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#### Abstract

Let $S_{n}=X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}$ where $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ are i.i.d. random variables with $E X_{1}{ }^{ \pm}$ $=\infty$. An integral test is given for each of the three possible alternatives $\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty$ a.s.; $\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=-\infty$ a.s.; $\lim \sup \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty$ and $\lim \inf \left(S_{n} / n\right)=-\infty$ a.s. Some applications are noted.


1. Introduction. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables and put $S_{n}=X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}, n \geq 1$. It is well known that if $E X_{1}$ is defined in the sense that one or both of $E X_{1}^{+}, E X_{1}^{-}\left(x^{+}=\max (x, 0)\right.$, $\left.x^{-}=\max (-x, 0)\right)$ is finite then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left\{\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(S_{n} / n\right)=E X_{1}\right\}=1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If however $E X_{1}^{+}=E X_{1}^{-}=\infty$ then $E X_{1}$ is undefined and (1.1) is meaningless. In this case Kesten [5, Corollary 3, p. 1195] has proved the following.

Theorem 1. If $E X_{1}^{+}=E X_{1}^{-}=\infty$ then one of the following alternatives must prevail:
(i) $P\left\{\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty\right\}=1$;
(ii) $P\left\{\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=-\infty\right\}=1$;
(iii) $P\left\{\lim \sup \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty\right.$ and $\left.\lim \inf \left(S_{n} / n\right)=-\infty\right\}=1$.

In this paper we shall give a simple necessary and sufficient criterion, in the form of an integral test, for each of (i)-(iii).
2. Notation and statement of results. Let $X$ stand for any of the random variables $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ and assume $P\{X=0\} \neq 1$. Put $F(t)=P\{X \leq t\}$ and define the following quantities:
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$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{-}(x) & =\int_{-x}^{0} F(y) d y=x F(-x)+\int_{-x}^{0}|y| d F(y) \\
m_{+}(x) & =\int_{0}^{x}[1-F(y)] d y=x[1-F(x)]+\int_{0}^{x} y d F(y) \\
J_{+} & =J_{+}(X)=\int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{x}{m_{-}(x)} d F(x) \\
J_{-} & =J_{-}(X)=\int_{-\infty}^{0-} \frac{|x|}{m_{+}(|x|)} d F(x)=J_{+}(-X)
\end{aligned}
$$

The integrand in $J_{+}, J_{-}$is bounded near $x=0$ whenever $F(0-) \neq 0$ or $1-F(0) \neq 0$ respectively. If $P\{X<0\}=F(0-)=0$ define $J_{+}=E X$ $=E X^{+}$and if $P\{X>0\}=1-F(0)=0$ define $J_{-}=E|X|=E X^{-}$.

Note the following properties: as $t \rightarrow \infty, m_{+}(t) \rightarrow E X^{+}, m_{-}(t) \rightarrow E X^{-}$and, since $m_{+}$and $m_{-}$are nondecreasing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{+} \leq c E X^{+}, \quad J_{-} \leq c E X^{-} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c<\infty$ whether or not $E X^{+}, E X^{-}$are finite.
Theorem 2. (No assumptions on $E X_{1}^{ \pm}$.)
(a) $J_{+}=\infty$ if and only if $P\left\{\lim \sup \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty\right\}=1$;
(b) $J_{-}=\infty$ if and only if $P\left\{\lim \inf \left(S_{n} / n\right)=-\infty\right\}=1$;
(c) $J_{1}<J_{+}=\infty$ if and only if $P\left\{\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty\right\}=1$;
(d) $J_{+}<J_{-}=\infty$ if and only if $P\left\{\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=-\infty\right\}=1$.

Remark. It follows from the four alternatives presented in Theorem 2 and the Hewitt-Savage 0-1 law that if both $J_{+}$and $J_{-}$are finite the sequence $\left\{S_{n} / n\right\}$ must be bounded with probability 1 . But this is the case if and only if $E\left|X_{1}\right|<\infty$ (and then $\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=E X_{1}$ a.s.). From this and (2.1) we conclude

$$
J_{+}+J_{-}<\infty \text { if and only if } E\left|X_{1}\right|<\infty
$$

This is a purely analytic fact. For a direct analytic proof that $J_{+}+J_{-}<\infty$ implies $E\left|X_{1}\right|<\infty$, see note 7 below.

Corollary 1. Assume $E\left|X_{1}\right|=\infty$. Then at most one of $J_{+}, J_{-}$is finite and
(a) $P\left\{\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty\right\}=1$ iff $J_{-}<\infty$;
(b) $n\left\{\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=-\infty\right\}=1$ iff $J_{+}<\infty$;
(c) $P\left\{\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=-\infty\right.$ and $\left.\overline{\lim }\left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty\right\}=1$ iff $J_{+}=J_{-}=\infty$.

Proof. This corollary follows immediately from Theorem 2 and the preceding remark.

Corollary 2. If $E\left|X_{1}\right|=\infty$ and $P\left\{X_{1}<0\right\} \neq 0$ then $P\left\{S_{n}>0\right.$ i.o. $\}=0$ or 1 according as $\sum_{1}^{\infty}(1 / n) P\left\{S_{n}>0\right\}$ converges or diverges, according as $\int_{0+}^{\infty}\left(x / \int_{0}^{x} F(-y) d y\right) d F(x)$ is finite or infinite.

Proof. Corollary 1 and Spitzer's test [4, p. 415, Theorem 2].
Corollary 3. Let $\left\{S_{t}\right\}, t \geq 0$, be a process on $R^{1}$ with stationary independent increments and

$$
\frac{1}{t} \log E e^{i \theta S_{1}}=i b \theta-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \theta^{2}+\int\left(e^{i \theta x}-1-\frac{i \theta x}{1+x^{2}}\right) d \lambda(x) .
$$

Put $\lambda_{-}(y)=\lambda\{(-\infty, y)\}, y<0$, and assume $\lambda_{-}(-2 a) \neq 0$ for some $a>0$. Then

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{t}}{t}=+\infty \quad \text { a.s. iff } \int_{a}^{\infty}\left(x / \int_{a}^{x} \lambda_{-}(-y) d y\right) d \lambda(x)=\infty
$$

Proof. Write $S_{t}=S_{t}^{\prime}+S_{t}^{\prime \prime}$ (in distribution) where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{t} \log E e^{i \theta S_{i}^{\prime}}=i b^{\prime} \theta-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \theta^{2}+\int_{|x| \leq a}\left(e^{i \theta x}-1-i \theta x\right) d \lambda(x) \\
& \frac{1}{t} \log E e^{i \theta S^{\prime} ;}=\int_{|x|>a}\left(e^{i \theta x}-1\right) d \lambda(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(S_{t}^{\prime} / t\right)=E S_{1}^{\prime}$, finite, $\left(E\left|S_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{r}<\infty\right.$ for all $\left.r>0\right)$ and hence

$$
\underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } \frac{S_{t}}{t}=+\infty \quad \text { a.s. iff } \lim \sup \frac{S_{t}^{\prime \prime}}{t}=+\infty \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Now $S^{\prime \prime}$, is a compound Poisson process: $S_{t}^{\prime \prime}=X_{1}+\cdots+X_{N_{1}}$, see [3, p. 504, p. 555 and p. 571] where the i.i.d. random variables $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ have distribution $P\left\{X_{n} \in I\right\}=\beta^{-1} \lambda\left\{I \cap[-a, a]^{c}\right\}, \quad \beta=\lambda\left\{[-a, a]^{c}\right\} \quad\left(0<\beta<\infty\right.$ by $\lambda_{-}(-2 a)$ $\neq 0$ and properties of Levy measures) and the Poisson process $N_{t}$ has rate $\beta$. Therefore $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(N_{t} / t\right)=\beta$ a.s., so

$$
\beta^{-1} \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{i}^{\prime \prime}}{t}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}}{n} \text { a.s. }
$$

and the conclusion of the corollary follows from Theorem 2(a).
3. Notes. (1) Suppose $F(x) \leq 1-c / x^{a}$ for $x \geq b>0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{0}|x|^{\beta} d F(x)$ $<\infty$ for some $0<\alpha<\beta<1$. Then $E X_{1}^{+}=\infty$ and $J_{-} \leq c_{1} \int_{-\infty}^{0}|x|^{\alpha} d F(x)$ $<\infty$. Hence $S_{n} / n \rightarrow+\infty$ with probability 1 . This example is due to C. Derman and H. Robbins [2].
(2) Suppose $F(x)=L(|x|) /|x|^{a}, x \leq-a \leq 0$ where $L$ is slowly varying at $\infty$ and $0<\alpha<1$. Then by Karamata's theorem on regularly varying functions, see [4, p. 281], we have

$$
E X_{1}^{-} \geq c \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{L(x)}{x^{\alpha}} d x=\infty
$$

and

$$
x / m_{-}(x) \div x / \int_{a}^{x} y^{-\alpha} L(y) d y \sim \frac{(1-\alpha) x^{\alpha}}{L(x)}=\frac{1-\alpha}{F(-x)}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence by Corollary 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left\{\lim S_{n}=-\infty\right\}=P\left\{\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=-\infty\right\}=1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(1 / F\left(-X_{1}^{+}\right)\right)<\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This example is due to Williamson [7, part (i) of Theorem on p. 866].
In that same paper Williamson conjectured that for arbitrary $F$ (3.2) is necessary and sufficient for (3.1). Here is a counterexample: Let $F$ have a density $F^{\prime}(x)=f(x)$ such that

$$
f(x) \sim \frac{1}{x^{2} \log x}, \quad f(-x) \sim \frac{1}{x^{2}(\log x)^{1 / 2}}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then $1-F(x) \sim(x \log x)^{-1}, m_{+}(x) \sim \log \log x, F(-x) \sim x^{-1}(\log x)^{-1 / 2}$ and $m_{-}(x) \sim 2(\log x)^{1 / 2}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $J_{+}<\infty$ and $J_{-}=\infty$ and (3.1) holds. But (3.2) fails since $E\left(1 / F\left(-X_{1}^{+}\right)\right) \div \int_{a}^{\infty} x^{-1}(\log x)^{-1 / 2} d x=\infty$.
(3) If the tails of $F$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<c_{1} \leq(1-F(t)) / F(-t) \leq c_{2}<\infty, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then an integration by parts shows that $J_{+}$and $J_{-}$both diverge or converge together. Hence the random walk $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ generated by an $F$ satisfying (3.3) and $E\left|X_{1}\right|=\infty$ is always of the oscillating type; case (iii) of Theorem 1, whether or not it is transient.
(4) Suppose $F^{\prime}(-x) \sim x^{-2} \log \log x$ and $F^{\prime}(x) \sim x^{-2}, x \rightarrow \infty$. Here the left tail predominates: $1-F(x)=o(F(-x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$; nevertheless, $\lim \sup \left(S_{n} / n\right)$ $=+\infty$ and $\lim \inf \left(S_{n} / n\right)=-\infty$ with probability 1 , since $m_{+}(x) \sim \log x$, and $m_{-}(x) \sim \log x \log \log x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, so for some $a>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.J_{+} \geq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{d x}{x \log x \log \log x}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \log \log \log x\right]_{a}^{t}=\infty, \\
& J_{-} \geq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{t} \frac{\log \log x}{x \log x} d x=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

One should note that the random walk $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ of this example is transient, i.e. $\lim \left|S_{n}\right|=\infty$ a.s. This follows from the asymptotic estimates $|1-\varphi(\theta)|$ $\sim|\theta| m_{+}(1 /|\theta|), \operatorname{Re}(1-\varphi(\theta))=O(|1-\varphi(\theta)| / \log (1 /|\theta|))$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$ where $\varphi(\theta)$ $=E e^{i X \theta}$. See [3, Lemma 1].
(5) Theorem 1 guarantees that $\lim \sup \left|S_{n} / n\right|=\infty$ with probability 1 whenever $E X_{1}^{+}=E X_{1}^{-}=\infty$. However, it need not happen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left\{\lim \inf \left|S_{n} / n\right|=\infty\right\}=1 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, given any nonnegative number $c$ there is a random walk $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ with $E X_{1}^{ \pm}=\infty$ such that

$$
P\left\{\lim \sup \left|S_{n} / n\right|=\infty \text { and } \lim \inf \left|S_{n} / n\right|=c\right\}=1
$$

For the proof see [5, Theorem 7, p. 1196].
Problem. Find a simple integral test equivalent to (3.4). In this connection note Remark 2, p. 1182 in [5].
(6) Put $\varphi(\theta)=E e^{i x \theta}$. The following assertions are equivalent (see BinmoreKatz [1], also [5, Theorem 6 and Remark 5, p. 1195]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty \text { a.s. }  \tag{3.5}\\
\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{e^{i \theta b}-1}{i \theta} \log \left\{1-\frac{e^{-i \theta a} \varphi(\theta)}{1+\theta^{2}}\right\}^{-1} d \theta<\infty, \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $a>0$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P\left\{S_{n} \leq a n\right\}<\infty, \text { for every } a>0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The convergence of this series, for one $a$, is of course, Spitzer's criterion for $P\left\{S_{n}-a n \leq 0\right.$ i.o. $\}=0$.) Thus (3.5)-(3.7) are each equivalent to $J_{-}<\infty$, $J_{+}=\infty$.

Problem. Find a "nonprobabilistic" proof that (3.6) is equivalent to $J_{-}<\infty$, $J_{+}=\infty$.
(7) As noted previously the assertions

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{+}+J_{-}<\infty \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left|X_{1}\right|<\infty \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

are equivalent due to Theorem 2 and (2.1). Here is another proof that (3.8) $\Rightarrow$ (3.9).

Proposition. Let $H$ be a distribution on $[0, \infty)$ with $H(0)<1$ and put $m(x)$ $=\int_{0}^{x}[1-H(y)] d y ;$ then

$$
I(H) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x}{m(x)} d H(x)<\infty \Leftrightarrow \int_{0}^{\infty} x d H(x)<\infty
$$

Proof that (3.8) $\Rightarrow$ (3.9) from the Proposition. Let $H(x)=P\left(\left|X_{1}\right| \leq x\right)=$ $F(x)-F(-x-), x>0$, then

$$
m(x)=\int_{0}^{x}[1-F(y)+F(-y)] d y=m_{+}(x)+m_{-}(x)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{+}+J_{-} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x}{m_{-}(x)} d F(x)+\int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{|x|}{m_{+}(|x|)} d F(x) \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x}{m(x)} d H(x)=I(H)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $J_{+}+J_{-}<\infty \Rightarrow I(H)<\infty \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{\infty} x d H(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|x| d F(x)$ is infinite.

Proof of the Proposition. The implication $\int_{0}^{\infty} x d H(x)<\infty \Rightarrow I(H)<\infty$ is clear so let us assume $I(H)<\infty$. Note first that $m$ is absolutely continuous on bounded intervals and

$$
m^{\prime}(x)=1-H(x) \leq m(x) / x \quad \text { a.e. } x>0
$$

(the exceptional set where $m^{\prime}$ does not exist is at most countable); consequently the function $x \rightarrow x / m(x), x>0$, is absolutely continuous on intervals $[a, b]$, $0<a<b<\infty$ and is nondecreasing because

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x / m(x)]^{\prime}=\frac{m(x)-x[1-H(x)]}{m^{2}(x)} \geq 0 \quad \text { a.e. } \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $I(H)<\infty$ we see that

$$
\epsilon(t) \equiv \frac{t}{m(t)}[1-H(t)] \leq \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{x}{m(x)} d H(x) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

and it follows on integrating by parts in $\int_{b}^{\infty}(x / m(x)) d H(x)$ that

$$
\int_{b}^{\infty}[1-H(x)] d(x / m(x))
$$

is finite for any $b>0$. Choosing $b>0$ so large that $1-\epsilon(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for $x \geq b$ and noting (3.10) and the absolute continuity of $\log m(x)$ on bounded intervals $[b, B]$, $b>0$, gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \log \frac{m(t)}{m(b)} & =\int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{m^{\prime}(x)}{m(x)} d x \leq 2 \int_{b}^{\infty} \frac{m^{\prime}(x)}{m(x)}[1-\epsilon(x)] d x \\
& =2 \int_{b}^{\infty}[1-H(x)] d\left(\frac{x}{m(x)}\right)<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

But this implies $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} m(t)=\int_{0}^{\infty}[1-H(x)] d x<\infty$ which in turn implies $\int_{0}^{\infty} x d H(x)<\infty$.

Note. One can also prove the above proposition by observing $I(H)<\infty$ $\Rightarrow \int_{0}^{x} y d H(y) \sim m(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, hence

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} x /\left(\int_{0}^{x} y d H(y)\right) d H(x)<\infty
$$

and then $\int_{0}^{\infty} x d H(x)<\infty$ by the Abel-Dini theorem.
4. Proof of Theorem 2. We prove Theorem 2 in a series of lemmas, each having independent interest.

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be any probability distribution concentrated on $[0, \infty)$ (but not all the mass at the origin). Put

$$
U(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G^{n^{0}}(t), \quad m(t)=\int_{0}^{t}[1-G(x)] d x
$$

where $G^{n *}$ is the $n$-fold convolution. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq m(t) U(t) / t \leq 2 \text { for all } t>0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min (1, a / 2) \leq U(a t) / U(t) \leq \max (1,2 a) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t>0, a>0$.
Proof. $U$ satisfies the renewal equation $U=1+G * U$, see [4, p. 186] or, equivalently,

$$
1=\int_{0}^{x}[1-G(x-y)] d U(y)\left({ }^{2}\right), \quad x \geq 0
$$

Integrating this over $0 \leq x \leq t$ gives

$$
t=\int_{0}^{t} d U(y) \int_{y}^{t}[1-G(x-y)] d x=\int_{0}^{t} m(t-y) d U(y)
$$

Since $m$ is nondecreasing

$$
m\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) U\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \leq \int_{0}^{t / 2} m(t-y) d U(y) \leq t \leq m(t) U(t)
$$

and (4.1) follows. To get (4.2) note that $m$ and $U$ are nondecreasing so

$$
1 \leq \frac{U(a t)}{U(t)} \leq \frac{2 a t}{m(a t) U(t)} \leq \frac{2 a t}{m(t) U(t)} \leq 2 a
$$

for $a \geq 1, t \geq 0$. Similarly, $U(a t) / U(t) \geq a / 2$ for $a \leq 1$.
(2) Intervals of integration are closed unless otherwise indicated.

Corollary. An integral of the form $\int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G^{n^{*}}(a x) d F(x)$ either converges for all $a>0$ or diverges for all $a>0$, according as $\int_{0+}^{\infty}(x / m(x)) d F(x)$ converges or diverges, $m(x)=\int_{0}^{x}[1-G(y)] d y$.

For Lemmas 2-5 let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution $F$ such that $F(0-)=P\left\{X_{1}<0\right\} \neq 0$.

Lemma 2. Let $a>0$ be fixed and put $A_{0}=\Omega=$ certain event, $A_{1}=\left\{X_{1}>0\right\}$ and $A_{n}=\left\{X_{n}^{-}+\cdots+X_{n-1}^{-}<a X_{n}^{+}\right\}, n>1$.
(i) If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\left(A_{n}\right)<\infty$ then

$$
\lim \sup \left(X_{n}^{+} /\left(X_{1}^{-}+\cdots+X_{n}^{-}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{a} \text { a.s. }
$$

(ii) If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\left(A_{n}\right)=\infty$ then

$$
\lim \sup \left(X_{n}^{+} /\left(X_{1}^{-}+\cdots+X_{n}^{-}\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{a} \text { a.s. }
$$

(We define $X_{n}^{+}(\omega) / 0=\infty$ if $X_{n}(\omega)>0$.)
Proof. Assertion (i) follows from the first Borel-Cantelli lemma. To prove (ii) assume $\sum P\left(A_{n}\right)=\infty$. Since $P\left(A_{n}\right.$ i.o.) is either 0 or 1 by the Hewitt-Savage $0-1$ law, it suffices to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(A_{n} \text { i.o. }\right)>0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $m>n, A_{n} \cap A_{m} \subset A_{n} \cap\left\{X_{n+1}^{-}+\cdots+X_{m}^{-}<a X_{m}^{+}\right\}$so

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(A_{n} \cap A_{m}\right) \leq P\left(A_{n}\right) P\left(A_{m-n}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by independence and stationarity of $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$. Put $Z_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} I_{A_{k}}=$ number of $A_{k}$ which occur up to time $n$. Then (4.4) gives

$$
E Z_{n}^{2} \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n} P\left(A_{i}\right) \sum_{j=i}^{n} P\left(A_{j-i}\right) \leq 2\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n} P\left(A_{i}\right)\right]^{2}=2\left(E Z_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

and hence

$$
P\left\{\lim \sup \left(Z_{n} / E Z_{n}\right) \geq 1\right\}>0
$$

by the generalized Borel-Cantelli lemma, cf. [6]. But this clearly implies (4.3) since $E Z_{n}=\sum_{0}^{n} P\left(A_{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3. $\lim \sup \left(X_{n}^{+} /\left(X_{1}^{-}+\cdots+X_{n}^{-}\right)\right)=0$ or $\infty$ with probability 1 , according as $J_{+}=\int_{0+}^{\infty} x / m_{-}(x) d F(x)$ is finite or infinite where $m_{-}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} F(-y) d y$.

Proof. Let $A_{n}$ be as in Lemma 2. Then since $X_{n}^{-}=0$ on $A_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left\{X_{1}^{-}+\right. & \left.\cdots+X_{n}^{-}<a X_{n}^{+}\right\}=P\left(A_{n}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} P\left\{X_{1}^{-}+\cdots+X_{n-1}^{-}<a y\right\} P\left\{X_{n}^{+} \in d y\right\} \\
& =\int_{0+}^{\infty} G^{(n-1)^{*}}(a y-) d F(y) \\
& \leq \int_{0+}^{\infty} G^{(n-1)^{*}}(a y) d F(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G(t)=P\left(X_{1}^{-} \leq t\right), t \geq 0$. If $0<b<a$ then clearly

$$
P\left(A_{n}\right) \geq \int_{0}^{\infty} G^{(n-1)^{*}}(b y) d F(y)
$$

Therefore from the corollary to Lemma $1 \sum_{1}^{\infty} P\left\{X_{1}^{-}+\cdots+X_{n}^{-}<a X_{n}^{+}\right\}$converges or diverges for all $a>0$ according as $J_{+}$is finite or infinite. The desired conclusion now follows immediately from Lemma 2.

Lemma 4. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup \left(X_{n}^{+} /\left(X_{1}^{-}+\cdots+X_{n}^{-}\right)\right)=\infty \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $E X_{1}^{+}=\infty$ and $\lim \sup \left(S_{n} / n\right)=\infty$ a.s., where $S_{n}=X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}$.
Proof. Equation (4.5) implies that the event $X_{n}^{+} \geq 2\left(X_{1}^{-}+\cdots+X_{n-1}^{-}\right)$takes place with probability 1 for infinitely many $n$. For such an $n$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{n} & =X_{n}^{+}-\left(X_{1}^{-}+\cdots+X_{n}^{-}\right)+X_{1}^{+}+\cdots+X_{n-1}^{+} \\
& \geq\left|X_{1}\right|+\left|X_{2}\right|+\cdots+\left|X_{n-1}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $S_{n} / n \geq\left(\left|X_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|X_{n-1}\right|\right) / n$ infinitely often with probability 1 . However, this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup \frac{S_{n}}{n} \geq \lim \inf \frac{\left|X_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|X_{n}\right|}{n} \text { a.s. } \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \frac{\left|X_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|X_{n}\right|}{n}=E\left|X_{1}\right| \text { a.s. } \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(whether or not $E\left|X_{1}\right|$ is finite), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E X_{1}^{+}=\lim \frac{X_{1}^{+}+\cdots+X_{n}^{+}}{n} \geq \lim \sup \frac{S_{n}}{n} \text { a.s. } \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $X_{1}^{+}+\cdots+X_{n}^{+} \geq X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}=S_{n}$. It follows from (4.6)-(4.8) that $E X_{1}^{+}$ $\geq E\left|X_{1}\right|$ which, since we are assuming $P\left(X_{1}<0\right)>0$, is impossible unless $E X_{1}^{+}=E\left|X_{1}\right|=\infty$. From (4.6) and (4.7) it now follows that $\lim \sup \left(S_{n} / n\right)$ $=\infty$ with probability 1 .

Lemma 5. If $E X_{1}^{+}=\infty$ and if $P\left\{S_{n}>0\right.$ i.o. $\}>0$, then
$\lim \sup \left(X_{n}^{+} /\left(X_{1}^{-}+\cdots+X_{n}^{-}\right)\right)=\infty \quad$ with probability 1.

This remarkable fact is due to Kesten [5, Theorem 5, p. 1190]. We omit the proof.
Proof of Theorem 2. Note first that we may assume

$$
P\left\{X_{1}<0\right\} \cdot P\left\{X_{1}>0\right\} \neq 0 .
$$

(If, for example, $P\left\{X_{1} \geq 0\right\}=1, P\left\{X_{1}=0\right\} \neq 1$ then $E X_{1}=E X_{1}^{+}<\infty$ if and only if $J_{+}<\infty$; see note $7, \S 3$, and Theorem 2 follows from (1.1).)

Clearly the theorem is symmetric in + and - (replace $X_{n}$ by $X_{n}=-X_{n}$, then $J_{+}$becomes $J_{-}$, etc.). Thus, (b) follows from (a) and (d) follows from (c).

Proof of (a). If $J_{+}=\infty$ then, by Lemmas 3 and $4, P\left\{\lim \sup \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty\right\}$ $=1$. Suppose that $P\left\{\lim \sup \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty\right\}=1$. Then $E X_{1}^{+}=\infty$ (for otherwise by (1.1) we would have $\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=E X_{1}^{+}-E X_{1}^{-} \neq+\infty$ ), and obviously $P\left\{S_{n}>0\right.$ i.o. $\}=1$. Hence, by Lemmas 5 and $3, J_{+}=\infty$.

Proof of (c). Assume $J_{+}=\infty$ and $J_{-}<\infty$. We want to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left\{\lim \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty\right\}=1 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By parts (a) and (b) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left\{\lim \sup \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty \text { and } \lim \inf \left(S_{n} / n\right)>-\infty\right\}=1 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $E X_{1}^{+}=\infty$ by (2.1). If $E X_{1}^{-}<\infty$ then (4.9) follows from (1.1). If, however, $E X_{1}^{-}=\infty$ then (4.9) follows from Theorem 1; we must be in case (i) by (4.10). The converse that (4.9) implies $J_{+}=\infty$ and $J_{-}<\infty$ follows from parts (a) and (b) since (4.9) implies

$$
P\left\{\lim \sup \left(S_{n} / n\right)=\lim \inf \left(S_{n} / n\right)=+\infty \neq-\infty\right\}=1
$$

Added in proof. I have recently learned of a paper A note on fluctuations of random walks without the first moment by Tashio Mori, Yokohama Math. J. 20 (1972), 51-55. He has obtained, independently, an integral criterion for $P\left(S_{n}\right.$ $>0$ i.o. $)=1$ when $E\left|X_{1}\right|=\infty$. His criterion is not expressed in terms of the tails of $F$, however. Mr. Mori's remark in $\S 1$ of his paper that Williamson's result is not true without regular variation of $F^{-}$is somewhat misleading: Williamson's result is false even if the tails are regularly varying, (with exponent 1 ), see Note 2 in §3 above.
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