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#### Abstract

Let $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a bounded homomorphism of a $C^{*}$-algebra into the bounded operators on a Hilbert space. We prove that, if $\pi$ is cyclic, there is a *-representation $\theta: A \rightarrow B(H)$ and a bounded one-to-one positive operator $P$ such that $P \theta(a)=\pi(a) P$. We include applications to $\theta$-derivations and invariant operator ranges for operator algebras.


1. Introduction. Let $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a continuous representation of a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ into the bounded operators on a Hilbert space $H$. In this paper, we are concerned with whether or not there exist a ${ }^{*}$-representation $\theta: A \rightarrow B\left(H_{\theta}\right)$ and a bounded invertible operator $S: H_{\theta} \rightarrow H$ such that $S \theta(a)=\pi(a) S$ for all $a \in A$. That is, must $\pi$ be similar to a ${ }^{*}$-representation? In the context of $C^{*}$-algebras, the question was first raised by Kadison in [11]. It was shown in [5] that $\pi$ is similar to $\mathrm{a}^{*}$-representation if $A$ is a strongly amenable $C^{*}$-algebra. It was shown in [3] and [4] that if $\pi$ is a cyclic representation of $A$ on a separable Hilbert space, then there exist a one-to-one selfadjoint densely defined unbounded operator $U$ on $H$ and a *-representation $\theta$ of $A$ on $H$ such that

$$
U \pi(a) x=\theta(a) U x
$$

for all $a \in A$ and $x$ in the domain of $U$.
In this paper, we use a result of Pisier [12] and ideas of Christensen [7] and Ringrose [14] to prove that if $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a cyclic representation, then there exist a *-representation $\theta: A \rightarrow B(H)$ and a one-to-one bounded positive operator $P$ on $H$ such that $P \theta(a)=\pi(a) P$, for all $a \in A$. We include applications to two related problems: Is every generalized derivation (in the sense of [1]) of $A$ inner? Is every invariant operator range for $A$ the range of an operator in the commutant of $A$ ?
2. The main results. The key to our results is the following theorem of Pisier [12, Corollary 2.3].

Theorem 2.1. If $u: A \rightarrow B$ is a bounded linear map between $C^{*}$-algebras, then for all $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ in $A$ we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} u\left(a_{i}\right)^{*} u\left(a_{i}\right)+u\left(a_{i}\right) u\left(a_{i}\right)^{*}\right\| \leqslant 6\|u\|^{2}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{*} a_{i}+a_{i} a_{i}^{*}\right\| .
$$
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If $u$ is a homomorphism, then, as in [7, Theorem 4.1], the above inequality can take a different form.

Corollary 2.2. Let $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a continuous representation. Then for $a_{1}$, $a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$ we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi\left(a_{i}\right)^{*} \pi\left(a_{i}\right)\right\| \leqslant 12\|\pi\|^{4}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{*} a_{i}\right\|
$$

Proof. By [2, Theorem 1] we can extend $\pi$ to $\pi_{0}: A^{* *} \rightarrow B(H), \pi_{0}$ a homomorphism with the same norm as $\pi$. Let $a_{i}=v_{i} h_{i}$ be the polar decomposition of $a_{i}$, $h_{i}^{2}=a_{i}^{*} a_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ a partial isometry in $A^{* *}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{1}^{n} \pi\left(a_{i}\right)^{*} \pi\left(a_{i}\right)\right\| & =\left\|\sum \pi\left(h_{i}\right)^{*} \pi_{0}\left(v_{i}\right)^{*} \pi_{0}\left(v_{i}\right) \pi\left(h_{i}\right)\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left\|\sum\right\| \pi\left\|^{2} \pi\left(h_{i}\right)^{*} \pi\left(h_{i}\right)\right\| \\
& \leqslant\|\pi\|^{2}\left\|\sum \pi\left(h_{i}\right)^{*} \pi\left(h_{i}\right)+\pi\left(h_{i}\right) \pi\left(h_{i}\right)^{*}\right\| \\
& \leqslant 6\|\pi\|^{4}\left\|\sum h_{i}^{*} h_{i}+h_{i} h_{i}^{*}\right\| \\
& =12\|\pi\|^{4}\left\|\sum h_{i}^{2}\right\|=12\|\pi\|^{4}\left\|\sum_{1}^{n} a_{i}^{*} a_{i}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in [14, p. 303], [6, p. 239] or [7], the following theorem follows from the inequality in Corollary 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a continuous representation and let $g$ be a state on $B(H)$. Then there exists a state $f$ on $A$ such that

$$
g\left(\pi(a)^{*} \pi(a)\right)<12\|\pi\|^{4} f\left(a^{*} a\right) .
$$

In the following theorem, $\pi_{f}$ is the GNS representation constructed from $f$.
Theorem 2.4. Let $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a continuous representation, $x_{0} \in H,\left\|x_{0}\right\|=$ 1. Then there exist a state $f$ on $A$ and a bounded operator $S: H_{f} \rightarrow H,\|S\|$ $\leqslant \sqrt{12}\|\pi\|^{2}$, such that $S \pi_{f}(a)=\pi(a) S$ for all $a \in A$ and $($ Range $S) \supseteq \pi(A) x_{0}$.

Proof. Use Theorem 2.3 with $g(b)=\left(b x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$ for all $b \in B(H)$. Then

$$
\left\|\pi(a) x_{0}\right\| \leq \sqrt{12}\|\pi\|^{2} f\left(a^{*} a\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Let $K_{f}=\left\{a \in A: f\left(a^{*} a\right)=0\right\}$, and define $S: A / K_{f} \rightarrow H$ by $S\left(a+K_{f}\right)=\pi(a) x_{0}$. Then this determines $S: H_{f} \rightarrow H$ with $\|S\|<\sqrt{12}\|\pi\|^{2}$. For any $a, b \in A$ we have $S \pi_{f}(a)\left(b+K_{f}\right)=\pi(a) \pi(b) x_{0}=\pi(a) S\left(b+K_{f}\right)$. So $S \pi_{f}(a)=\pi(a) S$.

Corollary 2.5. Let $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a continuous representation with a cyclic vector. Then there exist $a^{*}$-representation $\theta: A \rightarrow B(H)$ and a bounded, one-to-one, positive operator $P$ on $H$ such that $P \theta(a)=\pi(a) P$ for all $a \in A$ and $\|P\|$ $\leqslant \sqrt{12}\|\pi\|^{2}$.

Proof. Let $x_{0} \in H$ be a cyclic unit vector for $\pi$. Then by Theorem 2.4 there is an operator $S: H_{f} \rightarrow H,\|S\| \leqslant \sqrt{12}\|\pi\|^{2}$, such that $S \pi_{f}(a)=\pi(a) S$ and Range $S$ $\supseteq \pi(A) x_{0}$. Then $\operatorname{ker}(S)^{\perp}$ is a reducing subspace for $\pi_{f}(A)$. Let $\rho(a)=$ $\pi_{f}(a)\left|\operatorname{ker}(S)^{\perp}, T=S\right| \operatorname{ker}(S)^{\perp}$. Then $T \rho(a)=\pi(a) T$, and $T$ is one-to-one with dense range. Let $T^{*}=W P$ be the polar decomposition of $T^{*} ; W$ is unitary and $P$ is positive one-to-one with $\|P\|<\sqrt{12}\|\pi\|^{2}$. Then $T=P W^{*}$ and $P W^{*} \rho(a)=$ $\pi(a) P W^{*}$. Let $\theta(a)=W^{*} \rho(a) W$. Then $\theta: A \rightarrow B(H)$ is a ${ }^{*}$-representation and $P \theta(a)=\pi(a) P$.
3. Applications. Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra, $\theta: A \rightarrow B(H)$ a ${ }^{*}$-representation. Let $D$ : $A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a linear map satisfying $D(a b)=\theta(a) D(b)+D(a) \theta(b)$. The map $D$ has been called a $\theta$-derivation [1]. We cannot show directly that $D$ is inner, but we can show, assuming that $\theta$ has a cyclic vector, that there is a closed densely defined operator $h$ such that $D(a)=h \theta(a)-\theta(a) h$ on the domain of $h$. It then follows from [7, Corollary 5.4] and [6, Proposition 2.1], that there is a bounded operator $t$ on $H$ with $D(a)=\theta(a) t-\theta(a) t$ for $a \in A$. The situation is then the same as for derivations of $C^{*}$-algebras into a containing $B(H)$ [7, Corollary 5.4].

We construct the unbounded operator $h$ as follows. Let $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H \oplus H)$ be defined by

$$
\pi(a)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta(a) & D(a) \\
0 & \theta(a)
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is then easily seen that $\pi$ is a homomorphism. It follows from [13] that $D$ is automatically continuous, so that $\pi$ is continuous. Let $y_{0}$ be a cyclic unit vector for $\theta(A)$ and apply Theorem 2.4 to $\pi$ and $x_{0}=\left(0, y_{0}\right)$. There thus exists a bounded operator $S: H_{f} \rightarrow H \oplus H$ such that $S \pi_{f}(a)=\pi(a) S$ and Range $S \supseteq \pi(A) x_{0}=$ $\left\{\left(D(a) y_{0}, \theta(a) y_{0}\right): a \in A\right\}$. Then since $\pi_{f}$ is a ${ }^{*}$-representation, we also have $\pi_{f}(a) S^{*}=S^{*} \pi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}$ and $S S^{*} \pi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}=\pi(a) S S^{*}$. Writing $S S^{*}$ as a 2-by-2 operator matrix, this becomes

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P & Q \\
R & T
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta(a) & 0 \\
D\left(a^{*}\right)^{*} & \theta(a)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\theta(a) & D(a) \\
0 & \theta(a)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
P & Q \\
R & T
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The (2,2)-entry of this equation yields that $T \theta(a)=\theta(a) T$ for all $a \in A$. The (1, 2)-entry says that

$$
D(a) T=Q \theta(a)-\theta(a) Q
$$

If $T x=0$ for $x \in H$, then

$$
\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
P & Q \\
P & T
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{x},\binom{0}{x}\right)=(T x, x)=0
$$

so $0 \oplus \operatorname{ker} T \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(S S^{*}\right)=(\text { Range } S)^{\perp}$. So if $T x=0$, then

$$
0=\left(\binom{0}{x},\binom{D(a) y_{0}}{\theta(a) y_{0}}\right)=\left(x, \theta(a) y_{0}\right)
$$

for all $a \in A$. So since $y_{0}$ is cyclic for $\theta$ it follows that $x=0$, and $T$ is a positive, one-to-one, operator. By replacing $Q$ by a scalar translate, we can assume that $Q$ is invertible. Since $T \in \theta(A)^{\prime}$ we have that $D(a)=Q T^{-1} \theta(a)-\theta(a) Q T^{-1}$ on the
range of $T$. Since $Q$ is invertible it is easily seen that $Q T^{-1}$ is closed. The following theorem then follows from this, [7, Corollary 5.4] and [6, Proposition 2.1].

Theorem 3.1. Let $\theta: A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a cyclic*-representation of the $C^{*}$-algebra $A$. Then any $\theta$-derivation $D: A \rightarrow B(H)$ is inner.

We now consider a different problem. Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $B(H)$, and let $T \in B(H)$ be such that Range $T$ is an invariant linear manifold for $A$. The invariant operator range problem asks if there is a bounded operator $T^{\prime}$ in the commutant of $A$ such that Range $T=$ Range $T^{\prime}$. To my knowledge, this problem was first raised by Dixmier at the 1967 Baton Rouge $C^{*}$-algebra conference. It was noted by Foias that the invariant operator range problem is true if every continuous representation of a $C^{*}$-algebra is similar to a *-representation [10]. Our next theorem proves that, conversely, if every invariant operator range for a $C^{*}$-algebra comes from an operator in the commutant, then every cyclic representation is similar to a *-representation.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ be a continuous representation with a cyclic vector. If every invariant operator range for a $C^{*}$-algebra comes from an operator in the commutant, then $\pi$ is similar to $a^{*}$-representation.

Proof. By Corollary 2.5 we know that there is a *-representation $\theta: A \rightarrow B(H)$ and a bounded, positive, one-to-one operator $P$ on $H$ such that $P \theta(a)=\pi(a) P$. Then $\theta(a) P=P \pi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}$, so $\theta(A)$ leaves the range of $P$ invariant. By assumption, there is then a bounded operator $R \in \theta(A)^{\prime}$ such that Range $R=$ Range $P$. Since $R$ and $\left|R^{*}\right|$ have the same range, we may assume that $R$ is positive and one-to-one. There then exist linear tranformations $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ such that $R x=P L_{1} x, P x=$ $R L_{2} x$ for all $x \in H$, and an application of the closed graph theorem shows that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are bounded. Since $P$ and $R$ are one-to-one it follows that $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are inverses. Then $\theta(a) R L_{2}=R L_{2} \pi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}$, and $R \theta(a) L_{2}=R L_{2} \pi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}$, so $\theta(a) L_{2}=$ $L_{2} \pi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}$, or $\pi(a)=L_{2}^{*} \theta(a)\left(L_{2}^{*}\right)^{-1}$, where $L_{2}$ is a bounded operator with a bounded inverse.

The positive results on the similarity problem that were obtained in $\S 2$ do not seem to be sufficient to prove the invariant operator range problem, but the following partial results can be proved.

Theorem 3.3. Let $T \in B(H)$ be such that $T(H)$ is invariant for a $C^{*}$-subalgebra $A$ of $B(H)$. Then for any $x_{0} \in H$, there is an operator $P \in A^{\prime}$ such that

$$
T x_{0} \in P(H) \subseteq T(H)
$$

Proof. It clearly suffices to assume that $T \geqslant 0$ and $T$ is one-to-one, for otherwise we may cut $A$ down to the closure of $T(H)$. We proceed as in $[10, \mathrm{p}$. 890]. For $a \in A$ and $x \in H$, there is a unique $y \in H$ such that a $T x=T y$. Let $\pi(a) x=y$. Several applications of the closed graph theorem show that $\pi: A \rightarrow$ $B(H)$ is a continuous homomorphism, with $a T=T \pi(a)$ for all $a \in A$. By Theorem 2.4, there is a bounded operator $S$ such that $S \theta(a)=\pi(a) S$, for $\theta$ a *-representation of $A$, and $\pi(A) x_{0} \subseteq$ Range $S$. By restricting $\theta$ to $\operatorname{ker}(S)^{\perp}$, we may assume that
$S$ is one-to-one. From the four equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
a T & =T \pi(a), \\
T a & =\pi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*} T,
\end{aligned} \quad \theta(a)=\pi(a) S, S^{*}=S^{*} \pi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}, ~ l
$$

we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T S S^{*} T a & =T S S^{*} \pi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*} T=\operatorname{TS} \theta(a) S^{*} T \\
& =T \pi(a) S S^{*} T=a T S S^{*} T .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $T S S^{*} T$ is in the commutant of $A$. Let $P$ be the positive square root of $T S S^{*} T$. Then $P \in A^{\prime}$, and Range $P=$ Range $T S \supseteq\left\{T \pi(a) x_{0}: a \in A\right\}$ so $A T x_{0} \subseteq$ Range $P \subseteq$ Range $T$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $T \in B(H)$ be such that $T(H)$ is invariant for a $C^{*}$-subalgebra $A$ of $B(H)$. Then $T(H)$ is also invariant for the weak closure of $A$.

Proof. Let $x \in T(H)$ and choose, by Theorem 3.3, an operator $P \in A^{\prime}$ such that $x \in P(H) \subset T(H)$. Then for all $a \in A^{\prime \prime}, a x \in a P(H) \subseteq P(H) \subseteq T(H)$, so $T(H)$ is invariant for $A^{\prime \prime}$.

We close with the following theorem, which is probably known to many people.
Theorem 3.5. Let A be a nuclear $C^{*}$-algebra. Then any continuous representation $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ is similar to $a^{*}$-representation.

Proof. By [9], $A^{* *}$ has an ultraweakly dense $C^{*}$-subalgebra $B$ which is the norm-closed linear span of an amenable group $G$ of unitaries. By [2, Theorem 1] we can extend $\pi$ to $\pi_{0}: A^{* *} \rightarrow B(H)$ with $\pi_{0}$ an ultraweak to ultraweak continuous homomorphism with the same norm as $\pi$. By an old result of Dixmier [8], there is a bounded invertible operator $S$ such that $\theta(u)=S^{-1} \pi_{0}(u) S$ is a continuous unitary representation of $G$. Then $S S^{*} \pi_{0}\left(u^{*}\right)^{*}=\pi_{0}(u) S S^{*}$ for all $u \in G$. It follows that $S S^{*} \pi_{0}\left(a^{*}\right)^{*}=\pi_{0}(a) S S^{*}$ for all $a$ in $A^{* *}$. Let $P$ be the positive square root of $S S^{*}$. Define a map $\rho: A \rightarrow B(H)$ by $\rho(a)=P^{-1} \pi(a) P$. Since $P \pi\left(a^{*}\right)^{*} P^{-1}=P^{-1} \pi(a) P$, it is immediate that $\rho$ is a *-representation of $A$.
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