, including impaired stomatal closure, increased water loss, and reduced drought tolerance. It was discovered that thiamine thiazole synthase 1 (THI1) physically interacts with CPK33 (Li et al., 2016). THI1 inhibited CPK33 auto-phosphorylation activity in an in vitro kinase assay, which is consistent with the phenotypes of THI1 over-expression lines. Similarly, AtCPK8 has been shown to have positive functions in ABA- and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>mediated stomatal movement in response to water deficit, leading to stomatal closure (Zou et al., 2015). Under drought stress, Arabidopsis CPK23 and CPK21 phosphorylate and activate SLAC1 which leads to membrane depolarization and

Gene	Organism	Treatment (conc./ method)	Reagent/ organism	Sub localization	Biotic and abiotic stress response	References
OsCPK24	Oryza sativa	4°C	Cold	Cytosol	Enhance cold tolerance	Liu et al. (2018)
OsCPK17	Oryza sativa	4/5°C	Cold	Golgi or Trans-Golgi network (TGN)	Enhance cold tolerance	Almadanim et al. (2017)
OsCPK21	Oryza sativa	200 mM, 1 μM	NaCl, ABA	Plasma membrane, cytoplasm	Modulate abscisic acid and salt stress responses, promote growth and development	Chen et al. (2017)
OsCPK4	Oryza sativa	100 mM, 20% PEG	NaCl, PEG 8000	Plasma membrane	Enhance salt an d drought stress tolerance	Campo et al. (2014)
ZmCPK1	Zea mays	4 °C	Cold	Expressed in mesophyll protoplasts	Negatively regulate cold stress signaling	Weckwerth et al. (2015)
CgCDPK	Chenopodium glaucum	300 mM, 20% PEG	NaCl, PEG 6000	Plasma membrane	Respond positively to salt and drought stress	Wang J. et al., (2017)
ClCDPK14	Citrullus lanatus	300 mM, 4 °C, unwatered	NaCl, cold, drought	Not identified	Promote cold, drought, and salt tolerance	Wei et al. (2019)
GhCPK55/ 96	Gossypium hirsutum	400 mM	NaCl	Plasma membrane	Salt stress tolerance	Gao et al., (2018)
FaCDPK1/ 3/4	Fragaria ananassa	80 mM, 200 μM, unwatered	NaCl, ABA, drought	Chloroplast, nucleus, and cytoplasm	Trigger tolerance to salt, drought, ABA stress. Promote fruit development and ripening	Crizel et al. (2020)
StCDPK3/ 23	Solanum tuberosum	200 mM	Mannitol	Plasma membrane	Induce photosensitivity and responsive to drought and hormone stimuli	Bi et al. (2021)
VpCDPK6/ 9	Vitis pseudoreticulata	300 mM, 4 °C, 42 °C, inoculation	Salt, cold, high temperature, <i>Erysiphe necator</i>	Plasma membrane, nucleus, cytosol	Immune stress response, salt, cold, high-temperature regulation	(Zhang et al., 2015); (Bredow et al., 2020); (Matschi et al., 2015); (Monaghan et al., 2014)
ТаСРК4	Triticum aestivum	200 mM, 4 °C, 5 μM	NaCl, cold, GA	Cytosol and the nucleus	Respond to salt, cold, ABA and GA stress, pathogen defense	Li et al. (2008)
StCDPK7	Solanum tuberosum	25 sporangia/μl	Phytophthora zoospores	Cytosolic or nuclear	Confers pathogen resistance	Fantino et al. (2017)
AtCPK3/13	Arabidopsis thaliana	Second-and third- instar larvae	Spodoptera littoralis	Nuclear, cytosolic, and plasma membrane	Defense response against insect herbivory invasion	(Dammann et al., 2003); (Kanchiswamy et al., 2010)
AtCPK1	Arabidopsis thaliana	10 <sup>6</sup> spores/ml, 10 <sup>5</sup> cfu/ml	F. oxysporum, B. cinerea, Pto DC3000	Lipid bodies and peroxisomes	Confers pathogen resistance	Coca and San Segundo, (2010)
AtCPK5	Arabidopsis thaliana	10 <sup>4</sup> cfu/ml	PtoDC3000	Expressed in mesophyll protoplasts	Pathogen defense	Seybold et al. (2019)
AtCPK28	Arabidopsis thaliana	100 μM, larvae feeding	CaCl <sub>2</sub> , Spodoptera littoralis	Plasma membrane	Involve in defense signaling, contributes to plant growth and development	(Bredow et al., 2020); (Matschi et al., 2015); (Monaghan et al., 2014)

#### TABLE 2 Physiological role of CDPKs in plants and their subcellular location under biotic and abiotic stresses.

cfu, Colony-forming unit; PEG, polyethylene glycol; PtoDC3000, P. syringae pv. Tomato; GA, Gibberellic acid; ABAAbscisic acid.

activation of the  $K^+$  release channel GORK (Geiger et al., 2011). These changes eventually result in the closure of stomata and reduction or even cessation of transpiration for the adaptation to drought (Geiger et al., 2010) (Figure 2).

The expression of CDPKs in enhancing drought tolerance has been confirmed in several plant species. In ginger (*Zingiber* officinale), its *ZoCDPK1* positively regulates signaling pathways in the response to drought and salt stress in a DRE/CRTindependent manner (Vivek et al., 2013). Overexpression of *ZoCDPK1* in tobacco plants increases the expression level of the stress-related genes ERD1 (EARLY RESPONSIVE TO DEHYDRATION 1) and RD21A (RESPONSIVE TO DEHYDRATION 21A), conferring drought stress tolerance. The rice *OsCPK10* promotes drought tolerance by protecting cell membranes through enhanced ability to remove toxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Fang et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2015). *ZmCPK12* is strongly induced by drought in maize seedlings, and overexpression of *ZmCPK12* in *Arabidopsis* improved plant survival during drought (Wang and Song, 2013). Also, *Populus euphratica PeCPK10* improves drought



*OSCPK21* phosphorylates (P) *OsP5CS-1* to trigger proline production and also interacts with *OsGrx10's* leading to glutathione generation which detoxifies reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Liu et al., 2018).

tolerance by activating drought-dependent genes such as RD22, COR15A, UBQ1, etc., and stimulates the expression of numerous ABA-dependent genes (AB11, AB15, NF-YB7, etc.) when overexpressed in *Arabidopsis* (Chen et al., 2013) (Figure 2).

In terms of transcriptional control of CDPKs under drought conditions, cis-elements in their promoters play an essential role. Many Glycine max GmCDPKs carry multiple stress-responsible cis-elements; moreover, most paralogs show little concordance in the distribution of cis-elements, suggesting that the promoters of paralogous GmCDPKs diverged after genome duplication events (Hettenhausen et al., 2016). Further investigation of the droughtinducible GmCDPK genes revealed the presence of ABREs (ABA response elements) and/or MBS (MYB binding sites) in their promoters, and nearly 80% of all GmCDPKs responded to either drought, ABA, or both (Hettenhausen et al., 2016). Functional analysis of turnip (Brassica rapa) BrrCDPKs revealed the upregulation of many turnip CDPKs under various stress conditions, including drought stress (Wang et al., 2017). In cereals such as foxtail millet (Setaria italica), studies of the CDPK gene family revealed 13 and 11 SiCDPKs were up-and down-regulated, respectively, under drought, and most SiCDPKs were up-regulated by ABA, except *SiCDPK4* and *SiCDPK20* (Yu et al., 2018).

#### 5.4.3 Salt stress response

Soil salinity has emerged as a major threat to global crop production, affecting more than 800 million hectares of cropland, representing over 6% of the world's land area (Munns and Tester, 2008). Salinity causes osmotic stress and decreases water uptake by plants (Liu et al., 2015). In addition, salinity also causes overaccumulation of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions which creates an ionic imbalance in the plant. Plants normally mitigate the lethal effects of elevated Na<sup>+</sup> in cells through two mechanisms: Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion and Na<sup>+</sup> sequestration (Nongpiur et al., 2016). Calcium acts as a second messenger in activating CDPKs gene expression, leading to the synthesis of compatible solutes to stop the deleterious effects of elevated Na+ in the cytoplasm (Mahajan et al., 2008). CPK13 prevents stomata opening through its inhibition of guard cell-expressed KAT2 (K<sup>+</sup> transporter 2) and KAT1 (K<sup>+</sup> transporter 1) channels (Ronzier et al., 2014). CPK12 on the other hand confers salt tolerance by regulating ion homeostasis and H2O2 production in roots, whilst downregulation of CPK12 led to high salt sensitivity in seedling growth and accumulation of high levels of Na<sup>+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Zhang et al., 2018). Moreover, Negrão et al. (2011) discovered that *OsCPK17* co-localized with four QTLs that correlate with ion homeostasis and salinity response. In addition, a potential overlap has been found between the signaling networks of salt stress and ethylene in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). *GhCPKs* can be upregulated within an hour by ethephon (ETH) treatment under salt stress (Gao et al., 2018).

Rice has two positive regulators of salt tolerance: OsCPK7 and OsCPK12. OsCPK7 is mostly expressed in vascular bundles, where water stress is most severe when rice plants are stressed by salt and drought. Transgenic rice overexpressing OsCPK7 displayed increased salt resistance as well as increased induction of some stress-responsive genes, such as rab16A (Saijo et al., 2001). OsCPK12 promotes salt stress responses by upregulating ROS-scavenging enzymes (OsAPx2 and OsAPx8) and suppressing stress-induced ROS overproduction. OsCPK12 expression is linked to salt tolerance in rice, with mutations and RNA interference silencing resulting in a decreased tolerance to salt stress (Asano et al., 2012b). In the meantime, overexpression of OsCPK4 in rice induces the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism and protection against oxidative stress under salt stress (Campo et al., 2014). According to Xu et al. (2010), high salt concentration is lethal to wild-type Arabidopsis plants, but transgenic lines overexpressing AtCPK6 accumulate more proline and less Malondialdehyde (MDA) which depicts improved stress tolerance (Xu et al., 2010). OsCPK21 interacts with Os14-3-3 (OsGF14e) to positively take part in ABA signaling and salt stress, partly by phosphorylating Try-138 (Chen et al., 2017) as indicated in (Figure 2). Other CDPKs identified in salt stress regulation in plants species include; ClCDPK6 in watermelon and its ortholog CsCDPK14 in cucumber (Xu et al., 2015; Wei et al., 2019) and VpCDPK9 in grape (Zhang et al., 2015).

#### 5.4.4 Cold stress response

Low temperatures are a major obstacle to the expansion of cultivated areas of some subtropical and tropical crops such as rubber (Hevea brasiliensis). Understanding plant response and adaptation would contribute to the development of cold-tolerant crops. The rubber, HbCPK (Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensors) is downregulated after exposure to low temperatures (Xiao et al., 2017). Similarly, low temperatures control the expression of several CDPKs in A. thaliana, O. sativa, and P. trichocarpa (Xiao et al., 2017). In Brachypodium distachyon, the expression patterns of BdCDPKs vary with different gene members in response to cold and heat stress where several are down-regulated shortly after treatment and four (BdCDPK16/23/25/30) being strongly induced, suggesting that some BdCDPKs function early, while others respond late (Wen et al., 2020). Cold stress increased or decreased the expression of the ZmCPK1 and ZmCPK25 genes in maize. ZmCPK1 has an inverse relation with the regulation of the cold stress signaling mechanism. Studies on transgenic *Arabidopsis* also revealed that *ZmCPK1* negatively regulates the expression of ethylene response factor (*ZmERF3*) genes and impairs cold stress tolerance (Weckwerth et al., 2015).

Several studies have shown that cold-induced expression of CDPKs could lead to a slowdown of cellular metabolism or mounting protection against cold stress. In rice, Ma et al. (2015) discovered that the perception of low temperature is associated with changes in Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx and that this transition is regulated by COLD1, a G-protein signaling regulator. The rice OsCDPK13 and OsCPK17 are considered essential signaling components in cold response (Komatsu et al., 2007; Almadanim et al., 2017), and OsCPK17 relays cold stress signals and negatively regulates overall metabolism by reducing the activity of enzymes involved in sugar and nitrogen metabolism (Almadanim et al., 2017). On the other hand, overexpression of OsCPK24 in rice significantly increased proline and glutathione contents during cold treatment likely through up-regulating proline synthetase gene OsP5CS-1 and promoting Ca2+-responsive thioltransferase activity of OsGrx10 (Liu et al., 2018), as shown in (Figure 2). Both proline and glutathione are well-known protectants against stress because proline contributes to cellular osmotic changes while glutathione helps detoxify ROS (Verbruggen and Hermans, 2008; Hayat et al., 2012). PeCPK10 in Populus euphratica (Chen et al., 2013) and VaCPK20 in Vitis amurensis (Dubrovina et al., 2015) have also been described as positive regulators of cold stress tolerance.

#### 5.4.5 Defense against pathogens/diseases

Plants have evolved a multilayered inducible immune system that ensures their survival when attacked by microbial pathogens, including recognition and response to the threat or danger. Intracellular immune responses are rapidly mediated by receptor-mediated perceptions, manifested by adjustments in ion fluxes across membranes, transcriptional reprogramming, and activation of phosphorylation cascades (Romeis and Herde, 2014), where CDPKs and one of their substrates Rboh are involved (Kobayashi et al., 2012; Yoshioka et al., 2016). Wang et al. (2017) discovered that Pseudomonas syringae treatment in tomato (pstDC3000) increased the expression of most CDPKs. Phytohormones such as ET, JA, and SA with functional roles in biotic stress response can trigger the expression of some BrrCDPKs, and the expression of BrrCDPK4/10/17 was two times higher by pstDC3000 infection (Dempsey et al., 1999; van Loon et al., 2006). Both hormones and pstDC3000 infection also upregulate BrrRbohD1/2 expression (Figure 3), indicating the involvement and the interaction between BrrCDPK4/10/17 and BrrRbohD1/D2 in plant resistance against pstDC3000 (Wang et al., 2017).

The potato and tobacco orthologs of *StCDPK7* and *NtCDPK2* play functional roles in plant defense responses triggered by fungal elicitors (Romeis et al., 2001; Coca and San Segundo, 2010). Other CDPKs involved in the plant response to



#### FIGURE 3

Functions of CDPKs in defending crops against pathogens and herbivore attacks. Upon sensing biotic stimuli or danger, the production of  $Ca^{2+}$  increases leading to the activation of various CDPKs responsible for inducing pathogen or herbivory resistance. *StCDPK5* phosphorylates *StRBOH* to regulate ROS activity hence conferring defense response (Kobayashi et al., 2007), likewise, *StCDPK7* phosphorylates *StPAL* to induce defense against *Phytophthora infestans* (Fantino et al., 2017). *BrCDPKs* interact with *BrrBohD1/2* to repress the reproduction of *pstDC3000* (Wang et al., 2017). Elevated cytosolic  $Ca^{2+}$  triggers the production of *LeCDPK2* upon wounding which phosphorylates (**P**) *LeASC2* leading to the generation and accumulation of ethylene and finally the induction of defense-related genes for protection (Kamiyoshihara et al., 2010). *AtCPK3/13* also responds to wounding by phosphorylating (**P**) *HsFB2a* thereby regulating the transcript level of the PDF1.2 to induce resistance against *Spodoptera littoralis* attacks (Kanchiswamy et al., 2010).

Phytophthora infestans in potato, probably target different proteins depending on their subcellular localization. StCDPK5 is localized in the plasma membrane and phosphorylates StRBOH regulating ROS activity (Kobayashi et al., 2007). Overexpression of StCDPK5 in potato results in tolerance to the hemibiotrophic pathogen P. infestans but enhances susceptibility to the necrotrophic pathogen Alternaria solani (Kobayashi et al., 2012). Besides that, StCDPK7 phosphorylates StPAL1 in vitro. Both proteins are cytosolically localized and up-regulated in response to P. infestans infection, and phosphorylation of *StPAL1* mediated by *StCDPK7* may affect PAL activity and localization associated with defense response (Fantino et al., 2017). Figure 3 summarizes the function of CDPKs in plant defense against pathogenic diseases. Future research will be required to determine whether the different CDPKs communicate with each other to control the projection of defense signals and plant resistance.

# 5.4.6 Wounding stress and protection against herbivory attacks

After herbivore feeding, there is a significant  $Ca^{2+}$  influx restricted to some cell layers in the damaged zone (Maffei et al., 2007). Phosphorylation cascade mediated by mitogenactivated protein kinases (MAP) and JA pathway is used to mediate these initial signals from insect attack within the plant (Howe and Jander, 2008). Kanchiswamy et al. (2010)

identified the involvement of two Arabidopsis CPKs (CPK3 and CPK13) in the herbivory-induced signaling network through heat shock factor (HsfB2a)-mediated regulation of the defense-related transcriptional pathway. Mutant plants of cpk3 and cpk13 exposed to insect attack (Spodoptera littoralis) had lower transcript levels of PDF1.2 compared with wild-type plants, as shown in (Figure 3). It is shown that AtCPK3 can be activated by flg22 in protoplasts (Mehlmer et al., 2010) and induces the expression of the flg22-responsive gene NHL10 (Boudsocq et al., 2010). The activation of defense responses mediated by AtCPK3 and AtCPK13 is independent of phytohormone signaling pathways (Kanchiswamy et al., 2010). In comparison, LeCDPK2 in tomato phosphorylates the ethylene biosynthetic enzyme LeACS2 at the same site, that is, phosphorylated in vivo after wounding, indicating that LeCDPK2 plays a role in ethylene production in response to wounding (Kamiyoshihara et al., 2010) (Figure 3). Wounding also activates extracellular alkalinization by interfering with plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup> -ATPase, which in tomato is mediated by membrane-anchored LeCPK1 (Rutschmann et al., 2002; Boudsocq and Sheen, 2013).

However, the first confirmation that CDPKs affect herbivore performance in plants was recently obtained in *Nicotiana attenuate*, where knockdown of *NaCDPK4* and *NaCDPK5* by virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) increased JA and JA-Ile levels and distorted formation of secondary protective metabolites, leading to improved immunity to feeding by the specialized insect Manduca sexta (Yang et al., 2012; Hettenhausen et al., 2013). Interestingly, NaCDPK4/5 silenced plants also showed shortened stem elongation (Heinrich et al., 2013), which is identical to the cpk28 Arabidopsis single mutant (the closest homologs of AtCPK28 in N. attenuate are NaCDPK4 and NaCDPK5). Reduced stem elongation in cpk28 mutants is characterized by increased secondary growth, altered vascular architecture, and lignification (Matschi et al., 2013), suggesting that AtCPK28 activity may strongly influence developmental processes rather than specific defense-related phytohormones signaling pathways (Schulz et al., 2013). AtCPK28 explicitly phosphorylate BOTRYTIS-INDUCED KINASE1 (BIK1), a kinase required for PAMP-induced ignition of defense signaling (Matschi et al., 2015).

# 6 Negative signaling behavior of the CDPK gene family in some crops

Several CDPKs have been shown to play deleterious roles in plant protection. *HvCDPK3* promotes powdery mildew fungus invasion of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) host cells in both compatible and incompatible interactions, while transient expression of constitutively active *HvCDPK4* triggered a cell death response in *N. benthamiana* and *H. vulgare* (Freymark et al., 2007). Overexpression of *OsCPK12* renders rice susceptible to both virulent and avirulent tuber leaf fungi, possibly due to ABA hypersensitivity and a decrease in ROS production (Asano et al., 2012b). When *OsCPK13* is ectopically expressed in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*), it results in cell death, PR protein aggregation, and upregulation of certain protective genes (Mall et al., 2011).

# 7 Conclusion

Calcium-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs) in plants have received considerable attention in recent years because of their role in signal transduction in response to adverse conditions. Under stress conditions, various  $Ca^{2+}$  signals are generated, which are identified and decoded by specific  $Ca^{2+}$ transducers. This triggers various physiological and biochemical responses in the plant. However, the functional specificity of the different CDPK isoforms derives from their ability to recognize  $Ca^{2+}$  signals and bind  $Ca^{2+}$  with different affinities, interact with different proteins, and bind precise and exclusive substrates at different subcellular sites. This versatility is critical because plants in the field are very often exposed to a range of different biotic and abiotic stresses. Recent research has shown that plant responses to a mixture of different biotic and abiotic stressors are unique and cannot be directly inferred from the study of individual stressors. Such considerations are critical if we are to develop plants that are more resilient to more than one stress in order to reduce the adverse effects of expected global climate change on agricultural productivity worldwide.

Over the years, the focus has been on the study of biotic and abiotic stress tolerance in plants, including pathogens and herbivores defenses, hormonal signaling, drought, salt, and cold resistance, and other plant developmental processes. Despite extensive studies on the expression profiles of CDPK genes; their precise role in regulating adverse stress transduction pathways remains unclear. Considering the importance of CDPK genes in crop production, the developed transgenic plants should be tested in commercial fields to explore their interaction with an open environment. The positive functional role of CDPK genes in higher plants such as trees (rubber tree), legumes (soybean), tubers (potato), cereals (rice, maize), ginger, tomato, and others provide a solid foundation for future research to explore and exploit their full utility in food crops to mitigate the adverse effects of biotic and abiotic stresses. Progress in this area will help minimize the high cost of control measures associated with biotic stress in agriculture, resulting in high economic returns for industry players.

## Author contributions

SDD and ZB developed the concept and prepared the original draft, RD, YW, FUH, CS, and PY reviewed and edited the manuscript, JB secured funding. All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

# Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31960442, 32060502), the Special Fund for Discipline Construction of Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou China (GAU-XKJS-2018-084), China Agriculture Potato Research System of MOF and MARA (CARS-09-P14), the Gansu Provincial Science and Technology Department (120YF8WA137). Provided funds for drafting the manuscript and publication.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

## References

Aleynova-Shumakova, O., Dubrovina, A., Manyakhin, A., Karetin, Y., and Kiselev, K. (2014). VaCPK20 gene overexpression significantly increased resveratrol content and expression of stilbene synthase genes in cell cultures of Vitis amurensis Rupr. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 98, 5541–5549. doi:10.1007/ s00253-014-5625-7

Almadanim, M. C., Alexandre, B. M., Rosa, M. T., Sapeta, H., Leitão, A. E., Ramalho, J. C., et al. (2017). Rice calcium-dependent protein kinase *OsCPK17* targets plasma membrane intrinsic protein and sucrose-phosphate synthase and is required for a proper cold stress response. *Plant Cell. Environ.* 40, 1197–1213. doi:10.1111/pce.12916

Aravind, J., Rinku, S., Pooja, B., Shikha, M., Kaliyugam, S., Mallikarjuna, M. G., et al. (2017). Identification, characterization, and functional validation of droughtresponsive MicroRNAs in subtropical maize inbreds. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 941. doi:10. 3389/fpls.2017.00941

Asai, S., Ichikawa, T., Nomura, H., Kobayashi, M., Kamiyoshihara, Y., Mori, H., et al. (2013). The variable domain of a plant calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK) confers subcellular localization and substrate recognition for NADPH oxidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 14332–14340. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.448910

Asano, T., Hayashi, N., Kikuchi, S., and Ohsugi, R. (2012a). CDPK-mediated abiotic stress signaling. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 7, 817-821. doi:10.4161/psb.20351

Asano, T., Hakata, M., Nakamura, H., Aoki, N., Komatsu, S., Ichikawa, H., et al. (2011). Functional characterisation of *OsCPK21*, a calcium-dependent protein kinase that confers salt tolerance in rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 75, 179–191. doi:10. 1007/s11103-010-9717-1

Asano, T., Hayashi, N., Kobayashi, M., Aoki, N., Miyao, A., Mitsuhara, I., et al. (2012b). A rice calcium-dependent protein kinase *OsCPK12* oppositely modulates salt-stress tolerance and blast disease resistance. *Plant J.* 69, 26–36. doi:10.1111/j. 1365-313X.2011.04766.x

Batistič, O., and Kudla, J. (2012). Analysis of calcium signaling pathways in plants. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1820, 1283–1293. doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2011. 10.012

Bi, Z., Wang, Y., Li, P., Sun, C., Qin, T., and Bai, J. (2021). Evolution and expression analysis of CDPK genes under drought stress in two varieties of potato. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 43, 511–521. doi:10.1007/s10529-020-03037-2

Billker, O., Lourido, S., and Sibley, L. D. (2009). Calcium-dependent signaling and kinases in apicomplexan parasites. *Cell. Host Microbe* 5, 612–622. doi:10.1016/j. chom.2009.05.017

Blum, A. (1996). "Crop responses to drought and the interpretation of adaptation," in *Drought tolerance in higher plants: Genetical, physiological and molecular biological analysis* (Berlin, Germany: Springer), 57–70.

Boudsocq, M., and Sheen, J. (2013). CDPKs in immune and stress signaling. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 30–40. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2012.08.008

Boudsocq, M., Willmann, M. R., McCormack, M., Lee, H., Shan, L., He, P., et al. (2010). Differential innate immune signalling via  $Ca^{(2+)}$  sensor protein kinases. *Nature* 464, 418–422. doi:10.1038/nature08794

Bredow, M., Bender, K. W., Dingee, A. J., Holmes, D. R., Thomson, A., Ciren, D., et al. (2020). Phosphorylation-dependent sub-functionalization of the calcium-dependent protein kinase CPK28. *J. bioRxiv* 118, e2024272118. doi:10.1073/pnas. 2024272118

Campo, S., Baldrich, P., Messeguer, J., Lalanne, E., Coca, M., and San Segundo, B. (2014). Overexpression of a calcium-dependent protein kinase confers salt and drought tolerance in rice by preventing membrane lipid peroxidation. *Plant Physiol.* 165, 688–704. doi:10.1104/pp.113.230268

Chandran, V., Stollar, E. J., Lindorff-Larsen, K., Harper, J. F., Chazin, W. J., Dobson, C. M., et al. (2006). Structure of the regulatory apparatus of a calciumdependent protein kinase (CDPK): A novel mode of calmodulin-target recognition. *J. Mol. Biol.* 357, 400–410. [CrossRef] [PubMed]. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2005.11.093

Chen, F., Fasoli, M., Tornielli, G. B., Dal Santo, S., Pezzotti, M., Zhang, L., et al. (2013). The evolutionary history and diverse physiological roles of the grapevine calcium dependent protein kinase gene family. *PLoS ONE* 8 (12), e80818. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0080818

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Chen, J., Xue, B., Xia, X., and Yin, W. J. (2013). A novel calcium-dependent protein kinase gene from *Populus euphratica*, confers both drought and cold stress tolerance. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 441, 630–636. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2013. 10.103

Chen, Y., Zhou, X., Chang, S., Chu, Z., Wang, H., Han, S., et al. (2017). Calciumdependent protein kinase 21 phosphorylates 14-3-3 proteins in response to ABA signaling and salt stress in rice. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 493, 1450–1456. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.09.166

Cheng, S.-H., Sheen, J., Gerrish, C., and Bolwell, G. P. (2001). Molecular identification of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase as a substrate of a specific constitutively active *Arabidopsis* CDPK expressed in maize protoplasts. *FEBS Lett.* 503, 185–188. doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(01)02732-6

Cheng, S.-H., Willmann, M. R., Chen, H.-C., and Sheen, J. (2002). Calcium signaling through protein kinases. The *Arabidopsis* calcium-dependent protein kinase gene family. *Plant Physiol.* 129, 469–485. doi:10.1104/pp.005645

Chico, J. M., Raices, M., Téllez-Iñón, M. a. T., and Ulloa, R. M. (2002). A calciumdependent protein kinase is systemically induced upon wounding in tomato plants. *Plant Physiol.* 128, 256–270. doi:10.1104/pp.128.1.256

Choi, H.-i., Hong, J.-h., Ha, J.-o., Kang, J.-y., and Kim, S. Y. (2000). ABFs, a family of ABA-responsive element binding factors. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 1723–1730. doi:10. 1074/jbc.275.3.1723

Choi, H. I., Park, H. J., Park, J. H., Kim, S., Im, M. Y., Seo, H. H., et al. (2005). *Arabidopsis* calcium-dependent protein kinase *AtCPK32* interacts with ABF4, a transcriptional regulator of abscisic acid-responsive gene expression, and modulates its activity. *Plant Physiol.* 139, 1750–1761. doi:10.1104/pp.105.069757

Christodoulou, J., Malmendal, A., Harper, J. F., and Chazin, W. J. (2004). Evidence for differing roles for each lobe of the calmodulin-like domain in a calcium-dependent protein kinase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 29092–29100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]. doi:10.1074/jbc.M401297200

Claeys, H., and Inzé, D. (2013). The agony of choice: How plants balance growth and survival under water-limiting conditions. *Plant Physiol.* 162, 1768–1779. doi:10. 1104/pp.113.220921

Coca, M., and San Segundo, B. (2010). AtCPK1 calcium-dependent protein kinase mediates pathogen resistance in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 63, 526–540. doi:10. 1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04255.x

Crizel, R. L., Perin, E. C., Vighi, I. L., Woloski, R., Seixas, A., da Silva Pinto, L., et al. (2020). Genome-wide identification and characterization of the CDPK gene family reveal their involvement in abiotic stress response in *Fragaria x ananassa*. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 11040–11117. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-67957-9

Cutler, S. R., Rodriguez, P. L., Finkelstein, R. R., and Abrams, S. R. (2010). Abscisic acid: Emergence of a core signaling network. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 61, 651–679. doi:10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112122

Dammann, C., Ichida, A., Hong, B., Romanowsky, S. M., Hrabak, E. M., Harmon, A. C., et al. (2003). Subcellular targeting of nine calcium-dependent protein kinase isoforms from Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 132, 1840–1848. doi:10.1104/pp.103. 020008

Dekomah, S. D., Wang, Y., Qin, T., Xu, D., Sun, C., Yao, P., et al. (2022). Identification and expression analysis of calcium-dependent protein kinases gene family in potato under drought stress. *Front. Genet.* 13, 874397. doi:10.3389/fgene. 2022.874397

Dempsey, D. M. A., Shah, J., and Klessig, D. F. (1999). Salicylic acid and disease resistance in plants. CRC. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 18, 547–575. doi:10.1016/s0735-2689(99)00391-3

DiGiorgio, J. A., Bienert, G. P., Ayub, N. D., Yaneff, A., Barberini, M. L., Mecchia, M. A., et al. (2016). Pollen-specific aquaporins NIP4;1 and NIP4;2 are required for pollen development and pollination in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell*. 28 (5), 1053–1077. doi:10.1105/tpc.15.00776

Dubrovina, A. S., Kiselev, K. V., Khristenko, V. S., and Aleynova, O. A. (2015). *VaCPK20*, a calcium-dependent protein kinase gene of wild grapevine *Vitis amurensis* Rupr., mediates cold and drought stress tolerance. J. Plant Physiol. 185, 1–12. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2015.05.020 Estruch, J. J., Kadwell, S., Merlin, E., and Crossland, L. (1994). Cloning and characterization of a maize pollen-specific calcium-dependent calmodulinindependent protein kinase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 91 (19), 8837–8841. PMID:8090732. doi:10.1073/pnas.91.19.8837

Fang, Y., Liao, K., Du, H., Xu, Y., Song, H., Li, X., et al. (2015). A stress-responsive NAC transcription factor SNAC3 confers heat and drought tolerance through modulation of reactive oxygen species in rice. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 6803–6817. doi:10. 1093/jxb/erv386

Fantino, E., Segretin, M. E., Santin, F., Mirkin, F. G., and Ulloa, R. M. (2017). Analysis of the potato calcium-dependent protein kinase family and characterization of *StCDPK7*, a member induced upon infection with *Phytophthora infestans. Plant Cell. Rep.* 36, 1137–1157. doi:10.1007/s00299-017-2144-x

Finkelstein, R. R., Gampala, S. S., and Rock, C. D. (2002). Abscisic acid signaling in seeds and seedlings. *Plant Cell.* 14, S15–S45. doi:10.1105/tpc.010441

Freymark, G., Diehl, T., Miklis, M., Romeis, T., and Panstruga, R. (2007). Antagonistic control of powdery mildew host cell entry by barley calciumdependent protein kinases (CDPKs). *Mol. Plant. Microbe. Interact.* 20, 1213–1221. doi:10.1094/MPMI-20-10-1213

Gao, W., Xu, F.-C., Guo, D.-D., Zhao, J.-R., Liu, J., Guo, Y.-W., et al. (2018). Calcium-dependent protein kinases in cotton: Insights into early plant responses to salt stress. *BMC Plant Biol.* 18, 15. doi:10.1186/s12870-018-1230-8

Gao, X., Chen, X., Lin, W., Chen, S., Lu, D., Niu, Y., et al. (2013). Bifurcation of *Arabidopsis* NLR immune signaling via Ca2+-dependent protein kinases. *PLoS Pathog.* 9, e1003127. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003127

Gargantini, P. R., Giammaria, V., Grandellis, C., Feingold, S. E., Maldonado, S., and Ulloa, R. M. (2009). Genomic and functional characterization of *StCDPK1*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 70, 153–172. doi:10.1007/s11103-009-9462-5

Gargantini, P. R., Gonzalez-Rizzo, S., Chinchilla, D., Raices, M., Giammaria, V., Ulloa, R. M., et al. (2006). A CDPK isoform participates in the regulation of nodule number in *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant J.* 48, 843–856. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X. 2006.02910.x

Geiger, D., Maierhofer, T., Al-Rasheid, K. A., Scherzer, S., Mumm, P., Liese, A., et al. (2011). Stomatal closure by fast abscisic acid signaling is mediated by the guard cell anion channel SLAH3 and the receptor RCAR1. *Sci. Signal.* 4, ra32. doi:10.1126/scisignal.2001346

Geiger, D., Scherzer, S., Mumm, P., Marten, I., Ache, P., Matschi, S., et al. (2010). Guard cell anion channel SLAC1 is regulated by CDPK protein kinases with distinct Ca<sup>2+</sup> affinities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 8023–8028. doi:10.1073/pnas. 0912030107

Gutermuth, T., Lassig, R., Portes, M. T., Maierhofer, T., Romeis, T., Borst, J. W., et al. (2013). Pollen tube growth regulation by free anions depends on the interaction between the anion channel SLAH3 and calcium-dependent protein kinases CPK2 and CPK20. *Plant Cell.* 25, 4525–4543. doi:10.1105/tpc.113. 118463

Hamel, L-P., Jen, S., and Armand, S. (2014). Ancient signals: Comparative genomics of green plant CDPKs. *Trends Plant Sci.* 19, 79-89. doi:10.1016/j. tplants.2013.10.009

Harper, J. F., Breton, G., and Harmon, A. (2004). Decoding Ca<sup>2+</sup> signals through plant protein kinases. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 55, 263–288. doi:10.1146/annurev. arplant.55.031903.141627

Harper, J. F., and Harmon, A. (2005). Plants, symbiosis and parasites: A calcium signalling connection. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* 6, 555–566. doi:10.1038/nrm1679

Hashimoto, K., and Kudla, J. (2011). Calcium decoding mechanisms in plants. *Biochimie* 93, 2054–2059. doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2011.05.019

Hayat, S., Hayat, Q., Alyemeni, M. N., Wani, A. S., Pichtel, J., and Ahmad, A. (2012). Role of proline under changing environments: A review. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 7, 1456–1466. doi:10.4161/psb.21949

Heinrich, M., Hettenhausen, C., Lange, T., Wünsche, H., Fang, J., Baldwin, I. T., et al. (2013). High levels of jasmonic acid antagonize the biosynthesis of gibberellins and inhibit the growth of *Nicotiana attenuata* stems. *Plant J.* 73, 591–606. doi:10. 1111/tpj.12058

Hettenhausen, C., Sun, G., He, Y., Zhuang, H., Sun, T., Qi, J., et al. (2016). Genome-wide identification of calcium-dependent protein kinases in soybean and analyses of their transcriptional responses to insect herbivory and drought stress. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 18973–19014. doi:10.1038/srep18973

Hettenhausen, C., Yang, D. H., Baldwin, I. T., and Wu, J. (2013). Calcium-dependent protein kinases, CDPK4 and CDPK5, affect early steps of jasmonic acid biosynthesis in Nicotiana attenuata. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 8, e22784. doi:10.4161/psb.22784

Howe, G. A., and Jander, G. (2008). Plant immunity to insect herbivores. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 59, 41–66. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607. 092825

Hrabak, E. M., Chan, C. W., Gribskov, M., Harper, J. F., Choi, J. H., Halford, N., et al. (2003). The *Arabidopsis* CDPK-SnRK superfamily of protein kinases. *Plant Physiol.* 132, 666–680. doi:10.1104/pp.102.011999

Hu, Z., Lv, X., Xia, X., Zhou, J., Shi, K., Yu, J., et al. (2016). Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of calcium-dependent protein kinase in tomato. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 469. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00469

Ito, T., Nakata, M., Fukazawa, J., Ishida, S., and Takahashi, Y. (2010). Alteration of substrate specificity: The variable N-terminal domain of tobacco Ca2+-dependent protein kinase is important for substrate recognition. *Plant Cell*. 22, 1592–1604. doi:10.1105/tpc.109.073577

Jaworski, K., Pawelek, A., Kopcewicz, J., and Szmidt-Jaworska, A. (2012). The calcium-dependent protein kinase (*PnCDPK1*) is involved in *Pharbitis nil* flowering. *J. Plant Physiol.* 169, 1578–1585. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2012.05.025

Jiang, S., Zhang, D., Wang, L., Pan, J., Liu, Y., Kong, X., et al. (2013). A maize calcium-dependent protein kinase gene, *ZmCPK4*, positively regulated abscisic acid signaling and enhanced drought stress tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol. biochem.* 71, 112–120. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.07.004

Jiao, Y., Wickett, N. J., Ayyampalayam, S., Chanderbali, A. S., Landherr, L., Ralph, P. E., et al. (2011). Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. *Nature* 473, 97–100. doi:10.1038/nature09916

Kamiyoshihara, Y., Iwata, M., Fukaya, T., Tatsuki, M., and Mori, H. (2010). Turnover of *LeACS2*, a wound-inducible 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid synthase in tomato, is regulated by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation. *Plant J.* 64, 140–150. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04316.x

Kanchiswamy, C. N., Takahashi, H., Quadro, S., Maffei, M. E., Bossi, S., Bertea, C., et al. (2010). Regulation of Arabidopsis defense responses against Spodoptera littoralis by CPKmediated calcium signaling. BMC Plant Biol. 10, 97. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-10-97

Kawamoto, N., Endo, M., and Araki, T. (2015a). Expression of a kinase-dead form of CPK33 involved in florigen complex formation causes delayed flowering. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 10, e1086856. doi:10.1080/15592324.2015.1086856

Kawamoto, N., Sasabe, M., Endo, M., Machida, Y., and Araki, T. (2015b). Calcium dependent protein kinases responsible for the phosphorylation of a bZIP transcription factor FD crucial for the florigen complex formation. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 8341. doi:10.1038/srep08341

Kiba, T., Takei, K., Kojima, M., and Sakakibara, H. (2013). Side-chain modification of cytokinins controls shoot growth in Arabidopsis. *Dev. Cell.* 27, 452–461. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2013.10.004

Kim, B. G., Waadt, R., Cheong, Y. H., Pandey, G. K., DominguezSolis, J. R., Schultke, S., et al. (2007). The calcium sensor CBL10 mediates salt tolerance by regulating ion homeostasis in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* 52, 473–484. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03249.x

Klimecka, M., and Muszy 'nska, G. (2007). Structure and functions of plant calcium-dependent protein kinases. *Acta Biochim. Pol.* 54, 219–233. [PubMed]. doi:10.18388/abp.2007\_3242

Kobayashi, M., Ohura, I., Kawakita, K., Yokota, N., Fujiwara, M., Shimamoto, K., et al. (2007). Calcium-dependent protein kinases regulate the production of reactive oxygen species by potato NADPH oxidase. *Plant Cell.* 19, 1065–1080. doi:10.1105/tpc.106.048884

Kobayashi, M., Yoshioka, M., Asai, S., Nomura, H., Kuchimura, K., Mori, H., et al. (2012). *StCDPK5* confers resistance to late blight pathogen but increases susceptibility to early blight pathogen in potato via reactive oxygen species burst. *New Phytol.* 196, 223–237. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04226.x

Komatsu, S., Yang, G., Khan, M., Onodera, H., Toki, S., and Yamaguchi, M. (2007). Over-expression of calcium-dependent protein kinase 13 and calreticulin interacting protein 1 confers cold tolerance on rice plants. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 277, 713–723. doi:10.1007/s00438-007-0220-6

Kudla, J., Batistič, O., and Hashimoto, K. (2010). Calcium signals: The lead currency of plant information processing. *Plant Cell.* 22, 541–563. doi:10.1105/tpc. 109.072686

Lerner, H. (2018). "Introduction to the response of plants to environmental stresses," in *Plant responses to environmental stresses* (xfordshire, England, UK: Routledge), 1–26.

Li, A. L., Zhu, Y. F., Tan, X. M., Wang, X., Wei, B., Guo, H. Z., et al. (2008). Evolutionary and functional study of the CDPK gene family in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Plant Mol. Biol.* 66, 429–443. doi:10.1007/s11103-007-9281-5

Li, C. L., Wang, M., Wu, X. M., Chen, D. H., Lv, H. J., Shen, J. L., et al. (2016). THI1, a thiamine thiazole synthase, interacts with Ca2+-dependent protein kinase CPK33 and modulates the S-Type anion channels and stomatal closure in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 170, 1090–1104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]. doi:10.1104/pp.15.01649

Li, J., Li, Y., Deng, Y., Chen, P., Feng, F., Chen, W., et al. (2018). A calciumdependent protein kinase, *ZmCPK32*, specifically expressed in maize pollen to regulate pollen tube growth. *PLoS One* 13, e0195787. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0195787 Li, X., Zhao, L., Zhang, H., Liu, Q., Zhai, H., Zhao, N., et al. (2022). Genome-wide identification and characterization of CDPK family reveal their involvements in growth and development and abiotic stress in sweet potato and its two diploid relatives. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23, 3088. doi:10.3390/ijms23063088

Liese, A., and Romeis, T. (2013). Biochemical regulation of *in vivo* function of plant calcium-dependent protein kinases (CDPK). *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1833, 1582–1589. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.10.024

Liu, K. H., Diener, A., Lin, Z., Liu, C., and Sheen, J. (2020). Primary nitrate responses mediated by calcium signalling and diverse protein phosphorylation. *J. Exp. Bot.* 71, 4428–4441. doi:10.1093/jxb/eraa047

Liu, K. H., Niu, Y., Konishi, M., Wu, Y., Du, H., Sun, C. H., et al. (2017). Discovery of nitrate-CPK-NLP signalling in central nutrient-growth networks. *Nature* 18, 311–316. [CrossRef] [PubMed]. doi:10.1038/nature22077

Liu, P., Yin, L., Wang, S., Zhang, M., Deng, X., Zhang, S., et al. (2015). Enhanced root hydraulic conductance by aquaporin regulation accounts for silicon alleviated salt-induced osmotic stress in *Sorghum bicolor L. Environ. Exp. Bot.* 111, 42–51. doi:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.10.006

Liu, Y., Xu, C., Zhu, Y., Zhang, L., Chen, T., Zhou, F., et al. (2018). The calciumdependent kinase *OsCPK24* functions in cold stress responses in rice. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 60, 173–188. doi:10.1111/jipb.12614

Loqué, D., and von Wirén, N. J. (2004). Regulatory levels for the transport of ammonium in plant roots. J. Exp. Bot. 55, 1293-1305. doi:10.1093/jxb/erh147

Loqué, D., Yuan, L., Kojima, S., Gojon, A., Wirth, J., Gazzarrini, S., et al. (2006). Additive contribution of AMT1; 1 and AMT1; 3 to high-affinity ammonium uptake across the plasma membrane of nitrogen-deficient *Arabidopsis* roots. *Plant J.* 48, 522–534. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02887.x

Ma, Y., Dai, X., Xu, Y., Luo, W., Zheng, X., Zeng, D., et al. (2015). COLD1 confers chilling tolerance in rice. *Cell*. 160, 1209–1221. doi:10.1016/j. cell.2015.01.046

Maffei, M. E., Mithöfer, A., and Boland, W. (2007). Before gene expression: Early events in plant-insect interaction. *Trends Plant Sci.* 12, 310–316. doi:10.1016/j. tplants.2007.06.001

Mahajan, S., Pandey, G. K., and Tuteja, N. (2008). Calcium- and salt-stress signaling in plants: Shedding light on SOS pathway. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 471, 146–158. doi:10.1016/j.abb.2008.01.010

Mall, T. K., Dweikat, I., Sato, S. J., Neresian, N., Xu, K., Ge, Z., et al. (2011). Expression of the rice CDPK-7 in sorghum: Molecular and phenotypic analyses. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 75, 467–479. doi:10.1007/s11103-011-9741-9

Manimaran, P., Mangrauthia, S. K., Sundaram, R., and Balachandran, S. (2015). Constitutive expression and silencing of a novel seed specific calcium dependent protein kinase gene in rice reveals its role in grain filling. *J. Plant Physiol.* 174, 41–48. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2014.09.005

Martı'n, M. L., and Busconi, L. (2000). Membrane localization of a rice calciumdependent protein kinase (CDPK) is mediated by myristoylation and palmitoylation. *Plant J.* 24, 429–435. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313x.2000.00889.x

Matschi, S., Hake, K., Herde, M., Hause, B., and Romeis, T. (2015). The calciumdependent protein kinase CPK28 regulates development by inducing growth phasespecific, spatially restricted alterations in jasmonic acid levels independent of defense responses in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell.* 27, 591–606. doi:10.1105/tpc.15.00024

Matschi, S., Werner, S., Schulze, W. X., Legen, J., Hilger, H. H., and Romeis, T. (2013). Function of calcium-dependent protein kinase CPK 28 of *Arabidopsis thaliana* in plant stem elongation and vascular development. *Plant J.* 73, 883–896. doi:10.1111/tpj.12090

McCormack, E., Tsai, Y. C., and Braam, J. (2005). Handling calcium signaling: Arabidopsis CaMs and CMLs. Trends Plant Sci. 10, 383–389. doi:10.1016/j.tplants. 2005.07.001

Mehlmer, N., Wurzinger, B., Stael, S., Hofmann-Rodrigues, D., Csaszar, E., Pfister, B., et al. (2010). The Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent protein kinase CPK3 is required for MAPK-independent salt-stress acclimation in. *Plant J.* 63, 484–498. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04257.x

Mohanta, T. K., Kumar, P., and Bae, H. (2017). Genomics and evolutionary aspect of calcium signaling event in calmodulin and calmodulin-like proteins in plants. *BMC Plant Biol.* 17, 38. [CrossRef]. doi:10.1186/s12870-017-0989-3

Monaghan, J., Matschi, S., Shorinola, O., Rovenich, H., Matei, A., Segonzac, C., et al. (2014). The calcium-dependent protein kinase CPK28 buffers plant immunity and regulates BIK1 turnover. *Cell. Host Microbe* 16, 605–615. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2014.10.007

Moutinho, A., Trewavas, A. J., and Malho, R. (1998). Relocation of a Ca2+dependent protein kinase activity during pollen tube reorientation. *Plant Cell.* 10 (9), 1499–1510. PMID:9724696. doi:10.1105/tpc.10.9.1499

Munns, R., and Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 59, 651-681. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092911

Myers, C., Romanowsky, S. M., Barron, Y. D., Garg, S., Azuse, C. L., Curran, A., et al. (2009). Calcium-dependent protein kinases regulate polarized tip growth in pollen tubes. *Plant J.* 59 (4), 528–539. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03894.x

Nakata, M., Yuasa, T., Takahashi, Y., and Ishida, S. (2009). CDPK1, a calciumdependent protein kinase, regulates transcriptional activator RSG in response to gibberellins. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 4, 372–374. doi:10.4161/psb.4.5.8229

Negrão, S., Courtois, B., Ahmadi, N., Abreu, I., Saibo, N., and Oliveira, M. (2011). Recent updates on salinity stress in rice: From physiological to molecular responses. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 30, 329–377. doi:10.1080/07352689.2011.587725

Nongpiur, R., Lata Singla-Pareek, S., and Pareek, A. (2016). Genomics approaches for improving salinity stress tolerance in crop plants. *Curr. Genomics* 17, 343–357. doi:10.2174/1389202917666160331202517

Pantoja, O. (2012). High affinity ammonium transporters: Molecular mechanism of action. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3, 34. doi:10.3389/fpls.2012.00034

Pawełek, A., Szmidt-Jaworska, A., Świeżawska, B., and Jaworski, K. (2015). Genomic structure and promoter characterization of the CDPK kinase gene expressed during seed formation in *Pharbitis nil. J. Plant Physiol.* 189, 87–96. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2015.08.008

Pinheiro, C., and Chaves, M. (2011). Photosynthesis and drought: Can we make metabolic connections from available *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 869–882. doi:10.1093/jxb/erq340

Qin, D.-B., Liu, M.-Y., Yuan, L., Zhu, Y., Li, X.-D., Chen, L.-M., et al. (2020). CALCIUM-DEPENDENT PROTEIN KINASE 32-mediated phosphorylation is essential for the ammonium transport activity of AMT1; 1 in *Arabidopsis* roots. J. Exp. Bot. 71, 5087–5097. doi:10.1093/jxb/eraa249

Rastogi, S., and Liberles, D. A. (2005). Subfunctionalization of duplicated genes as a transition state to neofunctionalization. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 5, 1–7.

Ray, S., Agarwal, P., Arora, R., Kapoor, S., and Tyagi, A. K. (2007). Expression analysis of calcium-dependent protein kinase gene family during reproductive development and abiotic stress conditions in rice (*Oryza sativa L. ssp. indica*). *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 278, 493–505. doi:10.1007/s00438-007-0267-4

Remington, D. L., Vision, T. J., Guilfoyle, T. J., and Reed, J. W. (2004). Contrasting modes of diversification in the Aux/IAA and ARF gene families. *Plant Physiol.* 135, 1738–1752. doi:10.1104/pp.104.039669

Rensing, S. A. (2014). Gene duplication as a driver of plant morphogenetic evolution. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 17, 43–48. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2013.11.002

Romeis, T., and Herde, M. (2014). From local to global: CDPKs in systemic defense signaling upon microbial and herbivore attack. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 20, 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2014.03.002

Romeis, T., Ludwig, A. A., Martin, R., and Jones, J. D. (2001). Calcium-dependent protein kinases play an essential role in a plant defence response. *EMBO J.* 20, 5556–5567. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.20.5556

Romeis, T., Piedras, P., and Jones, J. D. (2000). Resistance gene-dependent activation of a calcium-dependent protein kinase in the plant defense response. *Plant Cell.* 12, 803–816. doi:10.1105/tpc.12.5.803

Ronzier, E., Corratge-Faillie, C., Sanchez, F., Prado, K., Briere, C., Leonhardt, N., et al. (2014). CPK13 a noncanonical Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent protein kinase specifically inhibits KAT2 and KAT1 shaker K<sup>+</sup> channels and reduces stomatal opening. *Plant Physiol.* 166, 314–326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]. doi:10.1104/pp.114.240226

Rutschmann, F., Stalder, U., Piotrowski, M., Oecking, C., and Schaller, A. (2002). *LeCPK1*, a calcium-dependent protein kinase from tomato. Plasma membrane targeting and biochemical characterization. *Plant Physiol.* 129, 156–168. doi:10.1104/pp.000869

Saijo, Y., Kinoshita, N., Ishiyama, K., Hata, S., Kyozuka, J., Hayakawa, T., et al. (2001). A Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent protein kinase that endows rice plants with cold- and salt-stress tolerance functions in vascular bundles. *Plant Cell. Physiol.* 42 (11), 1228–1233. doi:10.1093/pcp/pce158

Schulz, P., Herde, M., and Romeis, T. (2013). Calcium-dependent protein kinases: Hubs in plant stress signaling and development. *Plant Physiol.* 163, 523–530. doi:10. 1104/pp.113.222539

Seybold, H., Bortlik, J., Conrads, B., Hoehenwarter, W., and Romeis, T. (2019). Prioritization of abiotic and biotic stress responses by direct linkage of ABI1 phosphatase and CPK5 calcium-dependent protein kinase. *J. bioRxiv* 2019, 839662 doi:10.1101/839662

Shi, S., Li, S., Asim, M., Mao, J., Xu, D., Ullah, Z., et al. (2018). The Arabidopsis calcium-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs) and their roles in plant growth regulation and abiotic stress responses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19, 1900. [CrossRef] [PubMed]. doi:10.3390/ijms19071900

Shikha, M., Kanika, A., Rao, A. R., Mallikarjuna, M. G., Gupta, H. S., and Nepolean, T. (2017). Genomic selection for drought tolerance using genome-wide SNPs in maize. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 550. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00550

Shkryl, Y., Veremeichik, G., Bulgakov, V., and Zhuravlev, Y. N. (2011). Induction of anthraquinone biosynthesis in *Rubia cordifolia* cells by heterologous expression of a calcium-dependent protein kinase gene. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 108, 1734–1738. doi:10.1002/bit.23077

Ton, J., Flors, V., and Mauch-Mani, B. (2009). The multifaceted role of ABA in disease resistance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 14, 310–317. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2009. 03.006

Tong, T., Li, Q., Jiang, W., Chen, G., Xue, D., Deng, F., et al. (2021). Molecular evolution of calcium signaling and transport in plant adaptation to abiotic stress. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 12308. doi:10.3390/ijms222212308

Valmonte, G. R., Arthur, K., Higgins, Colleen M., and MacDiarmid, Robin M. (2014). Calcium-dependent protein kinases in plants: Evolution, expression and function. *Plant Cell. Physiol.* 55 (3), 551–569. doi:10.1093/pcp/pct200

Van Gioi, H., Mallikarjuna, M. G., Shikha, M., Pooja, B., Jha, S. K., Dash, P. K., et al. (2017). Variable level of dominance of candidate genes controlling drought functional traits in maize hybrids. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 940. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00940

van Loon, L. C., Rep, M., and Pieterse, C. M. (2006). Significance of inducible defense-related proteins in infected plants. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 44, 135–162. doi:10.1146/annurev.phyto.44.070505.143425

Verbruggen, N., and Hermans, C. (2008). Proline accumulation in plants: A review. Amino Acids 35, 753-759. doi:10.1007/s00726-008-0061-6

Veremeichik, G., Grigorchuk, V., Silanteva, S., Shkryl, Y., Bulgakov, D., Brodovskaya, E., et al. (2019). Increase in isoflavonoid content in *Glycine max* cells transformed by the constitutively active Ca<sup>2+</sup> independent form of the *AtCPK1* gene. *Phytochemistry* 157, 111–120. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2018.10.023

Vivek, P. J., Tuteja, N., and Soniya, E. V. (2013). CDPK1 from ginger promotes salinity and drought stress tolerance without yield penalty by improving growth and photosynthesis in *Nicotiana tabacum*. *PLoS One* 8, e76392. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0076392

Wan, B., Lin, Y., and Mou, T. (2007). Expression of rice Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs) genes under different environmental stresses. *FEBS letters* 581, 1179–1189.

Wang, C.-T., and Song, W. (2013). Calcium-dependent protein kinase gene ZmCPK12 from maize confers tolerance to drought and salt stresses in transgenic plants. Acta Physiol. Plant. 35, 1659–1666. doi:10.1007/s11738-012-1208-3

Wang, J., Cheng, G., Wang, C., He, Z., Lan, X., Zhang, S., et al. (2017). The bHLH transcription factor *CgbHLH001* is a potential interaction partner of CDPK in halophyte *Chenopodium glaucum*. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 1–16. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-06706-x

Wang, J. P., Xu, Y. P., Munyampundu, J. P., Liu, T. Y., and Cai, X. Z. (2016). Calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK) and CDPK-related kinase (CRK) gene families in tomato: Genome-wide identification and functional analyses in disease resistance. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 291, 661–676. doi:10.1007/s00438-015-1137-0

Wang, L., Yu, C., Xu, S., Zhu, Y., and Huang, W. (2016). OsDi19-4 acts downstream of OsCDPK14 to positively regulate ABA response in rice. Plant Cell. Environ. 39, 2740–2753. doi:10.1111/pce.12829

Wang, Q., Yin, X., Chen, Q., Xiang, N., Sun, X., Yang, Y., et al. (2017). Genomewide survey indicates diverse physiological roles of the turnip (*Brassica rapa var. rapa*) calcium-dependent protein kinase genes. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 1–14. doi:10.1038/ s41598-017-16102-0

Wang, X., Lv, S., Han, X., Guan, X., Shi, X., Kang, J., et al. (2019). The calcium-dependent protein kinase CPK33 mediates strigolactone-induced stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 1630. doi:10. 3389/fpls.2019.01630

Weckwerth, P., Ehlert, B., and Romeis, T. (2015). ZmCPK1, a calciumindependent kinase member of the Zea mays CDPK gene family, functions as a negative regulator in cold stress signaling. *Plant Cell. Environ.* 38, 544–558. doi:10. 1111/pce.12414

Wei, C., Zhang, R., Yang, X., Zhu, C., Li, H., Zhang, Y., et al. (2019). Comparative analysis of calcium-dependent protein kinase in *cucurbitaceae* and pt

Wen, F., Ye, F., Xiao, Z., Liao, L., Li, T., Jia, M., et al. (2020). Genome-wide survey and expression analysis of calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK) in grass Brachypodium distachyon. *BMC Genomics* 21, 53. doi:10.1186/s12864-020-6475-6

Wu, P., Wang, W., Duan, W., Li, Y., and Hou, X. (2017). Comprehensive analysis of the CDPK-SnRK superfamily genes in Chinese cabbage and its evolutionary implications in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 162. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00162

Wu, Y., Zhao, S., Tian, H., He, Y., Xiong, W., Guo, L., et al. (2013). CPK3phosphorylated RhoGD11 is essential in the development of Arabidopsis seedlings and leaf epidermal cells. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 3327–3338. doi:10.1093/jxb/ert171 Xiao, X. H., Yang, M., Sui, J. L., Qi, J. Y., Fang, Y. J., Hu, S. N., et al. (2017). The calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK) and CDPK-related kinase gene families in *Hevea brasiliensis*—Comparison with five other plant species in structure, evolution, and expression. *FEBS open bio* 7, 4–24. doi:10.1002/2211-5463.12163

Xu, J., Tian, Y. S., Peng, R. H., Xiong, A. S., Zhu, B., Jin, X. F., et al. (2010). AtCPK6, a functionally redundant and positive regulator involved in salt/drought stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Planta* 231, 1251–1260. doi:10.1007/s00425-010-1122-0

Xu, X., Liu, M., Lu, L., He, M., Qu, W., Xu, Q., et al. (2015). Genome-wide analysis and expression of the calcium-dependent protein kinase gene family in cucumber. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 290, 1403–1414. doi:10.1007/s00438-015-1002-1

Yang, D.-H., Hettenhausen, C., Baldwin, I. T., and Wu, J. (2012). Silencing *Nicotiana attenuata* calcium-dependent protein kinases, CDPK4 and CDPK5, strongly up-regulates wound-and herbivory-induced jasmonic acid accumulations. *Plant Physiol.* 159, 1591–1607. doi:10.1104/pp.112.199018

Yang, L., Zhang, Y., Guan, R., Li, S., Xu, X., Zhang, S., et al. (2020). Co-regulation of indole glucosinolates and camalexin biosynthesis by CPK5/CPK6 and MPK3/MPK6 signaling pathways. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 62, 1780–1796. doi:10.1111/jipb.12973

Ye, W., Muroyama, D., Munemasa, S., Nakamura, Y., Mori, I. C., and Murata, Y. (2013). Calcium-dependent protein kinase CPK6 positively functions in induction by yeast elicitor of stomatal closure and inhibition by yeast elicitor of light-induced stomatal opening in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 163, 591–599. doi:10.1104/pp.113. 224055

Yin, X. M., Huang, L. F., Zhang, X., Wang, M. L., Xu, G. Y., and Xia, X. J. (2015). *OsCML4* improves drought tolerance through scavenging of reactive oxygen species in rice. *J. Plant Biol.* 58, 68–73. doi:10.1007/s12374-014-0349-x

Yip Delormel, T., and Boudsocq, M. (2019). Properties and functions of calciumdependent protein kinases and their relatives in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytol*. 224, 585–604. doi:10.1111/nph.16088

Yoon, G. M., Dowd, P. E., Gilroy, S., and McCubbin, A. G. (2006). Calcium dependent protein kinase isoforms in *Petunia* have distinct functions in pollen tube growth, including regulating polarity. *Plant Cell.* 18 (4), 867–878. doi:10.1105/tpc. 105.037135

Yoshioka, H., Adachi, H., Nakano, T., Miyagawa, N., Asai, S., Ishihama, N., et al. (2016). Hierarchical regulation of NADPH oxidase by protein kinases in plant immunity. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 95, 20–26. doi:10.1016/j.pmpp. 2016.03.004

Yu, T. F., Zhao, W. Y., Fu, J. D., Liu, Y. W., Chen, M., Zhou, Y. B., et al. (2018). Genome-wide analysis of CDPK family in foxtail millet and determination of *SiCDPK24* functions in drought stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 651. doi:10.3389/fpls. 2018.00651

Yuan, L., Gu, R., Xuan, Y., Smith-Valle, E., Loqué, D., Frommer, W. B., et al. (2013). Allosteric regulation of transport activity by heterotrimerization of Arabidopsis ammonium transporter complexes *in vivo*. *Plant Cell*. 25, 974–984. doi:10.1105/tpc.112.108027

Yuan, L., Loque, D., Kojima, S., Rauch, S., Ishiyama, K., Inoue, E., et al. (2007). The organization of high-affinity ammonium uptake in *Arabidopsis* roots depends on the spatial arrangement and biochemical properties of AMT1-type transporters. *Plant Cell.* 19, 2636–2652. doi:10.1105/tpc.107.052134

Zhang, H., Wei, C., Yang, X., Chen, H., Yang, Y., Mo, Y., et al. (2017). Genomewide identification and expression analysis of calcium dependent protein kinase and its related kinase gene families in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.). *PLoS One* 12, e0176352. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0176352

Zhang, H., Zhang, Y., Deng, C., Deng, S., Li, N., Zhao, C., et al. (2018). The *Arabidopsis* Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent protein kinase CPK12 is involved in plant response to salt stress. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19, 4062. doi:10.3390/ijms19124062

Zhang, K., Han, Y.-T., Zhao, F.-L., Hu, Y., Gao, Y.-R., Ma, Y.-F., et al. (2015). Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the CDPK gene family in grape, *Vitis spp. BMC Plant Biol.* 15, 1–19. doi:10.1186/s12870-015-0552-z

Zhang, X., and Liu, C. J. (2015). Multifaceted regulations of gateway enzyme phenylalanine ammonia-lyase in the biosynthesis of phenylpropanoids. *Mol. Plant* 8, 17–27. doi:10.1016/j.molp.2014.11.001

Zhang, X. S., and Choi, J. H. (2001). Molecular evolution of calmodulin-like domain protein kinases (CDPKs) in plants and protists. *J. Mol. Evol.* 53, 214–224. doi:10.1007/s002390010211

Zhao, L. N., Shen, L. K., Zhang, W. Z., Zhang, W., Wang, Y., and Wu, W. H. (2013). Ca2+-dependent protein kinase11 and 24 modulate the activity of the inward rectifying K+ channels in *Arabidopsis* pollen tubes. *Plant Cell*. 25, 649–661. [CrossRef] [PubMed]. doi:10.1105/tpc.112.103184

Zhao, R., Sun, H. L., Mei, C., Wang, X. J., Yan, L., Liu, R., et al. (2011). The *Arabidopsis* Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent protein kinase CPK12 negatively regulates abscisic acid signaling in seed germination and post-germination growth. *New Phytol.* 192, 61–73. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03793.x

Zhou, J., Wang, X., He, Y., Sang, T., Wang, P., Dai, S., et al. (2020). Differential phosphorylation of the transcription factor WRKY33 by the protein kinases CPK5/CPK6 and MPK3/MPK6 cooperatively regulates camalexin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell.* 32, 2621–2638. doi:10. 1105/tpc.19.00971

Zhu, J. H., Chen, X., Chang, W. J., Tian, W. M., and Zhang, Z. L. (2010). Molecular characterization of *HbCDPK1*, an ethephon-induced calcium-dependent protein kinase gene of. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 74, 2183–2188. doi:10.1271/bbb.100293

Zou, J. J., Li, X. D., Ratnasekera, D., Wang, C., Liu, W. X., Song, L. F., et al. (2015). Arabidopsis CALCIUM-DEPENDENT PROTEIN KINASE8 and CATALASE3 function in abscisic acid-mediated signaling and  $\rm H_2O_2$ 

homeostasis in stomatal guard cells under drought stress. *Plant Cell.* 27, 1445–1460. doi:10.1105/tpc.15.00144

Zou, J. J., Wei, F. J., Wang, C., Wu, J. J., Ratnasekera, D., Liu, W. X., et al. (2010). *Arabidopsis* calcium-dependent protein kinase CPK10 functions in abscisic acid- and Ca<sup>2+</sup>-mediated stomatal regulation in response to drought stress. *Plant Physiol.* 154, 1232–1243. doi:10.1104/pp. 110.157545

Zuo, R., Hu, R. B., Chai, G. H., Xu, M. L., Qi, G., Kong, Y. Z., et al. (2013). Genome-wide identification, classification, and expression analysis of CDPK and its closely related gene families in poplar (*Populus trichocarpa*). *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 40, 2645–2662. doi:10.1007/s11033-012-2351-z