

## *The Tensor Product of Triples as Multilinear Product\**

JOSE L. FREIRE NISTAL AND MIGUEL A. LOPEZ LOPEZ

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we introduce a notion of multilinear product for triples in  $\text{Set}$ , which if it is given by a distributive law then coincides with the one given by Bunge. We also demonstrate that the tensor product of two triples, if there exist, is an initial object in a suitable category of multilinear products.

### INTRODUCTION

In "Producto de triples" ([8]) the definition that is given of the product of triples generalizes the notion of distributive law, according to Beck ([2]). The tensor product is studied by E. Manes in various articles ([10], [11], [12]), for triples in the category  $\text{Set}$ , of sets and maps.


M. Bunge, in ([3]), studies the relationship between composition triple and tensor product of triples, for triples in  $\text{Set}$ . In this paper, it is given the definition of distributive multilinear law, which is the

---

\* Supported by grant TIC91-0404 of Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología (Spain).

distributive law in which each algebra over the composition triple is also a bialgebra.

The aim of the present paper is to introduce a notion of multilinear product for triples in *Set*, which if it is given by a distributive law then coincides with the one given by Bunge, and to demonstrate that the tensor product of two triples  $T$  and  $S$ , if there exist, is an initial object in the category whose objects are multilinear products  $R = (TS)_r$ , and whose morphisms  $\alpha: R \rightarrow R'$  are morphisms of triples that make the following diagram commutative




$\phi_T$  and  $\phi_S$  being the morphisms of triples associated to every product ([8]).

### 1. PRODUCT OF TRIPLES AND DISTRIBUTIVE LAWS


**1.1** If  $T = (T, \eta_T, \mu_T)$  and  $S = (S, \eta_S, \mu_S)$  are triples in a category  $A$ , a product  $R = (TS)_r$  is a triple  $R = (R, \eta, m)$ , where  $\eta = r \circ (\eta_T * \eta_S)$  and where the natural transformation  $r: TS \Rightarrow R$  verifies the following axioms:

P.1)



i.e.:  $r \circ (\mu_T * S) = m \circ (r * r) \circ (T * \eta_S * TS)$

P.2)



$$\text{i.e.: } r \circ (T \star \mu_S) = m \circ (r \star r) \circ (TS \star \eta_T \star S) \text{ ([8], 1.1).}$$

**1.2** If  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{TS})_r$  is a product, then  $\phi_T := r \circ (T \star \eta_S): \mathbf{T} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $\phi_S := r \circ (\eta_T \star S): \mathbf{S} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  are morphisms of triples.

Conversely, if  $\phi_T: \mathbf{T} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $\phi_S: \mathbf{S} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  are morphisms of triples, with  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R}, \eta_R, m)$  then  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{TS})_r$  with  $r := m \circ (\phi_T \star \phi_S): \mathbf{TS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ .

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{TS})_r$  is a product, then  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{ST})_{r'}$  is also a product, where  $r' = m \circ (\phi_S \star \phi_T)$  ([8], 1.2, 1.3, 1.5).

**1.3** If  $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{T}, \eta_T, \mu_T)$  and  $\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{S}, \eta_S, \mu_S)$  are triples in  $\mathbf{A}$ , a *distributive law* of  $\mathbf{T}$  over  $\mathbf{S}$  is a natural transformation  $\tau: \mathbf{TS} \Rightarrow \mathbf{ST}$  which verifies:

- D.L. 1)  $\tau \circ (\eta_T \star S) = S \star \eta_T$
- D.L. 2)  $\tau \circ (T \star \eta_S) = \eta_S \star T$
- D.L. 3)  $(S \star \mu_T) \circ (\tau \star T) \circ (T \star \tau) = \tau \circ (\mu_T \star S)$
- D.L. 4)  $(\mu_S \star T) \circ (S \star \tau) \circ (\tau \star S) = \tau \circ (T \star \mu_S)$

([2], 1).

**1.4** A distributive law  $\tau$  of  $\mathbf{T}$  over  $\mathbf{S}$  makes a product  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{TS})_r$  with  $r = \tau$ ,  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{ST}, \eta_S \star \eta_T, (\mu_S \star \mu_T) \circ (S \star \tau \star T))$  and  $r' = 1_{\mathbf{ST}}$  ("half unitary law") ([8], 2.2).

**1.5** Conversely if  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{TS})_r$  is a product with  $R = ST$  and verifies the half unitary law,  $r' = 1_{ST}$ , then  $r$  is a distributive law of  $\mathbf{T}$  over  $\mathbf{S}$  ([8], 2.3).

**1.6** Taking one of the examples given in [2], we obtain a product  $(\mathbf{TS})_r$ , in which  $r$  is not a distributive law. In fact, if  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  are graduated rings,  $R = S \otimes T$  is a ring with the product operation:

$$(s_1 \otimes t_1)(s_2 \otimes t_2) = (-1)^{\partial s_1 \partial t_2} s_1 s_2 \otimes t_1 t_2$$

( $\partial$  indicates the degree), being  $1 \otimes 1$  the unity element. Moreover, the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_T: T &\rightarrow T \otimes S, \phi_T(t) = 1 \otimes t \\ \phi_S: S &\rightarrow S \otimes T, \phi_S(s) = s \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

are homomorphisms of rings.

The rings  $\mathbf{T}$ ,  $\mathbf{S}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  give the triples  $\mathbf{T} = (- \otimes T, \eta_T, \mu_T)$ ,  $\mathbf{S} = (- \otimes S, \eta_S, \mu_S)$  and  $\mathbf{R} = (- \otimes R, \eta_R, \mu_R)$  in the category  $\mathbf{A}$  of abelian groups (the natural transformations  $\eta$  and  $\mu$  are the ones induced by the unities and the multiplications of the rings). The homomorphisms  $\phi_T$  and  $\phi_S$  induce morphisms of triples


$$\phi_T: \mathbf{T} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text{ and } \phi_S: \mathbf{S} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

$\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{TS})_r$ , being  $r = \mu_R \circ (\phi_T * \phi_S)$  (1.2). However, in this case  $r$  is not a distributive law, because the half unitary law is not verified, that is,  $r' \neq 1$ .


## 2. TENSOR PRODUCT OF TRIPLES

**2.1** Let  $n \in |\mathbf{Set}|$  and  $(-)^n: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  be the functor  $\text{Hom}(n, -)$ . If  $\mathbf{T} = (T, \eta_T, \mu_T)$  is a triple in  $\mathbf{Set}$ , a  $n$ -ary operation over  $\mathbf{T}$  is a natural transformation  $(-)^n \Rightarrow T$ .

If  $(X, \xi)$  is a  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebra, each  $n$ -ary operation  $g$  over  $\mathbf{T}$  induces an operation,  $\xi^g = \xi \circ (g X): X^n \rightarrow X$ , over the set  $X$



Moreover, a  $T$ -morphism  $f: (X, \xi) \rightarrow (Y, \theta)$  is a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  which is a morphism in the classic sense, commuting with each operation, that is, for each  $g: (-)^n \Rightarrow T$



i.e.:  $f \circ \xi^g = f \circ \xi \circ (g X) = \theta \circ (g * f) = \theta \circ (g Y) \circ f^n = \theta^g \circ f^n$   
 ([3], 1).

2.2 If  $T$  and  $S$  are triples in  $\text{Set}$ , a  $S$ - $T$ -bialgebra is a 3-triple  $(X, \sigma, \xi)$ , with  $(X, \sigma)$  and  $S$ -algebra and  $(X, \xi)$  a  $T$ -algebra such that for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g: (-)^n \Rightarrow T$  and  $h: (-)^m \Rightarrow S$  the following holds true:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \xrightarrow{(-)^n} \xrightarrow{(-)^m} X \\
 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \gamma_m^n \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 \xrightarrow{(-)^m} \xrightarrow{(-)^n} \\
 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline h \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline g \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline S \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline T \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \xi \\
 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \sigma \\ \hline \end{array} \quad X \\
 \hline X
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{c}
 \xrightarrow{(-)^n} \xrightarrow{(-)^m} X \\
 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline g \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline h \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 \xrightarrow{(-)^n} \xrightarrow{(-)^m} \\
 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline T \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|} \hline S \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \sigma \\
 \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \xi \\ \hline \end{array} \quad X \\
 \hline X
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

i.e.:  $\sigma \circ (S_* \xi) \circ ((h_* g) X) \circ (\gamma_m^n X) = \xi \circ (T_* \sigma) \circ ((g_* h) X)$ , where

$$\gamma_m^n: (-)^n(-)^m \Rightarrow (-)^m(-)^n$$

is the canonical isomorphism.

This is equivalent to, for every  $g: (-)^n \Rightarrow T, \xi^g$  is an  $S$ -morphism, or equivalently, for every  $h: (-)^m \Rightarrow S, \sigma^h$  is a  $T$ -morphism ([3], 1).

This defines the category  $\text{Set}^{(S,T)}$  of  $S$ - $T$ -bialgebras as a full subcategory of the category  $\text{Set}^{(S,T)}$  whose objects are triples  $(X, \sigma, \xi)$  with  $(X, \sigma)$  an  $S$ -algebra and  $(X, \xi)$  a  $T$ -algebra, and whose morphisms  $f: (X, \sigma, \xi) \rightarrow (Y, \tau, \theta)$  are maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , being  $f$  an  $S$ -morphism and  $T$ -morphism.


If the forgetful functor  $U^{(S,T)}: \text{Set}^{(S,T)} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  is tripleable, it makes a triple  $\mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{T}$  that is called tensor product (symmetrically,  $\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{S}$ ) ([3], [10], [11], [12]).

The existence of tensor product of triples is, in general, an open question.

### 3. MULTILINEAR PRODUCTS


**3.1** Let  $\mathbf{T} = (T, \eta_T, \mu_T)$  and  $\mathbf{S} = (S, \eta_S, \mu_S)$  be triples in  $\text{Set}$  and  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{TS})_r$  a product,  $\mathbf{R} = (R, r \circ (\eta_{T*} \eta_S), m)$ .

We will say that  $\mathbf{R}$  is a *multilinear product* if for whatever  $g:(-)^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{T}$  and  $h:(-)^m \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}$  it are verified




i.e.:  $r' \circ (h_*g) = r \circ (g_*h) \circ \gamma_n^m$ .

3.2 If  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{TS})_r$  is a product, the morphisms of triples  $\phi_S: \mathbf{S} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $\phi_T: \mathbf{T} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  (1.2) give functors (change of triple)  $\text{Set}^{\phi_S}: \text{Set}^{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\mathbf{S}}$  and  $\text{Set}^{\phi_T}: \text{Set}^{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\mathbf{T}}$ , respectively, that commute with the forgetful functors to  $\text{Set}$ . As a result, each  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebra  $(X, \tau)$  gives an  $\mathbf{S}$ -algebra  $(X, \sigma_\tau) = (X, \tau \circ (\phi_S X))$  and a  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebra  $(X, \xi_\tau) = (X, \tau \circ (\phi_T X))$ . Moreover, it is verified




i.e.:  $\xi_\tau \circ (T_*\sigma_\tau) = \tau_* (r X)$ , and




i.e.:  $\sigma_\tau \circ (S_*\xi_\tau) = \tau \circ (r' X)$  [12], proposition 2.9, page 210).

We will say that an  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebra  $(X, \tau)$  is a *bialgebra* if the  $\mathbf{S}$ -algebra  $(X, \sigma_\tau)$  and the  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebra  $(X, \xi_\tau)$  make an  $\mathbf{S}$ - $\mathbf{T}$ -bialgebra  $(X, \sigma_\tau, \xi_\tau)$ , i.e.:



i.e.:  $\sigma_\tau \circ (S_* \xi_\tau) \circ ((h_* g) X) \circ (\gamma_m^n X) = \xi_\tau \circ (T_* \sigma_\tau) \circ ((g_* h) X)$ , for all operations  $g: (-)^n \Rightarrow T$  and  $h: (-)^m \Rightarrow S$ .

It is immediately proved that the last equality is equivalent to:




i.e.:  $\tau \circ (r' X) \circ ((h_* g) X) \circ (\gamma_m^n X) = \tau \circ (r X) \circ ((g_* h) X)$ .

**3.3** From former definitions we can conclude, trivially, that for a multilinear product  $\mathbf{R}$ , every  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebra is a bialgebra. Its reciprocal result is also true. If  $\mathbf{R}$  is a product and every  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebra is a bialgebra, then  $\mathbf{R}$  is multilinear as a consequence of the following result:

If  $\mathbf{T}$  is a triple in  $\mathbf{Set}$  and  $\alpha, \beta: (-)^k \Rightarrow T$  are  $k$ -ary operations over  $\mathbf{T}$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$  if and only if  $\tau^\alpha = \tau^\beta$  for every  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebra  $(X, \tau)$  ([3], lemma 2.5, page 145).




To obtain our result, it is enough to take



i.e.:  $\alpha = r' \circ (h_*g) \circ \gamma_m^n$  and  $\beta = r \circ (g_*h)$ .

**4. THE TENSOR PRODUCT AS MULTILINEAR PRODUCT**

**4.1** Let  $T = (T, \eta_T, \mu_T)$  and  $S = (S, \eta_S, \mu_S)$  be triples in  $\text{Set}$ . Let us suppose that the tensor product  $S \otimes T = R = (R, \eta_R, m)$  exist, i.e., the following diagram




is commutative,  $\phi$  being an isomorphism.

For the inclusion functor  $i: \text{Set}^{[S, T]} \rightarrow \text{Set}^S$ ,

$$i(f: (X, \epsilon, \delta) \rightarrow (X', \epsilon', \delta')) = f: (X, \epsilon) \rightarrow (X', \epsilon')$$

the following diagram of functors is commutative:



Since  $i \circ \phi^{-1}$  commutes with the forgetful functors, a natural transformation exists  $\sigma: \mathbf{S}\mathbf{R} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\phi_{\mathbf{S}} = \sigma \circ (\mathbf{S}_* \eta_{\mathbf{R}}): \mathbf{S} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a morphism of triples ( $i \circ \phi^{-1} = \text{Set}^{\phi_{\mathbf{S}}}$  is the change of triple functor). For  $X \in |\text{Set}|$ , and  $(\mathbf{R}X, mX)$  being the free  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebra over  $X$ ,  $(i \circ \phi^{-1})(\mathbf{R}X, mX) = (\mathbf{R}X, \sigma X)$ . For any  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebra  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(i \circ \phi^{-1})(X, \tau) = (X, \tau \circ (\phi_{\mathbf{S}} X))$ .

In the same way, for the triple  $\mathbf{T}$ , a natural transformation exists  $\xi: \mathbf{T}\mathbf{R} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , such that  $\phi_{\mathbf{T}} = \xi \circ (\mathbf{T}_* \eta_{\mathbf{R}}): \mathbf{T} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a morphism of triples.


If  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebra,  $\phi^{-1}(X, \tau) = (X, \tau \circ (\phi_{\mathbf{S}} X), \tau \circ (\phi_{\mathbf{T}} X))$ . In particular,  $\phi^{-1}(\mathbf{R}X, mX) = (\mathbf{R}X, \sigma X, \xi X)$ .

**4.2** From all this and from (1.2) it follows that  $\mathbf{R}$  is a product,  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{S})_r$ , with  $r = m \circ (\phi_{\mathbf{T}} \star \phi_{\mathbf{S}}) = \xi \circ (\mathbf{T}_* \sigma) \circ (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{S}_* \eta_{\mathbf{R}})$  (this last equality is true since  $mX: (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}X, \sigma\mathbf{R}X, \xi\mathbf{R}X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}X, \sigma X, \xi X)$  is a morphism of  $\mathbf{S}$ -algebras and of  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebras).

If  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -algebra,  $\phi^{-1}(X, \tau) = (X, \tau \circ (\phi_{\mathbf{S}} X), \tau \circ (\phi_{\mathbf{T}} X)) = (X, \sigma_r, \xi_r)$  is an  $\mathbf{S}$ - $\mathbf{T}$ -algebra and, by (3.2) and (3.3),  $\mathbf{R}$  is a multilinear product.

### 5. THE CATEGORY OF MULTILINEAR PRODUCTS

5.1 Let  $T$  and  $S$  be triples in  $\text{Set}$ . Taking as objects the multilinear products  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{TS})_r$  and as morphisms,  $\alpha: \mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{TS})_r \rightarrow \mathbf{R}' = (\mathbf{TS})_{r'}$ , those morphisms of triples  $\alpha: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}'$  that make the diagram commutative




we obtain the category of multilinear products of  $T$  and  $S$ .

5.2 In the category of multilinear products of  $T$  and  $S$ ,  $S \otimes T$  is an initial object. Let us write  $S \otimes T = \mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R}, \eta_{\mathbf{R}}, m) = (\mathbf{TS})_r$ .

Let  $\mathbf{R}' = (\mathbf{R}', \eta_{\mathbf{R}'}, m') = (\mathbf{TS})_{r'}$  be an arbitrary multilinear product and  $\phi'_S: \mathbf{S} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}'$ ,  $\phi'_T: \mathbf{T} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}'$  the corresponding morphisms of triples. If  $(X, \tau')$  is an  $\mathbf{R}'$ -algebra,  $(X, \sigma_{\tau'}, \xi_{\tau'}) = (X, \tau' \circ (\phi'_S X), \tau' \circ (\phi'_T X))$  is an  $S$ - $T$ -bialgebra.

If  $j$  is the functor  $j: \text{Set}^{\mathbf{R}'} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T})}$  such that  $j(X, \tau') = (X, \sigma_{\tau'}, \xi_{\tau'})$ , then the following diagram of functors is commutative:



Thus, a natural transformation exists  $\lambda: \mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}' \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}'$ , so that  $\alpha = \lambda \circ (\mathbf{R}_* \eta_{\mathbf{R}}): \mathbf{R} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}'$  is a morphism of triples, being  $\phi \circ j = \text{Set}^\alpha$  the change of triple functor. Moreover,  $(\phi \circ j)(X, \tau') = (X, \tau' \circ (\alpha X))$  and, in particular,  $(\phi \circ j)(\mathbf{R}'X, m'X) = (\mathbf{R}'X, \lambda X) = (\mathbf{R}'X, (m'X) \circ (\alpha \mathbf{R}'X))$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{R}'X, (m'X) \circ (\phi'_S \mathbf{R}'X), (m'X) \circ (\phi'_T \mathbf{R}'X)) &= \phi^{-1}((\phi \circ j)(\mathbf{R}'X, m'X)) = \\ &= \phi^{-1}(\mathbf{R}'X, (m'X) \circ (\alpha \mathbf{R}'X)) = (\mathbf{R}'X, (m'X) \circ (\alpha \mathbf{R}'X) \circ (\phi_S \mathbf{R}'X), (m'X) \\ &\circ (\alpha \mathbf{R}'X) \circ (\phi_T \mathbf{R}'X)) \text{ from which we can obtain} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m' \circ (\phi'_S \mathbf{R}') &= m' \circ ((\alpha \circ \phi_S)_* \mathbf{R}') \iff \phi'_S = \alpha \circ \phi_S \\ m' \circ (\phi'_T \mathbf{R}') &= m' \circ ((\alpha \circ \phi_T)_* \mathbf{R}') \iff \phi'_T = \alpha \circ \phi_T \end{aligned}$$

that is, there is a morphism in the category of multilinear products  $\alpha: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}'$ .

**5.3** Let us see the uniqueness of  $\alpha$ . Let  $\beta: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}'$  be a morphism of triples such that  $\beta \circ \phi_T = \phi'_T$  and  $\beta \circ \phi_S = \phi'_S$ .  $\text{Set}^\beta: \text{Set}^{\mathbf{R}'} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\mathbf{R}}$  is the change of triple functor corresponding to  $\beta$  (note that  $\phi \circ j = \text{Set}^\alpha$ ). Then

$\alpha = \beta \iff \text{Set}^\alpha = \text{Set}^\beta \iff \text{Set}^\alpha(\mathbf{R}'X, m'X) = \text{Set}^\beta(\mathbf{R}'X, m'X)$  for every free  $\mathbf{R}'$ -algebra  $(\mathbf{R}'X, m'X)$  ([12], prop. 2.9, page 210). Also

$$\begin{aligned}\beta \circ \phi_T &= \phi'_T = \alpha \circ \phi_T \iff m' \circ (\beta_* R') \circ (\phi_T R') = m' \circ (\alpha_* R') \circ (\phi_T R') \\ \beta \circ \phi_S &= \phi'_S = \alpha \circ \phi_S \iff m' \circ (\beta_* R') \circ (\phi_S R') = m' \circ (\alpha_* R') \circ (\phi_S R')\end{aligned}$$

But,  $j(R'X, m'X) = (R'X, (m'X) \circ (\alpha R'X) \circ (\phi_S R'X), (m'X) \circ (\alpha R'X) \circ (\phi_T R'X)) = \phi^{-1} \text{Set}^\beta(R'X, m'X)$ , so  $\text{Set}^\alpha(R'X, m'X) = \text{Set}^\beta(R'X, m'X)$ ,

## References

- [1] BARR, M. *Coequalizers and free triples*. Math. Z. 116 (1970), 307-322.
- [2] BECK, J. *Distributive laws*. Lect. Notes in Math. 80. Springer (1969), 119-140.
- [3] BUNGE, M. *On the relationship between composite and tensor product triples*. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 13 (1978), 139-156.
- [4] CARUNCHO CASTRO, J.R. *Teoría de triples*. Alxebra 5. Dpto. Álgebra y Fundamentos. Santiago (1971).
- [5] FREIRE NISTAL, J.L. *Propiedades universales de triples de grado superior*. Tesis. Alxebra 11. Dpto. Algebra y Fundamentos. Santiago (1971).
- [6] FREYD, P. *Algebra-valued functors in general and tensor products in particular*. Colloq. Mathem. XIV (1966), 89-106.
- [7] LOPEZ LOPEZ, M.A. *Algebras de Hopf respecto a un cotriple*. Tesis. Alxebra 17, Dpto. Álgebra y Fundamentos. Santiago (1976).
- [8] LOPEZ LOPEZ, M.A. y VILLANUEVA NOVOA, E. *Producto de triples*. Rev. Mat. Hisp.-Amer. T. 38 (1978), 61-70.
- [9] LOPEZ LOPEZ, M.A. y BARJA PEREZ, J.M. *El triple  $\text{Hom}(M, -)$  en conjuntos*. Alxebra 26. Dpto. Álgebra y Fundamentos. Santiago (1980), 51-61.
- [10] MANES, E. *A triple miscellany*. Thesis. Wesleyan University (1967).
- [11] MANES, E. *A triple theoretic construction of compact algebras*. Lect. Not. in Math. 80. Springer (1969), 91-118.
- [12] MANES, E. *Algebraic Theories*. Springer (1976).

Departamento de Computación  
Universidad de La Coruña  
15071 La Coruña  
SPAIN

Recibido: 18 de mayo de 1992  
Revisado: 12 de febrero de 1993

Departamento de Álgebra  
Universidad de Santiago  
15771 Santiago de Compostela  
SPAIN