

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1057/CRR.2014.4

## **Towards a Conceptual Model of the Relationship between Corporate Trust and Corporate Reputation — [Source link](#)**

Adri W A J van der Merwe, Gustav Puth

**Institutions:** University of Pretoria

**Published on:** 14 May 2014 - Corporate Reputation Review (University of Pretoria)

**Topics:** Corporate communication, Corporate branding, Corporate governance, Corporate security and Stakeholder

Related papers:

- [Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error](#)
- [Public Trust's Duality in the CSP–Reputation-Financial Performance Relationship across Countries](#)
- [Why It Is Worth and What Is the Key to Support a Desired Corporate Reputation: A Review](#)
- [Generating Trust via Corporate Reputation](#)
- [Impact of Corporate Reputation Dimensions on Consumer Trust](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/towards-a-conceptual-model-of-the-relationship-between-39xvnta145>

**TOWARDS A CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF THE  
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CORPORATE TRUST  
AND CORPORATE REPUTATION**

by

Wesselina Andria Johanna van der Merwe

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

**PHD COMMUNICATION MANAGEMENT**

in the

FACULTY OF ECONOMIC AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES

at the

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

Supervisor:

Prof. Gustav Puth

**February 2013**

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis, which I submit for the degree PhD Communication Management in the Faculty of Economic and Management Sciences at the University of Pretoria, is my own work and has not been submitted by me for a degree at another university.

WAJ van der Merwe

11 February 2013

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To my heavenly Father God, for His endless love and the abundant grace and mercy that He continues to bestow on me – I am eternally grateful.

**I offer my heartfelt gratitude and appreciation:**

To my mom and late dad, Sheila and Coenraad van Blerk, for sacrificing so much to give me what they also should have had – a tertiary education;

To my husband, Chris, for teaching me to believe in myself, for his constant love, support and encouragement. Thank you for giving me the space and opportunity to grow in so many ways;

To my daughter, Jenni, for her love, inspiration, support and for being the pride of my life. Thank you for 'being of the understanding';

To my brothers and sisters, and Jannie in particular, for their selfless love and support of my studies;

To Vicky, Nicky, Jenna, Gryskat, Jamie, Grace and Phia – my constant companions through all the years of studying in the early hours of the morning;

To Francisca du Randt, for her assistance with the editing of this thesis and Megan Nolan, for her assistance with the graphics;

To the University of Pretoria, the academic staff in the Communication Division and the administrative staff in the Faculty of Economic and Management Sciences;

To my supervisor, Prof. Gustav Puth, who has been my mentor and trusted advisor through all the years that I have worked and studied with him, who has always believed in me and gently urged and guided me to extend myself.

**I am forever in your debt. Thank you.**

**Adri**

## ABSTRACT

This study endeavours to conceptualise the corporate trust construct and its relationship with corporate reputation more holistically, to address the current perceived lack of conceptual clarity of the relationship between these two constructs.

The key premise of this study is that a for-profit organisation's ability to generate sustainable wealth over time and ensure its own long-term economic sustainability is related to its relationship with its entire stakeholder network. Since an organisation is dependent on its stakeholders' approval, commitment and supportive behaviour, it is important to understand what influences and drives their perceptions and assessment of an organisation, and their decision to support it. This highlights the importance of understanding the relationship between corporate reputation and corporate trust.

For this purpose this researcher has developed a conceptual model founded on basic, theoretical research, with the aim of clarifying current and existing theory and providing a new theoretical perspective. A conceptual model is a simplified and systematic representation of reality, which is made explicit in some abstract form. The descriptive and explicative properties of a model delineate the complex elements of the system more clearly, which fosters systematic thinking and enhances understanding.

The model developed as the result of this study suggests an inverse direction to the generally accepted view in current literature of the relationship between corporate trust and corporate reputation. Where trust is usually regarded as an attribute or antecedent of corporate reputation, this study has conceptualised trust as an outcome of corporate reputation and as the more comprehensive construct in the relationship.

Corporate reputation has been conceptualised as being merely a means to an end – to earn stakeholders' trust and thus their commitment and continued support – and not as an end in itself.

Trustworthiness, and not trust, has been identified as the key driver of corporate reputation. Seven key areas in which an organisation should display its trustworthiness

have been identified. These are proposed as the new antecedents of corporate reputation in order to build a reputation that will lead to stakeholders' trust and support.

Finally, recommendations have been made and the areas requiring further research have been identified.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## CHAPTER 1

### ORIENTATION AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

1	INTRODUCTION: TITLE AND OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT .....	1
1.1	BACKGROUND: THE PROBLEM AND ITS SETTING .....	1
1.1.1	Problem orientation.....	4
1.1.2	Purpose statement.....	8
1.1.3	Methodological and theoretical orientation.....	8
1.1.4	Research objectives .....	10
1.1.5	Importance and potential contribution of the study .....	11
1.1.6	Delimitations and assumptions .....	14
2	DEFINITION OF KEY TERMS.....	16
2.1	KEY TERMS TO BE DEFINED.....	16
2.1.1	Trust.....	17
2.1.2	Trustworthiness .....	18
2.1.3	Corporate.....	18
2.1.4	Corporate trust.....	19
2.1.5	Stakeholders.....	20
2.1.6	Corporate sustainability .....	20
2.1.7	Corporate reputation.....	22
2.1.8	Corporate ethics .....	23
2.1.9	Corporate values .....	24
2.1.10	Corporate governance .....	24
2.1.11	Responsible corporate citizenship .....	25
3	TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	26
4	CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR LITERATURE REVIEW.....	26
4.1	OUTLINE OF CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND LITERATURE REVIEW.....	28

4.1.1	The meta-theoretical framework as overall conceptual foundation .....	28
4.1.2	The important role of and need for trust in a for-profit organisation .....	29
4.1.3	Trust and trust-building in a corporate environment.....	29
4.1.4	Corporate reputation, identity and the relationship with corporate trust.....	30
4.1.5	An overview of the content of Chapters 6, 7 and 8 .....	30
4.2	DEMARCATIION OF STUDY .....	31

## **CHAPTER 2**

### **THE META-THEORETICAL FOUNDATION: FROM SYSTEMS THEORY TO STAKEHOLDER THEORY**

1	INTRODUCTION: TRUST A PREREQUISITE FOR SUSTAINABLE SYSTEMIC BEHAVIOUR .....	32
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT .....	33
3	SYSTEMS THEORY AS MACRO-THEORETICAL FOUNDATION.....	36
3.1	OVERVIEW OF THE SYSTEMS THEORY DISCUSSION .....	36
3.2	BASIS OF THE GENERAL SYSTEMS THEORY .....	36
3.2.1	Definition of a system in systems theory.....	36
3.2.2	Classical versus functional systems theory.....	37
3.3	KEY CONCEPTS OF GENERAL SYSTEMS THEORY .....	37
3.3.1	Open versus closed systems.....	37
3.3.2	Structure of a system .....	38
3.3.3	Permeable boundaries of an open system.....	38
3.3.4	A social versus biological system as an open system .....	39
3.3.5	Transformative characteristics of an open, social system.....	40
3.4	AN ORGANISATION AS A COMPLEX SOCIAL SYSTEM .....	41
3.4.1	Organisational theory versus a theory of organisations .....	42
3.4.2	An organisation as a contrived, imperfect social system .....	42
3.4.3	Benefits and limitations of the theory of an organisation as a system.....	45



3.5	CONCLUSION: SYSTEMS THEORY AS MACRO-THEORY .....	46
4	THEORETICAL FOUNDATION FOR THE CORPORATE CONSTRUCT .....	46
4.1	OVERVIEW OF THE CORPORATE CONSTRUCT DISCUSSION .....	46
4.2	INTRODUCTION: THEORY OF ORGANISATIONS.....	47
4.3	THEORY OF BUREAUCRACY/ORGANISATION: EARLY THEORISTS .....	48
4.3.1	The bureaucratic organisation as a rational structure .....	48
4.3.2	Early foundations of the theory of organisations .....	50
4.3.3	A connection with societal issues: early theorists .....	54
4.3.4	Key implications of these early theorists for this study.....	55
4.3.5	Closing remarks on early organisational theorists .....	58
4.4	LATE TWENTIETH-CENTURY VIEWS ON THE THEORY OF ORGANISATIONS .....	58
4.4.1	A disconnection with societal issues: 1970s-1980s .....	59
4.4.2	A reconnection with societal issues: 1990 onwards.....	62
4.5	NEW STRUCTURALISM, ECONOMIC SOCIOLOGY AND LINK TO TRUST.....	64
4.5.1	Culture and institutional logics in new structuralism.....	64
4.5.2	Institutionalised values, norms and beliefs direct organisational action .....	65
4.5.3	New economic sociology .....	66
4.5.4	Organising process theory tradition .....	67
4.6	CONCLUSION: THEORETICAL BASIS FOR CORPORATE CONSTRUCT .....	68
5	THEORETICAL FOUNDATION FOR THE TRUST CONSTRUCT .....	69
5.1	OVERVIEW OF THE TRUST CONSTRUCT DISCUSSION .....	69
5.2	SOCIAL THEORY .....	70
5.2.1	Trust as a social event.....	70
5.2.2	Trust in an organisational environment.....	72
5.3	AN ORGANISATION AS A SOCIAL ACTOR.....	73

5.3.1	The external attribution assumption .....	74
5.3.2	The intentionality assumption .....	77
5.4	THEORY OF ACTION: A SPECIFIC APPROACH TO SOCIAL THEORY .....	79
5.4.1	The social versus the functional theory of action .....	80
5.4.2	Purposive actions of individuals and organisations.....	81
5.5	DECISION THEORY: A SPECIFIC APPROACH TO THE THEORY OF ACTION .....	81
5.5.1	Introduction to decision theory .....	81
5.5.2	Principles of decision theory and its relevance to organisational behaviour .....	82
5.5.3	Ethics as a guide to consistent organisational decisions and behaviour .....	84
5.5.4	Trust as the connecting link between theory of organisations and ethics .....	85
5.6	CONCLUSION: THEORETICAL BASIS FOR THE TRUST CONSTRUCT.....	86
6	THEORETICAL FOUNDATION FOR THE REPUTATION CONSTRUCT .....	87
6.1	OVERVIEW OF THE REPUTATION CONSTRUCT DISCUSSION.....	87
6.1.1	Legitimacy and identity of the organisation as social actor .....	88
6.1.2	Social identity theory and its link to corporate identity .....	90
6.1.3	Corporate identity as the backbone of corporate reputation .....	95
6.1.4	Manner in which messages and symbols are processed.....	98
6.2	CONCLUSION: THEORETICAL BASIS FOR THE REPUTATION CONSTRUCT .....	100
7	THEORETICAL FOUNDATION FOR THE STAKEHOLDER CONSTRUCT .....	104
7.1	OVERVIEW OF THE STAKEHOLDER THEORY DISCUSSION .....	104
7.2	OVERVIEW OF STAKEHOLDER THEORY .....	105
7.2.1	Background on stakeholder theory .....	105
7.2.2	Key tenet of stakeholder theory .....	108
7.2.3	Key approaches to stakeholder theory.....	112
7.2.4	The concept of stakeholder capitalism.....	113

7.3	DEFINITION AND RELEVANCE OF STAKEHOLDERS.....	116
7.4	ORGANISATIONAL ACTIONS AND RESPONSES .....	119
7.4.1	Nature of for-profit organisations .....	119
7.4.2	Stakeholder theory from an organisational perspective .....	120
7.4.3	Relevance of stakeholder theory to organisational performance .....	122
7.5	STAKEHOLDERS' ACTIONS, RESPONSES AND THEORY.....	125
7.5.1	Sources of stakeholders' power .....	125
7.5.2	Sources of stakeholders' support.....	126
7.6	THE IMPORTANCE OF ETHICS, VALUES AND TRUST .....	127
7.6.1	Power and the principle of fairness.....	127
7.6.2	Ethics and values form the core of stakeholder theory .....	128
7.6.3	The link with trustworthiness and trust.....	130
7.7	CONCLUSION: FOUNDATION OF THE STAKEHOLDER CONSTRUCT ...	130
8	CONCLUSION: TRUST A PREREQUISITE FOR SUSTAINABLE SYSTEMIC BEHAVIOUR .....	131

## **CHAPTER 3**

### **SUSTAINABILITY WITHIN A CORPORATE ENVIRONMENT**

1	INTRODUCTION: FUNDAMENTAL SHIFT REQUIRED IN BUSINESS.....	134
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT .....	137
3	DEFINING CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY .....	137
4	AN OVERVIEW OF KING III .....	138
4.1	INTRODUCTION .....	138
4.1.1	Philosophy of King III.....	139
4.1.2	Corporate governance .....	140
4.1.3	The nature and meaning of fiduciary duty.....	141
4.1.4	Inclusive stakeholder approach .....	144
4.1.5	A good reputation needed to earn stakeholders' trust .....	146

5	CORPORATE CITIZENSHIP AND RESPONSIBILITY .....	149
5.1	CONCEPT OF A CORPORATE CITIZEN.....	149
5.1.1	Corporate social responsibility (CSR) .....	150
5.1.2	Corporate social investment (CSI) .....	151
6	SUSTAINABILITY AND THE LINK TO CORPORATE TRUST .....	152
5.1	TRUST AN ESSENTIAL PREREQUISITE FOR SUSTAINABILITY .....	152
6.1.1	The development of the sustainability construct .....	152
6.1.2	Corporate sustainability: more than balancing the triple bottom line .....	154
6.2	ETHICS AS THE UNIFYING RATIONALITY OF CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY .....	158
6.2.1	The concept of the “experienced world” .....	158
6.2.2	Rational ordering of human experience through the lens of ethics .....	159
6.2.3	Case study: Johnson & Johnson and its ethical conduct framework .....	160
6.3	SUSTAINABILITY: A SOCIAL, STAKEHOLDER-DEPENDENT CONTEXT .....	161
6.4	CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY: A PROACTIVE ETHICAL APPROACH ..	163
6.4.1	Primary role of the leadership of a for-profit organisation .....	164
6.4.2	A proactive ethical stance required towards sustainability .....	165
6.4.3	A strategic shift towards long-term corporate sustainability .....	167
7	CONCLUSION: TRUST PROPELS THE FUNDAMENTAL SHIFT .....	169

## **CHAPTER 4**

### **TRUST, TRUSTWORTHINESS AND TRUST-BUILDING IN A CORPORATE ENVIRONMENT**

1	INTRODUCTION: TRUST AS AN ECONOMIC IMPERATIVE .....	171
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT .....	172
3	DEFINING TRUST AS A GENERAL CONSTRUCT .....	173
3.1	A WORKING DEFINITION OF TRUST IN A SOCIOLOGICAL CONTEXT ...	173

3.2	CLARIFYING THE OPERATIONALISATION OF THE TRUST CONSTRUCT .....	174
3.2.1	Two individuals in a trustor/trustee relationship in some respect and in some context.....	174
3.2.2	Presence of dependency, vulnerability or risk, impacting one individual.....	175
3.2.3	Acting on the belief to trust the other individual .....	176
3.2.4	Expectation of moral element in the trustee's intent and behaviour .....	177
3.2.5	Mutual vulnerability and risk, as well as a duty to protect the trustor .....	178
3.2.6	Importance of context for trust behaviour for both individuals.....	179
4	NATURE OF TRUST .....	180
5	DIMENSIONS OF TRUST .....	181
5.1	A MULTI-DIMENSIONAL CONSTRUCT.....	181
5.1.1	Cognitive dimension .....	181
5.1.2	Emotional dimension.....	184
5.1.3	Behavioural dimension.....	187
6	TRUST AND DISTRUST .....	188
7	CONFIDENCE, COOPERATION, PREDICTABILITY, RELIABILITY .....	191
7.1	TRUST: PRESENCE OF RISK, UNCERTAINTY AND DEPENDENCY .....	192
7.1.1	Trust and confidence .....	192
7.1.2	Trust and cooperation.....	193
7.1.3	Trust and predictability.....	193
7.1.4	Trust and reliability.....	193
8	HIGH-LEVEL TRUST CONCEPTS: COLLECTIVE ATTRIBUTE.....	194
8.1	EXISTING HIGH-LEVEL TRUST CONCEPTS .....	194
8.1.1	Institution-based trust as a high-level form of trust .....	194
8.1.2	Systems trust as a second high-level form of trust .....	196
8.2	CORPORATE TRUST AS ANOTHER HIGH-LEVEL FORM OF TRUST.....	200
9	DEFINING CORPORATE TRUST .....	201

9.1	A WORKING DEFINITION OF CORPORATE TRUST .....	202
9.2	CLARIFYING THE OPERATIONALISATION OF THE CORPORATE TRUST CONSTRUCT.....	203
9.2.1	Multiple actors involved in a trustee/trustor relationship .....	203
9.2.2	Presence of dependency; vulnerability in a less familiar context .....	204
9.2.3	Acting on the belief to trust the organisation, for or against .....	206
9.2.4	Expectation of moral duty in the organisation's behaviour .....	208
9.2.5	Mutual vulnerability and a duty to protect stakeholders' interests .....	209
10	NATURE OF CORPORATE TRUST.....	211
10.1	CHARACTERISTICS OF THE NATURE OF CORPORATE TRUST.....	211
10.1.1	Symbiotic, adaptive and complex corporate trust .....	211
10.1.2	Fragile and robust corporate trust.....	212
10.1.3	Optimal level of corporate trust .....	213
10.1.4	Trust as both a moral quality and an economic benefit.....	214
11	ANTECEDENTS OF TRUST IN A CORPORATE CONTEXT.....	214
11.1	TRUSTWORTHY BEHAVIOURAL ANTECEDENTS OF CORPORATE TRUST .....	215
11.1.1	Ability .....	216
11.1.2	Benevolence .....	217
11.1.3	Integrity .....	218
11.1.4	Ethical behaviour .....	220
11.1.5	Identification.....	222
11.1.6	Transparency .....	225
11.1.7	Emotional attraction as an affective antecedent of trust .....	227
11.2	INTERRELATIONSHIP BETWEEN KEY ANTECEDENTS.....	228
11.2.1	Interrelated and inclusive relationship, existing along a continuum .....	228
11.2.2	All the antecedents need to be present for trustworthiness to exist .....	229
11.2.3	Integrity, ethics more pronounced in unfamiliar circumstances.....	230
12	BENEFITS OF TRUST IN A CORPORATE CONTEXT.....	231
12.1	DOMINANT PERSPECTIVE OF BENEFITS OF TRUST.....	231

12.2	ALTERNATIVE PERSPECTIVE ON BENEFITS OF TRUST .....	232
12.2.1	The indirect benefits of trust.....	234
12.2.2	Trust as an organising principle .....	235
12.2.3	Structuring as a pathway to influence organising.....	237
12.2.4	Mobilising as a pathway to influence organising .....	243
12.2.5	Closing remarks on the benefits of trust.....	247
13	BARRIERS TO TRUST IN A CORPORATE CONTEXT .....	249
13.1	FROM SUSPICION TO OPPORTUNISTIC PROFIT MOTIVE.....	249
13.1.1	Suspicion and distrust.....	249
13.1.2	Breach of the psychological contract .....	251
13.1.3	Fragility of trust .....	252
13.1.4	Negative media coverage .....	253
13.1.5	Technology .....	253
13.1.6	Legal rules and contracts.....	254
13.1.7	An opportunistic profit motive.....	256
14	SOURCES OF TRUST AND TRUSTWORTHY BEHAVIOUR.....	256
14.1	WHY WOULD A TRUSTOR VOLUNTARILY EXPOSE HIMSELF TO RISK?.....	256
14.1.1	Legal sanctions.....	257
14.1.2	Market sanctions.....	257
14.1.3	Internalised trust .....	258
15	FUNCTIONS OF CORPORATE TRUST .....	260
15.1	A NUMBER OF KEY FUNCTIONS .....	260
15.1.1	A mechanism to reduce complexity in relationships .....	260
15.1.2	An expression of stakeholders' expectations .....	262
15.1.3	A mechanism to reduce mutual risk and vulnerability .....	263
15.1.4	An effective way to increase voluntary cooperation .....	265
15.1.5	A prerequisite for success that is difficult to enforce .....	266
15.1.6	An assumption of an acknowledged duty to protect.....	267
15.1.7	An evaluation of the organisation's trustworthiness .....	268

16	TRUST AND TRUSTWORTHINESS: TWO SIDES OF THE SAME COIN.....	269
16.1	AN INTRICATE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE TWO CONCEPTS.....	269
16.1.1	Trustworthiness in a personal context.....	270
16.1.2	Trustworthiness in a corporate context .....	271
17	THE IMPORTANCE OF CORPORATE TRUST .....	274
17.1	FIVE REASONS FOR TAKING CORPORATE TRUST SERIOUSLY.....	275
17.1.1	Stakeholders have the power to act, for or against.....	275
17.1.2	Stakeholders are reclaiming their role as citizens.....	277
17.1.3	Stakeholders demand a different approach to business .....	278
17.1.4	Ethics to be brought back into the world of business .....	279
17.1.5	Role of trust in a corporate crisis .....	282
17.2	LINK BETWEEN TRUSTWORTHINESS AND SUSTAINABILITY.....	286
18	CONCLUSION: TRUST AS AN ECONOMIC IMPERATIVE.....	287

## **CHAPTER 5**

### **CORPORATE REPUTATION, IDENTITY AND TRUST**

1	INTRODUCTION: REPUTATION DEPENDS ON CHARACTER .....	288
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT .....	291
3	DEFINING CORPORATE REPUTATION.....	293
3.1	A WORKING DEFINITION OF CORPORATE REPUTATION .....	293
3.2	CLARIFYING THE OPERATIONALISATION OF THE CORPORATE REPUTATION CONSTRUCT .....	295
3.2.1	Developments in defining corporate reputation.....	295
3.2.2	Existing approaches to conceptualise and define corporate reputation.....	298
3.2.3	A new proposed approach to conceptualise and define corporate reputation.....	300



3.2.4	What corporate reputation is not.....	302
3.2.5	Where corporate communication fits in.....	304
4	THE RELEVANCE OF CORPORATE REPUTATION .....	304
4.1	STRATEGIC BENEFITS OF A STRONG CORPORATE REPUTATION.....	304
4.1.1	Current views on the benefits of a strong corporate reputation.....	304
4.1.2	A strong corporate reputation without trust is not enough.....	307
4.1.3	Growing awareness of relevance of reputation and trust.....	308
4.1.4	Relationship between reputation and trust: sustainability .....	309
5	CORPORATE IDENTITY AND STAKEHOLDER IDENTIFICATION .....	315
5.1	AN ORGANISATION'S SUSTAINABILITY LINKED TO ITS IDENTITY.....	315
5.1.1	An organisation's identity needs to be value-based.....	316
5.1.2	Stakeholders' identification with an organisation's identity.....	317
6	KEY DIMENSIONS OF CORPORATE REPUTATION .....	324
6.1	VARIOUS MODELS WITH DIFFERENT DRIVERS AND VIEWS ON TRUST.....	324
6.2	REPUTATION DRIVERS AND THE ISSUE OF TRUSTWORTHINESS/TRUST.....	325
6.2.1	Trustworthiness as a key antecedent of reputation underestimated .....	326
6.2.2	Traditional reputation drivers becoming blurred, fusing with trust drivers .....	328
6.2.3	New antecedents/drivers for reputation proposed .....	334
6.3	CORPORATE IDENTITY/REPUTATION: MULTIPLE OR SINGLE?.....	337
7	THE ROLE OF COMMUNICATION IN IDENTITY/REPUTATION.....	341
7.1	CORPORATE COMMUNICATION AS FOUNDATION AND PRIMARY MECHANISM.....	341
7.1.1	Communication as the foundation of corporate identity .....	341
7.1.2	Role of communication in corporate reputation.....	346
8	THE LINK BETWEEN IDENTITY AND REPUTATION .....	348
8.1	THE <i>VCI ALIGNMENT MODEL</i> AS POINT OF DEPARTURE.....	351

9	KEY ELEMENTS OF NEW STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT APPROACH.....	354
9.1.1	Reputation promise: ‘Who we set out to be’ .....	355
9.1.2	Culture: ‘How we are seen to behave’ .....	359
9.1.3	Image: ‘What we say and present about our organisation’ .....	365
9.1.4	Corporate identity: ‘Who we really are’ .....	369
10	ESTABLISHING A SUSTAINABLE CORPORATE REPUTATION .....	370
11	CONCLUSION: REPUTATION DEPENDS ON CHARACTER .....	378

## **CHAPTER 6**

### **THE METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK: ROLE OF MODELS IN THEORY CONSTRUCTION**

1	INTRODUCTION .....	382
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT .....	384
3	KEY METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS.....	384
3.1	BASIC VERSUS APPLIED RESEARCH.....	384
3.2	THE MEANING AND NATURE OF THEORY CONSTRUCTION .....	386
3.2.1	The meaning of theory .....	386
3.2.2	The functions of theory .....	387
3.2.3	The elements of theory construction.....	388
3.2.4	The dimensions of theory.....	389
3.3	ROLE AND IMPORTANCE OF THEORY-BUILDING RESEARCH .....	390
3.3.1	Role of theory-building research in social sciences .....	391
3.3.2	Some approaches to theory building .....	392
3.3.3	Plausibility as a substitute for validation.....	393
3.4	RESEARCH STRATEGY CHARACTERISTICS .....	394
3.5	DIMENSIONS OF RESEARCH .....	396
3.5.1	The breadth dimension of research .....	396
3.5.2	The height dimension of research.....	397

3.5.3	The depth dimension of research .....	397
3.6	MODES OF THEORY CONSTRUCTION .....	398
3.6.1	Model development construction .....	399
3.6.2	Inductive theory construction .....	400
3.6.3	Deductive theory construction.....	400
3.6.4	Functional theory construction .....	401
4	THE ROLE OF MODELS IN THEORY CONSTRUCTION.....	401
4.1	THE MEANING OF MODEL .....	401
4.2	THE FUNCTIONS OF MODELS.....	403
4.2.1	Models provide a frame of reference for scientific enquiry.....	403
4.2.2	Models clarify the structure of complex phenomena.....	403
4.2.3	Models provide new ways to conceive ideas and relationships .....	404
4.2.4	Specific functions related to theory construction.....	404
4.3	THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF MODELS.....	406
4.3.1	Scale models .....	407
4.3.2	Conceptual models .....	407
4.3.3	Mathematical models.....	408
4.4	THE LIMITATIONS OF AND MISCONCEPTIONS REGARDING MODELS.....	408
4.4.1	Oversimplification .....	408
4.4.2	Confusion with reality.....	409
4.4.3	Premature closure .....	409
4.4.4	Misconceptions or misuse of the term 'model' .....	409
4.5	CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING MODELS.....	410
4.5.1	What is new? .....	411
4.5.2	So what?.....	411
4.5.3	Why so?.....	412
4.5.4	Well done?.....	412
4.5.5	Other factors to be considered in judging the merits of a theory/model.....	412

5	CONCEPTUAL RESEARCH METHODS.....	413
5.1.1	Using a basic conceptual model as a research method.....	414
5.1.2	Explanatory conceptual frameworks .....	416
5.1.3	Final objective of conceptual methods theory: meta-frameworks .....	417
5.1.4	Conclusion of conceptual research methods .....	417
6	CONCLUSION: ROLE OF MODELS IN THEORY CONSTRUCTION.....	418

## CHAPTER 7

### A CONCEPTUALISATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CORPORATE TRUST AND CORPORATE REPUTATION

1	INTRODUCTION: TOWARDS A CONCEPTUAL MODEL.....	420
2	OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT .....	421
3	SYSTEMS/STAKEHOLDER THEORY: THE CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATION ....	423
3.1	POSITION IN THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK .....	423
3.2	SUMMATION OF THE CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATION OF THIS STUDY ....	423
3.3	OVERVIEW OF FIGURE PRESENTED AS A SUMMARY ILLUSTRATION .....	425
4	THE IMPORTANT ROLE OF AND NEED FOR TRUST.....	427
4.1	POSITION IN THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK .....	427
4.2	SUMMATION OF THE VIEW ON THE IMPORTANT ROLE OF AND NEED FOR TRUST.....	427
4.3	OVERVIEW OF FIGURES PRESENTED AS SUMMARY ILLUSTRATIONS.....	428
5	TRUST/TRUST-BUILDING IN A CORPORATE ENVIRONMENT.....	431
5.1	POSITION IN THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK .....	431
5.2	SUMMATION OF CORPORATE TRUST/TRUSTWORTHINESS CONCEPTS.....	431

5.3	OVERVIEW OF FIGURES PRESENTED AS SUMMARY ILLUSTRATIONS.....	433
6	CORPORATE REPUTATION, IDENTITY AND TRUST .....	436
6.1	POSITION IN THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK .....	436
6.2	SUMMATION OF CONCEPTION OF REPUTATION, IDENTITY AND TRUST.....	436
6.3	OVERVIEW OF FIGURES PRESENTED AS SUMMARY ILLUSTRATIONS.....	442
7	A CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CORPORATE TRUST AND CORPORATE REPUTATION.....	448
7.1	POSITION IN THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK.....	448
7.2	SUMMATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CORPORATE TRUST AND CORPORATE REPUTATION.....	448
7.3	OVERVIEW OF FIGURE PRESENTED AS CONCEPTUAL MODEL .....	449
8	EVALUATING THE PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL MODEL/STUDY .....	449
9	CONCLUSION: A CONCEPTUALISATION OF CORPORATE TRUST AND CORPORATE REPUTATION .....	453

## **CHAPTER 8**

### **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

1	INTRODUCTION: OUTLINE OF CHAPTER CONTENT.....	455
2	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	455
2.1	PRIMARY RESEARCH OBJECTIVE.....	455
2.2	SECONDARY RESEARCH OBJECTIVES .....	457
2.2.1	Research objective 1 .....	458
2.2.2	Research objective 2 .....	460
2.2.3	Research objective 3 .....	463

2.2.4	Research objective 4 .....	466
2.2.5	Research objective 5 .....	468
2.2.6	Research objective 6 .....	472
3	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	472
3.1.1	Recommendation 1 .....	473
3.1.2	Recommendation 2.....	473
3.1.3	Recommendation 3.....	473
3.1.4	Recommendation 4.....	474
3.1.5	Recommendation 5.....	474
4	LIMITATIONS .....	475
4.1.1	Limitation 1: Research cycle incomplete.....	475
4.1.2	Limitation 2: Model does not demonstrate systemic interrelationships ..	475
4.1.3	Limitation 3: Focus on trust/reputation only in a for-profit organisation ..	476
4.1.4	Limitation 4: Strategic corporate communication approach to be extended.....	476
4.1.5	Limitation 5: Practical application of corporate elements/processes .....	477
5	CONCLUSION.....	477
	LIST OF REFERENCES.....	482

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Abbreviations used in this document.....	26
Table 2: Antecedents of reputation becoming blurred, merging with trust drivers .....	330
Table 3: Demarcating existing approaches/proposing a new strategic alignment approach to the corporate reputation paradigm.....	443
Table 4: Compliance with the criteria of a good model.....	451
Table 5: Compliance with the criteria of a good theoretical contribution.....	452

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: The conceptual framework of this study .....	27
Figure 2: A summary outline of the meta-theoretical framework of this study .....	35
Figure 3: The three pillars of sustainable development .....	153
Figure 4: The interlocking-circles approach to sustainable development .....	154
Figure 5: Split trust continuum.....	190
Figure 6: Key antecedents of corporate trust.....	228
Figure 7: The <i>VCI Alignment model</i> , as developed by Hatch and Schultz .....	352
Figure 8: Towards a new <i>Strategic Alignment Reputation Framework</i> .....	375
Figure 9: An outline of the meta-theoretical framework of this study .....	426
Figure 10: Different organisational approaches towards corporate sustainability .....	429
Figure 11: A new interlocking-circles approach to corporate sustainability.....	430
Figure 12: Difference between trust and trustworthiness/key antecedents of trust.....	434
Figure 13: Continuum of corporate trustworthiness, based on trust antecedents.....	435
Figure 14: The new <i>Strategic Alignment Reputation Framework</i> .....	444
Figure 15: Disaggregating the key elements of corporate reputation, in relation to the corporate identity/trust relationship.....	445
Figure 16: Delineating the high-level process of establishing a sustainable, trustworthy reputation that will earn stakeholders' trust and support .....	446
Figure 17: Framework outlining the corporate identity/reputation/trust process .....	447
Figure 18: A conceptual model of the relationship between corporate trust and corporate reputation .....	450