The Written Language Bias in Linguistics

Its nature, origins and transformations

Per Linell



Contents

	Preface	viii
PART I Preliminaries		1
1	Introduction	3
	 1.1 Two ways of looking at language 3 1.2 An overview of this book 5 1.3 What I won't do in this book 6 	
2	From aspects of communicative action to sets of abstract forms	9
	 2.1 Objectification: splitting the phenomena and inverting the priorities 9 2.2 Why a set of forms? 10 	
	2.3 The cultural stereotype: talk is not 'real language' 11 2.4 From practical activities to theorising language 13	
3	Speech and writing, spoken and written language	18
	 3.1 Speech and spoken language: a first approximation 18 3.2 Writing and written language: a first approximation 21 3.3 Blurring the distinctions 24 3.4 Priorities in modern linguistics 28 3.5 Summary: towards the main thesis 29 	

vi Contents

4 The written language bias in linguistics and language sciences 30 4.1 Introduction: a paradox in modern linguistics 30 4.2 The 'myth theory' 31 4.3 Written language as medium and model for spoken language 32 4.4 The written language bias: defining the notion 35 4.5 Is the bias a characteristic of linguistics? 36 PART II The phenomenon and its extension 375 39 The written language bias in 101 points 5.1 Introduction 39 5.2 Language, discourse and the world 40 5.3 The notion of 'a language' 47 5.4 Phonetics and phonology 58 5.5 Grammar 65 5.6 Lexicology, semantics and pragmatics 79 5.7 Communication, discourse and texts 96 5.8 The psychology and biology of language 109 5.9 Semiotics of language, speaking and writing 113 5.10 Extensions of language and text metaphors 120 5.11 Conclusion: WLB in linguistics as a partly homogeneous and partly heterogeneous set of assumptions 123 PART III Discussion 127 6 The transformations of some written-language-based themes 1296.1 Introduction: recontextualising themes in linguistics 129 6.2 The Cartesian distinction between language and the world 133

- 6.3 Communication as transfer by means of a code 135
- 6.4 Language and speech 139
- 6.5 The notion of a language 142
- 6.6 Language as objects 156
- 6.7 The norms of language and the normativity of linguistics 162
- 6.8 Sentences: the fundamental units of linguistic expression (and content) 174

	6.9 Structuralism 179	
	6.10 The world as text 181	
	6.11 Recontextualisations summed up 182	
7	Critique of 'the written language bias' argument	187
	7.1 Introduction: reactions and non-reactions 187	
	7.2 What is the alternative? 188	
	7.3 The incommensurability of written language and spoken interaction 188	
	7.4 A misrepresentation of written language, writing and literacy? 189	
	7.5 Media vs. communicative genres 191	
	7.6 The idealised language made by linguists 192	
	7.7 A language bias instead of a written language bias? 192	
	7.8 A caricature of linguistics? 193	
8	People's languages and linguists' grammars	197
	8.1 Where is language?: three major positions in linguistic meta-theory 197	
	8.2 Harris: 'myths' and 'made-up' theories 199	
	8.3 Chomsky: the theory that people's languages are	
	'linguistically irrelevant' 203	
	8.4 Radical social interactionism: there is only situated	
	interaction and situated knowledge 209	
	8.5 Ethnomethodology: the reflexivity of sense-making 210	
	8.6 Conversation Analysis: the 'embodied action' theory of	
	language 212	
	8.7 A dialogistic stance: theories of 'languaging' 214	
	8.8 Conclusion 220	
9	The written language bias – past, present, future	222
	9.1 The long past of linguistics 222	
	9.2 Stability and change: structure and discourse 223	
	9.3 Capturing dynamics: three basic difficulties 223	
	9.4 A return to linguistic activities 224	
	Appendix: The Written Language Bias in 101 points	226
	References	230
	Index	250

250