

1-1-2012

The Weathering of Oil After the *Deepwater Horizon* Oil Spill: Insights From the Chemical Composition of the Oil From the Sea Surface, Salt Marshes and Sediments

Zhanfei Liu
The University of Texas at Austin

Jiqing Liu
The University of Texas at Austin

Qingzhi Zhu
Stony Brook University

Wei Wu
Gulf Coast Research Laboratory

Follow this and additional works at: https://aquila.usm.edu/fac_pubs



Part of the [Environmental Indicators and Impact Assessment Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Liu, Z., Liu, J., Zhu, Q., Wu, W. (2012). The Weathering of Oil After the *Deepwater Horizon* Oil Spill: Insights From the Chemical Composition of the Oil From the Sea Surface, Salt Marshes and Sediments. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(3).
Available at: https://aquila.usm.edu/fac_pubs/17979

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by The Aquila Digital Community. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of The Aquila Digital Community. For more information, please contact Joshua.Cromwell@usm.edu.

LETTER • OPEN ACCESS

The weathering of oil after the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill: insights from the chemical composition of the oil from the sea surface, salt marshes and sediments

To cite this article: Zhanfei Liu *et al* 2012 *Environ. Res. Lett.* 7 035302

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- [Floating oil-covered debris from Deepwater Horizon: identification and application](#)
Catherine A Carmichael, J Samuel Arey, William M Graham *et al.*
- [Evolution of the optical properties of seawater influenced by the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico](#)
Zhengzhen Zhou and Laodong Guo
- [Linking ramped pyrolysis isotope data to oil content through PAH analysis](#)
Matthew A Pendergraft, Zeynep Dincer, José L Sericano *et al.*

Recent citations

- [A First Comprehensive Baseline of Hydrocarbon Pollution in Gulf of Mexico Fishes](#)
Erin L. Pulster *et al*
- [Understanding the relative performance of SCAN, SIM, PMRM and MRM methods for quantifying polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in crude oil samples](#)
Yuling Han *et al*
- [A data-driven framework for defining stages of oil weathering](#)
Linda L. Cook *et al*

The weathering of oil after the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill: insights from the chemical composition of the oil from the sea surface, salt marshes and sediments

Zhanfei Liu^{1,4}, Jiqing Liu¹, Qingzhi Zhu² and Wei Wu³

¹ Marine Science Institute, The University of Texas at Austin, Port Aransas, TX 78373, USA

² School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-5000, USA

³ Department of Coastal Sciences, Gulf Coast Research Laboratory, The University of Southern Mississippi, Ocean Springs, MS 39564, USA

E-mail: zhanfei.liu@utexas.edu

Received 4 June 2012

Accepted for publication 23 August 2012

Published 18 September 2012

Online at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302

Abstract

The oil released during the *Deepwater Horizon* (DWH) oil spill may have both short- and long-time impacts on the northern Gulf of Mexico ecosystems. An understanding of how the composition and concentration of the oil are altered by weathering, including chemical, physical and biological processes, is needed to evaluate the oil toxicity and impact on the ecosystem in the northern Gulf of Mexico. This study examined petroleum hydrocarbons in oil mousse collected from the sea surface and salt marshes, and in oil deposited in sediments adjacent to the wellhead after the DWH oil spill. Oil mousses were collected at two stations (OSS and CT, located 130 and 85 km away from the wellhead, respectively) in May 2010, and two sediment samples from stations SG and SC, within 6 km of the wellhead, in May 2011. We also collected oil mousse from salt marshes at Marsh Point (MP), Mississippi, 186 km away from the wellhead in July 2010. In these samples, *n*-alkanes, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), alkylated PAHs, BTEX (collective name of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and *p*-, *m*-, and *o*-xylenes), C₃-benzenes and trace metals were measured to examine how the oil was altered chemically. The chemical analysis indicates that the oil mousses underwent different degrees of weathering with the pattern of OSS < CT < MP. This pattern is consistent with the projected oil mousse movement from the accident site to salt marshes. Also, the contents of trace metals Al, V, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni, Co, Cu, As and Pb in the oil mousse generally increased along the way to the salt marshes, indicating that these trace metals were perhaps aggregated into the oil mousse during the transport. Petroleum hydrocarbon data reveal that the oil deposited in sediments underwent only light to moderate degradation one year after the DWH oil spill, as supported by the presence of short-chained *n*-alkanes (C₁₀–C₁₅), BTEX and C₃-benzenes. The weathering of oil in sediment may result from biological degradation and dissolution, evidenced by the preferential loss of mid-chained




Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 licence](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

⁴ Address for correspondence: Marine Science Institute, The University of Texas at Austin, Port Aransas, TX 78373-5015, USA.

n-alkanes C₁₆–C₂₇, lower ratios of *n*-C₁₇/Pr and *n*-C₁₈/Ph, and preferential loss of PAHs relative to alkylated PAHs.

Keywords: *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill, Northern Gulf of Mexico, *n*-alkane hydrocarbon, trace metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon, alkylated PAHs, sediment, salt marsh

 Online supplementary data available from stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia

1. Introduction

The *Deepwater Horizon* (*DWH*) oil spill occurred approximately 50 miles offshore from Louisiana, and released approximately 4.9 million barrels of oil from the Macondo well at a depth of 1500 m during the period from 20 April to 15 July 2010. Two million gallons of chemical dispersants were applied to the Gulf waters in response to the spill (Kujawinski *et al* 2011, Crone and Tolstoy 2010, Leifer 2010). The *DWH* oil spill exceeded the 1989 *Exxon Valdez* spill in Alaska in volume and was the second most severe marine oil disaster in history, trailing only the Persian Gulf spill during the Gulf War in 1991. The National Incident Command's Flow Rate Technical Group estimated that 25% of the oil was skimmed, burned or captured, 24% was either naturally or chemically dispersed, 25% was evaporated or dissolved, and 26% remained in the water by 4 August 2010 (Operational Science Advisory Team 2010). The oil and chemical dispersant released during the *DWH* spill may have both short- and long-term impacts on the northern Gulf of Mexico ecosystems.

To date, chemical and physical studies of the *DWH* oil spill have focused primarily on the underwater oil plume (Camilli *et al* 2010, Hazen *et al* 2010, Joye *et al* 2011, Kessler *et al* 2011) and dispersant (Kujawinski *et al* 2011), the evaporated atmospheric hydrocarbons (Ryerson *et al* 2011), the composition, distribution and mass flow rate of the hydrocarbon mixture during the initial stage of spill (Ryerson *et al* 2011, 2012), and the surface oil sheen, slick and mousse (Reddy *et al* 2011). The weathering of the oil has not been emphasized, despite its importance in providing insight for predicting and further mitigating the oil contamination after an oil spill. The toxicity of oil washed to salt marshes or deposited in sediments depends on the weathering process, which can significantly change the chemical composition of the oil.

Many literature reports document the weathering of oil in marine environments particularly after several large marine oil spills, such as *Exxon Valdez* in Alaska, *Amoco Cadiz* in Brittany and *Ixtoc I* in the Bay of Campeche in the Gulf of Mexico. The important weathering processes include evaporation, dissolution, biodegradation and photooxidation (e.g., Hunt 1996, Fingas 1999; Plata *et al* 2008). However, there are several unique aspects in the *DWH* oil spill. First, as typical light Louisiana crude oil, the *DWH* oil contained saturated *n*-alkanes, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and their alkylated homologues (alkylated PAHs), with over 50% as low-molecular-weight (LMW) hydrocarbons (methane and C₂–C₁₁) (Ryerson *et al*

2011). The composition of light crude may result in rapid weathering in marine environments, as weathering processes such as evaporation, dissolution and biodegradation favor LMW compounds (Atlas 1995, Leahy and Colwell 1990, Stout and Wang 2007). Second, the long vertical transport of the oil during the 1500 m rise to the sea surface from the bottom site, combined with the application of dispersant, might have allowed preferential dissolution of soluble aromatic hydrocarbons in the deep waters. For example, the deepwater oil plume contained high concentrations of light aromatic hydrocarbons and *n*-alkanes dominated by C₇–C₁₃ *n*-alkanes (Gonzalez *et al* 2006, Diercks *et al* 2010, Hazen *et al* 2010). Third, the natural seeps in the Gulf of Mexico may make this marine system adaptable to oil degradation due to indigenous oil-degrading bacterial strains (Kniemeyer *et al* 2007, Joye *et al* 2010). Furthermore, the high water temperatures and strong solar irradiance at the sea surface in the northern Gulf may enhance oil degradation. For example, sea surface temperature in the northern Gulf of Mexico ranged from 25 to 30 °C during our cruises in May and August 2010. These unique aspects of the *DWH* oil spill highlight the importance of understanding how the oil was weathered after the spill in the northern Gulf of Mexico. The results should provide insights on oil toxicity, the need for mitigation in the impacted coastal areas, and the impact of the oil spill on subtropical or tropical ecosystems.

To evaluate the impact of weathering on the chemical composition of oil, we collected oil mousse from the sea surface and salt marshes, as well as oil deposited on sediments in the northern Gulf of Mexico, in 2010 and 2011. Using Macondo 252 crude oil as the reference, we measured the chemical components of these different oil samples. The components measured included *n*-alkanes, pristane, phytane, PAHs, BTEX and C₃-benzenes. Trace metals in the oil, including Fe, Al, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Cr, V, As and Pb, were also measured to examine changes of metal concentrations during the oil weathering. The abundance of trace metals in crude oil provides information about oil origin and migration (All *et al* 1983). Terrestrial clay minerals and/or the complexes of dissolved metals may be aggregated into oil mousse during the transport from off coast to the coast. Our main objectives in this study were to: (1) examine compositional changes of oil mousse during the inshore transport; (2) evaluate the distribution and composition of oil in the deepwater sediments adjacent to the wellhead one year after the oil spill; and (3) interpret these results in terms of the degradation pattern of the oil in coastal regions after the spill.




Figure 1. Sampling stations in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Oil mousse from the sea surface was collected at station OSS (oil spill site) and CT (control), and from salt marshes at station MP (Marsh Point, MS). One possible movement of the oil mousse on the sea surface was from OSS, CT, and to MP, as shown in the arrows. This movement projection is consistent with weathering degrees derived from chemical compositions of the oil mousse. Sediments were also collected from stations SG (station grab) and SC (station core).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Chromatographic grade solvents for the analyses were purchased from either Fisher Scientific or Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Chemicals for standard calibration including *n*-alkane standards from C₈ to C₄₀, pristine (Pr), phytane (Ph), PAH-3 (collective name of 16 EPA priority PAHs), BTEX and alkylbenzenes with the alkyl groups ranging from C₁ to C₃ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, except for the alkylated PAH standard (SRM 1491a) which was obtained from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Silica gel (100–200 mesh) for purification and fractionation was purchased from Fisher Scientific. The surrogate standards hexadecane-d₃₄, fluorene-d₁₀, benzo(*e*)pyrene-d₁₂ and ethylbenzene-d₁₀ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2. Sample collection

2.2.1. Oil mousse. In May 2010, sea surface oil mounds, as oil emulsified with water, were collected at stations OSS and CT on board R/V *Pelican* (figure 1) using an acid-cleaned bucket. The oil mousse was scooped into acid-cleaned polyethylene bottles, sealed in Ziploc bags and frozen immediately at -20°C until analysis. Stations OSS and CT are located 130 and 85 km away from the accident site, respectively. At station CT, brownish oil mousse was observed in a patchy distribution, while at OSS, the mousse was more extensive with a darker brown color and stronger odor (figure S1 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia).

The brownish oil mousse washing onto salt marshes with tropical systems (Hurricane Alex, TS Bonnie and Tropical

Depression #5) was collected at Marsh Point (MP) in Davis Bayou (30.375°N , 88.790°W), Mississippi, on 21 July 2010, about two weeks after the crude oil first came onshore at this location (figure S1 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia). The marsh plants (*Spartina alterniflora*) with oil mousse were cut *in situ*, preserved in glass jars at 4°C in a cooler and transported to the laboratory immediately. Oil attached heavily to *Spartina alterniflora* stalks was scraped carefully into a glass vial using a Teflon knife and frozen at -20°C until analysis. The MC252 reference oil was requested from BP (through Dr Guo). All of the samples were sealed tightly and stored in a freezer (-20°C).

The mass of total solvent-extractable materials (TSEM) was obtained from an aliquot of the oil mousse. Briefly, about 0.5 g of the oil mousse was weighed and extracted with dichloromethane (DCM), and the extract was evaporated gently with a nitrogen stream. The residue was defined as TSEM (Wang *et al* 2004). The petroleum hydrocarbons reported in the oil mousse samples were normalized to the TSEM values (as mg g^{-1} TSEM). The TSEM values were 652, 683 and 750 mg g^{-1} for OSS, CT and MP mousse, respectively. The water contents of the OSS and CT mousse were quantified gravimetrically. Briefly, about 1 g of oil mousse was dissolved in 20 ml DCM, filtered through a column of anhydrous sodium sulfate, and the DCM was evaporated in the oven at 50°C overnight. The water contents of the oil mousse at stations OSS and CT were 39% and 41%, respectively. The water contents may have been overestimated considering that volatile hydrocarbons can be lost in the oven.

2.2.2. Oil in sediment. In May 2011, sediments were collected at stations SG and SC, 2 and 6 km away from the wellhead, respectively (figure 1). At SG, surface sediment was collected using a Ponar grab sampler. Surface sediment from the grab sampler was removed using a stainless steel spoon and transferred into pre-combusted glass jars and frozen at -20°C until analysis. At SC, intact sediment was collected with a 'HYPOX' corer (Gardner *et al* 2009). The surface layer (0–2 cm), with clear contamination by brown-reddish oil (figure S1 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia), was sectioned and stored in pre-combusted glass jars at -20°C until analysis. To differentiate from the 'oil mousse' on the sea surface, the term 'oil' is used here to describe sediment contamination.

2.3. Sample preparation

2.3.1. Sample preparation for alkane and PAH analysis. For OSS, CT or MP oil mousse, about 1 g of sample was weighed, spiked with deuterated hexadecane-d₃₄, fluorene-d₁₀ and benzo(*e*)pyrene-d₁₂, and extracted five times successively with 20 ml of DCM for 10 min each time using sonication. The extracts were combined and filtered through a chromatographic glass column packed with 12 g anhydrous sodium sulfate. The DCM extracts were concentrated by a Rotovap and exchanged with hexane to a final volume of ~ 10 ml, with 0.1–0.4 ml of the concentrated extracts taken for clean-up and fractionation. The freeze-dried sediments (~ 2 g)

were spiked with deuterated hexadecane-d₃₄, fluorene-d₁₀ and benzo(*e*)pyrene-d₁₂, and extracted with 160 ml of hexane in Soxhlet extractors for 24 h (Short and Harris 1996). The extracts were then filtered through 12 g anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated by Rotovap to a final volume of 0.5 ml for clean-up and fractionation.

The sample clean-up and fractionation procedure followed the protocol of Wang *et al* (2004). Briefly, concentrated extracts were transferred into silica gel chromatographic columns, dry-packed with 3 g of activated silica gel and topped with 3–5 g of anhydrous granular sodium sulfate (~1 cm). The columns were conditioned with 20 ml of hexane, and the concentrated extracts were loaded into the column just prior to exposure of the sodium sulfate layer to air. The saturated hydrocarbons and aromatic hydrocarbons were eluted with 12 ml of hexane and 15 ml of benzene in hexane (50% v/v), respectively. For each sample, the total GC-detectable saturated *n*-alkanes were analyzed with one half of the hexane fraction (F1). The alkylated PAHs and other PAHs were analyzed with one half of the benzene in the hexane fraction (F2). These fractions were concentrated by a Rotovap to a final volume of 200 μ l for GC-FID and GC-MS analyses, respectively.

For MC252 oil, 12 μ l of crude oil was diluted with hexane to 1 ml and passed through a 1 g sodium sulfate column. The final volume of the concentrated solution in hexane was 2.5 ml. The procedures for clean-up and fractionation were the same as for the oil mousse samples.

2.3.2. Sample preparation for BTEX and C₃-benzene analysis. The protocol of Wang *et al* (1995) was applied for analysis of volatile BTEX and alkyl-substituted benzenes in oil, using GC-MS in selected ion monitoring mode (SIM). Briefly, all of the samples including the reference crude oil, oil mousses and sediments (wet without freeze-dried process) were weighed directly and dissolved into *n*-pentane to an approximate concentration of 2 mg ml⁻¹, to avoid possible loss of volatile BTEX and C₃-benzenes (Wang *et al* 1995). Sediment samples and oil mousse samples were spiked respectively with 100 μ l and 500 μ l of ethylbenzene-d₁₀ containing 20 ng μ l⁻¹. Details of the column chromatographic fractionation procedure are the same as described above, except that *n*-pentane and DCM replaced the hexane and benzene (Wang *et al* 1995). The recovery of ethylbenzene-d₁₀ was 70.9 \pm 13.0%.

2.3.3. Sample preparation for trace metal analysis. The oil mousse and the crude oil were prepared for trace metal analysis using acid digestion. All plastic bottles and PTFE beakers for sample preparation were soaked in 4 M HNO₃ solution for 2 d and rinsed with Milli-Q water before use. Each subsample of oil mousse was weighed into a 100 ml PTFE beaker, and 5 ml of concentrated HNO₃ (trace metal grade) and 3 ml of perchloric acid (trace metal grade) were added. The beakers were covered with PTFE watch glass and placed on a hotplate (Thermo) at 120 °C for 2 d to decompose the oil completely. After the digestion solution became clear, the PTFE watch glass was removed and the acid

solution was evaporated gently to near dryness at 100 °C. The residue was dissolved into 10 ml of 10% HNO₃ acid, and the final digestion solution was filtered through a Whatman glass microfiber filter (934-AH) to remove clay or sand particles. The digestion solution was diluted 10 times with 2% HNO₃ solution for trace metal measurement.

2.4. Hydrocarbon and trace metal analysis

Analysis for *n*-alkanes (C₈–C₄₀), Pr and Ph was performed on a GC-FID (Shimadzu GC 2014), with a JW scientific DB5 column (30 m \times 0.25 mm, 0.25 μ m film thickness). The injection volume was 1 μ l with a split ratio of 20. The temperature of the column was ramped from 40 to 280 °C at a rate of 8 °C min⁻¹, and held at 280 °C for 40 min. Quantification was based on the internal standard hexadecane-d₃₄ and external standards of *n*-alkanes. Analysis of triplicate samples from the OSS mousse agreed within \pm 9%.

Sixteen PAHs, 18 alkylated PAH compounds including six alkylated homologues, and BTEX and C₃-benzenes were analyzed with GC-MS (Shimadzu QP2010 plus), with a Restek DB5 column (20 m \times 0.18 mm, 0.18 μ m film thickness). The injection volume was 1 μ l. The temperature of the column was ramped from 40 to 280 °C at a rate of 8 °C min⁻¹ and held at 280 °C for 40 min. The samples were analyzed by GC-MS with a split ratio of 20 in SIM. Table S1 (available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia) lists the selected characteristic ions used for analysis of target PAHs, alkylated PAH homologues, BTEX and C₃-benzenes.

Trace metal analysis was carried on an ICP-MS (Element 2 Finnigan MAT, Thermo) equipped with a PTFE spray chamber as the sample introduction system. Instrument tuning and mass calibration were performed prior to the analysis. The trace metals Mg, Al, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Co, Cu, Zn, As and Pb were measured with a standard calibration method with medium resolution.

2.5. Quality assurance and quality control

Relative response factors for each compound were calculated relative to internal standards. Samples were quantified with deuterated hexadecane-d₃₄, fluorene-d₁₀, benzo(*e*)pyrene-d₁₂ and ethylbenzene-d₁₀. An instrument blank (GC-FID and GC-MS) and standard solutions, which included authentic *n*-alkanes with Pr and Ph, or target PAHs, or BTEX and C₃-benzenes, were analyzed before each sample batch to monitor accuracy and precision. The recoveries of hexadecane-d₃₄, fluorene-d₁₀ and benzo(*e*)pyrene-d₁₂, for alkane and PAH analysis, were 77.1 \pm 11.0%, 91.3 \pm 8.9% and 93.2 \pm 12.1% respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Saturated hydrocarbon in oil mousse and sediments

The GC-FID chromatograms of three oil mousse samples (F1 fraction) showed similar distribution patterns for resolved




Figure 2. Concentrations of *n*-alkanes (C₉–C₃₈), pristane (Pr) and phytane (Ph) in MC252 reference oil, mousse from stations OSS, CT and Marsh, and sediment oil from stations SC and SG. The alkane concentrations in oil mousse were normalized to total solvent-extractable materials (TSEM), and in sediments normalized to dried sediment weight.

peaks and unresolved complex mixture (UCM) (figure S2 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia), suggesting that they originated from the same MC252 oil source. The three oil mousse samples had resolved *n*-alkanes in the range of C₁₄–C₃₈, while the MC252 oil had a typical wide range (from C₉ to C₃₈) of crude oil distribution (figure S2 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia). Note that *n*-alkanes less than C₉ were not resolved in our GC-FID protocol, even though these LMW volatile compounds represented a major fraction of the crude oil (Ryerson *et al* 2011).

The concentrations of individual *n*-alkanes in OSS mousse ranged from 0.0005 to 2.8 mg g⁻¹ TSEM,

with a total 29.3 mg g⁻¹ TSEM; in CT mousse 0.0006–0.58 mg g⁻¹ TSEM, with a total 6.9 mg g⁻¹ TSEM; and in MP mousse 0.001–1.3 mg g⁻¹ TSEM, with a total 14.3 mg g⁻¹ TSEM. The *n*-alkanes C₁₆–C₃₂ represented about 90% of the total alkanes by mass for the three oil mousse samples. In contrast, the total concentration of individual *n*-alkanes in MC252 oil was 68.09 mg ml⁻¹, and the *n*-alkanes C₁₆–C₃₂ constituted 45% of the total alkanes, without considering *n*-alkanes less than C₉. The loss of low molecular weight *n*-alkanes in the oil mousse was apparent relative to the crude oil. Concentrations of *n*-alkanes from C₉ to C₁₅ represented only 0.3%–1.6% of the oil mousse, as compared to 53% in MC252 oil (figure 2). Pristane (Pr)

Table 1. Ratios of hydrocarbons for the oil spill samples and the MC252 crude reference oil. Oil mousse was collected from the sea surface at the stations OSS and CT, and salt marshes at station MP. Oil deposited in sediment was collected at stations SG and SC (see figure 1 for the locations).

	MC252	OSS	CT	MP	SG	SC
<i>n</i> -C ₁₇ /pristane	1.6	2.2	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.3
<i>n</i> -C ₁₈ /phytane	1.6	3.1	2.9	3.6	2.5	2.4
Pristane/phytane	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.8	1.0
CPI ^a	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2
Alkanes/PAHs ^b	70.3	127.6	245.8	1409.3	2581.8	12.6
Alkylated PAHs/PAHs	6.2	40.8	154.2	411.0	1680.4	112.6
Alkanes/alkylated PAHs	11.3	5.1	2.7	3.4	1.5	0.1

^a CPI: carbon preference index. CPI was calculated from all *n*-alkanes (C₉–C₃₈).

^b Ratios among alkanes, PAHs and alkylated PAHs were calculated from their total concentrations.

and phytane (Ph) are common isoprenoids in crude oils. The Pr/Ph ratios were 0.94–1.09 for the oil mousse, similar to that of MC252 oil (0.94) (table 1). The carbon preference index (CPI) represents the ratio of relative abundance of odd-numbered *n*-alkanes to that of even-numbered *n*-alkanes (Ehrhardt and Petrick 1993). As expected, the CPI is 1 for all of the oil mousse samples, which corresponds to the normal criteria for petroleum hydrocarbons (Requejo and Boehm 1985, Kennicutt *et al* 1991).

The individual *n*-alkane levels in SC sediment were low, ranging from 2.35×10^{-5} to 2.94×10^{-4} mg g⁻¹ dry weight, with a total of 3.63×10^{-3} mg g⁻¹. In contrast, concentrations of *n*-alkanes in SG sediment were much higher, ranging from 0.003 to 0.22 mg g⁻¹ per component, yielding a total of 2.56 mg g⁻¹ (figure 2). This pattern was expected, as station SC (6 km) is further away from the wellhead than station SG (2 km). Consistently, a clear UCM hump was observed in the GC chromatogram of the SG sediment, but not in the SC sediment (figure S3 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia). However, both of the chromatograms had resolved *n*-alkanes from C₁₁ to C₃₇. The ratios of *n*-C₁₇/pristine and *n*-C₁₈/phytane were 1.31 and 2.44 for SC sediment, and 1.38 and 2.52 for SG sediment, respectively. The CPIs were also different, with 1.0 and 1.2 for the SG and SC sediments, respectively (table 1).

3.2. PAHs and alkylated PAH homologues in oil mousse and sediments

The total concentration of 16 PAHs was 0.23 mg g⁻¹ TSEM in the OSS mousse, 8 times higher than that in the CT mousse (0.03 mg g⁻¹) (figure 3). The MP mousse had the lowest concentration, only 0.01 mg g⁻¹ TSEM. All these concentrations in oil mousse were much lower than the 1.15 mg g⁻¹ (assuming a density of 0.84 g ml⁻¹ for the crude) in the MC252 crude. Naphthalene was the dominant PAH in the crude oil, accounting for 64% of the total PAHs, followed by phenanthrene and fluorene, while chrysene and other PAHs occurred as minor components. In contrast, the

naphthalene content in oil mousse decreased greatly, ranging from 3% to 9%. Chrysene represented only 2% of the total PAHs in the crude oil, while it became the dominant PAH in oil mousse, accounting for 38% for the OSS, and 53%–56% for the CT and MP. Phenanthrene is a major PAH in the crude oil (17%), and its percentage increased in the OSS mousse (39%), driven mainly by the loss of naphthalene. The percentage of phenanthrene decreased greatly from OSS (39%), to CT (16%), to MP mousse (4%). Other PAHs were minor and had similar distributions in the oil mousse.

The concentrations of alkylated PAH homologous series in the crude oil and oil mousse were higher than their corresponding PAHs (figure 4), in consistency with other studies (Wang *et al* 1994, 2004). For example, the concentrations of 1- and 2-methylnaphthalene in the crude oil were 2–3 times higher than that of naphthalene, as were the phenanthrene and chrysene series. The total concentration of alkylated PAHs in the oil mousse ranged from 2.6 to 9.4 mg g⁻¹ TSEM, with OSS the highest. This concentration level is similar to that of the crude oil (7.2 mg g⁻¹), suggesting that these components are relatively stable. The distribution of alkylated PAHs in the mousse resembled their parent PAH patterns. Alkylated naphthalenes in the oil mousse became minor components relative to other alkylated PAHs, as did naphthalenes relative to the PAHs. Alkylated phenanthrenes were dominant components in the OSS mousse, but their concentrations decreased gradually from CT to MP mousse. Three-methylchrysene became the dominant component of the alkylated PAHs in the three mousses.

Concentrations of total PAHs were 3 times higher in SG sediment (1 μg g⁻¹) than in SC sediment (0.3 μg g⁻¹), consistent with their respective distances to the wellhead. The compositions of the PAHs in the two sediments were similar, becoming enriched with PAHs containing a higher number of rings, such as phenanthrene and chrysene. Consistent with the PAHs, alkylated PAH homologues were much higher in SG sediment (1.7 mg g⁻¹) than in SC sediment (0.03 mg g⁻¹). The concentrations of alkylated PAHs were much higher than their corresponding PAHs in both sediments. For example, concentrations of alkylated phenanthrenes in SG sediment ranged from 0.1 to 0.2 mg g⁻¹, whereas that of phenanthrene was about 0.1 μg g⁻¹. In contrast, concentrations of alkylated phenanthrenes (0.1–0.2 mg ml⁻¹) resembled phenanthrene (0.16 mg ml⁻¹) in the crude oil.

3.3. BTEX and C₃-benzenes in mousse and sediments

BTEX and C₃-benzenes are important parameters in determining the weathering degrees of oil due to their high volatility and solubility (Wang and Fingas 1995). As expected, the concentrations of BTEX and C₃-benzenes in OSS and CT oil mousses were 105 and 75 μg g⁻¹ TSEM for C₃-benzenes, 449 and 359 μg g⁻¹ TSEM for BTEX, respectively, about one order of magnitude lower than those of the crude oil (figure 5 and table 2). The BTEX and C₃-benzenes were not measured in the MP mousse. For the SG and SC sediments, the concentrations of BTEX and C₃-benzenes were 0.6, 1.8 and 0.2, 0.6 μg g⁻¹ wet weight, respectively (figure 5).




Figure 3. Concentrations of PAHs in MC252 reference oil, mouse from stations OSS, CT and MP, and sediment oil from stations SC and SG. The PAHs measured include naphthalene (Naph), acenaphthylene (Acl), acenaphthene (Ace), fluorene (Fluo), phenanthrene (Phen), anthracene (An), fluoranthene (Fl), pyrene (Py), benzo[a]anthracene (BaA), chrysene (Chry), benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF), benzo[k, j] fluoranthene (BkF), benzo[a]pyrene (BaP), indeno[1, 2, 3]pyrene (IP), dibenzo[a, h]anthracene (DA) and benzo[ghi]perylene (BgP).




Figure 4. Concentrations of alkylated PAHs in MC252 reference oil, mousse from stations OSS, CT and MP, and sediment oil from stations SC and SG. These alkylated PAHs include 1-methylnaphthalene (C₁-Naph1), 2-methylnaphthalene (C₁-Naph2), 1,2-dimethylnaphthalene (C₂-Naph1, 2), 1, 6-dimethylnaphthalene (C₂-Naph1, 6), 2, 6-dimethylnaphthalene (C₂-Naph2, 6), 1-methylphenanthrene (C₁-Phen1), 2-methylphenanthrene (C₁-Phen2), 3-methylphenanthrene (C₁-Phen3), 9-methylphenanthrene (C₁-Phen9), 1, 7-dimethylphenanthrene (C₂-Phen1, 7), 1-methylfluoranthene (C₁-F11), 3-methylfluoranthene (C₁-F13), 1-methylpyrene (C₁-Py1), 4-methylpyrene (C₁-Py4), 3-methylchrysene (C₁-Chry3), 6-methylchrysene (C₁-Chry6) and 2-methylantracene (C₁-Anth2).

Table 2. Concentrations of BTEX and C3-benzenes in MC252 crude oil ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$), oil mousse from stations OSS and CT ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM), and sediment oil ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, wet weight) at stations SC and SG.

Name	MC252 ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	OSS ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM)	CT ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM)	SC ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	SG ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)
Toluene	861	28.6	27.4	0.04	0.08
Ethylbenzene	289	62.4	53.9	0.10	0.29
<i>m</i> -xylene + <i>p</i> -xylene	862	209	159	0.28	0.86
<i>o</i> -xylene	623	150	119	0.18	0.58
Isopropylbenzene	21.5	3.5	1.2	0.03	0.24
<i>n</i> -propylbenzene	71.3	6.7	7.0	0.01	0.03
1, 3, 5-trimethylbenzene	188	18.8	14.6	0.02	0.06
1, 2, 4-trimethylbenzene	575	76.2	51.9	0.09	0.30




Figure 5. Concentrations of BTEX and C3-benzenes in crude oil and mousse collected at stations OSS and CT (normalized to TSEM). The MC252 crude oil was normalized to gram assuming a density of 0.84 g ml^{-1} . The inset refers to BTEX and C3-benzenes in sediments collected at stations SG and SC (2 and 6 km away from the wellhead).




Figure 6. Trace metals in MC252 crude oil, and oil mousse from stations OSS, CT and MP. Trace metal concentrations were normalized to TSEM.

3.4. Trace metals in oil mousse

The concentration of Mg was $6.4 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ in the crude oil, but was elevated to 2170 and $2899 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM in OSS and CT mousse, respectively (figure 6 and table 3). Concentrations of terrestrial metals such as Al, Mn and Fe in CT and MP oil mouses were significantly higher than those in the crude oil and OSS mousse. The levels of Al were $14 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ in the crude and $72 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM in the OSS mousse, but increased to 432 and $738 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM in the CT and MP mousse, respectively. Similarly, Fe concentrations were $8 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ in the crude oil, $50 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM in the OSS mousse, and increased to 603 and $955 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM in the CT and MP mousse; the Mn concentration followed the same trend, from 0 (non-detectable) in crude and $4 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM in the OSS mousse to 52 and $39 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM in the CT and MP mousse, respectively. The concentrations of the other transition metals V, Ni, Co, Cu, As and Pb in the oil mousse followed similar distribution patterns. From the crude, OSS, CT to MP mousse, V concentrations were $0.2 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, 1.0, 1.5 and $1.7 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM; Ni concentrations were $1.5 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, 4.2, 7.7 and $7.3 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM; Co concentrations were $0.2 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, 0.2, 0.4 and $0.7 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM; Cu concentrations were $0.5 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, 1.7, 4.0 and $3.3 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM; Pb

Table 3. Trace metal concentrations in MC252 crude oil ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$), oil mouses ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM) collected at stations OSS and CT, and oil mousse collected from salt marshes at Mash Point (MP), Mississippi. ND: non detectable.

Metal	MC252 crude	OSS	CT	MP
Mg	6.4	2170	2899	309
Al	14.0	72	432	738
V	0.2	1.0	1.5	1.7
Cr	9.4	7.8	9.4	7.4
Mn	ND	4.2	51.6	38.7
Fe	7.9	49.9	603	955
Ni	1.5	4.2	7.7	7.3
Co	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.7
Cu	0.5	1.7	4.0	3.3
Zn	18.0	18.7	51.8	13.3
As	ND	ND	ND	3.5
Pb	0.3	ND	0.6	1.5

concentrations were $0.3 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, non detectable, 0.6 and $1.5 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM; and Zn concentrations were $18 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, 18.7, 51.8 and $13.3 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TSEM, respectively.

4. Discussion

4.1. The weathering of oil mousse from the sea surface to salt marshes

Chemical analysis of the mousse revealed that the surface oil was subjected to moderate evaporation after rising to the

sea surface. Total *n*-alkane concentrations in the oil mousse (7–30 mg g⁻¹ TSEM) were lower than those found typically in crude oils including MC252 (80 mg g⁻¹), but consistent with oil collected in environments after oil spills in aquatic environments (Wang *et al* 2004). Low-molecular-weight (LMW) *n*-alkanes (<C₁₄) were lost in the oil mousse relative to the crude oil (figure 2), indicating that evaporation was the main weathering processes on the surface oil. These LMW hydrocarbons can be evaporated within 2–3 h after rising to the sea surface (Stiver and Mackay 1984, Fingas 1999, Ryerson *et al* 2011), while the time scale of evaporation for higher carbon numbered hydrocarbons (C₁₄–C₁₆) is estimated at 10–100 h (de Gouw *et al* 2011). Similarly, two-ring aromatics, mainly naphthalene and alkylated naphthalene, were lost preferentially (>99%) in the oil mousse relative to the crude oil (figures 3 and 4). Acenaphthylene and fluorene were also significantly lost in the oil mousse. Even though the loss of LWM aromatics may indicate evaporation, dissolution during the rise of oil to the sea surface may have also contributed to the loss, considering that the oil rose through a long water column (1500 m) and these LMW aromatics are more soluble than alkanes. For example, the solubility of naphthalene in water is approximately 30 mg l⁻¹. However, the proportional naphthalene content relative to other volatiles detected in the atmosphere above the DWH site (Ryerson *et al* 2011, 2012) suggested that evaporation on the sea surface might be the main weathering process to these LWM aromatics.

Our chemical analyses suggested that among the three oil mousses, the MP mousse was the most weathered, followed by the CT and OSS mousses. The percentages of LWM alkanes (<C₁₉) in total alkanes were 25% in OSS, 13% in CT and 7% in MP, indicating an increased weathering degree driven mostly by evaporation (Wang *et al* 1995). The 16 PAHs measured were dominated by chrysene, accounting for 48%–53% of the total, indicating that the four-ring chrysene is exceptionally resistant to weathering (Wang *et al* 1994). Percentages of the three-ring phenanthrene, however, showed a clear decrease from OSS (39%), CT (16%), to MP (4%). The ratios of phenanthrene to chrysene were 1.0, 0.3 and 0.1 in OSS, CT and MP mousse, respectively, consistent with the order of weathering degrees derived from *n*-alkanes. A decreasing ratio of phenanthrene to chrysene indicates weathering processes of oil degradation in aquatic environments (Pastor *et al* 2001), including biological degradation (Zhou *et al* 2012). The alkylated phenanthrenes and chrysenes also supported this pattern, as all of the alkylated phenanthrenes decreased from OSS to CT and MP relative to 3-methylchrysene, which was the most resistant of all of the measured hydrocarbons.

Phenanthrene and its alkylated homologues had different time scales of weathering compared to LWM aromatics including naphthalene, acenaphthylene and fluorene. Based on the predicted *n*-alkane patterns of surface oil with time (Ryerson *et al* 2012), the OSS mousse was subjected to evaporation for more than 2 d after it rose to the sea surface. If this time scale is right, LMW aromatics were almost completely evaporated within three days as expected,

but phenanthrene and its alkylated homologues continued to weather for days to weeks when the oil mousse reached salt marshes at Marsh Point, Mississippi in July 2010. Among the three groups of compounds, PAHs were the most weathered as suggested by the increased ratios of alkanes/PAHs and alkylated PAHs/PAHs from MC252 crude, OSS, CT to MP (table 1). Alkylated PAHs seem to be the most stable, as ratios of alkanes/alkylated PAHs decreased from 11.3 in the crude oil to 5.1 in OSS, 2.7 in CT and 3.4 in MP. The rapid decrease of PAHs relative to alkylated PAHs could be due to dissolution and/or evaporation, because PAHs tend to have higher solubilities and lower boiling points than their corresponding alkylated homologues (Havenga and Rohwer 2002). Photooxidation may not have played a major role, because alkylation tends to increase photooxidation rates (Prince *et al* 2003).

Many microorganisms can degrade petroleum components. For instance, *n*-alkanes are readily biodegraded aerobically in marine environments, and in particular *n*-alkanes with medium chain length (10–22 carbons) can be degraded preferentially (van Beilen *et al* 1994, Stout and Wang 2007). However, clear signs of biological degradation were not apparent from the oil mousse. For example, the distribution patterns of *n*-alkanes in the oil mousse relative to crude oil reflected typical evaporation from the progressive loss of LMW *n*-alkanes (Stout and Wang 2007). The ratios of *n*-C₁₇/Pr and *n*-C₁₈/Ph can indicate biodegradation (Pritchard and Costa 1991, de Jonge *et al* 1997). These ratios were generally higher in the oil mousse than in the crude oil (table 1), suggesting that biodegradation was not important in oil weathering. However, it is not clear why these ratios were higher in the oil mousse than in the reference crude oil. As mentioned above, the decrease in phenanthrene/chrysene ratio from OSS, CT to MP mousse could indicate some biodegradation (Pastor *et al* 2001, Zhou *et al* 2012). However, alkylated phenanthrenes in the oil mousse decreased at similar rates, suggesting that the weathering was not controlled by biodegradation, as the biodegradation rate decreases with the number of alkyl groups on the PAH nucleus (Prince 2002). Alternatively, alkylated phenanthrenes might be as labile as phenanthrene in the Gulf waters. Further laboratory research is needed to clarify this observation.

The weathering pattern of OSS < CT < MP is consistent with the surface water trajectory model (Liu *et al* 2011), showing that the surface oil first moved westward (OSS), then northeastward (CT), and finally reached salt marshes. This conclusion is supported further by a satellite image of the Mississippi River Delta, which shows the general path of the oil slicks on the sea surface during the time period when our oil mousse was collected (figure S4 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia). The oil color becomes lighter with emulsion in seawater (Jordan and Payne 1980), so the color difference between the two mousses suggests that the oil collected at station OSS (dark brown) was less weathered than that at station CT (light brown) (figure S1 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia). Our underlying assumption is that these oil samples had the same MC252 oil origin. This assumption is reasonable, considering that these samples

were collected during the *DWH* oil spill, and that the sampling stations were contaminated by this oil spill (figure S4 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia). For example, the arrival and evolution of the oil at MP after the *DWH* oil spill were well documented (Biber *et al* 2012, Wu *et al* 2012). Typical biomarkers were not applied to verify that these mounds originated from the MC252 well (Carmichael *et al* 2012), but their similar but gradually evolving hydrocarbon compositions (figures 2–4), such as the dominance of chrysene and 3-methylchrysene, suggest the same origin for these mounds.

The trace metals V, Cr, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn and Pb are typical constituents of crude oil (Gohlke *et al* 2011, Osuji and Onojake 2004), but their levels in oil may change with weathering as oil can absorb metal ions from seawater and the oil mass, relative to metal content, may decrease with oil degradation. Our results showed that concentrations of V, Ni, Co, Cu, As and Pb increased from the crude oil to the MP mousse (table 3 and figure 6), and this pattern is consistent with the intensifying weathering of oil mousse from off shore to coastal salt marshes. Further analysis demonstrated that the concentrations of trace metals correlated inversely with the levels of short-chain alkanes ($n < 19$) and PAHs from the crude oil to the oil mousse along the projected transport route (figure 7). These correlations may indicate that trace metals were accumulated into the oil mousse at different rates during the loss of LMW components, as suggested by their different slopes of the regression curves. Metal ions might preferentially bind to high-molecular-weight oil components that may possess more chelating functional groups such as carboxyls and/or hydroxyls (Wells 2002). Trace metals in seawater are generally complexed with organic ligands of dissolved or particulate organic matter (Hirose 2006, 2007, Varspir and Butler 2009), which makes incorporation of metals to oil mousse easier by adsorption and/or hydrophobic interactions. Another possible explanation for the metal accumulation is that the trace metals simply became more concentrated as LMW oil components were degraded. However, if this was the case, the same slopes on the regression lines (figure 7) for the different metals would be expected.

Of the trace metals measured, the concentrations of Al, Fe and Mn in the oil mousse increased significantly from the crude oil, OSS, CT to MP mousse (figure 6). We propose that clay minerals, presumably abundant in the sampling area due to the impact of the Mississippi River, were aggregated into the oil mousse during the transport from the accident site to the salt marshes. Even though clay minerals were not digested during our analytical procedure for trace metals, the leaching of Al, Fe and Mn from clay minerals during the digestion could occur. Concentrations of Mg were also much higher in OSS and CT mousse than that in the crude oil. This trend can be attributed to the water contained in oil mousse due to the oil emulsion, since Mg is one major element in seawater with a concentration of 52.8 mM. The water contents in the mousse were 0.4 g g⁻¹ for the OSS and CT mounds, and 0.25 g g⁻¹ for the MP mousse. The Mg in the mousse water itself can account for the total Mg in the MP mousse,




Figure 7. Linear correlations between concentrations of trace metals and (A) short-chain alkane ($n < 19$) percentages in total n -alkanes, and (B) concentrations of PAHs (logarithm) in the oil mousse from stations OSS, CT and MP, and MC252 crude.

but only about 30% of the Mg contents in the OSS and CT mounds, suggesting that the oil mousse did accumulate Mg, as discussed above.

4.2. Oil in sediments adjacent to the wellhead one year after the *DWH* oil spill

Previous reports showed that among 120 sites within a 2.7 km range of the wellhead, 29% of the sediment cores exhibited evidence of oil contamination (Operational Science Advisory Team 2010). The results from two sediments (within 6 km from the wellhead) indicate that both sites were contaminated by the *DWH* oil spill, based on the enhanced hydrocarbon levels, presence of BTEX and C₃ benzenes, UCM in the GC chromatograms, and visual observation of the cores (figure S1 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/035302/mmedia). However, the oil level decreased significantly from station SG (2 km) to SC (6 km). For example, the concentration of total n -alkanes was more than two orders of magnitude higher in SG than in SC.

The sedimentation of oil is caused mainly by physical interactions between suspended particulate matter and oil (Muschenheim and Lee 2002, Shen and Jaffe 2000). About 20%–30% of the total spill oil can reach sediments in coastal regions with high concentrations of suspended particulate matter (Payne *et al* 2003). Oil may persist much longer in sediment than at the sea surface (Harayama *et al* 1999). Two factors may contribute to the slow oil degradation in sediment. Hydrocarbons can be absorbed strongly into minerals and/or sedimentary organic matter. Also, oil on the sediment surface can inhibit the penetration of dissolved oxygen into the sediment, and labile hydrocarbon can stimulate the consumption of dissolved oxygen by bacteria. These two mechanisms can quickly result in oxygen depletion and retard further aerobic degradation of the deposited oil.

Our results showed a clear loss of LMW compounds including C₉–C₁₃ *n*-alkanes, naphthalene and alkylated naphthalenes in oil deposited in sediments, relative to the crude oil. Most of this weathering can be attributed to dissolution and biodegradation. The LMW compounds tend to have higher solubilities in water. For example, the solubility of naphthalene in water is 30 mg l⁻¹ and that of 1-methylnaphthalene is 26 mg l⁻¹, whereas the solubilities of phenanthrene and 1-methylphenanthrene are 1.6 and 0.26 mg l⁻¹, respectively (Shiu *et al* 1988). As a result, PAHs with more than three rings were enriched in sediments (figure 3), showing different distribution patterns from those of oil mousse, which was dominated by chrysene. Relative to oil mousse, the *n*-alkanes C₁₂–C₁₅ and alkylated naphthalenes were enriched in the sediments, further suggesting that evaporation was the main mechanism in losing C₁₂–C₁₅ in the oil mousse on the sea surface.

Biodegradation was expected to modify the chemical composition of the oil that had been deposited in sediment for one year, even though the degradation might be slow due to the low temperature (4 °C) and limitation of available dissolved oxygen (Ward *et al* 1980). Indeed, relatively high abundance of type I methanotrophic bacteria in SG and SC sediments and overlying waters (unpublished data) indicated the existence of methane oxidation (Hanson and Hanson 1996). In addition, some evidence of biodegradation was apparent. The *n*-alkanes C₁₆–C₂₇ in the SC sediment and C₁₆–C₂₃ in the SG sediment were lost preferentially (figure 2). This result is consistent with observations from other oil spill studies, where medium chain *n*-alkanes (~C₁₀–C₂₂) were biodegraded most rapidly (Stout and Wang 2007). This distribution pattern is more evident in the SC sediment than in the SG sediment, suggesting that the oil in SC was more degraded. Likewise the slightly lower ratios of *n*-C₁₇/Pr and *n*-C₁₈/Ph in SC (1.3 and 2.4) than in SG (1.4 and 2.5) lead to similar conclusions, since such ratio patterns can indicate biodegradation (Christensen and Larsen 1993, Wang *et al* 1994, 1999). Note that the odd-numbered *n*-alkanes C₂₉, C₃₁ and C₃₃ were enhanced in the SC sediment with a CPI of 1.2, indicating a biogenic input from terrestrial sources (Goni *et al* 1998). The ratio of *n*-alkanes/alkylated PAHs was used to compare the relative loss of *n*-alkanes by biodegradation in sediments because the alkylated PAHs

are more resistant to microbial degradation than *n*-alkanes and PAHs (Fedorak and Westlake 1981, Wang and Fingas 1995). The ratio *n*-alkanes/alkylated PAHs was more than one order of magnitude smaller at SC (0.1) than that at SG (1.5), suggesting that biodegradation was more intensive at SC. The significantly lower amount of oil contamination may have led to rapid degradation due to substrate availability. The distribution of alkylated PAHs in SG was similar to that of crude oil, dominated by phenanthrene homologues, without considering naphthalene homologues. However, the phenanthrene homologues were more degraded at SC than SG, and, as a result, fluoranthene and pyrene homologues became the dominant ones. This pattern cannot be explained by dissolution, because it would cause lower naphthalene homologues that have much higher solubilities. Phenanthrene is often more biologically labile than chrysene (Wang and Fingas 2003, Prince and Walters 2007, Zhou *et al* 2012). One possible explanation is that the phenanthrene homologues were labile under the low temperature and low DO concentration in the deep sea sediment. A rapid decrease of alkylated phenanthrenes was also observed in oil mousse from OSS to MP (figure 4).

Even though clear signs of biodegradation were observed for the oil deposited in the sediment adjacent to the wellhead, oil degradation in sediments was quite slow, considering that high concentrations of labile *n*-alkanes were observed after one year at stations SG and SC. The presence of BTEX and C₃-benzenes also suggests that the oil was subjected to a light to moderate degree of weathering (< 25%) (Wang and Fingas 1995).

5. Conclusions

Compositional changes of *n*-alkanes, PAHs and alkylated PAHs, BTEX, C₃-benzenes and trace metals were evaluated in oil mousse collected from the sea surface, salt marshes and surface sediments near the wellhead. Our results showed that the oil mousses were weathered moderately by evaporation, with clear losses of low-molecular-weight compounds including *n*-alkanes (*n* < 16), naphthalene and its homologues relative to the reference crude oil. The weathering degree became more intensive on the oil mousse collected from OSS, CT to MP, as evidenced by compositional changes of *n*-alkane, PAHs and alkylated PAHs. Consistently, amounts of total alkanes relative to total alkylated PAHs, a group of relatively stable compounds, decreased from OSS, CT to MP mousse, as did total PAHs, but with a greater degree of loss. The observed weathering pattern is consistent with a projected trajectory movement of oil mousse from accident sites to the salt marsh. Among the petroleum compounds monitored, 3-methylchrysene seems to be the one most stable to weathering on the sea surface, indicating that this compound can serve as a reference for this oil spill. Furthermore, contents of trace metals, particularly Al, Fe and Mn, increased significantly from crude oil, OSS, CT to MP, suggesting that clay minerals and dissolved metals were aggregated during the oil weathering and mousse transportation.

Our chemical analysis also revealed that the weathering processes to the oil deposited in sediments adjacent to the wellhead were slow one year after the spill. The weathering was more intense at station SC with less oil contamination than at station SG with more oil contamination, supported by the decreasing ratios of *n*-C₁₇/Pr, *n*-C₁₈/Ph and total *n*-alkanes/total alkylated PAHs from station SG to SC. The weathering in sediments was attributed to biological degradation and dissolution. However, the weathering in sediments is slow and light, as reflected by appreciable amounts of low-molecular-weight compounds such as short-chained *n*-alkanes (C₁₀–C₁₅), naphthalene and its homologues, BTEX and C₃ benzenes. This slow weathering might be caused by the low temperature, low oxygen concentration, and less microbial activity.

Even though we only collected a limited number of samples due to logistical constraints, our data provide insights into how the oil on the sea surface and in sediment was altered by weathering processes in the northern Gulf of Mexico. The composition and concentrations of hydrocarbons may help evaluate the toxicity of the oil and the impact of the oil spill on coastal ecosystems.

Acknowledgments

We thank the crew of the R/V *Pelican* and X Lin and Y Tan for assistance in collecting samples. We also thank BP for providing the reference MC252 surrogate oil (through L Guo), and P Biber for collecting the marsh oil. Wayne S Gardner generously shared his ship time (NOAA grant # NA07NOS4780225) and the HYPOX corer. We are grateful to three anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments. This work was funded mainly by the National Science Foundation Chemical Oceanography Program (OCE-1042908 to ZL) and OTIC (OCE-0752105 to QZ), Gulf Research Initiative (DROPPS Consortium), and partially through a Research Grant from The University of Texas at Austin.

References

- All M F, Bukharl A and Saleem M 1983 Trace metals in crude oils from Saudi Arabia *Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev.* **22** 691–4
- Atlas R M 1995 Petroleum biodegradation and oil spill bioremediation *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **31** 178–82
- Biber P D, Wu W, Peterson M S, Liu Z and Pham L 2012 Oil contamination in Mississippi saltmarsh habitats and the impacts to *Spartina alterniflora* photosynthesis *Impacts of Oil Spill Disasters on Marine Habitats and Fisheries in North America* ed B Alford *et al* (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press) in review
- Camilli R *et al* 2010 Tracking hydrocarbon plume transport and biodegradation at Deepwater Horizon *Science* **330** 201–4
- Carmichael C A *et al* 2012 Floating oil-covered debris from Deepwater Horizon: identification and application *Environ. Res. Lett.* **7** 015301
- Christensen L B and Larsen T H 1993 Method for determining the age of diesel oil spills in the soil *Groundwater Monit. Requir.* **13** 142–9
- Crone T J and Tolstoy M 2010 Magnitude of the 2010 Gulf of Mexico oil leak *Science* **330** 634
- de Gouw J A *et al* 2011 Organic aerosol formation downwind from the Deepwater Horizon oil spill *Science* **331** 1295–9
- de Jonge H, Freijer J I, Verstraten J M and Westerveld J 1997 Fuel oil hydrocarbon composition in contaminated soils *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **31** 771–5
- Diercks A, Highsmith R, Asper V L, Joung D, Zhou Z, Guo L and Shiller A 2010 Characterization of subsurface polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons at the Deepwater Horizon site *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37** L20602
- Ehrhardt M and Petrick G 1993 On the composition of dissolved and particle-associated fossil-fuel residues in Mediterranean surface-water *Mar. Chem.* **42** 57–70
- Fedorak P M and Westlake D W S 1981 Degradation of aromatics and saturates in crude oil by soil enrichments *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **16** 367–75
- Fingas M F 1999 The evaporation of oil spills: development and implementation of new prediction methodology *Int. Oil Spill Conf. Environmental Protection Agency 20 (Seattle)*
- Gardner W S, McCarthy M J, Carini S A, Souza A C, Lijun H, McNeal K S, Puckett M K and Pennington 2009 Collection of intact sediment cores with overlying water to study nitrogen- and oxygen-dynamics in regions with seasonal hypoxia *Cont. Shelf Res.* **29** 2207–13
- Gohlke J M, Doke D, Tipre M, Leader M and Fitzgerald T 2011 A review of seafood safety after the Deepwater Horizon blowout *Environ. Health Perspect.* **119** 1062–9
- Goni M A, Ruttenger K C and Eglinton T I 1998 A reassessment of the sources and importance of land-derived organic matter in surface sediments from the Gulf of Mexico *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **62** 3055–75
- Gonzalez J J *et al* 2006 Spatial and temporal distribution of dissolved/dispersed aromatic hydrocarbons in seawater in the area affected by the Prestige oil spill *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **53** 250–9
- Hanson R S and Hanson T E 1996 Methanotrophic bacteria *Microbiol. Rev.* **60** 439–71
- Harayama S, Kishira H, Kasai Y and Shutsubo K 1999 Petroleum biodegradation in marine environments *J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **1** 63–70
- Havenga W J and Rohwer E R 2002 The determination of trace-level PAHs and diagnostic ratios for source identification in water samples using solid-phase microextraction and GC/MS *Polycyclic Aromat. Compounds* **22** 327–38
- Hazen T C *et al* 2010 Deep sea oil plume enriches indigenous oil degrading bacteria *Science* **330** 204–8
- Hirose K 2006 Chemical speciation of trace metals in seawater: a review *Anal. Sci.* **22** 1055–63
- Hirose K 2007 Metal–organic matter interaction: ecological roles of ligands in oceanic DOM *Appl. Geochem.* **22** 1636–45
- Hunt J M 1996 *Petroleum Geochemistry and Geology* (New York: Freeman)
- Jordan R E and Payne J R 1980 *Fate and Weathering of Petroleum Spills in the Marine Environment: A Literature Review and Synopsis* (Ann Arbor, MI: Ann Arbor Science)
- Joye S B, Bowles M W, Samarkin V A, Hunter K S and Niemann H 2010 Biogeochemical signatures and microbial activity of different cold-seep habitats along the Gulf of Mexico deep slope *Deep Sea Res. II* **57** 1990–2001
- Joye S B, MacDonald I R, Leifer I and Asper V 2011 Magnitude and oxidation potential of hydrocarbon gases released from the BP oil well blowout *Nature Geosci.* **4** 160–4
- Kennicutt M C II, Sweet S T, Fraser W R, Stockton W L and Culver M 1991 The grounding of the Bahia Paraiso, Arthur Harbour—I Antarctic. 1. Distribution and fate of oil spill related hydrocarbons *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **25** 509–18
- Kessler J D *et al* 2011 A persistent oxygen anomaly reveals the fate of spilled methane in the deep Gulf of Mexico *Science* **331** 312–5
- Kniemeyer O *et al* 2007 Anaerobic oxidation of short-chain hydrocarbons by novel marine sulphate-reducing bacteria *Nature* **449** 898–901

- Kujawinski E B, Kido Soule M C, Valentine D L, Boysen A K, Longnecker K and Redmond M C 2011 Fate of dispersants associated with the Deepwater Horizon oil spill *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **45** 1298–306
- Leahy J G and Colwell R R 1990 Microbial degradation of hydrocarbons in the environment *Microbiol. Rev.* **54** 305–15
- Leifer I 2010 *Deepwater Horizon Release Estimate of Rate by PIV 67–106* (Washington, DC: US Dept of Interior)
- Liu Y, Weisberg R H, Hu C and Zheng L 2011 Tracking the Deepwater Horizon oil spill: a modeling perspective *EOS Trans. Am. Geophys. Union* **92** 45–61
- Muschenheim D K and Lee K 2002 Removal of oil from the sea surface through particulate interactions: review and prospectus *Spill Sci. Technol. Bull.* **8** 9–18
- Operational Science Advisory Team 2010 *Summary Report for Sub-Sea and Sub-Surface Oil and Dispersant Detection: Sampling and Monitoring* (New Orleans, LA: Unified Area Command) (www.restorethegulf.gov/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/OSAT_Report_FINAL_17DEC.pdf, accessed: 06.07.11)
- Osuji L C and Onojake C M 2004 Trace heavy metals associated with crude oil: a case study of Ebocha-8 oil-spill-polluted site in Niger Delta, Nigeria *Chem. Biodiv.* **1** 1708–15
- Pastor D, Sanchez J, Porte C and Albaigél J 2001 The Aegean Sea oil spill in the Galicia Coast (NW Spain). 1. Distribution and fate of crude oil and combustion products in subtidal sediments *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **42** 895–904
- Payne J R, Clayton J, John R and Kirstein B E 2003 Oil/suspended particulate material interactions and sedimentation *Spill Sci. Technol. Bull.* **8** 201–21
- Plata D L, Sharpless C M and Reddy C M 2008 Photochemical degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in oil films *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **42** 2432–8
- Prince R C 2002 Petroleum and other hydrocarbons, biodegradation of *Encyclopedia of Environmental Microbiology* ed G Bitton (New York: Wiley) pp 2402–16 (doi:10.1002/0471263397.env118)
- Prince R C and Walters C C 2007 Biodegradation of oil hydrocarbons its implications for source identification *Environmental Forensics: Spill Oil Fingerprinting and Source Identification* ed Z D Wang and S A Stout (New York: Elsevier) pp 349–79
- Prince R C et al 2003 The roles of photooxidation and biodegradation in long-term weathering of crude and heavy fuel oils *Spill Sci. Technol. Bull.* **8** 145–56
- Pritchard P H and Costa C F 1991 EPA's Alaskan oil spill bioremediation project *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **25** 372–9
- Reddy C M et al 2011 Composition of gas and oil released to the water column during the Deepwater Horizon oil spill *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* at press (doi:10.1073/pnas.1101242108)
- Requejo A G and Boehm P D 1985 Characterisation of hydrocarbons in a subsurface oil-rich layer in the Sargasso Sea *Mar. Environ. Res.* **17** 45–64
- Ryerson T B et al 2011 Atmospheric emissions from the Deepwater Horizon spill constrain air–water partitioning, hydrocarbon fate, and leak rate *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **38** L07803
- Ryerson T B et al 2012 Chemical data quantify Deepwater Horizon hydrocarbon flow rate and environmental distribution *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* at press (doi:10.1073/pnas.1110564109)
- Shen L and Jaffe R 2000 Interactions between dissolved petroleum hydrocarbons and pure and humic acid-coated mineral surfaces in artificial seawater *Mar. Environ. Res.* **49** 217–31
- Shiu W Y, Maijanen A, Ng A L Y and Mackay D 1988 Preparation of aqueous solutions of sparingly soluble organic substances: II. Multicomponent systems-hydrocarbon mixtures and petroleum products *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **7** 125–37
- Short J W and Harris P M 1996 Chemical sampling and analysis of petroleum hydrocarbons in the near surface seawater of Prince William Sound after the Exxon Valdez oil spill *Proc. Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Symp.* ed S D Rice, R B Spies, D A Wolfe and B A Wright (Bethesda, MD: American Fisheries Society) pp 17–28
- Stiver W and Mackay D 1984 Evaporation rate of spills of hydrocarbons and petroleum mixtures *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **18** 834–40
- Stout S A and Wang Z D 2007 Chemical fingerprinting of spilled or discharged petroleum—methods and factors affecting petroleum fingerprints in the environment *Oil Spill Environmental Forensics: Fingerprinting and Source Identification* ed Z D Wang and S A Stout (New York: Elsevier) pp 1–53
- van Beilen J B, Wubbolts M G and Witholt B 1994 Genetics of alkane oxidation by *Pseudomonas oleovorans* *Biodegradation* **5** 161–74
- Varspir J M and Butler A 2009 Chemistry of marine ligands and siderophores *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **1** 43–63
- Wang Z D and Fingas M 1995 Differentiation of the source of spilled oil and monitoring of the oil weathering process using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry *J. Chromatogr. A* **712** 321–43
- Wang Z D and Fingas M 2003 Development of oil hydrocarbon fingerprinting and identification techniques *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **47** 423–52
- Wang Z D, Fingas M, Lambert P, Zeng G, Yang C and Hollebone B 2004 Characterization and identification of the Detroit River mystery oil spill (2002) *J. Chromatogr. A* **1038** 201–14
- Wang Z D, Fingas M, Landriault M, Sigouin L and Xu N 1995 Identification of alkylbenzenes and direct determination of BTEX and (BTEX + C3-benzenes) in oils by GC/MS *Anal. Chem.* **67** 3491–500
- Wang Z D, Fingas M and Page D S 1999 Oil spill identification *J. Chromatogr. A* **843** 369–411
- Wang Z D, Fingas M and Sergy G 1994 Study of 22-year-old arrow oil samples using biomarker compounds by GC/MS *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **28** 1733–46
- Ward D M, Atlas R M, Boehm P D and Calder J A 1980 Microbial biodegradation and chemical evolution of oil from the Amoco Spill *Ambio* **9** 277–83
- Wells M L 2002 Marine colloids and trace metals *Biochemistry of Marine Organic Matter* ed D A Hansell and C A Carlson (Amsterdam: Academic) pp 367–404
- Wu W, Biber P D, Peterson M S and Gong C 2012 Modeling photosynthesis of *Spartina alterniflora* (smooth cordgrass) impacted by the Deepwater Horizon oil spill using Bayesian inference *Environ. Res. Lett.* in review
- Zhou Z, Liu Z and Guo L 2012 Chemical evolution of crude oil during degradation as characterized by fluorescence EEMs and hydrocarbon composition *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* accepted