

RESEARCH Open Access

The susceptibility of *Aedes aegypti* populations displaying temephos resistance to *Bacillus* thuringiensis israelensis: a basis for management

Ana Paula Araújo, Diego Felipe Araujo Diniz, Elisama Helvecio, Rosineide Arruda de Barros, Cláudia Maria Fontes de Oliveira, Constância Flávia Junqueira Ayres, Maria Alice Varjal de Melo-Santos, Lêda Narcisa Regis and Maria Helena Neves Lobo Silva-Filha*

Abstract

Background: Aedes aegypti is the vector of dengue virus, and its control is essential to prevent disease transmission. Among the agents available to control this species, biolarvicides based on *Bacillus thuringiensis* serovar israelensis (Bti) are an effective alternative to replace the organophosphate temephos for controlling populations that display resistance to this insecticide. The major goal of this study was to determine the baseline susceptibility of Brazilian Ae. aegypti populations to Bti, taking into account their background in terms of larvicide exposure, status of temephos resistance and the level of activity of detoxifying enzymes involved in metabolic resistance to insecticides.

Methods: Population samples were established under insectarium conditions. Larval susceptibility to temephos and Bti was evaluated through bioassays and lethal concentrations of these compounds were determined. Biochemical assays were performed to determine the specific activity of five detoxifying enzymes in these samples.

Results: Fourteen populations were characterized and, except for one case, all displayed resistance to temephos. Most populations were classified as highly resistant. The populations also showed increased activity of one or more detoxifying enzymes (glutathione-S-transferases, esterases and mixed function oxidases), regardless of their temephos resistance status. All populations analyzed were susceptible to Bti, and the lethal concentrations were similar to those detected in two laboratory susceptible colonies. The response to Bti showed little variation. A maximum resistance ratio of 2.1 was observed in two untreated populations, while in two Bti-treated populations, the maximum resistance ratio was 1.9. No positive correlation was found between temephos resistance, increased activity of detoxifying enzymes, and susceptibility to Bti.

Conclusions: Data from this study show that all populations were susceptible to Bti, including twelve untreated and two treated populations that had been exposed to this agent for more than ten years. The temephos resistance and increased activity of detoxifying enzymes observed in thirteen populations was not correlated with changes in susceptibility to Bti. Our data show a lack of cross-resistance between these two compounds; thus, Bti can be used in an integrated control program to fight *Ae. aegypti* and counteract the temephos resistance that was found among all populations analyzed.

Keywords: Vector control, Bti, Susceptibility, Temephos, Metabolic resistance, Cross-resistance

^{*} Correspondence: mhneves@cpqam.fiocruz.br Department of Entomology, Centro de Pesquisas Aggeu Magalhães-FIOCRUZ, Recife, PE 50670-420, Brazil



Background

Aedes aegypti is the major vector of dengue virus (DENv) and has been responsible for an important disease burden in human populations worldwide in the last few decades [1,2]. This species has spread over most municipalities in Brazil, where it is the main DENv vector and has provoked frequent epidemics since 1986 [3]. Vector control remains the sole action to control dengue because vaccines and other prophylactic measures are not currently available. In this context, a National Program for Aedes aegypti Eradication (PEAa) was created in 1996 in Brazil and was replaced by the National Program for Dengue Control (PNCD) in 2002. The main goal of the PNCD is to fight this disease through integrated control actions, including the utilization of chemical larvicides and adulticides [4]. The organophosphate (OP) temephos has been the major larvicide used by the PNCD, and one of the most used compounds to control mosquitoes globally, despite its negative effects on nontarget organisms and reports of resistance. In mosquito, temephos resistance has been associated with the alteration of its target site in acetylcholinesterase and also with metabolic mechanisms associated with enzymes involved in the detoxification of xenobiotic compounds [5-7].

Biolarvicides based on the entomopathogenic bacteria Bacillus thuringiensis serovar israelensis (Bti) have been successfully used for dipteran control [8]. Bti was first introduced for controlling Simulium, and its utilization was later extended to Aedes species. Long-term programs carried out in many countries have demonstrated its effectiveness under field conditions [9-11]. Its larvicidal action is based on crystals produced upon bacterial sporulation, mainly composed of the four protoxins Cry11Aa, Cry4Aa, Cry4Ba and Cyt1Aa. Bti's mode of action depends on the ingestion of these crystals by larvae. Crystal solubilization occurs at the alkaline pH of the midgut, and the protoxins released into the lumen are converted into active toxins by proteases [12]. The whole crystal displays optimal toxicity, whereas individual toxins, or their combinations, do not show comparable levels of activity [13]. Once activated, the Cry toxins bind to specific midgut receptors from Ae. aegypti larvae: cadherins, aminopeptidases and alkaline phosphatases have been identified as binding molecules [14]. Studies to elucidate the synergy among Bti toxins have demonstrated that Cyt1Aa can act as a surrogate receptor for Cry11Aa and Cry4Ba. Furthermore, binding between Cyt1Aa and Cry toxins induces conformational changes that improve the capacity of Cry to bind to the other receptors available in the midgut [15-17]. This complex action based on four toxins with the capacity to bind to different target molecules does not favor the selection of resistance. Previous reports have failed to demonstrate the development of resistance to whole Bti crystal after continuous exposure for resistance selection under laboratory conditions [18-21], and resistance to Bti-based larvicides in field populations has not been reported to date [22,23].

In light of the effectiveness of Bti to control Aedes species and the lack of resistance, its utilization is under expansion in control programs for the treatment of breeding sites. Bti has also been used in oviposition and adult traps to prevent the development of larvae in these devices when they are used for monitoring, or on a massive scale, to reduce mosquito populations [24-26]. Another factor that supports the increasing use of Bti is the worldwide Ae. aegypti resistance to temephos, as has frequently been reported. In Brazil, there is a serious resistance problem that compromises the effects expected from use of temephos by the PNCD [27-33]. Thus, Bti is a candidate to manage resistance to temephos; however, some reports have recently suggested a potential crossresistance between temephos and Bti [34-36]. These studies found a positive correlation between temephos resistance and increased activity of the detoxifying enzymes involved in the metabolism of xenobiotics along with a pattern of decreased response to Bti. This association was also reported for pyriproxyfen, suggesting that the increased activity of mixed function oxidases and previous temephos resistance could play a role in the reduced efficacy of pyriproxyfen against some species, including Ae. aegypti [37,38]. This issue requires investigation in view of the strategic role that Bti can play for the management of temephos resistance.

The major goal of the present study is to establish a baseline of Bti susceptibility in *Ae. aegypti* populations from Brazil, taking into account their background in terms of previous larvicide exposure, status of temephos resistance, and activity of detoxifying enzymes. Baseline data are necessary to evaluate the significance of alterations that can be found among populations exposed to control pressure and to provide guidelines for the use of control agents to be employed in mosquito control.

Methods

Colonies

Three *Aedes aegypti* colonies were used in this study and were maintained in the insectarium of the Centro de Pesquisas Aggeu Magalhães (CPqAM-FIOCRUZ) under controlled conditions at 26 ± 1°C, 70% humidity, and 12:12 h L:D photoperiod. Larvae were reared in dechlorinated tap water and fed with cat food. Adults were fed on a 10% sucrose solution, and females were also fed on chicken blood. The colonies used were: 1) Rockefeller, a susceptible colony used as an international reference for larvicides; 2) RecL, a susceptible colony established from a large egg sampling from the Recife Metropolitan Region (RMR) that has been maintained

since 1996 in the insectarium of CPqAM-FIOCRUZ [39]; and 3) RecR, a temephos-resistant colony established from egg samples collected in Araripina city (Pernambuco State, Brazil). This sample showed an initial temephos resistance ratio (RR) of 7-fold, and further laboratory selection resulted in a RR at LC_{95} of 181-fold after 20 generations of temephos exposure [40,41].

Populations

Mosquito samples from fourteen municipalities in Brazil collected between 2009 and 2011 were investigated in this study. Six were analyzed in the scope of the Brazilian Aedes aegypti Resistance Monitoring Network (MoReNAa), established by the PEAa in 2000. Ten samples were from different municipalities of Pernambuco State, while four (João Pessoa, Bacabal, Oiapoque and Macapá) were collected from other Brazilian States. All populations have been exposed to temephos except for the population from Fernando de Noronha, which is an oceanic island situated 354 km offshore of the Brazilian coast (Natal city). As this island is an Environmentally Protected Area, Bti-based products have been the sole larvicide used for *Aedes* control since 2002. In the other municipalities, the history of exposure to temephos, in the context of PEAa-PNCD actions, began in 1996. Recife city has the lowest level of exposure to temephos because this product was replaced by Bti in 2002. Insect growth regulators (IGR) acting as chitin synthesis inhibitors (Diflubenzuron or Novaluron) were introduced as a third control agent in two municipalities, Macapá and João Pessoa.

Establishment of sub-colonies in the laboratory

Eggs from the municipalities analyzed were collected using oviposition traps set up in a representative number of sites according to the recommendations of the MoReNAa network [42]. Each population sample was established in the insectarium as a sub-colony composed of at least 1,000 adults obtained from the egg samples using a 2:1 female/male ratio. After hatching, larvae were reared until adulthood under the laboratory conditions previously described. Bioassays were carried out using larvae from the first (F_1) or second (F_2) generations obtained from these samples.

Characterization of Aedes aegypti samples

Samples were analyzed according to the following parameters: 1) previous exposure to either the OP temephos, to the microbial agent Bti or to an IGR (Diflubenzuron or Novaluron); 2) status of temephos susceptibility; and 3) activity of detoxifying enzymes. The record of exposure to control agents was considered since 1996, when PEAa was implemented in Brazil. This information was provided by the Secretary of Health of the various municipalities.

Temephos bioassays

Bioassays to evaluate temephos toxicity against larvae were performed according to a standard protocol [43]. Briefly, groups of 20 late 3rd instar larvae in 100 mL of tap water in disposable cups were treated with a series of temephos concentrations that provide between 10 and 100% mortality after 24 h. Each bioassay was performed using six to ten concentrations and three replicates of 20 larvae per concentration, in addition to an untreated group. Mortality rates were recorded after 24 h to determine the lethal concentration for 50% (LC₅₀) and 95% (LC₉₅) of larvae using Probit analysis in the program SPSS 10.0 for Windows. The LCs established for each population were the average of at least three bioassays. The resistance ratios (RR) between the LC for the sample tested and the LC for the reference colony were used to classify the populations [44] into the following categories: low resistance (3 < RR< 5), moderate resistance (5 < RR < 10) and high resistance (RR > 10).

Bti bioassays

Susceptibility to *Bacillus thuringiensis* serovar *israelensis* (Bti) was also analyzed through a similar protocol of multiple concentration bioassays using late $3^{\rm rd}$ instar larvae, according to standard methods [45]. Lethal concentrations of the standard lyophilized powder of Bti strain H-14 (IPS82, Institut Pasteur) for 50% (LC₅₀) and 90% (LC₉₀) of exposed larvae after 24 h were determined. Briefly, groups of 20 larvae were exposed to serial dilutions of lyophilized spore-crystal standard powder in cups with 100 mL of bacterial suspensions in tap water. Three replicates were performed for each of six concentrations tested per bioassay. A control group was tested using water only. Each bioassay was repeated at least three times. The mean lethal concentrations and the resistance ratios (RR) were obtained for each sample, as described above.

Enzymatic assays

The specific activity of detoxifying enzymes (DE), potentially associated with metabolic resistance to chemical insecticides, was evaluated. Three major classes of enzymes were assayed: glutathione-S-transferases (GST), esterases (α -est, β -est, PNPA-est) and mixed function oxidases (MFO). For each population, approximately 100 one-day-old females, non-blood fed, previously stored at -70° C, were individually tested using a standard protocol described by Montella *et al.* (2007). The catalytic activity detected in the individuals from the Rockefeller colony was used as a reference to classify the tested samples according to the frequency of individuals that display an activity higher than the 99th percentile of the Rockefeller population [46]. The samples were classified as unaltered (U <15%), altered (A 15-50%) and highly altered (HA >50%).

Results

The study was performed based on sub-colonies of each population and three laboratory colonies, two used as references for susceptibility (Rockefeller and RecL) and one for temephos resistance (RecR), which was artificially selected in the laboratory. The evaluation of temephos toxicity to larvae from the sub-colonies showed that all populations analyzed were classified as resistant, except for the F. de Noronha population, which is from an area where temephos has not been used by the PEAa-PNCD (Table 1). Two populations (Bacabal and Recife) were classified as displaying moderate resistance (MR), while the remaining populations showed high resistance levels (HR) with a wide range of RR values, from 11-fold found in Macapá to 252.7 in Araripina. Among these HR populations, it was possible to distinguish two that displayed an RR of approximately 11-fold, where temephos was replaced by other control agents (Bti and IGR). A second group of nine populations that have been exposed exclusively to temephos since the beginning of the control program (Table 2), showed higher RR (60.0-252.7). Bacabal was the only population exposed exclusively to temephos that displayed a moderate level of resistance (RR 6.6-fold), rather than the high level of resistance that was observed for the other samples under this condition (Table 2). RR values for temephos resistance in half of the populations studied were over 100-fold.

Individuals were then evaluated for the activity of DE. Thirteen populations showed increased or highly increased activity of at least three of the five enzymes investigated compared to the Rockefeller colony (Table 2, Additional file 1). The Recife population displayed an increase only in the activity of GSTs. In fact, GST activity was increased in all populations, followed by PNPA-esterases (93% of populations), α -esterases (79%), mixed function oxidases (36%) and finally β -esterases, whose alterations were less frequent amongst the populations (14%). Evaluation of laboratory colonies showed that RecL did not display any alterations, while RecR showed increased GST, α -esterases and MFO activities.

These analyses, summarized in Table 2, provide quantitative data on temephos resistance and DE activity in these populations as a basis for evaluating their susceptibility to Bti. The RecL reference colony and the temephos-resistant RecR colony were both susceptible to Bti, indicated by an RR that was equal or less than 2-fold at LC_{50} and LC_{90} , compared to the Rockefeller colony

Table 1 Toxicity of temephos to 3rd instar Aedes aegypti larvae from colonies and sampled populations

		LC ₅₀ a		LC ₉₅ ^a		
Sample	No. larvae	Mean (95% fiducial limits)	RR ^b	Mean (95% fiducial limits)	RRb	
Rockefeller ^c	1200	0.007 (0.006-0.008)	1.0	0.011 (0.011-0.012)	1.0	
RecL	1500	0.010 (0.009-0.010)	1.4	0.017 (0.016-0.019)	1.5	
RecR (F ₂₀) ^d	1380	1.230 (1.168-1.305)	175.7	1.978 (1.840-2.180)	179.8	
F. de Noronha	1740	0.017 (0.016-0.018)	2.4	0.026 (0.024-0.028)	2.4	
Bacabal	2560	0.039 (0.037-0.043)	5.6	0.073 (0.069-0.079)	6.6	
Macapá	1760	0.064 (0.057-0.070)	9.1	0.121 (0.103-0.137)	11.0	
João Pessoa	1840	0.041 (0.033-0.043)	5.8	0.129 (0.103-0.173)	11.7	
G. do Goitá	1560	0.135 (0.113-0.159)	19.3	0.792 (0.607-1.122)	72.0	
Oiapoque	2240	0.295 (0.247-0.337)	42.1	1.127 (0.872-2.495)	102.5	
Agrestina	1740	0.483 (0.421-0.548)	69.0	2.339 (1.899-3.051)	212.6	
Araripina	1920	1.570 (1.420-1.750)	224.3	2.780 (2.600-3.280)	252.7	
Rockefeller ^c	1140	0.012 (0.011-0.013)	1.0	0.017 (0.016-0.019)	1.0	
Salgueiro	1500	0.154 (0.130-0.181)	12.8	1.021 (0.757-1.534)	60.0	
S. C. Capibaribe	1320	0.675 (0.585-0.767)	56.2	2.421 (2.010-3.086)	142.4	
S. J. Egito	1500	0.900 (0.818-0.986)	75.0	2.120 (1.862-2.514)	124.7	
A. da Ingazeira	1260	1.013 (0.987-1.147)	84.4	2.051 (1.806-2.449)	120.6	
Cedro	1320	1.063 (0.959-1.162)	88.6	2.256 (2.043-2.574)	132.7	
Rockefeller ^c	1260	0.009 (0.009-0.010)	1.0	0.014 (0.014-0.016)	1.0	
Recife	1680	0.042 (0.039-0.046)	4.7	0.100 (0.088-0.119)	7.1	

 $^{^{}a}$ Lethal Concentrations (mg/L) for 50% (LC₅₀) or for 95% (LC₉₅) of exposed larvae after 24 h.

^bResistance Ratio (LC for population tested/LC for the Rockefeller reference colony).

^cData in this line are the reference (Rockefeller colony) for the samples below.

^dData from Strode et al. (2012).

Table 2 Characterization of Aedes aegypti from laboratory colonies and sampled populations

		Exposure ^b	Temephos		Detoxifying enzymes ^a				
Sample	Origin		RR ₉₅ ^c	Status ^d	GST	α-est	P-est	β-est	MFO
Rockefeller	Laboratory	N	1.0	S	RF	RF	RF	RF	RF
RecL	Laboratory	N	1.0	S	U	U	U	U	U
RecR (F ₂₀) ^e	Laboratory	Temephos	179.8	HR	Α	Α	U	U	А
F. de Noronha	Field	Bti	2.4	S	НА	Α	НА	U	U
Bacabal	Field	Temephos	6.6	MR	НА	Α	Α	U	Α
Recife	Field	Temephos/Bti	7.1	MR	Α	U	U	U	U
Macapá	Field	Temephos/Bti/IGR	11.0	HR	Α	НА	НА	Α	U
João Pessoa	Field	Temephos/Bti/IGR	11.7	HR	НА	Α	НА	U	U
Salgueiro	Field	Temephos	60.0	HR	НА	U	НА	U	Α
G. do Goitá	Field	Temephos	72.0	HR	А	Α	Α	U	U
Oiapoque	Field	Temephos	102.5	HR	Α	НА	НА	U	U
Cedro	Field	Temephos	132.7	HR	НА	Α	Α	U	НА
A. da Ingazeira	Field	Temephos	120.6	HR	НА	НА	НА	Α	U
S. J. do Egito	Field	Temephos	124.7	HR	НА	U	Α	U	Α
S. C. do Capibaribe	Field	Temephos	142.4	HR	НА	Α	НА	U	U
Agrestina	Field	Temephos	212.6	HR	НА	А	Α	U	Α
Araripina	Field	Temephos	252.7	HR	А	НА	А	U	U

^aGST (glutathione-S-transferases), α-est (α-esterases), P-est (PNPA esterases), β-est (β-esterases) and MFO (mixed-function oxidases) were classified according to Brasil (2006): RF-reference, U-unaltered, A-altered, HA-highly altered.

(Table 3). The high level of temephos resistance and the increased activity of three groups of DE detected in the RecR colony were not correlated with a decrease in the susceptibility to Bti.

The populations displaying different levels of temephos resistance were evaluated to provide a realistic measure of susceptibility to Bti. It should be noted that the F. de Noronha and Recife populations have been exposed to Bti, in particular the former, because this is the sole larvicide that has been used for mosquito control in that area. Toxicity assays showed that both were susceptible to Bti, with the RRs at LC_{90} similar to the reference colony (Table 4). The second group, Bacabal, Macapá and João Pessoa, which had temephos RRs between 6.6 and 11.7, was susceptible to Bti. The Bti RR's were between 1.2 and 1.7

(Table 4). The last group analyzed, including populations characterized as highly resistant to temephos, presented RR values of between 60 and 252.7 (Table 1). However, all samples from this group were fully susceptible to Bti, with RR values at LC_{90} between 1.0- and 1.3-fold compared with the Rockefeller colony.

Analysis of Bti susceptibility in all samples showed a slight variation in the lethal concentrations, regardless of the other characteristics revealed by this study. Lethal concentrations at LC $_{50}$ (mg/L) varied from 0.009 (S. C. do Capibaribe) to 0.017 (e.g., A. da Ingazeira), while at LC $_{90}$, the values were between 0.025 (e.g., Salgueiro) and 0.043 (Bacabal). The slope of the dose–response curve for Bti assays performed in this study showed a homogenous response in the samples analyzed (data not

Table 3 Toxicity of Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis (IPS82) to 3rd instar Aedes aegypti larvae from laboratory colonies

					LC ₅₀ ^a		LC ₉₀ ^a		
Samples	Origin	Temephos status	DEc	No. larvae	Mean (95% fiducial limits)	RRb	Mean (95% fiducial limits)	RRb	
Rockefeller	USA	Susceptible	RF	1620	0.008 (0.007-0.009)	1.0	0.026 (0.021-0.036)	1.0	
RecL	Recife-PE	Susceptible	No	1020	0.016 (0.012-0.020)	2.0	0.030 (0.026-0.039)	1.2	
RecR	Araripina-PE	Highly resistant	Yes	1620	0.010 (0.009-0.012)	1.3	0.030 (0.025-0.040)	1.2	

 $^{^{}a}$ Lethal Concentrations (mg/L) for 50% (LC₅₀) or 90% (LC₉₀) of larvae after 24 h.

^bExposure records until 2010 for temephos, Bti-Bacillus thuringiensis serovar israelensis, IGR (Diflubenzuron or Novaluron).

^cResistance Ratio at Lethal Concentrations (mg/L) for 95% of exposed larvae after 24 h: LC for sample tested/LC for the Rockefeller colony,

^dClassification adapted from Mazzari and Georghiou (1995): S-Susceptible (RR < 3), LR-Low Resistance (3 < RR < 5), MR-Moderate Resistance (5 < RR < 10), HR-High Resistance (>10).

^eData from Strode et al. (2012).

^bResistance Ratio: LC for sample tested/LC for the Rockefeller reference (RF) colony.

clncrease of the activity of detoxifying enzymes (DE).

Table 4 Toxicity of *Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis* (IPS82) to 3rd instar *Aedes aegypti* larvae from sampled populations

				LC ₅₀ ^a		LC ₉₀ ^a	
Samples	Origin	Temephos status ^b	No. larvae	Mean (95% fiducial limits)	RRc	Mean (95% fiducial limits)	RR ^c
Rockefeller	Laboratory	S	1620	0.008 (0.007-0.009)	1.0	0.026 (0.021-0.036)	1.0
F. de Noronha	Field	S	1140	0.013 (0.011-0.015)	1.6	0.030 (0.024-0.042)	1.2
Bacabal	Field	MR	1140	0.014 (0.012-0.016)	1.8	0.043 (0.035-0.058)	1.7
Recife	Field	MR	1440	0.015 (0.014-0.018)	1.9	0.027 (0.024-0.031)	1.0
Macapá	Field	HR	1380	0.012 (0.011-0.014)	1.5	0.031 (0.027-0.038)	1.2
João Pessoa	Field	HR	1380	0.011 (0.009-0.012)	1.4	0.035 (0.027-0.049)	1.3
Salgueiro	Field	HR	1080	0.015 (0.012-0.018)	1.9	0.025 (0.021-0.031)	1.0
G. do Goitá	Field	HR	1080	0.015 (0.013-0.018)	1.9	0.025 (0.022-0.033)	1.0
Oiapoque	Field	HR	1440	0.011 (0.004-0.012)	1.4	0.026 (0.021-0.030)	1.0
Cedro	Field	HR	1200	0.012 (0.010-0.015)	1.5	0.031 (0.024-0.068)	1.2
A. da Ingazeira	Field	HR	1260	0.017 (0.016-0.020)	2.1	0.030 (0.026-0.035)	1.2
S. J. do Egito	Field	HR	1200	0.011 (0.010-0.013)	1.4	0.030 (0.024-0.038	1.2
S. C. do Capibaribe	Field	HR	1260	0.009 (0.008-0.010)	1.1	0.025 (0.018-0.051)	1.0
Agrestina	Field	HR	1320	0.017 (0.016-0.019)	2.1	0.028 (0.026-0.032)	1.1
Araripina	Field	HR	1440	0.013 (0.012-0.015)	1.6	0.035 (0.029-0.045)	1.3

 a Lethal Concentrations (mg/L) for 50% (LC₅₀) or 90% (LC₉₀) of larvae after 24 h. b Classification adapted from Mazzari and Georghiou (1995): S-Susceptible (RR < 3), LR-Low Resistance (3 < RR < 5), MR-Moderate Resistance (5 < RR < 10), HR-High Resistance (>10).

shown). All populations, including the RecR colony exposed to temephos, displayed increased or highly increased DE activity. However, this parameter was not associated with the different levels of temephos resistance among populations. For instance, the Bti susceptibility of larvae from F. de Noronha and Araripina was similar, but they presented marked differences for temephos susceptibility (susceptible and HR, respectively). However, both populations showed similar profiles of increased DE (GST, α -esterases and PNPA-esterases). The only sample that showed unaltered levels of DE, similar to the Rockefeller colony, was the RecL colony, whose contact with xenobiotic compounds has been very limited, or absent, due to its maintenance under laboratory conditions.

Discussion

The lack of data on mosquito susceptibility to candidate insecticides is a limiting factor for the success of control programs. These programs have often been implemented without information on the resistance selection risk posed by a given control agent in the field. For this reason, evaluations are often performed using the susceptibility of laboratory colonies as a reference, which do not necessarily reflect the natural variations that can be found among field populations, which has been demonstrated in other studies [47].

The results of this study provided a baseline dataset on the susceptibility of field populations of Ae. aegypti

from Brazil to Bti. We also documented pre-existing exposure of the populations to control agents, in particular, to temephos, which has been largely used by the PNCD [4]. Indeed, thirteen of fourteen populations investigated displayed high resistance to temephos, and all displayed high activity of detoxifying enzymes, including GST and esterases, which could be a consequence of prolonged and intensive use of temephos. The resistance ratios (RR) found for these samples were much higher than those observed in previous surveys from Brazil, in which RRs were lower than 20 in several populations, except for two populations from Ceará and Pernambuco States with RRs > 100 [27-33,40,48].

All Ae. aegypti populations in our study, regardless of their resistance to temephos and increased activity of DEs, displayed a level of susceptibility to Bti similar to two reference colonies. RR values at LC50 or LC90 were equal to or lower than 2, which is not considered biologically significant for resistance. These RRs are likely due to natural variations in toxicity ratios rather than to resistance selection, as observed in the studies described below. For instance, surveys carried out on around fifty Culex pipiens populations with no history of Bti exposure showed that variation in the susceptibility to Bti ranged from RRs of 2 to 12.5 [49,50], while populations from three Bti-treated areas displayed RRs < 1 [51]. In China, five populations of *Anopheles sinensis* that were exposed to chemical and microbial larvicides showed RRs to Bti between 1.7 and 5.9, although pre-treatment

^cResistance Ratio: LC for sample tested/LC for the Rockefeller reference colony.

data were not available and it was not possible to estimate pre existing variation [52]. Surveys based on thirty untreated and treated Aedes spp. populations showed a narrow range of RRs [35,53-58]. Among these cases, the maximum recorded RR value at LC95 was 4, detected in a Bti-treated population of Ae. rusticus from France, a Bti-treated population of Ae. aegypti from Malaysia [35,58], and an untreated population of Aedes albopictus from Malaysia [56]. These data suggest that the RR values were not related to previous Bti exposure. The present study showed discrete variations in Bti RR values in Ae. aegypti populations, including two Bti-treated populations whose RRs were similar to the untreated populations. The data suggest that the variation of Ae. aegypti susceptibility to Bti could be lower than that detected for *C. pipiens*. To date, the only report of a high RR described in a field population previously exposed to Bti was in two C. pipiens populations from New York State (USA) that displayed RRs of 14 and 41 [59]. However, data on the susceptibility of these populations before Bti treatment were not available, so there is no evidence that these high RRs were associated with exposure to Bti or if they were simply natural variations.

The analysis of Bti susceptibility in the populations in our study was performed in light of the disseminated temephos resistance reported for many areas of Brazil, which was confirmed by our data. In fact, Bti and temephos have distinct active principles, modes of action and target sites in insects, leading to the hypothesis that no cross-resistance is expected to occur. Data from the present study support this assertion since all populations showed a pattern of Bti susceptibility similar to the reference colonies, regardless of temephos resistance. Likewise, the RecR resistant colony, whose high resistance level (RR ≈ 180) was achieved under laboratory conditions [40], was still susceptible to Bti. As a consequence, the results from this work are not in agreement with previous reports whose findings suggest cross-effects of temephos and Bti, linked to DE alterations [34-36]. Boyer et al. (2007) observed an association between the exposure of Ochlerotatus cataphylla (Diptera: Culicidae) populations to Bti and temephos with a decrease in the sensitivity to these compounds and with an increase in the activity of GSTs and α-esterases. Those authors suggested that DEs may be involved in Bti detoxification [36]. Another report showed that Ae. rusticus populations from a Bti-treated area in France had Bti RRs (LC₅₀) of 7, which correlated with a 3-fold increase in GST activity. However, biochemical evidence that Bti toxins could be detoxified by these enzymes was not provided [35]. In a general view, the association of DE in the resistance to insecticidal toxins from bacterial larvicides has not been related, while the alteration of target sites seems to play a major role in this process, besides others as the failure of protoxin processing and innate immune responses [12,60-62]. The only report concerning the role of esterases in the metabolism of insecticidal bacterial toxins involved the resistance of the lepidopteran Helicoverpa armigera to Cry1Ac from Bacillus thuringiensis [63]. In this case, esterases from a resistant strain were able to bind the Cry1Ac toxin, which could be the basis of resistance, although further investigation on this mechanism is needed [64]. Our study showed that all Ae. aegypti populations exhibited increased or highly increased DE activity for at least three groups of enzymes that we investigated. In particular, esterases can be responsible for the detoxification of a wide range of xenobiotics, including insecticidal compounds. Nevertheless, the susceptibility of our sampled larvae to Bti was similar to the reference colonies (Rockefeller and RecL), which did not display alterations in any of the five enzymes investigated. We did not find an association between the increased activity of detoxifying enzymes and a decrease in susceptibility to Bti.

Conclusions

Fourteen Ae. aegypti samples from Brazilian populations were susceptible to Bti. Most populations analysed displayed high resistance to temephos, as well as increased levels of some detoxifying enzymes. However, these features were not associated with a decrease in their susceptibility to Bti. These findings strongly reinforce the importance of Bti as an effective tool for Ae. aegypti control, considering the increasing environmental concerns of people living in urban and rural environments, as well as the urgent need for larvicides able to overcome previous resistance to temephos. The insecticidal crystal of Bti has a unique composition of protoxins whose mode of action is distinct from neurotoxic or growth-regulating compounds used for mosquito control and thus has a low potential to display cross-resistance.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Activity of detoxifying enzymes in *Aedes aegypti* adults from sampled populations compared to the Rockefeller colony.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they do not have competing interests.

Authors' contributions

APA, LNR, CFJA, CMFO, MAVMS and MHNLSF conceived the study, designed and analyzed the experimental data; APA, DFD, EH RAB performed the experiments; MHNLSF wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the paper and agreed with the final version.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the team of the insectarium at CPqAM-FIOCRUZ for technical support; the National Program of Dengue Control from Brazil/

Secretary of Health Surveillance/Ministry of Health (PNCD/SVS/MS); and the field teams from the municipalities for the *Ae. aegypti* egg sampling.

Funding

This work was supported by Conselho Nacional de Pesquisa (CNPq PRONEX-Rede Dengue 550116/2010-9, CNPq 590154/2011-7, CNPq 472491/2012-1), Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Estado de Pernambuco (FACEPE-PPSUS grant APQ 1361-4.00/08), and Fundação Oswaldo Cruz/Vice-Presidência de Pesquisa e Laboratórios de Referência/Serviço de Referência para Controle de Culicídeos Vetores/Centro de Pesquisas Aggeu Magalhães-PE (FIOCRUZ/VPPLR/SRCCV/CPqAM-PE).

Received: 13 August 2013 Accepted: 8 October 2013 Published: 13 October 2013

References

- Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, Drake JM, Brownstein JS, Hoen AG, Sankoh O, Myers MF, George DB, Jaenisch T, Wint GR, Simmons CP, Scott TW, Farrar JJ, Hay SI: The global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature 2013, 496:504–507.
- Guzman MG, Halstead SB, Artsob H, Buchy P, Farrar J, Gubler DJ, Hunsperger E, Kroeger A, Margolis HS, Martinez E, Nathan MB, Pelegrino JL, Simmons C, Yoksan S, Peeling RW: Dengue: a continuing global threat. Nature Rev Microbiol 2010, 8:57–S16.
- Teixeira MG, Barreto ML: Diagnosis and management of dengue. BMJ 2009, 339:b4338.
- Braga IA, Valle D: Aedes aegypti: history of control in Brazil. Epidemiol Serv Saúde 2007, 16:113–118.
- Brogdon WG, McAllister JC: Insecticide resistance and vector control. *Emerg Infect Dis* 1998, 4:605–613.
- Casida JE, Durkin KA: Neuroactive insecticides: targets, selectivity, resistance, and secondary effects. Annu Rev Entomol 2013, 58:99–117.
- Hemingway J, Hawkes NJ, McCarroll L, Ranson H: The molecular basis of insecticide resistance in mosquitoes. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 2004, 34:653–665.
- Lacey LA: Bacillus thuringiensis serovariety israelensis and Bacillus sphaericus for mosquito control. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 2007, 23:133–163.
- Becker N: Microbial control of mosquitoes: management of the Upper Rhine mosquito population as a model programme. Parasitol Today 1997, 13:485–487
- Guidi V, Patocchi N, Luthy P, Tonolla M: Distribution of Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. israelensis in soil of a Swiss wetland reserve after 22 years of mosquito control. Appl Environ Microbiol 2011, 77:3663–3668.
- Guillet P, Kurtak DC, Phillipon B, Meyer R: Use of Bacillus thuringiensis for onchorcercosis control in West Africa. In Bacterial control of mosquitoes and black-flies. Edited by Barjac H. Sutherland. New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press; 1990:187–201.
- Soberón M, Fernández LE, Pérez C, Gill SS, Bravo A: Mode of action of mosquitocidal Bacillus thuringiensis toxins. Toxicon 2007, 49:597–600.
- Crickmore N, Bone EJ, Wiliams JA, Ellar DJ: Contribution of the individual components of the delta-endotoxin crystal to the mosquitocidal activity of Bacillus thuringiensis subs. israelensis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1995, 131:249–254.
- Likitvivatanavong S, Chen J, Evans AM, Bravo A, Soberón M, Gill SS: Multiple receptors as targets of Cry toxins in mosquitoes. J Agricult Food Chem 2011, 59:2829–2838.
- Cantón PE, Zanicthe Reyes EZ, De Ruiz Escudero I, Bravo A, Soberón M: Binding of Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. israelensis Cry4Ba to Cyt1Aa has an important role in synergism. Peptides 2011, 32:595–600.
- Pérez C, Fernandez LE, Sun J, Folch JL, Gill SS, Soberón M, Bravo A: Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. israelensis Cyt1Aa synergizes Cry11Aa toxin by functioning as a membrane-bound receptor. PNAS USA 2005, 102:18303–18308.
- Pérez C, Muñoz-Garay C, Portugal LC, Sánchez J, Gill SS, Soberón M, Bravo A: Bacillus thuringiensis ssp. israelensis Cyt1Aa enhances activity of Cry11Aa toxin by facilitating the formation of a pre-pore oligomeric structure. Cell Microbiol 2007, 9:2931–2937.
- Georghiou GP, Wirth MC: Influence of exposure to single versus multiple toxins of Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. israelensis on development of resistance in the mosquito Culex quinquefasciatus (Diptera: Culicidae). Appl Environ Microbiol 1997, 63:1095–1101.

- Mittal P: Laboratory selection to investigate the development of resistance to Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis H-14 in Culex quinquefasciatus Say (Diptera: Culicidae). Nat Acad Sci Lett India 2005, 28:281–283
- Paris M, Tetreau G, Laurent F, Lelu M, Després L, David JP: Persistence of Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis (Bti) in the environment induces resistance to multiple Bti toxins in mosquitoes. Pest Manag Sci 2011, 67:122–128.
- Saleh MS, El-Meniawi FA, Kelada NL, Zahran HM: Resistance development in mosquito larvae Culex pipiens to the bacterial agent Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis. J Appl Entomol 2003, 127:29–32.
- Ferreira LM, Silva-Filha MHNL: Bacterial larvicides for vector control: mode of action of toxins and implications for resistance. *Biocontrol Sci Technol* 2013, 23:1137–1168.
- 23. Wirth MC: Mosquito resistance to bacterial larvicidal proteins. *The Open Toxicol J* 2010, **3**:101–115.
- Carrieri M, Angelini P, Venturelli C, Maccagnani B, Bellini R: Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) population size survey in the 2007 chikungunya outbreak area in Italy. I. Characterization of breeding sites and evaluation of sampling methodologies. J Med Entomol 2011, 48:1214–1225.
- Regis L, Souza WV, Furtado AF, Fonseca CD, Silveira JC Jr, Ribeiro PJ Jr, Melo-Santos MA, Carvalho MS, Monteiro AM: An entomological surveillance system based on open spatial information for participative dengue control. An Acad Bras Cienc 2009, 81:655–662.
- 26. Regis LN, Acioli RV, Silveira JC Jr, Melo-Santos MA, Souza WV, Ribeiro CM, Da Silva JC, Monteiro AM, Oliveira CM, Barbosa RM, Braga C, Rodrigues MA, Silva MG, Ribeiro PJ Jr, Bonat WH, de Castro Medeiros LC, Carvalho MS, Furtado AF, Da Silva JC, Monteiro AM, Oliveira CM, Barbosa RM, Braga C, Rodrigues MA, Silva MG, Ribeiro PJ Jr, Bonat WH, de Castro Medeiros LC, Carvalho MS, Furtado AF: Sustained reduction of the dengue vector population resulting from an integrated control strategy applied in two brazilian cities. PLoS One 2013, 8:67682.
- Beserra EB, Fernandes CR, de Queiroga F, de Castro FPJ: Resistance of Aedes aegypti (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae) populations to organophosphates temephos in the Paraiba State, Brazil. Neotrop Entomol 2007, 36:303–307.
- Braga IA, Lima JB, Soares Sda S, Valle D: Aedes aegypti resistance to temephos during 2001 in several municipalities in the states of Rio de Janeiro, Sergipe, and Alagoas, Brazil. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2004, 99:199–203.
- Lima EP, Paiva MH, De Araujo AP, Da Silva EV, Da Silva UM, De Oliveira LN, Santana AE, Barbosa CN, De Paiva Neto CC, Goulart MO, Wilding CS, Ayres CF, De Melo Santos MA: Insecticide resistance in Aedes aegypti populations from Ceara, Brazil. Parasit Vectors 2011, 4:5.
- Lima JB, Da-Cunha MP, Da Silva RC, Galardo AK, Soares Sda S, Braga IA, Ramos RP, Valle D: Resistance of Aedes aegypti to organophosphates in several municipalities in the State of Rio de Janeiro and Espirito Santo, Brazil. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003, 68:329–333.
- Macoris ML, Andrighetti MT, Otrera VC, Carvalho LR, Caldas Junior AL, Brogdon WG: Association of insecticide use and alteration on Aedes aegypti susceptibility status. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2007, 102:895–900.
- Macoris MLG, Andrighetti MT, Takaku L, Glasser CN, Garbeloto VC, Bracco JE: Resistance of *Aedes aegypti* populations from the State of São Paulo, Brazil, to organophosphates insecticides. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 2003, 93:703–708.
- Montella IR, Martins AJ, Viana-Medeiros PF, Lima JB, Braga IA, Valle D: Insecticide resistance mechanisms of Brazilian Aedes aegypti populations from 2001 to 2004. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2007, 77:467–477.
- Boyer S, David JP, Rey D, Lemperiere G, Ravanel P: Response of Aedes
 aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae to three xenobiotic exposures: larval
 tolerance and detoxifying enzyme activities. Environ Toxicol Chem 2006,
 25:470, 476.
- Boyer S, Paris M, Jego S, Lemperiere G, Ravanel P: Influence of insecticide Bacillus thuringiensis subs. israelensis treatments on resistance and enzyme activities in Aedes rusticus larvae (Diptera: Culicidae). Biol Control 2012, 62:75–81.
- Boyer S, Tilquin M, Ravanel P: Differential sensitivity to Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis and temephos in field mosquito populations of Ochlerotatus cataphylla (Diptera: Culicidae): toward resistance? Environ Toxicol Chem 2007, 26:157–162.
- Andrighetti MT, Cerone F, Rigueti M, Galvani KC, Macoris M: Effect of pyriproxyfen in Aedes aegypti populations with different levels of suscetibility to the organophosphate temephos. Dengue Bulletin 2008, 32:187–198.

- Cerf DC, Georghiou GP: Evidence of cross-resistance to a juvenile hormone analogue in some insecticide-resistant houseflies. *Nature* 1972, 239:401–402.
- Melo-Santos MA, Araújo AP, Rios EM, Regis L: Long lasting persistence of Bacillus thuringiensis serovar. israelensis larvicidal activity in Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae) breeding places is associated to bacteria recycling. Biol Control 2009. 49:186–191.
- Melo-Santos MA, Varjal-Melo JJ, Araújo AP, Gomes TC, Paiva MH, Regis LN, Furtado AF, Magalhães T, Macoris ML, Andrighetti MT, Ayres CF: Resistance to the organophosphate temephos: mechanisms, evolution and reversion in an Aedes aegypti laboratory strain from Brazil. Acta Trop 2010. 113:180–189.
- Strode C, de Melo-Santos M, Magalhaes T, Araujo A, Ayres C: Expression profile of genes during resistance reversal in a temephos selected strain of the dengue vector. *Aedes aegypti. PLoS One* 2012, 7:e39439.
- Brasil: Rede nacional de monitoramento da resistência de Aedes aegypti a inseticidas (rede MoReNAa): metodologia de amostragem. Brasília (Brazil): Secretaria de Vigilância em Sáude, Ministério da Saúde; 2008.
- 43. WHO: Instructions for determining the susceptibility or resistance of mosquito larvae to insecticides. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1981.
- Mazzarri MB, Georghiou GP: Characterization of resistance to organophosphate, carbamate, and pyrethroid insecticides in field populations of *Aedes aegypti* from Venezuela. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 1995. 11:315–322.
- De Barjac H, Larget-Thiéry I: Characteristics of IPS82 as standard for biological assay of *Bacillus thuringiensis* H-14 preparations. Geneve: World Health Organization; 1984. Mimiographed document WHO/VCB/84.892.
- Brasil: Metodologia para quantificação de atividade de enzimas relacionadas com a resistência a inseticidas em Aedes aegypti. Secretaria de Vigilância em Sáude Brasília (Brazil): Ministério da Saúde: 2006
- Robertson JL, Preisler HK, Ng SS, Hinkle LA, Gelernter WD: Natural variations: a complicating factor in bioassays with chemical and microbial pesticides. J Econ Entomol 1995, 88:1–10.
- Lima EP, de Oliveira Filho AM, de Oliveira Lima JW, Ramos Junior AN, de Goes Cavalcanti LP, Pontes RJ: Aedes aegypti resistance to temefos in counties of Ceara State. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 2006, 39:259–263.
- Vasquez MI, Violaris M, Hadjivassilis A, Wirth MC: Susceptibility of Culex pipiens (Diptera: Culicidae) field populations in Cyprus to conventional organic insecticides, Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. israelensis, and methoprene. J Med Entomol 2009, 46:881–887.
- Wirth MC, Ferrari JA, Georghiou GP: Baseline susceptibility to bacterial insecticides in populations of *Culex pipiens* complex (Diptera: Culicidae) from California and from the mediterranean island of Cyprus. J Econ Entomol 2001, 94:920–928.
- Liu H, Cupp EW, Micher KM, Guo A, Liu N: Insecticide resistance and crossresistance in Alabama and Florida strains of Culex quinquefasciatus. J Med Entomol 2004, 41:408–413.
- Lin L, Jingye H, Changju Y, Hongyu Z: Susceptility of field populations of *Anopheles sinensis* (Diptera: Culicidae) to *Bacillus thuringiensis* subs. *israelensis*. *Biocontrol Sci Technol* 2004, 14:321–325.
- Aziz AT, Dieng H, Hassan AA, Satho T, Miake F, Salmah MRC, AbuBakar S: Insecticide suscetibility of the dengue vector *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Makkah City, Saudi Arabia. *Asian Pac J Trop Dis* 2011, 1:94–99.
- Becker N, Ludwig M: Investigation on possible resistance in Aedes vexans field populations after 10-year application of Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 1993, 9:221–224.
- Kamgang B, Marcombe S, Chandre F, Nchoutpouen E, Nwane P, Etang J, Corbel V, Paupy C: Insecticide susceptibility of Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus in Central Africa. Parasit Vectors 2011, 4:79.
- Lee YW, Zairi J: Susceptibility of laboratory and field-collected Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus to Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis H-14.
 J Am Mosq Control Assoc 2006, 22:97–101.
- Liu H, Cupp EW, Guo A, Liu N: Insecticide resistance in Alabama and Florida mosquito strains of Aedes albopictus. J Med Entomol 2004, 41:946–952.
- Loke SR, Andy-Tan WA, Benjamin S, Lee HL, Sofian-Azirun M: Susceptibility of field-collected *Aedes aegypti* (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae) to *Bacillus* thuringiensis israelensis and temephos. *Trop Biomed* 2010, 27:493–503.
- Paul A, Harrington LC, Zhang L, Scott JG: Insecticide resistance in Culex pipiens from New York. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 2005, 21:305–309.

- Cancino-Rodezno A, Alexander C, Villasenor R, Pacheco S, Porta H, Pauchet Y, Soberon M, Gill SS, Bravo A: The mitogen-activated protein kinase p38 is involved in insect defense against Cry toxins from *Bacillus* thuringiensis. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 2010, 40:58–63.
- Ferré J, Van Rie J: Biochemistry and genetics of insect resistance to Bacillus thuringiensis. Annu Rev Entomol 2002, 47:501–533.
- Gómez I, Pardo-López L, Muñoz-Garay C, Fernandez LE, Pérez C, Sánchez J, Soberón M, Bravo A: Role of receptor interaction in the mode of action of insecticidal Cry and Cyt toxins produced by *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Peptides 2007. 28:169–173.
- Gunning RV, Dang HT, Kemp FC, Nicholson IC, Moores GD: New resistance mechanism in Helicoverpa armigera threatens transgenic crops expressing Bacillus thuringiensis Cry1Ac toxin. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005, 71:2558–2563
- Teese MG, Farnsworth CA, Li Y, Coppin CW, Devonshire AL, Scott C, East P, Russell RJ, Oakeshott JG: Heterologous expression and biochemical characterisation of fourteen esterases from Helicoverpa armigera. PLoS One 2013. 8:e65951.

doi:10.1186/1756-3305-6-297

Cite this article as: Araújo et al.: The susceptibility of Aedes aegypti populations displaying temephos resistance to Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis: a basis for management. Parasites & Vectors 2013 6:297.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

