

The Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)  
P-User-Database Private-Header (P-Header)

Status of This Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

Abstract

This document specifies the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) P-User-Database Private-Header (P-header). This header field is used in the 3rd-Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) IMS (IP Multimedia Subsystem) to provide SIP registrars and SIP proxy servers with the address of the database that contains the user profile of the user that generated a particular request.

Table of Contents

|                                                      |   |
|------------------------------------------------------|---|
| 1. Introduction .....                                | 2 |
| 2. Scenarios .....                                   | 2 |
| 2.1. User Registering to the IMS .....               | 2 |
| 2.2. Incoming Request for an Unregistered User ..... | 3 |
| 3. Requirements .....                                | 4 |
| 4. P-User-Database Header Field Definition .....     | 4 |
| 5. Applicability .....                               | 5 |
| 6. IANA Considerations .....                         | 5 |
| 7. Security Considerations .....                     | 5 |
| 8. Acknowledgements .....                            | 6 |
| 9. References .....                                  | 6 |
| 9.1. Normative References .....                      | 6 |
| 9.2. Informative References .....                    | 6 |

## 1. Introduction

The 3rd-Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) IMS (IP Multimedia Subsystem) uses the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) [2] as its main signalling protocol. (For more information on the IMS, a detailed description can be found in 3GPP TS 23.228 [5] and 3GPP TS 24.229 [6].) 3GPP has identified a set of requirements that can be met, according to the procedures in RFC 3427 [3], by defining a new SIP Private-Header (P-header).

The remainder of this document is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the scenarios considered by 3GPP and Section 3 discusses the requirements derived from these scenarios. Section 4 defines the P-User-Database header field, which meets those requirements, and Section 5 discusses the applicability and scope of this new header field. Section 6 registers the P-User-Database header field with the IANA and Section 7 discusses the security properties of the environment where this header field is intended to be used.

## 2. Scenarios

In the 3GPP IMS, there are two scenarios where a set of proxies handling a request need to consult the same user database. These scenarios consist of a user registering to the IMS network and an unregistered user receiving an incoming request that triggers a service (e.g., a voice mail service).

### 2.1. User Registering to the IMS

In the 3GPP IMS, SIP REGISTER requests generated by a User Agent (UA) traverse a set of SIP proxy servers before reaching the SIP registrar. A REGISTER request sent by a UA is routed to the outbound proxy of the UA, which is referred to as the P-CSCF (Proxy-Call/Session Control Function).

The P-CSCF routes the REGISTER request to another proxy, which is referred to as the I-CSCF (Interrogating-CSCF) and is always located in the home domain of the user. The I-CSCF consults the user database of the domain, which is referred to as the Home Subscriber Server (HSS), in order to choose the registrar that will process the REGISTER request.

With the information received from the HSS, the I-CSCF routes the REGISTER request to the appropriate registrar, which is referred to as the S-CSCF (Serving-CSCF). At this point, the S-CSCF needs to contact the same HSS that was previously contacted by the I-CSCF in order to fetch the user profile of the user that generated the REGISTER request.

The interface between the I-CSCF and the HSS and between the S-CSCF and the HSS is called Cx interface and is based on Diameter [4].

When there is a single HSS (i.e., user database) handling all the users in the domain, both the I-CSCF and the S-CSCF can be configured with its address so that they contact it when necessary. However, some domains have several HSSs, each of which handles a particular set of users. When dealing with a REGISTER request, the I-CSCF and the S-CSCF need to discover which is the HSS that contains the profile of the user that generated the REGISTER request.

In networks with more than one HSS, a Diameter redirect agent referred to as the Subscription Locator Function (SLF) is implemented. The interface between the I-CSCF and the SLF and between the S-CSCF and the SLF is called Dx interface and, like the CX interface, is based on Diameter. The SLF provides the I-CSCF and the S-CSCF with the address of the HSS that handles the user they are dealing with.

Therefore, in a network with more than one HSS, the SLF is consulted twice per REGISTER request, first by the I-CSCF, and later by the S-CSCF. If the I-CSCF could provide the S-CSCF with the address of the HSS handling the user that generated the REGISTER request, the S-CSCF could contact directly that HSS. That is, the S-CSCF would not need to contact the SLF in order to obtain the address of the HSS.

## 2.2. Incoming Request for an Unregistered User

In the 3GPP IMS, incoming requests for a user traverse an I-CSCF in the home domain of the user. This I-CSCF consults the HSS, using the Diameter-based Cx interface, in order to decide which S-CSCF should handle the request. After consulting the HSS, the I-CSCF forwards the request to a S-CSCF, which is also located in the home domain of the user.

If the user the request is addressed to is registered to the IMS network, the S-CSCF receiving the request knows which HSS handles the user. The S-CSCF stored this information when the user registered. However, if the user is not registered, the S-CSCF needs to consult the SLF (assuming more than one HSS in the network) in order to discover the HSS handling the user.

Therefore, like in the previous scenario, in a network with more than one HSS, the SLF is consulted twice per incoming request addresses to an unregistered user. First by the I-CSCF, and later by the S-CSCF. If the I-CSCF could provide the S-CSCF with the address of the HSS handling the user that generated the request, the S-CSCF could contact directly that HSS. That is, the S-CSCF would not need to contact the SLF in order to obtain the address of the HSS.

### 3. Requirements

This section lists the requirements derived from the previous scenarios:

1. It is necessary to optimize the registration process in the 3GPP IMS by reducing the time it takes for a UA to register to the IMS network.
2. It is necessary to optimize the handling of incoming requests to unregistered users in the 3GPP IMS by reducing the time it takes for a domain to handle these requests.
3. It is necessary to improve the scalability of SLFs in the 3GPP IMS by reducing the amount of traffic the SLF of a network needs to handle.

### 4. P-User-Database Header Field Definition

This document defines the SIP P-User-Database P-header. This header field can be added to requests routed from an I-CSCF to an S-CSCF. The P-User-Database P-header contains the address of the HSS handling the user that generated the request.

The augmented Backus-Naur Form (BNF) [1] syntax of the P-User-Database header field is the following:

```
P-User-Database      = "P-User-Database" HCOLON database
                       *( SEMI generic-param )
database             = LAQUOT DiameterURI RAQUOT
```

DiameterURI is defined in RFC 3588 [4]. HCOLON, LAQUOT, RAQUOT, and generic-param are defined in RFC 3261 [2].

The following is an example of a P-User-Database header field:

```
P-User-Database: <aaa://host.example.com;transport=tcp>
```

## 5. Applicability

According to RFC 3427 [3], P-headers have a limited applicability. Specifications of P-headers such as this RFC need to clearly document the useful scope of the proposal, and explain its limitations and why it is not suitable for the general use of SIP on the Internet.

The P-User-Database header field is intended to be used in 3GPP IMS networks. This header field carries the address of a user database, which is referred to as HSS, between two proxies, which are referred to as I-CSCF and S-CSCF. The I-CSCF and the S-CSCF belong to the same administrative domain and share a common frame of reference to the user database. The I-CSCF inserts the P-User-Database header field into a SIP request and the S-CSCF removes it before routing the request further.

When SIP is used on the Internet, there are typically no proxies querying a user database between the UA sending a REGISTER request and the registrar. Consequently, the P-User-Database header field does not seem useful in a general Internet environment.

## 6. IANA Considerations

This document defines a new SIP header field: P-User-Database. This header field has been registered by the IANA in the SIP Parameters registry under the Header Fields subregistry.

## 7. Security Considerations

The P-User-Database defined in this document is to be used in an environment where elements are trusted and where attackers are not supposed to have access to the protocol messages between those elements. Traffic protection between network elements is sometimes achieved by using IP Security (IPsec) and sometimes by physically protecting the network. In any case, the environment where the P-User-Database header field will be used ensures the integrity and the confidentiality of the contents of this header field.

There is a slight security risk if a P-User-Database header field is allowed to propagate out of the administrative domain where it was generated. No user-sensitive information would be revealed by such a breach, but this could result in disclosure of information about the topology of the operator network that goes beyond the level of disclosure explicit in SIP messages without this extension. Consequently, operators need to ensure that the P-User-Database header field is removed from requests before these are sent to another administrative domain.

## 8. Acknowledgements

Nuria Esteban, Stephen Terrill, and Jeroen van Bommel provided comments on this document. Dean Willis performed a thorough review of this document.

## 9. References

### 9.1. Normative References

- [1] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", RFC 4234, October 2005.
- [2] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", RFC 3261, June 2002.
- [3] Mankin, A., Bradner, S., Mahy, R., Willis, D., Ott, J., and B. Rosen, "Change Process for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", BCP 67, RFC 3427, December 2002.
- [4] Calhoun, P., Loughney, J., Guttman, E., Zorn, G., and J. Arkko, "Diameter Base Protocol", RFC 3588, September 2003.

### 9.2. Informative References

- [5] 3GPP, "IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS); Stage 2", 3GPP TS 23.228 5.14.0, October 2005.
- [6] 3GPP, "Internet Protocol (IP) multimedia call control protocol based on Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and Session Description Protocol (SDP); Stage 3", 3GPP TS 24.229 5.14.0, October 2005.

Authors' Addresses

Gonzalo Camarillo  
Ericsson  
Hirsalantie 11  
Jorvas 02420  
Finland

E-Mail: [Gonzalo.Camarillo@ericsson.com](mailto:Gonzalo.Camarillo@ericsson.com)

German Blanco  
Ericsson  
Via de los Poblados 13  
Madrid 28035  
Spain

E-Mail: [german.blanco@ericsson.com](mailto:german.blanco@ericsson.com)

## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).