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#### Abstract

The complex Radon transform $\hat{F}$ of a rapidly decreasing distribution $F \in$ $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is considered. A compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is called linearly convex if the set $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash K$ is a union of complex hyperplanes. Let $\hat{K}$ denote the set of complex hyperplanes which meet $K$. The main result of the paper establishes the conditions on a linearly convex compact $K$ under which the support theorem for the complex Radon transform is true: from the relation $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{F}) \subset \hat{K}$ it follows that $F \in \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is compactly supported and $\operatorname{supp}(F) \subset K$.


If $f$ is the function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, the classical real (complex) Radon transform $R f$ of $f$ is the function defined on hyperplanes; the value of $R f$ at a given hyperplane is the integral of $f$ over that hyperplane. For the theory of the Radon transform we refer to J. Radon [11], F. John [6], [7], I.M.Gel'fand, M.I.Graev, and N.Ya. Vilenkin [1], S. Helgason [2], [3], D. Ludwig [8], A. Hertle [4]. One of the basic results on the classical Radon transform is Helgason's support theorem [2]: A rapidly decreasing function must vanish outside a ball if its real Radon transform does. This theorem holds for every convex compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and remains valid for rapidly decreasing distributions [4].

In the present paper we prove the support theorem for the complex Radon transform of distributions.

Notations. For $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ we write $\langle z, w\rangle=\sum z_{j} w_{j} \cdot B^{n}(z, R):=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}^{n}| | w-\right.$ $z \mid<R\}$ denotes the euclidean ball of center $z$ and radius r in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. If X is a set, we

[^0]denote by $\bar{X}$ the closure of X . The standard Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is $d \omega_{2 n}$. $S^{2 n-1}$ denotes the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, and $d \sigma$ is the area element on $S^{2 n-1}$. For $n$-tuples $p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ and $q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ of non-negative integers, we denote by $\partial^{p} \bar{\partial}^{q}$ the partial derivative
$$
\frac{\partial^{|p|+|q|}}{\partial z_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots \partial z_{n}^{p_{n}} \partial \bar{z}_{1}^{q_{1}} \ldots \partial \bar{z}_{n}^{q_{n}}}
$$
of order $|p|+|q|=p_{1}+\ldots+p_{n}+q_{1}+\ldots+q_{n}$. Similarly, for $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ we write $z^{p}=z_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots z_{n}^{p_{n}}, \bar{z}^{q}=\bar{z}_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots \bar{z}_{n}^{q_{n}}$. For a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$, we denote by $\mathcal{S}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, and $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ the spaces of rapidly decreasing $C^{\infty}$ functions, $C^{\infty}$ functions with compact support, and $C^{\infty}$ functions, respectively. The dual spaces $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\Omega), \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$, and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ are the spaces of tempered distributions, distributions, and distributions with compact support, respectively.

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, the standard complex Radon transform of $\varphi$ (denoted by $\hat{\varphi}$ ) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varphi}(\xi, s)=\frac{1}{|\xi|^{2}} \int_{\langle z, \xi\rangle=s} \varphi(z) d \lambda(z) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\xi, s) \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash 0\right) \times \mathbb{C}$, and $d \lambda(z)$ is the area element on the hyperplane $\{z$ : $\langle z, \xi\rangle=s\}$. For a set $A \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$, we denote by $\hat{A}$ the set of all $(\xi, s) \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash 0\right) \times \mathbb{C}$ such that the hyperplane $\{z:\langle z, \xi\rangle=s\}$ meets $A$. A set $A \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is called linearly convex if, for every $w \notin A$, there is a complex hyperplane $\{z:\langle z, \xi\rangle=s\}$ which contains $w$ and does not meet $A$ (see Martineau [9]).

We use the approach of Gel'fand et al. [1] to introduce the complex Radon transform of distributions. Let $X=S^{2 n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$, and let $\mathcal{E}(X)$ be the set of complexvalued functions $\varphi(w, s)$ on $S^{2 n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy the following conditions:
(a) Functions $\varphi(w, s)$ are infinitely differentiable with respect to $s$.
(b) For all $p, q \geq 0$ the derivatives

$$
\frac{\partial^{p+q} \varphi(w, s)}{\partial s^{p} \partial \bar{s}^{q}}
$$

are continuous on $S^{2 n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$.
(c) $\varphi\left(w e^{i \theta}, s e^{i \theta}\right)=\varphi(w, s)$ for all $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$.

We give $\mathcal{E}(X)$ the topology defined by the system of seminorms

$$
q_{k}(f)=\max _{k_{1}+k_{2} \leq k} \max _{|s| \leq k} \max _{w \in S^{2 n-1}}\left|\frac{\partial^{k_{1}+k_{2}} f(w, s)}{\partial s^{k_{1}} \partial \bar{s}^{k_{2}}}\right|
$$

By $\mathcal{D}(X)$ we denote the space of all compactly supported functions in $\mathcal{E}(X)$. We give $\mathcal{D}(X)$ the standard topology of the inductive limit of the spaces

$$
\mathcal{D}_{m}=\left\{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X): \operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \subset S^{2 n-1} \times\{|s| \leq m\}\right\}
$$

Let $R \mathcal{D}(X)$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ formed by the Radon transforms $\hat{\varphi}$ of functions in $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ (the equality $\hat{\varphi}\left(w e^{i \theta}, s e^{i \theta}\right) \equiv \hat{\varphi}\left(w e^{i \theta}, s e^{i \theta}\right)$ follows for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ from the definition of $\hat{\varphi}$ ). Similarly, we define the subspace $R \mathcal{S}(X)$ of $\mathcal{S}(X)$.

The dual Radon transform is the operator $R^{*}: \mathcal{E}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ given by

$$
\left[R^{*}(f)\right](z)=\int_{S^{2 n-1}} f(w,\langle z, w\rangle) d \sigma(w)
$$

It is easy to see that the operator $R^{*}$ is continuous. It follows from the definition of the Radon transform that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left[R^{*}(f)\right](z) \varphi(z) d \omega_{2 n}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{S^{2 n-1}} f(w, s) \hat{\varphi}(w, s) d \sigma(w) d \omega_{2}(s) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$.
Let $M_{\mathcal{D}}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ formed by the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(w, s)=\frac{\partial^{2 n-2} \hat{\varphi}(w, s)}{\partial s^{n-1} \partial \bar{s}^{n-1}}, \quad \hat{\varphi} \in R \mathcal{D}(X) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We give $M_{\mathcal{D}}$ the topology induced from $\mathcal{D}(X)$.
Definition 1. Let $F \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$. The Radon transform $R F$ of $F$ is the functional on $M_{\mathcal{D}}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle R F, \psi\rangle=\left\langle F, R^{*} \psi\right\rangle \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ the following inversion formula holds [1, p. 118]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=(-1)^{n-1} c_{n} R^{*}\left(\frac{\partial^{2 n-2} \hat{\varphi}(w, s)}{\partial s^{n-1} \partial \bar{s}^{n-1}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\varphi}(w, s)$ is the Radon transform of $\varphi$, and $c_{n}>0$. It follows from the inversion formula (5) that for each function $\psi \in M_{\mathcal{D}}$ the function $R^{*}(\psi)(z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. Therefore the functional $R F$ is well defined.

Definition 2. We say that the Radon transform $R F$ of a distribution $F \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ is defined as a distribution if the functional $R F$ given by (4) can be extended to a continuous functional on $\mathcal{D}(X)$.

It has been shown in [4] that there are distributions in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ whose real Radon transforms are not defined as distributions. It is natural to suppose that there are such examples in the case of the complex Radon transform. If the distribution $F$ is given by the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, then it follows from (5) and (2) that the Radon transform $R F$ is defined as a distribution and it is given by the function $\hat{f}(w, s)$.

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ the space of rapidly decreasing distributions [5, p. 419]. A distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ if and only if for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the distribution $\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{k} T$ is integrable; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{k} T=\sum_{|p|+|q| \leq m(k)} \partial^{p} \bar{\partial}^{q} \mu_{p q}(k) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left\{\mu_{p q}\right\}(k)$ is a finite family of bounded measures on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. In particular, every distribution with compact support is rapidly decreasing.

Let $T \in \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. We show that equality (4) defines the extension of the Radon transform $R T$ to a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{D}(X)$. Let $h(w, s) \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ be such that $|h(w, s)| \leq 1$. There is $R>0$ such that $h(w, s)=0$ for $|s| \geq R$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left[R^{*} h\right](z)\right| \leq \int_{S^{2 n-1}}|h(w,\langle z, w\rangle)| d \sigma(w) \leq \int_{|\langle z, w\rangle| \leq R} d \sigma(w) \leq d_{n} \max \left(1, \frac{R^{2}}{|z|^{2}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{n}>0$. Suppose that the sequence $\left\{h_{N}(w, s)\right\}$ in $\mathcal{D}(X)$ converges to 0 . Then, for every multi-indices $p$ and $q$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{p} \bar{\partial}^{q}\left[R^{*}\left(h_{N}\right)\right](z)=\int_{S^{2 n-1}} \frac{\partial^{|p|+|q|}}{\partial s^{|p|} \partial \bar{s}|q|} h_{N}(w,\langle z, w\rangle) w^{p} \bar{w}^{q} d \sigma(w) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists $R>0$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(h_{N}\right) \subset S^{2 n-1} \times\{s:|s| \leq R\}$ for all $N$. Then it follows from (7) and (8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial^{p} \bar{\partial}^{q}\left[R^{*}\left(h_{N}\right)\right](z)\right| \leq d_{n} \max \left(1, \frac{R^{2}}{|z|^{2}}\right) \max _{w, s}\left|\frac{\partial^{|p|+|q|}}{\partial s^{|p|} \partial \bar{s}^{|q|}} h_{N}(w, s)\right| . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the functions $\left[R^{*}\left(h_{N}\right)\right](z)$, together with derivatives of all orders, vanish at infinity. By the definition of the topology of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \max _{w, s}\left|\frac{\partial^{|p|+|q|}}{\partial s s^{|p|} \partial \bar{s}^{|q|}} h_{N}(w, s)\right|=0 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $k=0$ in (6). Then we obtain from (6) and (4) that

$$
\left\langle R T, h_{N}\right\rangle=\left\langle T,\left[R^{*} h_{N}\right]\right\rangle=\sum_{|p|+|q| \leq m}(-1)^{|p|+|q|} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} \partial^{p} \bar{\partial}^{q}\left[R^{*} h_{N}\right](z) d \mu_{p q}(z) .
$$

Since the measures $\mu_{p q}$ are bounded, it follows from (9) and (10) that $\left\langle R T, h_{N}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, for eve ry $T \in \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, the functional $R T$ is well-defined and continuous on $\mathcal{D}(X)$.

## Theorem 1

Let $T \in \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ and let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a linearly convex compact set. Suppose that for every $z \notin K$ there exists a hyperplane $P=\left\{\lambda:\left\langle\lambda, w_{0}\right\rangle=s_{0}\right\}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $P$ contains $z$.
(ii) $P$ does not meet $K$.
(iii) The set $\mathbb{C} \backslash K_{w_{0}}$ is connected, where $K_{w_{0}}=\left\{\left\langle\lambda, w_{0}\right\rangle\right\}_{\lambda \in K}$ is the projection of $K$ on $w_{0}$. Then $T$ has support in $K$ if and only if its Radon transform $R T$ has support in $\hat{K}$.
E.T. Quinto [10] has proved the following theorem ${ }^{1}$

## Theorem 2

Assume the Radon transform $R$ on complex hyperplanes has a nowhere zero real analytic weight. Let $A$ be an open connected set of complex hyperplanes. Let $f \in$

[^1]$\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ with $R f(H)=0$ for all $H \in A$ and assume for some $H_{0} \in A, H_{0}$ is disjoint from $\operatorname{supp} f$. Then, for all $H \in A, H$ is disjoint from supp $f$.

If under the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 1 the distribution $T$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, then the proof of Theorem 1 can be reduced to the Theorem 2 . The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the reducing to the case of compactly supported distributions (we use the special case of Theorem 2 which was proved by the author [13] independently of Quinto's result.) As usual we use the properties of the convolution $\operatorname{supp} T * \alpha_{\varepsilon}$ of $T$ and smooth compactly supported functions $\alpha_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{D}\left(B^{n}(0, \varepsilon)\right)$. The difficulty is that the compact set

$$
K_{\varepsilon}=\bigcup_{z \in K} \bar{B}^{n}(z, \varepsilon)
$$

may not satisfy the condition (iii) of Theorem 1 which is essential [13]. However it can be shown that $\operatorname{supp}\left(T * \alpha_{\varepsilon}\right) \subset \bar{K}_{\varepsilon}$, where $\bar{K}_{\varepsilon}$ is the smallest compact set which contains $K_{\varepsilon}$ and satisfies the condition (iii) of Theorem 1. Therefore we have to show that the sets $\bar{K}_{\varepsilon}$ are correctly defined and $\bar{K}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow K$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{2}$. However, for our purpose, it is enough to prove a "weak" version of this assertion (Lemma 2 below).

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ has support in $K$. Then $T \in \mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. Let $h(w, s) \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subset X \backslash \hat{K}$. If $z \in K$, then the point $(w,\langle z, w\rangle)$ belongs to $\hat{K}$ for every $w \in S^{2 n-1}$. Therefore the functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[R^{*} h\right](z)=\int_{S^{2 n-1}} h(w,\langle z, w\rangle) d \sigma(w),} \\
\partial^{p} \bar{\partial}^{q}\left[R^{*}(h)\right](z)=\int_{S^{2 n-1}} \frac{\partial^{|p|+|q|}}{\partial s^{|p|} \partial \bar{s}^{|q|}} h(w,\langle z, w\rangle) w^{p} \bar{w}^{q} d \sigma(w)
\end{gathered}
$$

vanish on $K$. So $\left[R^{*} h\right](z)$ is an infinitely differentiable function which, together with derivatives of all orders, vanishes on the support of the distribution $T$. Then we have $\left\langle T, R^{*} h\right\rangle=0$. Thus, for each $h \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ with $\operatorname{supp}(h) \in X \backslash \hat{K}$ we have $\langle R T, h\rangle=$ $\left\langle T,\left[R^{*} h\right]\right\rangle=0$. This means that $\operatorname{supp}(R T) \subset \hat{K}$.

Before proving the second statement of Theorem 1, we have to show that the dual Radon transform and the convolution operation commute:

## Lemma 1

Let $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. Then for every $\psi(w, s) \in \mathcal{E}(X)$ the following formula holds:

$$
\varphi *\left[R^{*} \psi\right]=R^{*}\left[\hat{\varphi} *_{s} \psi\right]
$$

where $\hat{\varphi}(w, s)$ is the Radon transform of $\varphi$, and $*_{s}$ denotes the convolution with respect to the second variable $s$.

[^2]Proof. For every function $\alpha(z) \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left(\varphi *\left[R^{*} \psi\right]\right)(z) \alpha(z) d \omega_{2 n}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left[R^{*} \psi\right](z)\left(\alpha * \varphi_{1}\right)(z) d \omega_{2 n}(z) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{1}(z)=\varphi(-z)$. Let $J$ be the integral on the right-hand side of (11). It follows from (2) that

$$
J=\int_{S^{2 n-1} \times \mathbb{C}} \psi(w, s) \widehat{\alpha * \varphi_{1}}(w, s) d \sigma(w) d \omega_{2}(s)
$$

where $\widehat{\alpha * \varphi_{1}}(w, s)$ is the Radon transform of the convolution $\alpha * \varphi$. We have [1, p.p. 116-117]

$$
\widehat{\alpha * \varphi_{1}}(w, s)=\left(\hat{\alpha} *_{s} \hat{\varphi}_{1}\right)(w, s), \quad \hat{\varphi}_{1}(w, s)=\hat{\varphi}(-w, s)=\hat{\varphi}(w,-s) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =\int_{S^{2 n-1} \times \mathbb{C}} \psi(w, s)\left(\hat{\alpha} *_{s} \hat{\varphi}_{1}\right)(w, s) d \sigma(w) d \omega_{2}(s) \\
& =\int_{S^{2 n-1} \times \mathbb{C}}\left(\psi *_{s} \hat{\varphi}\right)(w, s) \hat{\alpha}(w, s) d \sigma(w) d \omega_{2}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (2), we have

$$
J=\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}} R^{*}\left[\varphi *_{s} \psi\right](z) \alpha(z) d \omega_{2 n}(z)
$$

Then it follows from (11) that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}\left\{\left(\varphi *\left[R^{*} \psi\right]\right)(z)-R^{*}\left[\varphi *_{s} \psi\right](z)\right\} \alpha(z) d \omega_{2 n}(z)=0
$$

for every $\alpha(z) \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. Therefore $\left(\varphi *\left[R^{*} \psi\right]\right)(z) \equiv R^{*}\left[\varphi *_{s} \psi\right](z)$. The lemma is proved.

Now suppose that the support of the Radon transform $R T$ of a distribution $T \in$ $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is contained in $\hat{K}$. Let $\left\{\alpha_{m}(z)\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of smooth functions on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $\operatorname{supp}\left(\alpha_{m}\right) \subset\{z:|z| \leq 1 / m\}$ that converges in the space of measures to the delta function at the origin. We assume that the functions $\alpha_{m}(z)$ are even, i.e., $\alpha_{m}(-z)=\alpha_{m}(z)$. We set $T_{m}=T * \alpha_{m}$. Then the function $T_{m}(z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ [12, p. 244], and $T_{m} \rightarrow T$ in $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ [4]. Denote by $K_{m}$ the compact set

$$
K_{m}=\bigcup_{z \in K} \bar{B}^{n}(z, 1 / m)
$$

Let $\hat{T}_{m}(w, s)$ be the Radon transform of $T_{m}(z)$. We show that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\hat{T}_{m}\right) \subset \hat{K}_{m}$. The hyperplane $\{z:\langle z, w\rangle=s\}$ meets $K_{m}$ if and only if there are $z^{\prime} \in K, z^{\prime \prime} \in \bar{B}^{n}(0,1 / m)$ such that $\left\langle z^{\prime}, w\right\rangle=s-\left\langle z^{\prime \prime}, w\right\rangle$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{K}_{m}=\bigcup_{(w, s) \in \hat{K}}\left(\{w\} \times \bar{B}^{1}(s, 1 / m)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h(w, s) \in \mathcal{D}\left(S^{2 n-1} \times \mathbb{C}\right)$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}(h) \cap \hat{K}_{m}=\emptyset$. Since the functions $\alpha_{m}$ are even, it follows from (4) that

$$
\left\langle R T_{m}, h\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{m}, R^{*}(h)\right\rangle=\left\langle T * \alpha_{m}, R^{*}(h)\right\rangle=\left\langle T, \alpha_{m} * R^{*}(h)\right\rangle .
$$

Then by Lemma 1, we have $\left\langle T, \alpha_{m} * R^{*}(h)\right\rangle=\left\langle T, R^{*}\left(\hat{\alpha}_{m} *_{s} h\right)\right\rangle$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle R T_{m}, h\right\rangle=\left\langle T, R^{*}\left(\hat{\alpha}_{m} *_{s} h\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle R T, \hat{\alpha}_{m} *_{s} h\right\rangle . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $\hat{K} \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(\hat{\alpha}_{m} *_{s} h\right)=\emptyset$. Indeed, suppose that $\left(w_{0}, s_{0}\right) \in \hat{K} \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(\hat{\alpha}_{m} *_{s} h\right)$. This implies (since $\hat{\alpha}_{m}(w, s)=0$ for $\left.|s| \geq 1 / m\right)$ that for some $s_{1} \in \bar{B}^{1}(0,1 / m)$ we have $\left(w_{0}, s_{0}+s_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{supp}(h)$. By (12) we also have $\left(w_{0}, s_{0}+s_{1}\right) \in \hat{K}_{m}$, which contradicts that $\operatorname{supp}(h) \cap \hat{K}_{m}=\emptyset$. Therefore $\hat{K} \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(\hat{\alpha}_{m} *_{s} h\right)=\emptyset$, and it follows from (13) $($ since $\operatorname{supp}(R T) \subset \hat{K})$ that $\left\langle R T_{m}, h\right\rangle=0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp}\left(R T_{m}\right) \subset \hat{K}_{m} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As remarked above, the functions $T_{m}(z)$ belong to $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. Then the distributions $R T_{m}$ are given by the Radon transforms $\hat{T}_{m}(w, s)$ of functions $T_{m}(z)$.

In view of (12), there exist $R>0$ such that for all $m$ the sets $\hat{K}_{m}$ are contained in the set $\{(w, s):|s| \leq R\}$. Let $R_{\mathbb{R}} T_{m}(w, t)$ be the real Radon transform of $T_{m}(z)$, that is

$$
R_{\mathbb{R}} T_{m}(w, t)=\int_{\operatorname{Re}\langle z, \bar{w}\rangle=t} T_{m}(z) d \lambda(z),
$$

where $d \lambda(z)$ is the area element on the real hyperplane $\{z: \operatorname{Re}\langle z, \bar{w}\rangle=t\}$. Then we have

$$
R_{\mathbb{R}} T_{m}(w, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{T}_{m}(\bar{w}, t+i x) d x
$$

Since $\hat{K}_{m} \subset\{(w, s):|s| \leq R\}$, it follows from (14) that $R_{\mathbb{R}} T_{m}(w, t)=0$ for $|t| \geq R$. Then by the Helgason's support theorem, the supports of the functions $T_{m}(z)$ are compact.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we need the following lemma:

## Lemma 2

Under the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 1, there exist, for every $z_{0} \notin K$, a neighborhood $V_{z_{0}}$ and $\delta>0$ such that the functions $T_{m}(z)$ vanish on $V_{z_{0}}$ for $m \geq 1 / \delta$.

Proof. Fix $z_{0} \notin K$. Then there exists a point $\left(w_{0}, s_{0}\right) \in S^{2 n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ such that $\{z$ : $\left.\left\langle z, w_{0}\right\rangle=s_{0}\right\} \cap K=\emptyset,\left\langle z_{0}, w_{0}\right\rangle=s_{0}$ and the set $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{\left\langle z, w_{0}\right\rangle\right\}_{z \in K}$ is connected. Then $\left(w_{0},\left\langle z_{0}, w_{0}\right\rangle\right) \notin \hat{K}$. We set

$$
A=\left\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid\left(w_{0}, s\right) \in \hat{K}\right\}, \quad A_{m}=\left\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid\left(w_{0}, s\right) \in \hat{K}_{m}\right\} .
$$

It follows from (12) that

$$
A_{m}=\bigcup_{s \in A} \bar{B}^{1}(s, 1 / m) .
$$

By definition of $\hat{K}$, for every $s \in A$ there exists $z \in K$ such that $\left\langle z, w_{0}\right\rangle=s$. Then $A=\left\{\left\langle z, w_{0}\right\rangle\right\}_{z \in K}$. Similarly $A_{m}=\left\{\left\langle z, w_{0}\right\rangle\right\}_{z \in K_{m}}$. Since the sets $K$ and $K_{m}$ are compact, it follows that the sets $A$ and $A_{m}$ are also compact. For some $R>0$ we have $A \cup A_{m} \subset \bar{B}^{1}(0, R)$. Since $\left\langle z_{0}, w_{0}\right\rangle \notin A$, there is $\gamma>0$ such that $\left\langle z_{0}+\lambda, w_{0}\right\rangle \notin A$ for every $\lambda \in \bar{B}^{n}(0, \gamma)$. Hence the convex compact set $\Gamma_{1}=\left\{\left\langle z, w_{0}\right\rangle, z \in \bar{B}^{n}\left(z_{0}, \gamma\right)\right\}$ and the set $A$ do not intersect. Fix $s_{1} \in\{s \in \mathbb{C}:|s|>R\}$. Then $s_{1} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash A$. Since the set $\mathbb{C} \backslash A$ is connected, there exists a broken line $\Gamma_{2} \subset \mathbb{C} \backslash A$ joining $s_{1}$ to the point $\left\langle z_{0}, w_{0}\right\rangle$. Thus $\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}\right) \cap A=\emptyset$. Then, since the sets $\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$ and $A$ are compact, there exists $\delta \in(0,1)$ such that for all $m \geq 1 / \delta$ we have

$$
\left\{\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}\right)+B^{1}(0, \delta)\right\} \cap\left\{A+\bar{B}^{1}(0,1 / m)\right\}=\emptyset,
$$

that is $\left\{\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}\right)+B^{1}(0, \delta)\right\} \cap A_{m}=\emptyset$. Put

$$
D=\{s \in \mathbb{C}:|s|>R\} \cup\left\{\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}\right)+B^{1}(0, \delta)\right\} .
$$

By construction $D$ is a connected unbounded open set containing the point $\left\langle z_{0}+\lambda, w_{0}\right\rangle$ for every $\lambda \in \bar{B}^{n}(0, \gamma)$. We have by the definition of the sets $A_{m}$ that $\left(D \times\left\{w_{0}\right\}\right) \cap \hat{K}_{m}=$ $\emptyset$ for $m \geq 1 / \delta$. Then it follows from (14) that $\left(D \times\left\{w_{0}\right\}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(\hat{T}_{m}\right)=\emptyset$ for $m \geq 1 / \delta$. Since the supports of $T_{m}$ are compact, it follows from [13, Theorem 2] that for every $\lambda \in \bar{B}^{n}(0, \gamma)$ and $m \geq 1 / \delta$ the functions $T_{m}(z)$ vanish on the hyperplane $\left\{z:\left\langle z, w_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle z_{0}+\lambda, w_{0}\right\rangle\right\}$. Then, for every $z \in \bar{B}^{n}\left(z_{0}, \gamma\right)$ and $m \geq 1 / \delta$, we have $T_{m}(z)=0$. The lemma is proved.

As mentioned above, $T_{m} \rightarrow T$ in $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle T_{m}, \varphi\right\rangle=\langle T, \varphi\rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is the space of all infinitely differentiable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for which there exist an integer $k$ such that $\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{k} \partial^{p} \bar{\partial}^{q} f(z)$ vanishes at infinity for all $p, q[5, \mathrm{p}$. 173]. Since $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$, formula (15) holds for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \cap K=\emptyset$. By Lemma 2 for every $z \in \operatorname{supp} \varphi$ there are $\delta(z)>0$ and a ball $B^{n}(z, \gamma(z))$ such that $T_{m}(z)=0$ on $B^{n}(z, \gamma(z))$ for $m \geq 1 / \delta(z)$. Since the support of $\varphi$ is compact, it can be covered by a finite union of balls $B^{n}\left(z_{k}, \gamma\left(z_{k}\right)\right)$, where $k=1,2 \ldots, N$. Setting $\delta_{0}=\min \left\{\delta\left(z_{k}\right), 1 \leq k \leq N\right\}$, we have $T_{m}(z)=0$ for $z \in \operatorname{supp}(\varphi)$ and $m \geq 1 / \delta_{0}$. Then it follows from (15) that

$$
\langle T, \varphi\rangle=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle T_{m}, \varphi\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ is an arbitrary function such that $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \cap K=\emptyset$, we have $\operatorname{supp}(T) \subset$ $K$. The theorem is proved.
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