

# THE VIRIAL THEOREM AND ITS APPLICATION TO THE SPECTRAL THEORY OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

BY JOACHIM WEIDMANN

Communicated by Felix Browder, January 4, 1967

**1. Introduction.** Let us consider elliptic differential operators of the form

$$H = -\Delta + q(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

where the potential  $q(x)$  satisfies the following conditions:

(I)  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^m)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ ; i.e.

$$M_q(x) = \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} |q(y)| |x-y|^{4-m-\alpha} dy$$

is uniformly bounded for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

(II) For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , there exists a radial derivative  $q_r(x)$  of  $q(x)$  and

$$\epsilon^{-1} |q((1 + \epsilon)x) - q(x)| \leq q_0(x) \in \mathcal{Q}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^m)$$

holds for  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$  and some  $\beta > 0$ ; in particular we have  $rq_r(x) \leq q_0(x)$ ; hence  $rq_r \in \mathcal{Q}_\beta(\mathbb{R}^m)$ .

Under these conditions we shall prove in §2 a very general form of the Virial Theorem of quantum mechanics. In §§3 and 4 this theorem will be used to deduce some results on the spectrum of  $H$ .

Let  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  be the Hilbert space of functions which are square-summable over  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ; the inner product in this space will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , the norm by  $|\cdot|$ .

From condition (I) one can conclude (e.g. Ikebe-Kato [2]):

(1) The operator  $H$  with domain  $D(H) = H_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  is selfadjoint in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  ( $H_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  is the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$  with respect to the norm  $|u|_2 = \{ \sum_{j,k} |\partial^2 u / (\partial x_j \partial x_k)|^2 + \sum_j |\partial u / \partial x_j|^2 + |u|^2 \}^{1/2}$ ).

(2) For  $u \in D(H)$  and  $q \in \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^m)$  we have  $qu \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ .

(3) For  $u, v \in D(H)$  we have  $\Delta u, \Delta v \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $\langle \Delta u, v \rangle = \langle u, \Delta v \rangle$ .

## 2. The Virial Theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let conditions (I) and (II) be satisfied. If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $H$ ,  $u(x)$  a corresponding eigenfunction, then*

$$\langle (2q + rq_r - 2\lambda)u, u \rangle = 0, \quad 2\langle -\Delta u, u \rangle = \langle rq_r u, u \rangle.$$

REMARK. The second equation is known in quantum mechanics as the *virial theorem*. (No proof seems to be known for this general case.)

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Without any restriction we may assume that  $u(x)$  is real-valued. Since  $u(x)$  is an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u(x) + q(x)u(x) &= \lambda u(x), \\ -\Delta u(ax) + a^2q(ax)u(ax) &= a^2\lambda u(ax). \end{aligned}$$

Every single term is in  $L_2(\mathbf{R}^m)$ . Multiplication of the first equation by  $u(ax)$ , the second equation by  $u(x)$  and integration over  $\mathbf{R}^m$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - a^2)\lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} u(x)u(ax)dx \\ = \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} \{-u(ax)\Delta u(x) + u(x)\Delta u(ax) + (q(x) - a^2q(ax))u(x)u(ax)\}dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u(x)$  and  $u(ax)$  are elements of  $H_2(\mathbf{R}^m) = D(H)$  it follows from (3) that, for  $a > 0$ ,

$$F(a) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} \{(1 - a^2)\lambda + a^2q(ax) - q(x)\}u(x)u(ax)dx = 0.$$

Consequently for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{-1}F(1 + \epsilon) \\ = \epsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} \{- (2\epsilon + \epsilon^2)\lambda + (1 + 2\epsilon + \epsilon^2)q((1 + \epsilon)x) \\ \quad - q(x)\}u(x)u((1 + \epsilon)x)dx \\ = \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} \{- (2 + \epsilon)\lambda + \epsilon^{-1}(q((1 + \epsilon)x) - q(x)) \\ \quad + 2q((1 + \epsilon)x) + \epsilon q((1 + \epsilon)x)\}u(x)u((1 + \epsilon)x)dx = 0; \end{aligned}$$

hence the limit for  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  must also vanish.

If we are able to show that this limit can be taken under the integral sign, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^m} \{-2\lambda + rq_r + 2q\}u^2(x)dx = \langle (2q + rq_r - 2\lambda)u, u \rangle = 0$$

and everything is proved.

For every function  $g(x)$  of  $L_2(\mathbf{R}^m)$  we have  $g(ax) \rightarrow g(x)$  in the sense of  $L_2(\mathbf{R}^m)$  as  $a \rightarrow 1$  (this is easily shown by means of an approximation of  $g(x)$  by functions of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m)$ ). From this and from (2) it follows that  $u((1+\epsilon)x) \rightarrow u(x)$  and  $q((1+\epsilon)x)u((1+\epsilon)x) \rightarrow q(x)u(x)$  in  $L_2(\mathbf{R}^m)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\epsilon^{-1}(q((1+\epsilon)x) - q(x))$  converges to  $rq_r(x)$  for almost every  $x$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and is majorized by  $q_0(x)$  (condition (II)) it follows that  $\epsilon^{-1}(q((1+\epsilon)x) - q(x))u(x) \rightarrow rq_r(x)u(x)$  in  $L_2(\mathbf{R}^m)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Consequently every term under the integral sign converges in  $L(\mathbf{R}^m)$ ; hence we may take the limit under the integral sign. q.e.d.

**3. Application to spectral theory.** By means of the Virial Theorem just proved we can now show under suitable conditions on  $q(x)$ , that there are no eigenvalues of  $H$  in certain regions of the real line; i.e. the spectral resolution of  $H$  is continuous in these regions.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If conditions (I) and (II) are satisfied, and  $q_r(x) \leq 0$  for  $x \in \mathbf{R}^m$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , then  $H$  does not have any eigenvalue.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue with the corresponding eigenfunction  $u \neq 0$ . Since  $rq_r(x) \leq 0$  we obtain from the Virial Theorem

$$2\langle \Delta u, u \rangle = 2\langle (q - \lambda)u, u \rangle \geq \langle (2q - 2\lambda + rq_r)u, u \rangle = 0.$$

This is a contradiction since for  $u \neq 0$  we have  $\langle \Delta u, u \rangle < 0$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let the conditions (I), (II) and  $rq_r(x) \leq -\gamma q(x)$  ( $0 < \gamma < 2$ ,  $x \neq 0$ ) be satisfied. Then  $H$  has no eigenvalue in  $[0, \infty)$ .*

**PROOF.** (For  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$  a different proof was given in [4].) Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue,  $u(x)$  a corresponding eigenfunction; then the Virial Theorem implies  $\langle ((2-\gamma)q - 2\lambda)u, u \rangle \geq 0$ ; hence  $\langle (2-\gamma)\Delta u - \gamma\lambda u, u \rangle \geq 0$ . This is possible only if  $\lambda < 0$ . q.e.d.

Let us now consider the Schrödinger operator of an atom or ion with a nucleus of charge  $Z$  and  $n$  electrons (where the nucleus has infinite mass or is supposed to be fixed); the corresponding Schrödinger operator is of the form  $H$  where

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{Z}{r_j} + \sum_{1 \leq j < k} \frac{1}{r_{jk}}, \quad \text{if } r_j, r_{jk} > 0; \\ &= 0, \quad \text{if at least one of the } r_j \text{ or } r_{jk} \text{ vanishes,} \\ r_j &= \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^2 x_{3j-l}^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \\ r_{jk} &= \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^2 |x_{3j-l} - x_{3k-l}|^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that  $q(x)$  satisfies conditions (I) and (II) and is homogeneous of degree  $-1$ . From Corollary 2 it follows that  $H$  has no eigenvalue in  $[0, \infty)$ .

This same result holds for every  $n$ -particle-operator with Coulomb-interactions, where the motion of the center of mass is separated out; in this case too, the potential is homogeneous of degree  $-1$  (e.g. Weidmann [3]).

**4. Remarks on Yukawa-potentials.** In nuclear physics so-called *Yukawa-potentials* of the form

$$p(r) = \frac{1}{r} \exp(-ar)$$

are used frequently (for  $a=0$  this reduces to Coulomb-potential). If all the potentials in an atom-like system follow such a law, then we have the Schrödinger-operator  $H$  with

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{b_j}{r_j} \exp(-a_j r_j) + \sum_{1 \leq j < k} \frac{b_{jk}}{r_{jk}} \exp(-a_{jk} r_{jk}) && \text{if } r_j, r_{jk} > 0, \\ &= 0 && \text{if one of the } r_j \text{ or } r_{jk} \text{ vanishes.} \end{aligned}$$

An easy calculation yields

$$r q_r(x) \leq -q(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j.$$

If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue,  $u(x)$  a corresponding eigenfunction of  $H$ , then it follows from the Virial Theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle (2q + r q_r - 2\lambda)u, u \rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle \left( q - 2\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \right) u, u \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left( \Delta - \lambda + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \right) u, u \right\rangle \\ &< \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j - \lambda \right) \|u\|^2; \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\lambda < \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j$ .

For  $n=1$  this means in particular that there is no eigenvalue greater than or equal to  $a_1 b_1$ . On the other hand it is known that there is no positive eigenvalue at all (e.g. Ikebe [1], Weidmann [4]). In this case the upper bound for eigenvalues which we found here is certainly

not optimal. For  $n > 1$  it is not known whether there exist positive eigenvalues or not; hence it is not known whether the bound  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j$  is of any importance or not.

## REFERENCES

1. T. Ikebe, *Eigenfunction expansions associated with Schrödinger operators and their application to scattering theory*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 5 (1960), 1–34.
2. T. Ikebe and T. Kato, *Uniqueness of the self-adjoint extensions of singular elliptic differential operators*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 9 (1962), 77–92.
3. J. Weidmann, *On the continuous spectrum of Schrödinger operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 19 (1966), 107–110.
4. ———, *Zur Spektraltheorie von Sturm-Liouville-Operatoren*, Math. Z. (to appear).

UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG, GERMANY