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#### Abstract

The kagome lattice provides a fascinating playground to study geometrical frustration, topology and strong correlations $1-13$. The newly discovered kagome metals $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{Cs})$ exhibit various interesting phenomena including topological band structure $14-18$, symmetry-breaking charge density waves (CDWs) [16, 19, 37] and superconductivity [14, 15, 38,41]. Nevertheless, the nature of the symmetry breaking in the CDW phase is not yet clear, despite the fact that it is crucial to understand whether the superconductivity is unconventional. In this work, we perform scanning birefringence microscopy and find that six-fold rotation symmetry is broken at the onset of the CDW transition temperature in all three compounds. Spatial imaging and angle dependence of the birefringence show a universal three nematic domains that are $120^{\circ}$ to each other. We propose staggered CDW orders with a relative $\pi$ phase shift between layers as a possibility to explain the three-state nematicity in $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$. We also perform magneto-optical Kerr effect and circular dichroism measurements on all three compounds, and the onset of the both signals is at the CDW transition temperature, indicating broken time-reversal symmetry and the existence of the longsought loop currents in the CDW phase. Our work strongly constrains the nature of the CDWs and sheds light on possible unconventional superconductivity in $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$.


The kagome lattice has attracted tremendous research interest for decades as the corner sharing triangular lattice has inherent geometrical frustrations that host exotic phases such as the quantum spin liquid state [1-3]. There has been recent interest in the magnetic kagome systems from the perspective of the topological electronic structures such as the magnetic Weyl semimetals $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ [11, $\mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 42, 43 and strongly correlated flat bands in $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}_{2}$ and FeSn 4-10. Strong electronic correlations without magnetism can also lead to exotic phases such as high-Tc superconductivity, but it has been often difficult to reveal different kinds of broken symmetries. Loop currents, originally proposed in cuprate superconductors (44, have also been predicted in the kagome lattice [28, 45-47], but a clear evidence has been lacking.

The newly discovered kagome metals $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{K}$, $\mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{Cs}$ ) are recent examples of hosting charge density waves (CDWs) below $T_{C D W} \approx 80-100 \mathrm{~K}$ and superconductivity below $T_{C} \approx 0.9-2.5 \mathrm{~K}$. Different from magnetic kagome materials, $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ do not have a detectable local electronic moments 48, 49, but a surprisingly large anomalous Hall effect was reported with dominating extrinsic skew scattering mechanism [50, 51]. An increase of the muon depolarization below the CDW transition temperature in zero-field $\mu \mathrm{SR}$ measurements in $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ 48 and $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5} 49$ have been interpreted as the evidence

[^0]of the time-reversal symmetry (TRS) breaking, but the onset temperature is not always at $T_{C D W}$ and the muon depolarization is not directly related with the TRSbreaking order parameter [48, 49. Therefore, a direct measurement of the TRS-breaking order parameter at zero-field is urgently needed. Another major debate in the community is whether the system has a six-fold or two-fold rotational symmetry in the CDW phase [20, 30, 52 56], and at what temperature the six-fold symmetry breaks. Almost all of the experiments that claimed the two-fold symmetry were performed at temperature much below the CDW transition $[20,53,56,57$. Therefore, whether the two-fold symmetry is directly related to the CDW has not been clear. In this work, we use scanning birefringence microscopy, magneto-optical Kerr effect, and circular dichroism to reveal that the CDW transition temperature is the onset of six-fold rotational symmetry breaking and TRS breaking. Our micron-scale imaging bridges the gap between nano-scale scanning probes and macroscopic measurements, and provides new insight and strong constrains on the interpretation of many results by macroscopic probes.

## Three-state nematicity

$\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ ( $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{Cs}$ ) share a hexagonal crystal structure, consisting of a kagome lattice of V atoms coordinated by Sb in the V - Sb sheet stacked between the A sheets, as shown in Fig. 1(a). Therefore, it is six-fold rotationally symmetric in the normal state above $T_{C D W}$. In the CDW phase, the $2 \times 2$ superlattice per layer could
form the star-of-David (SoD) or the tri-hexgonal ( TrH ) pattern, which still keep the six-fold symmetry in the pristine lattice. Nevertheless, a $\pi$ phase shift between neighboring layers can reduce the symmetry to two-fold, as as shown in Fig. 1(b) and Extended Fig. 1(a). Note that electronically-driven nematicity is also twofold symmetric, but the onset temperature is much below $T_{C D W}$ as observed by scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) 20, 53, 56.

To study the rotational symmetry in the CDW phase, we perform scanning birefringence measurements as shown in Fig. 1(c). Under normal incidence, the change of the polarization, $\theta_{T}$, depends not only on the out-ofplane magnetization or orbital moment, known as the magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE), but also on the birefringence term when the rotational symmetry is lower than three-fold. We can distinguish two contributions by rotating the polarization of the incident beam,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{T}=\theta_{K}+\theta_{B} \sin \left(2 \phi-\phi_{0}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{K}$ and $\theta_{B}$ represent the real part of the MOKE and the amplitude of the birefringence; $\phi$ is the polarization angle of the incident light with respect to the horizontal axis in the lab. $\phi_{0}$ is one principal axis of the crystal in the lab frame. Note that the "MOKE", $\theta_{K}+i \eta$, is actually a complex quantity, and the $\theta_{K}$ and $\eta$ are often called MOKE and ellipticity, respectively. (See Methods.) Fig. 1(d) shows temperature-dependent $\theta_{T}$ at different polarization for $\mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$, and the onset of $\theta_{T}$ is at $T_{C D W} \approx 103 \mathrm{~K}$. As we change the polarization of the incident light, both the sign and the magnitude of $\theta_{T}$ changes with a maximum amplitude of 0.27 mRad at 6 K . Plotting the $\theta_{T}$ vs the incident polarization at a constant temperature shows a two-fold symmetric pattern below $T_{C D W}$ (see Fig. 1(e)). In contrast, we barely observe any angle dependence above $T_{C D W}$, which is consistent with the six-fold symmetry of the kagome lattice. The two-fold symmetric pattern originates from the breaking of the six-fold rotation symmetry due to the formation of the CDW.

We set the incident polarization at a fixed polarization, and perform a mapping of $\theta_{T}$ with a spatial resolution of $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ as shown in Fig. 1(f). Three distinct domains marked with red, white and blue are clearly seen in the map. An angle dependent birefringence measurements in the selected six regions show that the regions with the same color have the same polar patterns, as shown in Fig. 11(g-i) and extended Fig. 1 1 (d-f). Between regions with different color, the polar patterns are rotated by approximately $120^{\circ}$ to each other. Fig. 2 shows that $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ also have three domains, where the principal axis is also rotated by $120^{\circ}$ from each other. The temperature dependence of the birefringence of $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ also shows that the onset of six-fold rotational symmetry breaking is at $T_{C D W}, \approx 74 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\approx 92 \mathrm{~K}$ for these two compounds respectively (See Extended Fig.2). To summarize, the three nematic domains are a univer-
sal feature of the CDW phases in $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{Cs}, \mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{K})$.

## Magneto-optical Kerr effect

In Eq. (1), there is an possible isotropic term $\theta_{K}$ coming from the MOKE due to TRS breaking. The isotropic MOKE term appears as an offset in the $\theta_{T}$ vs $\phi$ plot (Fig 1, Fig 22, d and Extended Fig. 2b, d). We extract the temperature dependent MOKE term by fitting the angle dependence by Eq. 1, and the results for the three compounds are plotted in Fig. $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c} . \mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}, \mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$, and $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ show the onset of the MOKE at approximately $103 \mathrm{~K}, 92 \mathrm{~K}$ and 74 K , respectively. Our result agrees with another study on the Cs compound [52]. The fitting also yield one principal axis direction $\phi_{0}$.

We use a second method to measure the MOKE with denser temperature steps by setting the incident polarization at the principal axis to eliminate the birefringence. The temperature dependent MOKE by this method for $\mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}, \mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$, and $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ are shown in Fig. 3d-f. They clearly show that the onset of the MOKE signal is universally at $T_{C D W}$ for $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$. There is an error bar of $\pm 0.8^{\circ}$ in determining the principal axis, but as Extended Fig. 3 shows, the temperature dependent MOKE at $\phi_{0} \pm 0.8^{\circ}$ still exhibit the onset of MOKE signal at $T_{C D W}$. Also, a simple estimate assuming that the exact zero birefringence angle is off by $0.8^{\circ}$ gives an error bar of $3.7 \mu \mathrm{rad}\left(\sin \left(0.8^{\circ}\right) \times 270 \mu \mathrm{rad}\right)$.

Note that the two methods in Fig. 3 are performed at different locations and different thermal cycles, which lead to the different magnitude and signs. Different MOKE signs at various regions are also consistent with two TRS breaking domains. To confirm the consistency between the two methods, we measure the MOKE signal again by the second method in region 2 of $\mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$, and obtain similar data as method 1 as shown in Extended Fig. 11. Furthermore, as shown in Extended Fig.4, thermal cycles at the same location can give rise to different signs of the MOKE signal, which is another strong evidence for the time-reversed domains. The contour of the birefrigence domain does not change much, but the intensity of $\theta_{T}$ changes a little bit perhaps due to change of the MOKE contribution. To summarize, our MOKE measurement at zero field directly probe the TRS-breaking order parameter and demonstrate that TRS is broken at the $T_{C D W}$ for all three $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ compounds, indicating the existence of the long-sought loop currents in the CDW phases in $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ as shown in the inset of Fig. 3d.

## Circular dichroism

To further confirm TRS breaking in the CDW phase, we measure the circular dichroism (CD) on these three compounds. Left circularly polarized (LCP) and right circularly polarized (RCP) light are normally incident on the sample, and the difference of the reflectivity between the LCP and RCP is defined as the CD. The CD measurement is free from the birefringence effect and fitting errors. The measured CD signal can be shown to be pro-
portional to the ellipticity, $\eta$, of the MOKE contribution (see Methods). Fig. 4 shows the CD vs temperature for all three compounds at different spatial locations, and we clearly see an onset of CD at $T_{C D W}$ as the temperaturedependent CD at different spots of the samples splits at $T_{C D W}$. The locations of these points are shown in the the spatial CD mapping in Extended Fig. 5.

Note that CD can also originate from chiral (handed) structures, and there has been theoretical proposals that the CDW on the surface of $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ could be chiral if the period along the c axis is of four unit cells 45 . When the structure becomes chiral (handed), it breaks all of the mirror symmetries and, therefore, breaks the inversion symmetry. We performed second harmonic generation experiments, and observe that the SHG signal is only around 0.2 counts of photons per second under 12 mW incident power. We also do not see any change across the $T_{C D W}$. Our observation of $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ being centrosymmetric agrees with previous studies [30, 49], and our detection sensitivity is much higher [58, 59]. Interestingly, in the $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$, within the same birefrigence domain as shown in Extended Fig.6, we observe large-area two CD domains with opposite signs, which is another strong evidence of TRS breaking. Therefore, we conclude that the onset of CD at the $T_{C D W}$ comes from TRS breaking.

## Discussion

Our observation of two-fold symmetry and three-state nematicity just below $T_{C D W}$ is very different from the nematic order observed by STM in $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ [53, 56] and $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ [20, which shows the nematic order at temperature much below $T_{C D W}$. The difference is probably not just because that STM is an surface sensitive measurement, and our probe is a bulk measurement as the penetration depth of the light is around 50 nm [60, 61]. It could be that the nematicity observed by STM is some kind of additional electronically-driven phenomena at low
temperature as proposed recently [20, 53, 56. Also, the three domains were not resolved by STM[20, 53, 56]. The origin of the two-fold symmetry and three domains observed in our measurement is most likely due to the $\pi$ phase shift of the stacking between CDW layers, as shown in Fig. 1p and Extended Fig 1 1 , because the onset temperature of birefrigence coincides with $T_{C D W}$ in all three compounds. There have been lots of works of proposing different kinds of stacking between CDW layers [26, 30, 31, 35, 56, 57, 62,65. Our results shows that those without two-fold symmetry are not compatible. The domains we observe are on the order of $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ scale, which explains the nematicity observed in transport experiments on macroscopic samples possibly due to unequal population of three domains [54, 55]. Our results add strong constrains on interpreting other macroscopic measurements including photo-emission, x-ray and optical spectroscopy [17, 26, 30, 35, 36, 57, 60, 61, 63, 67].

As predicted in theoretical works [28, 45, 47, 68, 69], the interactions between saddle points in the kagome lattice lead to various competing orders such as real and chiral flux CDW orders in $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$. In some parameter regimes, the favored chiral flux CDW order can also induce real CDW order, leading to a mixture of order parameters. The results from our optical measurements shows that both the three-state nematicity and TRS breaking exhibit at $\mathrm{T}_{C D W}$ in $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$, which indicate that the two kinds of symmetry breaking might be interwined. The TRS breaking is favorable to the chiral flux CDW order with loop currents, which might indicate unconventional superconductivity in these compounds as the superconducting phase develops from the CDW phase. Looking forward, we hope our work will stimulate future works to study the nematicity and TRS breaking in both the CDW and superconducting phases in $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$. The imaging methodologies developed here can also be widely applied to other strongly correlated and topological systems.
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FIG. 1: Three-state nematic order in $\mathbf{R b V}_{3} \mathbf{S b}_{5}$. (a) Top view of the kagome lattice structure (a-b plane) of $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}(\mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{K}$, $\mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{Cs}$ ). The $\mathrm{V}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}$ and $\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{Rb} / \mathrm{Cs}$ layers form the kagome and triangular lattices, respectively. (b) A 3D crystal structure showing an staggered tri-hexagonal ( $\operatorname{TrH}$ ) CDW order. There is a relative phase of $\pi$ shift between the neighboring in-plane $2 \times 2 \mathrm{TrH}$ CDW orders. (c) A schematic shows the change of polarization angle $\theta_{T}$ due to a combination of magneto-optical Kerr effect and the birefringence effect. (d) $\theta_{T}$ vs temperature measured at the green spot in region 2 in (f) with different incident polarization. (e) $\theta_{T}$ vs incident polarization at different temperature. The plot is obtained from averaging the data shown in (d) in a $\pm 1 \mathrm{~K}$ window centered around the labeled temperature. (f) Spatial mapping of $\theta_{T}$ at $\mathrm{T}=6 \mathrm{~K}$ in a $\mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ sample measured at an incident polarization of $\phi=37.6^{\circ}$, which corresponds to zero birefrigence in R1. Six regions are labeled as R1 to R6, and the red/green spots indicate the positions where the birefringence measurement within each domain are performed. The two dark blue islands are caused by impurities on the surface (see optical images in Extended Fig. 11). (g-i) Polar plots of the birefringence patterns at $\mathrm{T}=70 \mathrm{~K}$ at the marked red/green dots in regions $1-3$, respectively. The + and - symbols show the sign of $\theta_{T}$.


FIG. 2: Three-state nematic order in $\mathbf{K V}_{3} \mathbf{S b}_{5}$ and $\mathbf{C s V}_{3} \mathbf{S b}_{5}$. (a, c) Spatial mapping of $\theta_{T}$ at $T=6 \mathrm{~K}$ in $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ measured at incident polarizations of $0^{\circ}$ and $46^{\circ}$, respectively. Three domains are labelled as R1, R2 and R3. The red/green spots indicate the positions where the birefringence measurements (see panels b and d) are performed. The gray triangle in panel (c) indicates region off the sample. (b, d) Birefringence patterns of $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ measured at $\mathrm{T}=50$ K and $\mathrm{T}=70 \mathrm{~K}$ at green/red dots in regions 1-3, respectively.


FIG. 3: MOKE in $\mathbf{A V}_{3} \mathbf{S b}_{5}$. (a-c) Fitted MOKE signal $\theta_{K}$ vs temperature with error bars for K , Rb and Cs compounds, respectively. The error bar is defined as the fitting error of $\theta_{K}$ by Eq. 1 (d-f) MOKE signal vs temperature measured at the incident angles with zero birefringence for $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Rb}$ and Cs compounds, respectively. The inset in panel d shows the orbital currents (blue arrows) in the kagome lattice. The error bar is 3.7 uRad as defined in the main text, which is larger than the standard deviation of the $\theta_{K}$ from 6-40 K


FIG. 4: Circular dichroism in $\mathbf{A V}_{3} \mathbf{S b}_{5}$. (a) Circular dichroism vs temperature measured at the corresponding spots in region 1-6 in Extended Fig. 5(a) in $\mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$. (b-c) Circular dichroism vs temperature at the selected spots in region 1-3 in $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ in Extended Fig. 5(b) and (c), respectively. The error bar is smaller than the symbol size of the data point in a-c.


Extended Data Figure 1: (a) 3D lattice structures showing two other possible staggered CDW orders with a $\pi$ phase shift, the staggered SoD and the staggered alternating SoD and TrH CDW orders. (b) Optical image of the mapping region in Fig. 1 (f) in $\mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$. The black dots indicate impurities on the surface. (c) $\theta_{T}$ vs temperature for various incident polarization measured in region 2 in $\mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$. (d) MOKE signal vs temperature measured at the zero birefringence incident angle in region 2 in $\mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$. The error bar is 3.7 uRad (see main text for definition of error). (e-g) Polar plots of the birefringence patterns at $\mathrm{T}=70 \mathrm{~K}$ measured at the corresponding spots in region 4, 5 and 6 (see Fig. 1(f)), respectively.


Extended Data Figure 2: (a, c) $\theta_{T}$ vs temperature for various incident polarization for $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$, respectively. (b, d) $\theta_{T}$ vs incident polarization at different temperature cuts for $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$, respectively.


Extended Data Figure 3: (a-c) MOKE signal measured at the incident angles $\phi_{0}=3.6^{\circ}$ and $\phi_{0} \pm 0.8$ in region 5 for $\mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$, where $\phi_{0}$ is the incident angle that birefringence contribution is zero. The error bar is defined as the statistical error for data points averaged together over 2 K range bins.


Extended Data Figure 4: (a-b) Spatial mapping of $\theta_{T}$ at $\mathrm{T}=6 \mathrm{~K}$, before and after thermal cycles for $\mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$. (c-d) MOKE signal vs temperature for run 1-3 and run 4-6 measured at one selected location in region 3, respectively. The incident angle for zero birefringence is at $242.8^{\circ}$. The error bar is defined as the statistical error for data points averaged together over 8 K range bins. The non-monotonic trend in run 2 and 3 are probably because the laser spot hits two time-reversed domains.


Extended Data Figure 5: (a-c) Circular dichroism maps at $\mathrm{T}=6 \mathrm{~K}$ for $\mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{K}$ and Cs compounds, respectively. The red and green star symbols indicate the positions where circular dichroism vs temperature measurements are performed in Fig. 4


Extended Data Figure 6: (a-b) The spatial birefringence and circular dichroism maps of $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ at $\mathrm{T}=6 \mathrm{~K}$, respectively. The region circled by red is region 2, where points within each region have the same birefringence pattern. The green and black dots indicate two spots in R2 (S1 and S2), which have same birefringence patterns but opposite signs of CD signal. (c) Histograms of circular dichroism signals within region 2. Both positive and negative CD signals within R2. (d) $\theta_{T}$ vs incident polarization at $\mathrm{T}=70 \mathrm{~K}$ measured at S 1 and S 2 within R 2 , respectively.


Extended Data Figure 7: Second harmonic generation of $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$. (a-c) Second harmonic generation vs temperature for Rb , Cs and K compounds, respectively. The error bar is defined as the statistical error for data points averaged together over 5 K range bins.

## I. METHODS

## A. Sample growth method

Single crystals of $\mathrm{CsV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}, \mathrm{RbV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$, and $\mathrm{KV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ were synthesized from Cs (liquid, Alfa 99.98\%), Rb (liquid, Alfa $99.75 \%$ ), K (metal, Alfa $99.95 \%$ ), V (powder, Sigma 99.9\%) and Sb (shot, Alfa 99.999\%). As-received vanadium powder was purified in-house to remove residual oxides. Due to extreme reactivity of elemental alkalis, all further preparation of $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ crystals were performed in an argon glovebox with oxygen and moisture levels $<0.5 \mathrm{ppm}$. $\mathrm{AV}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}_{5}$ single crystals were synthesized using the self-flux method. Elemental reagents were milled in a pre-seasoned tungsten carbide vial to form a composition which is $50 \mathrm{at} . \% \mathrm{~A}_{0.4} \mathrm{Sb}_{0.6}$ and approximately $50 \mathrm{at} . \% \mathrm{VSb}_{2}$. Excess antimony can be added to the flux to suppress volatility if needed. The fluxes were loaded into alumina crucibles and sealed within stainless steel jackets. The samples were heated to $1000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{hr}$ and soaked there for 24 h . The samples were subsequently cooled to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{hr}$ and then further to $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{hr}$. Once cooled, the crystals are recovered mechanically. Crystals are hexagonal flakes with brilliant metallic luster. Elemental composition of the crystals was assessed using energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) using a APREOC scanning electron microscope.

## B. Birefringence and MOKE measurements

Laser pulses from a Ti:sapphire oscillator with 800 nm center wavelength, 80 MHz repetition rate and 50 fs pulse duration are used to measure the change of polarization angle. To measure the change of the polarization angle without rotating the sample, a half wave plate (HWP) is put right in front of the sample and in between the cube beam splitter. Both the incident and reflected light goes through the half wave plate, thus polarization change due to the half wave plate is cancelled. The net change of the polarization purely comes from the sample itself [70]. By rotating the HWP, we can measure the change of polarization $\theta_{T}$ for different incident polarizations.

In the following derivation, we will show how the birefringence and the MOKE signal can be distinguished by rotating the half wave plate and keeping the beam at the same spot. The Jones matrix for polarizer at $45^{\circ}$ and $0^{\circ}$, photo-elastic modulator (PEM), half wave plate ( $\alpha$ ) and
mirror are,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(45) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
P(0) & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
H W P(\alpha) & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (2 \alpha) & \sin (2 \alpha) \\
\sin (2 \alpha) & -\cos (2 \alpha)
\end{array}\right] \\
P E M & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \tau}
\end{array}\right] \\
M & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the angle between the fast axis of the half wave plate and the horizontal axis of the lab, $\tau$ is the phase retardation applied by the PEM. The rotation matrix is,

$$
R(\beta)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (\beta) & -\sin (\beta) \\
\sin (\beta) & \cos (\beta)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\beta$ is the angle of rotation. For a sample that has both the birefringence and MOKE effect, we can use the following Jones matrix to represent the sample (ignoring the higher order term),

$$
S(\theta)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1-\sin (\theta)^{2} \Delta & \frac{\Delta \sin (2 \theta)}{2}-c  \tag{2}\\
\frac{\Delta \sin (2 \theta)}{2}+c & 1-\cos (\theta)^{2} \Delta
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\theta$ is the angle between the fast axis and the polarization of the incident light, $\Delta=\delta+i \kappa$ is the complex birefringence term, and $c=\theta_{K}+i \eta$ comes from the MOKE effect which is also a complex number. The output light $O$ measured at the photo detector can be calculated by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O=P(0) \cdot H W P(\pi-\alpha) \cdot M \cdot S(\theta) \cdot H W P(\alpha) \\
& \cdot P E M \cdot P(45) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
E \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
&=E\left[\frac{\Delta \cos (4 \alpha-2 \theta)}{4}+\frac{c \mathrm{e}^{\tau \mathrm{i}}}{2}-\frac{\Delta}{4}+\frac{\Delta \mathrm{e}^{\tau \mathrm{i}} \sin (4 \alpha-2 \theta)}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right] \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that the above expression only depends on the angle difference term $4 \alpha-2 \theta$, thus we can set $\theta=0$ and it will not affect the final results. The intensity measured at the photo detector is (ignoring the higher order terms),

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t) & =|O|^{2}=E^{2}\left[\sin (\tau)\left(-\frac{\eta}{2}-\frac{\kappa \sin (4 \alpha)}{4}\right)\right. \\
& +\cos (\tau)\left(\frac{\theta_{K}}{2}+\frac{\delta \sin (4 \alpha)}{4}\right)+\frac{\delta \cos (4 \alpha)}{4}-\frac{\delta}{4} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4}\right]+\mathcal{O}(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $\tau=\tau_{0} \sin (\omega t)$, and using the Fourier decomposition of $\cos (\tau(t))$ and $\sin (\tau(t))$, we have the following
relation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t) & =E^{2}\left[\frac{\delta \cos (4 \alpha)}{4}-\frac{\delta}{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right. \\
& +2 J_{1}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \sin (\omega t)\left(-\frac{\eta}{2}-\frac{\kappa \sin (4 \alpha)}{4}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(J_{0}\left(\tau_{0}\right)+2 J_{2}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \cos (2 \omega t)\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{K}}{2}+\frac{\delta \sin (4 \alpha)}{4}\right)\right] \\
& +\mathcal{O}(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

The $1 f, 2 f$ and DC component of the signal is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(1 f) & =E^{2} 2 J_{1}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\left(-\frac{\eta}{2}-\frac{\kappa \sin (4 \alpha)}{4}\right) \sin (\omega t) \\
I(2 f) & =E^{2} 2 J_{2}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{K}}{2}+\frac{\delta \sin (4 \alpha)}{4}\right) \cos (2 \omega t) \\
I(D C) & =E^{2}\left[\frac{\delta \cos (4 \alpha)}{4}-\frac{\delta}{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right. \\
& \left.+J_{0}\left(\tau_{0}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_{K}}{2}+\frac{\delta \sin (4 \alpha)}{4}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We can set $\tau_{0}=2.405$, which is the zero point for the $J_{0}$ Bessel function. Since both $\delta$ and $\theta_{K}$ are very small, we can approximate the DC term by $I(D C)=E^{2} / 4$. Furthermore, lock-in measures the RMS (root-mean-square) of the signal, so we have the following relation,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{I_{\text {lock }}(1 f)}{I_{\text {lock }}(D C)} & =\frac{4 J_{1}\left(\tau_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\eta-\frac{\kappa \sin (4 \alpha)}{2}\right)  \tag{3}\\
\frac{I_{\text {lock }}(2 f)}{I_{\text {lock }}(D C)} & =\frac{4 J_{2}\left(\tau_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\theta_{K}+\frac{\delta \sin (4 \alpha)}{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we note that the polarization $\phi$ of the incident light changes twice as much as the change of the half wave plate angle $\phi=2 \alpha$, we have the following relation,

$$
\begin{align*}
-\eta-\frac{\kappa \sin (2 \phi)}{2} & =\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4 J_{1}\left(\tau_{0}\right)} \frac{I_{l o c k}(1 f)}{I_{l o c k}(D C)}  \tag{5}\\
\theta_{K}+\frac{\delta \sin (2 \phi)}{2} & =\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4 J_{2}\left(\tau_{0}\right)} \frac{I_{l o c k}(2 f)}{I_{l o c k}(D C)} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

We can see that the change of the polarization angle in Eq. (6) has a constant MOKE term $\theta_{K}$, and a $\phi$ dependent birefringence term $\delta \sin (2 \phi) / 2$.

## C. CD measurement

An alternating left circularly polarized (LCP) and right cicurlarly polarzied (RCP) light generated by the photo elastic modular (PEM) is reflected off the sample, and the difference between the LCP and RCP intensity (CD) is measured by a photo detector connected to a lock-in analyzer. PEM modulates the incident light between the left circularly- and right circularly- polarized at a frequency of $f=42 \mathrm{kHz}$. Both the $1 f$ and DC
component is extracted from the measured signal, and the circular dichroism of the sample is proportional to $I(1 f) / I(D C)$.

Similar to the previous derivation, we can write out the Jones matrix for circular dichroism. The output light after going through a 45 degree polarizer, PEM and sample is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
O & =M \cdot S(\theta) \cdot P E M \cdot P(45) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
E \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& =E\left[\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{\Delta \sin (\theta)^{2}}{2}-\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\tau \mathrm{i}}\left(c-\frac{\Delta \sin (2 \theta)}{2}\right)}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{c}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\tau \mathrm{i}}\left(\Delta \cos (\theta)^{2}-1\right)}{2}-\frac{\Delta \sin (2 \theta)}{4}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The intensity measured by the photo detector is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t) & =E^{2}\left(\sin (\tau) \eta+\cos (\tau) \frac{\delta \sin (2 \theta)}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
& +\mathcal{O}(h) \\
& =E^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}+2 J_{1}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \sin (\omega t) \eta\right. \\
& \left.+\left(J_{0}\left(\tau_{0}\right)+2 J_{2}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \cos (2 \omega t)\right) \frac{\delta \sin (2 \theta)}{2}\right] \\
& +\mathcal{O}(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{0}=\frac{\pi}{2}$. For circular dichroism $I_{C D}$, we are measuring the ratio between the $1 f$ and the $D C$ component which is equal to,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{C D}=\frac{I_{l o c k}(1 f)}{I_{l o c k}(D C)}=2 \sqrt{2} J_{1}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \eta \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see from Eq. (7) that the circular dichroism signal does not depend on the sample orientation $(\theta)$ as expected, and is only related to the ellipticity $\eta$ term from the MOKE effect.

In our optical setup, we used a 50:50 non-polarizing cube in between the PEM and objective to collect the signal. The non-polarizing cube has different transmission coefficients for the $s$ and $p$ polarized light across the $750-850 \mathrm{~nm}$ wavelength range of our pulsed laser. To account for the this $s$ and $p$ transmission differences and rule out its effect on the circular dichroism signal, we can add in the Jones matrix for the cube $C$ into the CD derivation,

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{T_{p}} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{T_{s}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $T_{s}$ and $T_{p}$ are the transmission coefficient for the $s$ and $p$ polarized light. The output light in this case is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{1-T_{p}} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{1-T_{s}}
\end{array}\right] \cdot M \cdot S(\theta) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{T_{p}} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{T_{s}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \quad \cdot P E M \cdot P(45) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
E \\
0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The intensity measured by the photo detector is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t) & =-2 J_{1}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \sin (\omega t) \sqrt{T_{p} T_{s}}\left[\frac{\left(T_{s}+T_{p}-2\right) \eta}{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(T_{s}-T_{p}\right) \kappa \sin (2 \theta)}{4}\right]-\left(J_{0}\left(\tau_{0}\right)+2 J_{2}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \cos (\omega t)\right) \\
& \sqrt{T_{p} T_{s}}\left[\frac{\left(T_{s}-T_{p}\right) \theta_{K}}{2}+\frac{\left(T_{p}+T_{s}-2\right) \delta \sin (2 \theta)}{4}\right] \\
& +\frac{T_{s}+T_{p}-T_{s}^{2}-T_{p}^{2}}{4}+\frac{\delta}{2}\left[T_{s}^{2}-T_{s}+T_{s} \sin ^{2}(\theta)\right. \\
& \left.-T_{p} \sin ^{2}(\theta)-T_{s}^{2} \sin ^{2}(\theta)+T_{p}^{2} \sin (\theta)\right]+\mathcal{O}(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta_{0}=\frac{\pi}{2}$. Now, the circular dichroism signal becomes,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{C D}=\frac{I_{l o c k}(1 f)}{I_{l o c k}(D C)}=\frac{J_{1}\left(\tau_{0}\right) \sqrt{2 T_{p} T_{s}}}{T_{s}+T_{p}-T_{s}^{2}-T_{p}^{2}} \\
& \quad\left[2\left(T_{s}+T_{p}-2\right) \eta+\left(T_{s}-T_{p}\right) \kappa \sin (2 \theta)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We see now there is a birefringence correction term in the circular dichroism signal as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{b i r e}=\frac{J_{1}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \sqrt{2 T_{p} T_{s}}\left(T_{s}-T_{p}\right) \kappa \sin (2 \theta)}{T_{s}+T_{p}-T_{s}^{2}-T_{p}^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This correction term is proportional to the product of $\kappa$ and the difference in the transmission coefficients $T_{s}-$ $T_{p}$. Given the specification of the non-polarized cube $\left|T_{s}-T_{p}\right| \sim 10^{-2}$, we can estimate the magnitude of such correction to be,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{b i r e} \propto \kappa \cdot\left(T_{s}-T_{p}\right)=10^{-4} \cdot 10^{-2}=10^{-6} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The birefringence correction is one order of magnitude less than the circular dichroism signal of the samples, thus can be ignored in our measurement.

## D. CD Theory

We derive the general CD effect and discuss consequences of TRS-breaking and crystal-symmetry-breaking on CD. According to Fermi's golden rule, the absorption rate of circular light with helicity $\sigma_{ \pm}$is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.I\left(\sigma_{ \pm}\right)=\frac{2 \pi}{\hbar} \sum_{c, v}\left|\langle c| H^{\prime}\right| v\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \delta\left(E_{c}-E_{v}-\hbar \omega\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ and $c$ refer to valance and conduction band states, respectively, and $\hbar \omega$ is the photon energy. $H^{\prime}$ is the interaction Hamiltonian, whose expression is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}=-e \boldsymbol{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (11) correspond to electric and magnetic dipole interactions, respectively. The magnetic dipole term is usually much
weaker than the electric dipole term. However, the magnetic dipole cannot be ignored for CD in time-reversal invariant systems where the electric dipole contribution vanishes as illustrated following.

For helical photon $\sigma_{ \pm}$, the electric and magnetic field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{E}=E_{0}(1, \pm i, 0) \quad, \quad \boldsymbol{B}=\frac{E_{0}}{c}(\mp i, 1,0) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the interaction matrix element is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left|\langle c| H_{ \pm}^{\prime}\right| v\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}=\left|E_{0}\right|^{2}\left|\langle c| e r_{ \pm} \mp i \frac{m_{ \pm}}{c}\right| v\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{ \pm}=x \pm i y$, and $m_{ \pm}=m_{x} \pm i m_{y}$. Therefore the CD is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.I\left(\sigma_{+}\right)-I\left(\sigma_{-}\right) \propto\left|\langle c| H_{+}^{\prime}\right| v\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}-\left|\langle c| H_{-}^{\prime}\right| v\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \\
= & 2 \operatorname{Im}[\underbrace{e^{2}\langle v| x|c\rangle\langle c| y|v\rangle}_{(1)} \\
& +\underbrace{\frac{e}{c}\langle v| m_{x}|c\rangle\langle c| x|v\rangle+\frac{e}{c}\langle v| m_{y}|c\rangle\langle c| y|v\rangle}_{(3)}  \tag{14}\\
& +\underbrace{}_{\underbrace{\frac{1}{c^{2}}\langle v| m_{y}|c\rangle\langle c| m_{x}|v\rangle}}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq. (14), (1) comes from electric dipole, (2) originates in both electric and magnetic dipoles, and (3) come from merely magnetic dipole interactions. The (3) term is usually ignored since it is to small compared to (1) and (2).
(1) is the Berry curvature between valance band $v$ and conduction band $c$. It is even under inversion symmetry but odd under TRS. It is usually called magnetic CD in literature. (2) is even under TRS but odd for mirror or inversion, because, for example, $\langle v| m_{x}|c\rangle\langle c| x|v\rangle$ is odd under $x / y \rightarrow-x /-y$ reflection or $(x, y, z) \rightarrow(-x,-y,-z)$ inversion. Therefore, if TRS is broken, both (1) and (2) are nonzero. If TRS is conserved, only (2) can appear in a chiral material. If both TRS and inversion symmetry exist, the CD effect vanishes. In the case of inversion and/or mirror symmetries in the material, the CD effect due to (1) indicates the TRS-breaking.
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