

THEORY OF DIDACTICAL SITUATIONS
IN MATHEMATICS

DIDACTIQUE DES MATHÉMATIQUES, 1970–1990

by

GUY BROUSSEAU

Edited and translated by

NICOLAS BALACHEFF, MARTIN COOPER,
ROSAMUND SUTHERLAND AND VIRGINIA WARFIELD



KLUWER ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS
DORDRECHT / BOSTON / LONDON

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Editors' Preface	xiii
Biography of Guy Brousseau	xv
Prelude to the Introduction	1
Introduction. Setting the scene with an example: The race to 20	3
1. Introduction of the race to 20	3
1.1. The game	3
1.2. Description of the phases of the game	3
1.3. Remarks	5
2. First phase of the lesson: Instruction	6
3. Action—situation, pattern, dialectic	8
3.1. First part of the game (one against the other)	8
3.2. Dialectic of action	9
4. Formulation—situation, pattern, dialectic	10
4.1. Second part of the game (group against group)	10
4.2. Dialectic of formulation	12
5. Validation—situation, pattern, dialectic	13
5.1. Third part of the game (establishment of theorems)	13
5.2. The attitude of proof, proof and mathematical proof	15
5.3. Didactical situation of validation	15
5.4. Dialectic of validation	17
Chapter 1 prelude	19
Chapter 1. Foundations and methods of <i>didactique</i>	21
1. Objects of study of <i>didactique</i>	21
1.1. Mathematical knowledge and didactical transposition	21
1.2. The work of the mathematician	21
1.3. The student's work	22
1.4. The teacher's work	23
1.5. A few preliminary naïve and fundamental questions	23
2. Phenomena of <i>didactique</i>	25
2.1. The Topaze effect and the control of uncertainty	25
2.2. The Jourdain effect or fundamental misunderstanding	25

2.3. Metacognitive shift	26
2.4. The improper use of analogy	27
2.5. The aging of teaching situations	27
3. Elements for a modelling	29
3.1. Didactical and adidactical situations	29
3.2. The didactical contract	31
3.3. An example of the devolution of an adidactical situation	33
3.4. The epistemology of teachers	35
3.5. Illustration: the Diénès effect	35
3.6. Heuristics and <i>didactique</i>	37
4. Coherence and incoherence of the modelling envisaged: The paradoxes of the didactical contract	40
4.1. The paradox of the devolution of situations	41
4.2. Paradoxes of the adaptation of situations	42
4.2.1. Maladjustment to correctness	42
4.2.2. Maladjustment to a later adaptation	43
4.3. Paradoxes of learning by adaptation	44
4.3.1. Negation of knowledge	44
4.3.2. Destruction of its cause	45
4.4. The paradox of the actor	45
5. Ways and means of modelling didactical situations	47
5.1. Fundamental situation corresponding to an item of knowledge	47
5.1.1. With respect to the target knowledge	47
5.1.2. With respect to teaching activity	48
5.2. The notion of “game”	48
5.3. Game and reality	51
5.3.1. Similarity	51
5.3.2. Dissimilarity	52
5.4. Systemic approach of teaching situations	53
6. Adidactical situations	54
6.1. Fundamental sub-systems	54
6.1.1. Classical patterns	54
6.1.2. First decomposition proposed	56
6.1.3. Necessity of the “adidactical <i>milieu</i> ” sub-system	57
6.1.4. Status of mathematical concepts	58
6.2. Necessity of distinguishing various types of adidactical situations	60
6.2.1. Interactions	61
6.2.2. The forms of knowledge	62
6.2.3. The evolution of these forms of knowledge: learning	63
6.2.4. The sub-systems of the <i>milieu</i>	65

6.3. First study of three types of didactical situations	65
6.3.1. Action pattern	65
6.3.2. Communication pattern	67
6.3.3. Explicit validation pattern	69
Chapter 2 prelude	77
Chapter 2. Epistemological obstacles, problems and didactical engineering	79
1. Epistemological obstacles and problems in mathematics	79
1.1. The notion of problem	79
1.1.1. Classical conception of the notion of problem	79
1.1.2. Critique of these conceptions	81
1.1.3. Importance of the notion of obstacle in teaching by means of problems	82
1.2. The notion of obstacle	83
1.2.1. Epistemological obstacles	83
1.2.2. Manifestation of obstacles in <i>didactique</i> of mathematics	84
1.2.3. Origin of various didactical obstacles	86
1.2.4. Consequences for the organization of problem-situations	87
1.3. Problems in the construction of the concept of decimals	90
1.3.1. History of decimals	90
1.3.2. History of the teaching of decimals	90
1.3.3. Obstacles to <i>didactique</i> of a construction of decimals	91
1.3.4. Epistemological obstacles—didactical plan	92
1.4. Comments after a debate	93
2. Epistemological obstacles and <i>didactique</i> of mathematics	98
2.1. Why is <i>didactique</i> of mathematics interested in epistemological obstacles?	98
2.2. Do epistemological obstacles exist in mathematics?	99
2.3. Search for an epistemological obstacle: historical approach	100
2.3.1. The case of numbers	100
2.3.2. Methods and questions	101
2.3.3. Fractions in ancient Egypt	101
2.3.3.1. Identification of pieces of knowledge	102
2.3.3.2. What are the advantages of using unit fractions?	104
2.3.3.3. Does the system of unit fractions constitute an obstacle?	107
2.4. Search for an obstacle from school situations: A current unexpected obstacle, the natural numbers.	107
2.5. Obstacles and didactical engineering	110
2.5.1. Local problems: lessons. How can an identified obstacle be dealt with?	110

2.5.2. “Strategic” problems: the curriculum. Which obstacles can be avoided and which accepted?	111
2.5.3. Didactical handling of obstacles	111
2.6. Obstacles and fundamental didactics	112
2.6.1. Problems internal to the class	113
2.6.2. Problems external to the class	114
 Chapter 3 prelude	 117
 Chapter 3. Problems with teaching decimal numbers	 119
1. Introduction	119
2. The teaching of decimals in the 1960s in France	121
2.1. Description of a curriculum	121
2.1.1. Introductory lesson	121
2.1.2. Metric system. Problems	122
2.1.3. Operations with decimal numbers	122
2.1.4. Decimal fractions	123
2.1.5. Justifications and proofs	123
2.2. Analysis of characteristic choices of this curriculum and of their consequences	123
2.2.1. Dominant conception of the school decimal in 1960	123
2.2.2. Consequences for the multiplication of decimals	123
2.2.3. The two representations of decimals	125
2.2.4. The order of decimal numbers	125
2.2.5. Approximation	126
2.3. Influence of pedagogical ideas on this conception	126
2.3.1. Evaluation of the results	126
2.3.2. Classical methods	127
2.3.3. Optimization	127
2.3.4. Other methods	128
2.4. Learning of “mechanisms” and “meaning”	128
2.4.1. Separation of this learning and what causes it	128
2.4.2. Algorithms	129
3. The teaching of decimals in the 1970s	131
3.1. Description of a curriculum	131
3.1.1. Introductory lesson	131
3.1.2. Other bases. Decomposition	132
3.1.3. Operations	132
3.1.4. Order	132
3.1.5. Operators. Problems	133
3.1.6. Approximation	134
3.2. Analysis of this curriculum	134
3.2.1. Areas	134

3.2.2. The decimal point	134
3.2.3. Order	134
3.2.4. Identification and evaporation	134
3.2.5. Product	135
3.2.6. Conclusion	135
3.3. Study of a typical curriculum of the '70s	136
3.3.1. The choices	136
3.3.2. Properties of the operations	136
3.3.3. Product	136
3.3.4. Operators	136
3.3.5. Fractions	136
3.3.6. Conclusion	137
3.4. Pedagogical ideas of the reform	138
3.4.1. The reform targets content	138
3.4.2. Teaching structures	138
3.4.3. Diénès's psychodynamic process	139
3.4.4. The psychodynamic process and educational practice	142
3.4.5. Influence of the psychodynamic process on the teaching of decimals, critiques and comments	143
3.4.6. Conceptions and situations	144
Chapters 3 and 4 interlude	147
Chapter 4. Didactical problems with decimals	149
1. General design of a process for teaching decimals	149
1.1. Conclusions from the mathematical study	149
1.1.1. Axioms and implicit didactical choices	149
1.1.2. Transformations of mathematical discourse	149
1.1.3. Metamathematics and heuristics	150
1.1.4. Extensions and restrictions	150
1.1.5. Mathematical motivations	151
1.2. Conclusion of the epistemological study	152
1.2.1. Different conceptions of decimals	152
1.2.2. Dialectical relationships between D and Q	153
1.2.3. Types of realized objects	154
1.2.4. Different meanings of the product of two rationals	154
1.2.5. Need for the experimental epistemological study	160
1.2.6. Cultural obstacles	160
1.3. Conclusions of the didactical study	160
1.3.1. Principles	160
1.3.2. The objectives of teaching decimals	161
1.3.3. Consequences: types of situations	161
1.3.4. New objectives	162
1.3.5. Options	163

1.4. Outline of the process	164
1.4.1. Notice to the reader	164
1.4.2. Phase II: From measurement to the projections of D^+	164
1.4.3. Phase I: From rational measures to decimal measures	166
2. Analysis of the process and its implementation	167
2.1. The pantograph	167
2.1.1. Introduction to pantographs: the realization of Phase 2.6	167
2.1.2. Examples of different didactical situations based on this schema of a situation	168
2.1.3. Place of this situation in the process	169
2.1.4. Composition of mappings (two sessions)	169
2.1.5. Mathematical theory/practice relationships	169
2.1.6. Different “levels of knowledge” relative to the compositions of the linear mappings	172
2.1.7. About research on <i>didactique</i>	175
2.1.8. Summary of the remainder of the process (2 sessions)	176
2.1.9. Limits of the process of reprise	176
2.2. The puzzle	177
2.2.1. The problem-situation	177
2.2.2. Summary of the rest of the process	179
2.2.3. Affective and social foundations of mathematical proof	179
2.3. Decimal approach to rational numbers (five sessions)	180
2.3.1. Location of a rational number within a natural-number interval	181
2.3.2. Rational-number intervals	181
2.3.3. Remainder of the process	182
2.4. Experimentation with the process	182
2.4.1. Methodological observations	182
2.4.2. The experimental situation	184
2.4.3. School results	185
2.4.4. Reproducibility—obsolescence	192
2.4.5. Brief commentary	194
3. Analysis of a situation: The thickness of a sheet of a paper	195
3.1. Description of the didactical situation (Session 1, Phase 1.1)	195
3.1.1. Preparation of the materials and the setting	195
3.1.2. First phase: search for a code (about 20–25 minutes)	195
3.1.3. Second phase: communication game (10 to 15 minutes)	197
3.1.4. Third phase: result of the games and the codes (20 to 25 minutes) [confrontation]	198
3.1.5. Results	200
3.2. Comparison of thicknesses and equivalent pairs (Activity 1, Session 2)	200
3.2.1. Preparation of materials and scene	200
3.2.2. First phase (25–30 minutes)	200

3.2.3. Second phase: Completion of table; search for missing values (20–25 minutes)	202
3.2.4. Third phase: Communication game (15 minutes)	202
3.2.5. Results	203
3.2.6. Summary of the rest of the sequence (Session 3)	204
3.2.7. Results	204
3.3. Analysis of the situation—the game	204
3.3.1. The problem-situation	204
3.3.2. The didactical situation	205
3.3.3. The maintenance of conditions of opening and their relationship with the meaning of the knowledge	206
3.3.4. The didactical contract	207
3.4. Analysis of didactical variables. Choice of game	208
3.4.1. The type of situation	208
3.4.2. The choice of thicknesses: implicit model	209
3.4.3. From implicit model to explanation	210
4. Questions about <i>didactique</i> of decimals	212
4.1. The objects of didactical discourse	212
4.2. Some didactical concepts	213
4.2.1. The components of meaning	213
4.2.2. The didactical properties of a problem-situation	214
4.2.3. Situations, knowledge, behaviour	215
4.3. Return to certain characteristics of the process	215
4.3.1. Inadequacies of the process	215
4.3.2. Return to decimal-measurement	217
4.3.3. Remarks about the number of elements that allow the generation of a set	218
4.3.4. Partitioning and proportioning	218
4.4. Questions about methodology of research on <i>didactique</i> (on decimals)	221
4.4.1. Models of errors	221
4.4.2. Levels of complexity	221
4.4.3. Dependencies and implications	221
Chapters 3 and 4 postlude— <i>Didactique</i> and teaching problems	223
Chapter 5 prelude	225
Chapter 5. The didactical contract: the teacher, the student and the <i>milieu</i>	227
1. Contextualization and decontextualization of knowledge	227
2. Devolution of the problem and “dedidactification”	227
2.1. The problem of meaning of intentional knowledge	227
2.2. Teaching and learning	228
2.3. The concept of devolution	229

3. Engineering devolution: subtraction	230
3.1. The search for the unknown term of a sum	230
3.2. First stage: devolution of the riddle	231
3.3. Second stage: anticipation of the solution	232
3.4. Third stage: the statement and the proof	232
3.5. Fourth stage: devolution and institutionalization of an adidactical learning situation	233
3.6. Fifth stage: anticipation of the proof	234
4. Institutionalization	235
4.1. Knowing	235
4.2. Meaning	237
4.3. Epistemology	239
4.4. The student's place	243
4.5. Memory, time	245
5. Conclusions	246
Chapter 6 prelude	251
Chapter 6. <i>Didactique</i> : What use is it to a teacher?	253
1. Objects of <i>didactique</i>	253
2. Usefulness of <i>didactique</i>	255
2.1. Techniques for the teacher	256
2.2. Knowledge about teaching	259
2.3. Conclusions	263
3. Difficulties with disseminating <i>didactique</i>	263
3.1. How one research finding reached the teaching profession	263
3.2. What lesson can we draw from this adventure?	265
4. <i>Didactique</i> and innovation	267
Appendix. The center for observations: The école Jules Michelet at Talence	275
Bibliography	283
References	287
Index of names	295
Index of subjects	299