

1 **The tracking of morning fatigue status across in-season training weeks in elite soccer**
2 **players**

3 *RT. Thorpe^{1,2}, AJ. Strudwick^{1,2}, M. Buchheit^{4,5}, G. Atkinson³, B. Drust², W. Gregson²*

4 ¹Football Medicine and Science Department, Manchester United Football Club, UK

5 ²Football Exchange, Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores
6 University, UK

7 ³Health and Social Care Institute, Teesside University, UK

8 ⁴Sport Science Department, Myorobie Association, Montvalezan, France

9 ⁵Performance Department, Paris Saint Germain FC

10

11 Corresponding Author:

12 Robin T. Thorpe

13 Manchester United, Aon Training Complex, Birch Road off Isherwood Road, Carrington, Manchester

14 M31 4BH

15 E-mail: robin.thorpe@manutd.co.uk

16 Key Words-Training, Performance, Wellness, Recovery

17

18 *Word count: 2989*

19 *Abstract word count: 250*

20 *Number of figures: 1*

21 *Number of tables: 1*

22

23 **The tracking of morning fatigue status across in-season training weeks in elite soccer**
24 **players**

25 *RT. Thorpe¹, AJ Strudwick¹, M. Buchheit^{3,4}, G. Atkinson², B. Drust¹, W. Gregson¹*

26 ¹ Football Exchange, Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John
27 Moores University, UK

28 ² Health and Social Care Institute, Teesside University, UK

29 ³ Sport Science Department, Myorobie Association, Montvalezan, France

30 ⁴ Performance Department, Paris Saint Germain FC

31 **Abstract**

32 **Purpose** To quantify the mean daily changes in training and match load and any parallel
33 changes in indicators of morning-measured fatigue across in-season training weeks in elite
34 soccer players.

35 **Methods** Following each training session and match, ratings of perceived exertion (s-RPE)
36 were recorded to calculate overall session load (RPE-TL) in 29 English Premier League
37 players from the same team. Morning ratings of fatigue, sleep quality, delayed-onset muscle
38 soreness (DOMS), as well as sub-maximal exercise heart rate (HRex), post-exercise heart rate
39 recovery (HRR%) and variability (HRV) were also recorded pre-match day and one, two and
40 four days post-match. Data were collected for a median duration of 3 weeks (range:1-13) and
41 reduced to a typical weekly cycle including no mid-week match and a weekend match day.
42 Data were analysed using within-subjects linear mixed models.

43 **Results**

44 RPE-TL was approximately 600 AU (95%CI: 546-644) higher on match-day vs the following
45 day (P<0.001). RPE-TL progressively decreased by \approx 60 AU per day over the 3 days prior to
46 a match (P<0.05). Morning-measured fatigue, sleep quality and DOMS tracked the changes
47 in RPE-TL, being 35-40% worse on post-match day vs pre-match day (P<0.001). Perceived
48 fatigue, sleep quality and DOMS improved by 17-26% from post-match day to three days
49 post-match with further smaller (7-14%) improvements occurring between four days post-
50 match and pre-match day (P<0.01). There were no substantial or statistically significant
51 changes in HRex, HRR% and HRV over the weekly cycle (P>0.05).

52 **Conclusions** Morning-measured ratings of fatigue, sleep quality and DOMS are clearly more
53 sensitive than HR-derived indices to the daily fluctuations in session load experienced by
54 elite soccer players within a standard in-season week.

55

56 **Introduction**

57 It is important to allow sufficient recovery between training sessions and competitions. An
58 imbalance between training/competition load and recovery may, over extended periods of
59 time contribute to potentially long-term debilitating effects associated with overtraining.¹
60 Consequently, attention is increasingly being given to the evaluation of monitoring tools
61 which may indicate the general fatigue status of athletes. These indicators include heart rate
62 derived indices,² salivary hormones, neuromuscular indices³ and perceived wellness ratings.
63⁴⁻⁶

64 A valid marker of fatigue should be sensitive to variability in training load.⁷ Researchers
65 have therefore examined the sensitivity of potential measures of fatigue to daily fluctuations
66 in training load in elite team sport athletes.⁴⁻⁶ For example, in both elite Australian Rules
67 Football⁴ and elite soccer⁶ players, small to large and statistically significant correlations
68 were reported between fluctuations in daily training load and changes in both perceived
69 ratings of wellness and vagal-related heart rate variability indices. These findings suggest that
70 such measures show particular promise as acute, simple, non-invasive assessments of fatigue
71 status in elite team sport athletes.

72 Further evaluation of the validity of potential fatigue measures can be undertaken by
73 examining their sensitivity to prescribed changes in training load over extended periods of
74 time. Whilst these relationships have been examined in individual endurance based sports,^{8,9}
75 limited attention has been given to elite team sport athletes,⁵ who are required to compete
76 weekly and often bi-weekly across the competition period. In these athletes, a key component
77 of the in-season and within-week training prescription resides around the need to periodise
78 the training load in order to minimise player fatigue ahead of the weekly matches.¹⁰ Gatin
79 and colleagues (2013)⁵ recently reported that subjective ratings of physical and
80 psychological wellness (fatigue, muscle strain, hamstring strain, quadriceps strain,
81 pain/stiffness, power, sleep quality, stress and wellbeing) were sensitive to within-week
82 training manipulations (i.e. improved steadily throughout the week to a game day low) in
83 elite Australian Football players. However, to the best of our knowledge, no researcher has
84 examined the sensitivity of simple, non-invasive potential measures of fatigue across in-
85 season training weeks in elite soccer players. Since differences exist in the physiological
86 demands between team sports it is important to determine which potential fatigue variables
87 are sensitive to changes in load associated with specific sports. Therefore, our aim was to
88 quantify any changes in perceived ratings of wellness and objective measures of vagal-related
89 heart rate indices that occur across standard in-season training weeks in elite soccer players.

90

91 **Methods**

92 **Subjects**

93 Twenty-nine soccer players (age 27 ± 5.1 years; height 181 ± 7.1 cm; weight 78 ± 6.1 kg)
94 from the same team competing in the English Premier League participated in this study.

95 **Design**

96 Player training load was assessed on six days; on the pre-match day, match-day and one, two,
97 four and five days after the match across standard training weeks (no mid-week match;
98 median of 3 weeks per player; range = 1-13) during the 2012/2013 in-season competitive
99 period (August to May). Players were required to complete a minimum match duration of 60-

100 min in order for their weekly data to be included in the present study. Players did not train
101 and were given a day off three days after a match. Players took part in normal team training
102 throughout the period as prescribed by the coaching staff. Players performed a range of
103 recovery interventions the day following the match including low-intensity cycling, foam
104 rolling and hydrotherapy. All players were fully familiarised with the assessments in the
105 weeks prior to completion of the main experimental trials.

106 Fatigue measures were assessed on the day prior to the match and one, two and four days
107 following the match. On the day of the fatigue assessments (perceived ratings of wellness,
108 sub-maximal heart rate, heart rate recovery and heart rate variability), players arrived at the
109 training ground laboratory having refrained from caffeine and alcohol intake at least 12-hours
110 prior to each assessment point. Fatigue measures were subsequently taken prior to the players
111 commencing normal training. All trials were conducted at the same time of the day in order
112 to avoid the circadian variation in body temperature.¹¹ Players were not allowed to consume
113 fluid at any time during the fatigue assessments. The study was approved by Liverpool John
114 Moores University Ethics Committee. All players provided written informed consent. Prior to
115 inclusion into the study, players were examined by the club physician and were deemed to be
116 free from illness and injury.

117 Methods

118 *Training Load Assessment* Individual player daily training load was monitored throughout the
119 assessment period. Load (RPE-TL, arbitrary units, AU) was estimated for all players by
120 multiplying total training or match session duration (min) with session ratings of perceived
121 exertion (RPE).¹² Despite several influences, the usefulness of RPE in elite soccer has
122 previously been observed.¹³ Player RPE was collected within 20-30-min following cessation
123 of the training session/match.¹³

124 *Perceived ratings of wellness* A psychometric questionnaire was used to assess general
125 indicators of player wellness.^{6,14} The questionnaire was comprised of three questions relating
126 to perceived overall fatigue, sleep quality and delayed-onset muscle soreness (DOMS)^{6,14}
127 Each question was scored on a seven-point scale [scores of 1-7 with 1 and 7 representing
128 very, very poor (negative state of wellness) and very, very good (positive state of wellness)
129 respectively]. Coefficients of variation for the three indices ranged from 9-13 %.⁶

130 *Sub-maximal exercise heart rate (HR_{ex}), post-exercise heart rate recovery (HRR) and heart
131 rate variability (HRV)* Players completed an indoor submaximal 5-min cycling /5-min
132 recovery test (Keiser, California, USA) prior to commencing every session.^{2,6} All players
133 were tested together at a fixed exercise intensity of 130 watts (85 rpm). The present intensity
134 was selected in order to minimize anaerobic energy contribution and to permit a rapid return
135 of heart rate to baseline for short-term heart rate (HR) variability (HRV) measurements.¹⁵ On
136 completion of exercise, the players remained seated in silence for 5-min.

137 Sub-maximal HR_{ex} was calculated using the average of the final 30-sec of the cycle test.¹⁶
138 HRR expressed as the absolute (HRR), and relative (%HRR) change in HR between the final
139 30-sec (average) of the 5-min cycling test and 60 sec after cessation of exercise were
140 calculated as previously described.^{15,17} The coefficients of variation for HR_{ex}, HRR and
141 %HRR were 3%, 14% and 10% respectively.⁶ HRV was measured during the recovery
142 period and expressed as the natural logarithm of the square root of the mean of the sum of
143 squares of differences between adjacent normal R-R intervals (Ln rMSSD) as previously
144 described¹⁵ using Polar software (Polar Precision Performance SW 5.20, Polar Electro,
145 Kemple, Finland). Ln rMSSD has previously been shown to have greater reliability and

146 validity than spectral indices of HRV over short assessment periods.^{18,19}The coefficient of
147 variation for LnrMSSD was 10 % respectively.⁶

148

149 Statistical Analyses

150 It was assumed that if an indicator of fatigue was not, at the very least, sensitive to
151 differences between the different loads on pre-match day and the post-match day, it cannot be
152 considered useful. Therefore, for the purpose of our sample size estimation, our primary
153 comparison was between the pre-match and post-match days. In a previous study, coefficients
154 of variation of approximately 10% have been reported for the indicators of fatigue we
155 studied.⁶ Using this information of within-subjects variability, we estimated that a sample
156 size of 29 would allow the detection of a difference in fatigue between pre- and post-match
157 days of approximately 9% (two-tailed paired t-test, 90% statistical power, $p < 0.05$).

158 A within-subjects linear mixed model was used to quantify mean differences between days
159 along with the respective 95% confidence intervals. It is difficult to ascertain the exact
160 relative influence of each study outcome on the actual performance of a soccer team, e.g. the
161 standard effect size of a particular outcome may be high in response to training or an
162 intervention, but the relative influence of this outcome on actual soccer performance may be
163 low,²⁰ Nevertheless, standardised effect sizes, estimated from the ratio of the mean
164 difference to the pooled standard deviation, were also calculated for each study outcome and
165 interpreted in the discussion section. Effect size (ES) values of 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8 were
166 considered to represent small, moderate and large differences, respectively²¹. When the
167 model residuals were skewed or heteroscedastic, data were log-transformed and re-analysed.
168 We adopted the least significant difference approach to multiple comparisons in line with the
169 advice in^{22,23}

170 Results

171 *Training load:* The RPE-TL was greatest on match-day (≈ 600 AU). The peak-trough
172 difference in RPE-TL was approximately 550 AU (95% CI 546-644 AU) between match-day
173 and the following day ($p < 0.001$). The RPE-TL progressively decreased by ≈ 60 AU per day
174 over the 3 days prior to a match ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 1).

175 *Perceived ratings of wellness:* All the wellness outcomes showed a 35-40% worsening on the
176 post-match day vs the pre-match day. The 95% CIs for these changes were 1.2-1.6 AU, 1.0-
177 1.5 AU and 1.1-1.5 AU for perceived fatigue, sleep quality and DOMS, respectively
178 ($p < 0.001$). Wellness outcomes then improved by 17-26% between post-match day and two
179 days post-match. The 95% CIs for these changes were 0.7-1.1 AU, 0.7-1.2 AU and 0.4-0.9
180 AU for perceived fatigue, sleep quality, and DOMS. Wellness ratings then remained
181 relatively stable between the second and fourth day post-match. Further smaller (7-14%)
182 improvements occurred between the fourth day post-match and pre-match day ($p < 0.01$). The
183 95% CIs for these changes were 0.2-0.6 AU, 0.1-0.6 AU and 0.4-0.7 AU for perceived
184 fatigue, sleep quality and DOMS (Table 1).

185 *Heart rate indices:* There were no substantial or statistically significant changes in HRex,
186 HRR% and HRV over all the weekdays ($p > 0.05$) (Table 1).

187

188 Discussion

189 The aim of the present study was to quantify the mean daily changes in training load and
190 parallel changes in potential fatigue measures across in-season training weeks in elite soccer
191 players. The main finding was that perceived ratings of wellness but not HR-derived indices
192 are sensitive to the fluctuations in training load experienced by elite soccer players across in-
193 season training weeks which involve only one match per week (no mid-week match).

194 Elite soccer players are required to compete on a weekly and often bi-weekly (mid-week
195 game) basis with additional training administered in-between matches. Training load
196 prescribed by coaches should therefore serve to ensure that fatigue is reduced on the days
197 when players are engaged in competition. In the present study, only training weeks
198 containing no mid-week game were used in order to examine changes in fatigue across a
199 'standard' training week. A clear attempt to periodise training load across the week was
200 currently observed with the lowest load prescribed the day following a match with large (ES
201 >1.3) and statistically significant increases in training load prescribed two and four days
202 following the match. During the two subsequent days (fifth day post-match and pre-match
203 day) there was a moderate (ES=0.7) and statistically significant reduction in training load in
204 the lead into the next game (Figure 1). So far, little information currently exists with regards
205 to the patterns of training load undertaken by elite soccer players.^{10,24} Interestingly, the
206 pattern of training load exhibited in the present study differs to that seen in recent
207 observations in Premier League players where only a reduction in daily training load was
208 observed one day prior to a match compared to the other training days.¹⁰ However, Malone
209 and colleagues (2014) analysed all training weeks throughout the in-season competition
210 period including those containing a mid-week game. The combination of this and
211 dissimilarities in coaching philosophy and training methodology likely explain the difference
212 in training load periodization to the current study. Further research is warranted to explore the
213 patterns of training load experienced by elite players across different phases of the season.

214 Perceived ratings of wellness represent an increasingly popular method to assess athlete
215 fatigue. Recent work in both elite soccer⁶ and Australian Rules Football⁴ players
216 demonstrated that such ratings are sensitive to daily fluctuations in training load. Further
217 information concerning the validity of potential markers of fatigue can be derived by
218 examining their sensitivity to prescribed changes in training load over extended periods of
219 time. Whilst these relationships have been examined in individual endurance based sports^{8,9}
220 limited attempt to date has been made to determine the sensitivity of tools for monitoring
221 fatigue over extended periods of time in elite team sport players.⁵ In the current study, the
222 between-day changes in perceived wellness across the weekly training cycle closely reflected
223 the prescribed distribution of training load. Moderate-to-large (ES 0.5-2.4) statistically
224 significant changes (35-40 %) in perceived ratings of fatigue, sleep quality and DOMS were
225 observed across the training week with the greatest and least amount of perceived fatigue,
226 sleep quality and DOMS reported on the day following and the day prior to a match
227 respectively (Table 1). These observations are consistent with findings in Australian Football
228 where perceived ratings of fatigue, muscle strain, hamstring strain, quadriceps strain,
229 pain/stiffness, power, sleep quality, stress and wellbeing improved by ~30% throughout the
230 week.⁵ Interestingly, previous work in elite soccer examining the sensitivity of perceived
231 ratings of DOMS and sleep quality to acute daily fluctuations in training load failed to
232 observe any association.⁶ However, any effect of training and match load on DOMS and
233 sleep quality, in particular the effects of match-play may materialise over a number of days
234 rather than immediately following the session.²⁵ Collectively, previous observations
235 examining daily sensitivity in elite soccer⁶ and Australian Rules players^{4,5} combined with
236 the present findings suggest that attempts to fully examine the sensitivity of potential markers

237 of fatigue to changes in training and match load should be undertaken over both acute (daily)
238 and extended periods of time.

239 The increased perception of fatigue, poor sleep and DOMS currently observed following
240 match play is consistent with changes in biochemical status and reduced physical and
241 neuromuscular performance observed in the hours and days following soccer competition.
242 ^{24,26-29} In contrast to many of the latter assessments, perceived wellness scales represent a
243 valid, time efficient and non-invasive means through which to derive information pertaining
244 to a players fatigue status. Such characteristics are important during the in-season competitive
245 phase, particularly during periods when players are required to compete in two or three
246 matches over a 7-day period where time constraints may restrict the use of more invasive
247 tests, and maximal performance tests may further debilitate the physical status of players
248 and/or increase the risk of injury. ³⁰ In the present study, perceived ratings of fatigue and
249 DOMS remained similar over the second and fourth day post-match despite a rest day three
250 days after a match. This plateau may be due to the magnitude of the training load assigned
251 two days post-match (224 ± 166 AU) which provided sufficient stimulus to blunt a linear
252 improvement in player fatigue/recovery four days post-match and/or the fact that players
253 were relatively well recovered two days post-match (fatigue 4.6 AU; sleep quality 4.8 AU;
254 DOMS 4.3 AU). Interestingly, the progressive reduction in training load during the three days
255 leading into a match was accompanied by further moderate-to-large (ES 0.6-0.9) statistical
256 significant improvements in perceived wellness the day prior to a match (fatigue 5.0 AU;
257 sleep quality 5.1 AU; DOMS 5.2 AU) which suggests the players were still not fully
258 recovered four days post-match (Table 1). The time required to fully recovery following
259 match play has been shown to vary markedly (24-72hr) depending on the nature of the
260 physiological parameter assessed. ²⁵ Furthermore, the rate of recovery is likely to be
261 influenced by a myriad of factors including the inherent variability in match demands ³¹ and
262 the athletes level of fitness ³². Alongside the changes in perceived ratings of fatigue and
263 DOMS, moderate-to-large (ES 0.6-1.4) statistical significant changes in ratings of sleep
264 quality were also observed across the week with the highest and lowest levels of sleep quality
265 observed during the evening of the fifth day post-match and the evening immediately
266 following a match respectively. These changes indicate the severe debilitating effects of the
267 match on perceived ratings of sleep quality. Indeed DOMS, inflammation, nervous system
268 activity and central excitation have all been reported as potential mechanisms of poor sleep
269 following competition. ³³ Interestingly, data from elite endurance athletes have frequently
270 shown reductions in sleep quantity the night prior to competition. ³⁴ Perceived ratings of
271 sleep were not measured the night prior to matches in the present study and therefore a
272 reduction may have occurred. Future work is required in order to further understand the
273 effects of training and match load on perceived and objective measures of sleep quality.

274 Heart rate (HR) indices (HRex, HRV and HRR) have recently been proposed as a non-
275 invasive method to measure variations in the autonomic nervous system (ANS) in attempt to
276 understand athlete adaptation/fatigue status. ² The use of vagal-related time domain indices
277 such as Ln rMSSD compared to spectral indices of HRV have been found to have greater
278 reliability and are ideal for assessments undertaken over shorter periods of time. ^{18,19} Recent
279 work in elite soccer players observed small ($r=0.2$), significant correlations between daily
280 fluctuations in training load and Ln rMSSD. ⁶ Similarly, in Australian Rules Football players
281 undergoing pre-season training, very large ($r=0.80$) and moderate ($r=-0.40$) significant
282 correlations were observed between daily training load and sub-maximal heart rate (HRex)
283 and a vagal related index of HRV (LnSD1). ⁴ In the present study, HRV (LnMSSD), HRex
284 and HRR (%) remained unchanged across the training week (Table 1) despite the large
285 statistical significant fluctuations in training load (Figure 1). This suggests that in contrast to

286 perceived ratings of wellness, such indices lack the sensitivity to provide information
287 concerning changes in the fatigue status of elite soccer players across in-season training
288 weeks. It should be noted that the average daily training load in the current study (RPE-TL
289 228) is considerably lower than that reported during an AFL pre-season training camp (RPE-
290 TL 746) where daily readings of HRex and HRV were negatively and positively associated
291 with load respectively. It is therefore possible that the magnitude of the fluctuations in daily
292 training load across the training week in the current study were insufficient to elicit changes
293 in HRex and HRV.⁴ Alternatively, the shorter seven-day period over which observations
294 were made in the current study may not have been sufficient to detect any physiological
295 change. Indeed data derived from elite triathletes and adolescent Handball players suggests
296 the use of a single data point could be misleading for practitioners due to the high day-to-day
297 variation in these indices.^{35,36} In elite triathletes when data were averaged over a week or
298 using a 7-day rolling average significant large correlations were found with 10-km running
299 performance compared to a single assessment point where negligible relationships were seen.
300³⁷ Additionally, changes in monthly HRV measurements were not sensitive to changes in
301 performance indices in young Handball players.³⁶ Future research is needed to establish
302 whether sensitivity of HR indices are seen over longer training periods in elite soccer players.

303

304 **Conclusion**

305 Perceived ratings of wellness are clearly more sensitive than HR-derived indices to the
306 within-week fluctuations in training load experienced by elite soccer players during typical
307 in-season training weeks. Therefore perceived ratings of wellness show particular promise as
308 simple, non-invasive assessments of fatigue status in elite soccer players throughout typical
309 in-season weeks in elite soccer players.

310

311 **Acknowledgements**

312 The authors would like to thank Manchester United Football Club for their cooperation and
313 input to this article

314 **References**

315

- 316 1. Nimmo MA, Ekblom B. Fatigue and illness in athletes. *J. Sports Sci.* 2007;25 Suppl
317 1:S93-102. doi:10.1080/02640410701607379.
- 318
- 319 2. Buchheit M. Monitoring training status with HR measures: Do all roads lead to Rome?
320 *Front. Physiol.* 2014;5 FEB. doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00073.
- 321
- 322 3. Silva JR, Rebelo A, Marques F, et al. Biochemical impact of soccer: an analysis of
323 hormonal, muscle damage, and redox markers during the season. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr.*
324 *Metab.* 2014;39(4):432-8. doi:10.1139/apnm-2013-0180.
- 325

- 326 4. Buchheit M, Racinais S, Bilsborough JC, et al. Monitoring fitness, fatigue and running
327 performance during a pre-season training camp in elite football players. *J. Sci. Med.*
328 *Sport* 2013;16(6):550-555. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2012.12.003.
329
- 330 5. Gastin PB, Meyer D, Robinson D. Perceptions of wellness to monitor adaptive
331 responses to training and competition in elite Australian football. *J. Strength Cond.*
332 *Res.* 2013;27(9):2518-26. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e31827fd600.
333
- 334 6. Thorpe RT, Strudwick AJ, Buchheit M, Atkinson G, Drust B, Gregson W. Monitoring
335 Fatigue During the In-Season Competitive Phase in Elite Soccer Players. *Int. J. Sports*
336 *Physiol. Perform.* 2015. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2015-0004.
337
- 338 7. Meeusen R, Duclos M, Foster C, et al. Prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of the
339 overtraining syndrome: Joint consensus statement of the European College of Sport
340 Science and the American College of Sports Medicine. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.*
341 2013;45(1):186-205. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e318279a10a.
342
- 343 8. Plews DJ, Laursen PB, Kilding AE, Buchheit M. Heart rate variability in elite
344 triathletes, is variation in variability the key to effective training A case comparison.
345 *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2012;112(11):3729-3741. doi:10.1007/s00421-012-2354-4.
346
- 347 9. Manzi V, Castagna C, Padua E, et al. Dose-response relationship of autonomic nervous
348 system responses to individualized training impulse in marathon runners. *Am. J.*
349 *Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2009;296(6):H1733-40.
350 doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00054.2009.
351
- 352 10. Malone JJ, Di Michele R, Morgans R, Burgess D, Morton JP, Drust B. Seasonal
353 Training Load Quantification in Elite English Premier League Soccer Players. *Int. J.*
354 *Sports Physiol. Perform.* 2014. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2014-0352.
355
- 356 11. Reilly T, Brooks GA. Exercise and the circadian variation in body temperature
357 measures. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 1986;7(6):358-62. doi:10.1055/s-2008-1025792.
358
- 359 12. Foster C, Florhaug JA, Franklin J, et al. A new approach to monitoring exercise
360 training. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 2001;15(1):109-15. Available at:
361 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11708692>. Accessed November 27, 2014.
362
- 363 13. Gaudino P, Iaia FM, Strudwick AJ, et al. Factors Influencing Perception of Effort
364 (Session-RPE) During Elite Soccer Training. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 2015.
365 doi:10.1123/ijsp.2014-0518.
366
- 367 14. Hooper SL, Mackinnon LT, Howard A, Gordon RD, Bachmann AW. Markers for
368 monitoring overtraining and recovery. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 1995;27(1):106-12.

- 369 Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7898325>. Accessed November 27,
370 2014.
371
- 372 15. Buchheit M, Millet GP, Parisy A, Pourchez S, Laursen PB, Ahmaidi S. Supramaximal
373 training and postexercise parasympathetic reactivation in adolescents. *Med. Sci. Sports*
374 *Exerc.* 2008;40:362-371. doi:10.1249/mss.0b013e31815aa2ee.
375
- 376 16. Buchheit M, Mendez-Villanueva A, Quod MJ, Poulos N, Bourdon P. Determinants of
377 the variability of heart rate measures during a competitive period in young soccer
378 players. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2010;109(5):869-78. doi:10.1007/s00421-010-1422-x.
379
- 380 17. Lamberts RP, Swart J, Capostagno B, Noakes TD, Lambert MI. Heart rate recovery as
381 a guide to monitor fatigue and predict changes in performance parameters. *Scand. J.*
382 *Med. Sci. Sport.* 2010;20(3):449-457. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.00977.x.
383
- 384 18. Al Haddad H, Laursen PB, Chollet D, Ahmaidi S, Buchheit M. Reliability of resting
385 and postexercise heart rate measures. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 2011;32(8):598-605.
386
- 387 19. Esco MR, Flatt AA. Ultra-short-term heart rate variability indexes at rest and post-
388 exercise in athletes: evaluating the agreement with accepted recommendations. *J.*
389 *Sports Sci. Med.* 2014;13(3):535-41. Available at:
390 [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4126289&tool=pmcentrez](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4126289&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract)
391 [&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4126289&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract). Accessed December 22, 2014.
392
- 393 20. Atkinson G. Does size matter for sports performance researchers? *J. Sports Sci.*
394 2003;21(2):73-4. doi:10.1080/0264041031000071038.
395
- 396 21. Cohen J. A power primer. *Psychol. Bull.* 1992;112(1):155-9. Available at:
397 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19565683>. Accessed February 4, 2015.
398
- 399 22. Perneger T V. What's wrong with Bonferroni adjustments. *BMJ*
400 1998;316(7139):1236-8. Available at:
401 [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1112991&tool=pmcentrez](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1112991&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract)
402 [&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1112991&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract). Accessed May 21, 2015.
403
- 404 23. Bland JM, Altman DG. Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. *BMJ*
405 1995;310(6973):170. Available at:
406 [http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2548561&tool=pmcentrez](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2548561&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract)
407 [&rendertype=abstract](http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2548561&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract). Accessed June 19, 2015.
408
- 409 24. Jeong T-S, Reilly T, Morton J, Bae S-W, Drust B. Quantification of the physiological
410 loading of one week of “pre-season” and one week of “in-season” training in
411 professional soccer players. *J. Sports Sci.* 2011;29(11):1161-6.

- 412 doi:10.1080/02640414.2011.583671.
413
- 414 25. Nédélec M, McCall A, Carling C, Legall F, Berthoin S, Dupont G. Recovery in soccer:
415 part I - post-match fatigue and time course of recovery. *Sports Med.* 2012;42(12):997-
416 1015. doi:10.2165/11635270-000000000-00000.
417
- 418 26. Andersson H, Raastad T, Nilsson J, Paulsen G, Garthe I, Kadi F. Neuromuscular
419 fatigue and recovery in elite female soccer: effects of active recovery. *Med. Sci. Sports
420 Exerc.* 2008;40(2):372-80. doi:10.1249/mss.0b013e31815b8497.
421
- 422 27. Magalhães J, Rebelo A, Oliveira E, Silva JR, Marques F, Ascensão A. Impact of
423 Loughborough Intermittent Shuttle Test versus soccer match on physiological,
424 biochemical and neuromuscular parameters. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2010;108(1):39-48.
425 doi:10.1007/s00421-009-1161-z.
426
- 427 28. Ispirlidis I, Fatouros IG, Jamurtas AZ, et al. Time-course of changes in inflammatory
428 and performance responses following a soccer game. *Clin. J. Sport Med.*
429 2008;18(5):423-31. doi:10.1097/JSM.0b013e3181818e0b.
430
- 431 29. Fatouros IG, Chatzinikolaou A, Douroudos II, et al. Time-course of changes in
432 oxidative stress and antioxidant status responses following a soccer game. *J. Strength
433 Cond. Res.* 2010;24(12):3278-86. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181b60444.
434
- 435 30. Carling C, Gregson W, McCall A, Moreira A, Wong DP, Bradley PS. Match Running
436 Performance During Fixture Congestion in Elite Soccer: Research Issues and Future
437 Directions. *Sport. Med.* 2015. doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0313-z.
438
- 439 31. Gregson W, Drust B, Atkinson G, Salvo VD. Match-to-match variability of high-speed
440 activities in premier league soccer. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 2010;31(4):237-42.
441 doi:10.1055/s-0030-1247546.
442
- 443 32. Johnston RD, Gabbett TJ, Jenkins DG, Hulin BT. Influence of physical qualities on
444 post-match fatigue in rugby league players. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 2015;18(2):209-13.
445 doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2014.01.009.
446
- 447 33. Fullagar HH, Duffield R, Skorski S, Coutts AJ, Julian R, Meyer T. Sleep and Recovery
448 in Team Sport: Current Sleep-related Issues Facing Professional Team-sport Athletes.
449 *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 2015. doi:10.1123/ijsp.2014-0565.
450
- 451 34. Lastella M, Roach GD, Halson SL, Sargent C. Sleep/wake behaviours of elite athletes
452 from individual and team sports. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 2015;15(2):94-100.
453 doi:10.1080/17461391.2014.932016.
454

- 455 35. Plews DJ, Laursen PB, Stanley J, Kilding AE, Buchheit M. Training adaptation and
456 heart rate variability in elite endurance athletes: Opening the door to effective
457 monitoring. *Sport. Med.* 2013;43:773-781. doi:10.1007/s40279-013-0071-8.
458
- 459 36. Buchheit M. Sensitivity of Monthly Heart Rate and Psychometric Measures for
460 Monitoring Physical Performance in Highly Trained Young Handball Players. *Int. J.*
461 *Sports Med.* 2014. doi:10.1055/s-0034-1385882.
462
- 463 37. Plews DJ, Laursen PB, Stanley J, Kilding AE, Buchheit M. Training adaptation and
464 heart rate variability in elite endurance athletes: Opening the door to effective
465 monitoring. *Sport. Med.* 2013;43(9):773-781. doi:10.1007/s40279-013-0071-8.
466
467

468 **Figure Caption**

469 Figure 1. Training load (AU) across in-season training weeks (mean \pm SD)

470 † denotes sig. difference vs match-day. + denotes sig. difference vs pre-match day. * denotes
 471 sig. difference vs two days post-match. # denotes sig. difference vs four days post-match..

472

473 Table 1. Perceived ratings of fatigue, sleep quality and delayed-onset muscle soreness
 474 (DOMS) (AU) and HRex (bpm), HRR (%) and Ln rMSSD (ms) across in-season training
 475 weeks (mean \pm SD).

Fatigue measure	Day			
	Post-match day	2 days post-match	4 days post-match	Pre-match day
Fatigue (AU)	3.4 \pm 0.6 †	4.4 \pm 0.7 † +	4.5 \pm 0.7 † +	5.0 \pm 0.6
Sleep quality (AU)	3.9 \pm 1.2 †	4.8 \pm 0.9 † +	4.7 \pm 1.0 †	5.2 \pm 0.8
DOMS (AU)	3.6 \pm 0.6 †	4.3 \pm 0.7 † +	4.4 \pm 0.7 † +	5.1 \pm 0.8
HRex (bpm)	119 \pm 13	117 \pm 14	119 \pm 15	118 \pm 13
HRR (%)	72.1 \pm 7.7	71.5 \pm 7.5	70.2 \pm 7.7	70.9 \pm 7.1
Ln rMSSD (ms)	3.31 \pm 0.71	3.44 \pm 0.69	3.28 \pm 0.76	3.33 \pm 0.64

476

477 † denotes sig. difference vs pre-match day. + denotes sig difference vs post-match day.
 478 Scores of 1-7 with 1 and 7 representing very, very poor (negative state of wellness) and very,
 479 very good (positive state of wellness respectively)

480