Research Article

Evgenia Ermakova* and Marina Rynkovskaya

The use of shells in the architecture of the concert halls**

https://doi.org/10.1515/cls-2021-0006 Received Sep 15, 2020; accepted Jan 15, 2021

Abstract: This paper deals with the use of the shells in the architecture of concert halls through research on the historical development of the concert building's space. These elements are widely applied in civil engineering and architecture due to the good operational qualities, very rich spectrum of forms, the simplicity of production and its technical efficiency. The article considers two types of shells: conoid and truncated cone to choose the most optimal form for covering the concert hall.

Keywords: shells; historical development; concert halls; technical efficiency; conoid and truncated cone

1 Introduction

The development of the form of concert halls takes quite a long period in the history of architecture. A large study of shape changes and acoustics was conducted in the work of Beranek [1], Long [2], and Baroon [3].

Until the XX century, the concert hall was a simple classical building and the most common forms were shoebox, fan, or hourseshoe.

The process of form evolution is well shown in the article by Jablonska *et al.* [4].

The shoebox form became widespread in the concert hall architecture in the XIX century, when the four halls with good acoustic performance were built, such as Grosser Musikvereinssaal (1870), Neues Gewandhaus (1884), Concertgebouw (1888), and Symphony Hall Boston (1900).

The design of these buildings was very much in the tradition of European XIX century halls, with plaster decoration applied to walls and ceiling [5].

However, in the XX century, with the beginning of the construction of concert halls with the possibility of audio recording or radio broadcasting of events, the audience space is becoming more and more complicated. New wall and ceiling designs are being introduced as the architects of the modern movement sought to move away from the classical rules in composition: the presence of symmetry and axes, the predominance of columns and stucco. New findings in the concert hall design are shown in the article by Marshall [6].

All these changes led to the expansion of the concert space. A growth in cubature in the shoebox, fan, or horseshoe-shaped layouts posed a threat of an overly prolonged reverberation time as well as of an echo [4]. This was the beginning of a completely new solution for a concert space for an audience of more than 1,600 people. The evolution of architectural design is shown in the Figure 1.

The opening of the Berlin Philharmonic in 1963 gave rise to new expressive means of shaping and complex nonlinear forms are increasingly used in the exterior and interior of a spectacular building. The acoustic design of the hall also reflected the significant development in architectural acoustics after the Second World War, especially in the aspects of diffusion and early reflections [7].

With the advent of the Berlin Philharmonic, the beauty of architectural forms began to be combined with acoustics in concert halls.

It should be noted that the XX century is the beginning of experimentation not only with the internal layout of the concert hall but also with its external appearance, which was then formed in the period of organic architecture [8].

Instead of the traditional representative static composition, the architect Hans Sharoun designed a complex modern space organized from oblique lines and completed in the form of a "tent". This interesting roof structure consists of the five cross arm trusses with varying height [9].

Nowadays the construction of concert buildings uses various forms of the past, taking into account the modern

^{*}Corresponding Author: Evgenia Ermakova: Department of Civil Engineering, People's Friendship University of Russia, Moscow, Russia 117198; Email: evgenia.er@mail.ru

Marina Rynkovskaya: Department of Civil Engineering, People's Friendship University of Russia, Moscow, Russia 117198; Email: marine_step@mail.ru

^{**} Paper included in the Special Issue entitled: Shell and Spatial Structures: Between New Developments and Historical Aspects

development of society and scientific and technical achievements. In the age of innovation, a strong and at the same time original architectural image has become necessary to transform a building into a central element of the urban environment. Modern architecture is constantly seeking new ways of expression, leading to complex architectural geometries [10]. And this gave rise to an extensive complex of architectural and structural solutions for such spatial structures as shells. The prospects and relevance of shells are presented in detail in the article of Korotich [11]. As well as an extended complex classification of shells is also presented by this author in the article [12].

The architecture of modern concert halls is dominated by spatial structures of various forms as it is shown in Figure 2.

The detailed presentation of different types of surfaces can be found in the encyclopedia of Krivoshapko and Ivanov [13]. An overview and classification of new surface forms are also presented in the article of Grinko [14].

Among the surfaces that are common in design, a good coating option for a concert hall is the linear surfaces, such as a conoid or a truncated cone. These shells are described in the article of Korotkiy [15]. They are widely used in the architecture of buildings for various purposes: from residential to public buildings. This type of shell structure can be built to what appears to be the ultimate in the lightness of construction, minimum reinforcing, and ease of moving forms [16].

structural element on the edge sections of the roof. Examples of using the cone include the Cathedral of Saint Sebastian (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), the Library of the Delft Technical University (Delft, the Netherlands). St. Sebastian's Cathedral is shaped like a truncated cone with an outer diameter of 106 m and an inner diameter of 96 m. The roof of the Delft University of Technology library is an inclined plane with a 40 m high cone in the center as a symbol of technological progress and engineering, which includes four levels of study rooms connected by a spiral staircase. The examples of using two shells are given in Figure 3.

The authors conduct a detailed study of these two types of shells, demonstrate their strength characteristics, and make a comparative analysis in order to select the most optimal form for the project of the concert hall.

The study is conducted using the interconnection of two programs: ArchiCAD and SCAD to automate the transition from the architectural design of the building to the design model.

The use of specialized software systems in architectural design allows to use existing architectural models of the



Figure 1: The concert halls before the Berlin Philharmonic



Conoid shell



Figure 3: The using of conoid and cone shells in the buildings

object when building design schemes: access to architectural information is organized, and the design scheme is automatically built on its basis [17].

2 Main part

2.1 The description of two shells

The conoid shell belongs to linear surfaces of negative Gaussian curvature and is formed by the movement of a straight line (l) parallel to a fixed plane (σ) and intersecting two

fixed lines (n, m) – the straight axis of the conoid (n) and the guide curve (m) (Figure 4a).

In this paper, we study a conoid with a directrix catenary, which is formed by the movement of a straight line that intersects two fixed lines – the fixed straight line, which is the axis of the conoid and the fixed director catenary itself, which is a curve (Figure 4b, 4c). This type of surface is given by the following equation:

$$z = \frac{x}{l} \left(ach \frac{y}{a} - a - f \right) \tag{1}$$

where: *a* is a radius of the directrix circle; *f* is the distance from the peak of the circle place in the plane x = l till the

Cone shell





Figure 5: The truncated cone shell

plane z = 0 (a rise); l is a distance between planes with directrix hyperbolas; ch is a hyperbolic cosine.

The conoid with a catenary covers a rectangular plan with dimensions equal to:

$$l \times 2aArch\left(1 + \frac{f}{a}\right)$$

where: Arch is an inverse hyperbolic cosine.

A conical surface is a surface formed by the movement of a straight line (generatrix) passing through a given point (vertex of a conical surface) and intersecting a given line (guide) [9]. The canonical equation of the surface:

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 0$$
 (2)

In this paper, we will consider a truncated cone shell (Figure 5a, 5b, 5c).

2.2 The comparative analysis of two shells

Two forms with defined dimensions were created in the ArchiCAD program (Figure 6a).

The length of two sides of the shells is 57 m and the span is 80 m. The area of a conoid is 3168.445 m^2 and of a cone is 3326.63 m^2 .

Then the forms were exported to the SCAD program for further calculation. The design schemes of the two shells consist of plate elements with rigid fastening on three sides (Figure 6b). The outer radius of the conoid and cone has a free bearing as it is shown in the Figure 6b. The shells are made of B25 concrete with a volume weight of 2.5 t/m^3 . The thickness of the shells is taken 0.2 m.

Then a comparison is made for the following parameters:

- 1. Deformation (Z-axis);
- 2. normal stresses (Nx and Ny);
- 3. moments (Mx, My, Mxy).

To perform the calculation, three loads are applied to the shells: own weight (0.5 t/m), coating load (0.11 t/m), and snow load (0.35 t/m). The deformed scheme is shown in Figure 7(a) and Figure 8(a).

Also, the overall stability analysis is conducted for the two shells by a combination of three loads together. The stability coefficient is carried out in the range [0, 500.0], where 500.0 is an estimate of the upper limit of the search interval for the stability coefficient, which is set in the source data. If the stability factor is greater than the specified upper limit, it is not calculated. The coefficients of the stability of these shells were calculated and included in the interval. The coefficient of stability for the cone is 2.148, for the conoid-2.377. Forms of stability loss are presented in the Figures 7(b) and 8(b). The buckling mode z-axis is shown in the Figure 9(a).

Further, the z-axis deformation for two shells is analyzed in detail and it is represented as a graphical diagram showing the maximum and minimum values (Figure 9b).

On the Z-axis, the maximum displacement value in the cone is 52.994 mm, and the minimum displacement value is -108.798 mm, while in the conoid shell, the max

displacement value is 22.157 mm, and the min displacement value is –52.519 mm.

Then the normal stress analysis is performed. The results are shown in Figures 10 and 11.

The value of normal stresses Nx is less for the conoid shell (max is 373.906 t/m², min is -344.572 t/m²), and for the cone, max is 732.491 t/m² and min is -263.996 t/m²), and the value of normal stresses Ny is less for the conoid (max is 347.432 t/m², min is -382.662 t/m²), and for the cone, max is 669.655 t/m² and min is -263.157 t/m²).

The values of the moment Mx for a cone are greater than for a conoid. The max value is 5.411 t m/m, and the min value is -2.636 t m/m. The conoid max value is 3.422 t/m, and min -2.752 t/m. The smallest value of the moment My has a conoid shell: max is 3.583 t m/m, and min is -1.532 t m/m. The My value of the cone is 5.671 t m/m for max, and -2.761 t m/m for min.

Then the values are obtained for Mxy parameter (Figure 12). The Max value of the cone shell is 1.361 tm/m, and the min value is -1.302 tm/m. For the conoid, the max value is 1.031 tm/m, and the min value is -1.016 tm/m.

It should be noted that all applied loads to the shells are symmetrical. Further, special attention is paid to asymmetric loads. The authors consider the asymmetric snow accumulation. Two load values are set. The load is a trapezoid that varies linearly from a given initial value of P=0.35t/m to the final value P=0.175 t/m. The deformed scheme is shown in Figure 13 and Figure 14.

On the Z-axis, the maximum displacement in the conoid is -12.839 mm, and the minimum displacement value is -28.925 mm. In the cone shell the max displacement is 16.352 mm, and the min displacement is -29.738 mm. The results are shown in Figure 15.



Figure 8: The deformation scheme of truncated cone shell



51.047

875.524

Figure 9: The buckling mode Z-axis (a) and deformation (b) of shells

(a)



-33.85 73.85 20183 -29.183 -24.516 24.516 19.848 -19.848 -15.181 -15.181 -10.514 -10.514 -5.846 5.846 -1.179 3,488 3.488 8,156 12.823 17.49 8156 12 823 17.49 22.157 08.798 -98.686 -88.574 -78.462 -98.686 88.574 -68.35 -58.238 -48,126 -78,462 -68.35 -48.126 -38.014 -27.902 -27,902 -17,79 -17,79 -7.678 -7.678 2.434 2.434 12.546 12 546 22.658 32.77 22.658

42.882

32.77 2.882



1.016

4339

Stress (conoid shell)

Figure 10: The normal stresses of the conoid shell

3099

(t*m/m) 1.031

DE GRUYTER

-47.852 -43.185

-38.517



Name		Maximum value			Minimum value				
		Value	Element	Section	Combination	Value	Element	Section	Combination
NX	(t/m2)	732.491	1403	1	1	-263.996	2291	1	1
NY	(t/m2)	669.675	2151	13	1	-263.157	2292	1	1
MX	(t*m/m)	5.411	2843	1	1	-2.636	1672	1	1
MY	(t*m/m)	5.671	1897	1	1	-2.761	1713	1	1
MXY	(t*m/m)	1.361	2097	1	1	-1.302	1906	1	1

Figure 11: The normal stresses of the truncated cone shell



Figure 12: The Mxy parameter of the two shells



Figure 13: The deformation scheme of conoid shell

3 Results and discussion

Considering the obtained values for three parameters, it can be concluded that the shells have quite acceptable values.

The values of the cone deformation are greater than of the conoid shell. The stress values Nx and Ny are less for the conoid. The cone has more Mx values than the conoid, and the conoid shell has the smallest My value. By Mxy values, the cone shell also has the highest value than the cone.

DE GRUYTER



Figure 14: The deformation scheme of truncated cone shell

Deformation of conoid (Z, mm)





Figure 15: The z-axis deformation

In the case of an asymmetric load, the conoid values are also less.

The conducted research allows to conclude that the best covering of a concert hall is a conoid shell, and the new project based on this shape has been developed (Figure 16).

The structure of the building consists of 3 shells: three surfaces in the form of a conoid with different lifting arrows (18 m, 14 m and 11 m, respectively).

All these elements together form a single composition and create unique concert venues for concerts of various genres: the main hall with 1800 seats for large Symphony concerts and the Small hall with 800 seats for chamber music performances. The structure of the concert hall is shown in Figure 17.

It should be noted that for the future research a method for determining the shape such as dynamic simulation of hanging net (MRA) [18] can be used for the further optimization of a shape. Furthermore, the problem of instability [19–21] will be investigated more widely.



Figure 16: The project of the concert hall with the use of the conoid surfaces



Figure 17: The structure of the concert hall

4 Conclusion

It was investigated that the appearance of the concert hall has changed and improved throughout its historical development.

Currently, technologies for designing concert halls include the use of various types of shells. Conoid and truncated cone shells are very widely used in building construction.

The conoid shell was chosen for the appearance of the concert hall, as a result of the study of the stress-strain state of the forms, it was revealed that it experiences less deformation and has lower values of normal stresses and moments than the shell of a truncated cone.

The conoid shell also has a smaller area and requires less material consumption, so its choice, in this case, is most acceptable. Based on the comparison, a concert hall project was created, which took into account all current trends in architectural planning, structural and acoustic solutions.

Funding information: This paper has been supported by the RUDN University Strategic Academic Leadership Program.

Author contributions: All authors have accepted responsibility for the entire content of this manuscript and approved its submission.

Conflict of interest: The authors state no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Beranek LL. Music, acoustics and architecture. New York: John Wiley&Sons; 1962.
- [2] Marshall L. Architectural Acoustics. London, UK: Elsevier Academic Press; 2006.
- [3] Barron M. Auditorium Acoustics and Architectural Design. New York, USA: Spon Press; 1993.
- [4] Jablonska J, Trocka-Leszczynska E, Tarczewski R. Sound and architecture-mutual influence. Energy Procedia. 2015;78:31–6.
- [5] Barron M. The development of concert hall design-A 111 year experience. Proc Inst Acoust. 2006;28(1).
- [6] Marshall H. Innovation in concert hall design. Proc Int Symp Room Acoust. 2019:99–103.
- [7] Blundell Jones P, Kang J. Acoustic form in the Modern Movement, Architectural. Res Q. 2003;7(1):75–85.

- [8] Rogers H. Structural Form in History and the Construction of Complex Forms. Proc Third Int Congr Construct History. Cottbus; 2009.
- [9] Ermakova EV, Rynkovskaya MI. Formation of shell's plasticity in the concert hall. Izvestiya KGASU. 2019;3(49):53–61.
- [10] Bassuet AA. New design tendencies in modern concert hall design. J Build Acoust. 2020;18(3,4):163–165.
- [11] Korotich AV. Perspective trends of the development of shell's architecture. Akademicheskij vestnik URALNIIPROEKT RAASN. 2015;1:38–41.
- [12] Korotich AV. Innovative solutions of architectural shells: alternative to traditional building construction. Akademicheskij vestnik URALNIIPROEKT RAASN, 2020;4:70–75
- [13] Krivoshapko SN, Ivanov VN. Encyclopedia of Analytical surfaces. Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2015. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11773-7.
- [14] Grinko EA. Classification of analytical surfaces as applied to parametrical architecture and machine building. RUDN J Eng Res. 2018;19(4):438–56.
- [15] Korotkiy VA, Usmanova EA. Regular linear surfaces in architecture and construction. J Phys Conf Ser. 2020;1441:1–7.
- [16] Velimirovic LS et al. Modeling conoid surfaces. Facta Universitatis. 2002;4(2):261–6.
- [17] Zelevansky E *et al*. ArchiCAD–Structure CAD [SCAD], CADmaster. 2000;5
- [18] Manuello A. Multi-body rope approach for grid shells: form-finding and imperfection sensitivity. Eng Struct. 2020;221(1):111029.
- [19] Carpinteri A, Bazzucchi F, Manuello A. Nonlinear instability analysis of long-span roofing structures: the case-study of Porta Susa railway-station. Eng Struct. 2016;110:48–58.
- [20] Bazzucchi F, Manuello A, Carpinteri A. Instability load evaluation of shallow imperfection-sensitive structures by form and interaction parameters. Europ. J. of Mech. Solids A. 2017;66:201–11.
- [21] Bazzucchi F, Manuello A, Carpinteri A. Interaction between snapthrough and Eulerian instability in shallow structures. Int J Nonlinear Mech. 2017;88:11–20.