UCID - 16574

This is an informal report intended primarily for internal or limited external distribution. The opinions and conclusions stated are those of the author and may or may not be those of the laboratory.



LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY

University of California/Livermore, California

THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY

J. H. Van Sant

May 19, 1970

-NOTICE-

NOTICE This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government, Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, com-pleteness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

MASTER

19 1

Prepared for U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under contract no. W-7405-Eng-48

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

	. DATE	
SUBJECT	N AME	
ENGINEERING NOTE	END 70-27	1
LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	FILE NO.	PAGE

THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY

By

J. H. Van Sant

May 1 May 19, 1970

JVS:cva

Distribution:

- A. Austin
- W. Giedt
- R. Goluba
- J. Van Sant (4)

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FILE NO.	PAGE
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	END 70-27	2
	•	J. H. Van S	Sant
THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY		DATE 5/19/70	
		•	

NOMENCLATURE

a,b,A,B = constants

 $c_{1} = G \Phi_{1} / (lb \Phi_{1} / 15 - 3)$ $c_{2} = l.4 G \Phi_{2} / (\Phi_{2} + 3)$ $c_{p} = \text{specific heat}$

f = friction factor

g = gravitational constant

h = heat transfer coefficient

i = enthalpy

k = thermal conductivity

p = prousure

q = heat transfer rate

t = temperature

u, v = horizontal and vertical velocities

x,y = horizontal and vertical positions in film

Subscripts

d = dry-up

i = inlet

m = maximum

o = start of vaporization

t = thermal

T = total

v = vapor or velocity

w = wall

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY - UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	FILE NO.	PAGE
ENGINEERING NOTE	END 70-27	3
SUBJECT	NAME T. H. Van Sa	nt
THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY	DATE 5/19/70	

Greek Symbols

 δ = film a boundary layer thickness

 $\gamma = \text{shear stress}$ $\mu_{j} = \text{dynamic and kinematic viscosities}$ $\rho = \text{density}$

Dimensionless Parameters

$$G = g \delta_i^3 / y^2$$

$$I = i_{1v} / c_p (t_v - t_v)$$

$$Nu = h \delta_i / k , \text{Nusselt number}$$

$$Pr = y / \alpha , \text{Prandtl number}$$

$$Q = g_w \delta_i / k (t_w - t_v)$$

$$T = (t - t_v) / (t_v - t_v)$$

$$U = u \delta_i / y , \text{Reynolds number}$$

$$X = (x - x_v) / \delta_i$$

$$Y = \delta / \delta.$$

LAWR	ENCE	RAD I /	TION	LA	BOR	ATORY	•	UN I V	ERSIT	ΥC	OF (L LAC	FORN	1 A
•	Er	١G	IN	E	Ε	RI	N	G	Ì	N	0	T	Ε	
SUBJECT													<u></u>	

THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY

END 70-27 NAMEJ. H. Van Sant DATE 5/19/70

PAGE

4

FILE NO.

INTRODUCTION

Evaporation of liquids from a heated surface covered by a thin film poses an unusual case, in that heat transfer rates can be much higher than in the pool evaporation condition. Evaporation rates from a thin film are attributed mostly to conduction while in a pool, free convection is the principle mode.

Consider for now, a horizontal, flat plate, held at a steady temperature, with a constant liquid film height on one end supplied by a reservoir at some temperature less than or equal to the plate temperature (Figure 1). As the liquid flows across the plate from the reservoir a velocity boundary-layer developes in a manner that is probably similar to that of a flat plate exposed to a uniform parallel flow. The velocity boundary-layer thickness increases until it is equal to the film thickness (Figure 2). From this location the film thickness δ and velocity boundary-layer thickness so as to create a negative pressure gradient on the plate surface. Thus, the magnitude of the maximum velocity must vary along the plate whether evaporation occurs or not. However, evaporation must occur to achieve a steady-state condition of the flow. Consequently, at some location all the liquid will be evaporated and the film thickness will be zero.

The temperature profile should develop in a manner similar to the velocity profile (Figure 3). A thermal boundary-layer δ_t increases along the plate until it equals the film thickness δ . If the inlet temperature t_i is less than the liquid vapor temperature t_v , then an additional length is needed to allow the surface temperature of the film to change from t_i to t_v before evaporation begins.

In the region where evaporation occurs, $o < x < x_0$, there is a coupling between heat and mass flow equations. Evaporation caused by heat transfer results in mass-loss from the film thus effecting the mass

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	· · · ·	FILE NO.	PAGE
ENGINEERING NOTE	· · ·	END 70-27	5
SURJECT	•	NAME J. H. Van St	nt
THIN FILM EVALORATION-THEORY	•	UATE 5/19/70	<u></u>

and momentum flow. A simultaneous solution of the appropriate mass, momentum, and energy equations are required in order to estimate heat transfer rates and location of film dry-up, both of which are important quantities in this type of problem.

One might speculate that the posed phenomena could be solved in three or four separate regions; namely, the velocity development up to evaporation, the temperature development up to the location of a fully-developed profile x_t , temperature development in the region $x_t < x < x_0$, and temperature and velocity development in the evaporation region $x > x_0$. A solution for the latter region will be given herein for laminar and turbulent flow. The entrance region is a much more difficult problem and a solution has not yet been achieved. However, it will be shown that a very small portion of the total heat transferred is in the entrance region.

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

At some location x_0 on a horizontal plate of steady temperature t_w , a liquid film of thickness δ_0 exists. Both the velocity and temperature profiles are "fully-developed" at this location and evaporation occurs. The problem is to estimate the location of "dry-up" and local heat transfer rates. Underlying assumptions are:

> steady-state heat and mass flow constant fluid properties negligible heat conduction in the direction parallel to the plate no viscous heating no nucleate boiling

INTERGAL EQUATIONS

Continuity

The conservation of mass principle is applied to the Δx by H element shown extending from the



LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA FILE NO. PAGE ENGINEERING NOTE END 70-27 6 SUBJECT N AME J. H. Van Sant THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY DATE 5/19/70 wall into the vapor region. (Mass flow out) - (Mass flow in) = 0 (1) $\left[\int_{0}^{H} \mu dy\right]_{X + \Delta X} - \left[\int_{0}^{H} \mu dy\right] + \rho_{v} v_{v} \Delta X = 0$ (2) $\frac{d}{dx} \left[\int_{pudy}^{H} p_{v}v_{v} = 0 \right]$ (3) Since pu = 0 for $\delta \leq y \leq H$ and $p_v v_v$ is constant for $y > \delta$, equation (3) becomes $p\frac{d}{dx}\left[\int^{\circ} u dy\right] + p v = 0$ (4) Momentum The conservation of momentum principle applied to the same ΔX by H element can be stated as (Momentum out) - (Momentum in) = \sum Vector forces (5) The direction of momentum and external forces must, of course, be complimentary and are taken in the x-direction. The

boundary-shear forces

external forces are made up of pressure and viscous

$$\frac{\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ AVERGET ADDALTOR WINDOWS TO CALIFORNIA} \\ \hline \text{ENGINEERING NOTE} \\ \hline \text{ENGINEERING NOTE} \\ \hline \text{ENGINEERING NOTE} \\ \hline \text{ENGINEERING J. H. VAR BARL} \\ \hline \text{THEN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY} \\ \hline \text{THEN FILM EVAPORATION OF EXPENSION OF EXPLOYING ADD THEORY EVAPORATION OF EXPLOYING ADD THEORY ADD THEORY FOR THE STILL STORE CONVECTED HEAT IN THE ADD THE STORE STORE FILM ADD TO THE STORE ST$$

•

1

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA FILE NO. PAGE ENGINEERING NOTE END 70-27 8 SUBJECT NAME J. H. Van Sant THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY DATE 5/19/70 p,ĭ,V, piu $\left[\int_{0}^{H} iudy\right] - \left[\int_{0}^{H} iudy\right] + \rho i_{v}v_{v}\Delta x - q_{w}\Delta x = 0$ (12) where i = enthalpy. j. Using the continuity equation (4) and the same argument for changing H to δ 'yields . $p\frac{d}{dx}\left[\int^{\delta}(i-i_{v})udy\right] - q_{w} = 0$ (13) Note that $\dot{L} - \dot{L}_{V} = \dot{L} - \dot{L}_{sat.lig.} + \dot{L}_{sat.lig} - \dot{L}_{v}$ (14) $= c_p(t-t_y) - i_{j_y}$ where i, is the energy required for phase change from liquid to vapor. $\int_{dx} \left[\int_{c_p(t-t_v)}^{s} - i_{i_v} \right] u dy = 0$ ·(15)

htwang (here adding)

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY - UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	FILE NO.	PAGE
ENGINEERING NOTE	END 70-27	9
SUBJECT	J. H. Van	Sant
THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY	 DATE 5/19/70	

Dimensionless Equations

In order to generalize the applicable integral equations (4), (10), and (15) and to reduce the number of variables, the following dimensionless parameters are selected.

$$T = (t-t_v)/(t_w-t_v)$$

$$U = u\delta_i / v$$

$$X = (x-x_o) / \delta_i$$

$$\Delta = \delta / \delta_i$$

$$I = i_{1v}/c_p(t_w-t_v)$$

$$G = \int \delta_i^2 / v^2$$

The continuity equation (4) now becomes

$$\frac{d}{dX} \left[\int_{0}^{\Delta} \mathcal{U} dY \right] + p_{v} v_{v} s_{i} / \mu = 0$$

The momentum equation (10) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dX} \left[\int_{0}^{A} \mathcal{U}_{0}^{2} |Y] + \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial Y} \right]_{Y=0}^{2} + \frac{G d\Lambda^{2}}{2 dX} = 0 \quad (17)$$

(16)

The energy equation (15) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dX}\left[\int_{0}^{4} (T-I)\mathcal{U}dY\right] + P_{r}\left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial Y}\right]_{Y=0} = 0$$
(18)

Note that $T_w = [u \partial u / \partial y]_{y=0}$ and $q_w = -[k \partial t / \partial y]_{y=0}$ are used in equations (17) and (18).

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY - UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA		FILE NO.	PAGE
ENGINEERING NOTE		END 70-27	10
SUBJECT	• <u>••••••••••••••••••••••</u> •••••••••••••	J. H. Van S	ant
THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY	· .	DATE 5/19/70	

SOLUTIONS - LAMINAR FLOW

Profiles

The integral equations (16), (17), and (18) do not specify laminar or turbulent flow, but it is necessary to specify the velocity and temperature profiles in order to perform the integration. Therefore, a quadratic approximation for both is assumed in the laminar flow case.

Velocity:

In dimensionless form, the velocity profile is given as

$$(Y) = a_0 + a_1 Y + a_2 Y^2$$

(19)

(23)

(24)

(26)

Boundary conditions are given as

$$\mathcal{U}(0) = 0, \ \mathcal{U}(\Delta) = \mathcal{U}_{m}, \ \partial \mathcal{U}(\Delta) / \partial Y = 0$$
(20)

The first condition is the "no-slip at the wall" requirement, the second is a maximum value at the film surface which is unknown at this point, and the third is a zero shear for the free surface. Using these three gives

$$\mathcal{U}(Y)/\mathcal{U}_{m} = 2Y/\Delta - Y^{2}/\Delta^{2}$$
⁽²¹⁾

$$\mathcal{U}(o)/\partial Y = 2\mathcal{U}_m/\Delta \qquad (22)$$

$$U/U_{m}^{2} = 4Y^{2}/\Delta^{2} - 4Y^{3}/\Delta^{3} + Y^{4}/\Delta^{4}$$

$$\int_{0}^{\Delta} \mathcal{U}^{2} dY = \frac{8}{15} \mathcal{U}^{2} \Delta$$

Temperature:

$$T(Y) = b_0 + b_1 Y + b_2$$

with the boundary conditions given as

T(0) = 1, T(4) = 0, $(\partial^2 T/\partial \gamma^2)_{\gamma=0} = 0$

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA		FILE NO.	PAGE
ENGINEERING NOTE	END	70-27	11
SUBJECT	N AME	~ ~ ~	· · ·
		J. H. Van	Sant
THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY	DATE	5/19/70	

The first two conditions specify the fixed values of temperature in the film and the third is derived from the differential energy equation stated as

$$u \partial t / \partial x + v \partial t / \partial y = \partial^2 t / \partial x^2 + \partial^2 t / \partial y^2$$

At the wall $u = v = \partial t / \partial y = 0$, thus $\partial^2 t / \partial y^2$ be zero.

must also

(27)

Using the conditions (25) in equation (24) yields

$$T(Y) = I - Y/\Delta$$
⁽²⁸⁾

$$\partial T(0)/\partial Y = -1/\Delta$$
 (29)

$$(T-I)U = U_{m}[2(I-I)Y/\Delta + (I-3)Y^{2}/\Delta^{2} + Y^{3}/\Delta^{3}]$$
(30)

$$\int_{0}^{\Delta} (T-\overline{I}) \mathcal{U} dY = \mathcal{U}_{m} \Delta \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{3} \overline{I} \right)$$
(31)

Solutions

If equations (22) and (24) are used in the momentum equation (17), the result is

$$\frac{d}{dX} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{8}{15} \mathcal{U}_{m}^{2} \Delta \end{pmatrix} + 2\mathcal{U}_{m}^{2} \Delta + \frac{G}{2} \frac{d\Delta^{2}}{dX} = 0 \qquad (32)$$

or

$$\frac{d\mathcal{U}_{m}}{dX} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{m}}{2\Delta} + \frac{15}{16}\frac{G}{\mathcal{U}_{m}}\right)\frac{d\Delta}{dX} + \frac{15}{8\Delta^{2}} = 0 \qquad (33)$$

Likewise, by using equations (29) and (31) in the energy equation (18) we obtain

 $\frac{d}{dX}\left(\mathcal{U}_{m}\Delta\Phi\right) + \frac{P_{r}}{\Delta} = 0$ (34)

1 000 (0 4/44)

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{ LANGENCE TABLET IN UNIVERSITY OF CALLFORNA
ENGINEERING NOTE
THENGINEERING NOTE
THEN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY
$$\frac{1}{2} \text{ LESS TO -27} \qquad 122$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{ LESS TO -27} \qquad 122$$$$

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY - UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA ENGINEERING NOTE		FILE NO. END 70-27	PAGE 13
SUBJECT		J. H. Van S	Sant
THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY	· .	DATE 5/19/70	

The variables in this equation are separable and a solution can be obtained by integration.

 $\int_{A_{o}}^{A} \int_{0}^{3/2} dA + \int_{0}^{X} \frac{15}{(8 + \frac{15}{2} G_{i}) VC_{i}} dX = 0$ (40)

$$\Delta = \left[\Delta_{o}^{5/2} - \frac{75X}{(16 + 15G/C_{i})VC_{i}}\right]^{2/5}$$

(41)

(42)

(45)

At the location of film dry-up X_d the film thickness will be zero, thus from (41)

$$\chi_{d} = \frac{\Delta_{0}^{5/2}}{75} (16 + 15 G/C_{1}) \sqrt{C_{1}}$$

Local heat transfer rates can now be calculated by determining the wall heat flux.

$$\begin{aligned}
q_{w} &= -k \partial t(0) / \partial y \\
&= k (t_{w} - t_{v}) \delta \\
Nu_{w} &= \frac{1}{\Delta} = \left[\Delta_{0}^{5/2} - \frac{75X}{(16 + 15G/C_{v})VZ_{v}} \right]^{-2/5} (44)
\end{aligned}$$

where Nu_{W} is the dimensionless Nusselt number $q_{W} \delta_{i} / k(t_{N} - t_{v})$ Note that equations (41) and (44) can also be written as

 $\Delta = \Delta_o \left(1 - X / X_d \right)^{2/5}$

at have die ... adama

or

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY - UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA ENGINEERING NOTE

SUBJECT

THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY

(46)

$$Nu_{w} = \Delta_{v}^{-1} (1 - X/X_{d})^{-2/5}$$

Total heat transfer rates in the evaporation region $x_0 < x < x_d$ can also be determined by an over all heat balance.

$$g_{t_{o}} = \int_{0}^{s_{o}} u(i_{v}-i) dy = \int_{0}^{s_{o}} u[i_{v}-c_{p}(t-t_{v})] dy \quad (47)$$

or in dimensionless terms

$$Q_{T_o} = P_r \int_{c}^{\Delta_o} \mathcal{U}(I-T) dY \qquad (48)$$

$$= P_{r} \int_{0}^{\Delta_{o}} \mathcal{U}_{m} (2Y/\Delta_{o} - Y^{2}/\Delta_{o}^{2}) (I - I + Y/\Lambda_{o}) dY \quad (49)$$

$$Q_{T_0} = \frac{P_r^2 \Delta_0^{2/3} \sqrt{C_i}}{\Phi_i}$$

(50)

However, if we wish to determine the total heat transfer rate based on uniform velocity and temperature profiles at the leading edge of the plate, then

$$q_{T_{i}} = \rho u_{i}(i_{r}-i_{i}) = \rho u_{i}[i_{r}-c_{p}(t_{i}-t_{r})]$$
(51)

or

(52)

From continuity and equations (21) and (38) we can derive

$$\mathcal{U}_{i} = \frac{2}{3} \Delta_{o}^{3/2} \sqrt{C},$$

 $Q_{T_i} = \Pr \mathcal{U}_i \left(I - T_i \right)$

(53)

AWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	FILE NO.	PAGE
ENGINEERING NOTE	END 70-27	15
ECT	J. H. Van	Sant
THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY .	DATE 5/10/70	
	· · ·	
13/2 - 1>	a state and a state of the stat	
or $Q_{\perp} = 2 P_r \Delta^{\prime} VC_{\perp} (I - T_r)$	(54)	
T_{L} $\overline{3}$		
······		
1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 1 - 7 - 7		
$g_{1ving} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$	())	
$1 - \frac{3}{2}$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	• • • • •	•

Profiles

Velocity:

The 1/5th power low profile for velocity is selected as it has been shown to approximate low Reynolds number flow on flat plates very well¹. We can then use

$$\frac{\mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{U}_{m}} = \left(\frac{Y}{\Delta}\right)^{1/5} \text{ and } \int_{0}^{\Delta} \mathcal{U}_{d}^{2}Y = \frac{5}{7}\mathcal{U}_{m}^{2}\Delta \tag{56}$$

Wall shearing stress for a flat plate related to the velocity boundarylayer thickness has been given as (-2, -3, -3).

$$\mathcal{T}_{w} = 0.0228 \, \underline{\rho u^{2}} \left(\frac{\nu}{u \, \delta}\right)^{\prime 4} \tag{57}$$

or for the present case

$$\frac{9 \tilde{T}_{w} \delta_{i}^{2}}{\nu^{2} \rho} = 0.0228 \mathcal{U}_{m}^{2} (\mathcal{U}_{m} \Delta)^{\prime \prime 4}$$
⁽⁵⁸⁾

Temperature:

Similarity between velocity and temperature profiles are assumed, thus

¹J. G. Knudsen and D. L. Katz, <u>Fluid Dynamics and Heat Transfer</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1958, Pg. 274.

BL-398 (Bev. 4/57)

FILE NO. LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PAGE ENGINEERING NOTE 16 END 70-27 SUBJECT N AME J. H. Van Sant THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY 5/19/70 $\frac{t - t_w}{t - t} = \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{1/\delta} \quad \text{or} \quad T = 1 - \left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{1/\delta}$ (59) $\int (I-i) u dY = \frac{5}{6} U_m \Delta \left(\frac{1}{7} - I\right)$ (60) The wall heat flux is expressed as $-\left[k\partial t/\partial y\right]_{y=0} = h(t_n - t_n) \text{ or } Nu_n = -\partial T(o)/\partial Y \quad (61)$ Colburn's analogy between heat and momentum transfer is now applied¹ $Nu_{w} = \frac{f}{2} U_{m} Pr'^{3}$ (62) Since $\frac{f}{2} = T_w g/\rho u^2$ ļ. (63) equation (62) can be reduced to Nu = 0.0228 U_ (U_)-""Pr"3 (64) Solutiona TC equivisions (56) and (58) are used in the dimensionless momentum integral equation (17) except a wall shear is substituted for dU/dY = 0 we obtain $\frac{d\mathcal{U}}{dX} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{m}}{2\Delta} + \frac{7}{10}\frac{G}{\mathcal{U}_{m}}\right)\frac{d\lambda}{dX} + \frac{7}{10}\frac{0.0228}{\Lambda^{5/4}}\frac{\mathcal{U}_{m}}{\Lambda^{5/4}} = 0$ (65)

Likewise, when equations (59), (60), and (64) are used in the dimensionless energy differential equation (18) the result is

 $\frac{d\mathcal{U}_m}{dX} + \frac{\mathcal{U}_m}{\Lambda} \frac{d\Lambda}{dX} - \frac{0.0228}{5(\frac{1}{2}-I)} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{U}_m^{34} P_r^{4/3}}{\Lambda^{5/4}} = 0$ (66)

¹Op. cit., Knudsen, Pg. 474

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA FILE NO. PAGE ENGINEERING NOTE END 70-27 17 SUBJECT N AME J. H. Van Sant THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY DATE 5/19/70 If these two equations are combined we obtain $\frac{d\mathcal{U}_{m}}{dX} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}\frac{\varphi}{2} + 1}{\Phi + 1} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{U}_{m}}{\Delta} + \frac{\frac{1}{10}\frac{\varphi}{2}G}{\Phi + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathcal{U}_{m}} \cdot \frac{dA}{dX} = 0$ (67) or $\frac{d\mathcal{U}_m}{dX} + \frac{A\mathcal{U}_m}{\Delta} + \frac{B}{\mathcal{U}_m} \frac{dA}{dX} = 0$ where $\Phi = \frac{12}{P_{r}} \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{(1 - \frac{1}{7})}$ (68) $A = (\Phi_2/2 + 1)/(D_1 + 1)$ (69) $B = \frac{1}{6} \Phi_2 G / (\bar{\Phi}_1 + 1)$ (70) The solution to equation (67) is $\mathcal{U}_{m} = \sqrt{C' \Delta^{-2A} - \frac{2B}{112A}} \Delta^{-2A}$ (71) However, the condition $\left[\mathcal{U}_{m} \right]_{\Delta=0}^{\infty} = 0$ requires that C' = 0. Thus, $\mathcal{U}_{m} = \sqrt{\frac{-2BA}{1+2A}} = \sqrt{\frac{C_{A}}{2}}$ (72) where $C_2 = -2B/(1+2A) = \frac{14}{10} \Phi_2 G/(\Phi_2 + 3)$ Using this expression in equation (66) yields $\Delta^{1/8} d\Delta = 0.0228 \left(\frac{7}{15}\right) \left(\frac{\varphi_2}{\gamma_1}\right) dX$ (73)

DT. 209 (D., 4/67)

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA FILE NO. PAGE ENGINEERING 18 END 70-27 ΝΟΤΕ SUBJECT NAME J. H. Van Sant THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY 5/19/70 Integration gives $\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{0}^{9/8} + \frac{21}{40} \cdot \frac{0.0228}{C_{2}^{1/8}} \bar{\Phi}_{2} X \end{bmatrix}$ (74) The point of dry-up is established by $\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \end{bmatrix}_{X=X} = 0$ thus 1/8 40C^{1/8} 21(a0228) Ø (75) (76)

By using equation (72) in (64) yields a relation for local heat transfer rates as

 $N_{u_{w}} = O_{2}O_{2} 28 C_{2}^{2/8} \Delta_{0}^{1/8} (1 - X_{x_{1}})^{1/9} P_{r}^{1/3}$ (77)

The total heat transfer rate from the region of evaporation can be found as in the laminar flow case and is given as

$$Q_{T_{o}} = \frac{-10 R^{2} \sqrt{C_{2}} \Delta^{3/2}}{\Phi_{2}}$$
(78)

Likewise, the total heat transfer rate compared to the quantity given in equation (77) is found to be

 $Q_{T_i}/Q_{T_i} = (I - T_c)/(I - 1/\eta)$

لأستكافيه ببنيها فاطلافا

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	FILE NO.	PAGE
ENGINEERING NOTE	END 70-27	19
SUBJECT	J. H. Van Sa	int
THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY	DATE 5/19/70	

DISCUSSION

Probably, the most valuable results of this analysis are predicted values for X_d , Q_{T_1} , and Nu. Some sample values of X_d and Q_T for water are given in Table I for both laminar and turbulent films. Values of Q_{T_1} can be determined from equations (55) and (79), however, ratios of Q_T / Q_T will be near unity for most practical cases. Curves of Nu and Δ vs. X/X_d are shown in Figures 4 and 5. One will probably quickly scrutinize the curves of Nu and wonder why the different trends for turbulent and laminar flow. Realize that the temperature boundary conditions at $X \rightarrow X_d$ for both laminar and turbulent flows are not valid so we should not expect the rapid change: in the heat flux there. Also, it is likely that the film will change from laminar to turbulent far from the location of "dry-up". Possibly the criteria posed by Kays¹ can be used to estimate the location of transition, i.e.

$$S_m = 0.664 \sqrt{vx/u_m}$$

where d_{m} is the momentum thickness of the boundary-layer defined as

(80)

(81)

$$d_{2} = \int_{0}^{0} \frac{u}{u_{m}} \left(1 - \frac{u}{u_{m}} \right) dy$$

One difficulty in using the heat transfer predictions given here is estimating values of Δ_{o} . Unless t_i is much different from t_v, then Δ_{o} is near unity. To be more precise, however, some additional analysis needs to be done on the entrance region, $o < x < x_{o}$, to determine the location of initial evaporation and for fully-developed velocity and temperature profiles. Perhaps, some of the results developed from flat-plate, parallel-flow analyses could be applied, however, at this point this is not entirely evident.

W. M. Kays, <u>Convective Heat and Mass Transfer</u>, McGraw-Hill Book Co., San Francisco, 1966, Pg. 93

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	FILE NO.	PAGE
ENGINEERING NOTE	END 70-27	20
SUBJECT	NAME J. H. Van S	ant

THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY

DATE 5/19/70

(2a)

(3a)

Additional efforts on this problem should be directed to checking the analysis by experimental measurements. One simple method would be to measure only x_d and compare to predicted values. Conclusively, agreement of measured values of Nu, Q_{T_i} , and X_d with predictions would prove the theory correct.

APPENDIX A

Non-Homogenous Differential Equation Solution

Given is a differential equation of the form

 $\frac{d\mu}{d\chi} + \alpha \frac{\mu}{\chi} + \frac{b}{\mu} = 0 \qquad (1a)$ from which a solution $\mu(a, b, \chi)$ is required. First the substitution $w = u^2$ is made to give

$$\frac{dw}{dx} + 2a\frac{w}{x} + 2b = 0$$

Next let $W = U \cdot V$ to yield

$$\frac{dv}{dx} + \left(\frac{du}{dx} + 2a\frac{u}{x}\right)v + 2b = 0$$

. LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY - UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	FILE NO.	PAGE
ENGINEERING NOTE	END 70-27	20a
SUBJECT	NAME J. H. Van Sant	
THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY	DATE 5/19/70	

To satisfy this equation let the quantity in parentheses equal to zero, i.e.

$$\frac{du}{dx} + 2a \frac{u}{x} = 0$$

Integration yields

 $u = \chi^{-2a}$

The remaining terms of equation (3a) must also be zero, thus

$$\frac{x^{-2a}dx}{dx} + 2b = 0 \tag{6a}$$

(4a)

(5a)

(7a)

(8a)

(9a)

$$V = -\frac{26}{1+2a} \chi^{2a+1} + c$$

Since

$$W = U \cdot V = C X^{-2a} - \frac{2b}{1+2a}$$

and $\mathcal{U}^2 = W$, then

$$u = \sqrt{w} = \sqrt{cx^{-2a} - \frac{2b}{1+2a}x}$$

Substitution of this expression into equation (1a) and obtaining equality proves it is indeed a solution.

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY . UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	s.	FILE NO.	PAGE
ENGINEERING NOTE		END 70-27	21
SUBJECT	•	NAME TH VOD	Sont
THIN FILM EVAPORATION-THEORY			Danc
, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		[5/ 1 9/(0	

Table I - Values of X_d and Q_T for turbulent and laminar films using properties of water.

		Laminar		Turbulent		
¥	·· G	A,	X _å	Q _T O.	X _d	Q _T
10	104	• 0.5	4.1x10 ¹	1.4×10 ²	3.4x10 ⁻¹	5.5x10 ¹
100	104	0.5	8.1x10 ¹	7.2x10 ²	1.1x10 ¹	1.9x10 ³
10	104	0.9	1.8x10 ²	3.4x10 ²	6.6x10 ⁻¹	1.3×10 ²
100	104	0.9	* 3.5x10 ²	1.7x10 ³	2.1x10 ¹	4.7×10 ³
10	10 ⁶	0.5	1.3×10 ³	4.4×10 ²	6.0x10 ⁻¹	5.5x10 ²
100	106	0.5	2.6x10 ³	2.3×10 ³	1.9x10 ¹	1.9×10 ⁴
10	· 10 ⁶	0.9	5.0x10 ³	1.0×10 ³	1.2x10 ⁰	1.3×10 ³
100	10 ⁶	0.9	1.1x10 ⁴	5.5×10 ³	3.7×10 ¹	4.7x10 ⁴

END 70-27 Page 22





Thin film evaporation from a flat plate



Figure 2 Velocity profile development



Figure 3 Temperature profile development

END 70-27 Page 23





Local heat transfer rates for the laminar and turbulent films

END 70-27 Page 24





Film thickness for laminar and turbulent flows

DISTRIBUTION

Dr. Richard Loehrke Research Associate National Aeronautics & Space Administration Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035

Technical Information Center - 2 Oak Ridge, TN

TID File - 6

NOTICE

"This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Continission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any waterany, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privatelyowned rights."

.

.