The Szeged, vertex PI, first and second Zagreb indices of corona product of graphs

Zahra Yarahmadi^a, Ali Reza Ashrafi^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khorramabad Branch, Islamic Azad, University, Khorramabad, I.R. Iran ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, University of Kashan, Kashan, I.R. Iran School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box: 193955746, Tehran, I.R. Iran

Abstract. The corona product *GoH* of two graphs *G* and *H* is defined as the graph obtained by taking one copy of *G* and |V(G)| copies of *H* and joining the i-th vertex of *G* to every vertex in the *i*-th copy of *H*. In this paper, the Szeged, vertex PI and the first and second Zagreb indices of corona product of graphs are computed.

1. Introduction

Let G be a connected graph with vertex and edge sets V(G) and E(G), respectively. The distance between the vertices u and v of G is denoted by $d_G(u, v)$ and it is defined as the number of edges in a shortest path connecting the vertices *u* and *v*. A topological index is a numerical quantity related to a graph which is invariant under graph automorphisms. One of the most famous topological indices is the Wiener index introduced by Harold Wiener [25] as an aid to determining the boiling point of paraffin. Since then, the index has been shown to correlate with a host of other properties of molecules (viewed as graphs). For more information about the Wiener index in chemistry and mathematics see [4 - 6, 8 - 11]. The Wiener index of *G* is the sum of distances between all unordered pairs of vertices of *G*, $W(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} d_G(u,v)$. The Szeged index Sz(G) is another topological index was introduced by Ivan Gutman [9]. It is defined as $Sz(G) = \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} n_u(e|G)n_v(e|G)$, where $n_u(e|G)$ is the number of vertices of G lying closer to u than v and $n_u(e|G)$ is defined analogously, see [1, 2, 18, 20] for mathematical properties and chemical meaning of this topological index. It is a well-known fact that for an acyclic graph T, Sz(T) = W(T). The vertex PI index is a recently introduced topological index defined as, $PI_v(G) = \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} [n_u(e|G) + n_v(e|G)], [1, 17].$ Notice that for computing Szeged and vertex PI indices, vertices equidistant from u and v are not taken into account. In general, if *G* is a bipartite graph then $PI_v(G) = |V(G)||E(G)|$. This shows that the vertex PI index is the same for bipartite graphs with *n* vertices and *q* edges. On the other hand, the vertex PI index of bipartite graphs has the maximum value between graphs with exactly *n* vertices and *q* edges. Finally, the first and second Zagreb indices are defined as $M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} \deg_G^2 u$ and $M_2(G) = \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} \deg_G u \deg_G v$, respectively, where $\deg_G u$ is the degree of vertex u in G. The interested readers for more information on Zagreb indices can be referred to [12, 13, 16].

Keywords. Corona product, Wiener index, Szeged index, vertex PI index, first and second Zagreb index

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C76; Secondary 05C12, 05C07

Received: 26 July 2010; Accepted: 31 November 2010

Communicated by Dragan Stevanović

Email addresses: z.yarahmadi@gmail.com (Zahra Yarahmadi), ashrafi@kashanu.ac.ir (Ali Reza Ashrafi)

Graph operations play an important role in the study of graph decompositions into isomorphic subgraphs. Let *G* and *H* be two simple graphs. If |V(G)| = n and |E(G)| = q, we say that *G* is an (n,q)–graph. We also say that *G* is of order *n*. The corona product *GoH* of two graphs *G* and *H* is an important graph operation defined as the graph obtained by taking one copy of *G* and |V(G)| copies of *H* and joining the *i*–th vertex of *G* to every vertex in *i*–th copy of *H*. If *G* is an (n,q)–graph and *H* is an (n,q)–graph then |V(GoH)| = n + nn' and |E(GoH)| = q + nq' + nn'. The *i*–th copy of *H* is denoted by H_i , $1 \le i \le n$ as shown in Fig. 1. It is clear from the definition that corona product of two graphs is not commutative. Obviously, *GoH* is connected if and only if *G* is connected. Also if *H* contains at least one edge then *GoH* is not bipartite graph.




Figure 1: The corona product of two graphs

In this paper we study some topological indices of a graph under corona product. We encourage the reader to consult [3, 15] for our notation and [7, 14, 19 - 24] for more information on graph operations under some topological indices.

2. Main Results

In this section some topological indices of corona product of two graphs are computed. We start by computing the Szeged index of corona product. In what follows, the number of triangles containing an edge e = uv is denoted by t_{uv} .

Theorem 2.1. Let G be a connected graph of order n. For every (m, q)-graph H, the Szeged index of GoH is given by

$$Sz(GoH) = nM_2(H) + n \sum_{e=uv \in E(H)} t_{uv}(t_{uv} - \deg_H u - \deg_H v) + (m+1)^2 Sz(G) + mn(mn+n-1) - 2nq.$$

Proof. By definition of Szeged index,

$$Sz(GoH) = \sum_{e=uv \in E(GoH)} n_u(e|GoH)n_v(e|GoH).$$

We partition the edges of *GoH* in to three subset E_1 , E_2 and E_3 , as follows:

 $\begin{array}{l} E_1 = \{ e \in E(GoH) \mid e \in E(H_i) \;, 1 \leq i \leq n \}, \\ E_2 = \{ e \in E(GoH) \mid e \in E(G) \}, \\ E_3 = \{ e \in E(GoH) \mid e = uv \;, u \in V(H_i) \;, 1 \leq i \leq n \;, v \in V(G) \}. \end{array}$

Therefore,

$$Sz(GoH) = \sum_{e \in E_1} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_2} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) n_v(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e | GoH) + \sum_{e \in$$

For every $e = uv \in E(H)$ if there exists $w \in V(H)$ such that $uw \notin E(H)$ and $vw \notin E(H)$ then $d_{GoH}(u, w) = d_{GoH}(v, w) = 2$. Also if there exists $w \in V(H)$ such that $uw \in E(H)$ and $vw \in E(H)$ then $d_{GoH}(u, w) = d_{GoH}(v, w) = 1$. Hence $n_u(e|GoH) = \deg_H u - t_{uv}$ and so

$$\sum_{e \in E_1} n_u(e|GoH) n_v(e|GoH) = n \sum_{e=uv \in E(H)} (\deg_H u - t_{uv})(\deg_H v - t_{uv}).$$
(1)

We now assume that $e = uv \in E_2$. Then for each vertex *w* closer to *u* than *v*, the vertices of the copy of *H* attached to *w* are also closer to *u* than *v*. Since each copy of *H* has exactly *m* vertices, $n_u(e|GoH) = (m+1)n_u(e|G)$. Similarly, $n_v(e|GoH) = (m+1)n_v(e|G)$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{e \in E_2} n_u(e|GoH) n_v(e|GoH) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} (m+1)^2 n_u(e|G) n_v(e|G).$$
(2)

Finally, we assume that $e = uv \in E_3$, $deg_H u = k$ and $\{u_1, u_2, ..., u_k\}$ are adjacent vertices of u in H_i . By definition of corona product of graphs, v is adjacent to vertices $u_1, ..., u_k$. Thus for each $j, 1 \le j \le k, u_j$ is equidistant from u and v. On the other hand, every vertex of *GoH* other than $u, u_1, ..., u_k$ are closer to v than u. This implies that $n_v(e|GoH) = |V(GoH)| - (deg_H u + 1)$ and $n_u(e|GoH) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{e \in E_3} n_u(e|GoH) n_v(e|GoH) = \sum_{e \in E_3} [|V(GoH)| - (deg_H u + 1)].$$
(3)

We now apply Equations 1-3, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Sz(GoH) &= n \sum_{e=uv \in E(H)} (\deg_H u - t_{uv})(\deg_H v - t_{uv}) + \sum_{e=uv \in E_2} (1+m)^2 n_u(e|G) n_v(e|G) \\ &+ \sum_{e=uv \in E_3} [|V(GoH)| - (\deg_H u + 1)] \\ &= nM_2(H) + n \sum_{e=uv \in E(H)} t_{uv}(t_{uv} - \deg_H u - \deg_H v) + (m+1)^2 Sz(G) + mn(mn+n-1) - 2nq. \end{aligned}$$

By above calculations, one can see that,

$$Sz(GoH) = nM_2(H) + n \sum_{\substack{e=uv\\e\in E(H)}} t_{uv}(t_{uv} - \deg_H u - \deg_H v) + (m+1)^2 Sz(G) + mn(mn+n-1) - 2nq.$$

Corollary 2.2. Let G be a connected graph of order n and H be a triangle-free (m, q)-graph. Then,

 $Sz(GoH) = nM_2(H) + (m+1)^2Sz(G) + mn(mn+n-1) - 2nq.$

Proof. Substitute $t_{uv} = 0$, for every edge $e = uv \in E(H)$, in the statement of Theorem 1. \Box

Let P_n , $n \ge 2$, C_n and S_n denote the path, the cycle and the star on n vertices, respectively.

Corollary 2.3. The following equalities are hold:

$$a. Sz(P_n o P_m) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}n(n^2 - 1)(m + 1)^2 + mn(mn + n + 1) - 6n & m \neq 2\\ \frac{3}{2}n(n^2 + 4n - 3) & m = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$b. Sz(S_n o P_m) = \begin{cases} (n - 1)^2(m + 1)^2 + mn(mn + n + 1) - 6n & m \neq 2\\ 3(5n^2 - 7n + 3) & m = 2 \end{cases}$$

469

$$c. Sz(P_n o C_m) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}n(n^2 - 1)(m + 1)^2 + mn(mn + n + 1) & m \neq 3\\ \frac{8}{3}n(n^2 - 1) + 6n(2n - 1) & m = 3 \end{cases}$$

$$d. Sz(C_n o C_m) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}n^3(m + 1)^2 + mn(mn + n + 1) & 2|n, m \neq 3\\ \frac{1}{4}n(n - 1)^2(m + 1)^2 + mn(mn + n + 1) & 2|n, m \neq 3 \end{cases}$$

$$e. Sz(P_n o S_m) = \frac{1}{6}n(n^2 - 1)(m + 1)^2 + n(m - 1)(m - 3) + mn(mn + n - 1).$$

Corollary 2.4. Let $G = P_n$ and $H = K_m^c$ be an empty graph of order m. Then GoH is a Caterpillar tree and $Sz(P_n oH) = \frac{1}{6}n(n^2 - 1)(m + 1)^2 + mn(mn + n - 1)$.

In the following theorem, we apply a similar reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1 to calculate the vertex PI index of corona product of graphs.

Theorem 2.5. Let G be a connected graph of order n and H be (m, q)-graph, then the vertex PI index of GoH is given by

$$PI_{v}(GoH) = (m+1)PI_{v}(G) + nM_{1}(H) + n^{2}m(m+1) - 2n(q+3t),$$

where t is the number triangles of H.

Proof. By definition

$$PI_{v}(GoH) = \sum_{e \in E_{1}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{2}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH) + n_{v}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in E_{3}} [n_{u}(e \mid GoH)] + \sum_{e \in$$

We compute each summation as follows:

$$\begin{split} PI_{v}(GoH) &= n \sum_{e=uv \in E(H)} \left[(\deg_{H} u - t_{uv}) + (\deg_{H} v - t_{uv}) \right] + \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} \left[n_{u}(e|G) + n_{v}(e|G) \right] (m+1) \\ &+ \sum_{e=uv \in E_{3}} \left[|V(GoH)| - \deg_{H} u \right] . \\ &= n \sum_{e=uv \in E(H)} \left[(\deg_{H} u + \deg_{H} v) - 2n \sum_{e=uv \in E(H)} t_{uv} + (m+1)PI_{v}(G) + mn |V(GoH)| - \sum_{e \in E_{3}} \deg_{H} u \right] . \end{split}$$

By above calculations, $PI_v(GoH) = (m + 1)PI_v(G) + nM_1(H) + n^2m(m + 1) - 2(nq + 3nt)$. \Box

Corollary 2.6. Suppose H is triangle-free (m, q)-graph and G is a connected graph of order n. Then

 $PI_{v}(GoH) = (m+1)PI_{v}(G) + nM_{1}(H) + n^{2}m(m+1) - 2nq.$

Corollary 2.7. *The following equalities are hold:*

$$a. PI_v(P_n oP_m) = mn(mn + 2n + 1) + n(n - 5),$$

$$b. PI_v(P_n oS_m) = n^2(m + 1)^2 + n(m - 2)^2 - 3n,$$

$$c. PI_v(P_n oC_m) = \begin{cases} n^2(m + 1)^2 + n(m - 1) & m \neq 3 \\ 4n(4n - 1) & m = 3 \end{cases},$$

$$d. PI_v(C_n oP_m) = \begin{cases} mn(mn + 2n + 2) + n(n - 4) & 2|n \\ mn(mn + 2n + 1) + n(n - 5) & 2 \ n \end{cases}.$$

We end this section by computing the Zagreb indices of corona products.

Theorem 2.8. Let G be (n,q')-graph and H be (m,q)-graph then

$$\begin{split} M_1(GoH) &= M_1(G) + nM_1(H) + 4(mq' + nq) + mn(m + 1), \\ M_2(GoH) &= n[M_1(H) + M_2(H) + q] + (2q + m)(2q' + mn) + mM_1(G) + M_2(G) + m^2q'. \end{split}$$

Proof. By definition,

$$\begin{split} M_1(GoH) &= \sum_{u \in V(GoH)} \deg_{GoH}^2 u \\ &= n \sum_{u \in V(H)} \deg_{GoH}^2 u + \sum_{u \in V(G)} \deg_{GoH}^2 u \\ &= n \sum_{u \in V(H)} (\deg_H u + 1)^2 + \sum_{u \in V(G)} (\deg_G u + m)^2 \\ &= n \sum_{u \in V(H)} (\deg_H^2 u + 2 \deg_H u + 1) + \sum_{u \in V(G)} (\deg_G^2 u + 2m \deg_G u + m^2) \\ &= n M_1(H) + 4nq + mn + M_1(G) + 4mq' + m^2n \\ &= M_1(G) + nM_1(H) + 4(mq' + nq) + mn(m + 1). \end{split}$$

In order to compute the second Zagreb index, suppose that $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$ and $V(H) = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_m\}$. We partition of the set E(GoH) into three parts and evaluate the resulting sums:

$$\begin{split} M_{2}(GoH) &= \sum_{e=uv} \deg_{GoH} u \deg_{GoH} v = n \sum_{\substack{e=uv \\ e \in E(H)}} \deg_{GoH} u \deg_{GoH} v \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{e=uv \\ u \in V(H) \\ v \in V(G)}} \deg_{GoH} u \deg_{GoH} v + \sum_{\substack{e=uv \\ e \in E(G)}} \deg_{GoH} u \deg_{GoH} v \\ &= n \sum_{\substack{e=uv \\ v \in V(G)}} (\deg_{H} u + 1)(\deg_{H} v + 1) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ e \in E(H)}}^{n} (\deg_{H} u_{j} + 1)(\deg_{G} v_{i} + m) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{e=uv \\ e \in E(G)}}^{n} (\deg_{G} u + m)(\deg_{G} v + m) \\ &= n [\sum_{\substack{e=uv \\ e \in E(H)}} \deg_{H} u \deg_{H} v + \sum_{\substack{e=uv \\ e \in E(H)}} (\deg_{H} u + \deg_{H} v) + \sum_{\substack{e=uv \\ e \in E(H)}} (\deg_{G} v_{i} + m) \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\deg_{H} u_{j} + 1) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ e \in E(G)}}^{n} \deg_{G} v \deg_{G} v + m \sum_{\substack{e=uv \\ e \in E(G)}} (\deg_{G} u + \deg_{G} v) + \sum_{\substack{e=uv \\ e \in E(G)}} m^{2} \\ &= n [M_{1}(H) + M_{2}(H) + q] + (2q + m) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i=1}}^{n} (\deg_{G} v_{i} + m) \end{split}$$

 $+ M_2(G) + mM_1(G) + m^2q'.$

From these equations,

$$M_2(GoH) = n[M_1(H) + M_2(H) + q] + (2q + m)(2q' + mn) + mM_1(G) + M_2(G) + m^2q'$$

which completes the proof. \Box

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Corollary 2.9. The following equalities are hold:} \\ a. \ M_1(P_noP_m) = nm^2 + (13n - 4)m - 6n - 6, \\ b. \ M_2(P_noP_m) = (4n - 1)m^2 + (17n - 12)m - 15n - 4 \\ c. \ M_1(C_noC_m) = n(m^2 + 13m + 4), \\ d. \ M_2(C_noC_m) = mn(4m + 19) + 4n, \\ e. \ M_1(P_noC_m) = mn(m + 13) + 2(2n - 2m - 3), \\ f. \ M_2(P_noC_m) = \begin{cases} mn(4m + 19) - m(m + 12) + 4(n - 2) \\ 7m^2 + 26m + 1 \end{cases}, & n \neq 2 \\ n = 2 \end{cases}$

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to the referee for his/her suggestions and helpful remarks which led us to improve the paper. The work of the second author was supported in part by a grant from IPM (No. 89050111).

References

- A. R. Ashrfi, M. Gorbani, M. Jalali, The vertex PI and Szeged indices of an infinite family of fullerenes, Journal of Theoretical and Computational Chemistry 7(2) (2008) 221–231.
- [2] A. R. Ashrfi, B. Manoochehrian, H. Yousefi-Azari, On Szeged polynomial of a graph, The Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society 33 (2007) 37–46.
- [3] F. Buckley, F. Harary, Distance in Graphs, Addison-Wesely, Redwood, Calif, USA, 1990.
- [4] M.V. Diudea, I. Gutman, L. Jantschi, Molecular Topology, Huntington, NY, 2001.
- [5] A. A. Dobrynin, R. Entringer, I. Gutman, Wiener index of trees: theory and applications, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 66 (2001) 211–249.
- [6] A.A. Dobrynin, I. Gutman, S. Klavzar, P. Zigert, Wiener index of hexagonal systems, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 72 (2002) 247–294.
- [7] G. H. Fath-Tabar, A. Hamzeh, S. Hossein-Zadeh, GA₂ index of some graph operations, Filomat 24(1) (2010) 21–28.
- [8] A. Graovac, T. Pisanski, On the Wiener index of a graph, Journal of Mathematical Chemistry 8 (1991) 53-62.
- [9] I. Gutman, A formula for the Wiener number of trees and its extension to graphs containing cycles, Graph Theory Notes of New York 27 (1994) 9–15.
- [10] I. Gutman, S. Klavzar, B. Mohar (eds.), Fifty Years of the Wiener Index, MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry 35 (1997) 1–259.
- [11] I. Gutman, S. Klavzar, B. Mohar (eds.), Fiftieth Anniversary of the Wiener Index, Discrete Applied Mathematics 80(1) (1997) 1–113.
- [12] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstic, Graph theory and molecular orbitals, Total π electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, Chemical Physics Letters 17 (1972) 535–538.
- [13] I. Gutman, K.C. Das, The first Zagreb index 30 years after, MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry 50 (2004) 83–92.
- [14] S. Hossein-Zadeh, A. Hamzeh, A. R. Ashrafi, Wiener-type invariants of some graph operations, Filomat 23 (2009) 103-113.
- [15] W. Imrich, S. Klavzar, Product Graphs, Structure and Recognition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA, 2000.
- [16] M. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi-Azari, A. R. Ashrafi, The first and second Zagreb indices of some graph operations, Discrete Applied Mathematics 157 (2009) 804–811.
- [17] M. H. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi-Azari, A. R. Ashrafi, Vertex and edge PI indices of Cartesian product graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 156 (2008) 1780–1789.
- [18] S. Klavzar, A. Rajapakse, I. Gutman, The Szeged and the Wiener index of graphs, Applied Mathematics Letter 9 (1996) 45-49.
- [19] S. Klavzar, On the PI Index: PI-Partitions and Cartesian Product Graphs, MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry 57(3) (2007) 573–586.
- [20] T. Mansour, M. Schork, The vertex PI and Szeged index of bridge and chain graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 157 (2009) 1600–1606.
- [21] D. Stevanović, Hosoya polynomial of composite graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 235 (2001) 237-244.
- [22] Z. Yarahmadi, T. Došlić, A. R. Ashrafi, The bipartite edge frustration of composite graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 158 (2010) 1551–1558.
- [23] Y. N. Yeh, I. Gutman, On the sum of all distances in composite graphs, Discrete Mathematics 135 (1994) 359-365.
- [24] H. Yousefi-Azari, B. Manoochehrian, A. R.Ashrafi, PI index of product graphs, Applied Mathematics Letter 21 (2008) 624-627.
- [25] H. Wiener, Structural determination of the paraffin boiling points, Journal of the American Chemical Society 69 (1947) 17–20.