#### **REVIEW ARTICLE**



# The Role of European Healthcare Databases for Post-Marketing Drug Effectiveness, Safety and Value Evaluation: Where Does Italy Stand?

Published online: 29 September 2018 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2018

#### **Abstract**

Enormous progress has been made globally in the use of evidence derived from patients' clinical information as they access their routine medical care. The value of real-world data lies in their complementary nature compared with data from randomised controlled trials: less detailed information on drug efficacy but longer observational periods and larger, more heterogeneous study populations reflecting clinical practice because individuals are included who would not usually be recruited in trials. Real-world data can be collected in various types of electronic sources, such as electronic health records, claims databases and drug or disease registries. These data sources vary in nature from country to country, according to national healthcare system structures and national policies. In Italy, a growing number of healthcare databases have been used to evaluate post-marketing drug utilisation and safety in the last two decades. The aim of this narrative review is to describe the available Italian sources of real-world data and their contribution to generating post-marketing evidence on drug use and safety. We also discuss the strengths and limitations of the most commonly used Italian healthcare databases in addressing various research questions concerning drug utilisation, comparative effectiveness and safety studies, as well as health technology assessment and other areas.

# **Key Points**

Enormous progress has been made in Italy and globally in the use of evidence derived from patients' clinical data as they access their routine medical care.

Several data sources in Italy have been used to assess the effectiveness, safety and economic value of medications, including claims databases, electronic health records and patient registries.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s40264-018-0732-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

☐ Gianluca Trifirò trifirog@unime.it

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

# 1 Introduction

The US FDA defines real-world data as routinely collected medical data relating to patient health status and/or the delivery of healthcare. Such data are available through electronic health records (EHRs), medical claims, drug and disease registries, patient lifestyle-related activities and health-monitoring devices [1]. The increasing global use of electronic rather than paper trails following patient-doctor encounters, as well as billing and administrative activities, has led to a significant increase in real-world data sources, particularly in developed countries [2]. The nature of such data sources is necessarily tied to its regional and national setting and varies considerably from country to country. Italy is one such country whose growing real-world data resources need to be understood and used in the complex context of the regional and national clinical, regulatory and governmental setting, particularly concerning healthcare data. 348 G. Trifirò et al.

Several European countries, including the UK, Scandinavian countries, France, Italy, the Netherlands and Spain, to name a few, have harnessed their electronic healthcare data in the last decade to provide information on drug use and safety. Increasing collaboration between countries means it is essential for relevant European stakeholders to be informed of the nature of such data that are available in other countries, including the strengths and limitations of the data. One major reason for this is the increasing interest in multi-database studies, notably conducted as post-authorisation safety studies, where data from different regions and/or countries are pooled.

Italy is rich in observational electronic healthcare data at the local, regional and national level, and these data have increasingly been harnessed in the last decade. Real-world evidence can provide answers to several drug-related questions on a large scale, including those concerning drug utilisation [3] and post-marketing comparative drug safety (i.e. signal detection [4], refinement and validation [5]) and effectiveness. However, the great value of observational data emerges when considering the special populations that can be studied with these data sources, such as children [6], pregnant women [7], and the elderly [8]. It can also inform decisions concerning healthcare policies aimed at improving drug utilisation and safety, such as health technology assessment (HTA) and the evaluation of risk-minimisation measures (RMMs) implemented by national drug agencies [9] to promote the appropriate, safe and cost-effective use of drugs. Randomised clinical trials, while being the gold standard to evaluate very specific drug-related issues such as efficacy, are by their nature not often able to evaluate any of the above topics. Italian observational databases are therefore a necessary tool to provide real-world evidence concerning the Italian population. However, despite how commonly Italian real-world data are used, to our knowledge no review has been published describing data source characteristics in detail and how this affects research on drug utilisation and safety.

Most Italian research centres involved in pharmacoepidemiology studies using real-world data are affiliated with the European Network of Centres for Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacovigilance (ENCePP), a network coordinated by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) with the aim of addressing some of the above-mentioned issues. ENCePP facilitates the process of carrying out high-quality, multi-centre, independent post-authorisation studies. The aim of this narrative review is to describe the main Italian healthcare databases used to study drug utilisation and safety as well as some of the main contributions of these data sources, with a focus on healthcare databases and networks that are registered in the ENCePP inventory.

# 2 Types of Healthcare Databases in Italy

# 2.1 Healthcare Databases in the Context of the Italian Healthcare System

The characteristics of the most common Italian databases, i.e. claims databases, are rooted in the structure of the Italian national health service (NHS). The latter is largely funded by national taxes and provides universal healthcare, with outpatient (including dispensing of drugs, diagnostic tests/specialist examinations, etc.) and inpatient care (including inpatient medical procedures, drug dispensing, etc.) covered by the NHS, with co-payment in some cases. The decision to include drugs in the national drug formulary, i.e. deciding which drugs will be covered by the NHS, falls within the remit of the Italian Medicines Agency (*Agenzia Italian del Farmaco* [AIFA]). In general, all "life-saving" and chronic medications are refundable, i.e. approximately 70% of all marketed drugs in Italy.

The NHS is decentralised and is organised at the regional level. At the national level, government funding for healthcare is provided to regional centres, who then provide regional healthcare services within their catchment area. The Italian regions provide healthcare providers, called local health units (LHUs). If patients seek medical care outside the catchment area of their LHU, their LHU reimburses the healthcare services delivered by other LHU healthcare providers within the same region or other regions, and collects claims data in a format established by the government at a national level. Care would be provided by a family paediatrician for minors aged  $\leq 14$  years and by a general practitioner (GP) for those aged  $\geq$  15. Access to non-emergency healthcare is filtered by primary care physicians, who therefore play a 'gatekeeper' role. Specialist physicians also act as 'gatekeepers' for non-emergency healthcare with regards to restricted drug prescribing [10]. As a result, LHU or regional claims databases can be accessed by several research organizations to conduct real-world studies. Moreover, several research centres have access to primary care medical record databases [11, 12] as well as drug or disease registries.

Most Italian healthcare databases and networks that have been used for pharmacoepidemiology research are currently registered with an ENCePP database inventory, as listed in the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM) #1.

# 2.2 Claims Databases

In the early 2000s, the Italian government made the collection of claims data mandatory to account for regional

healthcare service provision and the resulting expenditures. All Italian healthcare claims contain data on healthcare utilisation in separate data tables or databases, such as NHS-covered drug dispensing in community and hospital pharmacies, hospital discharge records, co-payment exemptions, outpatient specialist care, diagnostic tests and outpatient procedures, emergency department visits and birth certificates. These basic elements of the claims data are collected in the same way in each LHU; as a result, pooling data from single LHUs is straightforward and feasible. In many LHUs, these data tables can be linked through a de-identified unique patient code, although this is still impractical at the national level. The different types of claims databases that are available for each LHU or region are described in Table 1.

### 2.3 Electronic Health Records

There has been an increase in the number and quality of EHRs in Europe that are used for pharmacoepidemiology research, the most well-known of which are perhaps The Health Improvement Network (THIN) in the UK, the Integrated Primary Care Information (IPCI) database in the Netherlands and Base de Datos para la Investigación Farmacoepidemiológica en Atención Primaria (BIFAP) in Spain.

The largest EHR database of this kind in Italy is the Health Search IMS Health Longitudinal Patient Database (HSD). This database was set up by members of the Italian College of General Practitioners and contains data from a network of over 900 physicians uniformly distributed across Italy who share their de-identified clinical records in this database [13]. Patients registered with the GP practices in HSD are representative of the adult population of all Italian regions in terms of age and sex distribution according to the official statistics provided by the Italian Institute of Statistics. The Pedianet network is similar to the HSD as far as data structure is concerned, but it contains only paediatric data [14]. Although it is uncommon, EHRs can be linked to claims databases. This was done for the EHR database Arianna, which was linked to the claims of the Caserta LHU database [15–17].

Table 1 Claims databases commonly available in Italian local health units and regions

Claims databases	Description		
Healthcare service provision database	Unique patient identifier, date of birth, sex First and last date of LHU/regional healthcare service use		
Hospital discharge records database	Unique patient identifier Up to six diagnoses codes in ICD-9 CM Up to six procedure codes in ICD-9 CM Date of hospital admission and discharge		
Co-payment exemptions database	Unique patient identifier Diagnoses for diseases exempting patients from co-payment coded in ICD-9 CM		
NHS-covered drug dispensing in community pharmacies	Unique patient identifier Drugs coded in ATC 5th-level coding system and the Italian marketing authorization code		
NHS-covered drug dispensing in hospital pharmacies on discharge	Unique patient identifier Drugs coded in ATC code 5th-level classification system and the Italian marketing authorization code		
Outpatient specialist care, diagnostic tests and outpatient procedure database	Unique patient identifier  Specialist examinations and diagnostic tests coded using national coding system  In some regions main diagnoses and test results also available		
Birth registry	Unique patient identifier for both mother and child Information on live and still births Information for both mother (BMI, before pregnancy, smoking, etc.) and child		
Emergency department database	Unique patient identifier Dates of admission and discharge Diagnoses coded using ICD-9 CM (as both primary and secondary diagnosis) Procedures coded using ICD-9 CM		

ATC anatomical therapeutic chemical, BMI body mass index, ICD-9 CM International classification of diseases, ninth edition, clinical modification, LHU local health unit, NHS national health service

# 2.4 Medical Registries

Medical registries are the result of a systematic collection of information on all the cases of a particular disease or exposure to a particular drug. AIFA developed the AIFA drug-monitoring registries system primarily to improve early access to innovative therapies, to guarantee the sustainability and affordability of therapies, to collect epidemiological data and to monitor the appropriate use of several drugs. In 2005, AIFA implemented the PSOCARE registry to monitor the prescription of selected drugs for psoriasis. This registry system was active until 2010 and held data on over 20,000 patients [18]. The REACT registry is another dermatologyrelated registry set up to identify the causative agents underlying rare and serious conditions such as Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis and drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) and to report the final outcome of the reaction [19].

Several other registries concern drug use in specific therapeutic areas, including diabetes, oncology, ophthalmology, rheumatology, respiratory and neurological diseases. In general, monitored drugs are high-cost medicines and many are biotechnological products. Although AIFA drug registries have much potential as data sources for the post-marketing evaluation of the use and safety of newly marketed drugs, as yet they have rarely been used to generate real-world evidence. Another important registry is the European EURO-CAT registry of congenital anomalies, in which two Italian regions participate (Emilia-Romagna and Tuscany) [7].

Drug registries can, in theory, be linked probabilistically to other available healthcare databases using general demographic information. This would guarantee the protection of data privacy while providing opportunities for post-marketing medicine assessment, which would be particularly useful for rare exposures and outcomes [20]. Registries of patients treated with orphan drugs are particularly relevant, as they enable evidence on epidemiology of rare diseases and the effectiveness and safety of the treatment to be gathered [21].

# 3 Quality

Good-quality data in the framework of real-world investigations can be thought of as data that accurately (1) capture individuals potentially needing healthcare; (2) identify patients accessing care, distinguishing between new and continuing use of healthcare; (3) describe them as far as possible regarding their clinical and socioeconomic features; (4) record their use of recommended healthcare; and (5) identify those who experience important clinical outcomes. Given this complex definition, the three types of sources of Italian real-world data—claims, EHRs and registries—have complementary strengths and limitations.

First, capturing all individuals belonging to a given target population who need drug therapy requires the populationbased recording of healthcare utilization of that entire population. From this point of view, claims databases should be considered the cornerstone for investigations concerning complete catchment areas. However, limitations arise regarding their ability to capture all individuals needing healthcare, since they only capture healthcare reimbursed by the NHS. Moreover, only diseases requiring inpatient care and/or immediate medical attention and/or specific co-payment exception, treatments and/or diagnostic services can be traced from this source, and suitable algorithms combining these data sources must be developed and validated [22]. On the other hand, drugs dispensed during a hospital stay, as well as those that are not supplied by the NHS, including over-the-counter medicines, cannot be identified from claims databases. Conversely, medicines dispensed upon specialist prescription only, as is the case for several innovative drugs, can be tracked accurately. In addition, surrogate outcomes (e.g. serum cholesterol to verify that target values have been reached with statin therapy) cannot be identified using claims data.

Given the gatekeeper role of GPs and family paediatricians in Italy, primary care medical records provide a comprehensive picture of the overall health condition of patients and are particularly suitable to identify chronic conditions and those not linked to a healthcare claim. Moreover, the indications for the drug are recorded for every prescription. GPs contributing to the HSD or Arianna databases as well as family paediatricians contributing to Pedianet are trained to use a particular type of electronic medical record software, which is essential to maintain high-quality data recording. On the other hand, emergency admissions and acute medical episodes may be missed in such a primary care medical records database. The first prescription of reimbursed drugs prescribed by a specialist may also not be captured if leading to first drug treatment cycle dispensing from a hospital pharmacy.

AIFA-endorsed drug registries are rich in clinical details but cover only information related to a specific drug, and follow-up timespans may be shorter than in the other two types of databases. Table 2 summarises the strengths and weaknesses of Italian claims databases, EHRs and drug registries. The complementary strengths and limitations of claims databases, EHRs and registries provide a compelling argument for linking the three. Nevertheless, certain limitations are common to all three types of data sources. For example, while the *International Classification of Diseases, ninth revision* (ICD-9) disease coding system has been widely used in pharmacoepidemiology, it has a relatively small number of terms, estimated at 28,000; as a result, covariates must be defined through a laborious search among potentially

Table 2 Summary of strengths and weaknesses of Italian claims databases, electronic health records and drug registries

Healthcare information	Claims	EHRs	Drug registries
Acute conditions	+++	++	+*
Chronic conditions	++	+++	+*
Indication for drug use	NA	+	+*
Outpatient drugs covered by the NHS: drug prescribing in primary care	NA	+++	+*
Outpatient drugs covered by the NHS: drug dispensing of primary care prescriptions	+++	NA	NA
Outpatient drugs not covered by the NHS: drug prescribing in primary care	NA	++	NA
Outpatient drugs not covered by the NHS: drug dispensing of primary care prescriptions	NA	NA	NA
Outpatient NHS-covered drug dispensing of specialist prescriptions	+++**	++***	NA
Outpatient non-NHS-covered drug prescribing by specialists	NA	+***	NA
Inpatient drug prescribing/dispensing	NA	NA	NA

This evaluation was the result of a round-table consensus among the co-authors of the present paper, based on their experience of the respective data sources listed in Electronic Supplementary Material 2

EHR electronic health record, NA not available, NHS national health service, + indicates minimal information collected, ++ indicates moderate amount of information collected consistently, +++ indicates large amount of information collected consistently and accurately

useful codes present under various headings [23]. ICD-10 coding, which may be more appropriate for detecting adverse drug reactions, is rarely used in Italian data sources.

All data sources undergo thorough quality checks before studies are carried out. The completeness of the data is checked inhouse (e.g. missing records, correct and/ or valid data format, duplicate records), data quantity and quality over time is compared to ensure it is consistent (e.g. comparing new and past validated data) for internal validation, and external validation is conducted by benchmarking, i.e. by comparing the demographic characteristics of the population under study, frequency of drug utilization and comorbidities in the single databases with other databases and/or national estimates as provided by the Italian national statistics office. In addition, several validation studies have been carried out, notably, to validate diagnoses of type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, ischaemic heart disease and heart failure as well as their levels of severity in HSD [13]; to validate the prevalence of chronic pulmonary disease in HSD against the prevalence in claims data from five Italian regions (Veneto, Emilia-Romagna, Tuscany, Marche and Sicily) [24]; and to validate upper gastrointestinal bleeding in HSD and Agenzia Regionale Sanità (ARS) linkage NHS claims database compared with two non-Italian data sources: the Dutch electronic medical record database IPCI and the Danish Aarhus NHS-linked regional database [25]. Registries are validated in a similar way [26].

# 4 Applications of Italian Healthcare Databases to Post-Marketing Drug Utilisation and Safety Research

# 4.1 Drug Utilisation Research

Italy is frequently included in international drug utilisation comparisons aiming to identify discrepancies in patterns of drug use. Such comparisons, which should be interpreted in the light of the Italian healthcare system, enable identification of critical areas needing regulatory or educational interventions [27]. Moreover, Italian databases are often included in large European pharmacoepidemiological networks in which drug utilisation research is a key tool to define settings suitable for outcomes research [28] as well as to estimate the impact of drug utilisation in specific populations [29, 30]. The ARITMO project is an example of both these applications, aiming to evaluate the proarrhythmic risk of drugs. This project provided stimulating and sometimes unexpected findings on drug utilisation in various drug classes in Italy compared with other European countries [31–33].

The inappropriate use of medicines has been recognized as a major problem for global health [34]. As a result, strict monitoring of potentially inappropriate use might be the most important role of drug utilisation research. A number of Italian studies have evaluated the quality of prescribing, particularly in the elderly [35, 36]. The AIFA Geriatrics

<sup>\*</sup>Availability strongly related to the drug the registry is created to study

<sup>\*\*</sup>These drugs are fully captured unless patients purchase the medicines out of pocket

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>These drugs are captured if the general practitioner issues the prescription that is initially issued by a specialist

Working Group used data from the National Report on Medicines Use in Italy (OsMed) database to develop 13 quality indicators addressing polypharmacy, adherence to treatment of chronic diseases, prescribing cascade, undertreatment, drug-drug interactions and drugs to be avoided [35]. Recently, the Italian Group for Appropriate Drug Prescription in the Elderly (I-GrADE) identified a list of prescribing indicators for older adults with cardiovascular diseases and other chronic comorbidities, and is now validating them using ad hoc population samples [36, 37]. The appropriateness of drug prescriptions has also been extensively investigated in the paediatric population, particularly concerning antibiotic use in Italy, for which wide interregional differences in quantitative and qualitative prescription profile were found [38, 39]. See section 4.5 for further information on special populations.

Italian databases have also been widely used to assess adherence to medications and to evaluate predictors of poor adherence and the impact of ad hoc interventions [40–44]. Other studies used multi-level approaches to thoroughly evaluate geographical variations in adherence to therapy for different conditions [45, 46]. Future research will also have to take into account temporal variations to better capture associations between adherence and health outcomes [47].

A recent Italian population-based cohort study provided important data on potential predictors of treatment failure, such as poor adherence, in a large sample of young people affected by schizophrenia through the analysis of a claims database in the Lombardy region [44]. Claims records have also been used to construct ad hoc algorithms for the identification of selected neurological disorders. One such disease is epilepsy. Using an independent source of patients with epilepsy in a district of Lombardy, northern Italy, a diagnostic algorithm including electroencephalogram and selected treatment schedules was found to be moderately sensitive for the detection of epilepsy and seizures [48].

The enrichment of the Italian healthcare databases with clinical data will be essential to improve the quality of drug utilisation research. As discussed (see Tables 1 and 2), clinical information in Italian claims databases is scarce and mainly restricted to hospital discharge records, emergency admission and exemptions from co-payment. On the other hand, Italian EHR databases such as HSD do have clinical information, but they usually cannot be linked to claims data. The recent introduction of e-prescribing in Italy could constitute an advance in drug utilisation research, as the new prescription form includes a field specifying the indication for drug use.

# 4.2 Implementation and Impact of Risk-Minimisation Measures

RMMs are public health interventions aimed at preventing or reducing the frequency and/or severity of adverse drug

reactions (ADRs) [49]. A recent review reported that almost 75% of all RMM evaluation studies around the world were conducted using EHRs or claims databases [50]. This section explores two RMM evaluations carried out using Italian data sources: antipsychotic use in older people with dementia and off-label use of ketorolac and the related suspected ADRs reported.

Antipsychotic drugs are often used to manage the behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia. However, these drugs were found to lead to an increased risk of allcause mortality and stroke. Several drug agencies around the world issued drug safety warnings on this risk, leading to a number of observational studies [51–55]. In Italy, the national investigation into antipsychotic drug utilisation by people with dementia using the GP database HSD showed that the international (EMA) and national (AIFA) public health interventions promoting the appropriate use of these drugs did not lead to reduced prevalence of antipsychotic use, especially when compared with another country such as the UK, where use decreased over the study period (2000–2012) [3]. This study was instrumental in showing the effect of RMMs in Italy, and is the only one to do so to date.

Another public health intervention concerns the widespread off-label use of ketorolac. Ketorolac is associated with a higher risk of upper gastrointestinal bleeding and risk of precipitating renal failure [56]. As an RMM, in 2007 AIFA included ketorolac in the national list of drugs under strict monitoring and launched an information campaign among physicians by circulating a 'Dear Doctor' letter to ensure the appropriate use of this drug. However, despite EMA recommendations to restrict ketorolac prescribing to specialists only, Italy opted to allow GPs to issue nonrepeatable prescriptions for this drug [57]. An Italian study explored the extent of ketorolac off-label use in Italy and its association with the risk for ADRs after the RMM adopted in 2007. The study included two data sources: the Arianna EHR database linked to the Caserta LHU database from 2002 to 2013 and the Italian pharmacovigilance spontaneous reporting system database from 2001 to 2014. Findings from the EHR indicated that the number of inappropriate prescriptions of ketorolac with an inappropriate indication for use decreased during the 3 years after the AIFA RMM (from 53% in 2007 to 45-46% in 2008-2010) but increased again after 2011 (from 50% in 2011 to 53% in 2013). Serious ADR reports including upper gastrointestinal bleeding due to ketorolac in the Italian Pharmacovigilance Database did not decrease after the RMM in 2007 [58].

## 4.3 Drug Safety

Drug safety information in the pre-marketing phase is inevitably limited because randomised controlled trials (RCTs) are not usually designed to evaluate drug safety profiles [59].

In fact, during drug safety assessment, minor deviations from the intended randomization could significantly affect the stability of the risk estimates [59]. By the time a drug reaches the market, in general fewer than 5000 individuals have been exposed to a drug. As a result, only the most common ADRs can be detected. At least 30,000 people need to be exposed to a drug to capture an ADR with an incidence of 1 in 10,000 exposed individuals. Furthermore, information on very rare but serious ADRs, long-term safety, use in special groups or drug—drug interactions is often incomplete or unavailable, even for commonly used drugs [60, 61].

Post-marketing surveillance systems may be passive or proactive; however, the most commonly used approach is passive drug surveillance relying on spontaneous reporting systems. This approach is susceptible to underreporting, lack of denominator information concerning drug exposure (needed to estimate risk), and difficulty in distinguishing ADRs from events associated with underlying diseases or other factors, as well as reporting bias from excessive media attention [62]. To strengthen post-marketing drug safety measures and compensate for their limitations, a different data source containing such information as well as other methods to analyse such data is required [63]. Claims data and EHRs can adequately address these limitations.

Safety studies using large healthcare databases form an essential component of post-marketing drug surveillance [64]. By increasing the population sample size and heterogeneity of exposure, this approach offers the opportunity to design studies including very large 'unselected' populations and to generate real-world evidence in several fields of healthcare. Additionally, compared with traditional spontaneous reporting systems, the post-marketing approach using electronic healthcare data does not suffer from underreporting and reporting biases, thus potentially facilitating a timelier identification of signals. Compared with spontaneous reporting systems for ADRs, real-world healthcare databases may have a greater potential for detecting signals for events with low frequency in the general population and for events that are commonly not considered as potentially drug induced [65].

As for drug utilisation research, Italian databases often contribute to European healthcare database networks for large-scale monitoring of drug safety [66]. For example, the EU-ADR project used data from eight databases set in Denmark, the Netherlands, the UK and Italy and the well-known association between nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and upper gastrointestinal bleeding to clearly show that combining data from European EHR databases to identify adverse drug event associations is feasible and can set the stage for changing and enlarging the scale for drug safety monitoring [67]. Since then, at least other three European multi-country collaborative projects involving Italian electronic healthcare databases have been

developed: the ARITMO project [28], the SOS (Safety of Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs) project [68] and the SAFEGUARD (Safety Evaluation of Adverse Reactions in Diabetes) project. Alongside these multi-national projects, several multi-regional projects have been developed and are still ongoing. One such example is the AIFA-funded multi-regional study, AIFA-BEST (Bisphosphonates Effectiveness-Safety Trade-off), aiming to provide an assessment of the benefit–risk profile of bisphosphonate use in Italy [69, 70].

Italian databases have also contributed to several new drug safety signals concerning, for example, (1) the risk of acute liver injury in children and adolescents using domperidone, flunisolide and human insulin [4]; (2) the differential risk of chronic kidney disease associated with individual NSAIDs, particularly ketorolac, and long-term use of oxicams, especially meloxicam and piroxicam [71]; and (3) the risk of diabetes onset associated with statins [72]. Drug exposure can also be assessed as a risk factor (or a protective factor) for the occurrence of a disease. The association between angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) and motor neuron disease (MND) was investigated using administrative records from the Lombardy region. Contrary to a previous report that showed an inverse association between ACEIs and MND, the study found no significant association between MND/ALS and antecedent use of ACEIs or ARBs [73].

# 4.4 Comparative Effectiveness Research and Health Technology Assessment

Comparative effectiveness research (CER) concerns the direct comparison of existing healthcare interventions, identifying which patient groups will benefit most from a given intervention and which are more liable to harm. The availability of routinely collected healthcare data in Italy offers a unique opportunity for research in the context of CER, comparing the benefits and harms of therapeutic strategies available in routine practice, for the prevention, diagnosis or treatment of a given health condition aiming to determine which treatment works best, for whom, and under what circumstances [74]. CER can be, and is, carried out using common analytic methods applied in observational research based on healthcare databases.

In recent years, a large number of studies focusing on effectiveness and safety have been performed in Italy, at both local and multi-centre levels and in international contexts. One example is a multi-centre Italian CER study funded by AIFA, known as the OUTPUL project. This project investigated the outcomes of inhaled therapy in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Data from three regions were pooled to address several effectiveness

and safety issues [75, 76]. In addition, the multi-regional project on the evaluation of biologic drugs in cancer patients (Farmaci Biologici in Oncologia [FABIO]) was recently supported by AIFA with the aim of assessing drug utilisation, effectiveness and safety of oncologic drugs, with a specific focus on biological drugs, using the regional LHU databases of seven Italian regions: Lombardy, Tuscany, Marche, Abruzzo, Lazio, Sicily and Sardinia. Several other Italian studies have investigated the gap between scientific evidence from RCTs and clinical practice regarding drugs for hypertension [43, 77], hyperlipidaemia [78], diabetes [79], cancer [80, 81], chronic respiratory diseases [82, 83], osteoporosis [70, 84] and schizophrenia [85], among others. Finally, it is worth highlighting that some attempts to evaluate the cost-effectiveness profile of health interventions were based entirely on data derived from Italian electronic healthcare data [86, 87].

HTA concerns the systematic evaluation of properties, effects and/or impacts of health technology, and observational data also have an important role. Since HTA is an aid to decision makers, decisions are to be grounded in the context in which they are to be taken. Real-world data sources are extremely useful tools for HTA practice but should be always put in the context of their strengths and weaknesses. Several sources of data in Italy have been used for HTA purposes [88–90].

# 4.5 Post-Marketing Drug Safety Assessment in Special Populations

Drug use and safety monitoring among children, pregnant women and the elderly is a priority and a challenge as these vulnerable populations are normally excluded from RTCs [91].

#### 4.5.1 Children and Adolescents

Limited data are available on drug use in children and adolescents, mainly related to differing doses and formulations, which often results in off-label use in such populations [92]. However, it is well-known that children differ from adult patients not only in size and weight but also in several physiological characteristics, resulting in significantly different pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles compared with adults [93]. As a result, efficacy and safety data cannot be fully extrapolated from adult data [94]. Several commonly used medicines and medicinal products, including vaccines, antibiotics, antipyretics, NSAIDs and drugs used for the symptomatic treatment of colds or for gastrointestinal and metabolic disorders, could more frequently induce ADRs in children than inadults [95].

Many studies, some of which have used Italian healthcare databases, have investigated the safety profile of drugs in

children and adolescents. They have shown that (1) antiinfective agents for systemic use, drugs for central nervous system disorders, and NSAIDs most frequently caused ADRs in children [96]; (2) several drugs were most frequently reported as suspected causes of hepatic injury (e.g. paracetamol, valproic acid, carbamazepine, methotrexate and others) [97]. Other studies investigated the effectiveness and safety of methylphenidate and atomoxetine in the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in daily clinical practice [98–100].

Linking different healthcare claims databases (e.g. drug prescription, hospital admission, specialist visits) permits the monitoring of diagnostic and therapeutic approaches in paediatric patients with chronic disorders (e.g. asthma, epilepsy) and the evaluation of compliance between daily clinical practice and guidelines [101, 102].

The availability of routinely collected EHR data through the Pedianet network of Italian family paediatricians offers a unique opportunity for studying drug effectiveness and safety profiles among children (http://www.pedianet.it/en/). The safety of several drugs in children has been investigated using Pedianet, including NSAIDs [103, 104] and antibiotics [11, 105].

### 4.5.2 Pregnancy and Lactation

The need to monitor post-marketing drug use and safety in pregnancy was highlighted by the thalidomide tragedy, in which this drug, prescribed as a therapy for morning sickness in pregnant women, was found to be teratogenic, causing phocomelia [106]. However, initiatives aiming to address this information gap are increasing [103, 104]. In fact, longstanding concern about protecting the foetus and excluding pregnant women from clinical trials is slowly being re-evaluated [109]. Nevertheless, few studies have evaluated the safety and efficacy of drugs in pregnant or breast-feeding women. Regulatory authorities are recognising the need for reliable information on the use of medicines during pregnancy and lactation. Indeed, many women use medicines during pregnancy or lactation, whether for chronic or acute conditions. Italian data sources used for post-marketing studies include pregnancy registries, medical claims databases and spontaneous ADR-reporting databases.

Spontaneous ADR-reporting system databases can often provide important signals of teratogenicity or foetal toxicity after drug exposure. For instance, an evaluation of adverse event (AE) reports in the Italian Pharmacovigilance AE Spontaneous Reporting System (Rete Nazionale Farmacovigilanza [RNF]) regarding the pandemic influenza vaccination, which was also administered to pregnant women from October 2009 to June 2010 suggested a positive benefit–risk balance in this population [110].

An important development concerns the contribution of Italian healthcare databases to the EUROCAT network (which investigates congenital malformations) and to EUROmediCAT (which investigates drug-related aspects of congenital malformations). Both the Tuscany and Emilia-Romagna regions contribute claims data and registries [7, 111–116].

Finally, the Teratology Information Services (TIS) provides both the public and health professionals with tailor-made information on drug risks in pregnancy. TIS in Italy is a European partner of the European Network of Teratology Information Services (ENTIS), the aim of which is to optimise the interpretation of teratogenic risk, risk communication and risk management as well as recommendations for drug treatment in pregnant women [117]. Italian data sources have been used to conduct several investigations on gestational diabetes [118] and the use and effects of antidepressants during pregnancy [115, 116]. Some of these studies link other claims data to routinely collected Italian birth registry (see Table 1).

# 4.5.3 Elderly Residing in Nursing Homes

The elderly are generally considered susceptible to ADRs because of several factors, including widespread polypharmacy and multi-morbidity. However, elderly people residing in nursing homes (NHs) constitute a sub-population that deserves special interest because they are likely to be among the frailest, but health data in this setting may be difficult to capture. Polypharmacy is a concern in NHs, because elderly NH residents often have a large number of diseases and frequently require multiple medications. Polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate medications were found to be more frequent among institutionalized people than among elderly people living in the community [121], and inappropriate drug use was shown to increase the risk of adverse health outcomes (hospitalizations, emergency department visits, death) in NH residents.

Data on drug therapy in NHs for large pharmacoepide-miological studies have been retrieved outside of Italy from EHRs or from insurance claims and pill dispenser systems [122], whereas in Italy only ad hoc surveys on specific sub-populations are presently available [119, 120]. The absence of drug-related information in Italian NHs is partly because specific claims databases for this population are unavailable in many regions, which is in turn due to the different procedures for medication purchase and reimbursement in NHs compared with the general population.

Fortunately, NHs in Italy are beginning to make use of electronic case report forms, where data on drugs are integrated with other clinical data on diseases, functional status, etc. This is a good opportunity that could be exploited to conduct pharmacoepidemiological studies using a wealth

of other information, particularly if all NHs could collect a standardized set of data, such as the 'minimum data set' that US NHs are mandated to supply for all residents in Medicare and Medicaid-certified NHs [125]. Despite the general lack of systematic data collection in NHs, some forms of routinely collected geriatric data can be used in observational research. One such example is geriatric data collected through a multi-dimensional assessment instrument used for administrative and clinical purposes, known as inter RAI, which contains sociodemographic, medical history, functionality status, and cognition information and has been used in many regions in Italy [37]. This tool has been used to study the risk of hospitalisation and death with anticholinergic drug use in elderly individuals in NHs.

# 4.6 Use and Safety of Generics and Biosimilars

Generic drugs are important for healthcare systems because they cost less than the originators and must be proven as effective as the originator in order to be marketed. Physicians are therefore usually advised to prescribe the former over the latter [122, 123]. However, whether generic drugs are as therapeutically effective and safe as their originator counterparts is still occasionally questioned by clinicians [128]. An Italian population-based cohort study using the claims healthcare utilisation databases of the Italian Lombardy region compared patients treated with generic and brand-name statins in terms of therapeutic interruption and cardiovascular outcomes [129]. This study showed that generic statins were not associated with a greater risk of discontinuation or hospitalization for major cardiovascular events than originator statins. Such a study, as well as others, has a key role in providing evidence to reassure physicians on the safety of generic drugs [130, 131]. As for biological drugs, concerns about the safety and effectiveness of biosimilar drugs are still widespread. These drugs are commonly used for high-burden therapeutic areas, such as dermatology, gastroenterology, rheumatology and oncology, providing on average at least a 20-30% purchase cost reduction in comparison to the reference [132].

Since 2006, many biological drugs have lost their patent; of a total of 41 biosimilars approved by the EMA [133], 36 are currently authorised in the EU (e.g. somatropin, epoetin alfa, filgrastim, follitropin alfa, insulin glargine, infliximab, etanercept and, recently, enoxaparin sodium, teriparatide, rituximab and adalimumab) and an additional 18 biosimilars are awaiting marketing authorization [134]. Biosimilars are biological drugs similar to a previously approved original biological drug (reference product) and are considered effective and safe therapeutic alternatives to their reference products, based on data from a comparability exercise [135]. However, in Italy, biosimilar use was suboptimal in clinical practice, for reasons that include safety concerns

[136]. An Italian project funded by the Italian Health Ministry addressed this issue through a network of six claims databases from Palermo, Caserta, Treviso LHUs and the Tuscany, Umbria and Lazio regions, covering a total population of around 13 million inhabitants (25% of the Italian population). Four observational studies conducted in the course of this project showed an increasing trend in the use of biosimilar epoetins, filgrastim and somatropin; however, the proportion of those using biosimilar somatropin was rather low compared with biosimilar epoetins or filgrastim [133–135]. These studies also highlighted that therapeutic substitution between biosimilars and originators of the same therapeutic class is frequent in routine care, despite ongoing debates about their comparative safety and effectiveness. Italian post-marketing studies and analyses of pharmacovigilance databases have so far provided reassuring data on the effectiveness and safety of biosimilars of epoetins [139, 140], as well as the safety of switching between reference products and biosimilars of epoetins, filgrastim, somatropin and infliximab [141, 142].

#### 4.7 Vaccines

In the last few years, vaccine use and safety has been of particular interest. Specific issues, such as concern about the 2009 A/H1N1 pandemic influenza, prompted the FDA and the EMA to approve the influenza vaccine without prior regular pre-marketing clinical testing. It was therefore important for studies in subsequent years to confirm the efficacy and safety of the vaccine. On this occasion, for the first time, pregnant women were vaccinated. A study was carried out in pregnant women vaccinated with the influenza vaccine [143], using the vaccine database of the Lombardy region in Italy to identify the exposure status, and the birth registry and the hospital discharge database to ascertain the outcomes.

Many Italian regions have computerized databases of vaccinated subjects that can be linked to demographic, outpatient and/or hospital datasets. These databases have formed the basis of several important studies, although the use of these registers has been limited to a few research groups within specific regions. Indeed, in Italy, immunization registries are created and updated at the regional level. Unfortunately, there is no single national vaccine registry [144].

Registry data are important not only in evaluating vaccine coverage but also in providing exposure data to compare with the spontaneous reporting data. The reporting rate (number of reports divided by number of exposed individuals) is used much more in vaccinovigilance than in pharmacovigilance. The disproportionality analysis used in the signal analysis is more difficult to apply when analysing vaccine data. Registry data allow the comparison of the event reporting rate associated with a vaccine with the background rate

of the same event in the population. The linkage of vaccine databases with data from the national pharmacovigilance network has been the basis of several studies, some of which concern the safety of the hexavalent vaccine [145, 146] and of various pneumococcal vaccines. One study using the database of the Italian Pharmacovigilance Network (Rete Nazionale di Farmacovigilanza) and drug utilisation data for the three vaccines against measles, rubella and mumps (MMR) showed a higher rate of hypersensitivity to Morupar than to the other vaccines [147–149]. As a result, Morupar was withdrawn and other alternative vaccines were used instead. The type of data used to study vaccine safety is expanding in both number and nature. There is growing interest in the way social media can be used to capture patient-generated information on drug and vaccine safety. For example, a recent Italian study investigated the internet and public confidence in the MMR vaccination in Italy [150].

# 5 Challenges

#### 5.1 Multi-Database Studies

Data from different databases, including those in different countries or regions, can be pooled to increase statistical power when studying rare outcomes. An additional benefit of such pooling is the increased generalisation of the evidence generated to broad and heterogeneous populations, increasing the value of such evidence [151]. By combining databases, the effects of a wide variety of healthcare services, including medications and other medical interventions, can be studied and compared on ever increasing scales [66, 67, 152].

Several examples of projects and studies based on multiple databases from European countries, including Italy [31–33, 68], as well as from Italian regions [36, 69, 70, 84, 153, 154], have been described in the previous paragraphs. Many such studies combined all individual patient records coming from single databases, generating an overall database on which the effect of interest is estimated, i.e. nominally by a one-stage meta-analysis [155]. However, the new European regulations on data protection [156] limit the use of electronic health data in this manner, making it more difficult to use a one-stage approach when combining individual participant data from different countries, and even from different regions within the same country. For this reason, alternative approaches to the one-stage meta-analysis need to be considered. Among these, the so-called twostage meta-analysis involves separate analyses within each database and subsequent combination of database-specific estimates through standard meta-analytic techniques [157]. This approach should be in line with the above-mentioned

European regulations and has been intensively used in many international, European and Italian initiatives [158].

Some initiatives aimed at comparing one-stage and two-stage approaches in the field of multiple database studies have been conducted [159, 160]. In the meantime, multiregional studies using the two-stage approach are ongoing. For example, some current initiatives of the Italian Ministry of Health are monitoring healthcare pathways in Italian regions, particularly for diabetes, heart failure, COPD and breast and colorectal cancers.

# 5.2 Linkage with Clinical Databases

Many research centres in Italy have access to one or more databases, including claims databases, EHRs, or medical registries, and perform individual-level record linkage among databases. While Italy is rich in claims databases, the data remain largely of a non-clinical nature and are not collected for clinical purposes. The value of claims databases and similar data sources stands to improve significantly with the addition of a clinical perspective, through linkage with clinical databases, such as laboratory test values, radiographic imaging and geriatric evaluations. However, the linkage between claims and non-claims data, whether electronic medical records, registries or other types of data, is very challenging. A notable difficulty concerns the need to accurately and thoroughly harmonise not only the methods applied but also the identification of covariates such as medical events. This is a challenging and lengthy task that requires mapping different terminologies to specified concepts, such as those of the Unified Medical Language System [161]. Such obstacles hamper linkage of this kind also outside Italy, as noted by the paucity of clinical data included in real-world data analyses, which is a major limitation to the proper evaluation of drug safety and essential in assessments of effectiveness.

Nevertheless, some initiatives to link claims data to clinical data are underway in Italy. The linkage of claims with clinical databases has an important caveat: the clinical outcomes require validation to ensure they truly represent a clinical condition. Some important examples of clinical databases that can be linked to claims databases include (1) the comprehensive geriatric evaluations (including laboratory tests, activities of daily living, cognitive performance and body mass index) collected by a network of geriatricians from the Caserta LHU (almost 25% of the catchment area is available), (2) population-based cancer registries (reporting data with good quality and completeness involving all individuals belonging to the population covered by the registry who received a cancer diagnosis), (3) the hospital-based cancer registry of the Cancer National Institute of Milan (reporting histological, radiological and immunohistochemical data on lung cancer inpatients who have undergone surgery), (4) population-based cross-sectional surveys, such as those included in the Health Examination Survey (OEC/HES) coordinated by the National Health Institute (Istituto Superiore di Sanità) (reporting data on lifestyles, laboratory tests and health examinations of individuals randomly selected in the 1990s from resident populations within each Italian region) and (5) Fondazione IRCAB Registry of cardioverterdefibrillator implants [162]. However, these initiatives are currently the exception rather than the rule in the Italian setting, mainly because of privacy concerns. Nevertheless, progress is being made in this area. For instance, a recent study obtained specific permission from the National Privacy Authority to perform record linkage at the individual level between claims and EHR primary care records of more than 30,000 individuals in five Italian regions [163] for the purpose of validation. The appropriate use of probabilistic record linkage techniques remains an important way forward to link clinical and claims databases.

# 6 Conclusions

Although RCTs are undoubtedly the gold standard to demonstrate the efficacy and, to a lesser extent, the safety of new medicines before marketing approval, they have several limitations that can be addressed using healthcare databases. Italy is rich in healthcare databases, including EHRs, claims databases and AIFA-endorsed drug-monitoring registries. While much progress has been made in recent decades to use these data sources extensively to address various research questions on post-marketing use and safety of medicines, concerted efforts are needed to also harness data that are currently being collected but not used [164].

# Compliance with ethical standards

**Funding** No sources of funding were used to assist in the preparation of this study.

Conflict of interest Annalisa Capuano, Anna Cantarutti, Antonio Clavenna, Alessandro Mugelli, Alfredo Vannacci, Carla Carnovale, Carmen Ferrajolo, Concita Rafaniello, Francesco Barone-Adesi, Janet Sultana, Ersilia Lucenteforte, Elisabetta Poluzzi, Federico Rea, Giuseppe Traversa, Lorenzo Mantovani, Luigi Naldi, Mirosa Dellagiovanna, Matteo Franchi, Mauro Tettamanti, Olivia Leoni, Roberto Leone, Ursula Kirchmayer, Ugo Moretti and Ylenia Ingrasciotta have no conflicts of interest that are directly relevant to the content of this study. Ettore Beghi has received grants from UCB Pharma and the Italian Ministry of Health unrelated to the present paper; he has also received consulting fees from UCB Pharma unrelated to the present paper. Francesco Lapi has provided consultancies on epidemiology research and data analysis for Angelini, IBSA, Bayer, Novartis, GSK and Alfasigma unrelated to the present paper. Giovanni Corrao has received research support from the European Community (EC), AIFA and the Italian Ministry for University and Research (MIUR) and participated in a variety of projects that were funded by pharmaceutical

companies (i.e. Novartis, GSK, Roche, AMGEN and BMS) unrelated to the present paper; he has also received honoraria as an advisory board member of Roche unrelated to the present paper. Gianluca Trifirò has participated in advisory boards on biosimilars organised by Sandoz and Hospira unrelated to the present paper; furthermore, he coordinates a pharmacoepidemiology research team at the University of Messina, which receives research grants for projects that are not related to the present paper. Rosa Gini is employed by the Agenzia Regionale di Sanità della Toscana, an organization belonging to the Tuscany region, which has access to the type of data whose strengths and limitations are described in this manuscript and which participates in proposals for grants and contracts to conduct studies using such data.

**Ethical approval** No ethical approval was required for the preparation of this review.

# References

- US Food and Drug Administration. Real World Evidence [Internet]. 2018. https://www.fda.gov/scienceresearch/specialtopics/realworldevidence/default.htm. Accessed 25 May 2018.
- Trifirò G, Sultana J, Bate A. From big data to smart data for pharmacovigilance: the role of healthcare databases and other emerging sources. Drug Saf. 2018;41(2):143–9.
- Sultana J, Fontana A, Giorgianni F, Pasqua A, Cricelli C, Spina E, et al. The effect of safety warnings on antipsychotic drug prescribing in elderly persons with dementia in the United Kingdom and Italy: a population-based study. CNS Drugs. 2016;30(11):1097–112.
- Ferrajolo C, Coloma PM, Verhamme KM, Schuemie MJ, de Bie S, Gini R, et al. Signal detection of potentially drug-induced acute liver injury in children using a multi-country healthcare database network. Drug Saf. 2014;37(2):99–108.
- 5. Trifiro G, Fourrier-reglat A, Miriam CJM. The EU-ADR Project : preliminary results and perspective. 2009;43–9.
- Osokogu OU, Dukanovic J, Ferrajolo C, Dodd C, Pacurariu AC, Bramer WM, et al. Pharmacoepidemiological safety studies in children: a systematic review. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2016;25(8):861–70.
- Charlton RA, Klungsøyr K, Neville AJ, Jordan S, Pierini A, de Jong-van den Berg LT, et al. Prescribing of antidiabetic medicines before, during and after pregnancy: a study in seven European Regions. PLoS One. 2016;11(5):e0155737.
- Sacchetti E, Trifirò G, Caputi A, Turrina C, Spina E, Cricelli C, et al. Risk of stroke with typical and atypical anti-psychotics: a retrospective cohort study including unexposed subjects. J Psychopharmacol. 2008;22(1):39–46.
- 9. Italiano D, Bianchini E, Ilardi M, Cilia R, Pezzoli G, Zanettini R, et al. Effectiveness of risk minimization measures for cabergoline- induced cardiac valve fibrosis in clinical practice in Italy. J Neural Transm (Vienna). 2015;122(6):799–808.
- Ferre F, de Belvis AG, Valerio L, Longhi S, Lazzari A, Fattore G, et al. Italy: health system review. Health Syst Transit. 2014;16(4):1–168.
- Ferrajolo C, Verhamme KMC, Trifirò G, 't Jong GW, Picelli G, Giaquinto C, et al. Antibiotic-induced liver injury in paediatric outpatients: a case-control study in primary care databases. Drug Saf. 2017;40(4):305–15.
- Ingrasciotta Y, Sultana J, Giorgianni F, Caputi AP, Arcoraci V, Tari DU, et al. The burden of nephrotoxic drug prescriptions in patients with chronic kidney disease: a retrospective populationbased study in Southern Italy. PLoS One. 2014;9(2):1–8.

- 13. Gini R, Schuemie MJ, Mazzaglia G, Lapi F, Francesconi P, Pasqua A, et al. Automatic identification of type 2 diabetes, hypertension, ischaemic heart disease, heart failure and their levels of severity from Italian General Practitioners' electronic medical records: a validation study. BMJ Open. 2016;6(12):1–10.
- Sturkenboom M, Nicolosi A, Cantarutti L, Mannino S, Picelli G, Scamarcia A, et al. Incidence of mucocutaneous reactions in children treated with niflumic acid, other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or nonopioid analgesics. Pediatrics. 2005;116(1):e26–33.
- Italiano D, Capuano A, Alibrandi A, Ferrara R, Cannata A, Trifirò G, et al. Indications of newer and older anti-epileptic drug use: findings from a southern Italian general practice setting from 2005–2011. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2015;79(6):1010–9.
- Oteri A, Mazzaglia G, Pecchioli S, Molokhia M, Ulrichsen SP, Pedersen L, et al. Prescribing pattern of antipsychotic drugs during the years 1996–2010 a population-based database study in Europe with: a focus on torsadogenic drugs. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2016;82(2):487–97.
- Trifirò G, Corrao S, Alacqua M, Moretti S, Tari M, Caputi AP, et al. Interaction risk with proton pump inhibitors in general practice: significant disagreement between different drug-related information sources. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2006;62(5):582–90.
- Naldi L, Cazzaniga S, Di Mercurio M, Grossi E, Addis A. Inequalities in access to biological treatments for psoriasis: results from the Italian Psocare registry. Br J Dermatol. 2017;176(5):1331–8.
- Diphoorn J, Cazzaniga S, Gamba C, Schroeder J, Citterio A, Rivolta AL, et al. Incidence, causative factors and mortality rates of Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) in northern Italy: data from the REACT registry. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2016;25(2):196–203.
- Montilla S, Xoxi E, Russo P, Cicchetti A, Pani L. Monitoring registries at Italian medicines agency: fostering access, guaranteeing sustainability. Int J Technol Assess Health Care. 2015;31(4):210–3.
- Rare Disease Registries in Europe. 2018. http://www.orpha.net/ orphacom/cahiers/docs/GB/Registries.pdf.
- Corrao G, Mancia G. Generating evidence from computerized healthcare utilization databases. Hypertens (Dallas, Tex 1979). 2015;65(3):490–8.
- Moore TJ, Furberg CD. Electronic health data for postmarket surveillance: a vision not realized. Drug Saf. 2015;38(7):601–10.
- 24. Gini R, Francesconi P, Mazzaglia G, Cricelli I, Pasqua A, Gallina P, et al. Chronic disease prevalence from Italian administrative databases in the VALORE project: a validation through comparison of population estimates with general practice databases and national survey. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:15.
- Valkhoff VE, Coloma PM, Masclee GMC, Gini R, Innocenti F, Lapi F, et al. Validation study in four health-care databases: upper gastrointestinal bleeding misclassification affects precision but not magnitude of drug-related upper gastrointestinal bleeding risk. J Clin Epidemiol. 2014;67(8):921–31.
- Ormerod AD, Augustin M, Baker C, Chosidow O, Cohen AD, Dam TN, et al. Challenges for synthesising data in a network of registries for systemic psoriasis therapies. Dermatology. 2012;224(3):236–43.
- de Bruijn W, Ibáñez C, Frisk P, Bak Pedersen H, Alkan A, Vella Bonanno P, et al. Introduction and utilization of high priced HCV medicines across Europe; implications for the future. Front Pharmacol. 2016;7:197.
- 28. Trifirò G, De Ridder M, Sultana J, Oteri A, Rijnbeek P, Pecchioli S, et al. Use of azithromycin and risk of ventricular arrhythmia. CMAJ. 2017;189(15):E560–8.
- Poluzzi E, Raschi E, Koci A, Moretti U, Spina E, Behr ER, et al. Antipsychotics and torsadogenic risk: Signals emerging from the

- US FDA adverse event reporting system database. Drug Saf. 2013;36(6):467–79.
- 30. Poluzzi E, Raschi E, Godman B, Koci A, Moretti U, Kalaba M, et al. Pro-arrhythmic potential of oral antihistamines (H1): combining adverse event reports with drug utilization data across Europe. PLoS One. 2015;10(3):1–14.
- Holstiege J, Enders D, Schink T, Innocenti F, Oteri A, Bezemer I, et al. Trends in paediatric macrolide use in five European countries-a population-based study. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2015;71(8):991–9.
- 32. Mor A, Frøslev T, Thomsen RW, Oteri A, Rijnbeek P, Schink T, et al. Antibiotic use varies substantially among adults: a crossnational study from five European Countries in the ARITMO project. Infection. 2015;43(4):453–72.
- 33. Oteri A, Trifirò G, Gagliostro MS, Tari DU, Moretti S, Bramanti P, et al. Prescribing pattern of anti-epileptic drugs in an Italian setting of elderly outpatients: a population-based study during 2004–07. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2010;70(4):514–22.
- Holloway K, Van Dijk L. The world medicines situation: rational use of medicines. World Health Organisation. http://www.who. int/medicines/areas/policy/world\_medicines\_situation/WMS\_ ch14\_wRational.pdf. Accessed 26 Sept 2018.
- Onder G, Bonassi S, Abbatecola AM, Folino-Gallo P, Lapi F, Marchionni N, et al. High prevalence of poor quality drug prescribing in older individuals: a nationwide report from the Italian Medicines Agency (AIFA). J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2014;69(4):430–7.
- Rea F, Bonassi S, Vitale C, Trifirò G, Cascini S, Roberto G, et al. Exposure to statins is associated to fracture risk reduction in elderly people with cardiovascular disease: evidence from the AIFA-I-GrADE observational project. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2017;26:775–84.
- 37. Vetrano DL, La Carpia D, Grande G, Casucci P, Bacelli T, Bernabei R, et al. Anticholinergic medication burden and 5-year risk of hospitalization and death in nursing home elderly residents with coronary artery disease. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2016;17(11):1056–9.
- 38. Piovani D, Clavenna A, Cartabia M, Bonati M. Psychotropic medicine prescriptions in Italian youths: a multiregional study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016;25(3):235–45.
- Piovani D, Clavenna A, Cartabia M, Bonati M. Antibiotic Collaborative Group. The regional profile of antibiotic prescriptions in Italian outpatient children. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2012;68(6):997–1005.
- Maggioni AP, Calabria S, Rossi E. Martini N, on the behalf of the ARNO Observatory. Use of lipid lowering drugs in patients at very high risk of cardiovascular events: an analysis on nearly 3,000,000 Italian subjects of the ARNO Observatory. Int J Cardiol. 2017;246:62–7.
- Marengoni A, Onder G, Degli Esposti L, Russo P, Sangiorgi D, Buda S, et al. Adherence to selective serotonin and serotoninnorepinephrine reuptake inhibitor prescriptions affects overall medication adherence in older persons: evidence from the Italian Nationwide OsMed Health-DB Database. J Clin Psychiatry. 2016;77(12):1712–8.
- 42. Ferrajolo C, Arcoraci V, Sullo MG, Rafaniello C, Sportiello L, Ferrara R, et al. Pattern of statin use in southern Italian primary care: can prescription databases be used for monitoring long-term adherence to the treatment? PLoS One. 2014;9(7):1–9.
- Corrao G, Soranna D, Merlino L, Mancia G. Similarity between generic and brand-name antihypertensive drugs for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: evidence from a large population-based study. Eur J Clin Invest. 2014;44(10):933–9.
- Corrao G, Soranna D, Merlino L, Monzani E, Vigano C, Lora
   A. Do patterns of mental healthcare predict treatment failure

- in young people with schizophrenia? Evidence from an Italian population-based cohort study. BMJ Open. 2015;5(6):e007140.
- 45. Di Martino M, Ventura M, Cappai G, Lallo A, Davoli M, Agabiti N, et al. Adherence to long-acting bronchodilators after discharge for COPD: how much of the geographic variation is attributable to the hospital of discharge and how much to the primary care providers? COPD. 2017;14(1):86–94.
- 46. Di Martino M, Alagna M, Cappai G, Mataloni F, Lallo A, Perucci CA, et al. Adherence to evidence-based drug therapies after myocardial infarction: is geographic variation related to hospital of discharge or primary care providers? A cross-classified multilevel design. BMJ Open. 2016;6(4):e010926.
- 47. Franklin JM, Shrank WH, Pakes J, Sanfélix-Gimeno G, Matlin OS, Brennan TA, et al. Group-based trajectory models: a new approach to classifying and predicting long-term medication adherence. Med Care. 2013;51(9):789–96.
- Franchi C, Giussani G, Messina P, Montesano M, Romi S, Nobili A, et al. Validation of healthcare administrative data for the diagnosis of epilepsy. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2013;67(12):1019–24.
- European Medicines Agency. Guideline on good pharmacovigilance practices module XVI-risk minimisation measures. 2013;1–69. http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/govi/ pzpr/2012/00000019/00000004/art00008?crawler=true&mimet ype=application/pdf. Accessed 25 May 2018.
- Goedecke T, Morales DR, Pacurariu A, Kurz X. Measuring the impact of medicines regulatory interventions—systematic review and methodological considerations. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2018:84(3):419–33.
- Valiyeva E, Herrmann N, Mph PAR, Gill SS, Anderson GM. Effect of regulatory warnings on antipsychotic prescription rates among elderly patients with dementia: a population-based timeseries analysis. CMAJ. 2008;179(5):438–46.
- Dorsey ER, Rabbani A, Gallagher SA, Conti RM, Alexander GC. Impact of FDA black box advisory on antipsychotic medication use. Arch Intern Med. 2010;170(1):96–103.
- 53. Guthrie B, Clark SA, Reynish EL, McCowan C, Morales DR. Differential impact of two risk communications on antipsychotic prescribing to people with dementia in scotland: segmented regression time series analysis 2001–2011. PLoS One. 2013;8(7):e68976.
- Gallini A, Andrieu S, Donohue JM, Oumouhou N, Lapeyre-Mestre M, Gardette V. Trends in use of antipsychotics in elderly patients with dementia: impact of national safety warnings. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2014;24(1):95–104.
- 55. Schulze J, van den Bussche H, Glaeske G, Kaduszkiewicz H, Wiese B, Hoffmann F. Impact of safety warnings on antipsychotic prescriptions in dementia: nothing has changed but the years and the substances. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2013;23(9):1034–42.
- Massó González EL, Patrignani P, Tacconelli S, García Rodríguez LA. Variability among nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs in risk of upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Arthritis Rheum. 2010;62(6):1592–601.
- 57. Naranjo CA, Busto U, Sellers EM, Sandor P, Ruiz I, Roberts EA, et al. A method for estimating the probability of adverse drug reactions. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 1981;30:239–45.
- 58. Viola E, Trifirò G, Ingrasciotta Y, Sottosanti L, Tari M, Giorgianni F, et al. Adverse drug reactions associated with off-label use of ketorolac, with particular focus on elderly patients. An analysis of the Italian pharmacovigilance database and a population based study. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2016;15(sup2):61–7.
- Hammad TA, Pinheiro SP, Neyarapally GA. Secondary use of randomized controlled trials to evaluate drug safety: a review of methodological considerations. Clin Trials. 2011;8(5):559–70.

360 G. Trifirò et al.

 Pitrou I, Boutron I, Ahmad N, Ravaud P. Reporting of safety results in published reports of randomized controlled trials. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(19):1756–1761.

- Ioannidis JPA. Adverse events in randomized trials: neglected, restricted, distorted, and silenced. Arch Intern Med. 2009;169(19):1737–9.
- Goldman SA. Limitations and strengths of spontaneous reports data. Clin Ther. 1998;20(Suppl 3):C40–4.
- Molokhia M, Tanna S, Bell D. Improving reporting of adverse drug reactions: systematic review. Clin Epidemiol. 2009;1:75–92.
- Stephenson WP, Hauben M. Data mining for signals in spontaneous reporting databases: proceed with caution. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2007;16(4):359–65.
- 65. Patadia VK, Coloma P, Schuemie MJ, Herings R, Gini R, Mazza-glia G, et al. Using real-world healthcare data for pharmacovigilance signal detection—the experience of the EU-ADR project. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2015;8(1):95–102.
- 66. Coloma PM, Trifirò G, Schuemie MJ, Gini R, Herings R, Hippisley-Cox J, et al. Electronic healthcare databases for active drug safety surveillance: is there enough leverage? Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2012;21(6):611–21.
- Coloma PM, Schuemie MJ, Trifirò G, Gini R, Herings R, Hippisley-Cox J, et al. Combining electronic healthcare databases in Europe to allow for large-scale drug safety monitoring: the EU-ADR Project. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2011;20(1):1–11.
- Arfè A, Scotti L, Varas-Lorenzo C, Nicotra F, Zambon A, Kollhorst B, et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of heart failure in four European countries: nested case-control study. BMJ. 2016;354:i4857.
- Herrera L, Leal I, Lapi F, Schuemie M, Arcoraci V, Cipriani F, et al. Risk of atrial fibrillation among bisphosphonate users: a multicenter, population-based, Italian study. Osteoporos Int. 2015;26(5):1499–506.
- Ghirardi A, Di Bari M, Zambon A, Scotti L, Della Vedova G, Lapi F, et al. Effectiveness of oral bisphosphonates for primary prevention of osteoporotic fractures: evidence from the AIFA-BEST observational study. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2014;70(9):1129–37.
- 71. Ingrasciotta Y, Sultana J, Giorgianni F, Fontana A, Santangelo A, Tari DU, et al. Association of individual non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and chronic kidney disease: a population-based case control study. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):1–14.
- Corrao G, Ibrahim B, Nicotra F, Soranna D, Merlino L, Catapano AL, et al. Statins and the risk of diabetes: evidence from a large population-based cohort study. Diabetes Care. 2014;37(8):2225–32.
- 73. Franchi C, Bianchi E, Pupillo E, Poloni M, Nobili A, Fortino I, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and motor neuron disease: an unconfirmed association. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener. 2016;17(5–6):385–8.
- 74. Greenfield S, Rich E. Welcome to the Journal Of Comparative Effectiveness Research. J Comp Eff Res. 2012;1(1):1–3.
- 75. Kirchmayer U, Cascini S, Agabiti N, Di Martino M, Bauleo L, Formoso G, et al. One-year mortality associated with COPD treatment: a comparison of tiotropium and long-acting beta2-agonists in three Italian regions: results from the OUTPUL study. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2016;25(5):578–89.
- Di Martino M, Agabiti N, Cascini S, Kirchmayer U, Bauleo L, Fusco D, et al. The effect on total mortality of adding inhaled corticosteroids to long-acting bronchodilators for COPD: a real practice analysis in Italy. COPD. 2016;13(3):293–302.
- 77. Corrao G, Rea F, Ghirardi A, Soranna D, Merlino L, Mancia G. Adherence with antihypertensive drug therapy and the risk of heart failure in clinical practice. Hypertension. 2015;66(4):742-9.

 Corrao G, Ibrahim B, Nicotra F, Zambon A, Merlino L, Pasini TS, et al. Long-term use of statins reduces the risk of hospitalization for dementia. Atherosclerosis. 2013;230(2):171–6.

- Corrao G, Romio SA, Zambon A, Merlino L, Bosi E, Scavini M. Multiple outcomes associated with the use of metformin and sulphonylureas in type 2 diabetes: a population-based cohort study in Italy. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2011;67(3):289–99.
- 80. Negri E, Zambelli A, Franchi M, Rossi M, Bonifazi M, Corrao G, et al. Effectiveness of trastuzumab in first-line HER2 + metastatic breast cancer after failure in adjuvant setting: a controlled cohort study. Oncologist. 2014;19(12):1209–15.
- Bonifazi M, Franchi M, Rossi M, Zambelli A, Moja L, Zambon A, et al. Long term survival of HER2-positive early breast cancer treated with trastuzumab-based adjuvant regimen: a large cohort study from clinical practice. Breast. 2014;23(5):573–8.
- 82. Corrao G, Arfè A, Nicotra F, Ghirardi A, Vaghi A, De Marco R, et al. Persistence with inhaled corticosteroids reduces the risk of exacerbation among adults with asthma: a real-world investigation. Respirology. 2016;21(6):1034–40.
- Arfè A, Blasi F, Merlino L, Corrao G. Respiratory drugs and macrolides prevent asthma exacerbations: a real-world investigation. Respir Med. 2016;119:7–12.
- 84. Corrao G, Ghirardi A, Segafredo G, Zambon A, Della Vedova G, Lapi F, et al. User-only design to assess drug effectiveness in clinical practice: application to bisphosphonates and secondary prevention of fractures. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2014;23(8):859–67.
- Mancia G, Zambon A, Soranna D, Merlino L, Corrao G. Factors involved in the discontinuation of antihypertensive drug therapy: an analysis from real life data. J Hypertens. 2014;32(8):1708–15 (discussion 1716).
- Scotti L, Arfè A, Zambon A, Merlino L, Corrao G. Cost-effectiveness of enhancing adherence with oral bisphosphonates treatment in osteoporotic women: an empirical approach based on healthcare utilisation databases. BMJ Open. 2014;4(3):e003758.
- 87. Corrao G, Scotti L, Zambon A, Baio G, Nicotra F, Conti V, et al. Cost-effectiveness of enhancing adherence to therapy with statins in the setting of primary cardiovascular prevention. Evidence from an empirical approach based on administrative databases. Atherosclerosis. 2011;217(2):479–85.
- Blasi F, Cesana G, Conti S, Chiodini V, Aliberti S, Fornari C, et al. The clinical and economic impact of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a cohort of hospitalized patients. PLoS One. 2014;9(6):1–8.
- Scalone L, Cesana G, Furneri G, Ciampichini R, Beck-Peccoz P, Chiodini V, et al. Burden of diabetes mellitus estimated with a longitudinal population-based study using administrative databases. PLoS One. 2014;9(12):1–11.
- Mazzaglia G, Ambrosioni E, Alacqua M, Filippi A, Sessa E, Immordino V, et al. Adherence to antihypertensive medications and cardiovascular morbidity among newly diagnosed hypertensive patients. Circulation. 2009;120(16):1598–605.
- 91. De Bie S, Coloma PM, Ferrajolo C, Verhamme KMC, Trifirò G, Schuemie MJ, et al. The role of electronic healthcare record databases in paediatric drug safety surveillance: a retrospective cohort study. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2015;80(2):304–14.
- Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Clinical Research Involving Children. The ethical conduct of clinical research involving children. Consensus study report. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2004. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/books/NBK25553/. Accessed 25 May 2018.
- 93. Almond C. Children are not little adults. Aust Nurs J. 1998;6(3):suppl 1-4.
- Guideline on conduct of pharmacovigilance for medicines used by the paediatric population. 2007. http://www.ema.europa.eu/

- docs/en\_GB/document\_library/Scientific\_guideline/2009/09/WC500003764.pdf. Accessed 25 May 2018.
- 95. Napoleone E. Children and ADRs (adverse drug reactions). Ital J Pediatr. 2010;36(1):4.
- Ferrajolo C, Capuano A, Trifirò G, Moretti U, Rossi F, Santuccio C. Pediatric drug safety surveillance in Italian pharmacovigilance network: an overview of adverse drug reactions in the years 2001–2012. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2014;13(Suppl 1):S9–20.
- Ferrajolo C, Capuano A, Verhamme KMC, Schuemie M, Rossi F, Stricker BH, et al. Drug-induced hepatic injury in children: a case/non-case study of suspected adverse drug reactions in VigiBase. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2010;70(5):721–8.
- Döpfner M, Görtz-Dorten A, Breuer D, Rothenberger A. An observational study of once-daily modified-release methylphenidate in ADHD: effectiveness on symptoms and impairment, and safety. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011;20(suppl. 2):243–55.
- Capuano A, Scavone C, Rafaniello C, Arcieri R, Rossi F, Panei P. Atomoxetine in the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and suicidal ideation. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2014;13(Suppl 1):S69–78.
- Reale L, Bartoli B, Cartabia M, Zanetti M, Costantino MA, Canevini MP, et al. Comorbidity prevalence and treatment outcome in children and adolescents with ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017;26(12):1443–57.
- Bianchi M, Clavenna A, Sequi M, Bortolotti A, Fortino I, Merlino L, et al. Childhood asthma management pre- and post-incident asthma hospitalization. PLoS One. 2013;8(10):e76439.
- Putignano D, Clavenna A, Campi R, Bortolotti A, Fortino I, Merlino L, et al. Antiepileptic drug use in Italian children over a decade. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2017;73(2):241–8.
- 103. Valkhoff VE, Schade R, 't Jong GW, Romio S, Schuemie MJ, Arfe A, et al. Population-based analysis of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use among children in four European countries in the SOS project: what size of data platforms and which study designs do we need to assess safety issues? BMC Pediatr. 2013;13:192.
- 104. Neubert A, Verhamme K, Murray ML, Picelli G, Hsia Y, Sen FE, et al. The prescribing of analgesics and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in paediatric primary care in the UK. Italy and the Netherlands. Pharmacol Res. 2010;62(3):243–8.
- 105. de Bie S, Kaguelidou F, Verhamme KMC, De Ridder M, Picelli G, Straus SMJM, et al. Using prescription patterns in primary care to derive new quality indicators for childhood community antibiotic prescribing. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2016;35(12):1317–23.
- McBride WG. Thalidomide and congenital abnormalities. Lancet. 1961;278(7216):1321–68.
- Baylis F. Pregnant women deserve better. Nature. 2010;465(7299):689-90.
- 108. Clayton JA. Enrolling pregnant women: issues in clinical research. Womens Heal Issues. 2014;23(1):1–11.
- Lyerly AD, Little MO, Faden R. The second wave: Toward responsible inclusion of pregnant women in research. Int J Fem Approaches Bioeth. 2008;1(2):5–22.
- Parretta E, Ianniello B, Ferrazin F, Rossi F, Capuano A. Italian post-marketing surveillance for adverse event reports after MF59adjuvanted H1N1v vaccination. Vaccine. 2011;29(20):3708–13.
- 111. Charlton R, Garne E, Wang H, Klungsøyr K, Jordan S, Neville A, et al. Antiepileptic drug prescribing before, during and after pregnancy: a study in seven European regions. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2015;24(11):1144–54.
- 112. de Jonge L, Garne E, Gini R, Jordan SE, Klungsoyr K, Loane M, et al. Improving information on maternal medication use by linking prescription data to congenital anomaly registers: a EUROmediCAT study. Drug Saf. 2015;38(11):1083–93.
- Charlton RA, Jordan S, Pierini A, Garne E, Neville AJ, Hansen AV, et al. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor prescribing

- before, during and after pregnancy: a population-based study in six European regions. BJOG. 2015;122(7):1010–20.
- Charlton RA, Neville AJ, Jordan S, Pierini A, Damase-Michel C, Klungsøyr K, et al. Healthcare databases in Europe for studying medicine use and safety during pregnancy. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2014;23(6):586–94.
- Morgan M, De Jong-van den Berg LTW, Jordan S. Drug safety in pregnancy-monitoring congenital anomalies. J Nurs Manag. 2011;19(3):305–10.
- 116. Charlton RA, Pierini A, Klungsøyr K, Neville AJ, Jordan S, de Jong-van den Berg LTW, et al. Asthma medication prescribing before, during and after pregnancy: a study in seven European regions. BMJ Open. 2016;6(1):e009237.
- Schaefer C, Hannemann D, Meister R. Post-marketing surveillance system for drugs in pregnancy-15 years experience of ENTIS. Reprod Toxicol. 2005;20(3):331-43.
- 118. Nicotra F, Molinari C, Dozio N, Castiglioni MT, Ibrahim B, Zambon A, et al. Screening for gestational diabetes in the Lombardy region: a population-based study. Diabetes Metab. 2015;41(4):319–25.
- Cantarutti A, Merlino L, Giaquinto C, Corrao G. Use of antidepressant medication in pregnancy and adverse neonatal outcomes: a population-based investigation. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2017;26(9):1100–8.
- 120. Cantarutti A, Merlino L, Monzani E, Giaquinto C, Corrao G. Is the risk of preterm birth and low birth weight affected by the use of antidepressant agents during pregnancy? a population-based investigation. PLoS One. 2016;11(12):e0168115.
- 121. Barnett K, McCowan C, Evans JMM, Gillespie ND, Davey PG, Fahey T. Prevalence and outcomes of use of potentially inappropriate medicines in older people: cohort study stratified by residence in nursing home or in the community. BMJ Qual Saf. 2011;20(3):275–81.
- 122. Herr M, Grondin H, Sanchez S, Armaingaud D, Blochet C, Vial A, et al. Polypharmacy and potentially inappropriate medications: a cross-sectional analysis among 451 nursing homes in France. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2017;73(5):601–8.
- 123. Ruggiero C, Dell'Aquila G, Gasperini B, Onder G, Lattanzio F, Volpato S, et al. Potentially inappropriate drug prescriptions and risk of hospitalization among older, Italian, nursing home residents: the ULISSE project. Drugs Aging. 2010;27(9):747–58.
- 124. Nobili A, Piana I, Balossi L, Pasina L, Matucci M, Tarantola M, et al. Alzheimer special care units compared with traditional nursing home for dementia care: are there differences at admission and in clinical outcomes? Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord. 2008;22(4):352–61.
- Liperoti R, Gambassi G, Lapane KL, Chiang C, Pedone C, Mor V, et al. Conventional and atypical antipsychotics and the risk of hospitalization for ventricular arrhythmias or cardiac arrest. Arch Intern Med. 2005;165(6):696–701.
- 126. McManus P, Birkett DJ, Dudley J, Stevens A. Impact of the Minimum Pricing Policy and introduction of brand (generic) substitution into the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme in Australia. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2001;10(4):295–300.
- 127. Haas JS, Phillips KA, Gerstenberger EP, Seger AC. Potential savings from substituting generic drugs for brand-name. Ann Intern Med. 2017;142(11):891–7.
- Shrank WH, Cox ER, Fischer MA, Mehta J, Choudhry NK. Patients' perceptions of generic medications. Health Aff. 2009;28(2):546-56.
- 129. Corrao G, Soranna D, Arfè A, Casula M, Tragni E, Merlino L, et al. Are generic and brand-name statins clinically equivalent? Evidence from a real data-base. Eur J Intern Med. 2014;25(8):745–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2014.08.002.
- Colombo GL, Agabiti-Rosei E, Margonato A, Mencacci C, Montecucco CM, Trevisan R. Off-patent generic medicines vs.

- off-patent brand medicines for six reference drugs: a retrospective claims data study from five Local Healthcare Units in the Lombardy Region of Italy. PLoS One. 2013;8(12):1–9.
- 131. Corrao G, Soranna D, La Vecchia C, Catapano A, Agabiti-Rosei E, Gensini G, et al. Medication persistence and the use of generic and brand-name blood pressure-lowering agents. J Hypertens. 2014;32(5):1146–53 (discussion 1153).
- 132. Jørgensen KK, Olsen IC, Goll GL, Lorentzen M, Bolstad N, Haavardsholm EA, et al. Switching from originator infliximab to biosimilar CT-P13 compared with maintained treatment with originator infliximab (NOR-SWITCH): a 52-week, randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority trial. Lancet. 2017;389(10086):2304–16.
- European public assessment reports. http://www.ema.europ a.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/landing/epar\_searc h.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d125. Accessed 25 May 2018.
- Biosimilars applications under review by EMA. GaBI Online. http://gabionline.net/Biosimilars/General/Biosimilars-applications-under-review-by-EMA-December-2016. Accessed 25 May 2018.
- 135. Agency EM. Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP): guideline on similar biological medicinal products containing biotechnology-derived proteins as active substance: non-clinical and clinical issues. 2013. http://www.ema. europa.eu/docs/en\_GB/document\_library/%0AScientific\_guide line/2013/06/WC500144124.pdf. Accessed 25 May 2018.
- Wadhwa M, Thorpe R. The challenges of immunogenicity in developing biosimilar products. IDrugs. 2009;12(7):440–4.
- 137. Ingrasciotta Y, Giorgianni F, Bolcato J, Chinellato A, Pirolo R, Tari DU, et al. How much are biosimilars used in clinical practice? a retrospective Italian population-based study of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents in the years 2009–2013. BioDrugs. 2015;29(4):275–84.
- 138. Marcianò I, Ingrasciotta Y, Giorgianni F, Bolcato J, Chinellato A, Pirolo R, et al. How did the introduction of biosimilar filgrastim influence the prescribing pattern of granulocyte colonystimulating factors? results from a multicentre, population-based study, from five Italian centres in the years 2009–2014. BioDrugs. 2016;30(4):295–306.
- 139. Ingrasciotta Y, Giorgianni F, Marcianò I, Bolcato J, Pirolo R, Chinellato A, et al. Comparative effectiveness of biosimilar, reference product and other erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) Still covered by patent in chronic kidney disease and cancer patients: an Italian population-based study. PLoS One. 2016;11(5):1–16.
- 140. Trotta F, Belleudi V, Fusco D, Amato L, Mecozzi A, Mayer F, et al. Comparative effectiveness and safety of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (biosimilars vs originators) in clinical practice: a population-based cohort study in Italy. BMJ Open. 2017;7(3):e011637.
- 141. Vermeer NS, Spierings I, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK, Straus SM, Giezen TJ, Leufkens HG, et al. Traceability of biologicals: present challenges in pharmacovigilance. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2015;14(1):63–72.
- 142. Jahnsen J, Kaasen Jørgensen K. Experience with Biosimilar Infliximab (Remsima®) in Norway. Dig Dis. 2017;35(1–2):83–90.
- Trotta F, Da Cas R, Spila Alegiani S, Gramegna M, Venegoni M, Zocchetti C, et al. Evaluation of safety of A/H1N1 pandemic vaccination during pregnancy: cohort study. BMJ. 2014;348:g3361.
- Alfonsi V, D'Ancona F, Rota MC, Giambi C, Ranghiasci A, Iannazzo S. Immunisation registers in Italy: a patchwork of computerisation. Eurosurveillance. 2012;17(17):6.
- 145. Traversa G, Spila-Alegiani S, Bianchi C, Atti MCD, Frova L, Massari M, et al. Sudden unexpected deaths and vaccinations during the first two years of life in Italy: a case series study. PLoS One. 2011;6(1):1–10.

- 146. Galeotti F, Massari M, D'Alessandro R, Beghi E, Chiò A, Logroscino G, et al. Risk of Guillain-Barré syndrome after 2010-2011 influenza vaccination. Eur J Epidemiol. 2013;28(5):433-44.
- AIFA. Ritiro del vaccino Morupar®: il ruolo della segnalazione spontanea. Boll d'Informazione sui Farm. 2006;2:76. http:// www.aifa.gov.it/content/bif-22006. Accessed 25 May 2018.
- Novadzki IM, Rosário N, Zanoni G, Chiesa E, Puccetti A, Simone R. Hypersensitivity reactions following measles-mumpsrubella vaccine and dextran-specific IgG response. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr). 2010;38(6):341–3.
- Zanoni G, Puccetti A, Dolcino M, Simone R, Peretti A, Ferro A, et al. Dextran-specific IgG response in hypersensitivity reactions to measles-mumps-rubella vaccine. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2008;122(6):1233–5.
- Aquino F, Donzelli G, De Franco E, Privitera G, Lopalco PL, Carducci A. The web and public confidence in MMR vaccination in Italy. Vaccine. 2017;35:4494–8.
- 151. Bazelier MT, Eriksson I, de Vries F, Schmidt MK, Raitanen J, Haukka J, et al. Data management and data analysis techniques in pharmacoepidemiological studies using a pre-planned multidatabase approach: a systematic literature review. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2015;24(9):897–905.
- 152. Trifirò G, Coloma PM, Rijnbeek PR, Romio S, Mosseveld B, Weibel D, et al. Combining multiple healthcare databases for postmarketing drug and vaccine safety surveillance: why and how? J Intern Med. 2014;275(6):551–61.
- 153. Ghirardi A, Scotti L, Della Vedova G, D'Oro LC, Lapi F, Cipriani F, et al. Oral bisphosphonates do not increase the risk of severe upper gastrointestinal complications: a nested case-control study. BMC Gastroenterol. 2014;14:5.
- 154. Lapi F, Cipriani F, Caputi AP, Corrao G, Vaccheri A, Sturkenboom MC, et al. Assessing the risk of osteonecrosis of the jaw due to bisphosphonate therapy in the secondary prevention of osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporos Int. 2013;24(2):697–705.
- Burke DL, Ensor J, Riley RD. Meta-analysis using individual participant data: one-stage and two-stage approaches, and why they may differ. Stat Med. 2017;36(5):855–75.
- 156. European Parliament and Council. Regulation (EU) 2016/679 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation). Off J Eur Union.
- 157. Simmonds MC, Higgins JPT, Stewart LA, Tierney JF, Clarke MJ, Thompson SG. Meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomized trials: a review of methods used in practice. Clin Trials. 2005;2(3):209–17.
- 158. Gini R, Schuemie M, Brown J, Ryan P, Vacchi E, Coppola M, et al. Data extraction and management in networks of observational health care databases for scientific research: a comparison of EU-ADR, OMOP, mini-sentinel and MATRICE strategies. EGEMS (Washington, DC). 2016;4(1):1189.
- 159. La Gamba F, Corrao G, Romio S, Sturkenboom M, Trifirò G, Schink T, de Ridder M. Combining evidence from multiple electronic health care databases: performances of one-stage and two-stage meta-analysis in matched case-control studies. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2017;26(10):1213–9.
- Scotti L, Rea F, Corrao G. One-stage and two-stage meta-analysis
  of individual participant data led to consistent summarized evidence: lessons learned from combining multiple databases. J Clin
  Epidemiol. 2018;95(19–27):160.
- 161. Avillach P, Coloma PM, Gini R, Schuemie M, Mougin F, Dufour J-C, et al. Harmonization process for the identification of medical events in eight European healthcare databases: the experience from the EU-ADR project. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2013;20(1):184–92.

- 162. Madotto F, Fornari C, Chiodini V, Mantovani LG, Zecchin M, Proclemer A, et al. Linking health care administrative databases and national registry data in order to monitor Icd therapy in Italy. Value Health. 2014;17(7):A485.
- 163. Gini R, Schuemie MJ, Pasqua A, Carlini E, Profili F, Cricelli I, et al. Monitoring compliance with standards of care for chronic
- diseases using healthcare administrative databases in Italy: strengths and limitations. PLoS One. 2017;12(12):e0188377.
- 164. Franzosi MG. Tognoni G. In: Inman WHW, editor. Monitoring for drug safety. 2nd ed. Lancaster: MTP Press; 1986. p. 93–9.

# **Affiliations**

Gianluca Trifirò 1,20 • Rosa Gini² · Francesco Barone-Adesi³ · Ettore Beghi⁴ · Anna Cantarutti⁵ · Annalisa Capuano⁶ · Carla Carnovale⁶ · Antonio Clavenna⁶ · Mirosa Dellagiovanna⁶ · Carmen Ferrajolo⁶ · Matteo Franchi⁵ · Ylenia Ingrasciotta¹ · Ursula Kirchmayer¹⁰ · Francesco Lapi¹¹ · Roberto Leone¹² · Olivia Leoni⁶ · Ersilia Lucenteforte¹³ · Ugo Moretti¹² · Alessandro Mugelli¹⁴ · Luigi Naldi¹⁵ · Elisabetta Poluzzi¹⁶ · Concita Rafaniello⁶ · Federico Rea⁵ · Janet Sultana¹ · Mauro Tettamanti¹⁶ · Giuseppe Traversa¹ఠ · Alfredo Vannacci¹⁴ · Lorenzo Mantovani¹⁰ · Giovanni Corrao⁵

- Department of Biomedical and Dental Sciences and Morpho-functional Imaging, University of Messina, Messina, Italy
- Agenzia Regionale di Sanità della Toscana, Florence, Italy
- Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Eastern Piedmont, Novara, Italy
- Department of Neuroscience, IRCCS-Mario Negri Pharmacology Research Institute, Milan, Italy
- Laboratory of Pharmacoepidemiology and Healthcare Research, Unit of Biostatistics Epidemiology and Public Health, Department of Statistics and Quantitative Methods, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy
- Department of Experimental Medicine, Section of Pharmacology "L. Donatelli", Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy
- Unit of Clinical Pharmacology Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences L. Sacco, Luigi Sacco University Hospital, University of Milan, Milan, Italy
- Pharmacoepidemiology Unit, Department of Public Health, IRCCS, Mario Negri Pharmacology Research Institute, Milan, Italy
- <sup>9</sup> Regional Centre for Pharmacovigilance, Lombardy Region, Milan, Italy
- Department of Epidemiology, Lazio Regional Health Service, Rome, Italy

- Health Search, Italian College of General Practitioners and Primary Care, Florence, Italy
- Department of Diagnostics and Public Health, Section of Pharmacology, University of Verona, Verona, Italy
- Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy
- Department of Neurosciences, Psychology, Pharmacology and Child Health (NEUROFARBA), University of Florence, Florence, Italy
- 15 Centro Studi Gruppo Italiano Studi Epidemiologici in Dermatologia (GISED), Bergamo, Italy
- Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences DIMEC, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- Department of Geriatric Neuropsychiatry, Mario Negri Pharmacology Research Institute, Milan, Italy
- Pharmacoepidemiology Unit, National Centre for Epidemiology, National Institute of Health, Rome, Italy
- Research Centre on Public Health (CESP), University of Milan-Bicocca, Monza, Italy
- Policlinico Universitario G. Martino, Via Consolare Valeria 1, 98125 Messina, Italy