

NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

Thermal conductivity of some ice rich permafrost soils

Slusarchuk, W. A.; Watson, G. H.

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. / La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:

Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 12, 3, pp. 413-424, 1975-08

NRC Publications Record / Notice d'Archives des publications de CNRC:

https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=a906ebad-4bc6-46df-ad5e-65633866edad https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=a906ebad-4bc6-46df-ad5e-65633866edad

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at <u>https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright</u> READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site <u>https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits</u> LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

Questions? Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

Vous avez des questions? Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.





Ser TH1 N21r2 no. 649 c. 2

BLDG

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL OF CANADA CONSEIL NATIONAL DE RECHERCHES DU CANADA

AANALYZED

THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF SOME ICE RICH PERMAFROST SOILS

BY

W.A. SLUSARCHUK AND G.H. WATSON



Reprinted from CANADIAN GEOTECHNICAL JOURNAL Vol. 12, No. 3, August 1975 p. 413



OCT 6 1975

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

Research Paper No. 649

OF THE

DIVISION OF BUILDING RESEARCH

Price 25 cents

OTTAWA

NRCC 14830

449

This publication is being distributed by the Division of Building Research of the National Research Council of Canada. It should not be reproduced in whole or in part without permission of the original publisher. The Division would be glad to be of assistance in obtaining such permission.

Publications of the Division may be obtained by mailing the appropriate remittance (a Bank, Express, or Post Office Money Order, or a cheque, made payable to the Receiver General of Canada, credit NRC) to the National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa. K1A 0R6. Stamps are not acceptable.

A list of all publications of the Division is available and may be obtained from the Publications Section, Division of Building Research, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa. K1A 0R6.



ANALYZED

Thermal Conductivity of Some Ice-rich Permafrost Soils

W. A. SLUSARCHUK¹

Division of Building Research, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada

AND

G. H. Watson²

Mackenzie Valley Pipe Line Research Ltd., Calgary, Alberta

Received July 19, 1974

Accepted March 19, 1975

Values are presented for the thermal conductivity of frozen and thawed ice rich permafrost soils from Inuvik, Northwest Territories, measured under field and laboratory conditions with a cylindrical heat source. Samples were shipped to Ottawa, Canada, in the frozen condition at temperatures ranging from -5 to -70 °C. It was found that temperature of shipping and repositioning of the probe between measurements had no statistically significant effect on results. The dependence of thermal conductivity on bulk unit weight and dry unit weight is given for both frozen and thawed samples. Measured thermal conductivities were found to be in good agreement with other values obtained for similar soils and corresponding unit weight and water content.

Des valeurs de conductivité thermique sont données pour du pergélisol riche en glace de Inuvik, Territoires Nord-ouest à l'état gelé et dégelé, telles que déterminées sur place et en laboratoire au moyen d'une source cylindrique de chaleur. Des échantillons furent expédiés à Ottawa à des températures de $-5 \,^{\circ}$ C et $-70 \,^{\circ}$ C. On a constaté que ni la température d'expédition ni la réinsertion de la sonde entre les prises de mesure n'avait d'effet significatif sur les résultats. La dépendance de la conductivité thermique sur le poids unitaire total et le poids unitaire sec est démontrée et pour des échantillons gelés et pour des échantillons dégelés. Les conductivités thermiques ainsi déterminées sont en bon accord avec d'autres valeurs obtenues pour des sols semblables et pour poids unitaire et teneur d'eau correspondants. [Traduit par la Revue]

Introduction

Construction activities and the operation of engineering structures in the North will alter the natural ground thermal regime. The amount of alteration will depend upon factors such as disturbance during construction and the operating temperatures of the structures. If thawing of the permafrost foundation soils does take place, then it will be desirable to be able to predict the amount and rate of thawing. For some situations this is essential because of dramatic differences in the strength, settlement, and permeability characteristics of ice-rich permafrost soils in the frozen and thawed states.

There is a particular need to be able to predict ground temperatures around warm pipelines in permafrost. Mackenzie Valley Pipe Line Research Limited therefore undertook

Can. Geotech. J., 12, 413 (1975)

investigations of the effects of a warm pipeline on the ground thermal regime at a test facility near Inuvik, Northwest Territories, where the top 10 to 15 ft (3 to 4.6 m) of ground consists mainly of ice-rich soil.

An important property required for ground temperature calculations is the thermal conductivity of the soil. Little information is available on the thermal conductivity of ice-rich permafrost soils in the frozen and thawed condition. A joint research project was undertaken by Mackenzie Valley Pipe Line Research Limited and the Division of Building Research, National Research Council of Canada, to obtain conductivity values for the soils at the Inuvik test facility.

The thermal conductivity of large-diameter cores of ice-rich soil was measured with a cylindrical probe in a field laboratory located at Inuvik, and under more precisely controlled conditions in the laboratories of the Division of Building Research at Ottawa. Two methods of maintaining the temperatures of the frozen cores below freezing (dry ice and controlled

¹Present address: R. M. Hardy and Associates Limited, 218–18th St., S.E., Calgary, Alberta T2E 6J5.

²Present address: Acres Consulting Services Limited, 990-125-9th Ave., S.E., Calgary, Alberta T2G 0P6.



FIG. 1. Thermal conductivity probe.

temperature freezer) were used in bringing the cores from Inuvik to Ottawa. This paper presents the results of the investigation.

Thermal Conductivity Probe

A thermal conductivity probe (Fig. 1) was developed specifically for taking measurements in ice-rich permafrost soils (Slusarchuk and Foulger 1973). It is 13 in. (33 cm) long and $\frac{3}{8}$ in. (0.95 cm) in diameter, and its heat output was kept sufficiently low to ensure that the resulting temperature rise would be small (as little as 0.3 °C) during a 30- to 60-min test. It is simple to operate, portable, and reasonably rugged, so that it can be used in the field as well as in the laboratory.

The theory for the probe was presented earlier by Blackwell (1954) and Jaeger

414

(1956). For the present case it is assumed that the probe has a finite radius and heat capacity and that a contact resistance is present between the probe and the medium. If heat is supplied at a constant rate, beginning at time zero, the temperature rise is given by (Jaeger 1956)

[1]
$$T = \frac{Q}{k} G\left(\frac{k}{bH}, \frac{2\pi b^2 \rho c}{MC}, \frac{\alpha t}{b^2}\right)$$

where T = temperature rise,

- Q = heat flux per unit length of probe,
- k = thermal conductivity of soil,
- G = an integral function,
- b = radius of probe,
- H = conductance of contact layer,
- $\rho = \text{density of medium},$
- c = mass heat capacity of medium,
- M = mass of probe per unit length,
- C =mass heat capacity of probe,
- α = thermal diffusivity of soil,
- t = time.

The G function can be expanded into a series, and by neglecting terms that rapidly become small the temperature rise of the probe can be shown to be

[2]
$$T = \frac{Q}{4\pi k} \left(\ln \left(\frac{4\alpha t}{b^2 D} \right) + \frac{2k}{bH} \right)$$

where D = 1.7811 (the natural logarithm of Euler's constant). The thermal properties of the probe are not involved in this long-term solution, but the effect of the contact layer is present. If temperatures are taken within the probe at different times, the thermal conductivity of the surrounding medium is given by

[3]
$$k = \frac{Q}{4\pi (T_2 - T_1)} \ln \left(\frac{t_2}{t_1}\right)$$

Equation [3] shows that the measured value does not depend on the thermal properties of the probe, the thermal resistance of the contact layer, or the radial position of the temperature sensing device within the probe.

In the development of Eq. [3] it was assumed that the cylindrical heat source was infinitely long and that it was placed in a sample with an infinite diameter. Blackwell (1956) showed that although probes of finite length departed from these assumptions, the errors in the measured values of conductivity would be small (less than 1%) if the lengthto-diameter ratio of the probe was greater than 25. This error due to axial heat flow in the probe is time dependent, however, and for the probe and samples used in this study the duration of the test period was limited to 30 to 60 min in order to make it negligible.

The diameter of the samples was established using the criterion recommended by Wechsler (1966). It assumes that the measured conductivity values do not have a significant error if the heat flowing through or reflected by the radial boundaries of the sample is much less than the heat supplied by the probe. This criterion can be expressed by

$$[4] \qquad \exp\left(-\frac{R^2}{4\alpha t}\right) \leqslant 0.02$$

where R = radius of sample. Samples approximately 12 in. (30.5 cm) in diameter were obtained for the testing program in order to satisfy this criterion.

Calibration

The guarded hot plate is the standard method recommended for determining the thermal conductivity of a material (ASTM C177-63). Because of the inherent advantages of determining thermal conductivity of perma-frost with a probe, it was selected as the test apparatus, but it was calibrated using the standard guarded hot plate method. The procedures, equipment, and materials used during calibration of the probes (five were used in the test and calibration programs) and the results obtained are discussed elsewhere (Slusarchuk and Foulger 1973).

Sampling and Sample Handling

All the samples of ice-rich permafrost were obtained in the vicinity of Inuvik, Northwest Territories, during the spring of 1972, using a specially fabricated 5-ft (1.5-m) long singlewall core barrel with an inside diameter of 13 in. (33 cm) and a wall thickness of 1 in. (2.54 cm). The barrel was advanced by slowly rotating it under constant pressure, using a Nodwell Mounted Mayhew Rig. After penetrating to the desired depth, the barrel was extracted and the core freed. Ambient air temperatures were below freezing during this operation. From the cores, 24 samples approximately 15 in. (38 cm) in length and 12 in. (30.5 cm) in diameter were obtained. The samples were wrapped in plastic and stored in a walk-in freezer, which also served as the field laboratory in which conductivity and bulk unit weight measurements were performed.

When the series of tests had been completed at Inuvik, the samples were divided into two groups. One group was transported to Ottawa in freezers whose temperature was maintained at about -5 ± 2 °C; the second was transported under uncontrolled freezing conditions in insulated boxes using dry ice as the cold source. The two methods were used to check whether the simpler and cheaper method of using dry ice significantly affected the results.

Probe Tests

Thermal conductivity tests were performed on ice-rich permafrost soil samples in the frozen and thawed states. In the frozen state the samples were tested at a temperature of 19 ± 0.6 °F (-7.2 ± 0.3 °C) at Inuvik and at a temperature of 24 ± 0.25 °F ($-4.4 \pm$ 0.15 °C) at Ottawa. After testing in Ottawa, the frozen samples were thawed and tested again at a temperature of approximately $70 \pm$ 0.5 °F (21.1 ± 0.3 °C). The tests were categorized as follows:

Frozen samples (undisturbed permafrost) (a) Tested in Inuvik;

- (b) Tested in Ottawa—shipped in dry ice;
- (c) Tested in Ottawa—shipped in controlled temperature freezers.

Thawed samples

- (a) Tested in Ottawa—thawed under load;
- (b) Tested in Ottawa—reconstituted.

In all, 235 tests were performed on the undisturbed permafrost samples, 137 tests at Inuvik and 98 at Ottawa. A total of 58 tests were performed on the thawed permafrost soil samples, 42 tests on samples thawed under load and 16 on reconstituted samples.

Tests on Undisturbed Permafrost Samples

Twenty-four samples were tested in the frozen state at Inuvik and 15 samples at Ottawa. Of the 15 samples tested at Ottawa, 10 were shipped in dry ice.

Sample Preparation

A cylindrical hole $\frac{3}{8}$ in. (0.95 cm) in diameter and approximately 15 in. (~38 cm)

in length was drilled in each permafrost sample to permit insertion of the thermal conductivity probe. Located along the longitudinal axis of the core, the hole was drilled with a $3/_8$ -in. (0.95 cm) diameter bit that had a 15-in. (38.1 cm) flute. A variable speed drill was clamped to a specially fabricated drill stand so that the hole could be drilled vertically. When possible, it was drilled in one pass at the lowest speed possible without having the bit stick. If the bit stopped rotating, it quickly froze in place and was difficult to remove. A $\frac{1}{4}$ -in. (0.63-cm) carbide tipped bit was used when a stone was encountered.

The holes were plugged immediately after drilling with a 3/8-in. (0.95 cm) diameter stainless steel tube greased with silicone. This greased plug was inserted to eliminate sublimation of the ice exposed in the hole. The silicone grease also filled the air voids between the plug and the permafrost and kept the plug from freezing in place. The samples were rewrapped tightly with plastic sheeting (to reduce sublimation from the outside surfaces of the sample) and stored for testing.

Test Procedure

A sample required approximately 24 to 28 h to re-establish its original, constant temperature after a hole had been drilled in it. After this time it was moved to the test area of the cold room and placed on a 2-in. (5.08cm) thick slab of styrofoam insulation. The stainless steel plug was removed and a probe with a surface layer of silicone grease was inserted into the hole. The probe had to be eased into the hole with a clamp attachment because of the tight fit. The clamp was secured to the top of the steel tube portion of the probe and was removed after insertion. The depth of placement of the probe was recorded. Two layers of glass fibre insulation 1 in. (2.54 cm) thick were wrapped around the sample and a 2-in. (5.08-cm) styrofoam slab placed on top. This slab had a hole in it sufficiently large to permit the electrical leads from the control unit to be attached to the probe.

The temperature of the sample was monitored before a test began. If the temperatures recorded over a $\frac{1}{2}$ -h period did not drift more than ± 0.005 °C, then measurement started. Temperatures were also monitored at the interface of the permafrost and insulation and at the outside surface of the insulation. All measurements were taken at approximately mid-height of the samples.

A measurement began when the heater coil in the probe was turned on (time zero). Temperatures were recorded at various times over a period of 30 to 60 min. The voltage and resistance of the heater were recorded at intervals so that the power input could be calculated. The time-temperature data were plotted on semi-logarithmic graph paper (time on the logarithm scale) and thermal conductivity was determined from the slope of the straight line portion of the curve. In some cases temperatures were monitored after the measurement had been completed (*i.e.*, after current to the heater had been cut off) to obtain a cooling curve. The samples had to cool for a period of approximately 24 h before the temperature returned to its original, constant value and another measurement could be made. At least two measurements were made on each sample.

Types of Test

Various types of test were performed and are described below.

1. *Repeats.* A probe was positioned in the sample and a second run made without disturbing the probe in any way to establish accuracy of measurement.

2. Rotations. Thermistors were positioned on one side of each probe beneath the heater coil. Rotation runs were conducted to determine whether probe orientation (*i.e.*, position of thermistor) affected the results. The probes were rotated 180° without vertical movement after each measurement had been completed, and another was made the next day without further disturbance of the probe.

3. *Pull-Ups*. These tests were conducted to determine whether measured thermal conductivity changed when the sensing portion (thermistor) of the probe was positioned at a different level within the sample. The probe was moved 1 in. (2.54 cm) between runs.

4. *Pull-Outs*. The probe was pulled out of each sample between tests and re-inserted to the same elevation, at the same time maintaining the original probe orientation. The tests were run to determine the reproduceability of the measurements. This information was re-

quired for the analysis of the series of tests on the interchangeability of probes.

5. Interchangeability of Probes. These tests were performed to determine whether the measured thermal conductivity of a sample was the same for each probe. A number of samples were tested with three probes.

6. Method of Transportation. As previously mentioned, the samples were maintained at temperatures below freezing during transportation from Inuvik to Ottawa by placing dry ice around them (uncontrolled temperature) or by placing them inside freezers (controlled temperature). Tests were run to determine whether thermal conditions during transportation affected thermal conductivity.

Tests on Thawed Permatrost Samples

Measurements were made on eighteen samples in the thawed state. Ten of the samples were thawed in containers at room temperature under a load of 211 to 295 p.s.f. (1135 to 1440 kg/m²). Some of the thawed material was dried and eight samples were prepared from it. Measurements were made on four of the samples in a completely dry state, and on four in a nearly saturated condition.

Preparation of Samples Thawed Under Load

Because the permafrost samples had different diameters, four thaw containers were fabricated with diameters of 11, 12, 12^{1/2}, and 13 in. (28, 30.5, 31.8, and 33 cm). They were 18 and 24 in. (45.7 and 61 cm) in height and were made from ¹/s-in. (0.31-cm) aluminum sheeting with a ^{1/4}-in. (0.63-cm) thick aluminum base. Upon thawing, settlement of some of the samples was so great that an insufficient amount of material remained for measurement and it was necessary to thaw two such samples together to obtain one that was 12 to 15 in. (30.5 to 38.2 cm) in height.

The samples were thawed at a temperature of approximately 70 °F (~21 °C). An aluminum platten ¹/₄ in. (0.63 cm) thick, with a diameter of slightly less than 11, 12, 12¹/₂, or 13 in. (28, 30.5, 31.8, and 33 cm), was placed on top of the sample. A weight of 192 lb (87 kg) was placed on the platten, giving a load from 211 to 295 p.s.f. (1135 to 1446 kg/m²) depending on the diameter. Elevations were taken prior to and during thawing to deter-

Sample No.	Sp. Gr.	Ice content %	Bulk unit weight (p.c.f.)	Dry unit weight (p.c.f.)	Thermal conductivity (W/m °C)
72-15-1-2(T)	2.48	135.8	78.3	34.7	1.69
72-15-1-2(B)	2.48	135.8	72.2	25.1	1.60
72-15-1-3	2.5	141	76.5	31.7	1.57
72-15-1-4(T)	2.72	95.1	83.8	41.2	1.58
72-15-1-4(B)	2.72	95.1	83.2	40.4	1.71
72-15-2-3	2.75	152	82.6	39.2	1.56
72-15-3-1	2.75	231	70.6	21.4	1.55
72-15-3-5(T)	2.75	112	82.3	38.8	1.63
72-15-3-5(B)	2.75	109	83.0	39.8	1.75
72-15-5-1(T)	2.75	32.9	111.8	73.4	1.49
72-15-5-1(B)	2.75	36.9	111.5	82.2	2.12
72-15-5-2	2.75	38.9	110.0	80.0	2.23
72-15-5-3	2.74	25.4	125.2	93.5	2.16
72-15-6-3(T)	2.75	49.0	100.2	65.4	2.01
72-15-6-3(B)	2.75	49.0	110.6	80.9	2.07
72-15-6-4	2.75	29.6	117.3	90.8	2.19
72-15-6-5	2.75	25.4	120.6	95.4	2.25
72-15-7-1(T)	2.75	47.1	103.0	69.6	2.06
72-15-7-1(B)	2,75	49.8	102.0	68.1	1.92
72-15-7-2(T)	2.75	36.1	95.5	58.4	1.87
72-15-7-2(M)	2.75	36.1	112.0	82.9	2.02
72-15-7-2(B)	2.75	35.9	110.8	81.6	2.24
72-15-8-2(T)	2.75	60.0	97.0	60.6	1.75
72-15-8-2(B)	2.75	80.0	89.7	49.8	1.81

TABLE 1. Data for undisturbed permafrost samples

mine settlement. These readings were taken until the elevation change in a 4-h period was negligible (less than 1/32 in. (0.08 cm)), when the load and aluminum platten were removed.

Test Procedure

A probe was pushed carefully into the thawed sample. The sample was wrapped with 4 in. (10.2 cm) of glass fibre insulation to damp out temperature oscillations of the room. Measurements were made after temperature equilibrium had been established. At least two runs were made on each sample.

Preparation of Reconstituted, Thawed Permafrost Samples

After the permafrost samples (thawed under load) had been tested, the soil was dried in an oven and pulverized in a muller. The resulting dry pulverized material was placed in layers in a sample holder 12 in. (30.5 cm) in diameter and 13³/₄ in. (34.8 cm) in height for testing. The probe was positioned in the center of the container, the soil placed in 4-in. (10.2cm) layers around it, and compacted using a 3-in. (7.6-cm) diameter wooden dowel. When the container was filled an acrylic plastic lid was placed on top to prevent moisture movement into the sample from the atmosphere.

Sample preparation for the reconstituted, saturated soil consisted of mixing water with the dry pulverized soil in the muller until a predetermined water content had been attained. This soil was then placed in a container identical to the one used for the dry reconstituted samples. The soil was placed in 4-in. (10.2-cm) lifts and compacted with a 3-in. (7.6-cm) diameter wooden dowel. A lid was placed on the container so that the water in the sample would not evaporate.

The reconstituted samples were wrapped with insulation and tested after temperature equilibrium had been established. At least two runs were made on each sample.

Classification Tests

Several properties in addition to thermal conductivity were determined. These properties are given in Tables 1, 2, and 3 for both the frozen and thawed samples. Typically, the soil consisted of approximately 9% sand, 55% silt, and 36% clay-size particles and was classified as ML-CL according to the Unified Clas-

		Bulk unit	Water	Dry unit		Thermal
Sample No.	Sp. Gr.	weight (p.c.f.)	content (%)	weight (p.c.f.)	Saturation (%)	(W/m °C)
72-15-1-2T 72-15-1-2B	2.48	97.1	60.9	60.9	98.1	0.085
72-15-1-4T) 72-15-1-4B (2.72	97.9	59.9	61.3	92.0	0.946
72-15-5-1B	2.75	138.7	27.8	108.4	131*	1.53
72-15-5-2	2.75	128.9	22.8	105.0	98.9	1.66
72-15-5-3) 72-15-6-5)	2.74	135.7	17.6	115.4	100	1.91
72-15-6-3T) 72-15-6-3B	2.75	127.8	25.1	102.7	103	1.67
72-15-7-1T) 72-15-5-1T)	2.75	125.2	25.4	99.9	97.2	1.37
72-15-7-2T 72-15-7-2M	2.75	123.4	20.0	105.7	88	1.55
72-15-7-2B Ó	2.72	126.2	25.2	100.8	100.4	1.56
72-15-8-2T 72-15-8-2B	2.75	113.4	38.6	81.9	97.0	0.983

TABLE 2. Data for soil thawed under load

*A rock was found in this sample.

Sample No.	Sp. Gr.	Bulk unit weight (p.c.f.)	Water content (%)	Dry unit weight (p.c.f.)	Saturation (%)	Thermal conductivity (W/m °C)
72-15-1-2B	2,48	68.6	1.4	67.5	2.7	0.156
72-15-5-3	2.74	102.1	0.6	101.5	2.4	0.294
72-15-6-3B	2.75	88.3	1.1	87.3	3.1	0.212
72-15-7-2T	2.75	90.1	0.6	89.5	1.8	0.198
72-15-1-2B	2.48	100.7	44.8	69.6	88	0.984
72-15-5-3	2.74	120.3	18.1	101.7	74	1.50
72-15-6-3B	2.75	118.6	34.0	88.4	99	1.39
72-15-7-2T	2.75	114.2	26.7	90.3	81	1.28

TABLE 3. Data for reconstituted soil samples

sification System. The results of X-ray diffraction tests on five samples indicated the presence of montmorillonite and vermiculite in the claysize fraction.

Results and Discussion

The calibration curve determined for the probes is shown in Fig. 2 (Slusarchuk and Foulger 1973). Thermal conductivity values determined for the permafrost samples in the frozen and thawed states were adjusted using this calibration curve.

Typical time-temperature rise curves for frozen and thawed samples are given in Figs. 3 and 4. The maximum temperature rise is shown to be less than 0.3 $^{\circ}C$ for the frozen

samples, and between 0.25 and 0.4 °C for the thawed samples. As shown by the data points presented in Figs. 3 and 4, a very linear time-temperature rise relation was obtained during the tests.

At least two measurements for each condition were performed on each sample, and in many cases several repeat runs were made. The average values of these repeat tests are given in Tables 1, 2, and 3 and are illustrated in Figs. 5 to 8. Least squares equations were determined for the various conductivity-unit weight relations; examples are shown on the graphs. The least squares equations determined for the thermal conductivity, k, (W/m °C) are;



FIG. 2. Cylindrical thermal conductivity probe calibration curve.

Thermal conductivity—bulk unit weight (frozen permafrost samples)

[5]
$$k = 0.0143_{\gamma_{b(f)}} + 0.505$$

where $\gamma_{b(f)} = \text{frozen bulk unit weight (lb/ft³)}.$

Thermal conductivity—dry unit weight (frozen permafrost samples)

[6]
$$k = 0.0101_{\gamma_{d(f)}} + 1.28$$

where $\gamma_{d(f)} = \text{frozen dry unit weight (lb/ft³)}.$

Thermal conductivity—bulk unit weight (permafrost samples thawed under load)

[7]
$$k = 0.225_{\gamma_{b(t)}} - 1.33$$

where $\gamma_{b(t)}$ = thawed bulk unit weight (lb/ft³). Thermal conductivity—dry unit weight

(permafrost samples thawed under load)

[8]
$$k = 0.0174_{\gamma_{d(t)}} - 0.234$$

where $\gamma_{d(t)}$ = thawed dry unit weight (lb/ft³). Thermal conductivity—bulk unit weight

(dry reconstituted thawed permafrost samples)

$$[9] k = 0.00384_{\gamma_{b(RD)}} - 0.121$$

where $\gamma_{b(RD)}$ = bulk unit weight of the dry reconstituted material (lb/ft³).



FIG. 3. Temperature rise of probe in frozen core.



FIG. 4. Temperature rise of probe in thawed core.

Special Tests

Several tests were performed on the frozen soil samples to determine the effect of variability in ice content, the effect of the different methods of transporting the samples from Inuvik to Ottawa, and the reproducibility of the measurements. The results of these tests are described below and summarized in Tables 4 and 5.

1. Repeats. In total, 90 repeat runs with no change in the conditions of measurement were performed on 24 samples. The arithmetic mean was calculated for each sample (Table 1) and the percentage deviation from the mean for each test run. For example, for sample 72-15-1-2T, four repeat runs were made with probe E giving a mean conductivity value of 1.69 W/m $^{\circ}$ C and deviations from this mean of

-5.3, 0.0, +2.4, +2.9%. The average deviation from the mean for the 90 runs was found to be 2.2%, with maximum deviations from the mean of +10.9 to -9.9% (Table 4). The results of these measurements are presented in Tables 5 and 6.

2. Rotations. In total, 18 rotation tests were performed on eight permafrost samples; the average of the means of the rotation tests was 1.3% lower than the average of the means of the repeat tests on the same samples. The average deviation of the rotation tests from the mean of the repeat measurements was 2.0%. The maximum deviations were +2 and -5.7%. These results show that the rotation of the probe did not significantly affect the measurements.

3. Pull-Out Tests. Sixteen pull-out tests were performed on five samples. The average deviation of the measurements from the mean for the repeat measurements on the same samples was 2.8%, and the maximum deviations +2.4 and -4.5%. The difference between the mean of the pull-out runs and the mean of the repeat tests on the same sample was -1.8%. These results show that some additional scatter is



FIG. 5. Frozen cores—thermal conductivity versus bulk unit weight.



FIG. 6. Frozen cores—thermal conductivity versus dry unit weight.



FIG. 7. Thawed cores—thermal conductivity versus dry unit weight.



FIG. 8. Thawed cores-thermal conductivity versus bulk unit weight.

introduced by pulling out and reinserting the probe, but that the effect is small.

4. *Pull-Up Tests*. Pull-up tests were performed on nine samples, with the probe pulled up 1 in. (2.54 cm) from the original probe position. Either two or three measurements were made in each position. Twenty runs were made giving an average deviation from the mean of the repeat tests on the same samples of 3.3%, and maximum deviations of +5.3 and -6.4%. The difference between the mean of the conductivity values for the nine samples and the mean of the repeat tests on the same samples was +0.08%. These results show that a small change in the vertical position of the probe within the sample affected the scatter of the results, but only to a relatively small degree. 5. Method of Transportation (Inuvik to Ottawa). Five samples shipped in dry ice and ten samples shipped under controlled conditions were retested with the same probe as was used at Inuvik. The results of these measurements are summarized in Table 4. The

average deviation from the mean of the repeat tests was 3.8% for samples shipped in dry ice, and 3.7% for samples shipped under controlled temperature conditions. The difference between the mean for the dry ice and the mean of the repeat tests was -1.4%; the corresponding difference for the samples shipped at 24 °F (-4.5 °C) was -1.3%. These results show that the method of transportation has no significant effect on thermal conductivity.

6. Interchangeability of Probes. In total, 97 tests were run on 15 samples to determine the effect of interchanging the probes. Five probes made to identical specifications were used in the tests. The results are summarized in Table 5. For a particular sample the average deviation of the results for a given probe from the mean for all probes varied from 1.44 to 2.00%. The difference between the average value for each probe and the mean of all interchangeability tests on the samples varied from -0.73 to +0.41%. These results indicate that the thermal conductivity value obtained did not depend upon the probe used for the test.

Comparison with Kersten's (1949) Data

The results of conductivity measurements on frozen and thawed permafrost samples were compared with those given by Kersten (1949). The data for the high ice content soils could not be compared, however, because they were outside the range of ice contents with which Kersten worked. Table 6 shows that close agreement was obtained in the range of water contents and dry unit weights common to both studies.

The thermal conductivity of pure ice is about 2.2 W/m °C. As shown in Table 6, the conductivity for ice-rich permafrost does not tend to the value for pure ice as the ice content increases. It is incorrect, therefore, to use the conductivity value of ice for ice-rich permafrost. The explanation offered for the observed lower values is that many small air bubbles and discontinuities are present in naturally occurring ice-rich permafrost. These tend to lower the effective conductivity of the permafrost.

Conclusions

1. Functional relations have been determined for permafrost samples between thermal conductivity and:

Type of test	No. of samples measured	Total No. of measurements	Average deviation of each run from mean of repeats (%)	Maximum deviations of one run from mean of repeats (%)	Net difference between mean of special test and mean of repeats (%)
Repeats	24	90	2.2	+10.9	
Rotations	8	18	2.0	- 9.9 + 2.0 - 5.7	-1.3
Pull-outs	5	16	2.8	- 3.7 + 2.4 - 4 5	-1.8
Pull-ups	9	20	3.3	+ 5.3	+0.08
Method of trans- portation				0.4	
(a) Dry ice (b) Controlled	5	10	3.8		-1.4
temperature	10	21	3.7	_	-1.3

TABLE 4. Summary of deviations of conductivity values for special tests on permafrost samples

TABLE 5. Summary of deviations of conductivity values for probe interchangeability tests on permafrost samples

Probe	No. of samples measured	No. of measurements	Average deviation of each run from mean of all interchangeability tests on the same samples (%)	Net difference between average value for one probe and mean of all interchange- ability tests on the same samples (%)
С	10	22	1.84	-0.14
D	8	18	1.44	-0.73
Ε	10	21	2.00	+0.03
F	10	20	1.58	+0.41
G	7	16	1.73	+0.32

TABLE 6. Comparison of conductivities from this study and those by Kersten (1949)

Material	Dry unit weight (p.c.f.)	Water content (this study) (%)	Study results (W/m °C)	Kersten (W/m °C)	Water content (Kersten) (%)
Frozen fine- grained soil	30	130	1.58	Outside range	_
· · · · ·	60	45	1.90	"	_
** **	90	27	2.20	2.05	29
Thawed fine- grained soil	60	60	0.8	Extrapolated to approx. 0.8	60
· · · · ·	90	22	1.3	1.33	32
** **	120	16	1.8	1.85	15

- (a) frozen bulk unit weight (Eq. [5]);
- (b) frozen dry unit weight (Eq. [6]);
- (c) thawed bulk unit weight (Eq. [7]) for nearly saturated soil;
- (d) thawed dry unit weight (Eq. [8]) for nearly saturated soil;
- (e) bulk unit weight (Eq. [9]) for reconstituted dry soil.

These equations should not be used for predicting thermal conductivity outside the range of unit weights and water contents presented in this paper.

2. Conductivity values for ice-rich, finegrained permafrost do not tend towards the value for pure ice.

3. Where there is overlap in sample water

content and unit weight (*i.e.*, at low water contents for these studies and high water contents for Kersten's studies) there is good agreement between the conductivity values obtained in the present studies and those of Kersten (1949).

4. It was possible to control the construction of the probes sufficiently to ensure that they all had the same calibration factor and performance characteristics.

5. No significant difference was observed between the thermal conductivities of samples shipped in dry ice and samples shipped under controlled temperature conditions. The temperature of shipping and storage was always below $25 \ ^{\circ}F \ (-4.4 \ ^{\circ}C)$, however, and this conclusion cannot automatically be applied to warmer permafrost samples.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the interest and assistance of their colleagues throughout this project, in particular Mr. R. K. Rowley, Mackenzie Valley Pipe Line Research Limited, and Dr. L. W. Gold and Mr. E. Penner, Division of Building Research.

The assistance of Mr. P. H. Foulger, Divi-

sion of Building Research, and Mr. F. Greebe, Mackenzie Valley Pipe Line Research Limited, in conducting the tests is gratefully acknowledged.

This paper is a joint contribution of Mackenzie Valley Pipe Line Research Limited and the Division of Building Research, National Research Council of Canada, and is published with the approval of the Research Coordinator of Mackenzie Valley Pipe Line Research Limited and the Director of the Division of Building Research.

- BLACKWELL, J. H. 1954. A transient-flow method for determination of thermal constants of insulating materials in bulk. J. Appl. Phys., 25, No. 2.
- BLACKWELL, J. H. 1956. The axial-flow error in the thermal conductivity probe. Can. J. Phys., 34, No. 4, pp. 412–417.
- JAEGER, J. C. 1956. Conduction of heat in an infinite region bounded internally by circular cylinder of a perfect conductor. Aust. J. Phys., 9, No. 2.
- KERSTEN, M. S. 1949. Thermal properties of soils. Univ. Minnesota, Eng. Exp. Stn., Bull. No. 28.
- SLUSARCHUK, W. A., and FOULGER, P. H. 1973. Development and calibration of a thermal conductivity probe apparatus for use in the field and laboratory. Nat. Res. Counc. Can., Div. Bldg. Res., NRC 13267.
- WECHSLER, A. E. 1966. Development of thermal conductivity probes for soils and insulations. Cold Reg. Res. Eng. Lab., Hanover, N.H., Tech. Report 182.