

 Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2020.11.30.404566

## The transcriptional landscape of *Arabidopsis thaliana* pattern-triggered immunity

— [Source link](#) 

Marta Bjornson, Marta Bjornson, Priya Pimprikar, Thorsten Nürnberger ...+2 more authors

**Institutions:** University of East Anglia, University of Zurich, University of Tübingen

**Published on:** 02 Dec 2020 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

**Topics:** Plant Immunity

Related papers:

- [The transcriptional landscape of \*Arabidopsis thaliana\* pattern-triggered immunity](#)
- [Transcriptional events defining plant immune responses.](#)
- [Unique and contrasting effects of light and temperature cues on plant transcriptional programs](#)
- [A meta-analysis reveals the commonalities and differences in \*Arabidopsis thaliana\* response to different viral pathogens.](#)
- [Genome-wide transcriptional plasticity underlies cellular adaptation to novel challenge](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/the-transcriptional-landscape-of-arabidopsis-thaliana-3dhleb2gqi>

1 **The transcriptional landscape of *Arabidopsis thaliana* pattern-triggered**  
2 **immunity**

3 Marta Bjornson<sup>1,2</sup>, Priya Pimprikar<sup>2</sup>, Thorsten Nürnberger<sup>3</sup> & Cyril Zipfel<sup>1,2\*</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup>The Sainsbury Laboratory, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, NR4 7UH,  
5 Norwich, UK.

6 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Zurich-Basel Plant Science Center, University of  
7 Zurich, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland.

8 <sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Biochemistry, Centre for Plant Molecular Biology, Eberhard Karls  
9 University, 72076 Tübingen, Germany.

10  
11 \*Correspondence to: Cyril Zipfel, [cyril.zipfel@botinst.uzh.ch](mailto:cyril.zipfel@botinst.uzh.ch)

12  
13 **Summary**

14 **Plants tailor their metabolism to environmental conditions, in part through recognition of a**  
15 **wide array of self and non-self molecules. In particular, the perception of microbial or plant-**  
16 **derived molecular patterns by cell surface-localized pattern recognition receptors (PRRs)**  
17 **induces pattern-triggered immunity, which includes massive transcriptional**  
18 **reprogramming<sup>1</sup>. While an increasing number of plant PRRs and corresponding ligands are**  
19 **known, whether plants tune their immune outputs to patterns of different biological origins**  
20 **or of different biochemical nature remains mostly unclear. Here, we performed a detailed**  
21 **transcriptomic analysis in an early time-series focused to study rapid signaling**

22 transcriptional outputs induced by well-characterized patterns in the model plant  
23 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. This revealed that the transcriptional response to diverse patterns –  
24 independent of their origin, biochemical nature, or type of PRR – is remarkably congruent.  
25 Moreover, many of the genes most rapidly and commonly up-regulated by patterns are also  
26 induced by abiotic stresses, suggesting that the early transcriptional response to patterns is  
27 part of the plant general stress response (GSR). As such, plant cells' response is in the first  
28 instance mostly to danger. Notably, genetic impairment of the GSR reduces pattern-induced  
29 anti-bacterial immunity, confirming the biological relevance of this initial danger response.  
30 Importantly, the definition of a small subset of 'core immunity response' genes common and  
31 specific to pattern response revealed the function of previously uncharacterized  
32 GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR-LIKE (GLR) calcium-permeable channels in immunity. This  
33 study thus illustrates general and unique properties of early immune transcriptional  
34 reprogramming that uncovered important components of plant immunity.

### 36 Main Text:

37 Plants are challenged by a wide variety of potentially pathogenic organisms; their health relies on  
38 their ability to recognize and respond to this plethora of challenges. This recognition is partly  
39 accomplished through cell surface-localized pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which  
40 recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) or host-derived damage-associated  
41 molecular patterns (DAMPs), leading to pattern-triggered immunity (PTI)<sup>2</sup>. While a wide variety  
42 of PRRs with an equivalent variety of cognate ligands have been identified in various plant  
43 species<sup>3</sup>, it is still unclear to what extent plants discriminate among patterns from different source  
44 organism, of different chemical nature, or that are recognized by different PRR classes. Notably,

45 while a few studies have compared transcriptional responses (as a proxy of a dynamic large  
46 immune cellular output) triggered by two or three patterns together<sup>4-6</sup>, or used meta analyses to  
47 compare responses<sup>7,8</sup>, these studies were limited in scale or utilized different experimental  
48 conditions, which hinders meaningful comparisons.

49  
50 To ascertain the timing and degree of discrimination among pattern-triggered transcriptional  
51 responses, we selected a panel of seven patterns with known PRRs, representing a variety of source  
52 organism, chemical composition, and recognition mechanisms. This included bacterial flg22 (a  
53 22-amino acid epitope derived from bacterial flagellin) recognized by the leucine-rich repeat  
54 receptor kinase (LRR-RK) FLAGELLIN SENSING 2 (FLS2)<sup>9</sup>, elf18 (an 18-amino acid epitope  
55 derived from bacterial elongation factor Tu) recognized by the LRR-RK EF-TU RECEPTOR  
56 (EFR)<sup>8</sup>, Pep1 (a 23-amino acid peptide potentially released as DAMP upon cellular damage)  
57 recognized by the LRR-RKs PEP1-RECEPTOR (PEPR1) and PEPR2<sup>10-12</sup>, nlp20 (a 20-amino acid  
58 peptide derived from bacterial, oomycete, and fungal NECROSIS AND ETHYLENE-INDUCING  
59 PEPTIDE 1 -LIKE PROTEINS) recognized by the LRR-receptor protein RECEPTOR-LIKE  
60 PROTEIN 23 (RLP23)<sup>13</sup>, chitooctaose (CO8, an octamer fragment of fungal cell walls) recognized  
61 by the LysM-RKs LYSM-CONTAINING RECEPTOR KINASE 4 (LYK4) and LYK5<sup>14</sup>, 3-OH-  
62 FA (a bacterial hydroxylated fatty acid) recognized by the S-lectin-RK  
63 LIPOOLIGOSACCHARIDE-SPECIFIC REDUCED ELICITATION (LORE)<sup>15,16</sup>, and  
64 oligogalacturonides (OGs, derived from the plant cell wall) proposed to be recognized by the  
65 epidermal growth factor receptor-like-RK WALL-ASSOCIATED KINASE 1 (WAK1)<sup>17</sup>. Both  
66 Pep1 and OGs are considered DAMPs, while the other patterns are PAMPs. Each pattern was  
67 applied in four replicate experiments to two-week-old *Arabidopsis thaliana* (hereafter

68 Arabidopsis) seedlings grown in liquid culture, at concentrations either previously used in  
69 transcriptomics studies or shown to be saturating for upstream signaling responses<sup>8,15,18–20</sup>. Each  
70 pattern was applied to Col-0 wild-type (WT) or cognate receptor mutant, and seedlings were flash  
71 frozen for RNA extraction at 0, 5, 10, 30, 90, and 180 min post-treatment (Fig. 1a). Note that *wak1*  
72 mutants are not viable<sup>17</sup>, and thus OG treatment was paired with a mock water treatment.

73

74 Transcript abundance was assessed by RNA-seq and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were  
75 identified by comparison with time 0 [ $\log_2(\text{fold change, FC}) > 1$ ,  $p_{\text{adj}} < 0.05$ ], resulting in a total of  
76 10,730 DEGs throughout the experiment (5,718 up-regulated; 5,012 down-regulated), with the  
77 strongest treatment being flg22 (8,451 DEGs; 4,816 up and 3,635 down) and the weakest being 3-  
78 OH-FA (1,633 DEGs; 1,246 up and 387 down; Supplementary Tables 1 & 2; Fig. 1b). One  
79 selection criterion for treatments chosen here was saturation of upstream signaling outputs (*e.g.*  
80 ROS, Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx), but it cannot be ruled out that higher concentrations of ‘weaker’ patterns would  
81 match responses observed here for ‘stronger’ patterns. Treatments in this study were also selected  
82 to match previously published transcriptomics experiments – indeed,  $\log_2(\text{FC})$  expression values  
83 were similar to those published with single patterns<sup>4,7,8</sup>, supporting the experimental and analysis  
84 setups used here (Extended Data Fig. 1a, b). Principal component analysis (PCA) of DEGs  
85 revealed strong responses at 30, 90, and 180 min in WT plants that are absent in receptor mutant  
86 or mock controls (Extended Data Fig. 1c). Any genes behaving similarly in WT and controls were  
87 removed from further analysis. Similar to the PCA, correlation analysis implicated time post  
88 treatment as the main factor determining transcriptome response; WT samples became highly  
89 correlated at later time points (Extended Data Fig. 1d; Pearson correlation at 5 min, 0.08; at 10  
90 min, 0.49; at 30 min, 0.89; at 90 min, 0.80; at 180 min, 0.71).

91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113

We then collected the set of DEGs up- or down-regulated by each pattern at each time point, and subdivided these sets by the number of patterns similarly affecting each gene (Fig. 1b). This revealed a large set of DEGs induced by all tested patterns (n=970; Supplementary Table 3; Fig. 1b, darkest bar segment). Furthermore, with the exception of flg22, no pattern induced or repressed a large number of genes uniquely (Extended Data Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 4). To ascertain whether there exist sets induced specifically by pattern subclasses (*e.g.* by PRR type, pattern origin, etc.), we identified DEGs induced or repressed by all possible combinations of patterns (Fig. S3), and determined the extent to which the relative sizes of these sets departed from that of a random assortment of genes among patterns (deviation)<sup>21</sup>. To avoid potential effects of accelerated or delayed induction, we collected all DEGs induced by a pattern in this experiment into one representative set. As expected, this confirmed that the largest two sets were DEGs induced uniquely by flg22 (n=1,041) or commonly by all tested patterns (Fig. 1c; Extended Data Fig. 3). Both of these sets were larger than would be expected by chance (deviation 0.16 for each). The next two largest sets comprised DEGs induced by at least five of the tested patterns – indeed the treatment of CO8 and 3-OH-FA in this experiment were relatively weaker than other patterns (Fig. 1b), suggesting that DEGs in these sets may also be induced by all patterns under specific conditions. Remarkably, none of the pattern subsets we identified *a priori* induced unique sets of DEGs much larger or smaller than would be expected by chance (Extended Data Fig. 3). Taken together, these results suggest that gene induction within the first three hours mostly constitutes a general pattern-triggered response (against ‘non-self’ or ‘damaged-self’), rather than being pattern- or pattern-subclass-specific.

114 To explore the set of ~1,000 DEGs up-regulated commonly by all treatments, we first  
115 hierarchically clustered these genes according to their  $\log_2(\text{FC})$  values for each pattern/time  
116 combination (Fig. 1d). This revealed four clusters with characteristic expression patterns,  
117 described here as ‘Very rapid’, ‘Rapid transient’, ‘Rapid stable’, and ‘Late’ (Fig. 1e). Interestingly,  
118 though all tested patterns induced all DEGs and the overall expression patterns were similar, some  
119 differences in timing of gene induction could be observed. Among the ‘Very rapid’ and ‘Rapid’  
120 sets OGs, flg22, elf18 and Pep1 induced gene expression already at 5 min, only detectable in  
121 response to nlp20, 3-OH-FA and CO8 after 10 min. This partially correlated with the total number  
122 of DEGs up-regulated (Fig. 1b), suggesting a potential relationship between amplitude and rapidity  
123 of transcriptional response, similar to that observed in some earlier steps of PTI signaling<sup>22,23</sup>. Of  
124 note, differences in diffusion cannot be excluded as contributing to this observation.

125  
126 A similar analysis of down-regulated DEGs revealed no similar congruence in pattern response –  
127 indeed, most sets had similar sizes to those expected by chance (deviation -0.03 – 0.11). There are  
128 approximately 100 DEGs down-regulated by all tested patterns (Supplementary Table 5).  
129 Although this set was not significantly larger or smaller than expected by chance, we nevertheless  
130 clustered these genes to identify characteristic expression patterns, finding differences in kinetics  
131 similar to up-regulated genes (Extended Data Fig. 4). Taken together, these results show that  
132 expression patterns in response to pattern perception are dominated by a small number of pattern-  
133 specific responses, and a large set of commonly-induced genes.

134  
135 In order to investigate transcriptional regulators controlling this response, we expanded this  
136 analysis from the genes up-regulated by all patterns to the entire dataset. As timing was the

137 dominant effect in pattern-induced transcriptome reprogramming (Fig. 1; Extended Data Fig. 1),  
138 we grouped the up-regulated DEGs by the time at which they first became induced, regardless of  
139 the inducing pattern, as previously done in response to other stimuli<sup>24</sup>. GO term enrichment of  
140 these five gene sets supports progressive waves of transcriptional response (Fig. 2a). A *cis*-element  
141 enrichment analysis revealed enrichment of binding sites for a large number of WRKY  
142 transcription factors (TFs) in the promoters of DEGs first induced at 10-30 min post-elicitation  
143 (Fig. 2b). This is in line with the established roles of many WRKY TFs in PTI<sup>25</sup>. In contrast, among  
144 genes first induced at 5 or 10 min post-elicitation, there is enrichment in the binding sites for  
145 CALMODULIN-BINDING TRANSCRIPTIONAL ACTIVATORS (CAMTAs; Fig. 2b). TFs of  
146 the CAMTA family bind the core element vCGCGb, and are the major transcriptional regulators  
147 of the plant general stress response (GSR) – a rapid and transient induction of a core set of genes  
148 in response to a wide variety of stimuli<sup>26–28</sup>. Given the congruence of pattern-induced gene sets,  
149 and the presence of CAMTA binding sites in promoters of rapidly up-regulated DEGs, we sought  
150 to ascertain the degree to which pattern-induced genes are also affected by varied abiotic stresses.  
151 To do this, we utilized the published AtGenExpress dataset of Arabidopsis seedling response to  
152 cold, drought, genotoxic stress, heat, osmotic stress, salt, UVB irradiation, or wounding<sup>29</sup>. We then  
153 classified each of the DEGs up-regulated in this study according to (i) the time at which it is first  
154 induced, (ii) the number of patterns that induce it throughout the experiment, and (iii) the number  
155 of abiotic stresses tested in the AtGenExpress experiment that induce it within 3 h. Plotting each  
156 DEG according to these criteria, with the color of the point determined by the maximum  $\log_2(\text{FC})$   
157 observed in this study, revealed that rapidly induced genes tend to be strongly induced by all tested  
158 patterns, and induced by most tested abiotic stresses (Fig. 2c). This analysis extended the  
159 observation of a common set of genes induced by all tested patterns to the conclusion that the rapid



160 transcriptional response to pattern perception is dominated by the GSR. As such, our analysis of  
161 transcriptional responses indicated that plant cells mostly respond to ‘stress’.

162  
163 A similar analysis of down-regulated DEGs revealed mostly later responses than for up-regulated  
164 DEGs, with notably no down-regulated DEGs identified at 5 min ( $p < 0.05$ ). Comparison with gene  
165 repression under abiotic stress treatment did not reveal a trend like the GSR; though, interestingly,  
166 the most strongly affected genes do tend to be down-regulated commonly by most or all tested  
167 patterns (Extended Data Fig. 5). Finally, while relatively few GO terms or TF binding sites were  
168 enriched in down-regulated genes found, many enriched GO terms were associated with growth  
169 hormones and response to light, consistent with previous reports that pattern treatment impedes  
170 photosynthesis<sup>30,31</sup>.

171  
172 We next sought to test whether the GSR is required for PTI. CAMTA3 is the primary member of  
173 the CAMTA family in inducing the GSR<sup>27</sup>. The genetic analysis of a role of CAMTA3 in PTI is  
174 however confounded by the autoimmune phenotype of *camta3* loss-of-function mutants, due at  
175 least in part to activation of the two nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat receptor proteins  
176 (NLRs) DOMINANT SUPPRESSOR OF CAMTA3 1 (DSC1) and DSC2<sup>32</sup>. We thus utilized the  
177 *camta3/dsc1/dsc2* triple mutant; while WT plants were able to mount an effective flg22-induced  
178 resistance to the bacterium *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 (Pto), this effect was almost  
179 completely lost in the GSR-deficient *camta3/dsc1/dsc2* ( $p = 0.0007$ , Fig. 2d), consistent with  
180 similar results obtained with the dominant-negative *camta3D* allele<sup>33</sup>. Interestingly, basal  
181 susceptibility to Pto was also significantly reduced in *camta3/dsc1/dsc2* compared to WT

182 (p=0.0008, Supplementary Note 1), in contrast to *camta3D* but in line with studies showing a  
183 negative role for CAMTA3 in salicylic acid-mediated immunity regardless of DSC1/2<sup>34-36</sup>.

184

185 Beyond highlighting the importance of the GSR in PTI, our comparison with AtGenExpress  
186 (extended to selected abiotic stress RNA-seq studies)<sup>37-39</sup> further identified DEGs up-regulated  
187 commonly by all tested patterns, but not by abiotic stresses. Notably, among these 39 ‘core  
188 immunity response’ (*CIR*) genes (Supplementary Table 6), the most strongly up-regulated gene  
189 encodes GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR 2.9 (*GLR2.9*), and *GLR2.7* is also among the *CIR* set.  
190 *GLR2.7* and *2.9* are closely related and are present in a tandem repeat on the genome with  
191 *GLR2.8*<sup>40</sup>, which is similarly induced by all tested patterns (Supplementary Table 3). GLRs are  
192 Ca<sup>2+</sup>-permeable channels of which Arabidopsis GLR3 clade members, for example, are key for  
193 wound-responsive signaling<sup>41-43</sup>. In contrast, GLR2 clade members – to which *GLR2.7*, *2.8* and  
194 *2.9* belong – are poorly characterized. Notably, previous pharmacological studies showed that  
195 GLRs contribute to pattern-induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx in Arabidopsis<sup>44</sup>, but the identity of relevant GLRs  
196 is still unknown. Given the high sequence similarity between *GLR2.7*, *2.8* and *2.9*, as well as their  
197 chromosomal clustering, we generated a *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* triple mutant using CRISPR-Cas9 in both  
198 Col-0 WT and a genetically encoded YELLOW CHAMELEON 3.6 (YC3.6) indicator line. In both  
199 backgrounds, this resulted in a large deletion in the *GLR2.7-2.9* genomic region (Extended Data  
200 Fig. 6). Interestingly, the increase of [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]<sub>cyt</sub> triggered by flg22, elf18 and Pep1 was  
201 approximately 25 % reduced in *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* relative to the YC3.6 parental line in 12 day-old  
202 seedlings and leaf discs taken from 5-6 week old plants (Fig. 3a; Extended Data Fig.7a, b). In line  
203 with this reduced immune output, *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* plants (in both WT Col-0 and YC3.6  
204 backgrounds) were more susceptible to *Pto* infection by infiltration, to a similar degree as the

205 immune-deficient *bak1-5* mutant (Fig. 3c) <sup>45</sup>. Notably, consistent with the specific regulation of  
206 *GLR2.7* and *2.9* by pattern perception, but not by abiotic stresses, *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* plants were not  
207 impaired in salt or ice water-induced  $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$  increase (Fig. 3b; Extended Data Fig. 7c).  
208 Altogether, these results implicate the *GLR2.7/2.8/2.9* clade of GLRs in PTI.

209  
210 We recently reported that  $Ca^{2+}$ -permeable channels from another family, *OSCA1.3* and *1.7*,  
211 contribute to pattern-induced stomatal immunity<sup>46</sup>. In contrast, *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* was not  
212 compromised in pattern-induced stomatal closure (Extended Data Fig. 7d), nor was this mutant  
213 more susceptible to Pto WT or a coronatine-deficient mutant upon surface-inoculation by spraying  
214 (Extended Data Fig. 7e,f). *GLR2.7/2.8/2.9* are not strongly expressed prior to elicitation, and unlike  
215 *OSCA1.3* and *CNGC2/4* – calcium-permeable channels previously shown to play roles in PTI –  
216 they do not show strong preference for/against stomatal expression (Extended Data Fig. 8). Also,  
217 the previously reported role of *CNGC2/4* is only apparent under high external  $[Ca^{2+}]$   
218 conditions<sup>47,48</sup>, indicating that additional calcium-permeable channels must be involved in PTI  
219 during normal conditions. These findings substantiate the emerging concept that multiple channels  
220 belonging to distinct Arabidopsis families (*e.g.* CNGCs, OSCAs, GLRs) contribute to the overall  
221 pattern-induced calcium response observed at the whole plant level.

222  
223 The *CIR* gene set includes several other genes associated with immunity (Supplementary Table  
224 6)<sup>49–52</sup>. We have here shown the utility of this transcriptomic dataset in identifying signaling and  
225 regulatory components of general stress and immune responses in Arabidopsis. The future  
226 characterization of other *CIR* genes with yet uncharacterized functions or unknown roles in

227 immunity may thus reveal additional PTI players, and for understanding of how the plant  
228 transitions from the rapid general stress response to later immunity-specific responses.

229

230

231 **Materials and Methods**

232 Arabidopsis growth conditions

233 Arabidopsis growth conditions followed standard protocols<sup>46</sup>. For *in vitro* culture Arabidopsis  
234 seeds were surface-sterilized, stratified 3-5 days at 4 °C, then plated on full-strength MS medium,  
235 1 % sucrose 0.8 % agar. Plates were placed at 22 °C, 16 h/8 h light/dark. After four days,  
236 germinated seedlings were transferred to liquid culture. For RNA-seq, seedlings were placed, two-  
237 per-well, in 24-well plates with 1 mL of MS media lacking agar, and plates were sealed with porous  
238 tape. For seedling Ca<sup>2+</sup> measurements, seedlings were transferred, 30-50 per plate, to sterile 9 cm  
239 petri dishes containing ca. 25 mL MS media lacking agar, and plates were sealed with porous tape.  
240 For soil growth Arabidopsis seeds were lightly surface-sterilized, stratified 3-5 days, and planted  
241 on soil. Plants were grown for four-to-six weeks at 20 °C, 60 % humidity, 10 h/14 h light/dark  
242 before assays were performed.

243 Lines used in this project include Col-0 used as WT control, *fls2c* (SAIL\_691\_C04)<sup>53</sup>, *efr-1*  
244 (SALK\_044334)<sup>8</sup>, *pepr1-1/2-1* (SALK\_059281 / SALK\_036564)<sup>11</sup>, *rlp23-1* (SALK\_034225)<sup>13</sup>,  
245 *lyk4/5* (WiscDsLox297300\_01C / SALK\_131911c, seeds obtained from Gary Stacey)<sup>14</sup>, *sd1-29*  
246 (*lore*, SAIL\_857\_E06, seeds obtained from Stefanie Ranf)<sup>16</sup>, *bak1-5* (BAK1<sup>C408Y</sup>)<sup>45</sup>,  
247 *camta3/dsc1/dsc2* (SALK\_001152/SAIL\_49\_C05/FLAG014A11, seeds obtained from Morten  
248 Petersen) (*NB*: while the FLAG collection was generated in the Ws-2 background, containing a  
249 mutated *FLS2*, the *camta3/dsc1/dsc2* line contains a Col-0-version, functional *FLS2* gene)<sup>32</sup>, and  
250 YC3.6 (obtained from Myriam Charpentier). The *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* lines generated in this study are  
251 described in Extended Data Fig. 6.

252

253 RNA-seq treatment

254 Each plate contained an equal number of wells of Col-0 wild type and PRR mutant control, with  
255 the exception of a single plate for combined OG/mock treatment. After nine days growth in liquid  
256 MS medium, sealing tape was removed from plates, media removed from wells, and replaced with  
257 0.6 mL liquid MS per well. The following day, when seedlings were 14 days post-stratification,  
258 400  $\mu$ L of 2.5x pattern solution was added to each well. Two wells, for a total of four seedlings,  
259 were harvested for each genotype/treatment/time combination. Final pattern concentrations were  
260 1  $\mu$ M flg22<sup>18,53</sup> (Scilight-Peptide), 1  $\mu$ M elf18<sup>8</sup> (Scilight-Peptide), 1  $\mu$ M Pep1<sup>54</sup> (Scilight-Peptide),  
261 1  $\mu$ M nlp20<sup>13</sup> (provided by Thorsten Nürnberger), 100  $\mu$ g/mL OGs DP10/15<sup>4,55</sup> (elicityl GAT114),  
262 1  $\mu$ M CO8<sup>19</sup> (IsoSep 57/12-001), and 1  $\mu$ M 3-OH-FA<sup>15</sup> (provided by Stefanie Ranf).

263

#### 264 Tissue harvest, library preparation, and sequencing

265 Samples were collected and libraries prepared using a combination of published high-throughput  
266 protocols<sup>56-59</sup>. Briefly, two wells per genotype/treatment/time combination were pooled at each of  
267 0, 5, 10, 30, 90, or 180 min following treatment. Seedlings were blotted dry and flash-frozen in  
268 liquid nitrogen. Tissue was pulverized while frozen via two one-minute pulses in a BioRad  
269 TissueLyser, and divided in half for library preparation. Divided powder was further disrupted for  
270 one minute, prior to addition of extraction buffer, and disrupted in buffer for a further two one-  
271 minute pulses. Samples were spun down and lysate collected and incubated with biotin-oligo-dT  
272 and streptavidin magnetic beads. The full set of RNA washes and elution was performed twice,  
273 with DNase I treatment in-between, to minimize rRNA and gDNA contamination. cDNA  
274 synthesis was performed as described, with the exception that only 2  $\mu$ L of DNA Pol I was used.  
275 Serapure-cleaned dscDNA was quantified via SYBR-green based plate assay and normalized to 2  
276 ng/ $\mu$ L for tagmentation<sup>60,61</sup>. Tagmentation was performed in 5  $\mu$ L reactions containing 0.2  $\mu$ L Tn-

277 5 transposase, and the entire reaction used as template for PCR<sup>58</sup>. PCR was performed using in-  
278 house primers to add 5' and 3' tags and the NEBnext 2x polymerase mix, amplifying for 10 cycles.  
279 Libraries were again Serapure cleaned, SYBR quantified, and normalized to 0.5  $\mu$ M for pooling  
280 and sequencing. Pooled libraries were run on 2-3 flowcells of a NextSeq500, and pooling adjusted  
281 after each run to maximize overall read density per sample.

282

### 283 Read mapping and differential expression analysis

284 Read data was analyzed using FastQC, trimmed using trimmomatic<sup>62</sup>, and mapped to the  
285 Arabidopsis TAIR10 genome via TopHat2<sup>63,64</sup>. Mapped reads were assigned to genes, and  
286 differential expression analysis performed using DESeq2<sup>65</sup>. Prior to differential expression  
287 analysis, a total of 17/336 libraries were removed from later analysis, primarily for poor  
288 sequencing leading to few mapped reads. For each sample, differential expression was determined  
289 relative to the same genotype-treatment combination at time 0. To account for time and mechanical  
290 stress, for WT samples, genes were removed if also differentially expressed in PRR mutant  
291 controls, with the exception of OG-treated samples, which were filtered based on differential gene  
292 expression in mock-treated WT. For data exploration (*e.g.* PCA, correlation, GO term and *cis*-  
293 element enrichment) a relatively loose cutoff of  $|\log_2(\text{FC})| > 1$ ,  $p_{\text{adj}} < 0.1$  was used to obtain a broad  
294 landscape of DEGs. For analyses in which specific genes of interest would be analyzed (*e.g.* CIR  
295 gene set), a more stringent cutoff of  $|\log_2(\text{FC})| > 1$ ,  $p_{\text{adj}} < 0.05$  was used. Data manipulation was done  
296 in R<sup>66,67</sup>, using functions from the tidyverse<sup>68</sup>.

297

### 298 Exploratory data analysis

299 Principal component analysis was performed using the `prcomp` function in R and sample  
300 correlation was determined via the Pearson method, using the `cor` function in R. Visualization of  
301 genes induced by various combinations of patterns was done via user-modified adaptations of the  
302 `UpSetR` and `SuperExactTest` R packages<sup>69,70</sup>, and deviation was calculated as described<sup>21</sup>.  
303 Expression of the core set of genes up- or down-regulated by pattern treatment was clustered using  
304 the `hclust` function, with extra functionality from the `dendextend` package in R<sup>71</sup>.  
305 Gene induction specific to individual pattern treatments was determined using a modification of  
306 tissue-specific gene expression assignment<sup>72,73</sup>. Briefly, normalized pseudocount data were first  
307 filtered to genes significantly upregulated ( $p < 0.1$ ,  $\log_2(\text{FC}) > 1$ ) in at least one condition. Filtered  
308 pseudocounts were next averaged across all replicates, then summed across all time points for each  
309 pattern. For each gene and each pattern the fraction of total counts for that gene attributed to that  
310 pattern was calculated (specificity measure, SPM). Data were finally filtered to those genes with  
311  $\text{SPM} > 0.33$  for at least one pattern (approximately 1/3 total reads in experiment attributable to one  
312 pattern, determined empirically to find reasonably specific expression).

313

#### 314 GO term and *cis*-element enrichment

315 GO term enrichment was performed using the library `TopGO` in R, using GO terms obtained from  
316 TAIR, searching for enrichment in each gene set relative to the complete set of genes detected in  
317 this experiment, and determining enriched GO terms using the `weight01` method with the Fisher  
318 statistic<sup>74</sup>.

319 *cis*-element enrichment analysis was performed using AME, part of the MEME suite<sup>75</sup>, using a  
320 published library of TF binding sites found via DAPseq<sup>76</sup>.

321



322 Comparison with AtGenExpress abiotic stress microarray data analysis

323 As the AtGenExpress experiment was performed using the ATH1 microarray, we first restricted  
324 induced genes to those present on the array. Normalized abiotic stress microarray data (intensity)  
325 was obtained from <http://jsp.weigelworld.org/AtGenExpress/resources/> in 2017 and analyzed  
326 using limma (*NB*: data are no longer hosted here, but CEL files can be downloaded through  
327 <https://www.arabidopsis.org/portals/expression/microarray/ATGenExpress.jsp>)<sup>29,77</sup>. We did not  
328 consider the oxidative stress treatment for filtering pattern-responsive genes, as most patterns  
329 induce production of reactive oxygen species<sup>1</sup>. To facilitate comparisons with this study's RNA-  
330 seq data, only time points from the first three hours were considered, and comparisons for  
331 differential expression were first made between each treatment and time 0, then between each  
332 treatment and mock at the same time, considering only genes that were differentially expressed  
333 [ $\log_2(\text{FC}) > 1$ ,  $p_{\text{adj}} < 0.05$ ] under both criteria.

334  
335 *CIR* genes were selected according to the following criteria: (i) significantly induced in at least  
336 one time by all seven patterns tested here (ii) not significantly induced at any time point by any of  
337 the selected stresses in the AtGenExpress Dataset (iii) Uniquely targeted by at least one probe in  
338 the ATH1 microarray (iv) not significantly induced in selected abiotic stress experiments (3 hr  
339 proteotoxic stress, 4 h darkness, 4 h flooding, 3 h 50, 150, or 200 mM NaCl) assayed using RNA-  
340 seq<sup>37-39</sup>. This resulted in a set of 40 DEGs. Among these, one highly upregulated gene,  
341 *AT3G32090*, is a suspected pseudogene with strong homology to *WRKY40* in one region. All of  
342 the reads assigned to *AT3G32090* mapped to only this region (Extended Data Figure 9). As  
343 *WRKY40* is both highly expressed and strongly upregulated by pattern treatment, we suspected  
344 these reads were mistakenly assigned to *AT3G32090*, and removed it from the *CIR* set.

345

346 Measurement of intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration in seedlings

347 After six days growth in liquid MS medium, sealing tape was removed from plates and seedlings  
348 rinsed in sterile water and transferred one-per-well to black 96-well plates containing 150  $\mu$ L  
349 sterile water. Seedlings were gently pressed to ensure the majority of the seedling was submerged,  
350 and plates were incubated in the dark under bench conditions overnight. The following day, when  
351 seedlings were 11 days post-stratification, plates were imaged in a Tecan SPARK microplate  
352 reader at two conditions: excitation 440 nM, emission 480 nM (Cyan Fluorescent Protein, CFP)  
353 and excitation 440 nM emission 530 nM (Yellow Fluorescent Protein, YFP). In the ratiometric  
354 YC3.6 reporter, Ca<sup>2+</sup> binding increases fluorescence resonance energy transfer from CFP to YFP;  
355 thus YFP/CFP ratio (R) is proportional to [Ca<sup>2+</sup>]. Initial ratios can vary from well-to-well:  
356 accordingly YC3.6 ratios are normalized to initial ratio (R<sub>0</sub>) and reported as (R-R<sub>0</sub>)/R<sub>0</sub>. Pattern  
357 treatment was performed through addition of 38  $\mu$ L of 5x solution injected after 5 min visualization  
358 by the microplate reader. The focal plane for fluorescence measurements was set to a single point  
359 in the center of each well, and moved up 0.5 mm post-injection to accommodate increased volume  
360 in wells. Despite this adjustment, overall fluorescence intensity and thus ratio was frequently  
361 altered post-injection, as seedlings did not uniformly fill well. Due to this change, and the generally  
362 slow pattern response, we normalized all subsequent fluorescence ratios to the first ratio measured  
363 post-injection (R<sub>0</sub>), as (R-R<sub>0</sub>)/R<sub>0</sub>. Wells were manually rejected if pre-injection fluorescence was  
364 not stable or vastly different than R<sub>0</sub>.

365 Salt (NaCl) treatment was performed similar to pattern treatment, with the following changes: to  
366 accommodate the faster response, injection and imaging was performed on a well-by-well basis  
367 rather than across a subsection of the plate. Due to the faster response, the first measurement post-

368 injection already reflects the beginning of plant response -  $R_0$  was thus defined as pretreatment  
369 fluorescence ratio, though this resulted in more noise in the final data. For cold treatment, the plate  
370 was first pre-imaged for baseline fluorescence, then removed from the plate reader, the overnight  
371 water removed, and 150  $\mu$ L fresh water at 22 or 4  $^{\circ}$ C (ice water bath) added. Plates were  
372 immediately placed back in plate reader and imaged 5 minutes. As with salt response, the speed of  
373 the cold response necessitated defining  $R_0$  as pretreatment fluorescence levels, though this  
374 combined with removal and addition of fresh water resulted in noise in final peak levels.

375 As some silencing was observed both in parent YC3.6 lines and YC3.6 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* lines, only  
376 seedlings with visible fluorescence at four days were transferred to liquid culture, and following  
377 treatment, only seedlings (wells) with pre-treatment fluorescence in both wavelengths greater than  
378 3x that of a non-fluorescent Col-0 control were considered. Total seedlings imaged were as  
379 follows: YC3.6 mock: 56, YC3.6 *flg22*: 54, YC3.6 *elf18*: 52, YC3.6 *Pep1*: 55, YC3.6  
380 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* mock: 48, YC3.6 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9 flg22*: 43, YC3.6 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9 elf18*: 36, YC3.6  
381 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9 Pep1*: 43, YC3.6 mock (NaCl): 56, YC3.6 NaCl: 51, YC3.6 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* mock  
382 (NaCl): 38, YC3.6 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* NaCl: 29, YC3.6 22  $^{\circ}$ C water: 56, YC3.6 4  $^{\circ}$ C water: 54, YC3.6  
383 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* 22  $^{\circ}$ C water: 44, YC3.6 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* 22  $^{\circ}$ C water: 42.

384

#### 385 Measurement of intracellular $Ca^{2+}$ concentration in leaf discs

386 Four leaf discs per plant were collected from five-to-six-week-old soil-grown Arabidopsis plants  
387 and incubated overnight on 100  $\mu$ L sterile ultrapure water in black 96-well plates. As for seedlings,  
388 plates were imaged in a Tecan SPARK microplate reader at two conditions: excitation 440 nM,  
389 emission 480 nM (CFP) and excitation 440 nM emission 530 nM (YFP). *flg22* treatment was  
390 performed through addition of 25  $\mu$ L of 5x solution injected after 5 min visualization by the

391 microplate reader. The focal plane for fluorescence measurements was set to a single point in the  
392 center of each well, and moved up 0.5 mm post-injection to accommodate increased volume in  
393 wells. Wells were manually rejected if pre-injection fluorescence was not stable or vastly different  
394 than R<sub>0</sub>.

395  
396 Reporter expression in the YC3.6 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* line used for seedling imaging is completely  
397 silenced by 5-6 weeks old. Accordingly, a different line with slightly different CRISPR deletion  
398 (Extended Data Fig. 6) was used for soil-grown assays. Reporter expression in this line is generally  
399 only ~1/5 the level of parent YC3.6. Only leaf discs with pre-treatment fluorescence in both  
400 wavelengths greater than 3x that of a non-fluorescent Col-0 control were considered. Leaf discs  
401 passing filter were averaged to get one value for each plant. Total plants imaged were as follows:  
402 YC3.6 flg22: 73, YC3.6 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* flg22: 38.

403

#### 404 Bacterial infection assays

405 For all infection assays, Arabidopsis plants were treated when four- to five-weeks old, and bacteria  
406 grown overnight in Kings B medium liquid culture, refreshed via a 1-2 h subculture in the morning,  
407 spun down and resuspended in 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. For induced resistance<sup>53</sup>, three leaves from each  
408 plant were infiltrated with either 1 μM flg22 or water in the morning. The following morning,  
409 selected leaves were re-infiltrated with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 (Pto)  
410 expressing luciferase<sup>78</sup> at OD<sub>600</sub>=0.0002 or ~1x10<sup>5</sup> colony-forming units (CFU)/mL. Plants were  
411 covered and infection allowed to proceed for two days. For infiltration infection assays, infection  
412 was performed similarly with the following differences: WT Pto was used rather than the  
413 luciferase-expressing strain; trays were incubated uncovered; and there was no mock or pattern

414 pretreatment. For spray infection, Pto was diluted to  $OD_{600}=0.2$  or  $\sim 1 \times 10^8$  CFU/mL in  $MgCl_2$ ,  
415 Silwet L-77 added to 0.04 %, and plants sprayed to surface saturation ( $\sim 4$  mL per plant).  
416 For all infection assays, after approximately 48 h leaf discs were collected (infiltration: two from  
417 each infiltrated leaf; spray: 6 from 6 separate leaves), ground in 10 mM  $MgCl_2$ , and serial dilutions  
418 from  $1 \times 10^{-1}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  plated to count CFU.  
419 Following infection,  $\log_{10}(CFU)$  follow an approximately normal distribution. ANOVA was  
420 performed using the `glm` and `anova` functions in R, and post-hoc tests via `emmeans` package<sup>79</sup>.  
421 Sample numbers are as follows: for induced resistance  $n=12$  plants for all genotype/treatment  
422 combinations. For infiltration infection total plants counted were Col-0: 17, Col-0 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9*:  
423 19, *bak1-5*: 18, YC3.6: 12, YC3.6 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9*: 12. For spray infection  $n=18$  for all  
424 genotype/treatment combinations.

425

#### 426 Stomatal aperture measurements

427 For each experiment, three leaf discs were taken from each of 6 plants per line. The three leaf discs  
428 were floated one-per-well in 100  $\mu$ M stomatal opening buffer (10 mM MES-KOH pH 6.15, 50  
429 mM KCl, 10  $\mu$ M  $CaCl_2$ , 0.01 % Tween-20) in white 96-well plates for 2 h in the growth chamber.  
430 Subsequently, one leaf disc from each plant was treated with 5  $\mu$ M flg22, 10  $\mu$ M ABA, or mock  
431 through addition of stock solution to stomatal opening buffer. Leaf discs were incubated 2-3 h  
432 further, then imaged on a Leica DMR microscope and photographed with the equipped Leica  
433 DFC320 camera. Stomata length and width were annotated in ImageJ. The experiment was  
434 repeated twice. Total number of stomata counted per genotype/treatment combination are as  
435 follows: Col-0 mock: 581; Col-0 flg22: 529; Col-0 ABA: 519; *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* mock: 461;

436 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9 flg22*: 503; *glr2.7/2.8/2.9 ABA*: 426; *bak1-5 mock*: 567; *bak1-5 flg22*: 607; *bak1-5*  
437 *ABA*: 719.

438 Stomatal aperture (width/length) followed an approximately square normal distribution. ANOVA  
439 was performed on square-root transformed ratios using the *glm* and *anova* functions in R, and post-  
440 hoc tests via *emmeans* package<sup>79</sup>.

441

#### 442 Tissue expression patterns of genes encoding calcium channels implicated in PTI

443 Tissue-specific expression datasets containing aerial (rosette) tissue were selected in  
444 Genevestigator, comprising datasets from<sup>80-83</sup>.

445

#### 446 Data availability

447 The RNA-seq datasets generated and analyzed in the current study have been deposited in the  
448 ArrayExpress database at EMBL-EBI ([www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress)) under accession number E-  
449 MTAB-9694. Markdowns documenting the steps in filtering, visualizing, and analyzing the data  
450 in all figures and tables are available in Supplementary Note 1. Source data is available for Figures  
451 2, 3 and Extended Data Figures 5 and 7.

452

- 454 1. Yu, X., Feng, B., He, P. & Shan, L. From Chaos to Harmony: Responses and Signaling  
455 upon Microbial Pattern Recognition. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **55**, 109–137 (2017).
- 456 2. Albert, I., Hua, C., Nürnberger, T., Pruitt, R. N. & Zhang, L. Surface sensor systems in plant  
457 immunity. *Plant Physiol.* **182**, 1582–1596 (2020).
- 458 3. Saijo, Y., Loo, E. P.-I. & Yasuda, S. Pattern recognition receptors and signaling in plant-  
459 microbe interactions. *Plant J.* **93**, 592–613 (2018).
- 460 4. Denoux, C. *et al.* Activation of defense response pathways by OGs and Flg22 elicitors in  
461 *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *Mol. Plant* **1**, 423–445 (2008).
- 462 5. Wan, W.-L. *et al.* Comparing *Arabidopsis* receptor kinase and receptor protein-mediated  
463 immune signaling reveals BIK1-dependent differences. *New Phytol.* **221**, 2080–2095  
464 (2019).
- 465 6. Stringlis, I. A. *et al.* Root transcriptional dynamics induced by beneficial rhizobacteria and  
466 microbial immune elicitors reveal signatures of adaptation to mutualists. *Plant J.* **93**, 166–  
467 180 (2018).
- 468 7. Wan, J. *et al.* A LysM receptor-like kinase plays a critical role in chitin signaling and fungal  
469 resistance in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **20**, 471–481 (2008).
- 470 8. Zipfel, C. *et al.* Perception of the bacterial PAMP EF-Tu by the receptor EFR restricts  
471 *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. *Cell* **125**, 749–760 (2006).
- 472 9. Gómez-Gómez, L. & Boller, T. FLS2: an LRR receptor-like kinase involved in the  
473 perception of the bacterial elicitor flagellin in *Arabidopsis*. *Mol. Cell* **5**, 1003–1011 (2000).
- 474 10. Krol, E. *et al.* Perception of the *Arabidopsis* danger signal peptide 1 involves the pattern  
475 recognition receptor AtPEPR1 and its close homologue AtPEPR2. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**,  
476 13471–13479 (2010).
- 477 11. Yamaguchi, Y., Huffaker, A., Bryan, A. C., Tax, F. E. & Ryan, C. A. PEPR2 is a second  
478 receptor for the Pep1 and Pep2 peptides and contributes to defense responses in *Arabidopsis*.  
479 *Plant Cell* **22**, 508–522 (2010).
- 480 12. Yamaguchi, Y., Pearce, G. & Ryan, C. A. The cell surface leucine-rich repeat receptor for  
481 AtPep1, an endogenous peptide elicitor in *Arabidopsis*, is functional in transgenic tobacco  
482 cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 10104–10109 (2006).
- 483 13. Albert, I. *et al.* An RLP23-SOBIR1-BAK1 complex mediates NLP-triggered immunity. *Nat.*  
484 *Plants* **1**, 15140 (2015).
- 485 14. Cao, Y. *et al.* The kinase LYK5 is a major chitin receptor in *Arabidopsis* and forms a chitin-  
486 induced complex with related kinase CERK1. *Elife* **3**, (2014).
- 487 15. Kutschera, A. *et al.* Bacterial medium-chain 3-hydroxy fatty acid metabolites trigger  
488 immunity in *Arabidopsis* plants. *Science* **364**, 178–181 (2019).
- 489 16. Ranf, S. *et al.* A lectin S-domain receptor kinase mediates lipopolysaccharide sensing in  
490 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nat. Immunol.* **16**, 426–433 (2015).
- 491 17. Brutus, A., Sicilia, F., Macone, A., Cervone, F. & De Lorenzo, G. A domain swap approach  
492 reveals a role of the plant wall-associated kinase 1 (WAK1) as a receptor of  
493 oligogalacturonides. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 9452–9457 (2010).
- 494 18. Navarro, L. *et al.* The transcriptional innate immune response to flg22. Interplay and overlap  
495 with Avr gene-dependent defense responses and bacterial pathogenesis. *Plant Physiol.* **135**,  
496 1113–1128 (2004).

- 497 19. Libault, M., Wan, J., Czechowski, T., Udvardi, M. & Stacey, G. Identification of 118  
498 Arabidopsis transcription factor and 30 ubiquitin-ligase genes responding to chitin, a plant-  
499 defense elicitor. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **20**, 900–911 (2007).
- 500 20. Hu, X. Y., Neill, S. J., Cai, W. M. & Tang, Z. C. Induction of defence gene expression by  
501 oligogalacturonic acid requires increases in both cytosolic calcium and hydrogen peroxide in  
502 Arabidopsis thaliana. *Cell Res.* **14**, 234–240 (2004).
- 503 21. Lex, A., Gehlenborg, N., Strobel, H., Vuilleumot, R. & Pfister, H. Upset: visualization of  
504 intersecting sets. *IEEE Trans Vis Comput Graph* **20**, 1983–1992 (2014).
- 505 22. Jeworutzki, E. *et al.* Early signaling through the Arabidopsis pattern recognition receptors  
506 FLS2 and EFR involves Ca-associated opening of plasma membrane anion channels. *Plant*  
507 *J.* **62**, 367–378 (2010).
- 508 23. Bjornson, M., Dandekar, A. & Dehesh, K. Determinants of timing and amplitude in the  
509 plant general stress response. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **58**, 119–126 (2016).
- 510 24. Varala, K. *et al.* Temporal transcriptional logic of dynamic regulatory networks underlying  
511 nitrogen signaling and use in plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 6494–6499 (2018).
- 512 25. Birkenbihl, R. P. *et al.* Principles and characteristics of the Arabidopsis WRKY regulatory  
513 network during early MAMP-triggered immunity. *Plant J.* **96**, 487–502 (2018).
- 514 26. Doherty, C. J., Van Buskirk, H. A., Myers, S. J. & Thomashow, M. F. Roles for Arabidopsis  
515 CAMTA transcription factors in cold-regulated gene expression and freezing tolerance.  
516 *Plant Cell* **21**, 972–984 (2009).
- 517 27. Benn, G. *et al.* A key general stress response motif is regulated non-uniformly by CAMTA  
518 transcription factors. *Plant J.* **80**, 82–92 (2014).
- 519 28. Walley, J. W. *et al.* Mechanical stress induces biotic and abiotic stress responses via a novel  
520 cis-element. *PLoS Genet.* **3**, 1800–1812 (2007).
- 521 29. Kilian, J. *et al.* The AtGenExpress global stress expression data set: protocols, evaluation  
522 and model data analysis of UV-B light, drought and cold stress responses. *Plant J.* **50**, 347–  
523 363 (2007).
- 524 30. Bilgin, D. D. *et al.* Biotic stress globally downregulates photosynthesis genes. *Plant Cell*  
525 *Environ.* **33**, 1597–1613 (2010).
- 526 31. Göhre, V., Jones, A. M. E., Sklenář, J., Robatzek, S. & Weber, A. P. M. Molecular crosstalk  
527 between PAMP-triggered immunity and photosynthesis. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **25**,  
528 1083–1092 (2012).
- 529 32. Lolle, S. *et al.* Matching NLR Immune Receptors to Autoimmunity in camta3 Mutants  
530 Using Antimorphic NLR Alleles. *Cell Host Microbe* **21**, 518–529.e4 (2017).
- 531 33. Jacob, F. *et al.* A dominant-interfering camta3 mutation compromises primary  
532 transcriptional outputs mediated by both cell surface and intracellular immune receptors in  
533 Arabidopsis thaliana. *New Phytol.* **217**, 1667–1680 (2018).
- 534 34. Yuan, P., Du, L. & Poovaiah, B. W. Ca<sup>2+</sup>/Calmodulin-Dependent AtSR1/CAMTA3 Plays  
535 Critical Roles in Balancing Plant Growth and Immunity. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **19**, (2018).
- 536 35. Du, L. *et al.* Ca<sup>(2+)</sup>/calmodulin regulates salicylic-acid-mediated plant immunity. *Nature*  
537 **457**, 1154–1158 (2009).
- 538 36. Jiang, X., Hoehenwarter, W., Scheel, D. & Lee, J. Phosphorylation of the CAMTA3  
539 transcription factor triggers its destabilization and nuclear export. *Plant Physiol.* (2020).  
540 doi:10.1104/pp.20.00795



- 541 37. Gladman, N. P., Marshall, R. S., Lee, K.-H. & Vierstra, R. D. The proteasome stress regulon  
542 is controlled by a pair of NAC transcription factors in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **28**, 1279–1296  
543 (2016).
- 544 38. van Veen, H. *et al.* Transcriptomes of Eight Arabidopsis thaliana Accessions Reveal Core  
545 Conserved, Genotype- and Organ-Specific Responses to Flooding Stress. *Plant Physiol.*  
546 **172**, 668–689 (2016).
- 547 39. Ding, F. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of alternative splicing of pre-mRNA under salt stress  
548 in Arabidopsis. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 431 (2014).
- 549 40. Chiu, J. C. *et al.* Phylogenetic and expression analysis of the glutamate-receptor-like gene  
550 family in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **19**, 1066–1082 (2002).
- 551 41. Toyota, M. *et al.* Glutamate triggers long-distance, calcium-based plant defense signaling.  
552 *Science* **361**, 1112–1115 (2018).
- 553 42. Shao, Q., Gao, Q., Lhamo, D., Zhang, H. & Luan, S. Two glutamate- and pH-regulated  
554 Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels are required for systemic wound signaling in Arabidopsis. *Sci. Signal.* **13**,  
555 (2020).
- 556 43. Mousavi, S. A. R., Chauvin, A., Pascaud, F., Kellenberger, S. & Farmer, E. E.  
557 GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR-LIKE genes mediate leaf-to-leaf wound signalling. *Nature*  
558 **500**, 422–426 (2013).
- 559 44. Kwaaitaal, M., Huisman, R., Maintz, J., Reinstädler, A. & Panstruga, R. Ionotropic  
560 glutamate receptor (iGluR)-like channels mediate MAMP-induced calcium influx in  
561 Arabidopsis thaliana. *Biochem. J.* **440**, 355–365 (2011).
- 562 45. Schwessinger, B. *et al.* Phosphorylation-dependent differential regulation of plant growth,  
563 cell death, and innate immunity by the regulatory receptor-like kinase BAK1. *PLoS Genet.*  
564 **7**, e1002046 (2011).
- 565 46. Thor, K. *et al.* The calcium-permeable channel OSCA1.3 regulates plant stomatal immunity.  
566 *Nature* **585**, 569–573 (2020).
- 567 47. Moeder, W., Phan, V. & Yoshioka, K. Ca<sup>2+</sup> to the rescue - Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels and signaling in  
568 plant immunity. *Plant Sci.* **279**, 19–26 (2019).
- 569 48. Tian, W. *et al.* A calmodulin-gated calcium channel links pathogen patterns to plant  
570 immunity. *Nature* **572**, 131–135 (2019).
- 571 49. Lorek, J., Griebel, T., Jones, A. M., Kuhn, H. & Panstruga, R. The role of Arabidopsis  
572 heterotrimeric G-protein subunits in MLO2 function and MAMP-triggered immunity. *Mol.*  
573 *Plant Microbe Interact.* **26**, 991–1003 (2013).
- 574 50. Gruner, K., Zeier, T., Aretz, C. & Zeier, J. A critical role for Arabidopsis MILDEW  
575 RESISTANCE LOCUS O2 in systemic acquired resistance. *Plant J.* **94**, 1064–1082 (2018).
- 576 51. Lu, H., Rate, D. N., Song, J. T. & Greenberg, J. T. ACD6, a novel ankyrin protein, is a  
577 regulator and an effector of salicylic acid signaling in the Arabidopsis defense response.  
578 *Plant Cell* **15**, 2408–2420 (2003).
- 579 52. Liu, J. *et al.* Heterotrimeric G proteins serve as a converging point in plant defense signaling  
580 activated by multiple receptor-like kinases. *Plant Physiol.* **161**, 2146–2158 (2013).
- 581 53. Zipfel, C. *et al.* Bacterial disease resistance in Arabidopsis through flagellin perception.  
582 *Nature* **428**, 764–767 (2004).
- 583 54. Huffaker, A., Pearce, G. & Ryan, C. A. An endogenous peptide signal in Arabidopsis  
584 activates components of the innate immune response. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**,  
585 10098–10103 (2006).

- 586 55. Ridley, B. L., O'Neill, M. A. & Mohnen, D. Pectins: structure, biosynthesis, and  
587 oligogalacturonide-related signaling. *Phytochemistry* **57**, 929–967 (2001).
- 588 56. Kumar, R. *et al.* A High-Throughput Method for Illumina RNA-Seq Library Preparation.  
589 *Front. Plant Sci.* **3**, 202 (2012).
- 590 57. Townsley, B. T., Covington, M. F., Ichihashi, Y., Zumstein, K. & Sinha, N. R. BrAD-seq:  
591 Breath Adapter Directional sequencing: a streamlined, ultra-simple and fast library  
592 preparation protocol for strand specific mRNA library construction. *Front. Plant Sci.* **6**, 366  
593 (2015).
- 594 58. Picelli, S. *et al.* Tn5 transposase and tagmentation procedures for massively scaled  
595 sequencing projects. *Genome Res.* **24**, 2033–2040 (2014).
- 596 59. Bjornson, M., Kajala, K., Zipfel, C. & Ding, P. Low-cost and High-throughput RNA-seq  
597 Library Preparation for Illumina Sequencing from Plant Tissue. *Bio Protoc* **10**, (2020).
- 598 60. Rohland, N. & Reich, D. Cost-effective, high-throughput DNA sequencing libraries for  
599 multiplexed target capture. *Genome Res.* **22**, 939–946 (2012).
- 600 61. Leggate, J., Allain, R., Isaac, L. & Blais, B. W. Microplate fluorescence assay for the  
601 quantification of double stranded DNA using SYBR Green I dye. *Biotechnol. Lett.* **28**,  
602 1587–1594 (2006).
- 603 62. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina  
604 sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).
- 605 63. Kim, D. *et al.* TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions,  
606 deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol.* **14**, R36 (2013).
- 607 64. Babraham Bioinformatics Institute, S. A. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput  
608 sequence data. (2010). at <<http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>>
- 609 65. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and ' ' dispersion  
610 for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).
- 611 66. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, R. C. T. R: *A Language and Environment for*  
612 *Statistical Computing*. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2020).
- 613 67. RStudio, PBC, Rs. T. *RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R*. (RStudio Team,  
614 2020).
- 615 68. Wickham, H. *et al.* Welcome to the tidyverse. *JOSS* **4**, 1686 (2019).
- 616 69. Conway, J. R., Lex, A. & Gehlenborg, N. UpSetR: an R package for the visualization of  
617 intersecting sets and their properties. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 2938–2940 (2017).
- 618 70. Wang, M., Zhao, Y. & Zhang, B. Efficient Test and Visualization of Multi-Set Intersections.  
619 *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 16923 (2015).
- 620 71. Galili, T. dendextend: an R package for visualizing, adjusting and comparing trees of  
621 hierarchical clustering. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 3718–3720 (2015).
- 622 72. Xiao, S.-J., Zhang, C., Zou, Q. & Ji, Z.-L. TiSGeD: a database for tissue-specific genes.  
623 *Bioinformatics* **26**, 1273–1275 (2010).
- 624 73. Julca, I. *et al.* Comparative transcriptomic analysis reveals conserved transcriptional  
625 programs underpinning organogenesis and reproduction in land plants. *BioRxiv* (2020).  
626 doi:10.1101/2020.10.29.361501
- 627 74. Adrian Alexa and Jorg Rahnenfuhrer. *topGO: Enrichment Analysis for Gene Ontology*. (R  
628 package, 2020).
- 629 75. McLeay, R. C. & Bailey, T. L. Motif Enrichment Analysis: a unified framework and an  
630 evaluation on ChIP data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **11**, 165 (2010).

- 631 76. O'Malley, R. C. *et al.* Cistrome and epicistrome features shape the regulatory DNA  
632 landscape. *Cell* **165**, 1280–1292 (2016).
- 633 77. Ritchie, M. E. *et al.* limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and  
634 microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, e47 (2015).
- 635 78. Fan, J., Crooks, C. & Lamb, C. High-throughput quantitative luminescence assay of the  
636 growth in planta of *Pseudomonas syringae* chromosomally tagged with *Photorhabdus*  
637 *luminescens* luxCDABE. *Plant J.* **53**, 393–399 (2008).
- 638 79. Lenth, R. *emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means.* (2020).
- 639 80. Mustroph, A. *et al.* Profiling translomes of discrete cell populations resolves altered  
640 cellular priorities during hypoxia in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 18843–  
641 18848 (2009).
- 642 81. Bates, G. W. *et al.* A comparative study of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* guard-cell transcriptome  
643 and its modulation by sucrose. *PLoS One* **7**, e49641 (2012).
- 644 82. Ribeiro, D. M., Araújo, W. L., Fernie, A. R., Schippers, J. H. M. & Mueller-Roeber, B.  
645 Translatome and metabolome effects triggered by gibberellins during rosette growth in  
646 *Arabidopsis*. *J. Exp. Bot.* **63**, 2769–2786 (2012).
- 647 83. Yang, Y., Costa, A., Leonhardt, N., Siegel, R. S. & Schroeder, J. I. Isolation of a strong  
648 *Arabidopsis* guard cell promoter and its potential as a research tool. *Plant Methods* **4**, 6  
649 (2008).
- 650

651

652 **Acknowledgments:** We thank Pingtao Ding for sharing protocols and material related to Tn-5  
653 tagmentation; Stefanie Ranf for providing the *sd1-29* mutant and 3-OH-FA pattern prior to  
654 publication; Gary Stacey for providing the *lyk4/5* mutant; Carlos J. S. Moreira for assistance  
655 genotyping the *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* CRISPR line; and past and present members of the Zipfel laboratory  
656 for helpful discussions. This research was supported by the Gatsby Charitable Foundation, the  
657 University of Zurich, the European Research Council under the grant agreements no. 309858 and  
658 773153 (grants ‘PHOSPHOinnATE’ and ‘IMMUNO-PEPTALK’ to CZ), and the Swiss National  
659 Science Foundation (grant agreement no. 31003A\_182625 to CZ). MB was partially supported by  
660 the European Union’s Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under Marie Skłodowska-  
661 Curie Actions (grant agreement no.703954).

662 **Author contributions:** CZ, TN, and MB conceived and designed the experiments. CZ and MB  
663 obtained funding. MB and PP performed the experiments and analyzed the data. TN contributed  
664 conceptually to the study and also provided reagents. MB and CZ wrote the manuscript with  
665 feedback from all authors.

666 **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.

#### 667 **Additional information**

668 Supplementary Information is available for this paper.

669 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Cyril Zipfel:  
670 [cyril.zipfel@botinst.uzh.ch](mailto:cyril.zipfel@botinst.uzh.ch).

671 Reprints and permissions information is available at [www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints).

672

673 **Fig. 1 | Rapid pattern-triggered transcriptional responses are largely common, with**  
674 **characteristic kinetics. a**, Arabidopsis seedlings were treated with a panel of patterns, and tissue  
675 harvested for RNA extraction at indicated times. **b**, Genes up- or down-regulated ( $|\log_2(\text{FC})| > 1$   
676 and  $p_{\text{adj}} < 0.05$ ) are shown for each time point within each pattern treatment (total height of bars).  
677 Bars are subdivided by the number of patterns affecting each gene set at that time, with darker  
678 colors representing more patterns co-regulating. **c**, UpSet diagram showing the size of gene sets  
679 induced by each pattern (left, single gene list from all times combined) and the top 15 intersections  
680 (bottom right) by size (top right). Bars for set sizes are colored by deviation from size predicted  
681 by random mixing. **d**, Heat map of expression of the genes commonly induced by all tested  
682 patterns. Genes are hierarchically clustered according to their behavior across all pattern/time  
683 combinations, and cut into four clusters. **e**, Visualization of average  $\log_2(\text{FC})$  patterns of the four  
684 clusters identified in **d**, showing different approximate patterns of expression (time points spaced  
685 evenly to visualize early times). Error bars represent standard error of the mean.

686

687 **Fig. 2 | Pattern-triggered transcriptional responses act in time-resolved waves, with the first**  
688 **wave constituting a general stress response important for immune activation. a**, GO term and  
689 **b** *cis*-element enrichment analysis of induced genes, categorized according the time point at which  
690 they first passed induction threshold, regardless of which pattern caused induction. The top three  
691 GO terms for each time point are indicated. **c**, Distribution of up-regulated genes. Each gene  
692 induced in this study was plotted according to the time it is first induced (panels from top to  
693 bottom), the number of tested patterns which induce it (x axis) and the number of abiotic stresses  
694 in the AtGenExpress dataset which also induce it within the first three hours (y axis). The color of  
695 each dot indicates the maximum  $\log_2(\text{FC})$  observed in this study. **d**, Box-and-beeswarm plots of

696 flg22-induced resistance to Pto infection. Box plots center on the median, with box extending to  
697 the first and third quartile, and whiskers extending to the lesser value of the furthest point or 1.5x  
698 the inter-quartile range. Data were obtained from three independent experiments (point shapes),  
699 n=4 per genotype/treatment combination in each experiment. Data were analyzed in R: Two-way  
700 ANOVA with experiment as a blocking factor, and p value reports the interaction between  
701 treatment and genotype.

702

703 **Fig. 3 | A *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* triple mutant is compromised in pattern-induced Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx and**  
704 **bacterial disease resistance. a, b,** Parent (darker shades) or *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* (lighter shades) YC3.6  
705 reporter lines were assayed for response to a variety of patterns, salt (NaCl) or cold (4 °C)  
706 treatment; peak Ca<sup>2+</sup> signal reported by YC3.6 within 25 min (patterns), 1 min (salt), or 5 min  
707 (cold) is shown. Each point represents peak ratio of YFP to CFP (proportional to Ca<sup>2+</sup>  
708 concentration) for a single seedling, normalized to initial ratio. Different shapes represent 3-4  
709 independent experiments, n=10-20 for each experiment/line/treatment combination. **c,** Parent and  
710 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* mutants in Col-0 and YC3.6 background were assayed for bacterial susceptibility,  
711 alongside the hypersusceptible *bak1-5* mutant. Colony forming units (CFU) were counted two  
712 days post infiltration. Each point represents one infected plant and different shapes represent 3  
713 independent experiments, n=5-7 for each experiment/line/treatment combination. Box plots center  
714 on the median, with box extending to the first and third quartile, and whiskers extending to the  
715 lesser value of the furthest point or 1.5x the inter-quartile range. Statistical tests were performed  
716 in R: ANOVA with experiment as a blocking factor, on square root of peak normalized Ca<sup>2+</sup>  
717 response or log<sub>10</sub>(CFU). Post-hoc tests were performed using the emmeans package in R: In **a** and

718 **b** *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* was compared to parent under each treatment, and in **c** (left), each genotype was  
719 compared to Col-0 (dunnett method) and (right) YC3.6 *glr2.7/2.8/2.9* was compared to YC3.6.

720

