

P. M. Gadea; A. Montesinos Amilibia

Totally umbilical pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds of the paracomplex projective space

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 44 (1994), No. 4, 741–756

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128493>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

TOTALLY UMBILICAL PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN SUBMANIFOLDS
OF THE PARACOMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE*

P. M. GADEA, Madrid and A. MONTESINOS AMILIBIA, Burjasot

(Received December 31, 1992)

1. INTRODUCTION

Para-Kaehlerian manifolds were introduced by Rasevskii [14] and Libermann [12], and studied by several authors (see Bejan [2] and the long list of references therein). An interesting class of para-Kaehlerian manifolds is the class of para-Hermitian symmetric spaces. Kaneyuki and Kozai [10] gave the infinitesimal classification in the case of semisimple group. A particular type is given by the paracomplex projective spaces, introduced by the authors in [4]. These spaces are harmonic symmetric spaces ([1], [5], [6]), and models of spaces of constant non vanishing paraholomorphic sectional curvature, which have a rich family of para-Kaehlerian space forms ([4], [8], [9]). These spaces have also been studied in [2] and [7].

Totally umbilical submanifolds of a given manifold, provided they exist, constitute one of the most natural and useful families of submanifolds. They are known for several classes of important manifolds (see Chen [3]). In the present paper we determine all of the totally umbilical pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds of the paracomplex projective spaces. Let $P(E \oplus E^*)$ be the paracomplex projective space naturally associated to the finite dimensional real vector space E . We prove that its non totally geodesic, totally umbilical pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds are of constant (ordinary) sectional curvature. In fact, if h is any non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form in E and $S_h = \{x \in E: h(x, x) = 1\}$ is the corresponding sphere, then S_h can be isometrically immersed as a totally geodesic submanifold of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ (cf. [7]). We prove that the *parallels* of S_h , that is its intersections with affine subspaces of E , are then isometrically immersed as totally umbilical submanifolds of $P(E \oplus E^*)$, and

* Work partially supported by the DGICYT (Spain) grants n. PB 89-0004 and PB 90-0014-C03-01.

that every non totally geodesic, totally umbilical pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ of dimension greater than 1 is part of such an immersed parallel.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let E be an $(r + 1)$ -dimensional real vector space, and E^* its dual. Typically, we shall write $x + \alpha$ to denote an element of $E \oplus E^*$. On the space $E \oplus E^*$ there exist a natural non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given by

$$\langle x + \alpha, y + \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha(y) + \beta(x)),$$

and a linear automorphism J such that

$$J|_E = \text{id}_E, \quad J|_{E^*} = -\text{id}_{E^*}.$$

We introduce in

$$(E \oplus E^*)_+ = \{x + \alpha \in E \oplus E^* : \langle x + \alpha, x + \alpha \rangle = \alpha(x) > 0\}$$

the equivalence relation \sim such that $x + \alpha \sim ax + b\alpha$ whenever $0 < a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and define the paracomplex projective space $P(E \oplus E^*)$ by

$$P(E \oplus E^*) = (E \oplus E^*)_+ / \sim.$$

Let p denote the natural projection $p: (E \oplus E^*)_+ \rightarrow P(E \oplus E^*)$. We define the vector fields \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} in $E \oplus E^*$ by $\mathbf{n}_{x+\alpha} = x + \alpha, \mathbf{v}_{x+\alpha} = x - \alpha$, so that $J\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{v}$. The pseudosphere in $E \oplus E^*$ is defined as

$$S = \{x + \alpha \in (E \oplus E^*)_+ : \langle x + \alpha, x + \alpha \rangle = \alpha(x) = 1\}.$$

Then \mathbf{n} is the unit normal to S . We have a principal bundle $p: S \rightarrow P(E \oplus E^*)$ with group \mathbb{R}^+ . This group acts on the right upon S by $(x + \alpha)a = ax + a^{-1}\alpha$, for $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$. If S is given the pseudo-Riemannian metric induced by that of $E \oplus E^*$, then \mathbb{R}^+ acts on S by isometries. Thus, it induces a pseudo-Riemannian metric g on $P(E \oplus E^*)$ so that p is a pseudo-Riemannian submersion. The vector field \mathbf{v} , when restricted to S is parallel to the fibres of p . Therefore, a vector tangent to S is *p-horizontal* iff it is orthogonal to \mathbf{v} . Also, J passes to the quotient and gives an almost product structure J on $P(E \oplus E^*)$ such that $J^2 = 1$ and $g(JX, Y) = -g(X, JY)$. If $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $P(E \oplus E^*)$, then $\tilde{\nabla}J = 0$. Thus $P(E \oplus E^*)$ is a para-Kaehlerian manifold, and if $r > 1$ it is simply connected. Also, it has constant

para-holomorphic sectional curvature (equal to 4) [4], that is the Riemann-Christoffel tensor field is given by

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = & g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, JZ)g(Y, JW) \\ & + g(X, JW)g(Y, JZ) - 2g(X, JY)g(Z, JW). \end{aligned}$$

where we define the Riemann-Christoffel tensor field by

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W)$$

and the curvature operator by $R(X, Y) = \nabla_{[X, Y]} - [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]$.

We shall study (regular) pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds of $P(E \oplus E^*)$, that is imbedded submanifolds $i: V \rightarrow P(E \oplus E^*)$ such that i^*g is non-degenerate. Let $1 < s = \dim V$. If $m \in V$ then we shall put

$$\mathcal{N}_m = (T_m V)^\perp, \quad \mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{m \in V} \mathcal{N}_m.$$

Thus $T_m P(E \oplus E^*) = T_m V \perp \mathcal{N}_m$, and we shall denote by τ and ν the corresponding projectors to $T_m V$ and \mathcal{N}_m . Let $P = \tau \circ J$, $Q = \nu \circ J$. Then if $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(V)$ and $\eta, \mu \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ we have $g(X, PY) = -g(PX, Y)$, $g(Q\eta, \mu) = -g(\eta, Q\mu)$, and if ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on V we put

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y &= \tau \tilde{\nabla}_X Y, & \alpha(X, Y) &= \nu \tilde{\nabla}_X Y, \\ A_\eta X &= -\tau \tilde{\nabla}_X \eta, & D_X \eta &= \nu \tilde{\nabla}_X \eta. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$g(A_\eta X, Y) = g(\alpha(X, Y), \eta).$$

We say that V is *totally umbilical* iff there exists $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ such that

$$(2) \quad \alpha(X, Y) = g(X, Y)\xi$$

for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(V)$. Then, ξ is called the *normal curvature vector field*.

3. TOTALLY UMBILICAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF $P(E \oplus E^*)$
EITHER ARE TOTALLY GEODESIC OR HAVE CONSTANT CURVATURE

In the following, V will be a totally umbilical pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ with normal curvature vector field ξ . Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(V)$. Codazzi's equation [11, Vol. II, p. 25] reads

$$-\nu\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = (\hat{\nabla}_X\alpha)(Y, Z) - (\hat{\nabla}_Y\alpha)(X, Z),$$

where $\hat{\nabla}\alpha$ is defined by

$$(\hat{\nabla}_X\alpha)(Y, Z) = D_X(\alpha(Y, Z)) - \alpha(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \alpha(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

Having in mind (2), that is

$$(\hat{\nabla}_X\alpha)(Y, Z) = D_X(g(Y, Z)\xi) - g(\nabla_X Y, Z)\xi - g(Y, \nabla_X Z)\xi = g(Y, Z)D_X\xi.$$

Then, Codazzi's equation is

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} g(X, PZ)g(Y, P\eta) - g(Y, PZ)g(X, P\eta) + 2g(X, PY)g(Z, P\eta) \\ = g(Y, Z)g(D_X\xi, \eta) - g(X, Z)g(D_Y\xi, \eta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$.

Let R_D be the curvature of the connection D in \mathcal{N} . Then Ricci's equation [15, Vol. 4, p. 60] is

$$\nu\tilde{R}(X, Y)\eta = R_D(X, Y)\eta - \alpha(A_\eta X, Y) + \alpha(A_\eta Y, X).$$

Since $g(A_\eta X, Y) = g(\alpha(X, Y), \eta) = g(X, Y)g(\xi, \eta)$, we have $A_\eta X = g(\xi, \eta)X$ and $\alpha(A_\eta X, Y) = g(\xi, \eta)g(X, Y)\xi$. Ricci's equation reduces thus to

$$(4) \quad \nu\tilde{R}(X, Y)\eta = R_D(X, Y)\eta.$$

We take the trace of (3) in the arguments X, Z . Let $\{e_i\}$ be a g -orthonormal local reference for V , in the sense that $e_i \in \mathcal{X}(U)$, $U \subset V$, $g(e_i, e_j) = \varepsilon_i\delta_{ij}$, $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^s \varepsilon_i \left(g(e_i, Pe_i)g(Y, P\eta) - g(Y, Pe_i)g(e_i, P\eta) + 2g(e_i, PY)g(e_i, P\eta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g(Y, e_i)g(D_{e_i}\xi, \eta) + g(e_i, e_i)g(D_Y\xi, \eta) \right) \\ &= (s-1)g(D_Y\xi, \eta) - 3g(QPY, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g|_{\mathcal{N}}$ is non-degenerate and $\eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$ is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$(5) \quad D_Y \xi = \frac{3}{s-1} QPY.$$

If we bring (5) to (3), we get

$$(6) \quad g(X, PZ)g(Y, P\eta) - g(Y, PZ)g(X, P\eta) + 2g(X, PY)g(Z, P\eta) \\ + \frac{3}{s-1}(g(Y, Z)g(PX, P\eta) - g(X, Z)g(PY, P\eta)) = 0.$$

If we put $Y = Z$, then

$$(7) \quad g(X, PZ)g(Z, P\eta) + \frac{1}{s-1}(g(Z, Z)g(PX, P\eta) - g(X, Z)g(PZ, P\eta)) = 0.$$

Since X is arbitrary and i^*g is non-degenerate, we have

$$g(Z, P\eta)PZ - \frac{1}{s-1}g(Z, Z)P^2\eta - \frac{1}{s-1}g(PZ, P\eta)Z = 0.$$

Finally, we put $Z = P\eta$, and have

$$(8) \quad (s-2)g(P\eta, P\eta)P^2\eta = 0$$

for any $\eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$. Thus, it is clear that we must separate the case $s = 2$ from the others. Assume first that $s > 2$. Then, (8) reads $g(P\eta, P\eta)P^2\eta = 0$ for any $\eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$. Assume that we have chosen such a field η and that in some open subset U of the submanifold V we have $P^2\eta \neq 0$. Then $g(P\eta, P\eta) = 0$ in U . Putting $Y = P\eta$ in (6) we obtain

$$g(P^2\eta, Z)g(P\eta, X) + \frac{2s-5}{s-1}g(P\eta, Z)g(P^2\eta, X) = 0.$$

Since X, Z are arbitrary, we conclude that

$$P^2\eta \otimes P\eta + \frac{2s-5}{s-1}P\eta \otimes P^2\eta = 0.$$

This implies that $P\eta$ and $P^2\eta$ are linearly dependent, but this is absurd because $1 + (2s-5)/(s-1) = 3(s-2)/(s-1) \neq 0$ and $P^2\eta \neq 0$. Therefore we have proved that $P^2\eta = 0$ for every $\eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$. Then, by (7) we have $g(P\eta, Z)g(PX, Z) = 0$, and by polarization $g(P\eta, Y)g(PX, Z) + g(P\eta, Z)g(PX, Y) = 0$, from which

$$(9) \quad P\eta \otimes PX + PX \otimes P\eta = 0.$$

Lemma 1. Let V be a totally umbilical pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ with $s = \dim V > 2$ and let ξ be its normal curvature vector field. Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(V)$ and $\eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$. Then:

- (i) $\nu \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = 0$;
- (ii) $D_X \xi = 0$;
- (iii) $\tilde{R}(X, Y, \eta, \xi) = 0$.

Proof. From (9) we see that at each point $m \in V$ we have that either $P(T_m V) = 0$ or $P(\mathcal{N}_m) = 0$. Then if we multiply (5) by η we have

$$g(D_Y \xi, \eta) = -\frac{3}{s-1}g(PY, P\eta) = 0,$$

and (ii) follows. Then the right hand side of Codazzi's equation vanishes identically and this is (i). From (ii) we have $R_D(X, Y)\xi = 0$. Hence, by (4) we have (iii). \square

Assume now that $s = \dim V = 2$. Let $m \in V$ and let v_m, w_m be an orthonormal base of $T_m V$, that is $g(v_m, v_m) = a, g(w_m, w_m) = b, g(v_m, w_m) = 0, a^2 = b^2 = 1$. For u in a neighborhood of 0, let $\gamma(u)$ be the geodesic in V with initial condition (m, w_m) . Let $v(u)$ be the V -parallel displacement of v_m along γ . Let $t \mapsto \varphi(t, u)$ be the geodesic in V with initial condition $(\gamma(u), v(u))$. We thus have a local chart $(t, u) \mapsto \varphi(t, u)$ of V defined in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We define two local vector fields v, w as follows: if $m_1 = \varphi(t_1, u_1)$, then we put

$$v_{m_1} = \left. \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right|_{(t_1, u_1)}$$

and w_{m_1} is defined as the V -parallel displacement of $\dot{\gamma}(u_1)$ along the curve $t \mapsto \varphi(t, u_1)$ up to the point m_1 . By this construction, it is clear that $g(v, v) = a, g(w, w) = b, g(v, w) = 0$, and that

$$\nabla_v v = 0, \quad \nabla_v w = 0, \quad (\nabla_w v) \circ \gamma = 0, \quad (\nabla_w w) \circ \gamma = 0.$$

Let us call $f = g(v, Jw)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} QPv &= Q(\tau Jv) = Q(ag(v, Jv)v + bg(w, Jv)w) \\ &= -bfQw = -bf(Jw - ag(v, Jw)v) = bf(afv - Jw), \\ QPw &= af(Jv + bfw), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_v v &= \nabla_v v + \alpha(v, v) = a\xi, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_v w = g(v, w)\xi = 0, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_v \xi &= -A_\xi v + D_v \xi = -g(\xi, \xi)v + 3QPv = -g(\xi, \xi)v + 3bf(afv - Jw), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_w \xi &= -g(\xi, \xi)w + 3af(Jv + bfw), \\ (\tilde{\nabla}_w w) \circ \gamma &= b\xi \circ \gamma, \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_w v) \circ \gamma = 0, \\ v(f) &= \tilde{\nabla}_v g(v, Jw) = ag(\xi, Jw), \quad w(f) \circ \gamma = bg(v, J\xi) \circ \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, as computation shows,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{R}(v, w)\xi) \circ \gamma = & \left(-3g(v, J\xi)Jw + 3g(w, J\xi)Jv \right. \\ & \left. - 6g(v, Jw)J\xi + 12f(ag(J\xi, v)v + bg(J\xi, w)w) \right) \circ \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whereas by (1) we have

$$\tilde{R}(v, w)\xi = g(v, J\xi)Jw - g(w, J\xi)Jv + 2g(v, Jw)J\xi.$$

Therefore

$$\left(g(J\xi, w)Jv - g(J\xi, v)Jw - 2g(v, Jw)J\xi + 3f(ag(J\xi, v)v + bg(J\xi, w)w) \right) \circ \gamma = 0.$$

If we apply J and then make the inner product by v we have along γ :

$$ag(J\xi, w) + 3bfg(J\xi, w)g(v, Jw) = g(J\xi, w)(a + 3bf^2) = 0.$$

Assume that $g(J\xi, w)_m \neq 0$. Then, $f \circ \gamma$ is constant in a neighborhood of 0 and equal to $\sqrt{-\frac{1}{3}ab}$. But then, by the preceding formulae, we would have $d(f \circ \gamma)/du = w(f) \circ \gamma = bg(v, J\xi) \circ \gamma = 0$ in that neighborhood. In particular, $g(J\xi, v)_m = 0$. Then $P\xi_m = bg(J\xi, w)_m w_m$. Since f is real we have that $-ab$ is positive, so that $a = -b$. Let c be an arbitrary real number and put $v'_m = v_m \cosh c + w_m \sinh c$, $w'_m = v_m \sinh c + w_m \cosh c$. Then $g(v'_m, v'_m) = a$, $g(w'_m, w'_m) = b$, $g(v'_m, w'_m) = 0$, so that we have another orthonormal base of $T_m V$. Then $P\xi_m = ag(J\xi_m, v'_m)v'_m + bg(J\xi_m, w'_m)w'_m = g(J\xi, w)_m(v'_m a \sinh c + w'_m b \cosh c)$. If $c \neq 0$ we have an orthonormal base of $T_m V$ on which both components of $P\xi_m$ are non-zero. Since the whole construction could have been done starting from the new base, we have reached a contradiction. We conclude that $g(J\xi, w)_m = g(J\xi, v)_m = 0$ and as a consequence, if $\xi_m \neq 0$ one has moreover $g(v, Jw)_m = 0$. Since m is arbitrary, the same holds in the whole V . Then, if $\xi \neq 0$, we have $f = 0$, $D\xi = 0$, $J(TV) \subset \mathcal{N}$, $J\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$, $\nu\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = 0$, $\tilde{R}(X, Y, \eta, \xi) = 0$ and $g(\xi, \xi)$ is constant.

Theorem 2. *Let V be a connected totally umbilical pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ with $\dim V > 1$ and let \mathcal{N} be the bundle orthogonal to TV . Then, either V is totally geodesic or $J(TV) \subset \mathcal{N}$ and in this case V is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature.*

Proof. Let $s > 2$. Then, we put

$$\mathcal{A} = \{m \in V : (P \circ \nu)|_{T_m P(E \oplus E^*)} = 0\}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \{m \in V : (P \circ \tau)|_{T_m P(E \oplus E^*)} = 0\}.$$

Clearly, these subsets are closed in V . By (9), $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = V$. If $m \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$, then $P = \tau \circ J = 0$ on $T_m P(E \oplus E^*)$, and this is absurd because J is an isomorphism. Then $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \emptyset$, and therefore either $\mathcal{A} = V$ or $\mathcal{B} = V$. Assume that $\mathcal{A} = V$. Then, by (1) and Lemma 1, (iii) we have

$$(10) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y, \eta, \xi) = -2g(X, JY)g(\eta, J\xi) = 2g(X, JY)g(J\eta, \xi) = 0.$$

Now $g(J\eta, X) = g(P\eta, X) = 0$, whence $J(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{N}$. Then, applying (10) to $J\eta$ instead of η , and having in mind that X, Y are arbitrary, we conclude that $g(\eta, \xi) = 0$, that is $\xi = 0$, and so V is totally geodesic.

Thus, assume that $\mathcal{B} = V$. Then $J(TV) \subset \mathcal{N}$. By Gauss' equation we have directly

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z, W) &= \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) + g(\alpha(X, Z), \alpha(Y, W)) - g(\alpha(Y, Z), \alpha(X, W)) \\ &= (1 + l)(g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(X, W)), \end{aligned}$$

where $l = g(\xi, \xi)$, which by Lemma 1, (ii), is a constant. The same results hold obviously when $s = 2$. □

4. PARALLELS AS TOTALLY UMBILICAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF $P(E \oplus E^*)$

Let F, Λ be subspaces of E and E^* , respectively, such that the pairing $F \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $(x, \alpha) \mapsto \alpha(x)$ is non-degenerate. Let $f: F \rightarrow \Lambda$ be an isomorphism such that $f(x, y) \equiv f(x)(y) = f(y, x)$ for any $x, y \in F$. We shall use the following notation

$$F^\perp = \{\alpha \in E^* : \alpha(x) = 0, \text{ if } x \in F\}, \quad \Lambda^\perp = \{x \in E : \alpha(x) = 0, \text{ if } \alpha \in \Lambda\}.$$

We put

$$\Sigma = \{x \in F : f(x, x) = a\}, \quad 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R},$$

and consider it as a pseudo-Riemannian sphere defined by the pseudo-Riemannian metric f on F . Let $x_0 + \alpha_0$ be some fixed element of $E \oplus E^*$ such that

$$(11) \quad \alpha_0 \in F^\perp, \quad x_0 \in \Lambda^\perp, \quad \alpha_0(x_0) + a = 1.$$

We map F into $E \oplus E^*$ by means of $j: F \rightarrow E \oplus E^*$ defined by

$$j(x) = x + x_0 + f(x) + \alpha_0.$$

It is clear that since $j_*(X) = X + f(X)$, j is an isometry. Let $x \in \Sigma$; then $\langle j(x), j(x) \rangle = f(x, x + x_0) + \alpha_0(x + x_0) = a + \alpha_0(x_0) = 1$. Thus, $j(\Sigma) \subset S$. Also, if $X \in T_x \Sigma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j_*(X), \mathbf{v}_{j(x)} \rangle &= \langle X + f(X), x + x_0 - f(x) - \alpha_0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (f(X, x + x_0) - f(x, X) - \alpha_0(X)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $X \in F$. Therefore, $j_*(X)$ is p -horizontal and, as a consequence, $p \circ j: \Sigma \rightarrow P(E \oplus E^*)$ is an isometry. Let us prove that $V = p(j(\Sigma))$ is a totally umbilical submanifold of $P(E \oplus E^*)$.

Let $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{X}(j(\Sigma))$. Then \tilde{X} is p -horizontal and there are fields $X \in \mathcal{X}(V)$, $\hat{X} \in \mathcal{X}(\Sigma)$ such that

$$j_* \circ \hat{X} = \tilde{X} \circ j, \quad p_* \circ \tilde{X} = X \circ p, \quad j(\hat{X}, \hat{X}) = \langle \tilde{X}, \tilde{X} \rangle \circ j = g(X, X) \circ p \circ j.$$

We shall also consider fields \hat{Y}, \tilde{Y}, Y with the analogous properties. We denote by $\hat{X}(\hat{Y})$ and $\tilde{X}(\tilde{Y})$ the canonical covariant derivative in E and in $E \oplus E^*$. Let $\nabla^\Sigma, \nabla^S, \tilde{\nabla}, \nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connections in $\Sigma, S, P(E \oplus E^*)$ and V , respectively. We have

$$\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^S \tilde{Y} = \tilde{X}(\tilde{Y}) + \langle \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \rangle \mathbf{n}.$$

Also, $\langle \tilde{X}(\tilde{Y}), \mathbf{v} \rangle \circ j = -\langle \tilde{Y}, \tilde{X}(v) \rangle \circ j = -\langle \tilde{Y}, J\tilde{X} \rangle \circ j = -\langle \hat{Y} + f(\hat{Y}), \hat{X} - f(\hat{X}) \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} (f(\hat{Y}, \hat{X}) - f(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})) = 0$. Since \mathbf{n} is also orthogonal to \mathbf{v} , we have that $\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^S \tilde{Y}$ is p -horizontal. Let $x(t) \in \Sigma$ be an integral curve of \hat{X} ; then, $j(x(t))$ is an integral curve of \tilde{X} . If $x = x(0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{X}(\tilde{Y}))_{j(x)} &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \tilde{Y}_{j(x(t))} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} j_* \hat{Y}_{x(t)} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (\hat{Y}_{x(t)} + f(\hat{Y}_{x(t)})) \\ &= \left(\hat{X}(\hat{Y}) + f(\hat{X}(\hat{Y})) \right)_x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $H\tilde{U}$ denotes the p -horizontal part of $\tilde{U} \in \mathcal{X}(S)$, we have

$$(H\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^S \tilde{Y}) \circ j = \hat{X}(\hat{Y}) + f(\hat{X}(\hat{Y})) + f(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})(\mathbf{n} \circ j).$$

Since $p: S \rightarrow P(E \oplus E^*)$ is a pseudo-Riemannian submersion, we know [13, p. 212] that

$$p_* \circ (H\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^S \tilde{Y}) = (\tilde{\nabla}_X Y) \circ p.$$

Therefore

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y) \circ p \circ j = p_* \circ \left(\hat{X}(\hat{Y}) + f(\hat{X}(\hat{Y})) + f(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})(\mathbf{n} \circ j) \right).$$

On the other hand, since $p \circ j: \Sigma \rightarrow V$ is an isometry, we have

$$(p \circ j)_* \nabla_{\hat{X}}^\Sigma \hat{Y} = (\nabla_X Y) \circ p \circ j.$$

Since $(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y) \circ p \circ j = (\nu \tilde{\nabla}_X Y) \circ p \circ j = \alpha(X, Y) \circ p \circ j$ defines the second fundamental form of V , we need only to calculate $\nabla_{\hat{X}}^\Sigma \hat{Y}$. But as it is well known about pseudo-spheres, we have

$$\nabla_{\hat{X}}^\Sigma \hat{Y} = \hat{X}(\hat{Y}) - \frac{1}{a} f(\hat{X}(\hat{Y}), \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} = \hat{X}(\hat{Y}) + \frac{1}{a} f(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}) \mathbf{x},$$

where \mathbf{x} denotes the vector field whose value at x is x . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(X, Y) \circ p \circ j &= p_* \circ \left(\hat{X}(\hat{Y}) + f(\hat{X}(\hat{Y})) + f(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})(\mathbf{n} \circ j) - \hat{X}(\hat{Y}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f(\hat{X}(\hat{Y})) - f(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}) \frac{\mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{x})}{a} \right) \\ &= (g(X, Y) \circ p \circ j) p_* \circ \left(\frac{a-1}{a} (\mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{x})) + x_0 + \alpha_0 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and this proves that $V = p(j(\Sigma))$ is a totally umbilical submanifold of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ with normal curvature vector field ξ given by $\xi \circ p \circ j = p_* \left(\frac{a-1}{a} (\mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{x})) + x_0 + \alpha_0 \right)$.

We have

$$\left\langle \frac{a-1}{a} (\mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{x})) + x_0 + \alpha_0, \mathbf{v} \right\rangle \circ j = \left\langle \frac{a-1}{a} (\mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{x})) + x_0 + \alpha_0, \mathbf{x} - f(\mathbf{x}) + x_0 - \alpha_0 \right\rangle = 0$$

because of (11). Thus, $\frac{a-1}{a} (\mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{x})) + x_0 + \alpha_0$ is p -horizontal. Hence

$$l = g(\xi, \xi) = \left\langle \frac{a-1}{a} (\mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{x})) + x_0 + \alpha_0, \frac{a-1}{a} (\mathbf{x} + f(\mathbf{x})) + x_0 + \alpha_0 \right\rangle = \frac{1-a}{a}.$$

Let us suppose that $l = 0$. Then $a = 1$ and by (11) we have $\alpha_0(x_0) = 0$. Hence, if $\dim F^\perp = \text{codim } F = 1$, the vector field ξ , which in this case would be given by $\xi \circ p = p_*(x_0 + \alpha_0)$, must be an eigen-vector field of J . In fact, the assumption $x_0 \neq 0$ would imply then that $F \oplus \mathbb{R}x_0 = E$. Since $\alpha_0 \in F^\perp$ and $\alpha_0(x_0) = 0$, we conclude $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $J\xi = \xi$. If $x_0 = 0$, then $J\xi = -\xi$.

Note that $a = 1/(1+l)$. Therefore, this construction cannot yield the case $l = -1$. To deal with it, let $0 \neq z \in F$ be such that $f(z, z) = 0$ and put $\mu = f(z)$. We put

$$\Sigma = \{x \in F: f(x, x) = 1, \mu(x) = 1\}.$$

If $x \in \Sigma$ and $v \in T_x F$, then $v \in T_x \Sigma$ iff $f(x, v) = \mu(v) = 0$, so that x, z span the orthogonal space to $T_x \Sigma$ in F . The orthogonal projection of a vector $v \in T_x F$ upon $T_x \Sigma$ is given by $v \mapsto v + (\mu(v) - f(x, v))z - \mu(v)x$. Then, if $\hat{X}, \hat{Y} \in \mathcal{X}(\Sigma)$, we have

$$\nabla_{\hat{X}}^\Sigma \hat{Y} = \hat{X}(\hat{Y}) + \left(\mu(\hat{X}(\hat{Y})) - f(\mathbf{x}, \hat{X}(\hat{Y})) \right) z - \mu(\hat{X}(\hat{Y})) \mathbf{x} = \hat{X}(\hat{Y}) + f(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})z,$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}, \hat{X}(\hat{Y})) &= \hat{X}(f(\mathbf{x}, \hat{Y})) - f(\hat{X}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{Y}) = -f(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}), \\ \mu(\hat{X}(\hat{Y})) &= \hat{X}(\mu(\hat{Y})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We map Σ into S by

$$j(x) = x + f(x).$$

As in the other case, this is an isometry and $j(\Sigma)$ is p -horizontal, so that $p \circ j$ is an isometry. The only change in the computations lies in the connection ∇^Σ . By using its new formula, we have immediately with the same notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(X, Y) \circ p \circ j &= p_* \circ \left(\hat{X}(\hat{Y}) + f(\hat{X}(\hat{Y})) + f(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})(\mathbf{n} \circ j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \hat{X}(\hat{Y}) - f(\hat{X}(\hat{Y})) - f(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})(z + f(z)) \right) \\ &= (g(X, Y) \circ p \circ j) p_* \circ (x - z + f(x) - \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $p(j(\Sigma))$ is a totally umbilical submanifold of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ with normal curvature vector field given by $\xi \circ p \circ j = p_* \circ (x - z + f(x) - \mu)$. We have $\langle x - z + f(x) - \mu, \mathbf{v}_{j(x)} \rangle = -\langle z + \mu, x - f(x) \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}(-\mu(x) + \mu(x)) = 0$. Therefore $l = g(\xi, \xi) = (f(x) - \mu)(x - z) = 1 - \mu(x) - \mu(x) = -1$, as desired. We shall call *parallels of $P(E \oplus E^*)$* the totally umbilical submanifolds defined in this section.

5. CONSTRUCTION OF ALL THE TOTALLY UMBILICAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF $P(E \oplus E^*)$

Until near the end, we shall assume in this section that V is a non totally geodesic, totally umbilical submanifold of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ so that $\xi \neq 0$. First of all we shall prove that the inclusion $J(TV) \subset \mathcal{N}$ is strict. From (1), we have now, for $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(V)$ and $\eta, \mu \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$, that

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y, \eta, \mu) = g(JX, \mu)g(JY, \eta) - g(JX, \eta)g(JY, \mu),$$

and this is zero if $\mu = \xi$, that is

$$(12) \quad g(JX, \xi)g(JY, \eta) - g(JX, \eta)g(JY, \xi) = 0.$$

Assume that $J(TV) = \mathcal{N}$. Then we can put $\beta = JX$, $\mu = JY$ and consider that they are arbitrary sections of \mathcal{N} . Thus $g(\beta, \xi)g(\mu, \eta) - g(\beta, \eta)g(\mu, \xi) = 0$, that is

$\eta \otimes \xi = \xi \otimes \eta$ for every $\eta \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$, and this would imply $s = \dim V = \text{rank } \mathcal{N} = 1$, which is contrary to the assumption $s \geq 2$.

Now, we prove that $J\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$. In fact, since in (12) η is arbitrary we have $g(JX, \xi)JY - g(JY, \xi)JX = 0$. By multiplication by JX we get $g(JX, \xi)JX \wedge JY = 0$. Since J is an isomorphism and $s \geq 2$, this implies $g(JX, \xi) = -g(X, J\xi) = 0$, that is $J\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$. From this, we can prove that if ξ is not an eigen-vector field of J and $l = 0$ then $s \leq r - 1$. On these assumptions, let us consider the subbundle of \mathcal{N} generated by $J(TV)$, ξ and $J\xi$, and suppose that there is some vector in the intersection of $J(TV)$ with the subbundle generated by ξ and $J\xi$, namely $JX = a\xi + bJ\xi$, with $X \in TV$. Then $X = aJ\xi + b\xi$, whence $X = 0$. Therefore $\text{rank } \mathcal{N} = 2r - s \geq s + 2$. Now, $g(\xi, J\xi) = g(\xi, \xi) = g(\xi, JX) = -g(J\xi, X) = 0$ for every $X \in TV$. The equal sign in $2r - s \geq s + 2$ would then imply that $g|_{\mathcal{N}}$ be degenerate, for ξ would be orthogonal to the whole \mathcal{N} ; so, $2r - s > s + 2$, that is $s < r - 1$.

The identity tensor field I can be decomposed into two projectors on the eigenspaces of J as $I = \frac{1}{2}(I + J) + \frac{1}{2}(I - J)$. Let $\pi_1 = \frac{1}{2}(I + J)|_{TV}$, $\pi_2 = \frac{1}{2}(I - J)|_{TV}$, $v \in T_mV$ and suppose that $\pi_1(v) = 0$. Then $Jv = -v \in \mathcal{N}_m \cap T_mV$, whence $v = 0$. Thus, if $M_1 = \pi_1(TV)$ and $M_2 = \pi_2(TV)$, we have that $\pi_1: TV \rightarrow M_1$ and $\pi_2: TV \rightarrow M_2$ are isomorphisms. Let h be the isomorphism $h: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ given by $h = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$. We claim that

$$T_mV = \{v + hv: v \in (M_1)_m\}.$$

In fact, if $v \in (M_1)_m$, then $v = \pi_1 w$, for some $w \in T_mV$. Thus $w = \pi_1 w + \pi_2 w = \pi_1 w + \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1} \circ \pi_1 w = \pi_1 w + h(\pi_1 w) = v + hv$. Moreover, h is self-adjoint, that is $g(hX, Y) = g(X, hY)$ for every $X, Y \in \Gamma(M_1)$, and the bilinear symmetric tensor field given by $X, Y \in \Gamma(M_1) \mapsto g(hX, Y)$ is non-degenerate at each point. To show this, we note that since $Y + hY \in \mathcal{X}(V)$ we have $J(Y + hY) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$, that is $g(X + hX, J(Y + hY)) = g(X + hX, Y - hY) = g(hX, Y) - g(X, hY) = 0$. Also, $g(X + hX, Y + hY) = 2g(hX, Y)$ by the above result, and the non-degeneracy of this bilinear symmetric tensor field follows from that of i^*g .

Let $l + 1 \neq 0$, so that V is not flat. Then, given a point $m = p(y + \beta) \in V$, with $y + \beta \in S$, we want to show that there is some parallel of $P(E \oplus E^*)$, $j(\Sigma)$, defined as in Section 4, that passes by m having T_mV as tangent space at m and ξ_m as normal curvature vector at m . With the notations of Section 4, we want to determine x, x_0 ,

$f(x)$, α_0 , a such that if $u + \gamma$ is the p -horizontal lift of ξ_m to $y + \beta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x + x_0 + f(x) + \alpha_0 &= y + \beta, \\ \frac{a-1}{a}x + x_0 + \frac{a-1}{a}f(x) + \alpha_0 &= u + \gamma, \\ \frac{1-a}{a} &= l. \end{aligned}$$

The solution of this system is the following

$$a = \frac{1}{1+l}, \quad x = \frac{y-u}{1+l}, \quad x_0 = \frac{ly+u}{1+l}, \quad f(x) = \frac{\beta-\gamma}{1+l}, \quad \alpha_0 = \frac{l\beta+\gamma}{1+l}.$$

We have

$$\beta(y) = 1, \quad \gamma(u) = l, \quad \gamma(y) = 0, \quad \beta(u) = 0,$$

formulae that express that $y + \beta \in S$, $g(\xi, \xi) = l$, $u + \gamma \in T_{y+\beta}S$ and $u + \gamma$ is p -horizontal. Let the superscript H denote the p -horizontal lift of T_mV to $T_{y+\beta}S$. This lift preserves J and the inner product. Thus, as before we can see that $(T_mV)^H = \{v + f(v) : v \in M_1^H \equiv \pi_1((T_mV)^H)\}$, where $f = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$ with the obvious meaning. We put $F = M_1^H + \mathbb{R}x$.

The formula for $f(x)$, that until now was just a form, gives $f(x)(x) = (\beta - \gamma)(y - u)/(1 + l)^2 = 1/(1 + l) = a$. Also, $f(x)(v) = 0$ if $v \in M_1^H$. In fact, we have then $v + f(v) \in (T_mV)^H$. But $\xi, J\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{N})$, whence $\langle v + f(v), u + \gamma \rangle = f(v)(u) + \gamma(v) = 0$ and $\langle v + f(v), u - \gamma \rangle = f(v)(u) - \gamma(v) = 0$. Therefore $f(v)(u) = \gamma(v) = 0$, and $f(x)(v) = (\beta(v) - \gamma(v))/(1 + l) = \beta(v)/(1 + l)$ and this is zero. In fact, $v + f(v)$ is p -horizontal and tangent to S , so that $\langle v + f(v), y + \beta \rangle = \langle v + f(v), y - \beta \rangle = 0$, whence $\beta(v) = f(v)(y) = 0$. As a consequence, $f(x)$ allows us to extend f to F by putting $f(x, x) = f(x)(x) = a$, $f(x, v) = f(x)(v) = 0$, and we have $x \in \Sigma$, with Σ defined as in the preceding section. To complete our construction, we need to show that $\alpha_0 \in F^\perp$, $x_0 \in f(F)^\perp$, and this is easily done using the same techniques used for proving that $f(x)(v) = 0$.

Let $l + 1 = 0$, so that V is flat. Now, we want to determine $x, z, f(x), \mu$ such that if $u + \gamma$ is the p -horizontal lift of ξ_m to $y + \beta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x + f(x) &= y + \beta, \\ x - z + f(x) - \mu &= u + \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, this implies

$$z = y - u, \quad x = y, \quad \mu = \beta - \gamma, \quad f(x) = \beta.$$

F and f are defined as before. Then, $f(x)(x) = \beta(y) = 1$, $\mu(z) = (\beta - \gamma)(y - u) = 1 - 1 = 0$. As in the other case, one can easily verify that this construction gives the desired parallel of $P(E \oplus E^*)$.

Theorem 3. *Let V be a connected totally umbilical pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ with $s = \dim V > 1$ and assume that it is not totally geodesic. Then, V is contained in a parallel of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ of the same dimension.*

Proof. As proved above, if $m \in V$, there is a parallel of $P(E \oplus E^*)$, $p(j(\Sigma))$, that passes by m having $T_m V$ as tangent space at m and ξ_m as normal curvature vector at m . Let γ be a geodesic of V . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} &= \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} + g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})(\xi \circ \gamma) = g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})(\xi \circ \gamma), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}}\xi &= D_{\dot{\gamma}}\xi - A_{\xi}\dot{\gamma} = -l\dot{\gamma}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we put $\chi = \xi \circ \gamma$, we have a curve χ in $TP(E \oplus E^*)$, with projection γ on $P(E \oplus E^*)$, that satisfies the following differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} &= g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})\chi, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}}\chi &= -l\dot{\gamma},\end{aligned}$$

where l is a constant. To convince oneself that this is a well posed system of ordinary differential equations, we can write it locally as

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{x}^i + (\Gamma_{jk}^i \circ \gamma)\dot{x}^j\dot{x}^k - (g_{jk} \circ \gamma)\dot{x}^j\dot{x}^k\chi^i &= 0, \\ \dot{\chi}^i + (\Gamma_{jk}^i \circ \gamma)\chi^j\dot{x}^k + l\dot{x}^i &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since the geodesics of both V and $p(j(\Sigma))$ starting from m satisfy the same system with the same initial conditions, we conclude that there is some open neighborhood of m where both submanifolds coincide. By a standard argument, we have our claim. \square

As for totally geodesic submanifolds of $P(E \oplus E^*)$, we can separate them in three classes [6]. First, totally geodesic submanifolds with a degenerate metric i^*g , which are of no interest here in the context of umbilical pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds.

The second consists of the paracomplex projective subspaces. Let $E = F \oplus G$ be a splitting of E in two subspaces, and let $E^* = F^* \oplus G^*$ be the corresponding splitting for E^* . Then, the inclusion $i: F \oplus F^* \rightarrow E \oplus E^*$ passes to the quotient and gives the paracomplex projective subspace $P(F \oplus F^*) \hookrightarrow P(E \oplus E^*)$, which is a totally geodesic pseudo-Riemannian submanifold.

Submanifolds V of the third class are such that for each point $m \in V$, $T_m V = \{v + h_m v : v \in (T_m V)_1\}$, where $(T_m V)_1 = (I + J)(T_m V)$ and h_m is a symmetric isomorphism from $(T_m V)_1$ to $(T_m V)_2 = (I - J)(T_m V)$. These are parallels of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ given by the preceding formulae for the non-flat case when $\xi = 0$. Then $l = 0$, $a = 1$, $x_0 + \alpha_0 = 0$, and $p \circ j : \Sigma \rightarrow P(E \oplus E^*)$ is a totally geodesic isometric immersion of the pseudo-Riemannian sphere $\Sigma = \{x \in F : f(x, x) = 1\}$. Let us call *meridians* these submanifolds $p(j(\Sigma))$.

Theorem 4. *Let V be a connected totally umbilical pseudo-Riemannian submanifold of the paracomplex projective space $P(E \oplus E^*)$ with $s = \dim V > 1$. Then:*

- (1) *If V is not totally geodesic, it is contained in a parallel of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ of the same dimension s , and then V has constant sectional curvature.*
- (2) *If V is totally geodesic, then either it is contained in a paracomplex projective subspace $P(F \oplus F^*)$ of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ with $\dim F = \frac{1}{2}s + 1$ and then V has constant para-holomorphic sectional curvature, or it is contained in a meridian of $P(E \oplus E^*)$ of the same dimension s and then V has constant sectional curvature.*

References

- [1] C. Allamigeon: Espaces homogènes symétriques à groupe semi-simple. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 243 (1956), 121–123.
- [2] C. Bejan: Structuri hiperbolici pe diverse spatii fibratate. Ph. D. thesis Math. Iasi, 1990.
- [3] B. Y. Chen: Totally umbilical submanifolds. Soochow J. Math. 5 (1979), 9–37.
- [4] P. M. Gadea and A. Montesinos Amilibia: Spaces of constant para-holomorphic sectional curvature. Pacific Journal of Mathematics 136(1) (1989), 85–101.
- [5] P. M. Gadea and A. Montesinos Amilibia: Some geometric properties of para-Kaehlerian space forms. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Cagliari 59 (1989), 131–145.
- [6] P. M. Gadea and A. Montesinos Amilibia: The paracomplex projective spaces as symmetric and natural spaces. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. To appear.
- [7] P. M. Gadea and A. Montesinos Amilibia: The paracomplex projective model. The para-Grassmannian manifolds. "Jubilee volume Prof. J. J. Etayo Miqueo". Madrid, 1992, to appear.
- [8] P. M. Gadea and J. Muñoz Masqué: Classification of homogeneous para-Kaehlerian space forms. Nova J. Alg. Geom. To appear.
- [9] P. M. Gadea and J. Muñoz Masqué: Classification of nonflat para-Kaehlerian space forms. Preprint.
- [10] S. Kaneyuki and M. Kozai: Paracomplex structures and affine symmetric spaces. Tokyo J. Math. 8(1) (1985), 81–98.
- [11] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu: Foundations of differential geometry. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1969.
- [12] P. Libermann: Sur le problème d'équivalence de certaines structures infinitésimales. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 36(1) (1954), 27–120.
- [13] B. O'Neill: Semi-Riemannian geometry. Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [14] P. K. Rasevskii: The scalar field in a stratified space. Trudy Sem. Vekt. Tenz. Anal. 6 (1948), 225–248.

[15] *M. Spivak*: Differential geometry. Publish or Perish, Inc., Boston, 1975.

Authors' addresses: *P. M. Gadea*, Instituto de Matemáticas y Física Fundamental, C.S.I.C., Serrano 123, 28006 Madrid, Spain; *A. Montesinos Amilibia*, Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Valencia, Dr. Moliner 50, 46100 Burjasot (Valencia), Spain.