

THE SPHERICAL BUILDING AND REGULAR SEMISIMPLE ELEMENTS

G.I. LEHRER

Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over a finite field k . The finite group $G(k)$ of k -rational points of G acts on the spherical building $\mathcal{B}(G)$, a polyhedron which is functorially associated with G . We identify the subspace of points of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ fixed by a regular semisimple element s of $G(k)$ topologically as a subspace of a sphere (apartment) in $\mathcal{B}(G)$ which depends on an element of the Weyl group which is determined by s . Applications include the derivation of the values of certain characters of $G(k)$ at s by means of Lefschetz theory. The characters considered arise from the action of $G(k)$ on the cohomology of equivariant sheaves over $\mathcal{B}(G)$.

Let k be the finite field \mathbb{F}_q of q elements and G a connected reductive group defined over k . In [2] there was constructed a certain topological space $\mathcal{B}(G)$ (the construction in [2] applied for an arbitrary field k) which is associated with G functorially. The (metric) space $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is a union of spheres $\mathcal{B}(S)$ as S runs over the maximal k -split tori of G , and has a "rational subspace" which may be roughly thought of as the space of one parameter subgroups of G , suitably topologized. In [2] the construction was applied to the derivation of a character formula for the group $G(k)$ of rational points $G(k)$ acting on the homology of $\mathcal{B}(G)$; this formula follows from the identification of the fixed-point set

Received 25 January 1983.

of $g \in G(k)$ on $\mathcal{B}(G)$, via Lefschetz theory.

In the present work we prove a general result concerning the fixed point set $\mathcal{B}(G)^s$ of a regular semisimple element $s \in G(k)$ acting on $\mathcal{B}(G)$. This relates $\mathcal{B}(G)^s$ to the set of points of an "apartment" $\mathcal{B}(S)$ (S a maximal split torus) which are fixed by an element w_s of the Weyl group of G which corresponds to s (see §2 below). As an application, we use Lefschetz theory applied to certain subspaces $\mathcal{B}_J(G)$ (J a subset of the set Π of simple roots) of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ to deduce the values of certain principal series characters of $G(k)$ at s . One consequence of this is that in the split case, we have $1_{P_J(k)}^{G(k)}(s) = 1_{W_J}^{W_J}(w_s)$ ($J \subset \Pi$). This result is of course not new. Lusztig has proved vastly more general results ([8], [9], [10]) giving the values of all irreducible unipotent characters of G on regular semisimple elements for most groups G and the result has been dealt with explicitly (by different methods) by Deligne and Lusztig [4, §§7, 8], Kawanaka [7] and Surowski [12]. However it seems useful to put it into the present geometric context, at least partly because similar results can be obtained for any representation of $G(k)$ which is realized on the cohomology of an equivariant sheaf over $\mathcal{B}(G)$ (see §6 below).

Section 1 is devoted to the recollection of the main properties of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and Section 2 to the statement and proof of the main theorem. In Section 3 we introduce certain closed subspaces $\mathcal{B}_J(G)$ of $\mathcal{B}(G)$ and give them a simplicial structure. In Section 4 the homology of the spaces $\mathcal{B}_J(G)$ is computed simplicially, and in Section 5 the characters of $G(k)$ on the homology groups is studied via the fixed point theory of Section 2. Finally, in Section 6, we discuss some special cases, and give a general formulation for $G(k)$ -equivariant sheaves over $\mathcal{B}(G)$.

1. The spherical building $\mathcal{B}(G)$ of a reductive k -group

Let G be a reductive k -group (k any field). For any maximal k -split torus S of G , $\mathcal{B}(S)$ is defined as the sphere whose points are the rays (half-lines) of $Y(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ ($Y(S) = \text{Hom}(G_m, S)$, G_m being the

multiplicative group of \bar{k}). To every point b of $\mathcal{B}(S)$ we associate a parabolic k -subgroup $P(b) = P_G(b)$ of G as in [2, §1]: $P(b)$ is the unique parabolic k -subgroup of G which contains S and which corresponds to the region of $Y(S) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ in which b lies (these regions are the simplexes of the Coxeter complex in the semisimple case).

If \mathcal{B}_1 is the disjoint union of the spheres $\mathcal{B}(S)$ (over all maximal k -split tori S of G) then we define an equivalence relation on \mathcal{B}_1 by $b \sim c$ if $c = adg.b$ for some $g \in P(b)(k)$. The building $\mathcal{B}(G)$ is then defined as

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{B}(G) = \mathcal{B}_1(G)/\sim .$$

Clearly $G(k)$ acts on $\mathcal{B}(G)$. Some of its principal properties are as follows (these may be found in [2]). Let S be a maximal k -split torus of G .

(1.2) The projection $\mathcal{B}_1(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(G) = \mathcal{B}_1(G)/\sim$ restricts to an injection of $\mathcal{B}(S)$ into $\mathcal{B}(G)$.

(1.3) The point b ($\in \mathcal{B}(G)$) is in $\mathcal{B}(S)$ if and only if $S \subset P(b)$.

(1.4) The isotropy group of b in $G(k)$ is $P(b)(k)$.

(1.5) Let s be a semisimple element of $G(k)$. Then $\mathcal{B}\left(Z_G(s)^0\right)$ is the fixed point set $\mathcal{B}(G)^s$ of s acting on $\mathcal{B}(G)$.

Suppose now that k is a finite field (say $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ as in the introduction). Then (cf. Lusztig [10]) associated with the k -structure of G , we have a Frobenius endomorphism $F : G \rightarrow G$ which satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad G(k) = G^F = \{g \in G \mid F(g) = g\} ;$$

(1.7) an algebraic subset $H \leq G$ is defined over k if and only if $F(H) = H$;

$$(1.8) \quad \text{for any } k\text{-subgroup } H \text{ of } G \text{ we have } H(k) = H^F .$$

2. Fixed points of regular semisimple elements

Let s be a regular semisimple element of $G(k)$. Then s lies in a

unique maximal torus T , which is defined over k since $T = Z_G(s)$ (cf. [1, §§10.3, 10.5]).

(2.1) LEMMA. *Let T be the maximal torus of G which contains the regular semisimple element $s \in G(k)$. The fixed-point set $B(G)^s$ of s acting on $B(G)$ is $B(T_d)$ where T_d is the maximal k -split subtorus of T .*

Proof. From (1.5) we have that $B(G)^s = B\left(Z_G(s)^0\right)$. But $Z_G(s)^0 = T$, whence $B(G)^s = B(T)$. From [2, Lemma (7.1) (i)] and [1, §1.4] we have that $B(T) = B(T_d)$, whence the result. \square

The above result applies when k is any field. Henceforth, we take $k = \mathbb{F}_q$.

(2.2) LEMMA. *A k -torus R of G is k -split if and only if F acts on R by taking elements to their q th power.*

Proof. R is split precisely when there is a k -isomorphism $\phi : R \rightarrow D$ where D is a group of diagonal matrices. The Frobenius map on D is given by taking q th powers. Since ϕ is a k -morphism, it commutes with Frobenius ("transports the k -structure"), whence F is also the q -power map on R . Conversely, if F consists of taking q th powers, F acts on $Y(R)$ as multiplication by q . Hence $Y(R) = Y(R)_k$, whence R is split [1, §1.3]. \square

(2.2.1) COROLLARY. *For any k -torus R of G , the split part R_d of R is given by $R_d = \{r \in R \mid F(r) = r^q\}$.*

We now fix the following notation:

S a maximal k -split torus of G ;

\bar{S} a maximal k -torus of G containing S (so $(\bar{S})_d = S$);

$W = N_G(\bar{S})/\bar{S}$ (the Weyl group of G with respect to \bar{S});

${}_k W = N_G(S)/Z_G(S)$;

\dot{w} is a representative in $N_G(\bar{S})$ for $w \in W$.

We give a proof of the following result of Springer and Steinberg [13, II.1.2] for the reader's convenience as well as for the purpose of establishing notation.

(2.3) LEMMA. *The $G(k) = G^F$ -conjugacy classes of F -stable maximal tori of G are in bijective correspondence with the F -classes of W , where F -equivalence is defined by $w_1 \sim_F w_2$ if $w_2 = w_1 F(v)^{-1}$, some $v \in W$.*

Proof. Let S_1 be any F -stable maximal torus in G . Then $S_1 = g\bar{S}g^{-1}$, some $g \in G$; since S_1 and \bar{S} are F -stable, we have $F(g)\bar{S}F(g)^{-1} = g\bar{S}g^{-1}$ whence $g^{-1}F(g) = \dot{w} \in N(\bar{S})$. It is easily checked that replacing g by gn ($n \in N(\bar{S})$) or S_1 by a G^F -conjugate has the effect of replacing w by an F -equivalent element of W . \square

Now suppose that s is a regular semisimple element of $G(k)$ and that T is the unique maximal torus of G such that $s \in T$. Then T is F -stable (see above) and so by (2.3), T corresponds to an element $w \in W$, which is determined to within F -conjugacy. Replacing s by a $G(k)$ -conjugate clearly gives the same F -class. We have shown:

(2.4) PROPOSITION. *To each $G(k)$ -conjugacy class of regular semisimple elements of $G(k)$ there corresponds a unique F -conjugacy class in W .*

(2.5) THEOREM. *Let s be a regular semisimple element of $G(k)$ and let c_s be the corresponding (cf. (2.4)) F -class in W . Then the fixed-point set $B(G)^S$ is $G(k)$ -conjugate to $B(Z_S(w_s))$ for some element $w_s \in c_s$, where $Z_S(w_s) = \{t \in S \mid tw_s = \dot{w}_s t\}$ and S is the maximal k -split torus of G fixed above.*

Proof. If T is the unique maximal torus of G which contains s , and $T = g\bar{S}g^{-1}$, then $g^{-1}F(g) = \dot{w}$ and $w \in c_s$ (see (2.3)).

Now $B(G)^s = B(T_d)$ by (2.1), and since T_d is k -split, there is an element x of $G(k)$ such that ${}^xT_d \subset S$ (all maximal k -split tori are $G(k)$ -conjugate by [1, §4.21]). But $B(G)^{xsx^{-1}} = adx.B(G)^s$ for any $x \in G(k)$, whence replacing s (and therefore T) by a $G(k)$ -conjugate replaces $B(G)^s$ by a $G(k)$ -conjugate and fixes the F -class c_s . Thus we may assume that $T_d \subset S$.

After this reduction, we have that \bar{S} and T are both maximal k -tori in $Z_G(T_d)$, which is a connected reductive k -subgroup of G [1, (2.15) (d)]. Thus there is an element $z \in Z_G(T_d)$ such that $T = z\bar{S}z^{-1}$ and the element $w_s \in W$ defined by $\dot{w}_s = z^{-1}F(z)$ is in the F -class c_s of W .

Moreover since z centralizes T_d , so does $F(z)$ and hence so does $\dot{w}_s = z^{-1}F(z)$. Thus $T_d \leq Z_S(w_s)$. Conversely, suppose that $x \in S$ is fixed by w_s . Then $z^{-1}F(z)x F(z)^{-1}z = x$, whence $zxz^{-1} = F(z)x F(z)^{-1}$. Taking q th powers of both sides, we see that

$$(zxz^{-1})^q = F(z)x^q F(z)^{-1} = F(zxz^{-1})$$

since by (2.2) we have that $x^q = F(x)$.

But $zxz^{-1} \in zSz^{-1} \subset z\bar{S}z^{-1} = T$. It follows from (2.2.1) (since $F(zxz^{-1}) = (zxz^{-1})^q$), that zxz^{-1} is in the split part of T , that is $zxz^{-1} \in T_d$. Since z centralizes T_d , it follows that $x \in T_d$ and so $Z_S(w_s) \leq T_d$. Hence $Z_S(w_s) = T_d$ and the theorem is proved. \square

(2.6) COROLLARY. *Suppose G is k -split and that s is a regular semisimple element of $G(k)$. Then $B(G)^s$ is $G(k)$ -conjugate to $B(S)^w$, for any element $w_s \in c_s$, where c_s is the conjugacy class of W corresponding to s .*

Proof. In this case $S = \bar{S}$ and F acts trivially on W . Thus the F -conjugacy classes of W are simply the conjugacy classes and the statement follows directly from (2.5). \square

We conclude this section with the following characterization of $\mathcal{B}(Z_G(w))$, for $w \in W$.

(2.7) **PROPOSITION.** *Let $w \in W$. A point b of $\mathcal{B}(S)$ is in $\mathcal{B}(Z_G(w))$ if and only if $\dot{w} \in P(b)$.*

Proof. Write $S' = Z_G(w)$. This is a k -split torus of G [1, §1.6] and from [2, Lemma (1.2) (ii)] we have that $b \in \mathcal{B}(S')$ implies that $P(b) \supset Z_G(S')$, so that $\dot{w} \in P(b)$.

Conversely, suppose that $b \in \mathcal{B}(S)$ and $\dot{w} \in P(b)$. Then $S \cap \text{Rad } P(b)$ centralizes \dot{w} and from [2, Lemma (1.2) (ii)] we have $b \in \mathcal{B}(S \cap \text{Rad } P(b))$. Since $S \cap \text{Rad } P(b) \subset Z_G(\dot{w})$, we have $b \in \mathcal{B}(S \cap Z_G(\dot{w})) = \mathcal{B}(Z_G(\dot{w}))$ as required. \square

3. The subspaces \mathcal{B}_J

Let K be an algebraic closure of k ; we write Φ, Φ^+, Π for the set of roots, positive roots and simple roots of G determined by \bar{S} and a Borel subgroup $B \supset \bar{S}$ (B may be assumed to be a k -group). The corresponding Weyl group is $W = W(\bar{S}, G)$. Following Borel and Tits [2, §5], the corresponding data for the k -structure will be denoted $k^\Phi = \Phi(S, G)$, k^{Φ^+} , k^Π and k^W .

The following facts will be of importance later.

(3.1) The parabolic k -subgroups of G which contain B are the k^P_J for the various subsets $J \subset k^\Pi$ [1, §5.12].

(3.2) The parabolic k -subgroups of G which contain S are $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} w \\ k^P_J \end{smallmatrix} \mid J \subset k^\Pi, w \in k^W \right\}$. Moreover $\begin{smallmatrix} w \\ k^P_J \end{smallmatrix} = \begin{smallmatrix} w' \\ k^P_J \end{smallmatrix}$, if and only if $J = J'$ and $\begin{smallmatrix} w \\ w_J \end{smallmatrix} = \begin{smallmatrix} w' \\ w_J \end{smallmatrix}$ (here $\begin{smallmatrix} w \\ k^P_J \end{smallmatrix} = w(\begin{smallmatrix} w \\ k^P_J \end{smallmatrix})w^{-1}$, and so on).

This follows from [1, §§5.9 and 5.15].

(3.3) For any subset $J \subset {}_k\Pi$, there is a unique F -invariant subset $\bar{J} \subset \Pi$ such that ${}_kP_J = P_{\bar{J}}$.

Since ${}_kP_J$ contains B , clearly ${}_kP_J = P_{\bar{J}}$ for some $\bar{J} \subset \Delta$. Moreover, since ${}_kP_J$ is defined over k , it is F -invariant, whence it follows that $W_{\bar{J}}$ is F -invariant. But F maps Π to itself, whence $F(\bar{J}) = \bar{J}$ [13, II.14].

For each subset $J \subset {}_k\Pi$ we define a $G(k)$ -invariant subspace $B_J(G)$ as follows.

(3.4) DEFINITION.

(i) $B_J(G) = \left\{ b \in B(G) \mid P(b) \geq g({}_kP_J)g^{-1} \text{ for some } g \in G(k) \right\}$.

(ii) For any reductive k -subgroup $H \leq G$, define $B_J(H) = B(H) \cap B_J(G)$.

Theorem (2.5) may be restated for B_J as follows.

(3.5) PROPOSITION. *Let s be a regular semisimple element in $G(k)$, with corresponding F -conjugacy class c_s in W . There exists $w_s \in c_s$ such that*

$$B_J(G)^S = B_J(G) \cap B(Z_S(w_s)) .$$

To investigate the topological nature of $B_J(G)$ and $B_J(S)$ we introduce the following finite simplicial complexes.

$\Delta_J = \Delta_J(G, k)$ is the subcomplex of the combinatorial building (see [2, §6]) consisting of the following simplexes:

(3.6) $\Delta_J = \left\{ P \mid P \text{ is a parabolic } k\text{-subgroup of } G, P \supset {}_kP_J \text{ for some } g \in G(k) \right\}$.

Analogously, we introduce the subcomplex Γ_J of the Coxeter complex Γ of ${}_kW$ as follows.

$$(3.7) \quad \Gamma_J = \{ {}_k W_L w \mid L \supset J, w \in {}_k W \} .$$

(3.8) PROPOSITION. *If G is semisimple, then there is a $G(k)$ -equivariant homeomorphism $\tau : |\Delta_J(G)| \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_J(G)$ satisfying*

- (i) *for $b \in |\Delta_J(G)|$, $P(\tau(b))$ is the parabolic subgroup corresponding to the simplex of Δ_J containing b in its interior;*
- (ii) *if S is a maximal k -split torus and $\Delta_J(S)$ is the subcomplex of $\Delta_J(G)$ whose simplexes correspond to the parabolic k -subgroups containing S , then τ restricted to $|\Delta_J(S)|$ is a triangulation of $\mathbb{B}_J(S)$.*

The proof consists of the observation that the map τ of [2, Proposition (6.1)] takes $|\Delta_J|$ to \mathbb{B}_J and $|\Delta_J(S)|$ to $\mathbb{B}_J(S)$.

In addition we have

(3.9) PROPOSITION. *Let G' be the derived group of G , and let d be the k -rank of the connected centre of G . Then $\mathbb{B}_J(G)$ may be identified in a $G(k)$ -equivariant way with the d -fold suspension of $\mathbb{B}_J(G')$. This identification maps $\mathbb{B}_J(S)$ (S a maximal k -split torus of G) to the d -fold suspension of $\mathbb{B}_J(S')$, where S' is a maximal k -split torus of G' , which is contained in S ($S' = S \cap G'$).*

The proof is an immediate consequence of the proof of (7.1) and (7.2) in [2].

Combining (3.9) and (3.8), we obtain

(3.10) COROLLARY. (i) *$\mathbb{B}_J(G)$ is homeomorphic in a $G(k)$ -equivariant way with the d -fold suspension of $|\Delta_J|$.*

(ii) *$\mathbb{B}_J(S)$ is homeomorphic in a ${}_k W$ -equivariant way to the d -fold suspension of $|\Gamma_J|$.*

4. The simplicial complexes Γ_J and Δ_J

Although similar results are fairly well known (see, for example, [16]), we include the proof of the following result for completeness. The proof follows ideas of Solomon [12] who treated the case $J = \emptyset$.

(4.1) PROPOSITION. *Suppose $|{}_k\Pi-J| > 1$. Then the complex Γ_J has rational homology given by*

$$H_i(\Gamma_J) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & (i = 0) , \\ 0 & (0 < i < |{}_k\Pi-J-1|) , \\ \emptyset \mid Y_J \mid , & i = |{}_k\Pi-J-1| , \end{cases}$$

where $Y_J = \left\{ w \in {}_k W \mid w^{-1}(J) \subset {}_k\Phi^+, w^{-1}({}_k\Pi-J) \subset {}_k\Phi^- \right\}$.

We use the following elementary result, which may be found in Solomon [12].

(4.1.1) LEMMA. *Let K be a simplicial complex and L, L_1, \dots, L_n be subcomplexes such that*

- (i) $K = L \cup L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_n$,
- (ii) L_i has the homology of a point (each i),
- (iii) $L \cap L_i$ has the homology of a point (each i),
- (iv) $L_i \cap L_j \subset L$ if $i \neq j$.

Then $H_*(K) \cong H_*(L)$.

In the proof of (4.1) we shall use the following notation: for any simplex σ , we denote by $[\sigma]$ the complex consisting of σ together with its faces; a chamber of a simplicial complex K is a simplex of maximal dimension; for any subset $L \subset {}_k\Pi$, X_L denotes the set of shortest right coset representatives of ${}_k W_L$ in ${}_k W$, that is,

$$X_L = \left\{ w \in W \mid w^{-1}(L) \subset {}_k\Phi^+ \right\} .$$

Clearly X_J is a disjoint union:

$$X_J = \dot{\bigcup}_{L \supset J} Y_L .$$

For any simplex $\sigma \in \Gamma$, define the distance $d(\sigma)$ of σ from the fundamental chamber $[1]$ by $d(\sigma) = l(w)$, where w is the shortest element in σ . Write $\Gamma_h = \{\sigma \in \Gamma \mid d(\sigma) \leq h\}$ (h a positive integer), and correspondingly, write $\Gamma_{J,h} = \Gamma_J \cap \Gamma_h$.

(4.1.2) LEMMA. Let $\sigma = {}_k W_L w$ be a simplex of Γ , $w \in X_L$. Then

(i) the faces of σ of codimension 1 are

$$\{ {}_k W_{L \cup \{r\}} w \mid r = r_\alpha, \alpha \in {}_k \Pi - L \} :$$

for any face σ' of σ , we have $d(\sigma') \leq d(\sigma)$;

(ii) the face ${}_k W_L w = \sigma'$ of $({}_k \Pi \supset L' \supset L)$ satisfies

$d(\sigma') < d(\sigma)$ if and only if there is an element $\alpha \in L' - L$ such that $l(r_\alpha w) < l(w)$;

(iii) if $d(\sigma) > 0$, then σ has a face σ' of codimension 1 with $d(\sigma') < d(\sigma)$.

The proof of (4.1.2) is an easy exercise in Weyl groups. As an immediate consequence, we see that Γ_h and $\Gamma_{J,h}$ are subcomplexes of Γ and Γ_J respectively.

(4.1.3) COROLLARY. Let $\sigma = {}_k W_L w$ be a simplex of Γ , and assume $w \in X_L$. Then σ has a proper face σ' with $d(\sigma') = d(\sigma)$ if and only if $w \notin Y_L$.

Proof. If $w \notin Y_L$ then there is an element $\alpha \in {}_k \Pi - L$ such that if $r = r_\alpha$ is the corresponding reflection in ${}_k W$, then $l(rw) > l(w)$. By (4.1.2) (ii), the face $\sigma_r = {}_k W_{L \cup \{r\}} w$ then satisfies $d(\sigma_r) = d(\sigma)$.

The converse follows similarly. \square

(4.1.4) LEMMA. With notation as in (4.1.3), suppose that $d(\sigma) = h$. Then

$$[\sigma] \cap \Gamma_{h-1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^p [\sigma_i]$$

where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p$ are the faces of σ which have codimension 1 and satisfy $d(\sigma_i) < h$.

This follows easily from (4.1.2) (ii).

Let $C(\Gamma_J)$ be the collection of simplexes of Γ_J of maximal dimension $(= \{ {}_k^W J v \mid v \in {}_k^W \})$. Write $C^0(\Gamma_J)$ for the set of simplexes σ in $C(\Gamma_J)$ which have a (proper) face σ' such that $d(\sigma') = d(\sigma)$. By (4.1.3), we have that $C^0(\Gamma_J) = \{ {}_k^W J v \mid v \in X_{J-Y_J} \}$. Correspondingly, we write $\Gamma_J^0 = \bigcup_{\sigma \in C^0(\Gamma_J)} [\sigma]$.

(4.1.5) LEMMA. Suppose $|k\Pi - J| > 1$. Then Γ_J^0 has the homology of a point.

Proof. Write $\Gamma_{J,h}^0 = \Gamma_J^0 \cap \Gamma_h$ ($h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Clearly $\Gamma_{J,0}^0 = [{}_k^W J]$ is contractible. We show by induction on h that $\Gamma_{J,h}^0$ has the homology of a point for all h . Now

$$\Gamma_{J,h+1}^0 = \Gamma_{J,h}^0 \cup [{}_k^W J w_1] \cup \dots \cup [{}_k^W J w_m]$$

where $\{ {}_k^W J w_i \mid i = 1, \dots, m \} = \{ \sigma \in C^0(\Gamma_J) \mid d(\sigma) = h+1 \}$. One now checks the conditions of Lemma (4.1.1): (i) and (ii) are trivial; thus it remains to verify

- (iii) $[\sigma_i] \cap \Gamma_{J,h}^0$ has the homology of a point ($\sigma_i = {}_k^W J w_i$) and
- (iv) $[\sigma_i] \cap [\sigma_j] \subset \Gamma_{J,h}^0$ if $i \neq j$.

For (iii), we have from (4.1.4) that $[\sigma_i] \cap \Gamma_{J,h}^0 = \bigcup_{j=1}^p [\tau_{i,j}]$ where $\tau_{i_1}, \dots, \tau_{i_p}$ are the faces of σ_i which have codimension 1 and satisfy $d(\tau_{i,j}) \leq h$. Now by (4.1.2) (iii), σ_i has a face τ_{i_1} with

$d(\tau_{i1}) \leq h$. Further, since $\sigma_i \in \Gamma_J^0$, σ_i also has a face τ with

$d(\tau) = h + 1$. Thus $\bigcap_{j=1}^p [\tau_{ij}] \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow k^W_{\Pi_k - \{r\}} w_i$, where $\tau = k^W_{J \cup \{r\}} w_i$

is any face satisfying $d(\tau) = d(\sigma_i)$, and it follows that $\bigcup_{j=1}^p [\tau_{ij}]$ is

contractible. Condition (iv) is easily verified. Thus Lemma (4.1.1)

applies, and we deduce that $\Gamma_{J,h}^0$ and $\Gamma_{J,h+1}^0$ have the same homology.

The result follows. \square

(4.1.6) LEMMA. *The complexes Γ_J and Γ_J^0 have the same $(d-1)$ -skeleton, where $d = \dim \Gamma_J = |k^{\mathbb{I}-J}| - 1$.*

Proof. Let $k^W_{J \cup \{r\}} w$ be a $(d-1)$ -simplex of Γ_J ; we may assume w to be in $X_{J \cup \{\alpha\}}$, where $r = r_\alpha$. Then a fortiori, $w \in X_J$ and it follows that the simplex $\sigma = k^W_J w$ lies in $c^0(\Gamma_J)$ (it has the face $\sigma' = k^W_{J \cup \{r\}} w$ with $d(\sigma') = d(\sigma)$). Thus $\sigma' \in [\sigma] \subset \Gamma_J^0$. \square

Proof of (4.1). From (4.1.6), we have

$$\Gamma_J = \Gamma_J^0 \cup \{\omega_1\} \cup \{\omega_2\} \cup \dots \cup \{\omega_t\}$$

where $\omega_i = k^W_{J \cup \{y_i\}} y_i$ and $Y_J = \{y_1, \dots, y_t\}$. Thus

$$H_J(\Gamma_J) = \begin{cases} H_p(\Gamma_J^0) & (p < d) , \\ H_p(\Gamma_J^0) \oplus \mathbb{Q}^{|Y_J|} & (p = d) . \end{cases}$$

The result now follows immediately from (4.1.5). \square

From (4.1) we quickly deduce the homology of Δ_J using

(4.2) LEMMA. *The partially ordered sets $k^W \setminus (\Gamma_J \times \Gamma_J)$ and $G(k) \setminus (\Delta_J \times \Delta_J)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as that of the corresponding

result for ${}_k W \setminus (\Gamma \times \Gamma)$ and $G(k) \setminus (\Delta \times \Delta)$. It may be found in [3].

(4.3) COROLLARY. Suppose $|{}_k \Pi - J| > 1$. Then the homology of Δ_J is given by

$$H_i(\Delta_J) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & (i = 0), \\ 0 & , \quad 0 < i < |{}_k \Pi - J - 1|, \\ \mathbb{Q}^t & , \quad i = |{}_k \Pi - J - 1|, \quad t \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Putting together the results of (3.11), (4.1) and (4.3) we obtain

(4.4) THEOREM. Let $J \subset {}_k \Pi$, with $D = |{}_k \Pi - J| + d - 1 > 0$ where $d = k$ -rank of $Z(G)$. Then the rational homology groups of $\mathbb{B}_J(G)$ and $\mathbb{B}_J(S)$ are given by

$$H_i(\mathbb{B}_J(G)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & , \quad i \neq 0, \\ 0 & , \quad 0 < i < D, \\ \mathbb{Q}^t & , \quad t \neq 0, \quad i = D, \end{cases}$$

$$H_i(\mathbb{B}_J(S)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & , \quad i \neq 0, \\ 0 & , \quad 0 < i < D, \\ \mathbb{Q}^{|Y_J|} & , \quad i = D, \end{cases}$$

where $Y_J = \{w \in {}_k W \mid wJ \subset \Phi^+, w({}_k \Pi - J) \subset \Phi^-\}$.

5. Representations associated with the spaces $\mathbb{B}_J(G)$

From Theorem (4.4) it is apparent that we have a representation M_J of $G(k)$ on $H_D(\mathbb{B}_J(G))$. Moreover when $|{}_k \Pi - J| > 1$, it follows from (3.10) (i) that M_J is the same representation as that of $G(k)$ on $H_{|{}_k \Pi - J| - 1}(\Delta_J(G, k))$. Applying the Hopf trace formula to this latter complex we see that (when $|{}_k \Pi - J| > 1$)

$$(5.1) \quad M_J = \sum_{L, k \mid \Pi \supseteq L \supseteq J} (-1)^{|L - J|} \text{Ind}_{k^P_L}^{G(k)}(k)(1).$$

Moreover, we may always assume that the k -rank d of $Z(G)^0$ is non-zero (by suspending $B(G)$ if necessary); thus the formula (5.1) holds without restriction on J . This is equivalent to stipulating that $B_J(G)$ is connected for all J .

The representations M_J were introduced by Solomon [11] and studied by Surowski [16] and Stanley [14]. Our object in reintroducing them here is to show how the trace of M_J at regular semisimple elements of $G(k)$ may be evaluated directly from our main result (Theorem (2.5)).

(5.2) DEFINITION. For $L \subset_k \Pi$ define $\alpha_L : W \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\alpha_L(x) = \#\{W_L w \mid w \in {}_k W, W_L w x = W_L w\} .$$

Note that in the split case, $\alpha_L = \text{Ind}_{W_L}^W(1)$ ($W = {}_k W, L = \bar{L}$).

(5.3) THEOREM. Let s be a regular semisimple element of $G(k)$, and let w_s be the corresponding element of W , chosen as in the statement of Theorem (2.5). If μ_J is the character of the representation M_J above, then

$$\mu_J(s) = \sum_{k \Pi \subseteq L \subseteq J} (-1)^{|L-J|} \alpha_L(w_s) .$$

Proof. We have by the Lefschetz principle that

$$\sum_{i=0}^D (-1)^i \text{tr}(s, H_i(B_J(G))) = \chi_E(B_J(G))^s ,$$

where χ_E is the Euler characteristic.

But by (4.4) the left side reduces to $1 + (-1)^D \mu_J(s)$. Now by Theorem (2.5), the right hand side is

$$\chi_E[B_J(G) \cap B(Z_S(w_s))] = \chi_E[B_J(Z_S(w_s))] .$$

To identify the topological nature of the space $B_J(Z_S(w_s))$ we wish to relate it to the complex Γ_J (cf. (3.10) (ii)). For this we use (2.7) to

prove

(5.4) LEMMA. Let Γ_J^w be the subcomplex of Γ_J defined by the condition

$$W_L w \in \Gamma_J^w \iff W_L w w_s = W_L w .$$

Then under the identification of (3.10) (ii), $\mathcal{B}_J(Z_S(w_s))$ is identified with the d -fold suspension of $\left| \Gamma_J^w \right|$.

Proof of lemma. From (2.7) we have that $b \in \mathcal{B}(S)$ is in $\mathcal{B}(Z_S(w_s)) \iff \dot{w}_s \in P(b)$. Now for $b \in \mathcal{B}_J(S)$, $P(b) = w^{-1} P_L w$ for some $L \in {}_k\Pi$, with $L \supset J$ (recall ${}_k P_L = P_L$), and some $w \in {}_k W$. Thus the condition $\dot{w}_s \in P(b)$ is equivalent to $w_s \in w^{-1} W_L w$, or that $W_L w w_s = W_L w$. Thus under the identification of (3.10) (ii), $\mathcal{B}_J(Z_S(w_s))$ is precisely the image of the suspensions of the simplexes in Γ_J^w . This proves the lemma. \square

Completion of the proof of Theorem (5.3). The Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{B}_J(Z_S(w_s))$ is now easily computed in terms of that of Γ_J^w , which is obtained by using the Hopf trace formula, and recalling that $\chi_E(SX) = 2 - \chi_E(X)$, where SX is the suspension of the topological space X .

(5.5) COROLLARY. We have, for each subset $J \subset {}_k\Pi$,

$$\text{Ind}_{P_J(k)}^{G(k)}(1)(s) = \alpha_J(w_s) .$$

This is obtained by applying Möbius inversion with respect to the partially ordered set of subsets of ${}_k\Pi$ to the formula of (5.3).

6. Concluding remarks

(6.1) It is not immediately apparent that our definition of $\alpha_L(x)$ is F -conjugacy invariant. This is because the element w_s is somewhat special. However, let us define

$$\alpha'_L(x) = \#\{W_L w \mid W_L w x = W_L F(x)\} .$$

It is then not difficult to show that for the elements w_s of (5.5), one has $\alpha_L(w_s) = \alpha'_L(w_s)$, and α'_L is patently F -conjugacy invariant:

$$\alpha'_L(wxF(w)^{-1}) = \alpha'_L(x) \text{ for each } w \in W .$$

(6.2) Next, we remark that in the split case (when F acts trivially on W and $S = \bar{S}$ in §2) the results (and proofs) simplify. In particular, in the split case, one has a W -action on $B(S)$ and Theorem (2.5) has the simple formulation given in (2.6).

The result (5) is then deduced from the simple geometric observation that

$$(5.5)' \text{ we have } \Lambda(s, B_J(G)) = \Lambda(w_s, B_J(S)) \left[\text{where } \Lambda(-, X) \right. \\ \left. \text{denotes the Lefschetz number: } \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \text{tr}(-, H_i(X)) \right] .$$

(6.3) As mentioned in the introduction, the formula (5.5) is not new. One of the by-products of the present geometric setting for it is that it may be generalized to the case where we have an equivariant $G(k)$ -sheaf F of complex vector spaces on $B(G)$ (in the sense of Grothendieck [6]). Suppose for simplicity that G is split; we assume always that the cohomology modules $H_c^i(B(G), F)$ (cohomology with compact supports) are of finite type (that is, have finite complex dimension).

One then has a virtual $G(k)$ module $\Lambda_G = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i H_c^i(B(G), F)$ whose associated trace function will be written $\lambda_G(B(G), F)(x)$. Now the methods of Verdier [17] may be generalized (see Donovan and Lehrer [5]) to prove that

$$\lambda_G(\mathcal{B}(G), F)(x) = \lambda_{\langle x \rangle}(\mathcal{B}(G)^{\langle x \rangle}, F|_{\mathcal{B}(G)^{\langle x \rangle}})(x),$$

where $\langle x \rangle$ denotes the cyclic group generated by x and $F|_Y$ denotes the sheaf F restricted to the subspace Y of $\mathcal{B}(G)$. Hence for s a regular semisimple element of $G(k)$ (and G split), we have

$$(6.4) \quad \lambda_G(\mathcal{B}(G), F)(s) = \lambda_{\langle s \rangle}(\mathcal{B}(S)^{w_s}, F|_{\mathcal{B}(S)^{w_s}})(s')$$

where s' is an appropriate $G(k)$ -conjugate of s .

We note finally that since $\mathcal{B}_J(G)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(G)$, the discussion in §5 may be thought of as a special case of (6.4), where F is taken as constant on $\mathcal{B}_J(G)$ and zero outside $\mathcal{B}_J(G)$.

References

- [1] Armand Borel et Jacques Tits, "Groups réductifs", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 27 (1965), 55-151.
- [2] C.W. Curtis, G.I. Lehrer, and J. Tits, "Spherical buildings and the character of the Steinberg representation", *Invent. Math.* 58 (1980), 201-210.
- [3] C.W. Curtis and G.I. Lehrer, "A new proof of a theorem of Solomon-Tits", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 85 (1982), 154-156.
- [4] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, "Representations of reductive groups over finite fields", *Ann. of Math.* (2) 103 (1976), 103-161.
- [5] P.W. Donovan and G.I. Lehrer, "On the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a G -sheaf", in preparation.
- [6] Alexandre Grothendieck, "Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique", *Tohoku Math. J.* (2) 9 (1957), 119-221.
- [7] Noriaki Kawanaka, "A theorem on finite Chevalley groups", *Osaka J. Math.* 10 (1973), 1-13.
- [8] George Lusztig, "Unipotent characters of the symplectic and odd orthogonal groups over a finite field", *Invent. Math.* 64 (1981), 263-296.

- [9] George Lusztig, "Unipotent characters of the even orthogonal groups over a finite field", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **272** (1982), 733-751.
- [10] George Lusztig, *Representations of finite Chevalley groups* (Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **39**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1978).
- [11] Louis Solomon, "A decomposition of the group algebra of a finite Coxeter group", *J. Algebra* **9** (1968), 220-239.
- [12] Louis Solomon, "The Steinberg character of a finite group with BN -pair", *Theory of finite groups*, 213-221 (Proc. Sympos., Harvard University, 1968. Benjamin, New York, 1969).
- [13] T.A. Springer and R. Steinberg, "Conjugacy classes", *Seminar on algebraic groups and related finite groups*, Princeton, 1968/69, 167-226 (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **131**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1970).
- [14] Richard P. Stanley, "Some aspects of groups acting on finite posets", *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **32** (1982), 132-161.
- [15] David B. Surowski, "Permutation characters of finite groups of Lie type", *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **27** (1979), 378-384.
- [16] David B. Surowski, "A reflection sieve for finite BN -pairs of type A_n , B_n and C_n ", *J. Algebra* **73** (1981), 538-551.
- [17] Jean-Louis Verdier, "Caractéristique d'Euler-Poincaré", *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **101** (1973), 441-445.

Department of Pure Mathematics,
University of Sydney,
Sydney,
New South Wales 2006,
Australia.