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#### Abstract

This paper provides new results on a class of totally umbilical lightlike submanifolds in semi-Riemannian manifolds of constant curvature. We prove that the induced Ricci tensor of any such submanifold is symmetric if and only if its screen distribution is integrable.


## 1. Introduction

The theory of submanifolds of a Riemannian or semi-Riemannian manifold is well known (see for example, Chen [4] and O'Neill [12]. However, its counter part of lightlike (null) submanifolds (for which the local and global geometry is completely different than the non-degenerate case) is relatively new and in a developing stage ([1, 3, 5-9, 11]). In 1996, the first author and Bejancu published their work (see Chapters 4 and 5 of [8]) on lightlike submanifolds $M$ of semiRiemannian manifolds. They constructed structure equations for four possible cases of $M$, proved the fundamental existence theorem for lightlike submanifolds and found some geometric conditions for the induced connection on $M$ to be a metric connection. Much of their study was restricted to totally geodesic lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds. In this paper we study further the geometry of totally umbilical lightlike submanifolds $M$.

In Sections 2 and 3, we recall some results for lightlike submanifolds and their structure equations. In Section 4, we prove several new theorems on $M$ in semi-Riemannian manifolds of constant curvature. Finally, in Section 5, we find conditions for the induced Ricci curvature tensor of $M$ to be symmetric. The paper contains several simple examples.

## 2. Lightlike submanifolds

Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ be a real $(m+n)$-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold of constant index $q$ such that $m, n \geq 1,1 \leq q \leq m+n-1$ and $(M, g)$ an $m$-dimensional

[^0]submanifold of $\bar{M}$. In case $\bar{g}$ is degenerate on the tangent bundle $T M$ of $M$ we say that $M$ is a lightlike submanifold of $\bar{M}[8]$. Denote by $F(M)$ the algebra of smooth functions on $M$ and by $\Gamma(E)$ the $F(M)$ module of smooth sections of a vector bundle $E$ (same notation for any other vector bundle) over $M$. The following range of indices is used:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i, j, k, \ldots \in\{1, \ldots, r\} ; \quad a, b, c, \ldots \in\{r+1, \ldots, m\} ; \\
& A, B, C, \ldots \in\{1, \ldots, m\} ; \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots \in\{r+1, \ldots, n\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

For a degenerate tensor field $g$ on $M$, there exists locally a vector field $\xi \in \Gamma(T M), \xi \neq 0$, such that $g(\xi, X)=0$, for any $X \in \Gamma(T M)$. Then, for each tangent space $T_{x} M$ we have

$$
T_{x} M^{\perp}=\left\{u \in T_{x} \bar{M}: \bar{g}(u, v)=0, \quad \forall v \in T_{x} M\right\},
$$

which is a degenerate $n$-dimension subspace of $T_{x} \bar{M}$. The radical (null) subspace of $T_{x} M$, denoted by $\operatorname{Rad} T_{x} M$, is defined by

$$
\operatorname{Rad} T_{x} M=\left\{\xi_{x} \in T_{x} M ; g\left(\xi_{x}, X\right)=0, X \in T_{x} M\right\} .
$$

The dimension of $\operatorname{Rad} T_{x} M=T_{x} M \cap T_{x} M^{\perp}$ depends on $x \in M$. The submanifold $M$ of $\bar{M}$ is said to be $r$-lightlike submanifold if the mapping

$$
\operatorname{Rad} T M: x \in M \rightarrow \operatorname{Rad} T_{x} M
$$

defines a smooth distribution on $M$ of rank $r>0$, where $\operatorname{Rad} T M$ is called the radical (null) distribution on $M$. Following are four possible cases:

CASE 1. $r$-lightlike submanifold. $1 \leq r<\min \{m, n\}$.
CASE 2. Co-isotropic submanifold. $1 \leq r=n<m$.
CASE 3. Isotropic submanifold. $1 \leq r=m<n$.
CASE 4. Totally lightlike submanifold. $1 \leq r=m=n$.
We refer [8] for notations and details not mentioned in this paper. For Case 1, there exists a non-degenerate screen distribution $S(T M)$ which is a complementary vector subbundle to $\operatorname{Rad} T M$ in $T M$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T M=\operatorname{Rad} T M \oplus S(T M) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although $S(T M)$ is not unique, it is canonically isomorphic to the factor vector bundle $T M / \operatorname{Rad} T M$. Denote an $r$-lightlike submanifold by $(M, g, S(T M)$, $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)$ ), where $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)$ is a complementary vector subbundle to Rad $T M$ in $T M^{\perp}$. For the dependence of all the induced geometric objects, of $M$, on $\left\{S(T M), S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)\right\}$ we refer [8]. Let $\operatorname{tr}(T M)$ and $\operatorname{lr}(T M)$ be complementary (but not orthogonal) vector bundles to $T M$ in $T \bar{M} \mid M$ and to $\operatorname{Rad} T M$ in $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)$ respectively. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tr}(T M) & =\operatorname{ltr}(T M) \oplus S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
\left.T \bar{M}\right|_{M} & =T M \oplus \operatorname{tr}(T M)  \tag{2.3}\\
& =(\operatorname{Rad} T M \oplus \operatorname{ltr}(T M)) \oplus S(T M) \oplus S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the following local quasi-orthonormal field of frames of $\bar{M}$ along $M$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{r}, X_{r+1}, \ldots, X_{m}, W_{r+1}, \ldots, W_{n}\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}\right\}$ is a lightlike basis of $\Gamma(\operatorname{Rad} T M),\left\{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{r}\right\}$ a lightlike basis of $\Gamma(\operatorname{ltr}(T M)),\left\{X_{r+1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{W_{r+1}, \ldots, W_{n}\right\}$ orthonormal basis of $\Gamma(S(T M) \mid \mathscr{U})$ and $\Gamma\left(S\left(T M^{\perp}\right) \mid \mathscr{U}\right)$ respectively.

Example 1. Consider a surface $(M, g)$ in $R_{2}^{4}$ given by the equations

$$
x^{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x^{1}+x^{2}\right) ; \quad x^{4}=\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1+\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

where $\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{4}\right)$ is a local coordinate system for $R_{2}^{4}$. Using a simple procedure of linear algebra, we choose a set of vectors $\{U, V, \xi, W\}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
U & =\sqrt{2}\left(1+\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \partial_{1}+\left(1+\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \partial_{3}+\sqrt{2}\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right) \partial_{4} \\
V & =\sqrt{2}\left(1+\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \partial_{2}+\left(1+\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \partial_{3}-\sqrt{2}\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right) \partial_{4} \\
\xi & =\partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\sqrt{2} \partial_{3} \\
W & =2\left(x^{2}-x^{1}\right) \partial_{2}+\sqrt{2}\left(x^{2}-x^{1}\right) \partial_{3}+\left(1+\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \partial_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $T M$ and $T M^{\perp}$ are spanned by $\{U, V\}$ and $\{\xi, W\}$ respectively. By direct calculations it follows that $\operatorname{Rad} T M$ is a distribution on $M$ of rank 1 and spanned by the lightlike vector $\xi$. Choose $S(T M)$ and $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)$ spanned by the timelike vector $V$ and the spacelike vector $W$ respectively. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ltr}(T M) & =\operatorname{Span}\left\{N=-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{3}\right\} \\
\operatorname{tr}(T M) & =\operatorname{Span}\{N, W\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $N$ is a lightlike vector such that $g(N, \xi)=1$. Thus, $M$ is a 1 -lightlike submanifold of Case 1 , with basis $\{\xi, N, V, W\}$ of $R_{2}^{4}$ along $M$.

For Case 2, we have $\operatorname{Rad} T M=T M^{\perp}$. Therefore, $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)=\{0\}$ and from (2.2) $\operatorname{tr}(T M)=\operatorname{ltr}(T M)$. Thus, (2.3) and (2.4) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.T \bar{M}\right|_{M}= & T M \oplus \operatorname{tr}(T M)=\left(T M^{\perp} \oplus \operatorname{ltr}(T M)\right) \oplus S(T M)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{r}, X_{r+1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 2. Consider the unit pseudo sphere $S_{1}^{3}$ of Minkowski space $R_{1}^{4}$ given by the equation $-t^{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=1$. Cut $S_{1}^{3}$ by the hypersurface $t-x=0$ and obtain a lightlike surface $(M, g)$ of $S_{1}^{3}$ with $\operatorname{Rad} T M$ spanned by a lightlike vector $\xi=\partial_{t}+\partial_{x}$. Clearly, $\operatorname{Rad} T M=T M^{\perp}$ and, therefore, this example belongs to Case 2 . Consider a screen distribution $S(T M)$ spanned by a spacelike vector $X=z \partial_{y}-y \partial_{z}$. Then, we obtain a lightlike transversal vector bundle $\operatorname{tr}(T M)=\operatorname{ltr}(T M)$ spanned by $N=(-1 / 2)\left\{\left(1+t^{2}\right) \partial_{t}+\right.$ $\left.\left(t^{2}-1\right) \partial_{x}+2 t y \partial_{y}+2 t z \partial_{z}\right\}$ such that $g(N, \xi)=1$, with a basis $\{\xi, N, X\}$ for $S_{1}^{3}$ along $M$.

For Case 3, we have $\operatorname{Rad} T M=T M$. Therefore, $S(T M)=\{0\}$. Therefore, (2.3) and (2.4) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.T \bar{M}\right|_{M}= & T M \oplus \operatorname{tr}(T M)=(T M \oplus \operatorname{ltr}(T M)) \oplus S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& \left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{r}, W_{r+1}, \ldots, W_{n}\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 3. Suppose $(M, g)$ is a surface of $R_{2}^{5}$ given by equations

$$
x^{3}=\cos x^{1}, \quad x^{4}=\sin x^{1}, \quad x^{5}=x^{2}
$$

We choose a set of vectors $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, U_{1}, U_{2}\right\}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{1}=\partial_{2}+\partial_{5}, \quad \xi_{2}=\partial_{1}-\sin x^{1} \partial_{3}+\cos x^{1} \partial_{4} \\
& U_{1}=-\sin x^{1} \partial_{1}+\partial_{3}, \quad U_{2}=\cos x^{1} \partial_{1}+\partial_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\operatorname{Rad} T M=T M=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\}, \quad T M^{\perp}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\xi_{1}, U_{1}, U_{2}\right\}$. Therefore, $M$ belongs to Case 3. Construct two null vectors

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{-\partial_{2}+\partial_{5}\right\} \\
N_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{-\partial_{1}-\sin x^{1} \partial_{3}+\cos x^{1} \partial_{4}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

such that $g\left(N_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ for $i, j \in\{1,2\}$ and $\operatorname{ltr}(T M)=\operatorname{Span}\left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}$. Let $W=$ $\cos x^{1} \partial_{3}+\sin x^{1} \partial_{4}$ be a spacelike vector such that $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)=\operatorname{Span}\{W\}$. Thus, $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, N_{1}, N_{2}, W\right\}$ is a basis of $R_{2}^{5}$ along $M$.

For Case $4, \quad \operatorname{Rad} T M=T M=T M^{\perp}, \quad S(T M)=S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)=\{0\} . \quad$ Therefore, (2.3) and (2.4) reduce to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.T \bar{M}\right|_{M}=T M \oplus \operatorname{ltr}(T M)  \tag{2.9}\\
\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{r}\right\} . \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Example 4. Suppose $(M, g)$ is a surface of $R_{2}^{4}$ given by the equations

$$
x^{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x^{1}+x^{2}\right), \quad x^{4}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)
$$

We choose a set of vectors $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, U, V\right\}$ given by

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\xi_{1}=\partial_{1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{3}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{4}, & \xi_{2}=\partial_{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{3}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{4}, \\
U=\partial_{1}+\partial_{2}+\sqrt{2} \partial_{3}, & V=\partial_{1}-\partial_{2}+\sqrt{2} \partial_{4},
\end{array}
$$

so that $T M$ and $T M^{\perp}$ are spanned by $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\}$ and $\{U, V\}$ respectively. By direct calculations we check that $\operatorname{Span}\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{Span}\{U, V\}$, that is, $T M=T M^{\perp}$. Finally, the two lightlike transversal vector bundles are:

$$
N_{1}=\partial_{1}+\sqrt{2} \partial_{3}+\sqrt{2} \partial_{4}, \quad N_{2}=\partial_{2}+\sqrt{2} \partial_{3}-\sqrt{2} \partial_{4}
$$

such that $g\left(N_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}, i, j=1,2$. Thus, $M$ is of Case 4 , with a basis $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}$ of $R_{2}^{4}$ along $M$.

On the existence of a local quasi-orthonormal field of frames of $\bar{M}$ along $M$ we state (see Chapter 5 of [8] for its proof) the following main result:

Theorem 2.1 [8]. Let $\left(M, g, S(T M), S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)\right)$ be an $r$-lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Then there exists a complementary vector bundle $\operatorname{ltr}(T M)$ of $\operatorname{Rad} T M$ in $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}$ and a basis of $\Gamma\left(\left.\operatorname{ltr}(T M)\right|_{\mathcal{U}}\right)$ consisting of smooth sections $\left\{N_{i}\right\}$ of $\left.S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}\right|_{\mathscr{U}}$, where $\mathscr{U}$ is a coordinate neighborhood of $M$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}\left(N_{i}, \xi_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}, \quad \bar{g}\left(N_{i}, N_{j}\right)=0, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}\right\}$ is a lightlike basis of $\Gamma(\operatorname{Rad} T M)$.
Define locally $r$ differential 1-forms $\left\{\eta_{i}\right\}$ on $\Gamma(T M)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i}(X)=\bar{g}\left(X, N_{i}\right), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(T M) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P$ the projection of $T M$ on $S(T M)$ with respect to (2.1). Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=P X+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \eta_{i}(X) \xi_{i}, \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $X \in \Gamma(T M)$. According to (2.3) we put

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y),  \tag{2.14}\\
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} V=-A(V, X)+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} V, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T M), \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$V \in \Gamma(\operatorname{tr}(T M)),\left\{\nabla_{X} Y, A(V, X)\right\}$ and $\left\{h(X, Y), \nabla_{X}^{\perp} V\right\}$ belong to $\Gamma(T M)$ and $\Gamma(\operatorname{tr}(T M))$ respectively. Here $\bar{\nabla}$ is the metric connection on $\bar{M}$ but $\nabla$ (torsionfree) and $\nabla^{\perp}$ are linear connections on $M$ and $\operatorname{tr}(T M)$ respectively.

Suppose $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right) \neq\{0\}$, that is, $M$ is either an $r$-lightlike or a isotropic submanifold of $\bar{M}$. According to (2.3) we consider the projection morphisms $L$ and $S$ of $\operatorname{tr}(T M)$ on $\operatorname{ltr}(T M)$ and $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)$ respectively. Then (2.14) and (2.15) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h^{\ell}(X, Y)+h^{s}(X, Y)  \tag{2.16}\\
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} V=-A_{V} X+D_{X}^{\ell} V+D_{X}^{s} V \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where we put

$$
\begin{gathered}
h^{\ell}(X, Y)=L(h(X, Y)) ; \quad h^{s}(X, Y)=S(h(X, Y)) ; \quad A_{V} X=A(V, X) \\
D_{X}^{\ell} V=L\left(\nabla_{X}^{\perp} V\right)=D^{\ell}(X, V) ; \quad D_{X}^{s} V=S\left(\nabla_{X}^{\perp} V\right)=D^{s}(X, V)
\end{gathered}
$$

As $h^{\ell}$ and $h^{s}$ are $\Gamma(\operatorname{ltr}(T M))$-valued and $\Gamma\left(S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)\right)$-valued respectively, we call them the lightlike second fundamental form and the screen second fundamental form of $M$. In particular, we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} N & =-A_{N} X+\nabla_{X}^{\ell} N+D^{s}(X, N)  \tag{2.18}\\
\bar{\nabla}_{X} W & =-A_{W} X+\nabla_{X}^{s} W+D^{\ell}(X, W) \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(T M), N \in \Gamma(\operatorname{ltr}(T M))$ and $W \in \Gamma\left(S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)\right)$.
Next, suppose $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)=\{0\}$, that is, $M$ is either co-isotropic or totally lightlike. Then, (2.16) and (2.17) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h^{\ell}(X, Y)  \tag{2.20}\\
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} N=-A_{N} X+\nabla_{X}^{\ell} N \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$. We call (2.14), (2.16), (2.20) the Gauss formulae and (2.15), (2.17)-(2.21) the Weingarten formulae for all cases of a lightlike submanifold $M$. Using (2.16)-(2.21), (2.3), (2.5), (2.7) and (2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{g}\left(h^{s}(X, Y), W\right)+\bar{g}\left(Y, D^{\ell}(X, W)\right)=g\left(A_{W} X, Y\right),  \tag{2.22}\\
\bar{g}\left(h^{\ell}(X, Y), \xi\right)+\bar{g}\left(Y, h^{\ell}(X, \xi)\right)+g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} \xi\right)=0  \tag{2.23}\\
\left.\bar{g}\left(A_{N} X, N^{\prime}\right)+\bar{g}\left(A_{N^{\prime}} X, N\right)\right)=0 \tag{2.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $\xi \in \Gamma(\operatorname{Rad} T M), W \in \Gamma\left(S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)\right)$ and $N, N^{\prime} \in \Gamma(\operatorname{ltr}(T M))$.
Next, suppose $S(T M) \neq\{0\}$, that is, $M$ is either $r$-lightlike or co-isotropic. Then according to (2.1) we set

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X} P Y & =\nabla_{X}^{*} P Y+h^{*}(X, P Y)  \tag{2.25}\\
\nabla_{X} \xi & =-A^{*}(\xi, X)+\nabla_{X}^{* t} \xi \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\operatorname{Rad} T M)$, where $\left\{\nabla_{X}^{*} P Y, A^{*}(\xi, X)\right\}$ and $\left\{h^{*}(X, P Y), \nabla_{X}^{* t} \xi\right\}$ belong to $\Gamma(S(T M))$ and $\Gamma(\operatorname{Rad} T M)$ respectively. It follows that $\nabla^{*}$ and $\nabla^{* t}$ are linear connections on $S(T M)$ and $\mathrm{Rad} T M$ respectively. By using (2.16), (2.21), (2.25) and (2.26) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{g}\left(h^{\ell}(X, P Y), \xi\right)=\bar{g}\left(A_{\xi}^{*} X, P Y\right)  \tag{2.27}\\
\bar{g}\left(h^{*}(X, P Y), N\right)=\bar{g}\left(A_{N} X, P Y\right), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{2.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 2.2 [8]. Let $\left(M, g, S(T M), S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)\right.$ ) be an r-lightlike submanifold
or a co-isotropic submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $S(T M)$ is integrable.
(2) $h^{*}$ is symmetric on $\Gamma(S(T M))$.
(3) $A_{N}$ is self-adjoint on $\Gamma(S(T M))$ with respect to $g$.
(4) $\nabla^{*}$ is torsion-free linear connection.

Example 5. Let $\left(R_{1}^{d+1}, \bar{g}\right)$ be a Minkowski spacetime, where

$$
\bar{g}(x, y)=-x^{0} y^{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} x^{i} y^{i}, \quad \forall x, y \in R^{d+1} .
$$

Consider a smooth function $f: D \rightarrow R$, where $D$ is an open set of $R^{d}$. Then

$$
M=\left\{\left(x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \in R_{1}^{d+1} ; x^{0}=f\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{d}\right)\right\},
$$

is a hypersurface of $R_{1}^{d+1}$ which is called a Monge hypersurface. Let natural parameterization on $M$ be given by

$$
x^{0}=f\left(v^{0}, \ldots, v^{d-1}\right) ; \quad x^{\alpha+1}=v^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\} .
$$

Hence, the natural frames field on $M$ is globally defined by

$$
\partial_{v^{\alpha}}=f_{x^{\alpha+1}}^{\prime} \partial_{x^{0}}+\partial_{x^{\alpha+1}}, \quad \alpha \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\} .
$$

Then, it follows that $T M^{\perp}$ is spanned by a global vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\partial_{x^{0}}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} f_{x^{i}}^{\prime} \partial_{x^{i}} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known [8] that $M$ is a lightlike hypersurface if $T M^{\perp}=\operatorname{Rad} T M$. This means that $\xi$, given by (2.29), must be a null vector field. Hence, there exists a lightlike Monge hypersurface $M$, if the function $f$ is a solution of the differential equation $\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(f_{x^{i}}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=1$. The null transversal vector is given by $N=$ $(1 / 2)\left\{-\partial_{x^{0}}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} f_{x^{i}}^{\prime} \partial_{x^{i}}\right\}, \bar{g}(N, \xi)=1$. Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection, with respect to the metric $\bar{g}$, on $R_{1}^{d+1}$. Then, for any two vectors $X, Y \in \Gamma(S(T M))$, the Lie bracket $[X, Y] \in \Gamma(S(T M))$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}([X, Y], N) & =\bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y-\bar{\nabla}_{Y} X, \partial_{x^{0}}\right) \\
& =-\left\{\bar{g}\left(X, \bar{\nabla}_{Y} \partial_{x^{0}}\right)-\bar{g}\left(Y, \bar{\nabla}_{X} \partial_{x^{0}}\right)\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $S(T M)$ is integrable. Other equivalent assertions follow easily.

## 3. Structure equations

Let ( $M, g, S(T M), S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)$ ) be an $m$-dimensional $r$-lightlike submanifold of $(m+n)$-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Denote by $\bar{R}, R$ and $R^{\ell}$ the curvature tensors of $\bar{\nabla}, \nabla$ and $\nabla^{\ell}$ respectively. We need following structure equations (see [8] for details on a complete set of equations):

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y) Z= & R(X, Y) Z  \tag{3.1}\\
& +A_{h^{\prime}(X, Z)} Y-A_{h^{\prime}(Y, Z)} X \\
& +A_{h^{s}(X, Z)} Y-A_{h^{s}(Y, Z)} X \\
& +\left(\nabla_{X} h^{\prime}\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\nabla_{Y} h^{\prime}\right)(X, Z) \\
& +D^{\ell}\left(X, h^{s}(Y, Z)\right)-D^{\prime}\left(Y, h^{s}(X, Z)\right) \\
& +\left(\nabla_{X} h^{s}\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\nabla_{Y} h^{s}\right)(X, Z) \\
& +D^{s}\left(X, h^{\prime}(Y, Z)\right)-D^{s}\left(Y, h^{\ell}(X, Z)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T M)$. Consider the curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ of type $(0,4)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, P Z, P U)= & g(R(X, Y) P Z, P U)  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\bar{g}\left(h^{*}(Y, P U), h^{\ell}(X, P Z)\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{*}(X, P U), h^{\ell}(Y, P Z)\right) \\
& +\bar{g}\left(h^{s}(Y, P U), h^{s}(X, P Z)\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{s}(X, P U), h^{s}(Y, P Z)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, \xi, P U)= & g(R(X, Y) \xi, P U)  \tag{3.3}\\
& +\bar{g}\left(h^{*}(Y, P U), h^{\ell}(X, \xi)\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{*}(X, P U), h^{\ell}(Y, \xi)\right) \\
& +\bar{g}\left(h^{s}(Y, P U), h^{s}(X, \xi)\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{s}(X, P U), h^{s}(Y, \xi)\right) \\
= & \bar{g}\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} h^{\ell}\right)(X, P U)-\left(\nabla_{X} h^{\ell}\right)(Y, P U), \xi\right) \\
& +\bar{g}\left(h^{s}(Y, P U), h^{s}(X, \xi)\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{s}(X, P U), h^{s}(Y, \xi)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, N, P U)= & -\bar{g}(R(X, Y) P U, N)  \tag{3.4}\\
& +\bar{g}\left(A_{N} Y, h^{\ell}(X, P U)\right)-\bar{g}\left(A_{N} X, h^{\ell}(Y, P U)\right) \\
& +\bar{g}\left(h^{s}(Y, P U), D^{s}(X, N)\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{s}(X, P U), D^{s}(Y, N)\right) \\
= & \bar{g}\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} A\right)(N, X)-\left(\nabla_{X} A\right)(N, Y), P U\right) \\
& +\bar{g}\left(h^{s}(Y, P U), D^{s}(X, N)\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{s}(X, P U), D^{s}(Y, N)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

(3.5) $\quad \bar{R}(X, Y, W, P U)=\bar{g}\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} A\right)(W, X)-\left(\nabla_{X} A\right)(W, Y), P U\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\bar{g}\left(h^{*}(Y, P U), D^{\ell}(X, W)\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{*}(X, P U), D^{\ell}(Y, W)\right) \\
= & \bar{g}\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} h^{s}\right)(X, P U)-\left(\nabla_{X} h^{s}\right)(Y, P U), W\right) \\
& +\bar{g}\left(h^{\ell}(X, P U), A_{W} Y\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{\ell}(X, P U), A_{W} X\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, N, \xi)= & \bar{g}\left(R^{\ell}(X, Y) N, \xi\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& +\bar{g}\left(h^{\ell}\left(Y, A_{N} X\right), \xi\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{\ell}\left(X, A_{N} Y\right), \xi\right) \\
& +\bar{g}\left(D^{s}(X, N), h^{s}(Y, \xi)\right)-\bar{g}\left(D^{s}(Y, N), h^{s}(X, \xi)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & -\bar{g}(R(X, Y) \xi, N) \\
& +\bar{g}\left(A_{N} Y, h^{\ell}(X, \xi)\right)-\left(A_{N} Y, h^{\ell}(Y, \xi)\right) \\
& +\bar{g}\left(D^{s}(X, N), h^{s}(Y, \xi)\right)-\bar{g}\left(D^{s}(Y, N), h^{s}(X, \xi)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$X, Y, U \in \Gamma(T M)$. Let $R^{* t}$ be the curvature tensor of $\nabla^{* t}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
g(R(X, Y) \xi, P U)= & g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} A^{\star}\right)(\xi, X)-\left(\nabla_{X} A^{\star}\right)(\xi, Y), P U\right),  \tag{3.7}\\
g(R(X, Y) \xi, N= & \bar{g}\left(R^{* t}(X, Y) \xi, N\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& \quad+g\left(A_{N} Y, A_{\xi}^{*} X\right)-g\left(A_{N} X, A_{\xi}^{*} Y\right) . \\
g(R(X, Y) P U, N)= & \bar{g}\left(\left(\nabla_{X} A\right)(N, Y)-\left(\nabla_{Y} A\right)(N, X), P U\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
& \quad \bar{g}\left(h^{\ell}(X, P U), A_{N} Y\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{\ell}(Y, P U), A_{N} X\right) \\
= & \bar{g}\left(\left(\nabla_{X} h^{*}\right)(Y, P U)-\left(\nabla_{Y} h^{*}\right)(X, P U), N\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, from (3.6), by using (2.23) and (2.25) we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{g}(R(X, Y) \xi, N)+\bar{g}\left(R^{\ell}(X, Y) N, \xi\right)= & g\left(A_{\xi}^{*} X, A_{N} Y\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& -g\left(A_{\xi}^{*} Y, A_{N} X\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1. For structure equations of Case 2, delete all the components involving $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)$. Similarly, one can find the structure equations of the other two cases.

Remark 2. In the sequel we denote by $(M, g)$ a lightlike submanifold for which the results hold for all its four cases. Any result which does not hold for all the cases will be so specified.

## 4. Totally umbilical lightlike submanifold

Let $\left\{N_{i}, W_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a basis of $\Gamma\left(\left.\operatorname{tr}(T M)\right|_{\mathscr{U}}\right)$ on a coordinate neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ of $M$, where $N_{i} \in \Gamma\left(\left.\operatorname{ltr}(T M)\right|_{\mathscr{U}}\right)$ and $W_{\alpha} \in \Gamma\left(\left.S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)\right|_{\mathscr{U}}\right)$. Then (2.16) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}^{\ell}(X, Y) N_{i}+\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} h_{\alpha}^{s}(X, Y) W_{\alpha}  \tag{4.1}\\
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\sum_{i=1}^{m<n} h_{i}^{\ell}(X, Y) N_{i}+\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n} h_{\alpha}^{s}(X, Y) W_{\alpha}, \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for an $r$-lightlike or an isotropic submanifold respectively. (2.20) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\sum_{i=1}^{n<m} h_{i}^{\ell}(X, Y) N_{i}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\sum_{i=1}^{n=m} h_{i}^{\ell}(X, Y) N_{i} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for a co-isotropic and a totally lightlike submanifold respectively. We call $\left\{h_{i}^{\ell}\right\}$ and $\left\{h_{\alpha}^{s}\right\}$ the local lightlike second fundamental forms and the local screen second fundamental forms of $M$ on $\mathscr{U}$. Also (2.18) and (2.19) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} N_{i}=-A_{N_{i}} X+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{i j}(X) N_{j}+\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} \tau_{i \alpha}(X) W_{\alpha} \\
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} W_{\alpha}=-A_{W_{\alpha}} X+\sum_{i=1}^{r} v_{\alpha i}(X) N_{i}+\sum_{\beta=r+1}^{n} \theta_{\alpha \beta}(X) W_{\beta} \\
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} N_{i}=-A_{N_{i}} X+\sum_{j=1}^{m<n} \rho_{i j}(X) N_{j}+\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{n} \tau_{i \alpha}(X) W_{\alpha}  \tag{4.5}\\
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} W_{\alpha}=-A_{W_{\alpha}} X+\sum_{i=1}^{m<n} v_{\alpha i}(X) N_{i}+\sum_{\beta=m+1}^{n} \theta_{\alpha \beta}(X) W_{\beta}
\end{align*}
$$

for an $r$-lightlike and an isotropic submanifold respectively, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{i j}(X)=\bar{g}\left(\nabla_{X}^{\ell} N_{i}, \xi_{j}\right), \quad \varepsilon_{\alpha} \tau_{i \alpha}(X)=\bar{g}\left(D^{s}\left(X, N_{i}\right), W_{\alpha}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& v_{\alpha i}(X)=\bar{g}\left(D^{\ell}\left(X, W_{\alpha}\right), \xi_{i}\right), \quad \varepsilon_{\beta} \theta_{\alpha \beta}(X)=\bar{g}\left(\nabla_{X}^{s} W_{\alpha}, W_{\beta}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$ is the signature of $W_{\alpha}$. Similarly, (2.21) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} N_{i}=-A_{N_{i}} X+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{i j}(X) N_{j} \\
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} N_{i}=-A_{N_{i}} X+\sum_{j=1}^{m<n} \rho_{i j}(X) N_{j} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for a co-isotropic and a totally lightlike submanifold respectively. Then, (2.25) and (2.26) become

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X} P Y & =\nabla_{X}^{*} P Y+\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_{i}^{*}(X, P Y) \xi_{i} \\
\nabla_{X} \xi_{i} & =-A_{\xi_{i}}^{*} X+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \mu_{i j}(X) \xi_{j} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{i}^{*}(X, P Y)=\bar{g}\left(h^{*}(X, P Y), N_{i}\right)$ and $\mu_{i j}(X)=\bar{g}\left(\nabla_{X}^{* t} \xi_{i}, N_{j}\right)$. Using the equations (2.11) and (4.5)-(4.8) we obtain $\mu_{i j}(X)=-\rho_{j i}(X)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} \xi_{i}=-A_{\xi_{i}}^{*} X-\sum_{j=1}^{r} \rho_{j i}(X) \xi_{j} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1. A lightlike submanifold $(\underline{M}, g)$ of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is said to be totally umbilical in $\bar{M}$ if there is a smooth transversal
vector field $\mathscr{H} \in \Gamma(\operatorname{tr}(T M))$ on $M$, called the transversal curvature vector field of $M$, such that, for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(X, Y)=\mathscr{H} \bar{g}(X, Y) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.16) and (4.1) it is easy to see that $M$ is totally umbilical, if and only if on each coordinate neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ there exist smooth vector fields $H^{\ell} \in$ $\Gamma(\operatorname{ltr}(T M))$ and $H^{s} \in \Gamma\left(S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)\right)$, and smooth functions $H_{i}^{\ell} \in F(\operatorname{ltr}(T M))$ and $H_{i}^{s} \in F\left(S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h^{\ell}(X, Y)=H^{\ell} \bar{g}(X, Y), & h^{s}(X, Y)=H^{s} \bar{g}(X, Y)  \tag{4.11}\\
h_{i}^{\ell}(X, Y)=H_{i}^{\ell} \bar{g}(X, Y), & h_{\alpha}^{s}(X, Y)=H_{\alpha}^{s} \bar{g}(X, Y)
\end{array}
$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$. Above definition does not depend on the screen distribution and the screen transversal vector bundle of $M$. On the other hand, from the equation (2.22) we obtain the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(A_{W_{\alpha}} X, Y\right)=\varepsilon_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}^{s}(X, Y)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} D_{i}^{\ell}\left(X, W_{\alpha}\right) \eta_{i}(Y) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now replace $Y$ by $\xi_{j}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i}^{\ell}\left(X, W_{\alpha}\right)=-\varepsilon_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}^{s}\left(\xi_{i}, X\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.22), (2.27), (4.11) and (4.13), we conclude (the relations (4.11) trivially hold in case $S(T M)$ and or $S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)$ vanish)

Theorem 4.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a lightlike submanifold of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Then $M$ is totally umbilical, if and only if, on each coordinate neighborhood $\mathscr{U}$ there exist smooth vector fields $H^{\ell}$ and $H^{s}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
D^{\ell}(X, W)=0, \quad A_{\xi}^{*} X=H^{\ell} P X, \quad P\left(A_{W} X\right)=\varepsilon H^{s} P X \\
D_{i}^{\ell}\left(X, W_{\alpha}\right)=0, \quad A_{\xi_{i}}^{*} X=H_{i}^{\ell} P X, \quad P\left(A_{W_{\alpha}} X\right)=\varepsilon_{\alpha} H_{\alpha}^{s} P X \tag{4.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(T M)$, where $\varepsilon$ is the signature of $W \in \Gamma\left(S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)\right)$.
Example 6. Let $M$ be a surface of $R_{2}^{4}$, of Example 1, given by

$$
x^{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x^{1}+x^{2}\right) ; \quad x^{4}=\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1+\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

where $\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{4}\right)$ is a local coordinate system for $R_{2}^{4}$. As explained in Example $1, M$ is a 1 -lightlike surface of Case 1 having a local quasi-orthonormal field of frames $\{\xi, N, V, W\}$ along $M$. Denote by $\bar{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connection on $R_{2}^{4}$. Then, by straightforward calculations, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_{V} V= & 2\left(1+\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)^{2}\right)\left\{2\left(x^{2}-x^{1}\right) \partial_{2}+\sqrt{2}\left(x^{2}-x^{1}\right) \partial_{3}+\partial_{4}\right\} \\
& \bar{\nabla}_{\xi_{1}} V=0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{X} \xi_{1}=\bar{\nabla}_{X} N=0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(T M)
\end{aligned}
$$

For this example, the equations (4.11) reduce to

$$
h^{1}(X, Y)=H^{1} \bar{g}(X, Y) ; \quad h^{2}(X, Y)=H^{2} \bar{g}(X, Y)
$$

where $h^{1}$ and $h^{2}$ are $\Gamma(\operatorname{ltr}(T M))$-valued and $\Gamma\left(S\left(T M^{\perp}\right)\right)$-valued bilinear forms (see equation (2.16)). Using the Gauss and Weingarten formulae we infer

$$
h^{1}=0 ; \quad A_{\xi_{1}}=0 ; \quad A_{N}=0 ; \quad \nabla_{X} \xi_{1}=0 ; \quad \rho_{i}(X)=0 ;
$$

where for the symbol $\rho_{i}$ see the equation (4.7). $h^{2}(X, \xi)=0$;

$$
H^{2}(V, V)=2 ; \quad \nabla_{X} V=\frac{2 \sqrt{2}\left(x^{2}-x^{1}\right)^{3}}{1+\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)^{2}} X^{2} V,
$$

$\forall X=X^{1} \xi_{1}+X^{2} V \in \Gamma(T M)$. Since $\bar{g}(V, V)=-\left(1+\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)^{4}\right)$ we get

$$
h^{2}(V, V)=H^{2} \bar{g}(V, V), \quad H^{2}=-\frac{2}{\left(1+\left(x^{1}-x^{2}\right)^{4}\right)} .
$$

Therefore, $M$ is totally umbilical 1 -lightlike submanifold of $R_{2}^{4}$.
Note that in case $M$ is totally umbilical, then due to (2.27)

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\ell}(X, \xi)=0, \quad h^{s}(X, \xi)=0, \quad A_{\xi}^{*} \xi^{\prime}=0, \quad A_{W} \xi=0 . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $(M, g)$ be an m-dimensional totally umbilical lightlike submanifold of an ( $m+n$ )-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature $(\bar{M}(\bar{c}), \bar{g})$. Then, the functions $H_{i}^{\ell}, H_{\alpha}^{s}$ from (4.11) satisfy the following partial differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{j}\left(H_{i}^{\ell}\right)-H_{i}^{\ell} H_{j}^{\ell}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} H_{k}^{\ell} \rho_{k i}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=0, \\
& \xi_{j}\left(H_{\alpha}^{s}\right)-H_{\alpha}^{s} H_{j}^{\ell}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \tau_{i \alpha}\left(\xi_{j}\right)+\sum_{\beta=r+1}^{n} H_{\beta}^{s} \theta_{\beta \alpha}\left(\xi_{j}\right)=0, \\
& R(X, Y) Z=\left\{\bar{c} X+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} A_{N_{i}} X+\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} H_{\alpha}^{s} A_{W_{\alpha}} X\right\} g(Y, Z)  \tag{4.16}\\
&-\left\{\bar{c} Y+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} A_{N_{i}} Y+\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} H_{\alpha}^{s} A_{W_{\alpha}} Y\right\} g(X, Z),
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P X\left(H_{k}^{\ell}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \rho_{i k}(P X)=0,  \tag{4.17}\\
& P X\left(H_{\alpha}^{s}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \tau_{i \alpha}(P X)+\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} H_{\beta}^{s} \theta_{\beta \alpha}(P X)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Taking account of (4.9) in (3.3) and (3.5), and using the fact that $\bar{M}$ is a space of constant curvature we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{X\left(H_{k}^{\ell}\right)-H_{k}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \eta_{i}(X)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \rho_{i k}(X)\right\} g(Y, P U) \\
& \quad-\left\{Y\left(H_{k}^{\ell}\right)-H_{k}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \eta_{i}(Y)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \rho_{i k}(Y)\right\} g(X, P U)=0 \\
& \left\{X\left(H_{\alpha}^{s}\right)-H_{\alpha}^{s} \sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \eta_{i}(X)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \tau_{i \alpha}(X)+\sum_{\beta=r+1}^{n} H_{\beta}^{s} \theta_{\beta \alpha}(X)\right\} g(Y, P U) \\
& \quad-\left\{Y\left(H_{\alpha}^{s}\right)-H_{\alpha}^{s} \sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \eta_{i}(Y)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \tau_{i \alpha}(Y)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{\beta=r+1}^{n} H_{\beta}^{s} \theta_{\beta \alpha}(Y)\right\} g(X, P U)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $X, Y, U \in \Gamma(T M)$. Take $X=\xi_{j}$ and $U=Y \in \Gamma(S(T M))$ such that $g(Y, Y) \neq 0$ on $\mathscr{U}$ and using (2.12) we obtain (4.16). Then, (4.17) follows from (3.1), (4.18), $\bar{M}$ a space of constant curvature and (4.16). Setting $X=P X$ and $Y=P Y$ in (4.18) and using (2.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{P X\left(H_{k}^{\ell}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \rho_{i k}(P X)\right\} P Y \\
& =\left\{P Y\left(H_{k}^{\ell}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \rho_{i k}(P Y)\right\} P X \\
& \left\{P X\left(H_{\alpha}^{s}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \tau_{i \alpha}(P X)+\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} H_{\beta}^{s} \theta_{\beta \alpha}(P X)\right\} P Y \\
& =\left\{P Y\left(H_{\alpha}^{s}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \tau_{i \alpha}(P Y)+\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} H_{\beta}^{s} \theta_{\beta \alpha}(P Y)\right\} P X
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose there exists a vector field $X_{o} \in \Gamma(T M)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P X_{o}\left(H_{k}^{\ell}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \rho_{i k}\left(P X_{o}\right) \neq 0 \\
& P X_{o}\left(H_{\alpha}^{s}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell} \tau_{i \alpha}\left(P X_{o}\right)+\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} H_{\beta}^{s} \theta_{\beta \alpha}\left(P X_{o}\right) \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

at each point $u \in M$. Then from the last equations it follows that all vectors
from the fiber $(S(T M))_{u}$ are collinear with $\left(P X_{o}\right)_{u}$. This is a contradiction as $\operatorname{dim}\left((S(T M))_{u}\right)=n-r$. In particular, if $r=n$, that is, if $S(T M)$ vanishes, then also we have a trivial contradiction. Hence the equations (4.18) in theorem are true at any point of $\mathscr{U}$, which completes the proof.

From (3.6), (3.8), (3.10) and $\bar{M}$ of constant curvature we get

$$
2 d\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)\right)(X, Y)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} H_{i}^{\ell}\left\{g\left(Y, A_{N_{i}} X\right)-g\left(X, A_{N_{i}} Y\right)\right\}=0
$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)$ is the trace of the matrix $\left(\rho_{i j}\right)$. If $(M, g)$ is an isotropic or a totally light submanifold, then, we have $g\left(Y, A_{N_{i}} X\right)=g\left(X, A_{N_{i}} Y\right)=0$ for every $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$. Thus, the following holds:

Lemma 1. Let $(M, g)$ be an isotropic or a totally lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}(\bar{c}), \bar{g})$ of constant curvature. Then, the trace of each $\rho_{i j}$, defined by (4.6), is closed, i.e., $d\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)\right)=0$.

In case $H_{i}^{\ell} \neq 0$ and $H_{\alpha}^{s} \neq 0$ on $\mathscr{U}$ we say that $M$ is proper totally umbilical. From Theorem 2.2 and the last equation we obtain

Theorem 4.3. Let $(M, g, S(T M))$ be a proper totally umbilical r-lightlike or a co-isotropic submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}(\bar{c}), \bar{g})$ of constant curvature $\bar{c}$. Then $S(T M)$ is integrable, if and only if, each 1-form $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)$ induced by $S(T M)$ is closed, i.e., $d\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)\right)=0$.

Remark 3. In view of Lemma $1, d\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)\right)=0$ trivially holds for a proper totally umbilical isotropic or a totally lightlike submanifold $(M, g)$.

Definition 2. Let $(M, g, S(T M))$ be either an $r$-lightlike or a co-isotropic submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Then, the screen distribution $S(T M)$ is said to be totally umbilical in $M$ if there is a smooth vector field $\mathscr{K} \in \Gamma(\operatorname{Rad} T M)$ on $M$, such that

$$
h^{*}(X, P Y)=\mathscr{K} g(X, P Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)
$$

$S(T M)$ is totally umbilical, if and only if, on any coordinate neighborhood $\mathscr{U} \subset M$, there exist smooth functions $K_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}^{*}(X, P Y)=K_{i} g(X, P Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $h^{*}$ is symmetric on $\Gamma(S(T M))$ and hence from Theorem 2.2, $S(T M)$ is integrable. In case $\mathscr{K}=0(\mathscr{K} \neq 0)$ on $\mathscr{U}$ we say that $S(T M)$ is totally geodesic (proper totally umbilical). (2.13) and (4.11) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(A_{N_{i}} X\right)=K_{i} P X, \quad h^{*}(\xi, P X)=0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(T M) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $S(T M)$ is totally umbilical, we have from (2.1), (2.24) and (4.20)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{N_{i}} X=K_{i} P X+\sum_{i \neq j=1}^{r} \eta_{j}\left(A_{N_{i}} X\right) \xi_{j}, \quad \eta_{i}\left(A_{N_{j}} X\right)=-\eta_{j}\left(A_{N_{i}} X\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 4.4. Let $(M, g, S(T M))$ be either an r-lightlike or a co-isotropic submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}(\bar{c}), \bar{g})$ of constant curvature $\bar{c}$, with a totally umbilical screen distribution $S(T M)$. If $M$ is also totally umbilical, then, the mean curvature vectors $K_{i}$ of $S(T M)$ are a solution of the following partial differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
X\left(K_{i}\right) & -\sum_{j=1}^{r} K_{j} \rho_{j i}(X)-K_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} H_{j}^{\ell} \eta_{j}(X) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{r} H_{j}^{\ell} \eta_{i}\left(A_{N_{j}} X\right)+\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} H_{\alpha}^{s} \eta_{i}\left(A_{W_{\alpha} X}\right)-\bar{c} \eta_{i}(X)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Taking account of (4.19) and (4.21) into (3.4) and using (2.12), (2.22), (2.24) and $\bar{M}$ a space of constant curvature we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{X\left(K_{i}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{r} K_{j} \rho_{j i}(X)-K_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} H_{j}^{\ell} \eta_{j}(X)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} H_{j}^{\ell} \eta_{i}\left(A_{N_{j}} X\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} H_{\alpha}^{s} \eta_{i}\left(A_{W_{\alpha} X}\right)-\bar{c} \eta_{i}(X)\right\} g(Y, P U) \\
& \quad=\left\{Y\left(K_{i}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{r} K_{j} \rho_{j i}(Y)-K_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} H_{j}^{\ell} \eta_{j}(Y)+\sum_{j=1}^{r} H_{j}^{\ell} \eta_{i}\left(A_{N_{j}} Y\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} H_{\alpha}^{s} \eta_{i}\left(A_{W_{\alpha}} Y\right)-\bar{c} \eta_{i}(Y)\right\} g(X, P U)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by the method of Theorem 4.2 we have the equation in theorem.
Corollary 1. Let $(M, g, S(T M))$ be a totally umbilical co-isotropic lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}(\bar{c}), \bar{g})$. If $S(T M)$ is totally geodesic, then $\bar{c}=0$, i.e., $\bar{M}$ is semi-Euclidean.

Corollary 2. Under the hypothesis of Corollary $1, \nabla$ is a metric connection on $M$, if and only if, the mean curvature vectors $K_{i}$ of $S(T M)$ are a solution of the following partial differential equations

$$
X\left(K_{i}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{r} K_{j} \rho_{j i}(X)-\bar{c} \eta_{i}(X)=0
$$

By the method of Theorem 4.4, using (4.19) and (4.21) into (3.9), and then (2.12), (2.22), (2.24) and $M$ a space of constant curvature, we obtain

Theorem 4.5. Let $(M(c), g, S(T M))$ be a totally umbilical $r$-lightlike or a co-isotropic submanifold of constant curvature $c$ of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. If $S(T M)$ is totally umbilical, then the mean curvature vectors $K_{i}$ of $S(T M)$ are a solution of the partial differential equations

$$
X\left(K_{i}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{r} K_{j} \rho_{j i}(X)-K_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} H_{j}^{\ell} \eta_{j}(X)-c \eta_{i}(X)=0 .
$$

Corollary 3. Let $(M(c), g, S(T M))$ be an r-lightlike or a co-isotropic submanifold of constant curvature c of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. If $S(T M)$ is totally geodesic, then $c=0$, i.e., $M$ is semi-Euclidean.

Corollary 4. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.5, $\nabla$ on $M$ is metric connection, if and only if, the mean curvature vectors $K_{i}$ of $S(T M)$ are a solution of the following partial differential equations

$$
X\left(K_{i}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{r} K_{j} \rho_{j i}(X)-c \eta_{i}(X)=0
$$

Using (3.6), the symmetries of the operators $A_{\varsigma_{i}}^{*}$ and Lemma 1 , we have
Theorem 4.6. Let $(M(c), g)$ be a lightlike submanifold of constant curvature cof a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$, such that $S(T M)$ is proper totally umbilical or $S(T M)$ vanishes. Then, $d\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)\right)=0$.

Let $x \in M$ and $\xi$ be a null vector of $T_{x} \bar{M}$. A plane $\Pi$ of $T_{x} \bar{M}$ is called a null plane directed by $\xi$ if it contains $\xi, \bar{g}_{x}(\xi, W)=0$ for any $W \in \Pi$ and there exists $W_{o} \in \Pi$ such that $\bar{g}\left(W_{o}, W_{o}\right) \neq 0$. Following [2], define the null sectional curvature of $\Pi$ with respect to $\xi$ and $\bar{\nabla}$, as a real number

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}_{\xi}(\Pi)=\frac{\bar{R}(W, \xi, \xi, W)}{g(W, W)} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is an arbitrary non-null vector in $\Pi$. Similarly, define the null sectional curvature $K_{\xi}(\Pi)$ of the null plane $\Pi$ of the tangent space $T_{x} M$ with respect to $\xi$ and $\nabla$, as a real number

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\xi}(\Pi)=\frac{R(W, \xi, \xi, W)}{g(W, W)} . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account that both null sectional curvatures do not depend on the vector $W$ and by using (3.3) and (3.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}_{\xi}\left(\Pi_{i}\right)=\xi_{i}\left(H_{i}^{\ell}\right)-\left(H_{i}^{\ell}\right)^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} H_{k}^{\ell} \rho_{k i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=K_{\xi}\left(\Pi_{i}\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi_{i}$ is a null plane directed by $\xi_{i}$. Thus we have
THEOREM 4.7. Let $(M, g)$ be a totally umbilical lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Then, both the null sectional curvature functions $\bar{K}_{\xi}\left(\Pi_{i}\right)$ and $K_{\xi}\left(\Pi_{i}\right)$ vanish, if and only if, $H_{i}^{\ell}$ is a solution of the partial differential equation

$$
\xi_{i}\left(H_{i}^{\ell}\right)-\left(H_{i}^{\ell}\right)^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} H_{k}^{\ell} \rho_{k i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=0
$$

From the equation (4.16) in Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.7, we obtain
Theorem 4.8. Let $(M, g)$ be a totally umbilical lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Then, both the null sectional curvature functions $\bar{K}_{\xi}\left(\Pi_{i}\right)$ and $K_{\xi}\left(\Pi_{i}\right)$ vanish.

## 5. Induced Ricci tensor

Consider an $m$-dimensional lightlike submanifold $(M, g)$ of an $(m+n)$ dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Note that $h_{i}^{\ell}, \rho_{i j}$ and $\tau_{i \alpha}$ depend on the section $\xi \in \Gamma(\operatorname{Rad} T M)$. Indeed, take $\xi_{i}^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_{i j} \xi_{j}$, where $\alpha_{i j}$ are smooth functions with $\Delta=\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha_{i j}\right) \neq 0$ and $A_{i j}$ be the co-factors of $\alpha_{i j}$ in the determinant of $\Delta$. It follows that $N_{i}^{*}=(1 / \Delta) \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_{i j} N_{j}$. Hence by straightforward calculation and using (4.1)-(4.4) and (4.6) we obtain $h_{i}^{\ell *}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_{i j} h_{j}^{\ell}$. Denote $\rho_{i j}^{*}$ and $\tau_{i \alpha}^{*}$ by affinely combinations of $\rho_{i j}$ and $\tau_{i \alpha}$ with coefficients $\alpha_{i j}, A_{i j}$ and $X\left(A_{i j}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)(X)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}^{*}\right)(X)+X(\log \Delta), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(T M)
$$

Thus, using the formula $d \rho(X, Y)=(1 / 2)\{X(\rho(Y))-Y(\rho(X))-\rho([X, Y])\}$ of a differential 2-form, we obtain

TheOrem 5.1. Let $(M, g)$ be a lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Suppose $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}^{*}\right)$ are 1-forms on $\mathscr{U}$ with respect to $\xi_{i}$ and $\xi_{i}^{*}$. Then $d\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}^{*}\right)\right)=d\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)\right)$ on $\mathscr{U}$.

To find local expression of Ricci tensor of $M$, consider the frames field

$$
\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r} ; N_{1}, \ldots, N_{r} ; X_{r+1}, \ldots, X_{m} ; W_{r+1}, \ldots, W_{n}\right\}
$$

on $\bar{M}$. Denote by $\left\{F_{A}\right\}=\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{r}, X_{r+1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ the induced frames field on M. Then,

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\bar{R}_{A B C D} & =\bar{g}\left(\bar{R}\left(F_{D}, F_{C}\right) F_{B}, F_{A}\right), & R_{A B C D}=g\left(R\left(F_{D}, F_{C}\right) F_{B}, F_{A}\right) \\
\bar{R}_{i B C D} & =\bar{g}\left(\bar{R}\left(F_{D}, F_{C}\right) F_{B}, N_{i}\right), & & R_{i B C D}=\bar{g}\left(R\left(F_{D}, F_{C}\right) F_{B}, N_{i}\right) \\
\bar{R}_{\alpha B C D} & =\bar{g}\left(\bar{R}\left(F_{D}, F_{C}\right) F_{B}, W_{\alpha}\right), & & R_{\alpha B C D}=\bar{g}\left(R\left(F_{D}, F_{C}\right) F_{B}, W_{\alpha}\right), \\
\bar{R}_{i \alpha C D} & =\bar{g}\left(\bar{R}\left(F_{D}, F_{C}\right) W_{\alpha}, N_{i}\right), & & R_{i \alpha C D}=\bar{g}\left(R\left(F_{D}, F_{C}\right) W_{\alpha}, N_{i}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Using above we obtain the following local expression for the Ricci tensor:

$$
\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y)=\sum_{a, b=r+1}^{m} g^{a b} g\left(R\left(X, X_{a}\right) Y, X_{b}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{g}\left(R\left(X, \xi_{i}\right) Y, N_{i}\right) .
$$

By using the symmetries of curvature tensor and the first Bianchi identity and taking into account (3.2) and (3.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ric}(X, Y)-\operatorname{Ric}(Y, X) \\
& =\sum_{a, b=r+1}^{m} g^{a b}\left\{\bar{g}\left(h^{*}\left(X, X_{b}\right), h^{\ell}\left(Y, X_{a}\right)\right)-\bar{g}\left(h^{*}\left(Y, X_{b}\right), h^{\ell}\left(X, X_{a}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left\{g\left(A_{\xi_{i}}^{*} X, A_{N_{i}} Y\right)-g\left(A_{\xi_{i}}^{*} Y, A_{N_{i}} X\right)+\bar{g}\left(R^{* t}(X, Y) \xi_{i}, N_{i}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $X, Y$ by $X_{A}, X_{B}$ respectively, using (2.27), (2.28), (4.9) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \bar{g}\left(R^{* t}(X, Y) \xi_{i}, N_{i}\right) & =-2 \sum_{i, j=1}^{r} \bar{g}\left(d\left(\rho_{j i}\right)(X, Y) \xi_{j}, N_{i}\right) \\
& =-2 d\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)(X, Y)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
R_{A B}-R_{B A}=2 d\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)\right)\left(X_{A}, X_{B}\right)
$$

where $R_{A B}=\operatorname{Ric}\left(X_{B}, X_{A}\right)$. Thus, using Theorem 5.1, we conclude
TheOrem 5.2. Let $(M, g, S(T M))$ be an r-lightlike or a co-isotropic submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Then the Ricci tensor of the induced connection $\nabla$ on $M$ is symmetric, if and only if, each 1-forms $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)$ induced by $S(T M)$ is closed, i.e., on any $\mathscr{U} \subset M$,

$$
d\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)\right)=0
$$

Using Theorems 4.3, 4.6 and 5.2, we obtain the following theorem:
ThEOREM 5.3. Let $(M, g, S(T M)$ ) be a proper totally umbilical r-lightlike or a co-isotropic submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}(\bar{c}), \bar{g})$ of a constant curvature $\bar{c}$. Then, the induced Ricci tensor on $M$ is symmetric, if and only if its screen distribution $S(T M)$ is integrable.

Corollary 5. Let $(M(c), g, S(T M))$ be an r-lightlike or a co-isotropic submanifold of constant curvature $c$ of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$, such that $S(T M)$ is proper totally umbilical. Then the Ricci tensor of the induced connection $\nabla$ on $M$ is symmetric.

Suppose the Ricci tensor of $\nabla$ is symmetric. Theorem 5.3 and Poincare lemma implies $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}(X)\right)=X(f)$, where $f$ is a smooth function. Let $\triangle=\exp f$ and obtain $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}^{*}(X)\right)=0 \forall X \in \Gamma\left(\left.T M\right|_{\mathscr{U}}\right)$. Thus we have

Theorem 5.4. Let $(M, g, S(T M))$ be an r-lightlike or a co-isotropic submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Then Ricci tensor of $M$ is symmetric and there exists a pair of frames field $\left\{\xi_{i}^{*}, N_{i}^{*}\right\}$ on $\mathscr{U}$ such that the corresponding 1-forms $\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}^{*}\right)$ induced by $S(T M)$ vanishes.

Remark 4. Lemma 1 and Theorem 5.2 imply that the induced Ricci tensor of either an isotropic or a totally lightlike $M$ of $\bar{M}(\bar{c})$ is always symmetric. This clarifies the fact that Theorems 5.1-5.4 will trivially hold for an isotropic or a totally lightlike $M$, since for these two cases $d\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{i j}\right)\right)=0$.

Examples. Minkowski [3], de Sitter [1], Schwarzchild and Robertson-Walker spacetimes (see [10] and pages 225-230 of [8]) all have lightlike hypersurfaces with an integrable 2 -dimensional screen distribution.
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