

## *Erratum*

# The X-ray emission from Young Stellar Objects in the $\rho$ Ophiuchi cloud core as seen by XMM-Newton

H. Ozawa, N. Grosso, and T. Montmerle

Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Grenoble, Université Joseph-Fourier, 38041 Grenoble Cedex 9, France  
e-mail: hideki@obs.ujf-grenoble.fr

A&A, 429, 963–975 (2005), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361:20040480

**Key words.** open clusters and associations: individual:  $\rho$  Ophiuchi cloud – stars: pre-main sequence – stars: low-mass, brown dwarfs – X-rays: stars – infrared: stars – errata, addenda

We wrote in the Sect. 5 of Ozawa et al. (2005) that with our *XMM-Newton* observation, “we detect (the bona fide brown dwarf) GY 141 (ROXN-10) with a count rate of  $7.7 \text{ cts ks}^{-1}$ , i.e., at a level 90 times higher than during the (previous) *Chandra* observation (where only 8 X-ray photons were collected during the 100 ks exposure)”. Indeed this factor of 90 is the ratio of the count rates observed by *XMM-Newton* and *Chandra*. To obtain the *absolute* increase of brightness of GY 141, it has to be divided by 6.8 – the median of the ratios between

*XMM-Newton* and *Chandra* count rates for X-ray sources detected by both observatories (see Sect. 3 of Ozawa et al. 2005) – which leads to  $\sim 14$ . Consequently, the increase of brightness of GY 141 that should appear in the abstract and the summary of Ozawa et al. (2005), is *one* order of magnitude. This doesn't change the conclusion of our article.

### References

Ozawa, H., Grosso, N., & Montmerle, T. 2005, A&A, 429, 963