

# THEORETICAL MODELS FOR RELATING ANNOYANCE TO NOISE LEVEL

Frank G. Krammer

Noise Pollution Control Section  
Environment Ontario

## ABSTRACT

Theoretical mathematical models are formulated to specify the relationship between human annoyance and levels of noise, based only on well confirmed assumptions. The proposed theories are consistent with descriptive models and appear capable of explaining the underlying mechanism as well as previously apparent discrepant findings.

## THE MODELS

From experimentally supported theoretical assumptions discussed previously (Krammer, 1979b) and the assumption that a complex continuous sound is equivalent to the loudness of a reference stimulus (e.g. a 1-kHz tone) if both are equivalent on appropriately weighted sound levels, the following models of human reaction to sound level are derived, converting

(a) Sound level  $\underline{N}$  to loudness  $\underline{L}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} L &= k 10^{0.03N} & (1) \\ &= k (1.0715)^N \end{aligned}$$

where  $\underline{k}$  is an arbitrary scale factor.

(b) Sound level  $\underline{N}$  to individual annoyance  $\underline{A}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A &= a k 10^{0.03N} + b & (2) \\ &= a k (1.0715)^N + b \end{aligned}$$

where  $\underline{a}$  is the asymptotic annoyance to loudness ratio,  $\underline{A/L}$ , and  $\underline{b}$  is the A-intercept of the curve relating annoyance to loudness. Preliminary experimental findings suggest that  $\underline{a}$  is relatively constant and independent of type or quality of noise, but that  $\underline{b}$  is a correction factor dependent on type and quality of noise (Berglund et al, 1976).

- (c) Probability  $P$  or expected percentage  $100P$  of individual or community reaction to noise  $R$  respectively, given the noise level ( $N$ ):

$$P(R|N) = \int_{-\infty}^N \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(n - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] dn \quad (3)$$

where  $R$  is annoyance at or greater than a predefined criterion, and  $n$  is the random variable of the theoretical normal probability density function, with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$ , which describes the probability density of the specific annoyance reaction at specific noise levels.

Parameters of these functions may be obtained from experimental work. Parameters included below are purposely approximate but may be more precisely specified as confidence in experimental observations increases. With substitution of available parameter estimates, the previous models may be somewhat simplified, e.g. converting:

- (a) Sound level  $N$  to loudness  $L$  :

$$L \text{ (in sones)} = 0.063 (10^{0.03N}) \quad (4)$$

where "0.063" is the scaling factor for the sone scale.

- (b) Sound level  $N$  to annoyance  $A$  :

$$A \text{ (re sones)} = 0.126 (10^{0.03N}) + b \quad (5)$$

where  $a=2$  (from Eqn. B), approximated from the Berglund et al (1976) data, and the sone scale is implied. From this function it is obvious that the lower the noise level, the greater the relative contribution of the constant  $b$ , which may potentially be estimated from experimental data.

- (c) Probability  $P$  or expected percentage  $100P$  of individual or community reaction  $R$  to noise level  $N$  :

$$\begin{aligned} P(R|N) &= \int_{-\infty}^N 0.0307 \exp \left[ -\frac{(n - 79)^2}{338} \right] dn \quad (6) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^N 0.0307 (.997046)^{(n - 79)^2} dn \end{aligned}$$

assuming  $R$  is a "highly annoyed" reaction and  $N$  is  $L_{dn}$ , both as defined by Schultz (1978a, 1978b).

## DISCUSSION

The effect of time-varying properties of noise on human response has not yet been clarified and remains an area of continuing study and discussion (Seshagiri and Krammer, 1976; Hemingway and Krammer, 1977). Major studies examining the problem have arrived at opposite or no linear relationships between noise variability and annoyance. That is, both positive and negative relationships (Robinson, 1971; Bradley, 1977) have been reported. A recent study by Hemingway and Krammer (1977) has observed evidence of both relationships in the same study. A U- or inverted bell-shaped function has been proposed as a tentative working hypothesis (Hemingway and Krammer, 1977; Krammer, 1978) to describe human reaction to variability of noise level over time. Recent analyses (Krammer, 1979c), however, suggest that the form of this function may depend on noise source and time of day. In other words, the present working hypothesis requiring further testing is that both the time history function of noise levels and whether or not the exposed individual is awake or asleep may interactively affect annoyance ratings. Such factors are tentatively judged to be most effectively included in the source dependent term  $\bar{b}$  of the annoyance model (Eqn. 2) for reasons that will be discussed in a later report.

## CONCLUSIONS

Theoretical mathematical models derived only from basic assumptions appear to become reasonably complete when parameters are estimated from experimental data. In the equations relating annoyance to sound level, only one parameter is notably absent, but open to empirical estimation. In their present form, the discussed models provide plausible explanations of observations which have previously been considered unusual or discrepant, and allow for greater ease of generalization of future data. Potentially, they also provide a framework for the prediction of that portion of human reaction determined by the physical properties of noise.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is indebted to brief but valuable comments and leads provided by Dr. Ogilvie and Dr. Andrews, Applied Statistics, University of Toronto, Dr. F. Hall, McMaster University; Dr. T. Embleton, NRC; Dr. B. Dunn, University of Calgary; Dr. D. Sweeney, DCIEM; and Mr. Sharma, Central Statistical Services, Gov't. of Ontario. Special thanks to Mr. A. Ugge, Systems Research, M.T. and C. for the loan of his thorough collection of references and modal split analysis and his readiness to discuss probit/logit models. Finally, this work would not have been possible without the foresight of Mr. J. Manuel, Supervisor of the Noise Pollution Control Section, in recognizing the value of an interdisciplinary team approach by involving the author in-depth through the Impulse Noise Survey (Seshagiri and Krammer, 1976; Seshagiri, 1977), the Railway Yard Survey (Krammer and Dixit, 1979), and during the computer analysis and interpretation of results phases of the Metro Toronto Noise Survey (Hemingway and Krammer, 1977).

## REFERENCES

- Berglund, B., Berglund, U. and Lindvall, T. (1976) "Scaling loudness, noisiness and annoyance of community noise", J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 60(5), Nov., pp 119-1125.
- Bradley, J. (1977) "Some comparisons of traffic noise measures", Meeting of the Acoustical Society of America, Pennsylvania State University, May.
- Hall, F.L., Breston, B.E. and Taylor, S.M. (1977) "The effect of highway noise on residential property values", Presented at the Canadian Acoustical Association Annual Meeting Ottawa, Ontario, October 27.
- Hemingway, J.R. and Kramer, F. (1977) "An investigation of the human response to highway, arterial and railway noise", Presented at Canadian Acoustical Association Annual Meeting, Ottawa, October 25.
- Fechner, G.T. (1860) Elemente des Psychophysik. Leipzig: Breitkopf und Hartel.
- Finney, D.J. (1971) Probit Analysis. University Press: Cambridge, England.
- Kramer, F.G. (1977a) "The application of statistical techniques to sociological noise surveys", Presented at the C.A.A. Annual Meeting, October.
- Kramer, F.G. (1977b) "The application of statistical techniques to the interpretation of sociological noise surveys", Ontario Ministry of the Environment Internal Report.
- Kramer, F.G. (1978) "Predicting human reaction to community noise", in Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual Meeting, Human Factors Association of Canada, September 7-9, pp. 11.1.-11.8.
- Kramer, F.G. and Dixit, A.K. (1979) "Community reaction to railway yard noise: Annoyance and sleep disturbance related to noise level exposure", Ontario Ministry of the Environment Internal Report.
- Kramer, F.G. (1979a) "Human reaction to train noise", Ontario Ministry of the Environment Internal Report.
- Kramer, F. (1979b) "Method and interpretation of surveys on noise annoyance", submitted for publication to Acoustics and Noise Control in Canada, July.
- Kramer, F. (1979c) Unpublished results.

- McCafferty, Desmond (1978) "Modelling the effect of non-acoustical variables on individual response to community noise", M.A. Thesis, McMaster University, September.
- Robinson, D.W. (1971) "Towards a unified system of noise assessment", Journal of Sound and Vibration, 14(3), pp. 279-298.
- Schultz, Theodore, J. (1978a) "Synthesis of social surveys on noise annoyance", J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 64 (2), August.
- Schultz, T.J. (1978b) Personal communication, February 1.
- Seshagiri, B.V. and Kramer, F.G. (1976) "Community survey of human reaction to impulse noise", Presented at Canadian Acoustical Association Annual Meeting, Vancouver, B.C., October 6-8.
- Seshagiri, B.V. (1977) "Community reaction to impulse noise" J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 62, Suppl. No. 1, p. 57. (A).
- Ugge, A.J. (1977) "Disaggregate modal split models of downtown trips in Metropolitan Toronto", Ministry of Transportation and Communications, Systems Research and Development Branch. Report No. 3303, April.