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#### Abstract

One of the most important problems of coding theory is to construct codes with best possible minimum distances. Recently, quasi-cyclic (QC) codes have been proven to contain many such codes. In this paper, we consider quasi-twisted (QT) codes, which are generalizations of QC codes, and their structural properties and obtain new codes which improve minimum distances of best known linear codes over the finite fields $G F(3)$ and $G F(5)$. Moreover, we give a BCH-type bound on minimum distance for QT codes and give a sufficient condition for a QT code to be equivalent to a QC code.
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## 1. Introduction

The class of quasi-cyclic (QC) codes have been shown to be promising to solve one of the most important problems in coding theory: to construct codes with the best possible parameters. Therefore a larger class of linear codes, called quasi-twisted (QT) codes, deserves a careful study. We have investigated this class of codes very closely, determined some of their structural properties, and found a BCH-type bound on minimum distance, in the special case of 1-generator QT codes. Moreover, we have found sufficient conditions for a QT code to be equivalent to a QC code. Finally, we made use of these results to develop an efficient method to search for new linear QT codes over the fields $G F(3)$ and $G F(5)$ and we have been able to find many such codes.

Following the convention, a linear code $C$ of length $n$, dimension $k$, and minimum distance $d$ over $F_{q}$ will be denoted by $[n, k, d]_{q}$. The following map is useful in defining some important classes of codes.

Let $n=l m$ where $l, m \in \mathbb{N}, a \in F_{q}^{\times}:=F_{q}-\{0\}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{a, l}: C \rightarrow V \\
& \mu_{a, l}\left(\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)\right)=\left(a \cdot c_{0-l}, \ldots, a \cdot c_{(l-1)-l}, c_{l-l}, \ldots, c_{n-l-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the subscripts are taken modulo $n$.

Definition 1.1. A linear code $C$ is called $l$-quasi-twisted $(l-Q T)$ if $\mu_{a, l}(C)=C$.
In words, a constacyclic shift of a codeword by $l$ positions is still a codeword, where a constacyclic shift of a codeword $\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right)$ is $\left(a c_{n-1}, c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-2}\right)$. Some of the most important classes of codes can be realized as special cases of QT codes. For example the case $a=1$ gives quasi-cyclic codes, $l=1$ gives constacyclic codes (also known as pseudocyclic codes), $l=1$ and $a=1$ yields cyclic codes.

Since a code $C$ is $l$-QT if and only if it is $(l, n)$-QT (an immediate generalization of the corresponding fact for QC codes in [9]), where $(l, n)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $n$ and $l$, we will assume, without loss of generality, that $l \mid n$, so that $n=m l$ for some integer $m$. Note that if $(l, n)=1$, the code is constacyclic.

Recently, there has been much research on quasi-cyclic codes. Some of the important facts that have motivated the researchers are the following:

1. Quasi-cyclic codes meet a modified version of Gilbert Varshamov bound unlike many other classes of codes [21].
2. Some best quadratic residue codes and Pless symmetry codes are quasi-cyclic [24].
3. They enjoy a simpler algebraic structure compared to arbitrary linear codes (which makes the search process much simpler).
4. A large number of record breaking codes come from quasi-cyclic codes. Among these, there is a significant number of optimal codes (the best possible minimum distance that a code can achieve), etc.
5. They are natural generalizations of important class of cyclic codes.

Due to the facts mentioned above and many more, researchers worked on quasi-cyclic codes and have been able to discover new record breaking codes over finite fields of orders $2,3,5,7,8$, and 9 which were quasi-cyclic. Most of the work can be found in $[2,5,7-17$, 19, 27] and [29]. Aside from [8] and [19], there has not been quite as much search on QT codes as on QC codes. Since the class of QT codes is larger, it would be no surprise to find "good" linear codes which are QT. That is part of the reason we search over QT codes. We first review constacyclic codes in the next section and then obtain structural properties of QT codes in the following sections. Finally, we present new codes and their generators together with (Hamming) weight enumerators.

## 2. Constacyclic Codes and a BCH Bound

Constacyclic codes have algebraic properties similar to cyclic codes [1, 20, 22]. For example they can also be specified through the roots of their generator polynomials. In studying cyclic codes the factorization of $x^{n}-1$ was crucial. Now, we are interested in factorizing $x^{n}-a$ over $F_{q}$. Before looking at this factorization, we remark that in certain cases constacyclic codes are equivalent to cyclic codes.

Definition 2.1. [24] Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be codes of length $n$ over $F_{q}$. We say that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are equivalent if there are $n$ permutations $\pi_{0}, \pi_{1} \ldots, \pi_{n-1}$ of $F_{q}$ and a permutation $\sigma$ of $n$
coordinate positions such that

$$
\text { If } \quad\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in C_{1} \quad \text { then } \quad \sigma\left(\pi_{0}\left(c_{0}\right), \ldots, \pi_{n-1}\left(c_{n-1}\right)\right) \in C_{2} .
$$

For linear codes only those $\pi_{i}$ 's which are the compositions of a scalar multiplication with a field automorphism are allowed. The scalar multiple may vary for each coordinate, but the field automorphism must be the same.

There are some important special cases: when all $\pi_{i}$ 's are identity permutations, we say that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are permutation equivalent and when each $\pi_{i}$ is a multiplication by a non-zero scalar, $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are said to be scalar multiple equivalent [26] or monomially equivalent [4] pp. 18. (For prime fields such as $G F(p)$ for a prime $p$, there are no non-trivial field automorphisms.) Monomially equivalent codes have the same weight enumerator, in particular they have the same minimum distance [4] pp. 18.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $C$ be a constacyclic code of length $n$, generated by $g(x) \mid\left(x^{n}-a\right)$ over $F_{q}$. The constacyclic code $C_{\delta}$ generated by the same polynomial over $K:=F_{q}[\delta]$ (the smallest field containing $F_{q}$ and $\delta$ ), where $\delta$ is an nth root of $a \in F_{q}$, is equivalent to a cyclic code of the same length over $K$.

Proof. First, we embed the field $F_{q}$ in $K$ by the inclusion map $\iota: F_{q} \hookrightarrow K$. The image $\iota(C)$ of $C$ need not be an ideal in $\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle}$ but it is contained in the ideal $C_{\delta}$ generated by $g(x)$ in $\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle}$. Consider the map $\psi: K[x] \longmapsto \frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}$, where $\psi(p(x))=\overline{p(x \delta)}$, for any $p(x) \in K[x], \overline{p(x)}$ denotes $p(x) \bmod \left(x^{n}-1\right)$ in $\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}$. Then $\psi$ is a ring homomorphism which is surjective because for any $\overline{p(x)} \in \frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}, p\left(x \delta^{-1}\right)$ belongs to $K[x]$. Therefore, $\left|\frac{K[x]}{\operatorname{Ker} \psi}\right|=\left|\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}\right|$.

Since $\psi\left(x^{n}-a\right)=\overline{(\delta x)^{n}-a}=\overline{a\left(x^{n}-1\right)}=\overline{0}, x^{n}-a$ is in the kernel $(\operatorname{Ker}(\psi))$ of this homomorphism. But $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$ is an ideal, so $\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. Hence $\left|\frac{K[x]}{\operatorname{Ker} \psi}\right| \leq\left|\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle}\right|$. Also, $\left|\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}\right|=\left|\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle}\right|$. Therefore we obtain the following chain of inequalities.

$$
\left|\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}\right|=\left|\frac{K[x]}{\operatorname{Ker} \psi}\right| \leq\left|\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle}\right|=\left|\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}\right|
$$

which implies that $\left|\frac{K[x]}{\operatorname{Ker} \psi}\right|=\left|\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle}\right|$. Together with $\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$, we conclude that $\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)=\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle$. Consequently, the rings $\frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle}, \frac{\left.x^{n} x\right]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle}$ are isomorphic. Hence the ideals of these rings are in one-to-one correspondence given by $\psi$. This means that the equivalence is given by the permutations $\pi_{i}(\alpha):=\delta^{i} \alpha, \alpha \in K$, of $K$ and $\sigma$, the identity permutation, in Definition 2.1 and in fact this is a scalar multiple equivalence.

Remark 1. Note that we have the following relations between the quotient rings under consideration:

$$
\frac{F_{q}[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle} \hookrightarrow \frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-a\right\rangle} \cong \frac{K[x]}{\left\langle x^{n}-1\right\rangle} .
$$

Remark 2. The code $C$ in the last theorem is actually subfield-subcode [24] of $C_{\delta}$, which is the restriction of $C_{\delta}$ to $F_{q}$.

COROLLARY 2.1. When $F_{q}$ contains an nth root $\delta$ of $a$, a constacyclic code of length $n$ over $F_{q}$ is equivalent to a cyclic code of length $n$ over $F_{q}$.

The following lemma from finite field theory tells us exactly when an element $a \in F_{q}$ has an $n$th root in $F_{q}$ (hence a sufficient condition for a constacyclic code to be equivalent to a cyclic code).

LEMMA 2.1. [25] Let $a=\alpha^{i}$ where $\alpha$ is a primitive element of $F_{q}$. Then the equation $x^{n}=a$ has a solution in $F_{q}$ if and only if $(n, q-1) \mid i$, where $(n, q-1)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of the integers $n$ and $q-1$.

### 2.1. Factorization of $x^{n}-a$ and a BCH Bound

We review factorization of the polynomial $x^{n}-a$ for the sake of completeness. This can be found in [20] for example. Let $a \in F_{q}^{\times}$be such that it does not have an $n$th root in $F_{q}$. We also assume that $(n, q)=1$ so that the polynomial $x^{n}-a$ does not have multiple roots. The roots of $x^{n}-a$ are $\delta, \delta \zeta, \delta \zeta^{2}, \ldots, \delta \zeta^{n-2}$ and $\delta \zeta^{n-1}$ where $\zeta$ is a primitive $n$th root of unity and $\delta^{n}=a$. Then $\zeta$ lies in $F_{q^{m}}$ where $m=\operatorname{ord}_{n}(q)$, the (multiplicative) order of $q$ modulo $n$. Since $\delta^{n}=a, \delta^{n r}=a^{r}=1$, where $r$ is the order of $a$ in the multiplicative group $F_{q}^{\times}$which is equal to $\frac{q-1}{(i, q-1)}, a=\alpha^{i}$ and $\alpha$ is a primitive element of $F_{q}$. Hence $\delta$ is an $n r$ th root of 1 . Therefore, $\delta \in F_{q^{s}}$ where $s=\operatorname{ord}_{n r}(q)$. Now, $q^{s}-1 \equiv 0 \bmod n r$ so $q^{s}-1 \equiv 0 \bmod n$. This implies that $m \mid s$. Consequently, $F_{q^{m}} \subseteq F_{q^{s}}$. Hence, the field $F_{q^{s}}$ contains both $\zeta$ and $\delta$ and we may take $\delta=w^{t}$ and $\zeta=w^{r t}$ where $w$ is a primitive element of $F_{q^{s}}$ (therefore a primitive ( $q^{s}-1$ )-st root of unity) and $q^{s}-1=n t r$, for some integer $t$. So $\zeta=\delta^{r}$, and $x^{n}-a$ factors as follows:

$$
x^{n}-a=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(x-\delta \zeta^{i}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(x-w^{t(1+i r)}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(x-\delta^{1+i r}\right) .
$$

Each irreducible factor of $x^{n}-a$ corresponds to a cyclotomic coset modulo $n r$ (not necessarily modulo $n$ ) i.e., the degree of each irreducible factor is the same as size of a cyclotomic coset modulo $n r$. Since all the roots of $x^{n}-a$ are $n r$ th roots of unity, we have $\left(x^{n}-a\right) \mid\left(x^{n r}-1\right)$, and $\left(x^{n r}-1\right)\left|\left(x^{n(q-1)}-1\right)\right|\left(x^{q^{s}-1}-1\right)$.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $q=5$ and $n=6$ and let us consider the polynomial $x^{6}-3$ over $F_{5}$ (hence constacyclic codes of length 6 over $F_{5}$ with $a=3$ ). A primitive element of $F_{5}$ is $2,3=2^{3}$ in $F_{5}$, order of 3 in $F_{5}$ is 4 and $(n, q-1)=(6,4)=2 \times 3$ so that there is no 6th root of 3 in $F_{5}$. According to the discussion above,

$$
x^{6}-3=\prod_{i=0}^{5}\left(x-\delta^{4 i+1}\right)=\left(x^{2}+3 x+3\right)\left(x^{2}+2 x+3\right)\left(x^{2}+3\right)
$$

where $\delta$ is a primitive $6 \cdot 4=24$ th root of unity. The powers of $\delta$ that appear in this factorization are $1,5,9,13,17$, and 21, and these are precisely union of three (the same as the number of irreducible factors over $F_{5}$ ) cyclotomic cosets modulo 24: $c l_{1}=\{1,5\}$,
$c l_{9}=\{9,21\}$, and $c l_{13}=\{13,17\}$. On the other hand, $x^{24}-1$ and $x^{6}-1$ factor over $F_{5}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{24}-1= & \left(x^{2}+3 x+3\right)\left(x^{2}+2 x+3\right)\left(x^{2}+3\right)\left(x^{2}+4 x+1\right)\left(x^{2}+x+2\right) \\
& \left(x^{2}+2 x+4\right)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)\left(x^{2}+4 x+2\right)\left(x^{2}+3 x+4\right)\left(x^{2}+2\right) \\
& (x+3)(x+4)(x+2)(x+1), \quad \text { and } \\
x^{6}-1= & \left(x^{2}+4 x+1\right)\left(x^{2}+x+1\right)(x+1)(x+4) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The factors of $x^{6}-1$ correspond to the following cyclotomic cosets modulo 24:

$$
c l_{0}=\{0\}, c l_{4}=\{4,20\}, c l_{8}=\{8,16\}, \quad \text { and } \quad c l_{12}=\{12\},
$$

which are obtained by shifting the cosets corresponding to $x^{6}-3$ by 1 .
Now, using Theorem 2.1 we give an alternative proof of the well-known BCH bound.
THEOREM 2.2 [22](BCH Bound for Constacyclic Codes). Let $C$ be a constacyclic code of length $n$ over $F_{q}$ and let the generator polynomial $g(x)$ have the elements $\left\{\delta \zeta^{i}: 1 \leq i \leq\right.$ $d-1\}$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive nth root of unity and $\delta$ is an nth root of a, among its roots. Then the minimum distance of $C \geq d$.

Proof. (We assume the setting and the notations of Theorem 2.1) $\left\{\delta \zeta, \delta \zeta^{2}, \ldots, \delta \zeta^{d-1}\right\}=$ $\left\{\delta^{r+1}, \delta^{2 r+1}, \ldots, \delta^{(d-1) r+1}\right\}$. Consider the constacyclic code $C_{\delta}$ of length $n$ over $K$ with generator polynomial $g(x) \mid\left(x^{n}-a\right)$ having these elements among its roots. The corresponding cyclic code $\psi\left(C_{\delta}\right)$ (over $K$ ) generated by $g(\delta x) \mid\left(x^{n}-1\right)$ has the elements $\zeta, \zeta^{2}, \ldots, \zeta^{d-1}$ among its roots. By the classical BCH bound, the minimum distance of $\psi\left(C_{\delta}\right) \geq d$. Since $C_{\delta}$ and $\psi\left(C_{\delta}\right)$ are equivalent, $d\left(C_{\delta}\right) \geq d$ as well. Finally, $C$ is a subfield-subcode of $C_{\delta}$, and therefore has also minimum distance $\geq d$.

As the following example shows, the BCH bound is sometimes very useful and sharp.
Example 2. We assume the notation of Theorem 2.1. Let $q=3$ and $n=28$ and consider constacyclic codes of length 28 over $F_{3}$ with $a=2$. We remark that the condition ( $n$, $q-1) X i$ implies that it suffices to consider only even lengths over $F_{3}$ (to possibly obtain constacyclic codes not equivalent to cyclic ones). We find that $r=2$ and therefore ( $x^{28}-$ 2) $\mid\left(x^{56}-1\right)$. The factorization of $x^{28}-2$ over $F_{3}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{28}-2= & \prod_{i=0}^{27}\left(x-\delta \zeta^{i}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{27}\left(x-\delta^{2 i+1}\right) \\
= & \left(x^{6}+2 x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+2\right)\left(x^{6}+2 x^{5}+2 x+2\right)\left(x^{2}+x+2\right) \\
& \times\left(x^{6}+x^{5}+x+2\right)\left(x^{6}+2 x^{4}+2 x^{3}+x^{2}+2\right)\left(x^{2}+2 x+2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta$ is a primitive 56th root of 1 and $\zeta=\delta^{2}$ is a primitive 28 th root of 1 over $F_{3}$. The exponents of $\delta$ in this factorization are exactly odd integers modulo 56 and they are partitioned into the following cyclotomic cosets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{1,3,9,19,25,27\}, \quad\{5,13,15,23,39,45\}, \quad\{7,21\}, \quad\{11,17,33,41,43,51\}, \\
& \{35,49\}, \quad \text { and }\{29,31,37,47,53,55\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $g(x)$ be the polynomial of smallest degree which contains $\delta^{i}, i=5,11,29$, and 35 among its roots. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x)= & x^{20}+2 x^{19}+x^{17}+2 x^{16}+2 x^{13}+2 x^{12}+2 x^{11}+x^{10}+x^{9}+2 x^{8} \\
& +x^{7}+2 x^{4}+2 x^{3}+x+1
\end{aligned}
$$

and the elements $\delta \zeta^{i}, 14 \leq i \leq 27$ are among the zeros of $g(x)$. Therefore, by the BCH bound for constacyclic codes, the constacyclic code of length 28 generated by $g(x)$ has minimum distance at least 15 (and its dimension is 8). It turns out that these are the parameters of an optimal linear code over $F_{3}$ of length 28 and dimension 8 [3].

## 3. Structure of 1-Generator QT Codes

Let

$$
G_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
g_{0} & g_{1} & g_{2} & \ldots & g_{m-1}  \tag{1}\\
a g_{m-1} & g_{0} & g_{1} & \ldots & g_{m-2} \\
a g_{m-2} & a g_{m-1} & g_{0} & \ldots & g_{m-3} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
a g_{1} & a g_{2} & a g_{3} & \ldots & g_{0}
\end{array}\right]_{m \times m}
$$

An $(m \times m)$ matrix of the type $G_{0}$ is called a twistulant matrix of order $m$ or simply a twistulant matrix.

It is shown in [29] that the generator matrices of QC codes can be transformed into blocks of circulant (twistulant with $a=1$ ) matrices by suitable permutation of columns. We can adopt a similar proof for QT codes here: Let $C$ be QT code over $F_{q}$. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{r}$ be the rows of the generator matrix of $C$. Form another generator matrix for $C$ by taking all possible $\mu_{a, l}\left(c_{i}\right)$ ( $l$ quasi-twisted shifts). Thus we form an $r m \times n$ generator matrix for $C$. Next permute the columns $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{n}$ of the generator matrix so that they appear in the order

$$
C_{1}, C_{l+1}, \ldots, C_{(m-1) l+1}, C_{2}, C_{2+l}, \ldots, C_{(m-1) l+2}, \ldots, C_{l}, C_{2 l}, \ldots, C_{m l}
$$

Then, the resulting matrix will be in the blocks of twistulant matrices. Therefore, generator matrices of an $r$-generator and 1-generator QT codes can be assumed to be in the following forms:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
G_{11} & G_{12} & \ldots & G_{1 l} \\
G_{21} & G_{22} & \ldots & G_{2 l} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
G_{r 1} & G_{r 2} & \ldots & G_{r l}
\end{array}\right]_{r m \times n} \quad, \quad \text { and } \quad\left[G_{1} G_{2} \ldots G_{l}\right]_{m \times n}
$$

respectively, where each $G_{i j}$ (or $G_{k}$ ) is a twistulant matrix of the form (1).
Similar to quasi-cyclic case, an $l$-QT code over $F_{q}$ of length $n=m l$ can be viewed as an $F_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{m}-a\right\rangle$ submodule of $\left(F_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{m}-a\right\rangle\right)^{l}$. Then an $r$-generator QT code is spanned by $r$ elements of $\left(F_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{m}-a\right\rangle\right)^{l}$. In this paper we restrict ourselves to

1-generator QT codes. 1-Generator QC codes and their structural properties have been studied in [27] and [6], respectively. Recently, in [23] the structure of $r$-generator QC codes has been investigated by use of Gröbner basis.
Let $1 \leq i \leq l$. For fixed $i$ consider the following $i$ th projection map on an $l$-QT code $C$ of length $n=m l$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{i}: F_{q}^{n} \rightarrow F_{q}^{m} \\
& \left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{(m l-1)}\right) \rightarrow\left(c_{(i-1) m}, c_{(1+(i-1) m)}, \ldots, c_{(m-1+(i-1) m)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the structure of QT codes described above, $\Pi_{i}(C)$ is a constacyclic code for all $i$. This will yield the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $C$ be a 1-generator $l$-QT code over $F_{q}$ of length $n=m l$. Then, $a$ generator $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}) \in\left(F_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{m}-a\right\rangle\right)^{l}$ of $C$ has the following form

$$
\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(f_{1}(x) g_{1}(x), f_{2}(x) g_{2}(x), \ldots, f_{l}(x) g_{l}(x)\right)
$$

where

$$
g_{i}(x) \mid\left(x^{m}-a\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(f_{i}(x),\left(x^{m}-a\right) / g_{i}(x)\right)=1 \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq l .
$$

Proof. Since $\Pi_{i}(C)$ is a constacyclic code for every $i$ we have the result.
The following is the main theorem which plays an important role in our research.
THEOREM 3.2. Let C be a l-generator l-QT code of length $n=m l$ with a generator of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(f_{1}(x) g(x), f_{2}(x) g(x), \ldots, f_{l}(x) g(x)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(x) \mid\left(x^{m}-a\right), g(x), f_{i}(x) \in F_{q}[x] /\left\langle x^{m}-a\right\rangle$, and $\left(f_{i}(x), h(x)\right)=1, h(x)=\frac{x^{m}-a}{g(x)}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. Then $l \cdot(d+1) \leq d(C)$, where $\left\{\delta \zeta^{i}: s \leq i \leq s+(d-1)\right\}$ are among the zeros of $g(x)$ for some integers $s, d(d>0)$ and dimension of $C$ is equal to $n-\operatorname{deg}(g(x))$.

Proof. Observe that $\Pi_{i}(C)$ is a constacyclic code generated by $f_{i}(x) g(x)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. We have that one of the components becomes zero if and only if all the others do because $p(x) f_{i}(x) g(x)=0$ if and only if $h(x) \mid\left(p(x) f_{i}(x)\right)$ (if $p(x) \neq 0$ ), which implies that $h(x) \mid p(x)$ since $\left(f_{i}(x), h(x)\right)=1$. So, $p(x) f_{j}(x) g(x)=0$ for all $j$. Therefore if $\boldsymbol{c}$ is a nonzero codeword in $C$, then $\Pi_{i}(\boldsymbol{c}) \neq 0$ for all $i$. Since $\left\langle f_{i}(x) g(x)\right\rangle=\langle g(x)\rangle, \Pi_{i}(C)$ is a constacyclic code with generator polynomial $g(x)$, and every nonzero codeword has weight $>d$ (by BCH bound). Hence, a nonzero codeword in $C$ has a weight larger than or equal to $l \cdot(d+1)$. Moreover, it can be shown, similar to the cyclic code case, that elements $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}), \ldots, x^{n-(\operatorname{deg}(g(x)-1)} \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x})$ form a basis for the code. In fact, if a relation $\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} g(\mathrm{x})-1} a_{i} x^{i} g(x)=\mathbf{0}$ with $a_{i} \in F_{q}$ exists (with $m$-dimensional vectors), then a similar relation $\sum_{i=0}^{\operatorname{deg} g(\mathrm{x})-1} a_{i} x^{i} \boldsymbol{g}(x)=\mathbf{0}$ holds in $F_{q}^{n}$. Also, if $\sum_{i} b_{i} x^{i} g(x) \neq \mathbf{0}$, then neither is $\sum_{i} b_{i} x^{i} \boldsymbol{g}(x)=\mathbf{0}$.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $a=\alpha^{i}$ where $\alpha$ is a primitive element of $F_{q}$. If $(m, q-1) \mid i$, a QT code of length $n=m l$ over $F_{q}$ is equivalent to a QC code of length $n$ over $F_{q}$.

Proof. Let $C$ be such a QT code with a generator matrix $G$ of the form described in the beginning of the section. Then to each vertical block $j, 1 \leq j \leq l$, of $m$ columns of G apply the permutations $\pi_{i} 1 \leq i \leq m$ in the proof of the Theorem 2.1. Then the resulting code is equivalent to a QC code.

We end this section with the remark that the results of [23] about 1-generator QC are special cases of the results in this section.

## 4. New Codes and Their Generator Matrices

### 4.1. The Search Method

Our method is based on the Theorem 3.2. We have restricted our search to 1-generator QT codes with generators of the form:

$$
\left(g(x), f_{2}(x) g(x), \ldots, f_{l}(x) g(x)\right)
$$

In order to refine the search we looked at cyclotomic cosets of appropriate modulus and formed generator polynomials having longest possible strings of consecutive integer powers of $\zeta$ among its zeros. After fixing $g(x)$ (hence determining the dimension of the code as well as the block length $m$ ), we searched over $f_{i}(x)$ (by the help of a computer). In most cases $l=2$ or 3 . When $l=2$, we only search for one $f(x)$ with $\operatorname{deg}(f(x))<m-\operatorname{deg}(g(x)$. In this case the search is exhaustive over the QT codes with the prescribed block length $m$ and dimension, if the dimension is not too large. For an illustration of the method, we work the following example in detail.

EXAMPLE 3. Let $q=3, m=40$ and $a=2$ and consider constacyclic codes of length 40 over $F_{3}$. The order of $2 \bmod 3$ is 2 and $x^{40}-2$ factors over $F_{3}$ as

$$
x^{40}-2=\prod_{i=0}^{39}\left(x-\delta^{2 i+1}\right)
$$

The exponents of $\delta$ (a primitive 80 th root of 1) are odd integers mod 80 which are partitioned into the following cyclotomic cosets $\bmod 80$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{1,3,9,27\}, \quad\{5,15,45,55\}, \quad\{7,21,29,63\}, \quad\{11,19,33,57\}, \\
& \{13,31,37,39\}, \quad\{17,51,59,73\}, \quad\{23,47,61,69\}, \quad\{25,35,65,75\}, \\
& \{41,43,49,69\}, \quad \text { and }\{53,71,77,79\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $h(x)$ be the polynomial corresponding to cyclotomic cosets containing 1,7 and 25 and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x)= & \frac{x^{40}-2}{h(x)}=x^{28}+2 x^{27}+2 x^{25}+x^{24}+2 x^{23}+x^{21}+2 x^{20}+x^{19}+x^{18} \\
& +2 x^{17}+2 x^{15}+x^{14}+x^{13}+2 x^{11}+x^{8}+2 x^{7}+2 x^{5}+x^{3}+x^{2}+2
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $g(x)$ has degree 28 and contains $\delta \zeta^{i}, 18 \leq i \leq 30$ among its roots. Therefore, the constacyclic code of length 40 generated by $g(x)$ has dimension 12 and minimum
distance $\geq 14$ and a QT code of the form $\left(g, g f_{1}, g f_{2}\right)$ with $\left(f_{i}, \frac{x^{40}-2}{g}\right)=1, i=1,2$ has length 120, dimension 12 and minimum distance at least 42. Let $f_{1}=2 x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{8}+$ $x^{6}+2 x^{4}+x^{3}+2 x^{2}+x+1$, and $f_{2}=x^{11}+2 x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{6}+x^{2}+2 x$ (these are two such polynomials). A computer search showed that the QT code with these generators has, in fact, minimum distance 66, 3 units larger than the previously best known linear code over $F_{3}$ with parameters [120,12,63].
The weight enumerator of this code is as follows:
$0^{1} 66^{4480} 69^{14000} 72^{36080} 75^{75008} 78^{114160} 81^{119040} 84^{94160} 87^{49840} 90^{19552} 93^{4480} 96^{560} 99^{80}$.

### 4.2. Generators and Weight Enumerators

We conclude by giving the generator matrices and weight enumerators of the new codes. Since a generator matrix of a 1-generator QT code is determined by the first row alone (and the constant $a$ ), we only present the first row separating the blocks with a comma.

The first 15 codes in the following list are ternary QT with $a=2$ except code number 14 which is QC with $a=1$. The last 3 codes are QT over $G F(5)$ with $a=4$.

1. A $[120,12,66]_{3}$ code:
$(2011022210020112021121021202100000000000$
2221120102120202010112101120011220200220
$0122010011220100122002101122022001121211)$.

The weight enumerator is given in the last example.
2. A $[160,12,90]_{3}$ code:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2011022210020112021121021202100000000000 \\
& 0020110211122211021101120010101002010010 \\
& 0201121012012222202012020222001121010120 \\
& 1212221011020012120001122220021122122022)
\end{aligned}
$$

The weight enumerator of this code is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{1} 90^{3472} 93^{8080} 96^{22160} 99^{46400} 102^{73440} 105^{102320} 108^{107280} \\
& 111^{84080} 114^{49040} 117^{24720} 120^{7408} 123^{2160} 126^{800} 129^{80} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. $\mathrm{A}[164,8,102]_{3}$ code:
(100112121211002110220122101222111122202122121121101122012 0122002111111120010000000,00001110210121200010102011121102 22021201222120102020001111011021200001122220212112 ).

The weight enumerator of this code is

$$
0^{1} 102^{1312} 105^{1312} 108^{656} 111^{1312} 114^{1312} 120^{328} 123^{328}
$$

4. A $[164,10,96]_{3}$ code:
(102121212120101102201111021012021210101111011011021210210
2101022222222201000000000,00002002120212102101110110101021
22001102222002112221211222112102211210022221002221).

The weight enumerator of this code is
$0^{1} 96^{1476} 99^{3444} 102^{6068} 105^{7544} 108^{10496} 111^{10988} 114^{10496} 117^{4428}$ $120^{3608} 123^{500}$.
5. $\mathrm{A}[56,12,27]_{3}$ code:
(122210010200112110000000000, 00000010102111112122012021011).
The weight enumerator of this code is
$0^{1} 27^{3136} 30^{23520} 33^{79072} 36^{167552} 39^{162960} 42^{78064} 45^{16016} 48^{1008} 51^{112}$.
6. $\mathrm{A}[56,16,21]_{3}$ code:
(1011100022122010121122000101, 1121021102221000000000000000).
The weight enumerator of this code is
$0^{1} 21^{400} 22^{560} 23^{1960} 24^{7056} 25^{13328} 26^{32592} 27^{100408} 28^{153056} 29^{290864}$
$30^{713552} 31^{870800} 32^{1366624} 33^{2744112} 34^{2690576} 35^{3381192} 36^{5419288}$
$37^{4274312} 38^{4269440} 39^{5416208} 40^{3342080} 41^{2616544} 42^{2571432} 43^{1216544}$
$44^{711816} 45^{522928} 46^{184016} 47^{81536} 48^{41608} 49^{9144} 50^{2016} 51^{504} 52^{168} 54^{56}$.
7. $\mathrm{A}[68,16,30]_{3}$ code:
( 0000000010201112212211010121012112 ,
$1111211120221222121000000000000000)$.
The weight enumerator of this code is
$0^{1} 30^{9520} 33^{111656} 36^{805664} 39^{3511248} 42^{8934996} 45^{13109040} 48^{10726932}$
$51^{4697304} 54^{1035572} 57^{100640} 60^{4012} 63^{136}$.
8. A $[182,12,105]_{3}$ code:
(01111010120210121222022120101102101000222022100111
12012021201121100001011001011111202100102,11002111
11110001010021202021011200102012200202221212201212 021010121001222012102100000000000 ).

The weight enumerator of this code is

$$
0^{1} 105^{3276} 108^{20020} 111^{22932} 114^{42588} 117^{78624} 120^{101556}
$$

$123^{111384} 126^{58968} 129^{52416} 132^{19656} 135^{20020}$.
9. $\mathrm{A}[182,14,99]_{3}$ code:
(01020221122200100112012211100012022202120210212221 11212120020221220221022201212210110200211,10122110 0020022201121200202122120202222212022120211212101 111011022112010220100000000000000).

The weight enumerator of this code is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{1} 99^{3984} 102^{13842} 105^{48174} 108^{121746} 111^{279462} 114^{504714} \\
& 117^{766464} 120^{893830} 123^{842354} 126^{647810} 129^{384764} \\
& 132^{184604} 135^{67246} 138^{19478} 141^{3812} 144^{626} 147^{58}
\end{aligned}
$$

10. A $[82,17,36]_{3}$ code:
(11200111002202200111002110000000000000000, 01010010121021211001012012210001100111211 ).

The weight enumerator of this code is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{1} 36^{1640} 37^{5084} 38^{8528} 39^{21648} 40^{45346} 41^{91186} 42^{179088} 43^{327344} \\
& 44^{574902} 45^{987526} 46^{1574892} 47^{2453522} 48^{3547156} 49^{4916802} \\
& 50^{6489480} 51^{8123330} 52^{9741436} 53^{11004892} 54^{11797750} 55^{12005866} \\
& 56^{11598244} 57^{10584888} 58^{9134882} 59^{7423706} 60^{5705806} 61^{4079664} \\
& 62^{2765286} 63^{1774562} 64^{1055668} 65^{574984} 66^{297086} 67^{143418} 68^{65436} \\
& 69^{25584} 70^{9348} 71^{3116} 72^{656} 73^{410}
\end{aligned}
$$

11. A $[70,17,29]_{3}$ code:
(10202000021121112210000000000000000, 00000001121002222001021020021000021 ).

The weight enumerator of this code is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{1} 29^{2660} 30^{3360} 32^{39550} 33^{45010} 35^{392982} 36^{384860} 38^{2465680} \\
& 39^{2029790} 41^{9225160} 42^{6328340} 44^{20211310} 45^{11746910} 47^{26081230} \\
& 48^{12452720} 50^{18783100} 51^{7363790} 53^{7317520} 54^{2318680} 56^{1440410} \\
& 57^{348740} 59^{130200} 60^{24220} 62^{3640} 63^{300}
\end{aligned}
$$

12. A $[148,18,71]_{3}$ code:
( 10022221021211002112111010211120101112112001121201
222200100000000000000000,0000100220212102021212121
0121011100001122010022200022021112110100120012111 ).
The weight enumerator of this code is
$0^{1} 71^{740} 72^{740} 73^{1628} 74^{3404} 75^{7548} 76^{17464} 77^{31376} 78^{56240} 79^{94276}$
```
80}\mp@subsup{}{}{154068}8\mp@subsup{1}{}{285492}8\mp@subsup{2}{}{435860}8\mp@subsup{3}{}{714988}8\mp@subsup{4}{}{1108964}8\mp@subsup{5}{}{1690752}8\mp@subsup{6}{}{2427052
87 3522548}8\mp@subsup{8}{}{4805264}8\mp@subsup{9}{}{6549740}9\mp@subsup{0}{}{8526280}9\mp@subsup{1}{}{10881404}9\mp@subsup{2}{}{13466668
93 16262388}94\mp@subsup{4}{}{18963388}9\mp@subsup{5}{}{21626648}9\mp@subsup{6}{}{23739052}9\mp@subsup{7}{}{25642036}9\mp@subsup{8}{}{26680552
99 26948432 100 26400832 101 24953688 102 23124408 103 20656952 104 17888612
105 14970200 }10\mp@subsup{6}{}{12037876}10\mp@subsup{7}{}{9520248}10\mp@subsup{8}{}{7219440}10\mp@subsup{9}{}{5332588}11\mp@subsup{0}{}{3767932
111 2562028}112\mp@subsup{2}{}{1716948}113\mp@subsup{3}{}{1092684}11\mp@subsup{4}{}{658748}11\mp@subsup{5}{}{395604}11\mp@subsup{6}{}{231028
117 119436}11\mp@subsup{8}{}{67192}11\mp@subsup{9}{}{34336}12\mp@subsup{0}{}{14208}12\mp@subsup{1}{}{6068}12\mp@subsup{2}{}{2516}12\mp@subsup{3}{}{888}12\mp@subsup{4}{}{592}12\mp@subsup{5}{}{444}\mathrm{ .
```

13. A $[52,13,23]_{3}$ (quasi-cyclic with $a=1$ ) code:
(01110010121122020010120000, 00101001122021010121122000).
The weight enumerator of this code is
$0^{1} 23^{1612} 24^{1690} 26^{16538} 27^{16900} 29^{92976} 30^{70122} 32^{267436} 33^{161980} 35^{372008}$ $36^{177086} 38^{240318} 39^{85020} 41^{65104} 42^{17446} 44^{6734} 45^{1196} 47^{156}$.
14. A $[52,10,26]_{3}$ code:
(1021000000000, 0000112100211, 1001211212020, 0102211111220).
The weight enumerator of this code is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{1} 26^{756} 27^{650} 29^{3588} 30^{2860} 32^{9204} 33^{5590} 35^{14352} 36^{6838} 38^{9048} \\
& 39^{2990} 41^{2028} 42^{728} 44^{390} 45^{26}
\end{aligned}
$$

15. A $[84,9,54]_{5}$ code:
(103124242130100000000, 000000014331232342214,
103102220320240142411, 000424123404310023013).
The weight enumerator of this code is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{1} 54^{1344} 55^{1932} 56^{4212} 57^{7140} 58^{12348} 59^{19068} 60^{32004} \\
& 61^{53508} 62^{75180} 63^{96900} 64^{130032} 65^{163800} 66^{196728} 67^{216972} \\
& 68^{209580} 69^{201432} 70^{168468} 71^{137760} 72^{96180} 73^{64512} 74^{34188} \\
& 75^{17136} 76^{7308} 77^{3528} 78^{1260} 79^{420} 80^{84} 81^{84} 84^{16}
\end{aligned}
$$

16. A $[78,10,48]_{5}$ code:

## (133444201330103020123011314301000000000, $000002223404214004211210142102101021034)$.

The weight enumerator of this code is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{1} 48^{1248} 49^{2496} 50^{3900} 51^{9672} 52^{21384} 53^{38844} 54^{81588} 55^{121992} \\
& 56^{224016} 57^{336336} 58^{486408} 59^{679380} 60^{800020} 61^{982488} 62^{1084668} \\
& 63^{1114308} 64^{1042080} 65^{821496} 66^{734604} 67^{510900} 68^{322296} 69^{185328}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
70^{93600} 71^{41964} 72^{15912} 73^{6084} 74^{2028} 75^{416} 76^{156} 78^{12}
$$

17. $\mathrm{A}[42,12,21]_{5}$ code:
(113044031100000000000, 000013201310322331131).
The weight enumerator of this code is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{1} 21^{2912} 22^{11256} 23^{32844} 24^{107072} 25^{308784} 26^{801024} 27^{1916068} \\
& 28^{4096932} 29^{7859124} 30^{13727196} 31^{21197148} 32^{29084328} 33^{35393344} 34^{37446276} \\
& 35^{34115088} 36^{26615624} 37^{17248056} 38^{9098880} 39^{3731028} 40^{1109556} 41^{215292} 42^{22792}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. Using the extension theorem in [18] we can extend the codes 11,13 and 14 to $[71,17,30]_{3},[53,13,24]_{3}$ and $[53,10,27]_{3}$ codes respectively.
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