



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

## Third Report on Chicken Genes and Chromosomes 2015

### Citation for published version:

Schmid, M, Smith, J, Burt, DW, Aken, BL, Antin, PB, Archibald, AL, Ashwell, C, Blackshear, PJ, Boschiero, C, Brown, CT, Burgess, SC, Cheng, HH, Chow, W, Coble, DJ, Cooksey, A, Crooijmans, RPMA, Damas, J, Davis, RVN, De Koning, D, Delany, ME, Derrien, T, Desta, TT, Dunn, IC, Dunn, M, Ellegren, H, Eory, L, Erb, I, Farre, M, Fasold, M, Fleming, D, Flicek, P, Fowler, KE, Fresard, L, Froman, DP, Garceau, V, Gardner, PP, Gheyas, AA, Griffin, DK, Groenen, MAM, Haaf, T, Hanotte, O, Hart, A, Hasler, J, Hedges, SB, Hertel, J, Howe, K, Hubbard, A, Hume, DA, Kaiser, P, Kedra, D, Kemp, S, Klopp, C, Kniel, KE, Kuo, R, Lagarrigue, S, Lamont, SJ, Larkin, DM, Lawal, RA, Markland, SM, Mccarthy, F, McCormack, HA, Mcpherson, MC, Motegi, A, Muljo, SA, Munsterberg, A, Nag, R, Nanda, I, Neuberger, M, Nitsche, A, Notredame, C, Noyes, H, O'connor, R, O'hare, EA, Oler, AJ, Ommeh, SC, Pais, H, Persia, M, Pitel, F, Preeyanon, L, Prieto Barja, P, Pritchett, EM, Rhoads, DD, Robinson, CM, Romanov, MN, Rothschild, M, Roux, P, Schmidt, CJ, Schneider, A, Schwartz, M, Searle, SM, Skinner, MA, Smith, CA, Stadler, PF, Steeves, TE, Steinlein, C, Sun, L, Takata, M, Ulitsky, I, Wang, Q, Wang, Y, Warren, WC, Wood, JMD, Wragg, D & Zhou, H 2015, 'Third Report on Chicken Genes and Chromosomes 2015', *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*, vol. 145, no. 2, pp. 78-179. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000430927>

### Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1159/000430927](https://doi.org/10.1159/000430927)

### Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

### Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

### Published In:

Cytogenetic and Genome Research

### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 23. Aug. 2022



Submitted to *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* as part of the **Third Report on  
Chicken Genes and Chromosomes**

**The Avian RNAseq Consortium: a community effort to annotate the chicken genome**

(Prepared by Jacqueline Smith, David W. Burt and the Avian RNAseq Consortium)

Publication of the chicken genome sequence in 2004 (International Chicken Genome Sequencing Consortium 2004) highlighted the beginning of a revolution in avian genomics. Progression of DNA sequencing technologies and data handling capabilities has also meant that genome sequencing and assembly is now a relatively simple, fast and inexpensive procedure. The success seen with the chicken genome was soon followed by the completion of the zebra finch genome (Warren et al., 2010), an important model for neurobiology (Clayton et al., 2009), again based on Sanger sequencing. In recent years the rapid advances in Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) technologies, hardware and software have meant that many more genomes can now be sequenced faster and cheaper than ever before (Metzker, 2010). The first avian genome to be sequenced by NGS methods was the turkey (Dalloul et al., 2010), which was also integrated with genetic and physical maps thus providing an assembly of high quality, even at the chromosome level. Recently, NGS has been used to sequence the genomes of a further 42 avian species, as part of the G10K initiative (Genome 10K Community of Scientists, 2009). In addition there have also been 15 other genome assemblies recently published, each with a focus on a unique aspect of avian biology, including the Japanese Quail (domestication; Kawahara-Miki et al., 2013), Puerto Rican parrot (speciation; Oleksyk et al., 2012), Scarlet Macaw (speech, intelligence and longevity; Seabury et al., 2013), Medium and Large Ground Finches (speciation; Parker et al., 2012; Rands et al., 2013), Collared and Pied flycatchers (speciation; Ellegren et al., 2012),

Peregrine and Saker Falcons (predatory lifestyle; Zhan et al., 2013), rock pigeon (domestication; Shapiro et al., 2013), the Ground tit (adaptation to high altitude; Cai et al., 2013) and the Northern Bobwhite (population history; Halley et al., 2014). Through November 2014 there are currently 57 avian genome sequences completed, either published or in press (**Table 1**). A new project, B10K ([web.bioinfodata.org/B10K](http://web.bioinfodata.org/B10K)), proposes sequencing all avian genomes; this would include all 40 orders, 231 families, 2,268 genera and 10,476 species of birds. The chicken genome remains the best described genome and is used as a reference upon which the annotations of other assemblies are based. Assembly and annotation of the genome continues to improve. However, gaps and unaligned regions remain (particularly for some of the smallest micro-chromosomes), which can cause practical problems in the analysis and annotation of important loci, especially for those representing gene families. Other approaches, such as long reads generated by Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) sequencing, chromosome sorting and optical maps are being used to resolve these assembly issues (Warren and Burt, personal communications). Specific genome features also require further study; for example, non-coding RNAs, annotation of rare transcripts, confirmation of alternatively spliced transcripts, mapping of transcription start sites and identification of conserved regions. One method by which some of these goals can be achieved is through analysis of transcriptomic sequence data, or ‘RNAseq’ data.

With a view to addressing some of these issues, we decided to collect as much RNAseq data from the chicken research community as possible. This was the beginning of what we have termed ‘The Avian RNAseq Consortium’. Since the start of the Consortium at the end of 2011, it now includes 48 people from 27 different institutions (**Figure 1**) who have contributed to the effort to create a detailed annotation of the chicken genome by either providing RNAseq data or by helping to analyse the combined data.

We currently have 21 different data sets (representing more than 1.5 Tb of data) with more data being added (**Table 2** and **Figure 2**). These data represent transcriptome sequences from many different chicken tissues and from many different experimental conditions, including several infection/disease cases. These data were submitted to public archives, collected at The Roslin Institute and then passed on to the Ensembl team who used the information to help annotate the latest chicken genome assembly, Galgal4 as part of Ensembl release 71 (April 2013) (**Table 3**). This new annotation includes 15,495 protein coding genes, 1,049 micro RNAs, 456 non-codingRNAs and 42 pseudo genes. This gene\_build is primarily concerned with coding genes, but there are many more non-coding genes which remain unannotated. Consortium members have analysed the RNAseq data for long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) [*manuscript in preparation*], snoRNAs (Gardner et al., 2014) and other features of interest. Around 14,000 potential long non-coding RNA genes have thus far been identified from the RNAseq data. Ensembl release 71 marked a significant update in the annotation of the chicken genome with gene models based on experimental data. **Table 4** shows how this gene\_build was the first to use the Galgal4 assembly and, through the use of RNAseq data, was able to help remove assembly errors and reduce the number of predicted gene transcripts by identifying incorrectly predicted genes from previous builds and improving identification of short ncRNAs. The significance of this community effort is indicated by the fact that the current Ensembl 77 gene set has not changed since Ensembl release 71, with only difference being reflected in the total number of base pairs. This is due to the correction of one particular scaffold on the Z chromosome (which was reflected in Ensembl release 74).

The availability of these data will allow for the further development of a chicken expression atlas by providing the ability to analyse transcript levels across tissues (<http://geneatlas.arl.arizona.edu/>). It will also enable development of exon capture technology

for the chicken and has already proved of great use in helping annotate the other avian genomes which have now been sequenced. On-going collection of RNAseq data will remain a valuable resource as genomic analysis of avian species continues to expand.

## **Methods**

### ***Ensembl gene\_build***

The chicken gene\_build from Ensembl release 71 was done using standard Ensembl annotation procedures and pipelines, mostly focussed on protein coding sequences. Briefly, vertebrate UniProtKB proteins were downloaded and aligned to the Galgal4 (GCA\_000002315.2) assembly with Genewise (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/psa/genewise/>) in order to annotate protein coding models. UniProt assigns protein existence (PE) levels to each of their protein sequences. The PE level indicates the type of evidence that supports the existence of a protein sequence, and can range from PE 1 ('Experimental evidence at protein level') to PE 5 ('Protein uncertain'). Only PE 1 and PE 2 proteins from UniProtKB were used for the Genewise step. RNAseq models were annotated using the Ensembl RNAseq pipeline and models from both the Genewise and the RNAseq pipelines were used as input for the final protein-coding gene set. Chicken cDNAs and also RNAseq models were also used to add UTRs in the 5' and 3' regions. Some missing gene models were recovered by aligning chicken, zebra finch and turkey translations from Ensembl release 65 (December 2011) to the new chicken genome assembly.

### **RNAseq Gene Models**

Raw reads were aligned to the genome using BWA (Li & Durbin, 2009) to identify regions of the genome that are actively transcribed. The results from all tissues were used to create one set of alignment blocks roughly corresponding to exons. Read pairing information was used

to group exons into approximate transcript structures called proto-transcripts. Next, partially mapped reads from both the merged (combined data from all tissue samples) and individual tissues were re-aligned to the proto-transcripts using Exonerate (Slater & Birney, 2005), to create a merged and tissue-specific sets of spliced alignments. For each gene, merged and tissue-specific transcript isoforms were computed from all observed exon-intron combinations, and only the best supported isoform was reported.

### Annotation of Non-Coding RNAs

The following non-coding RNA gene types were annotated - rRNA: ribosomal RNA; snRNA: small nuclear RNA; snoRNA: small nucleolar RNA; miRNA: microRNA precursors; misc\_RNA: miscellaneous other RNA. Most ncRNA genes in Ensembl are annotated by first aligning genomic sequence against RFAM (Burge et al., 2013), using BLASTN (parameters W=12 B=10000 V=10000 -hspmax 0 -gspmax 0 -kap -cpus=1), to identify likely ncRNA loci. The BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990) hits are clustered, filtered for hits above 70% coverage, and used to seed an Infernal (Nawrocki & Eddy, 2013) search with the corresponding RFAM covariance model, to measure the probability that these targets can fold into the structures required. Infernal's cmsearch is used to build ncRNA models. MiRNAs are predicted by BLASTN (default parameters) of genomic sequence slices against miRBase (Kozomara & Griffiths-Jones, 2014) sequences. The BLAST hits are clustered, filtered to select the alignment with the lowest p-value when more than one sequence aligns at the same genomic position, and the aligned genomic sequence is checked for possible secondary structure using RNAFold (Hofacker et al., 1994). If evidence is found that the genomic sequence could form a stable hairpin structure, the locus is used to create a miRNA gene model. Transfer RNAs (tRNAs) were annotated as part of the raw compute process using tRNAscan-SE with default parameters (Schattner et al., 2005). All results for

tRNAscan-SE are available through Ensembl; the results are not included in the Ensembl gene set because they are not annotated using the standard evidence-based approach (ie. by aligning biological sequences to the genome) that is used to annotate other Ensembl gene models.

## **Summary**

The availability of this collection of chicken RNAseq data within the consortium has allowed:

- Annotation of 17,108 chicken genes, 15,495 of which are protein-coding (Ensembl 71)
- Identification of around 14,000 putative lncRNA genes (with >23,000 transcripts suggested)
- Annotation of miRNAs, snoRNAs, and other ncRNAs
- Future generation of an expression atlas which will allow comparisons of expression over many tissues
- An improved avian reference for comparative analyses with 48 other avian genomes (Zhang et al., 2014)

## **Future directions**

The next stage in progressing annotation of the avian genomes will concentrate on the analysis of data generated by PacBio sequencing, in conjunction with stranded RNAseq data from a wide variety of tissues. PacBio technology allows for very long read lengths, producing reads with average lengths of 4,200 to 8,500 bp, with the longest reads over 30,000 base pairs. This enables sequencing of full-length transcripts. Extremely high accuracy means

that *de novo* assembly of genomes and detection of variants with greater than 99.999% accuracy is possible. Individual molecules can also be sequenced at 99% reliability. The high sensitivity of the method also means that minor variants can be detected even when they have a frequency of less than 0.1% [<http://www.pacificbiosciences.com/products/smrt-technology/smrt-sequencing-advantage/>]. We currently have brain transcriptomic PacBio data generated from a female Brown Leghorn J-line chicken (Blyth and Sang 1960). This will be analyzed alongside stranded RNAseq data that has been generated from 21 different tissues. The advantage of using strand-specific sequence information is that it provides an insight into antisense transcripts and their potential role in regulation and strand information of non-coding RNAs as well as aiding in accurately quantifying overlapping transcripts. It is particularly useful for finding unannotated genes and ncRNAs. This strategy should allow us to obtain full-length transcript sequences, identify novel transcripts and low-level transcripts, map transcription start and stop sites and confirm further ncRNAs.

### **Get involved**

If you're interested in helping further the annotation of the avian genomes, and you can provide avian RNAseq data or can help with the analysis of such data, then please contact Jacqueline Smith ([Jacqueline.smith@roslin.ed.ac.uk](mailto:Jacqueline.smith@roslin.ed.ac.uk)) or Dave Burt ([Dave.burt@roslin.ed.ac.uk](mailto:Dave.burt@roslin.ed.ac.uk)).

### **Avian RNAseq Consortium Members**

Jacqueline Smith, Ian Dunn, Valerie Garceau, David Hume, Pete Kaiser, Richard Kuo, Heather McCormack, Dave Burt (Roslin Institute); Amanda Cooksey, Fiona McCarthy, Parker B. Antin, Shane Burgess (University of Arizona); Andrea Münsterberg, Helio Pais (University of East Anglia); Andrew Oler (NIH National Institute of Allergy and Infectious



Diseases); Steve Searle (Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute); Paul Flicek, Bronwen L. Aken, Rishi Nag (European Molecular Biology Laboratory, European Bioinformatics Institute and Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute); Carl Schmidt (University of Delaware); Christophe Klopp (INRA Toulouse); Pablo Prieta Barja, Ionas Erb, Darek Kedra, Cedric Notredame (CRG, Barcelona); David Froman (Oregon State University); Dirk-Jan de Koning (Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala); Douglas Rhoads (University of Arkansas); Igor Ulitsky (Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot); Julien Häslér, Michael Neuberger (*in memoriam*) (MRC, Cambridge); Laure Frésard, Frédérique Pitel (INRA, Auzville); Mario Fasold, Peter Stadler (University of Leipzig); Matt Schwartz (Harvard Medical School); Michael Skinner (Imperial College London); Olivier Hanotte (University of Nottingham); Perry Blackshear (NIEHS, North Carolina); Sandrine Lagarrigue, Pierre-François Roux (INRA Agrocampus Ouest); Thomas Derrien (University of Rennes); Sheila Ommeh (Jomo-Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya); Stefan Muljo (NIH NIAID, Bethesda); Steve Kemp, Harry Noyes (University of Liverpool); Susan Lamont (Iowa State University); Ying Wang, Huaijun Zhou (UC Davis).

### **Availability of RNASeq data**

Data have been submitted to the public databases under the following accession numbers:

Antin/Burgess/McCarthy/Schmidt data: BioProject ID: PRJNA204941 (Sequence Read Archive); Blackshear data: PRJEB1406 (European Nucleotide Archive); Burt/Smith data: E-MTAB-2908, E-MTAB-2909, E-MTAB-2910 (Array Express); De Koning/Dunn/McCormack data: E-MTAB-2737 (Array Express); Frésard/Pitel data: SRP033603 (Sequence Read Archive); Froman/Rhoads data: BioProject ID: PRJNA247673 (Sequence Read Archive); Garceau/Hume data: E-MTAB-3048 (Array Express); Hanotte/Kemp/Noyes/Ommeh data: E-MTAB-3068 (Array Express);

Häsler/Oler/Muljo/Neuberger data: GSE58766 (NCBI GEO); Kaiser data: E-MTAB-2996 (Array Express); Lagarrigue/Roux data: SRP042257 (Sequence Read Archive); Lamont data: GSE51035 (NCBI GEO); Munsterberg/Pais data: GSE58766 (NCBI GEO); Schwartz/Ulitsky data: SRP041863 (Sequence Read Archive); Skinner data: PRJEB7620 (European Nucleotide Archive); Wang/Zhou data: GSM1385570, GSM1385571, GSM1385572, GSM1385573 (NCBI GEO).

## References

- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ: Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* 215(3):403-10 (1990).
- Blyth JSS, Sang JH: Survey of line crosses in a Brown Leghorn flock. *Genet Res Camb* 1: 408-421 (1960).
- Burge SW, Daub J, Eberhardt R, Tate J, Barquist L, Nawrocki EP, Eddy SR, Gardner PP, Bateman A. Rfam 11.0: 10 years of RNA families. *Nucleic Acids Res Jan*;41(Database issue):D226-32 (2013).
- Cai Q, Qian X, Lang Y, Luo Y, Xu J, Pan S, Hui Y, Gou C, Cai Y, Hao M, Zhao J, Wang S, Wang Z, Zhang X, He R, Liu J, Luo L, Li Y, Wang J: Genome sequence of ground tit *Pseudopodoces humilis* and its adaptation to high altitude. *Genome Biol* 14(3):R29 (2013).

Clayton DF, Balakrishnan CN, London SE: Integrating genomes, brain and behavior in the study of songbirds. *Curr Biol* 19(18):R865-73 (2009).

Dalloul RA, Long JA, Zimin AV, Aslam L, Beal K, Ann Blomberg L, Bouffard P, Burt DW, Crasta O, Crooijmans RP, Cooper K, Coulombe RA, De S, Delany ME, Dodgson JB, Dong JJ, Evans C, Frederickson KM, Flicek P, Florea L, Folkerts O, Groenen MA, Harkins TT, Herrero J, Hoffmann S, Megens HJ, Jiang A, de Jong P, Kaiser P, Kim H, Kim KW, Kim S, Langenberger D, Lee MK, Lee T, Mane S, Marcais G, Marz M, McElroy AP, Modise T, Nefedov M, Notredame C, Paton IR, Payne WS, Pertea G, Prickett D, Puiu D, Qioa D, Raineri E, Ruffier M, Salzberg SL, Schatz MC, Scheuring C, Schmidt CJ, Schroeder S, Searle SM, Smith EJ, Smith J, Sonstegard TS, Stadler PF, Tafer H, Tu ZJ, Van Tassell CP, Vilella AJ, Williams KP, Yorke JA, Zhang L, Zhang HB, Zhang X, Zhang Y, Reed KM: Multi-platform next-generation sequencing of the domestic turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*): genome assembly and analysis. *PLoS Biol* 8(9). pii: e1000475 (2010).

Ellegren H, Smeds L, Burri R, Olason PI, Backström N, Kawakami T, Künstner A, Mäkinen H, Nadachowska-Brzyska K, Qvarnström A, Uebbing S, Wolf JB: The genomic landscape of species divergence in *Ficedula* flycatchers. *Nature* 491(7426):756-60 (2012).

Gardner PP, Fasold M, Burge SW, Ninova M, Hertel J, Kehr S, Steeves TE, Griffiths-Jones S, Stadler PF: Conservation and losses of avian non-coding RNAs. arXiv:1406.7140 [q-bio.GN] (2014).

Genome 10K Community of Scientists. A proposal to obtain whole-genome sequence for 10,000 vertebrate species. *J Hered* 100: 659-674 (2009).

Halley YA, Dowd SE, Decker JE, Seabury PM, Bhattarai E, Johnson CD, Rollins D, Tizard IR, Brightsmith DJ, Peterson MJ, Taylor JF, Seabury CM: A Draft De Novo Genome Assembly for the Northern Bobwhite (*Colinus virginianus*) Reveals Evidence for a Rapid Decline in Effective Population Size Beginning in the Late Pleistocene. *PLoS One* 9(3):e90240. (2014).

Hofacker IL, Fontana W, Stadler PF, Bonhoeffer S, Tacker M, Schuster P: Fast Folding and Comparison of RNA Secondary Structures. *Monatshefte f. Chemie* 125: 167-188 (1994).

International Chicken Genome Sequencing Consortium: Sequence and comparative analysis of the chicken genome provide unique perspectives on vertebrate evolution. *Nature* 432: 695-716 (2004).

Kawahara-Miki R, Sano S, Nunome M, Shimmura T, Kuwayama T, Takahashi S, Kawashima T, Matsuda Y, Yoshimura T, Kono T: Next-generation sequencing reveals genomic features in the Japanese quail. *Genomics* 101(6):345-53 (2013).

Kozomara A, Griffiths-Jones S: miRBase: annotating high confidence microRNAs using deep sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42(Database issue):D68-73 (2014).

Li H, Durbin R: Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25(14):1754-60 (2009).

Metzker ML: Sequencing technologies - the next generation. *Nat Rev Genet* 11(1):31-46 (2010).

Nawrocki EP, Eddy SR: Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches. *Bioinformatics* 29(22):2933-5 (2013).

Oleksyk TK, Pombert JF, Siu D, Mazo-Vargas A, Ramos B, Guiblet W, Afanador Y, Ruiz-Rodriguez CT, Nickerson ML, Logue DM, Dean M, Figueroa L, Valentin R, Martinez-Cruzado JC: A locally funded Puerto Rican parrot (*Amazona vittata*) genome sequencing project increases avian data and advances young researcher education. *Gigascience* 1(1):14 (2012).

Parker P, Li B, Li H, Wang J: The genome of Darwin's Finch (*Geospiza fortis*). *GigaScience*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5524/100040> (2012).

Rands CM, Darling A, Fujita M, Kong L, Webster MT, Clabaut C, Emes RD, Heger A, Meader S, Hawkins MB, Eisen MB, Teiling C, Affourtit J, Boese B, Grant PR, Grant BR, Eisen JA, Abzhanov A, Ponting CP: Insights into the evolution of Darwin's finches from comparative analysis of the *Geospiza magnirostris* genome sequence. *BMC Genomics* 12:14:95 (2013).

Schattner P, Brooks AN, Lowe TM: The tRNAscan-SE, snoscan and snoGPS web servers for the detection of tRNAs and snoRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 33 (Web Server issue):W686-9 (2005).

Seabury CM, Dowd SE, Seabury PM, Raudsepp T, Brightsmith DJ, Liboriussen P, Halley Y, Fisher CA, Owens E, Viswanathan G, Tizard IR: A multi-platform draft de novo genome assembly and comparative analysis for the Scarlet Macaw (*Ara macao*). *PLoS One* 8(5):e62415 (2013).

Shapiro MD, Kronenberg Z, Li C, Domyan ET, Pan H, Campbell M, Tan H, Huff CD, Hu H, Vickrey AI, Nielsen SC, Stringham SA, Hu H, Willerslev E, Gilbert MT, Yandell M, Zhang G, Wang J: Genomic diversity and evolution of the head crest in the rock pigeon. *Science* 339(6123):1063-7 (2013).

Slater GS, Birney E: Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence comparison. *BMC Bioinformatics* 15:6:31 (2005).

Warren WC, Clayton DF, Ellegren H, Arnold AP, Hillier LW, Künstner A, Searle S, White S, Vilella AJ, Fairley S, Heger A, Kong L, Ponting CP, Jarvis ED, Mello CV, Minx P, Lovell P, Velho TA, Ferris M, Balakrishnan CN, Sinha S, Blatti C, London SE, Li Y, Lin YC, George J, Sweedler J, Southey B, Gunaratne P, Watson M, Nam K, Backström N, Smeds L, Nabholz B, Itoh Y, Whitney O, Pfenning AR, Howard J, Völker M, Skinner BM, Griffin DK, Ye L, McLaren WM, Flicek P, Quesada V, Velasco G, Lopez-Otin C, Puente XS, Olender T, Lancet D, Smit AF, Hubley R, Konkel MK, Walker JA, Batzer MA, Gu W, Pollock DD, Chen L, Cheng Z, Eichler EE, Stapley J,

Slate J, Ekblom R, Birkhead T, Burke T, Burt D, Scharff C, Adam I, Richard H, Sultan M, Soldatov A, Lehrach H, Edwards SV, Yang SP, Li X, Graves T, Fulton L, Nelson J, Chinwalla A, Hou S, Mardis ER, Wilson RK: The genome of a songbird. *Nature* 464(7289):757-62 (2010).

Zhan X, Pan S, Wang J, Dixon A, He J, Muller MG, Ni P, Hu L, Liu Y, Hou H, Chen Y, Xia J, Luo Q, Xu P, Chen Y, Liao S, Cao C, Gao S, Wang Z, Yue Z, Li G, Yin Y, Fox NC, Wang J, Bruford MW: Peregrine and saker falcon genome sequences provide insights into evolution of a predatory lifestyle. *Nat Genet* 45(5):563-6 (2013).

Zhang G, Li C, Li Q, Li B, Larkin DM et al: Comparative Genomics Across Modern Bird Species Reveal Insights into Avian Genome Evolution and Adaptation. *Science In press* (2014).

## **Figure legends**

**Figure 1:** Worldwide locations of current RNAseq consortium members.

**Figure 2:** A comparison of the different relative amounts of RNAseq data from each tissue. Tissues from different data providers are shown separately as they have all been subject to different treatments/stimuli. Numbered data sets are as referred to in Table 2.

Table 1: Avian species with sequenced genomes						
BIRD_Abbreviation	BIRD_Latin_Name	BIRD_Common_Name		BIRD_Abbreviation	BIRD_Latin_Name	BIRD_Common_Name
ACACH	<i>Acanthisitta chloris</i>	Rifleman		GALGA	<i>Gallus gallus</i>	Chicken
AMAVI	<i>Amazona vittata</i>	Puerto Rican parrot		GAVST	<i>Gavia stellata</i>	Red-throated loon
ANAPL	<i>Anas platyrhynchos domestica</i>	Pekin duck		GEOFO	<i>Geospiza fortis</i>	Medium groundfinch
ANOCA	<i>Anolis carolinensis</i>	Carolina anole		GEOMA	<i>Geospiza magnirostris</i>	Large ground finch
APAVI	<i>Apaloderma vittatum</i>	Bar-tailed trogon		HALAL	<i>Haliaeetus albicilla</i>	White-tailed eagle
APTFO	<i>Aptenodytes forsteri</i>	Emperor penguin		LEPDI	<i>Leptosomus discolor</i>	Cuckoo roller
ARAMA	<i>Ara macao</i>	Scarlet macaw		MANVI	<i>Manacus vitellinus</i>	Golden-collared manakin
BALRE	<i>Balearica regulorum gibbericeps</i>	Grey crowned crane		MELGA	<i>Meleagris gallopavo</i>	Wild turkey
BUCRH	<i>Buceros rhinoceros silvestris</i>	Rhinoceros hornbill		MELUN	<i>Melopsittacus undulatus</i>	Budgerigar
CALAN	<i>Calypte anna</i>	Anna's hummingbird		MERNU	<i>Merops nubicus</i>	Northern Carmine bee-eater
CAPCA	<i>Caprimugus Carolinensis</i>	Chuck-will's widow		MESUN	<i>Mesitornis unicolor</i>	Brown mesite
CARCR	<i>Cariama cristata</i>	Red-legged seriema		NESNO	<i>Nestor notabilis</i>	Kea
CATAU	<i>Cathartes aura</i>	Turkey vulture		NIPNI	<i>Nipponia nippon</i>	Crested ibis
CHAPE	<i>Chaetura pelagica</i>	Chimney swift		OPHHO	<i>Ophisthocomus hoazin</i>	Hoatzin
CHAVO	<i>Charadrius vociferus</i>	Killdeer		PELCR	<i>Pelecanus crispus</i>	Dalmatian pelican
CHLUN	<i>Chlamydotis undulata</i>	Houbara bustard		PHACA	<i>Phalacrocorax carbo</i>	Great cormorant
COLLI	<i>Columba livia</i>	Rock pigeon		PHALE	<i>Phaethon lepturus</i>	White-tailed tropicbird
COLST	<i>Colius striatus</i>	Speckled mousebird		PHORU	<i>Phoenicopterus ruber</i>	American flamingo
COLVI	<i>Colinus virginianus</i>	Northern Bobwhite		PICPU	<i>Picoides pubescens</i>	Downy woodpecker
CORBR	<i>Corvus brachyrhynchos</i>	American crow		PODCR	<i>Podiceps cristatus</i>	Great crested grebe
COTJA	<i>Coturnix japonica</i>	Japanese quail		PSEHU	<i>Pseudopodoces humilis</i>	Ground tit
CUCCA	<i>Cuculus canorus</i>	Common cuckoo		PTEGU	<i>Pterocles gutturalis</i>	Yellow-throated sandgrouse
EGRGA	<i>Egretta garzetta</i>	Little egret		PYGAD	<i>Pygoscelis adeliae</i>	Adelie penguin
EURHE	<i>Eurypyga helias</i>	Sunbittern		STRCA	<i>Struthio camelus</i>	Ostrich
FALCH	<i>Falco cherrug</i>	Saker falcon		TAEGU	<i>Taeniopygia guttata</i>	Zebra finch
FALPE	<i>Falco peregrinus</i>	Peregrine falcon		TAUER	<i>Tauraco erythrolophus</i>	Red-crested turaco
FICAL	<i>Ficedula albicollis</i>	Collared flycatcher		TINMA	<i>Tinamus major</i>	Great tinamou
FICHY	<i>Ficedula hypoleuca</i>	Pied flycatcher		TYTAL	<i>Tyto alba</i>	Barn owl
FULGL	<i>Fulmarus glacialis</i>	Northern fulmar				



<b>Table 2: Details of RNAseq data sets</b>			
<b>Data set</b>	<b>Description of data</b>	<b>Reads (bp)</b>	<b>Sequencing*</b>
1. Antin	Whole embryo	35	Illumina SE
2. Blackshear	LPS stimulated macrophages v control CEFs	51	Illumina PE
3. Burgess/McCarthy	miRNA from various RJF tissues (adrenal gland, adipose, cerebellum, cerebrum, testis, ovary, heart, hypothalamus, kidney, liver, lung, breast muscle, sciatic nerve, proventriculus, spleen)	50	Illumina SE
4. Burt/Smith	Spleen: Infectious Bursal Disease Virus infected v control	36	Illumina SE
5.	Lung and ileum: Avian influenza infected v control (high path H5N1 and low	36	Illumina SE
6.	Lung short read data	25	Illumina SE
7. de Koning/Dunn/McCormack	Bone from 70wk old Leghorns	100	Illumina PE
8. Frésard/Pitel	Brain from epileptic v. non-epileptic birds	380-400	Roche 454
9.	Pooled whole embryos (stage HH26)	100	Illumina PE
10. Froman/Rhoads	Testes: roosters with high mobility sperm v low mobility sperm	35	Illumina SE
11. Garceau/Hume	Embryo, DF1 cell line and bone marrow derived macrophages	100	Illumina PE
12. Hanotte/Kemp/Noyes/Ommeh	Newcastle Disease Virus infection v control (trachea and lung epithelial cells)	50	SOLiD SE
13. Häsler/Oler/Muljo/Neuberger	DT40 cells	60	Illumina PE
14. Kaiser	Bone marrow derived dendritic cells from 6 weeks old birds (Control, DCs +LPS) Bone marrow derived macrophages from 6 weeks old birds (Control, BMDMs +LPS) Heterophils isolated from blood of day-old chicks (Control, DCs +LPS)	100	Illumina PE
15. Lagarrigue/Roux	Abdominal adipose tissue and liver tissue from 14wk old broilers	100	Illumina PE
16. Lamont	Livers of eight individual, 28-day-old broiler males - 4 control; 4 heat-stressed	100	Illumina SE
17. Munsterberg/Pais	Somites injected with anti-mir206 v. non-injected	50	Illumina PE
18. Schmidt	Tissues from heat stressed and control birds (liver, brain, spleen, thymus, bursa, kidney, ileum, jejunum, duodenum, ovary, heart, breast, monocyte	42-50	Illumina SE
19. Schwartz/Ulitsky	Whole embryo stages - HH4/5; HH11; HH14/15; HH21/22; HH25/26; HH32; HH36 - Stranded	80/100	Illumina PE
20. Skinner	Chicken embryo fibroblasts	100	Illumina PE
21. Wang/Zhou	Lung from Fayoumi and Leghorn birds - control and H5N3 infected	75	Illumina SE
* - SE: single end; PE: paired end			

**Table 3: Ensembl 71 annotation statistics**

<b>Genes</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Biotype</b>
15,495	Ensembl	protein coding
42	Ensembl	pseudogene
2	mt_genbank_import	Mt_rRNA
22	mt_genbank_import	Mt_tRNA
13	mt_genbank_import	protein coding
1049	ncRNA	miRNA
150	ncRNA	misc_RNA
29	ncRNA	rRNA
227	ncRNA	snoRNA
79	ncRNA	snRNA
<hr/>		
17,108		

**Table 4: Comparison of Ensembl gene\_builds:**

	<b>Ensembl 70</b>	<b>Ensembl71</b>	<b>Ensembl 77</b>
<b>Assembly</b>	WashUC2, May 2006	Galg4, Nov 2011	Galg4, Nov 2011
<b>Base pairs</b>	1,050,947,331	1,072,544,086	1,072,544,763
Coding genes	16,736	15,508	15,508
Short non-coding genes	1,102	1,558	1,558
Pseudogenes	96	42	42
Gene transcripts	23,392	17,954	17,954

Figure 1



Figure 2

