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## Introduction

In this paper, we prove a formula for the Todd class of a toric variety, which we use to obtain results about lattice polyhedra and Dedekind sums. These applications include a formula for the number of lattice points in an arbitrary lattice tetrahedron, and a generalization of Rademacher's three-term reciprocity formula for Dedekind sums. This paper is written in three parts, with separate introductions so that the parts may be read independently. Readers who are interested primarily in the applications to lattice polyhedra or Dedekind sums are encouraged to skip to Part II or III.

It is well known that the Chern classes of a nonsingular toric variety are expressed nicely as the sum of the classes of certain special subvarieties. For simplicial but possibly singular toric varieties, we use this same sum to define the mock Chern class, and then define the mock Todd class via the Todd polynomials. We prove that in the dimension of the singular locus, the difference between the actual Todd class and the mock Todd class has a local expression. The codimension two part of this difference is expressed explicitly in terms of Dedekind sums. In this way, we obtain an expression for the codimension two part of the Todd class of an arbitrary toric variety given in terms of Dedekind sums.

This leads to several number-theoretic applications. First, we give a formula for the number of lattice points in an arbitrary lattice tetrahedron in terms of six Dedekind sums, one for each edge of the tetrahedron. This formula generalizes the lattice point formula of Mordell. We also use the Todd class result to prove facts about Dedekind sums. We derive a formula expressing the sum of two arbitrary Dedekind sums in terms of a third, as well as an $n$-term reciprocity law which generalizes the three-term law of Rademacher.

## Part I: The Todd class of simplicial toric varieties

## 1 Introduction

In this first part, we investigate the Todd class of simplicial toric varieties. Toric varieties form a very special class of rational varieties. They arise from combinatorial objects called fans, which are collection of cones in a lattice. Toric varieties are of interest both in their own right as algebraic varieties, and in their application to the theory of convex polytopes. For example, Danilov established the direct connection between the Todd class of toric varieties and the problem of counting the number of lattice points in a convex lattice polytope [Dan, p. 134]. Thus the problem of finding explicit expressions for the Todd class of a toric variety is of interest not only to algebraic geometers.

For nonsingular toric varieties, we may obtain an expression for the Todd class in the following manner: Let $\Sigma$ be a fan and let $X_{\Sigma}$ denote the toric variety associated to $\Sigma$. To each cone of $\Sigma$ there corresponds a special subvariety of $X_{\Sigma}$. In the case that $X_{\Sigma}$ happens to be nonsingular, the total Chern class of $X_{\Sigma}$ is simply the sum of the classes of these subvarieties. The Todd class may then be computed from the Chern classes using the Todd polynomials [Dan, p. 132]. Motivated by this result, we define the mock Chern class of a simplicial toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$ as the sum of the classes of the special subvarieties (those corresponding to the cones of $\Sigma$ ). Since the Chow groups of a simplicial toric variety have a natural ring structure [Dan, p. 131], we may define the mock Todd class to be the Todd polynomials in the mock Chern classes.

We shall investigate the difference between the Todd class and the mock Todd class of a simplicial toric variety. It is not hard to show that this difference lies on the singular locus, and so vanishes in codimensions smaller than $d$, the codimension of the singular locus. Our main theorem is that in codimension $d$, the difference between the Todd class and the mock Todd class is the sum of the special cycles of codimension $d$ with coefficients computable in terms of the local combinatorics of the fan.

To make this precise, we let $\Sigma$ be a simplicial fan and $X_{\Sigma}$ be the associated toric variety. We then define the mock Chern classes by:

$$
C_{i} X_{\Sigma}=\sum \frac{1}{\operatorname{mult} \tau} F_{\tau}
$$

where the sum ranges over all $i$-dimensional cones $\tau$ in $\Sigma$, and $F_{\tau}$ is the class in $\left(A^{i} X_{\Sigma}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the subvariety corresponding to $\tau$. The mock Todd class is then defined by:

$$
\mathrm{TD} X_{\Sigma}=\sum_{i \leqq 0} \operatorname{TD}^{i} X_{\Sigma}
$$

where $\mathrm{TD}^{i} X_{\Sigma}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ Todd polynomial [Hir] in the classes $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{i}$. Every algebraic variety has a naturally defined Todd class [Ful], and we denote the codimension $i$ part of this class by $\mathrm{Td}^{i} X$.

We then have:
Theorem 1. Let $N$ be a lattice and let $\mathscr{S}$ be the set of all d-dimensional simplicial
cones in $N$ with non-singular $(d-1)$-dimensional faces. Then there is a unique function

$$
t: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}
$$

with the property that if $\Sigma$ is a complete simplicial fan in $N$ all of whose $(d-1)$ dimensional cones are non-singular (so that $\operatorname{codim}\left(\operatorname{Sing} X_{\Sigma}\right) \geqq d$ ), then

$$
\mathrm{Td}^{d} X_{\Sigma}-\mathrm{TD}^{d} X_{\Sigma}=\sum t(\tau) F_{\tau}
$$

with the sum taken over all d-dimensional cones $\tau \in \Sigma$.
In the case $d=2$, we show that the function $t$ is expressed in terms of a Dedekind sum. Precisely, if $\tau$ is a two-dimensional cone generated by $e_{1}$ and $p e_{1}+q e_{2}$ where $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ forms a lattice basis in the plane containing $\tau$, then

$$
t(\tau)=s(p, q)-\frac{1}{4 q}+\frac{1}{4}
$$

where $s(p, q)$ is the classical Dedekind sum. In this way, we obtain a formula for the Todd class of a toric variety in codimension two in terms of Dedekind sums. Given a fan $\Sigma$, it is in practice quite easy to compute $\mathrm{TD}^{2} X_{\Sigma}$, as the ring $A^{*} X_{\Sigma}$ is given rather explicitly. Thus, the previous theorem gives a computable expression for $\mathrm{Td}^{2} X_{\Sigma}$ in terms of Dedekind sums.

Worth noting is the similarity between the present work and that of Hirzebruch and Zagier [HiZa], in which Dedekind sums appear as "signature defects." They examine the difference between the signature of a singular quotient variety $M / G$, and the expression

$$
\frac{1}{|G|} \operatorname{Sign} M,
$$

which gives the true signature in the case that $M / G$ is nonsingular. Applying these ideas to certain algebraic surfaces yields number-theoretic results, just as in the present case, where "Todd class defects" yield number-theoretic results similar to those explored in Parts II and III of this paper.

Also worth noting is the difference in approach between this work and that of Morelli [Mor], who found formulas for the Todd class of singular toric varieties after suitably extending the coefficient field. Morelli's formulas are additive on the cones of a fixed lattice, whereas the formulas of this paper are invariant under lattice automorphisms.

## 2 General facts about toric varieties

In this section, we state without proof the facts about toric varieties that we will need in the remainder of Part I. We also establish notation used in future sections. The reader may find proofs of these results Oda's book [Oda] or in the survey article [Dan].

### 2.1 Basic facts and notations

Toric varieties arise from combinatorial objects called fans. Here are some facts about fans and the relation between the combinatorics of a fan and the geometry of the associated toric variety.

Let $N$ be a lattice of dimension $n$. A half-space in $N$ is a set of the form $\lambda^{-1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)$, where $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$. A cone in $N$ is a finite intersection of half-spaces. Equivalently, we may think of a cone as the convex hull in $N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ of a finite set of rays starting at the origin. If $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k} \in N$, we use $\left\langle n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\rangle$ to denote the convex hull of the rays $\overline{O n_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{O n_{k}}$, which is called the cone generated by $\left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\}$. Throughout, we shall assume that our cones contain no linear subspace of positive dimension.

A fan in $N$ is a finite collection $\Sigma$ of cones such that
(1) For all $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma, \sigma \cap \tau$ is a common face of $\sigma$ and $\tau$.
(2) If $\sigma \in \Sigma$, then all faces of $\sigma$ are also in $\Sigma$.

We use $\Sigma^{(i)}$ to denote the set of $i$-dimensional cones of $\Sigma$. One-dimensional cones are called rays or edges. If $\rho$ is a ray, we shall also use $\rho$ to denote the unique primitive element of $N$ lying on $\rho$.

To each fan $\Sigma$ in $N$, there is an associated toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$. To each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, there corresponds a subvariety $V(\sigma)$ of $X_{\Sigma}$ such that
(1) $\operatorname{dim} \sigma=\operatorname{codim} V(\sigma)$
(2) $\tau \subset \sigma \Leftrightarrow V(\tau) \supset V(\sigma)$.

The construction of $X_{\Sigma}$, as well as the subvarieties $V(\sigma)$ may be found in [Oda, Sects. 1.2 and 1.3].

The following properties show the relation between the combinatorics of $\Sigma$ and the geometry of $X_{\Sigma}$ :
(1) A fan is called complete if its cones cover the lattice $N$. It is then true that $X_{\Sigma}$ is complete if and only if $\Sigma$ is complete (cf. [Oda, Sect. 1.4]).
(2) A cone $\sigma$ is called non-singular if it is generated by a subset of a basis for the lattice $N$. A fan is said to be non-singular if all of its cones are non-singular. Then it is true that $X_{\Sigma}$ is non-singular if and only if $\Sigma$ is non-singular (cf. [Oda, Sect. 1.4]). In fact, the singular locus of $X_{\Sigma}$ is $\bigcup V(\tau)$, the union being taken over all singular cones $\tau \in \Sigma$.
(3) A cone $\sigma$ of dimension $k$ is called simplicial if $\sigma$ is generated by $k$ elements of $N$. In particular, any non-singular cone or any 2 -dimensional cone is simplicial. A fan is called simplicial if all of its cones are simplicial. If $\sigma$ is a simplicial cone generated by primitive elements $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k} \in N$, then we define mult $\sigma$ to be $\#\left(P /\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right)$, where $P$ is the $k$-plane in $N$ containing $\sigma$.

### 2.2 Resolution of singularities

A subdivision of a cone $\tau$ is a fan $\Gamma$ such that the union of the cones of $\Gamma$ is $\tau . \Gamma$ is called an interior subdivision if every ray of $\Gamma$ (except the rays of $\tau$ ) lies in the interior of $\tau$. If $\Sigma$ is a fan, $\tau \in \Sigma$, and $\Gamma$ is a subdivision of $\tau$, then we obtain a new fan $\Sigma^{\prime}$ from $\Sigma$ by replacing $\tau$ with the cones of $\Gamma$, and replacing cones of $\Sigma$ which intersect $\tau$ with suitably subdivided cones. In this case, we obtain a proper, birational map of toric varieties:

$$
\pi: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}
$$

(cf. [Oda, Sect. 1.5]). If $\Gamma$ is an interior subdivision of $\tau$, then the map $\pi$ is an isomorphism except above $V(\tau)$.

Given any fan $\Sigma$ we may obtain a non-singular fan $\Sigma^{\prime}$ through a sequence of such interior subdivisions of singular cones. In this way, we obtain a resolution of singularities for an arbitrary toric variety. If $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=2$, this resolution is described explicitly in terms of continued fractions (cf. [Oda, Sect. 1.6]).

### 2.3 The Chow ring

Because a simplicial toric variety is locally the quotent of a smooth variety by a finite group, the rational Chow groups of a simplicial toric variety have a natural ring structure described explicitly below [Dan, p. 127]. Throughout, $A^{*} X$ is used to abbreviate $\left(A^{*} X\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let $\Sigma$ be a simplicial fan in a lattice $N$, and let $M=\operatorname{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ be the dual lattice with $\langle\rangle:, M \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the natural pairing. For $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we denote by $F_{\sigma}$ the class in $A^{(\operatorname{dim} \sigma)} X_{\Sigma}$ of the subvariety $V(\sigma)$. Then the ring $A^{*} X_{\Sigma}$ is generated by $\left\{F_{\rho} \mid \rho \in \Sigma^{(1)}\right\}$, the classes of the special divisors, with the relations:
(1) For each $m \in M$,

$$
\sum_{\left.\rho \in \Sigma^{( }\right)}\langle m, \rho\rangle F_{\rho}=0 .
$$

(2) If $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}$ are distinct, then

$$
F_{\rho_{1}}, \ldots \cdot F_{\rho_{k}}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\text { mult }\left\langle\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}\right\rangle} F_{\left\langle\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}\right\rangle} & \text { if }\left\langle\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}\right\rangle \in \Sigma \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

### 2.4 Push-forward of invariant cycles

Let $\Sigma$ be a simplicial fan and let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be a subdivision of $\Sigma$, with $\pi: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$. If $\sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$, we will use $E_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ to denote the class in $A^{*} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ of the subvariety $V\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$, and for $\sigma \in \Sigma, F_{\sigma}$ will denote the class in $A^{*} X_{\Sigma}$ corresponding to $V(\sigma)$.

In this case, it is easy to describe the push-forward map

$$
\pi_{*}: A^{*} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow A^{*} X_{\Sigma} .
$$

Let $\sigma^{\prime} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$, and let $\sigma$ be the smallest cone of $\Sigma$ such that $\sigma^{\prime} \subset \sigma$. Then

$$
\pi_{*}\left(E_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)= \begin{cases}F_{\sigma} & \text { if } \operatorname{dim} \sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \sigma \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This fact is well-known and is proven by unraveling the construction of the map $\pi$ given in [Oda, Sect. 1.5].

### 2.5 Chern classes of a non-singular toric variety

If $\Sigma$ is a non-singular fan, the Chern classes of $X_{\Sigma}$ are given by the sum of the special subvarieties:

$$
c_{i} X_{\Sigma}=\sum_{\tau \in \Sigma^{(i)}} F_{\tau} .
$$

As $X_{\Sigma}$ is non-singular, the Todd class $\operatorname{Td} X_{\Sigma}$ may then be computed from the Chern classes using the Todd polynomials, in the usual way. See [Dan, p. 114].

## 3 Push-forward of products

This section contains a theorem about pushing forward a product of cycles under a proper, birational map of toric varieties. This result will be the key step in proving the theorems about Todd classes found in the following sections.

We start with a simplicial fan $\Sigma$, and let $\tau \in \Sigma^{(d)}$. Let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be obtained from $\Sigma$ by an interior subdivision of $\tau$. As mentioned in Sect. 2.2, this gives a map $\pi$ : $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ of toric varieties which is an isomorphism except above $V(\tau)$.

Let $W_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, W_{r}^{\prime} \in A^{*} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ with $W_{i}^{\prime} \in A^{e_{i}} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$, and let $W_{i}=\pi_{*} W_{i}^{\prime}$. We now consider the difference

$$
\delta=\pi_{*} \prod W_{i}^{\prime}-\prod W_{i} \in A^{e} X_{\Sigma}
$$

where $e=\sum e_{i}$. Since $\pi$ is an isomorphism above $X_{\Sigma} \backslash V(\tau)$, this difference vanishes when restricted to $A^{e}\left(X_{\Sigma} \backslash V(\tau)\right.$, and hence lies in the image of $A^{e-d} V(\tau) \rightarrow A^{e} X_{\Sigma}$.

If $e<d$, we see that $\delta$ vanishes.
Our theorem concerns the case $e=d$. In this case, we see immediately that $\delta$ is some rational multiple of $F_{\tau}$. In examining exactly what rational number occurs here, it suffices to consider the case in which the $W_{i}^{\prime}$ are all divisors $(i=1, \ldots, d)$, since the Chow rings above are generated by divisors. We now show that the rational number in question does not depend on all of $\Sigma$, but only on the cone $\tau$ and its subdivision.

Theorem 2. Given a d-dimensional simplicial cone $\tau$ and a simplicial interior subdivision $\Gamma$ of $\tau$, there exists a unique function

$$
f_{\tau, \Gamma}: N^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}
$$

with the properties:
(1) $f_{\tau, r}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)=0$ unless all $\beta_{i}$ are primitive elements of rays of $\Gamma$.
(2) $f_{\tau, r}$ is symmetric in its d variables.
(3) If $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}$ are distinct rays of $\Gamma$, then

$$
f_{\tau, \Gamma}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle} & \text { if }\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle \in \Gamma \\ \frac{-1}{\operatorname{mult}\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle} & \text { if }\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle=\tau \\ 0 & \text { otherwise . }\end{cases}
$$

(4) If $m \in M=\operatorname{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{d} \in N$, then

$$
\sum_{\beta \in \Gamma^{(1)}}\langle m, \beta\rangle f_{\tau, \Gamma}\left(\beta, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)=0
$$

This function has an additional property:
(5) Let $\Sigma$ be a complete simplicial fan in $N$ such that $\tau \in \Sigma$, and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be the fan obtained from $\Sigma$ by performing the subdivision $\Gamma$ of $\tau$, with $\pi: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ the natural
map. Then for any edges $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}$ of $\Sigma^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\pi_{*} \prod_{i=1}^{d} E_{\beta_{i}}-\prod_{i=1}^{d} \pi_{*} E_{\beta_{i}}=f_{\tau, \Gamma}\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right) F_{\tau} .
$$

Proof. That (1)-(4) determine $f_{\tau, \Gamma}$ uniquely is a straightforward induction-(3) determines the value for distinct $\beta_{i}$, and (4) allows us to reduce the number of coincidences among the $\beta_{i}$ until they are all distinct.

To prove the existence of $f_{\tau, F}$ as well as (5), let $\pi: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ be as in the statement of the theorem, and let $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}$ be edges of $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Then, as noted at the beginning of this section, it follows from general principles of intersection theory that

$$
\pi_{*} \prod_{i=1}^{d} E_{\beta_{i}}-\prod_{i=1}^{d} \pi_{*} E_{\beta_{1}} \in A^{d} X_{\Sigma}
$$

is a rational multiple of $F_{\mathrm{r}}$. Thus, we may write

$$
\pi_{*} \prod_{i=1}^{d} E_{\beta_{i}}-\prod_{i=1}^{d} \pi_{*} E_{\beta_{i}}=g\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right) F_{\mathrm{r}} .
$$

It follows from the completeness of $X_{\Sigma}$ and facts of Sect. 2.3 that $F_{\tau} \neq 0$, and hence the above equation defines $g$ uniquely. We will show that $g$ satisfies (1)-(4), and hence $g=f_{\tau, F}$, establishing the existence of $f_{\tau, \Gamma}$ as well as property (5). (Note that a priori, $g$ depends on all of $\Sigma^{\prime}$, while $f_{\tau, \Gamma}$ depends only on $\tau$ and its subdivision.)
$g$ satisfies (1). We must show that if some $\beta_{j}$ is not a ray of $\Gamma$, then $\pi_{*} \prod_{i=1}^{d} E_{\beta_{1}}-\prod_{i=1}^{d} \pi_{*} E_{\beta_{4}}=0$. This follows easily from intersection theory, as follows: Let $D_{i}^{\prime}=V\left(\beta_{i}\right) \subset X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$. If $\beta_{i} \in \Sigma^{(1)}$, let $D_{i}=\pi\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right)=V\left(\beta_{i}\right) \subset X_{\Sigma}$, and if $\beta_{i} \notin \Sigma^{(1)}$, let $D_{i}=0$. We are then interested in

$$
\delta=\pi_{*} \prod\left[D_{i}^{\prime}\right]-\prod\left[D_{i}\right] \in A^{d} X_{\Sigma} .
$$

The condition $\beta_{j} \nsubseteq \tau$ ensures that $D_{j}=V\left(\beta_{j}\right) \nsupseteq V(\tau)$. Thus, if we consider $\delta$ living in $A^{d-1} D_{j}$, and apply the exact sequence

$$
A^{*}\left(D_{j} \cap V(\tau)\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} A^{*}\left(D_{j}\right) \rightarrow A^{*}\left(D_{j} \backslash V(\tau)\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

we see on the one hand that $\delta$ lies in the image of $\alpha$, and on the other hand, $\delta$ is a class of dimension $n-d$. However, $\operatorname{dim}\left(D_{j} \cap V(\tau)\right)<n-d$ as $V(\tau) \nsubseteq D_{j}$. We conclude that $\delta=0$.
$g$ satisfies (2). This is evident from the commutativity of intersection products.
$g$ satisfies (3). Let $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}$ be distinct rays of $\Sigma$.
(A) If $\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle \in \Gamma$, then $\prod_{i=1}^{d} E_{\beta_{1}}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle} E_{\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle}$, so

$$
\pi_{*} \prod_{i=1}^{d} E_{\beta_{\mathrm{r}}}=\frac{1}{\text { mult }\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle} F_{\tau},
$$

while downstairs, $\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle \notin \Sigma$, so

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{d} \pi_{*} E_{\beta_{i}}=0 .
$$

This gives $g\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle}$.
(B) If $\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle=\tau$, then since $\tau \notin \Sigma^{\prime}$,

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{d} E_{\beta_{i}}=0,
$$

while downstairs,

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{d} \pi_{*} E_{\beta_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{d} F_{\beta_{i}}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{mult} \tau} F_{\tau},
$$

so $g\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)=\frac{-1}{\text { mult } \tau}$.
(C) Otherwise, $\left\langle\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right\rangle$ is neither a cone of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ nor of $\Sigma$, so both $\prod_{i=1}^{d} E_{\beta_{2}}$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{d} \pi_{*} E_{\beta_{i}}$ vanish, and hence $g\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)=0$.
$g$ satisfies (4). It will be convenient to set $F_{\beta}=0 \in A^{1} X_{\Sigma}$ when $\beta \in \Sigma^{\prime(1)} \backslash \Sigma^{(1)}$. With this notation, it is then true that for any $\beta \in \Sigma^{\prime(1)}, \pi_{*} E_{\beta}=F_{\beta}$. Now let $\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{d} \in \Gamma^{(1)}$ and let $m \in M$. We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{\prime(1)}}\langle m, \beta\rangle E_{\beta}=0 \quad \text { in } A^{*} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{(1)}}\langle m, \beta\rangle F_{\beta}=0 \quad \text { in } A^{*} X_{\Sigma},
$$

which implies
(**)

$$
\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{\prime(1)}}\langle m, \beta\rangle F_{\beta}=0 .
$$

(*) and ( $* *$ ) now yield:

$$
\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{(1)}}\langle m, \beta\rangle E_{\beta} \cdot E_{\beta_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot E_{\beta_{d}}=0 \quad \text { and } \sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{(1)}}\langle m, \beta\rangle F_{\beta} \cdot F_{\beta_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot F_{\beta_{d}}=0 .
$$

Together, these yield

$$
\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{(1)}}\langle m, \beta\rangle\left(\pi_{*}\left(E_{\beta} \cdot E_{\beta_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot E_{\beta_{4}}\right)-F_{\beta} \cdot F_{\beta_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot F_{\beta_{d}}\right)=0 .
$$

Hence,

$$
\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{(1)}}\langle m, \beta\rangle\left(\pi_{*}\left(E_{\beta} \cdot E_{\beta_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot E_{\beta_{d}}\right)-\pi_{*} E_{\beta} \cdot \pi_{*} E_{\beta_{2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \pi_{*} E_{\beta_{d}}\right)=0,
$$

and finally

$$
\left(\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma^{(1)}}\langle m, \beta\rangle g\left(\beta, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)\right) F_{\mathrm{t}}=0 .
$$

Since $F_{\tau} \neq 0$ in $A^{d} X_{\Sigma}$, and using that $g$ satisfies (1), we get

$$
\sum_{\beta \in \Gamma^{(1)}}\langle m, \beta\rangle g\left(\beta, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{d}\right)=0,
$$

which completes the proof.

## 4 Todd class formula

In this section, we prove the main theorem of Part I, which asserts that in the codimension of the singular locus, the difference between the Todd class and the mock Todd class of a simplicial toric variety may be computed locally. We first recall some facts and definitions.

If $\Sigma$ is a complete non-singular fan, the Chern classes of $X_{\Sigma}$ are given by

$$
c X_{\Sigma}=\sum_{\tau \in \Sigma} F_{\tau}=\prod_{\rho \in \Sigma^{(1)}}\left(1+F_{\rho}\right),
$$

the second equality following easily from the description of the Chow ring given in Sect. 2.3.

For a simplicial toric variety $X_{\Sigma}$, we define the mock Chern class of $X_{\Sigma}$ by

$$
C X_{\Sigma}=\sum_{\tau \in \Sigma} \frac{1}{\operatorname{mult} \tau} F_{\tau}=\prod_{\rho \in \Sigma^{(1)}}\left(1+F_{\rho}\right) .
$$

Again the second inequality follows from Sect. 2.3. We let $C_{i} X_{\Sigma}$ be the codimension $i$ part of $C X_{\Sigma}$, i.e.

$$
C_{i} X_{\Sigma}=\sum_{\tau \in \Sigma^{(i)}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{mult} \tau} F_{\tau} .
$$

We then define the mock Todd class of $X_{\Sigma}$ by

$$
\operatorname{TD} X_{\Sigma}=\sum_{i \geqq 0} \operatorname{TD}^{i} X_{\Sigma},
$$

where $\mathrm{TD}^{i} X_{\Sigma}$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ Todd polynomial in the class $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{i}$.
We now examine the difference

$$
\mathrm{Td}^{i} X_{\Sigma}-\mathrm{TD}^{i} X_{\Sigma} .
$$

For $i<d=\operatorname{codim}\left(\operatorname{Sing} X_{\Sigma}\right)$, the difference vanishes. This is because we may find a non-singular subdivision $\Sigma^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma$ such that the map $\pi: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ is an isomorphism except over a set of codimension $d$. So if $i<d$, then as we have seen at the beginning of the previous section, a product in codimension $i$ pushes forward, and we have $\pi_{*} \mathrm{TD}^{i} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=\mathrm{TD}^{i} X_{\Sigma}$. But since $X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is non-singular, $\mathrm{TD}^{i} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=\mathrm{Td}^{i} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$, and this gives $\pi_{*} \mathrm{Td}^{i} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=\mathrm{TD}^{i} X_{\Sigma}$. Finally, we use the fact that the Todd class pushes forward under proper birational morphisms [Ful, p. 353] to obtain $\mathrm{Td}^{i} X_{\Sigma}=\mathrm{TD}^{i} X_{\Sigma}$.

Before we examine the above difference in the case $i=d$, we will prove a lemma about pushing forward an arbitrary polynomial of degree $d$ in the mock Chern classes.

Lemma 1. Let $P$ be a polynomial of graded degree $d$ in the variables $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{d}\left(C_{i}\right.$ having degree i). If $\Sigma$ is a simplicial fan, $\tau \in \Sigma^{(d)}$, and $\Gamma$ is a simplicial interior subdivision of $\tau$, and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is the fan obtained from $\Sigma$ by subdividing $\tau$, with $\pi: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ the induced map of varieties, then

$$
\pi_{*} P\left(C_{1} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}, \ldots, C_{d} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\right)-P\left(C_{1} X_{\Sigma}, \ldots, C_{d} X_{\Sigma}\right)=r F_{\tau},
$$

where $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ depends only on $\tau$ and $\Gamma$ (but not on all of $\Sigma$ ).

Proof. By linearity, it suffices to consider the case in which $P$ is a monomial:

$$
P=C_{1}^{n_{1}} \ldots C_{d^{d}}^{n_{d}} \quad \text { where } \sum_{i=1}^{d} i n_{i}=d
$$

In this case, the above difference is equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{*} & {\left[\left(\sum_{\rho_{1} \in \Sigma^{(1)}} E_{\rho_{1}}\right)^{n_{1}}\left(\sum_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in \Sigma^{\prime(1)}} E_{\rho_{1}} E_{\rho_{2}}\right)^{n_{2}} \cdots\left(\sum_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d} \in \Sigma^{(1)}} E_{\rho_{1}} \ldots E_{\rho_{d}}\right)^{n_{d}}\right] } \\
& -\left(\sum_{\rho_{1} \in \Sigma^{(1)}} F_{\rho_{1}}\right)^{n_{1}}\left(\sum_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in \Sigma^{\prime(1)}} F_{\rho_{1}} F_{\rho_{2}}\right)^{n_{2}} \cdots\left(\sum_{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{d} \in \Sigma^{(1)}} F_{\rho_{1}} \ldots F_{\rho_{d}}\right)^{n_{d}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where each sum is taken over all distinct subsets $\left\{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{i}\right\}$ of $\Sigma^{\prime(1)}$ of size $i$. (Again, we set $F_{\rho}=0$ if $\rho \in \Sigma^{\prime(1)} \backslash \Sigma^{(1)}$.) We may rewrite this difference as

$$
\Sigma\left[\pi_{*}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{\rho \in A_{i}^{\prime}} E_{\rho}\right)-\prod_{i=1}^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \prod_{\rho \in A_{i}^{\prime}} F_{\rho}\right]
$$

where the sum ranges over all sequences $A_{1}^{1}, \ldots, A_{1}^{n_{1}}, A_{2}^{1}, \ldots, A_{2}^{n_{2}}, \ldots, A_{d}^{1}, \ldots, A_{d}^{n_{d}}$ of subsets of $\Sigma^{\prime(1)}$ such that $\#\left(A_{i}^{j}\right)=i$.

Finally, by properties (1) and (5) of the theorem of the preceeding section, we see that the above quantity equals

$$
\left[\sum f_{\tau, \Gamma}\left(A_{1}^{1}, \ldots, A_{1}^{n_{1}}, \boldsymbol{A}_{2}^{1}, \ldots, A_{2}^{n_{2}}, \ldots, A_{d}^{1}, \ldots, A_{d}^{n_{d}}\right)\right] F_{\tau}
$$

where once again, $A_{1}^{1}, \ldots, A_{1}^{n_{1}}, A_{2}^{1}, \ldots, A_{2}^{n_{2}}, \ldots, A_{d}^{1}, \ldots, A_{d}^{n_{d}}$ range over all sequences of subsets of $\Sigma^{\prime(1)}$ such that $\#\left(A_{i}^{j}\right)=i$.

Note that the bracketed coefficient above gives a recipe for calculating $r$ in terms of $\tau$ and $\Gamma$.

Theorem 1 now follows from:
Lemma 2. If $\Sigma$ is a simplicial fan, $\tau \in \Sigma^{(d)}$ is a cone whose ( $d-1$ )-dimensional faces are non-singular, and $\Gamma$ is a non-singular interior subdivision of $\tau$, then letting $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be the fan obtained by subdividing $\tau$, and letting $\pi: X_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$, we have

$$
\pi_{*} \operatorname{TD}^{d} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}-\mathrm{TD}^{d} X_{\Sigma}=r_{\mathrm{\tau}} F_{\tau},
$$

where $r_{\tau}$ depends only on $\tau$ (not on all of $\Sigma$ nor on the subdivision $\Gamma$ ).
Proof. Since the $d^{\text {th }}$ mock Todd class is a polynomial of graded degree $d$ in the mock Chern classes, the previous lemma asserts that $r_{\tau}$ exists and depends only on $\tau$ and $\Gamma$, so all we must show is that $r_{\tau}$ is independent of $\Gamma$.

Let $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ be two non-singular interior subdivisions of $\tau$. To show that $r_{\tau, \Gamma_{1}}$ and $r_{\tau, \Gamma_{2}}$ agree, we let $\Sigma$ be a complete simplicial fan such that $\tau \in \Sigma^{(d)}$, and such that every other cone in $\Sigma^{(d)}$ is non-singular. (We may construct such a $\Sigma$ by choosing an arbitrary complete simplicial fan containing $\tau$ and desingularizing all cones in $\Sigma^{(d)}$ except $\tau$.) Let $\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ be the fans obtained from $\Sigma$ by replacing $\tau$ with $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, respectively, and let $\pi_{1}: X_{\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ and $\pi_{2}: X_{\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ be the induced maps of toric varieties.

Note that since $X_{\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}}$ and $X_{\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}}$ are non-singular in codimension $d$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{1 *} \operatorname{TD}^{d} X_{\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}}=\pi_{1 *} \operatorname{Td}^{d} X_{\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Td}^{d} X_{\Sigma}, \\
& \text { and } \quad \pi_{2 *} \mathrm{TD}^{d} X_{\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}}=\pi_{2 *} \mathrm{Td}^{d} X_{\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}}=\mathrm{Td}^{d} X_{\Sigma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(Once again, we are using the fact that the Todd class pushes forward under proper birational morphisms.)

But $r_{\tau, I_{1}}$ and $r_{\tau, \Gamma_{2}}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{1 *} \mathrm{TD}^{d} X_{\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}}-\mathrm{TD}^{d} X_{\Sigma}
\end{aligned}=r_{\tau, \Gamma_{1}} F_{\tau},
$$

so we see that $r_{\tau, \Gamma_{1}} F_{\tau}=r_{\tau, \Gamma_{2}} F_{\tau}$. Since $F_{\tau} \neq 0$ in $A^{d} X_{\Sigma}$, we conclude that $r_{\tau, \Gamma_{1}}=r_{\tau, \Gamma_{2}}$, as desired.

Proof of Theorem 1. The lemma guarantees that there is a function $t: \mathscr{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that each time we desingularize a cone $\tau$ of $\Sigma$, there is a contribution of $t(\tau) F_{\tau}$ to the difference $\pi_{*} \mathrm{TD}^{d} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}-\mathrm{TD}^{d} X_{\Sigma}$. The theorem then easily follows by induction, desingularizing one $d$-dimensional cone at a time.

Remark. Throughout this section, the hypothesis that $\Sigma$ or $\Gamma$ be simplicial may be weakened to "simplicial in dimension $d$," by which we mean that all $d$-dimensional cones are simplicial. For if $\Sigma$ is simplicial in dimension $d$, then we may find a simplicial subdivision $\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $\Sigma_{1}^{\prime(d)}=\Sigma^{(d)}$, and so the map $\pi: X_{\Sigma_{1}^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}$ is an isomorphism except above a subvariety of codimension strictly greater than $d$. It is then easy to check that all of the assertions of this section make sense and remain true when applied to the fan $\Sigma$. In the next section, in which we consider the case $d=2$, we may drop the simplicial hypothesis altogether, since any fan is simplicial in dimension two.

## 5 The codimension two formula

We now show that in codimension two, the function $t$ of the previous section is given in terms of a Dedekind sum. This leads to a formula expressing the Todd class of a toric variety in codimension two in terms of Dedekind sums.

The Dedekind sum $s(p, q)$ for relatively prime integers $p$ and $q$ is defined by

$$
s(p, q)=\sum_{i=1}^{q}\left(\left(\frac{i}{q}\right)\right)\left(\left(\frac{p i}{q}\right)\right),
$$

where

$$
((x))= \begin{cases}x-[x]-\frac{1}{2} & x \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & x \in \mathbb{Z}\end{cases}
$$

These sums occur in many contexts and may be characterized in many ways, including a cotangent formula and a reciprocity law. The book [ RaGr ] contains a nice collection of properties of these sums.

Dedekind sums may also be defined by means of continued fractions. If $0 \leqq p<q$ with $(p, q)=1$, and

$$
\frac{q}{q-p}=b_{1}-\frac{1}{b_{2}-\frac{1}{\ddots-\frac{1}{b_{k}}}}, \quad b_{i} \in \mathbb{N}
$$

is the negative-regular continued fraction expansion of $\frac{q}{q-p}$, and $p p^{\prime} \equiv 1(\bmod q)$ with $0 \leqq p^{\prime}<q$, then

$$
s(p, q)=\frac{1}{12}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(3-b_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{q}\left(p+p^{\prime}\right)-2\right) .
$$

This follows from Theorem 1 of [My], which explores the connection between Dedekind sums and continued fractions.

We are now ready to find the value of $t(\tau)$ for a two-dimensional cone $\tau$. Given a two-dimensional cone $\tau$ in a lattice $N, \tau$ may be written as $\left\langle e_{1}, p e_{1}+q e_{2}\right\rangle$, where $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ forms a basis of $N$ in the plane containing $\tau, q \geqq 1,(p, q)=1$, and $0 \leqq p<q$. With this representation, $q$ is uniquely determined, but $p$ is determined only up to multiplicative inverses modulo $q$. In this situation, we say that $\tau$ has type $(p, q)$. We may say equally well, however, that $\tau$ has type ( $p^{\prime}, q$ ), where $p p^{\prime} \equiv 1$ modulo $q$. These facts are easily verified. See [Oda, p. 24].

We then have
Theorem 3. Let $\Sigma$ be a complete simplicial fan. For each $\tau \in \Sigma^{(2)}$, let $\left(p_{\tau}, q_{\tau}\right)$ be the type of $\tau$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Td}^{2} X_{\Sigma}=\mathrm{TD}^{2} X_{\Sigma}+\sum_{\tau \in \Sigma^{(2)}}\left[s\left(p_{\tau}, q_{\tau}\right)+\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4 q_{\tau}}\right] F_{\tau} .
$$

In other words, for a two-dimensional cone $\tau$ of type ( $p, q$ ), we have

$$
t(\tau)=s(p, q)+\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4 q} .
$$

Proof. Let $\tau$ be a cone of type ( $p, q$ ) in a two-dimensional lattice. In order to compute $t(\tau)$, we consider any complete fan $\Sigma$ such that $\tau \in \Sigma$ and all other cones of $\Sigma$ are non-singular. It is now a routine lattice computation to find the value of $t(\tau)$ using the explicit description of the function $t$ (in terms of a subdivision of $\tau$ ) which was given in Sect. 4. We will also need facts about the explicit resolution of singularities of toric surfaces (cf. [Oda, Sect. 1.6]). We now summarize these facts.

Let $\tau$ be a cone of type ( $p, q$ ). For simplicity, assume that $\tau=\langle(1,0),(p, q)\rangle$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$, with $(p, q)=1$ and $0 \leqq p<q$. Then there is a unique minimal non-singular subdivision $\Gamma$ of $\tau$ which may be described explicitly using continued fractions.

Here is what we will need about $\Gamma$ :
(1) Consider the convex hull $H$ of the set $\tau \cap N \backslash\{(0,0)\}$, and let

$$
\rho_{0}=(1,0), \rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}, \rho_{k+1}=(p, q)
$$

be, in this order, the primitive elements of $N$ on the compact faces of the boundary of $H$. Then $\Gamma$, the unique minimal non-singular subdivision of $\tau$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma^{(1)}=\left\{\rho_{0}, \ldots, \rho_{k+1}\right\}, \text { and } \\
& \Gamma^{(2)}=\left\{\left\langle\rho_{0}, \rho_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle\rho_{k}, \rho_{k+1}\right\rangle\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from $0 \leqq p<q$ that $\rho_{1}=(1,1)$ and $\rho_{k}=\left(p+q^{\prime}, q-p^{\prime}\right)$, where $p p^{\prime}+q q^{\prime}=1$ and $0 \leqq p^{\prime}<q$.
(2) If

$$
\frac{q}{q-p}=b_{1}-\frac{1}{b_{2}-\frac{1}{\ddots-\frac{1}{b_{k}}}}, \quad b_{i} \in \mathbb{N}
$$

is the negative-regular continued fraction expansion of $\frac{q}{q-p}$, then for $0<i \leqq k$, we have

$$
\rho_{i+1}=b_{i} \rho_{i}-\rho_{i-1}
$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 3, we must compute $t(\tau)=\pi_{*} \mathrm{TD}^{2} X_{\Sigma}$ $-\mathrm{TD}^{2} X_{\Sigma}$, where $\Sigma$ is a two-dimensional fan containing $\tau$, and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is obtained from $\Sigma$ by subdividing $\tau$ in the manner described above. By definition,

$$
\pi_{*} \mathrm{TD}^{2} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}-\mathrm{TD}^{2} X_{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{12}\left[\left(\pi_{*} C_{1}^{2} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}-C_{1}^{2} X_{\Sigma}\right)+\left(\pi_{*} C_{2} X_{\Sigma^{\prime}}-C_{2} X_{\Sigma}\right)\right]
$$

By the computation of Lemma 1, this becomes

$$
\frac{1}{12}\left(\sum_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \Gamma^{(1)}} f_{\tau, \Gamma}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)+\sum_{\left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right\} \subset \Gamma^{(1)}} f_{\tau, \Gamma}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)\right)
$$

where the second sum ranges over all two-element subsets of $\Gamma^{(1)}$.
From this point, we will write $f$ for $f_{\tau, \Gamma}$.
By property (3) of Theorem 2, we have

$$
f\left(\rho_{i}, \rho_{j}\right)=0 \quad \text { if }|i-j|>1 \quad \text { and } \quad\{i, j\} \neq\{0, k+1\},
$$

so the first sum above reduces to

$$
\frac{1}{12}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} f\left(\rho_{i}, \rho_{i}\right)+2 \sum_{i=0}^{k} f\left(\rho_{i}, \rho_{i+1}\right)+2 f\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{k+1}\right)\right)
$$

and the second becomes

$$
\frac{1}{12}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} f\left(\rho_{i}, \rho_{i+1}\right)+f\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{k+1}\right)\right) .
$$

Using $f\left(\rho_{i}, \rho_{i+1}\right)=1$ and $f\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{k+1}\right)=-\frac{1}{q}$ (Property (3) again), the total equals

$$
\frac{1}{12}\left(3(k+1)-\frac{3}{q}+\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} f\left(\rho_{i}, \rho_{i}\right)\right)
$$

and we must compute only $f\left(\rho_{i}, \rho_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, k+1$.
$i=0$ : Using $\rho_{0}=(1,0), \rho_{1}=(1,1)$ and $\rho_{k+1}=(p, q)$, and taking $m=(1,0)$, Property (4) gives

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\left\langle m, \rho_{j}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{j}, \rho_{0}\right)=0,
$$

which becomes

$$
\left\langle m, \rho_{0}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)+\left\langle m, \rho_{1}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{0}\right)+\left\langle m, \rho_{k+1}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{k+1}, \rho_{0}\right)=0,
$$

and so $f\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)=\frac{p}{q}-1$.
$i=k+1$ : This is similar. This time we use $\rho_{0}=(1,0), \rho_{k}=\left(p+q^{\prime}, q-p^{\prime}\right)$ and $\rho_{k+1}=(p, q)$, and take $m=(1,0)$. Property (4) then gives

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\left\langle m, \rho_{j}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{j}, \rho_{k+1}\right)=0,
$$

which becomes

$$
\left\langle m, \rho_{0}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{0}, \rho_{k+1}\right)+\left\langle m, \rho_{k}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{k}, \rho_{k+1}\right)+\left\langle m, \rho_{k+1}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{k+1}, \rho_{k+1}\right)=0,
$$

and so $f\left(\rho_{k+1}, \rho_{k+1}\right)=\frac{p^{\prime}}{q}-1$.
$1 \leqq i \leqq k+1$ : In this case, $\left\{\rho_{i-1}, \rho_{i}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $\rho_{i+1}=b_{i} \rho_{i}-\rho_{i-1}$. Take $m \in\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)^{*}$ such that $\left\langle m, \rho_{i-1}\right\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle m, \rho_{1}\right\rangle=1$. Then $\left\langle m, \rho_{i+1}\right\rangle=b_{1}$, and the equation

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\left\langle m, \rho_{j}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{j}, \rho_{i}\right)=0,
$$

tells us that

$$
\left\langle m, \rho_{i-1}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{i-1}, \rho_{i}\right)+\left\langle m, \rho_{i}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{i}, \rho_{i}\right)+\left\langle m, \rho_{i+1}\right\rangle f\left(\rho_{i+1}, \rho_{i}\right)=0,
$$

and so $f\left(\rho_{i}, \rho_{i}\right)=-b_{i}$.
Adding it all up, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{12}\left(3(k+1)-\frac{3}{q}+\left(\frac{p}{q}-1\right)+\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{q}-1\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{12}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(3-b_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{q}\left(p+p^{\prime}\right)-2\right)+\left(3-\frac{3}{q}\right)\right] \\
& =s(p, q)+\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4 q}
\end{aligned}
$$

as was to be shown.

## Part II: Counting lattice points in a tetrahedron

## 6 Introduction

For a long time, mathematicians have been interested in the problem of counting the number of lattice points in integral convex polytopes, i.e., convex polytopes with vertices at lattice points. For such a polytope $\Delta$ of dimension $n$ in a lattice $M$, it is convenient to introduce the function $l_{\Delta}(k)$ to denote the number of lattice points in $\Delta$ dilated by a factor of the integer $k$ :

$$
l_{\Delta}(k)=\#(k \Delta \cap M) \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} .
$$

$l_{\Delta}$ turns out to be a polynomial function in $k$ of degree $n$ with rational coefficients:

$$
l_{\Delta}(k)=a_{n} k^{n}+a_{n-1} k^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}
$$

and is called the Ehrhart polynomial of $\Delta$ [Ehr]. One hopes to find expressions for the coefficients $a_{i}$ in terms of the geometry of $\Delta$. Ehrhart showed that:
(1) $a_{n}$ equals the volume of $\Delta$.
(2) $a_{n-1}$ equals half the sum of the volumes of the $(n-1)$-dimensional faces of $\Delta$. (Here and throughout, the volume of a $k$-dimensional face of $\Delta$ is measured with respect to the $k$-dimensional lattice in the $k$-plane containing $\Delta$.)
(3) $a_{0}=1$.

For $n=2$, this gives a complete answer, namely Pick's Theorem (cf. [Ham]), which says that if $\Delta$ is a lattice polygon, then

$$
l_{\Delta}(k)=\operatorname{vol}(\Delta) k^{2}+\frac{1}{2} S(\Delta) k+1
$$

where $S(\Delta)$ denotes the sum of the lattice lengths of the edges of $\Delta$.
For a three-dimensional integral convex polytope $\Delta$, (1)-(3) give

$$
l_{\Delta}(k)=\operatorname{vol}(\Delta) k^{3}+\frac{1}{2} S(\Delta) k^{2}+a_{1} k+1
$$

where $S(\Delta)$ denotes the sum of the lattice volumes of the two-dimensional faces of $\Delta$. Our problem is thus reduced to determining $a_{1}$. By analogy with Pick's Theorem, one would hope to express $a_{1}$ in terms of the volumes of the onedimensional faces (edges) of $\Delta$. However this is not possible [Ree]. To see this, consider the tetrahedron $\Delta_{r}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ with vertices at $(0,0,0),(1,0,0),(0,1,0)$, and $(1,1, r)$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. It is easily seen that $a_{1}=1-\frac{r}{6}$, but the lattice volumes of the one-dimensional faces (and the two-dimensional faces) of $\Delta_{r}$ are independent of $r$. Thus, even in the case of a tetrahedron, a formula for the coefficient $a_{1}$ must involve ingredients other than just the volumes of the faces of $\Delta$.

In this paper, we present a formula for $a_{1}$ in the case of a general lattice tetrahedron $\Delta$ given in terms of:
(1) The lattice volumes of the one and two-dimensional faces of $\Delta$, and
(2) certain functions of the dihedral angles formed at each edge, computed in terms of Dedekind sums.

We now define these functions.
Let $P$ and $Q$ be distinct non-parallel planes in a three-dimensional lattice $M$. $P$ and $Q$ then form a dihedral angle (i.e., a cone with one-dimensional cospan), to
which we attach two numbers, the multiplicity, $m(P, Q)$, and the Dedekind measure, $d(P, Q)$, as follows:

Let $L$ be the line where $P$ and $Q$ intersect. Choose distinct elements $v_{0}, v_{1} \in L$, and choose points $v_{2} \in P \backslash L, v_{3} \in Q \backslash L$. Let $\rho$ be the unique primitive element of $M$ on the ray from the origin through $v_{1}-v_{0}$. Take $M^{\prime}$ to be the lattice $M / \mathbb{Z} \rho$, and let $v_{2}^{\prime}$ and $v_{3}^{\prime}$ be the images in $M^{\prime}$ of $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$. Let $v_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $v_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ denote the primitive elements on the rays in $M^{\prime}$ from the origin through $v_{2}^{\prime}$ and $v_{3}^{\prime}$. Then it is easy to see that a basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ of $M^{\prime}$ may be chosen so that $\left\{v_{2}^{\prime \prime}, v_{3}^{\prime \prime}\right\}=\left\{e_{1}, p e_{1}+q e_{2}\right\}$, where $0 \leqq p<q$. (In this case we say that the cone in $M^{\prime}$ generated by $v_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $v_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ has type $(p, q)$.) We then define

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(P, Q) & =q, \\
\text { and } \quad d(P, Q) & =-s(p, q)+\frac{1}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $s(p, q)$ denotes the classical Dedekind sum. (For the definition of $s(p, q)$, see the beginning of Sect. 5.)

It is easy to check that $m(P, Q)$ and $d(P, Q)$ are well-defined (i.e., independent of the choices of $v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ ) and are invariant under translations and lattice automorphisms.
$m(P, Q)$ and $d(P, Q)$ may be easily computed by picking $v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ as above and then choosing a coordinate system so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{0}=(0,0,0), \\
& v_{1}=(r, 0,0), \quad \text { so that } \rho=(1,0,0), \\
& v_{2}=(t, u, 0), \quad \text { and } \\
& v_{3}=(x, y, z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u, z>0$. It then follows easily that $p=\frac{y}{\operatorname{gcd}(y, z)}$, and $q=\frac{z}{\operatorname{gcd}(y, z)}$, and we may compute $m(P, Q)$ and $d(P, Q)$ from these.

Now let $v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ be the vertices of a tetrahedron $\Delta$ in a three-dimensional lattice $M$. For $0 \leqq i<j \leqq 3$, let $\Gamma_{i j}$ and $\Gamma_{i j}^{\prime}$ be the two two-dimensional faces of $\Delta$ containing the edge $v_{i} v_{j}$, and let $P_{i j}$ and $P_{i j}^{\prime}$ be the planes containing these faces.

We then have the following formula for $a_{1}$, given in terms of the volumes of the faces of $\Delta$, and the multiplicity and Dedekind measures of the six dihedral angles formed by $\Delta$ :

Theorem 4. With the above notation,

$$
a_{1}=\sum_{0 \leqq i<j \leqq 3}\left[\frac{1}{36 m\left(P_{i j}, P_{i j}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}\right)}\right)+d\left(P_{i j}, P_{i j}^{\prime}\right)\right] \operatorname{vol}\left(v_{i} v_{j}\right) .
$$

The connection between counting lattice points in a tetrahedron and Dedekind sums was known to Mordell, who considered the tetrahedron $\Delta(a, b, c)$ with vertices at $(0,0,0),(a, 0,0),(0, b, 0)$, and $(0,0, c)$. Mordell gave a formula for the number of lattice points in $\Delta(a, b, c)$, expressed in terms of three Dedekind sums, in the case that $a, b, c$ are pairwise relatively prime [Mor]. In the Sect. 9, we use Theorem 4 to give a formula for the number of lattice points in $\Delta(a, b, c)$ for arbitrary positive integers $a, b$, and $c$. This is

Theorem 5. If $a, b, c>0$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c)=1$, and $\Delta$ is the tetrahedron in $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ with vertices $(0,0,0),(a, 0,0),(0, b, 0)$, and $(0,0, c)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left(k \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^{3}\right)= & \frac{a b c}{6} k^{3}+\left(\frac{a b+a c+b c+d}{4}\right) k^{2} \\
& +\left[\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{a c}{b}+\frac{b c}{a}+\frac{a b}{c}+\frac{d^{2}}{a b c}\right)+\frac{a+b+c+A+B+C}{4}\right. \\
& \left.-A s\left(\frac{b c}{d}, \frac{a A}{d}\right)-B s\left(\frac{a c}{d}, \frac{b B}{d}\right)-C s\left(\frac{a b}{d}, \frac{c C}{d}\right)\right] k \\
& +1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=\operatorname{gcd}(b, c), B=\operatorname{gcd}(a, c), C=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$, and $d=A B C$.
The derivation of the formula of Theorem 4 relies on the well-established connection between convex polytopes and toric varieties. To each integral convex polytope $\Delta$, there is an associated toric variety $X_{\Delta}$ (see Sect. 7). Theorems of algebraic geometry applied to the variety $X_{\Delta}$ often yield results about the polytope $\Delta$. Danilov [Dan, p. 134] showed that expressions for the Todd class of $X_{\Delta}$ give rise to formulas for the Ehrhart polynomial of $\Delta$. Theorems 1 and 2 will be derived from Theorem 3, which expresses the codimension two part of the Todd class of a toric variety in terms of Dedekind sums. This may be used to obtain into an expression for the $a_{n-2}$ coefficient of the Ehrhart polynomial of an arbitrary integral convex polytope.

## 7 Polytopes and toric varieties

In this section, we present background information about the connection between polytopes and toric varieties. In Sect. 7.1, we describe how an integral convex polytope $\Delta$ gives rise to a toric variety $X_{\Delta}$. In Sect. 7.2 , we state the relation, due to Danilov, between the Todd class of $X_{d}$ and the Ehrhart polynomial of $\Delta$. In Sect. 7.3 , we state a formula for the Todd class of a toric variety in codimension two (a restatement of Theorem 3), which will be essential for the proof of Theorem 4.

### 7.1 The toric variety associated to a polytope

Let $\Delta$ be an integral convex polytope in an $n$-dimensional lattice $M$. Then there is an associated $n$-dimensional toric variety $X_{4}$. To each face $\Gamma$ of $\Delta$, there is a special subvariety $V(\Gamma)$, whose complex dimension is the same as the real dimension of $\Gamma$. The construction of $X_{A}$ and its special subvarieties may be found in [Oda] or [Dan]. $X_{\Delta}$ is obtained as the toric variety associated to a certain fan $\Sigma_{\Delta}$ in the dual lattice $N=\operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. We briefly describe the construction of $\Sigma_{4}$.
$\Delta$ determines a polytope in the vector space $M_{\mathbb{R}}=M \otimes \mathbb{R}$. If $\Gamma$ is a face of $\Delta$, define a cone $\sigma_{r}$ in $M$ by

$$
\sigma_{\Gamma}=\bigcup_{m \in \Gamma} \bigcup_{r \geqq 0} r(\Delta-m)
$$

We then define

$$
\Sigma_{\Delta}=\left\{\breve{\sigma}_{\Gamma} \mid \Gamma \text { is a face of } \Delta\right\},
$$

where the dual $\check{\sigma}$ of a cone $\sigma$ in $M$ is defined by

$$
\breve{\sigma}=\left\{n \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \mid\langle n, m\rangle \geqq 0 \text { for all } m \in \sigma\right\},
$$

and $\langle\rangle:, N \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the natural pairing. $\Sigma_{\Delta}$ is a fan in $N$ which determines a toric variety as in [Oda, Sect. 1.2].

### 7.2 The Todd class and lattice points

Every algebraic variety has a naturally defined Todd class [Ful]. Danilov [Dan, p. 134] showed how to determine the Ehrhart polynomial of an integral convex polytope $\Delta$ from the Todd class of the associated toric variety $X_{4}$. This relation, a consequence of the Riemann-Roch Theorem, allows us to prove Theorem 4 using toric varieties.

Let [ $V(\Gamma)$ ] denote the class in $\left(A_{*} X_{4}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of the special subvariety $V(\Gamma)$. If the Todd class of $X_{\Delta}$ has an expression of the form

$$
\mathrm{Td} X_{\Delta}=\sum r_{\Gamma}[V(\Gamma)]
$$

with $r_{\Gamma} \in \mathbb{Q}$, then the coefficient of $a_{k}$ in the Ehrhart polynomial of $\Delta$ is given by

$$
a_{k}=\sum_{\operatorname{dim} \Gamma=k} r_{\Gamma} \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma) .
$$

### 7.3 The Todd class in codimension two

We restate here the formula of Theorem 3 in the context of a toric variety $X_{\Delta}$ associated to a lattice tetrahedron $\Delta$. This formula allows us to compute the codimension two part of the Todd class of $X_{\Delta}$.

Let $\Delta$ be a three-dimensional integral convex polytope. For each edge $E$ of $\Delta$, we will use $d(E)$ and $m(E)$ to denote $d\left(P, P^{\prime}\right)$ and $m\left(P, P^{\prime}\right)$, where $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are the planes containing the two two-dimensional faces of $\Delta$ which meet at $E$.

The Todd class formula may now be stated as follows:

$$
\mathrm{Td}^{2} X_{4}=\mathrm{TD}^{2} X_{\Delta}+\sum\left(d(E)-\frac{1}{4 m(E)}\right)[V(E)],
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{TD}^{2} X_{\Delta}=\frac{1}{12}\left[\left(\sum[V(F)]\right)^{2}+\sum \frac{1}{m(E)}[V(E)]\right]
$$

with the sums taken over all two-dimensional faces $F$ and all edges $E$ of $\Delta$.
Remark. It is easy to see that this is a restatement of Theorem 3. The quantities $d(E)$ and $m(E)$ are defined in terms of the cone $\sigma_{E}$. If this cone modulo its
cospan has type $(p, q)$, then the dual $\breve{\sigma}_{E}$ has type $(-p, q)$. The expression $t\left(\check{\sigma}_{E}\right)=s(-p, q)+-\frac{1}{4 q}+\frac{1}{4}$ of the above-mentioned theorem thus becomes $d(E)-\frac{1}{4 m(E)}$, as stated above.

## 8 Proof of the lattice point formula

In this section, we use the toric variety results stated in the previous section to derive the formula of Theorem 4. Because of the results of Sect. 7.2, to prove Theorem 4 it is enough to prove the following result about the Todd class of the corresponding toric variety $X_{\Delta}$.

Let $E_{i j}$ denote the edge $v_{i} v_{j}$ of $\Delta$.
Theorem 6. With the notation of Theorem 4,

$$
\mathrm{Td}^{2} X_{\Delta}=\sum_{0 \leqq i<j \leqq 3}\left[\frac{1}{36 m\left(P_{i j}, P_{i j}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}\right)}\right)+d\left(P_{i j}, P_{i j}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left[V\left(E_{i j}\right)\right]
$$

Proof. By the Todd class formula of Sect. 7.3, we have

$$
\mathrm{Td}^{2} X_{\Delta}=\frac{1}{12}\left[\left(\sum[V(F)]\right)^{2}+\sum \frac{1}{m(E)}[V(E)]\right]+\sum\left(d(E)-\frac{1}{4 m(E)}\right)[V(E)]
$$

where $F$ ranges over the two-dimensional faces and $E$ over the edges of $\Delta$. The cycles corresponding to faces multiply as follows [Dan, p. 127]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[V(F)]\left[V\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{m\left(F \cap F^{\prime}\right)}\left[V\left(F \cap F^{\prime}\right)\right] \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F \neq F^{\prime}$ are two-dimensional faces of $\Delta$. Thus, we get
(**)

$$
\mathrm{Td}^{2} X_{A}=\frac{1}{12} \sum[V(F)]^{2}+\sum d(E)[V(E)]
$$

We will compute the first sum above using
Lemma. Let $F \neq F^{\prime}$ be two-dimensional faces of $\Delta$. Then

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(F^{\prime}\right)[V(F)]=\operatorname{vol}(F)\left[V\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$

Proof. Let $F=v_{i} v_{j} v_{k}$ and $F^{\prime}=v_{i} v_{j} v_{l}$. Let $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ be the primitive elements of $N$ dual to $F$ and $F^{\prime}$, respectively. First note that

$$
\frac{\operatorname{vol}(F)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(F^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{\left\langle\rho^{\prime}, v_{k}-v_{l}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\rho, v_{l}-v_{k}\right\rangle} .
$$

This was pointed out to me by Burt Totaro. It is perhaps easiest to see this by choosing coordinates so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{i} & =(0,0,0) \\
v_{j} & =(x, 0,0), \\
v_{k} & =(y, z, 0), \quad \text { and } \\
v_{l} & =(w, v, u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\operatorname{vol}\left(v_{i} v_{j} v_{k}\right)=\frac{x z}{2}, \quad \operatorname{vol}\left(v_{i} v_{j} v_{l}\right)=\frac{x}{2} \operatorname{gcd}(v, u)$, and $\left\langle\rho^{\prime}, v_{k}-v_{l}\right\rangle=\frac{z u}{\operatorname{gcd}(v, u)}$, $\left\langle\rho, v_{i}-v_{k}\right\rangle=u$, and the above equality follows.

The lemma now follows easily from

$$
\left\langle\rho^{\prime}, v_{k}-v_{l}\right\rangle\left[V\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right]+\left\langle\rho, v_{k}-v_{l}\right\rangle[V(F)]=0,
$$

which is a basic relation in the Chow ring of a toric variety. See [Dan, p. 127] or Sect. 2.3.

It is now easy to complete the proof of Theorem 6. For by the lemma,

$$
[V(F)]^{2}=\frac{\operatorname{vol}(F)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(F^{\prime}\right)}[V(F)]\left[V\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right] .
$$

By (*), this becomes

$$
[V(F)]^{2}=\frac{\operatorname{vol}(F)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(F^{\prime}\right)} \frac{1}{m(E)}[V(E)],
$$

where $E=F \cap F^{\prime}$. It then easily follows that

$$
\sum[V(F)]^{2}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{0 \leqq i<j \leqq 3}\left(\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(\Gamma_{i j}\right)}\right)\left[V\left(E_{i j}\right)\right] .
$$

Putting this into ( $* *$ ) yields the equation of Theorem 6.

## 9 A specific tetrahedron

In this section, we prove Theorem 5, which gives the Ehrhart polynomial of the tetrahedron $\Delta(a, b, c)$ with vertices at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{0}=(0,0,0), \\
& v_{1}=(a, 0,0), \\
& v_{2}=(0, b, 0), \quad \text { and } \\
& v_{3}=(0,0, c),
\end{aligned}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c)=1$. We set $A=\operatorname{gcd}(b, c)$, $B=\operatorname{gcd}(a, c), C=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$, and $d=A B C$.

We first compute the coefficients $a_{3}, a_{2}$, and $a_{0}$ using Ehrhart's results. (See (1)-(3) of Sect. 5.) (These results are easy consequences of facts about Todd classes of toric varieties.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{3}=\operatorname{vol}(\Delta)=\frac{a b c}{6} \\
& a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} S(\Delta)=\frac{a b+a c+b c+d}{4}, \quad \text { and } \\
& a_{0}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to compute $a_{1}$, for which we use Theorem 4. One easily verifies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{vol}\left(v_{0} v_{1}\right) & =a, \quad \operatorname{vol}\left(v_{0} v_{2}\right)=b, \quad \operatorname{vol}\left(v_{0} v_{3}\right)=c, \\
\operatorname{vol}\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right) & =C, \quad \operatorname{vol}\left(v_{1} v_{3}\right)=B, \quad \operatorname{vol}\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)=A, \\
\operatorname{vol}\left(v_{0} v_{1} v_{2}\right) & =\frac{a b}{2}, \quad \operatorname{vol}\left(v_{0} v_{1} v_{3}\right)=\frac{a c}{2}, \\
\operatorname{vol}\left(v_{0} v_{2} v_{3}\right) & =\frac{b c}{2}, \quad \operatorname{vol}\left(v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right)=\frac{d}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have $m\left(P_{0 i}, P_{0 i}^{\prime}\right)=1$, and $d\left(P_{0 i}, P_{0 i}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{4}$ for $i=1,2,3$, while

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m\left(P_{12}, P_{12}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{c C}{d}, & d\left(P_{12}, P_{12}^{\prime}\right)=-s\left(\frac{a b}{d}, \frac{c C}{d}\right)+\frac{1}{4}, \\
m\left(P_{13}, P_{13}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{b B}{d}, & d\left(P_{13}, P_{13}^{\prime}\right)=-s\left(\frac{a c}{d}, \frac{b B}{d}\right)+\frac{1}{4}, \\
m\left(P_{23}, P_{23}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{a A}{d}, & d\left(P_{23}, P_{23}^{\prime}\right)=-s\left(\frac{b c}{d}, \frac{a A}{d}\right)+\frac{1}{4}
\end{array}
$$

The desired formula now follows easily from Theorem 4.

## Part III: Dedekind sum relations

## 10 Introduction

In this part, we use toric varieties to prove a law expressing the sum of two arbitrary Dedekind sums in terms of a third. This is seen to be a generalization of Rademacher's three-term law for Dedekind sums [Ra]. A consequence of our law is an $n$-term reciprocity law for Dedekind sums. The proofs of these results are based on the formula of Theorem 3, which relates the Todd class of toric varieties to Dedekind sums.

The Dedekind sum $s(p, q)$ for relatively prime integers $p$ and $q$ is defined by

$$
s(p, q)=\sum_{i=1}^{q}\left(\left(\frac{i}{q}\right)\right)\left(\left(\frac{p i}{q}\right)\right),
$$

where

$$
((x))= \begin{cases}x-[x]-\frac{1}{2} & x \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & x \in \mathbb{Z}\end{cases}
$$

These sums first appeared in Dedekind's work on the eta-function, and since then have arisen in a variety of contexts, including the lattice point formula of Mordell [Mor], and the work of Hirzebruch and Zagier [HiZa], which connects them with signatures of quotient spaces. Dedekind sums may be characterized in many ways, including the reciprocity law

$$
s(p, q)+s(q, p)=-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{p}{q}+\frac{q}{p}+\frac{1}{p q}\right)
$$

which is due to Dedekind [Ded]. [RaGr] contains a number of elementary proofs of this law. Rademacher [ Ra ] found a three-term reciprocity law:

$$
s\left(b c^{\prime}, a\right)+s\left(c a^{\prime}, b\right)+s\left(a b^{\prime}, c\right)=-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{a}{b c}+\frac{b}{c a}+\frac{c}{a b}\right)
$$

where $a, b, c$ are pairwise coprime and $a a^{\prime} \equiv 1(\bmod b), \quad b b^{\prime} \equiv 1(\bmod c)$, and $c c^{\prime} \equiv 1(\bmod a)$. It is easy to see that this is a generalization of Dedekind's two-term law.

We prove the following theorem which gives the sum of two arbitrary Dedekind sums in terms of a third.

Theorem 7. Let $p, q, u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(p, q)=(u, v)=1$. Then

$$
s(p, q)+s(u, v)=s\left(p u^{\prime}-q v^{\prime}, p v+q u\right)-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{q}{v t}+\frac{v}{t q}+\frac{t}{q v}\right)
$$

where $t=p v+q u$ and $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ are any integers which satisfy $u u^{\prime}+v v^{\prime}=1$.
The special case of this formula with $q$ and $v$ relatively prime is equivalent to Rademacher's three-term law. (Given $a, b, c$ pairwise coprime, set $q=a, v=b$, and find $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p a+q b=c$. The equation of the corollary then gives Rademacher's formula.)

In order to state the $n$-term law, let $M$ be a two-dimensional lattice and let $\operatorname{det} \in \Lambda^{2} M$ denote one of the two possible choices of a determinant on $M$ (so that $\operatorname{det}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)= \pm 1$ whenever $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ is a basis of $\left.M\right)$.

Given $m_{1}, m_{2} \in M$, we say that the pair $\left\langle m_{1}, m_{2}\right\rangle$ has type $(p, q)$ if there exists a basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ of $M$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1}=e_{1} \quad \text { and } \\
& m_{2}=p e_{1}+q e_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case, $q$ is determined up to sign, and $p$ is determined modulo $q$.
We then have
Theorem 8. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ be distinct primitive elements of $M$ such that $\operatorname{det}\left(m_{i}, m_{i+1}\right)>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. (For notational purposes set $m_{n+1}=m_{1}$, and $m_{n+2}=m_{2}$.) If necessary, reorder the $m_{i}$ so that for any $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have $\operatorname{det}\left(m_{i}, m_{j}\right) \leqq 0$ or $\operatorname{det}\left(m_{j}, m_{i+1}\right) \leqq 0$. (This is to insure that the $m_{i}$ "go around" $M$ exactly once.) Suppose that $\left\langle m_{i}, m_{i+1}\right\rangle$ has type $\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} s\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)=1-\frac{n}{4}+\frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(m_{i}, m_{i+2}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(m_{i}, m_{i+1}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(m_{i+1}, m_{i+2}\right)}
$$

It is not hard to prove this theorem by induction using Theorem 7.
Hirzebruch and Zagier [HiZa] were the first to use geometric techniques to prove results about Dedekind sums. By considering "signature defects" of certain four-dimensional quotient spaces, they were able to derive Rademacher's threeterm law and other facts about Dedekind sums.

## 11 Proof of the generalized three-term law

In Sect. 11.1, we present background information about toric varieties necessary for the proofs in Sect. 11.2. As noted, Theorem 8 is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 7. However, a direct proof of Theorem 8 is more natural and no more difficult than a proof of Theorem 7. Thus we choose to prove Theorem 8 first, and derive Theorem 7 as an easy corollary.

### 11.1 Toric variety facts

Each collection $\Gamma=\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right\}$ of elements of $M$ as in Theorem 8 form the set of rays of a unique complete fan in $M$, and hence determines a two-dimensional toric variety $X_{\Gamma}$. To each $m_{i}$ there is an associated divisor $V\left(m_{1}\right) \subset X_{\Gamma}$ (cf. [Oda, Sects. 1.2 and 1.3]). The two main facts we'll need about $X_{\Gamma}$ are:
(1) The codimension two part of the Todd class of $X_{\Gamma}$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Td}^{2} X_{\Gamma}=1
$$

under the identification $\left(A^{2} X_{\Gamma}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$. This is because toric varieties are rational. See [Oda, Sect. 1.2].
(2) Theorem 3 in this context becomes:

$$
\mathrm{Td}^{2} X_{\Gamma}=\mathrm{TD}^{2} X_{\Gamma}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(s\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{4 q_{i}}+\frac{1}{4}\right),
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{TD}^{2} X_{\Gamma}=\frac{1}{12}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[V\left(m_{i}\right)\right]\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{q_{i}}\right] .
$$

Here $\left[V\left(m_{i}\right)\right]$ denotes the class of the divisor $V\left(m_{i}\right)$ in $\left(A^{1} X_{I}\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
We will also need to know how to multiply the special cycles. For this, we use the description of the Chow ring of a toric variety given in [Dan, p. 127] (or see Sect. 2.3). We have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[V\left(m_{i}\right)\right]\left[V\left(m_{i+1}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(m_{i}, m_{i+1}\right)}, \quad \text { and }}  \tag{*}\\
& {\left[V\left(m_{i}\right)\right]^{2}=-\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(m_{i}, m_{m+2}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(m_{i}, m_{i+1}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(m_{i+1}, m_{i+2}\right)}}
\end{align*}
$$

while all other products $\left[V\left(m_{i}\right)\right]\left[V\left(m_{j}\right)\right]$ vanish.

### 11.2 Proof of the $n$-term law

It is now quite easy to prove Theorems 7 and 8 . By (1) and (2), we get

$$
1=\frac{1}{12}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[V\left(m_{i}\right)\right]\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{q_{i}}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(s\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{4 q_{i}}+\frac{1}{4}\right) .
$$

Thus it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} s\left(p_{1}, q_{i}\right)=1-\frac{n}{4}-\frac{1}{12}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[V\left(m_{i}\right)\right]\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{q} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (*),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[V\left(m_{i}\right)\right]\right)^{2} & =2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{q_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[V\left(m_{i}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{q_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(m_{i}, m_{m+2}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(m_{i}, m_{i+1}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(m_{i+1}, m_{i+2}\right)} \quad \text { by }(* *) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting this into (3), we get the equation of Theorem 8.
To prove Theorem 7 , set $n=3$ and take $m_{1}=(p, q), m_{2}=(-1,0)$, and $m_{3}=(u,-v)$ in the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$. One then computes that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle m_{1}, m_{2}\right\rangle \text { has type }(-p, q), \\
& \left\langle m_{2}, m_{3}\right\rangle \text { has type }(-u, v), \text { and } \\
& \left\langle m_{3}, m_{1}\right\rangle \text { has type }\left(p u^{\prime}-q v^{\prime}, p v+q u\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 7 now follows.
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