# scientific reports

Check for updates

# **OPEN** Towards the scalable isolation of cellulose nanocrystals from tunicates

Matthew J. Dunlop<sup>1,2</sup>, Craig Clemons<sup>3</sup>, Richard Reiner<sup>3</sup>, Ronald Sabo<sup>3</sup>, Umesh P. Agarwal<sup>3</sup>, Rabin Bissessur<sup>2</sup>, Helia Sojoudiasli<sup>4</sup>, Pierre J. Carreau<sup>4</sup> & Bishnu Acharya<sup>1,5</sup>

In order for sustainable nanomaterials such as cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs) to be utilized in industrial applications, a large-scale production capacity for CNCs must exist. Currently the only CNCs available commercially in kilogram scale are obtained from wood pulp (W-CNCs). Scaling the production capacity of W-CNCs isolation has led to their use in broader applications and captured the interest of researchers, industries and governments alike. Another source of CNCs with potential for commercial scale production are tunicates, a species of marine animal. Tunicate derived CNCs (T-CNCs) are a high aspect ratio CNC, which can complement commercially available W-CNCs in the growing global CNC market. Herein we report the isolation and characterization of T-CNCs from the tunicate Styela clava, an invasive species currently causing significant harm to local aquaculture communities. The reported procedure utilizes scalable CNC processing techniques and is based on our experiences from laboratory scale T-CNC isolation and pilot scale W-CNC isolation. To our best knowledge, this study represents the largest scale where T-CNCs have been isolated from any tunicate species, under any reaction conditions. Demonstrating a significant step towards commercial scale isolation of T-CNCs, and offering a potential solution to the numerous challenges which invasive tunicates pose to global aquaculture communities.

As the global community attempts to shift away from petroleum based non-renewable materials, the scalable production of sustainable and renewable alternatives become increasingly urgent. Among the most promising of these sustainable and renewable alternatives are cellulose nanomaterials, which represent a family of cellulosic materials comprised exclusively of cellulose arranged in either highly crystalline, discrete cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs), or semicrystalline, interconnected cellulose nanofibrils (CNFs). A growing demand for CNCs (and CNFs) globally is currently being driven by a plethora of emerging and established applications for this green nanomaterial including in sensing<sup>1</sup>, catalysis<sup>2</sup>, nanofiltration<sup>3</sup>, tissue engineering<sup>4</sup>, and numerous others<sup>5,6</sup>. At the lab scale, CNCs can be isolated from a wide variety of natural resources including various plants<sup>7</sup>, bacteria<sup>8</sup>, algae<sup>9</sup> and tunicates<sup>10</sup>. Given the abundance and biodiversity of cellulose sources, it is intuitive that CNCs display subtle differences resulting from the natural source and method of isolation, which have been well summarized in prior reports<sup>5,7,11</sup>. However regardless of the source, to meaningfully contribute to the growing global CNC market, these CNC isolation processes must be transitioned from small volume lab scale (g/day) processing to larger volume (kg/day) and (tons/day) commercial scale processing. Today, the only cellulose source material currently available at commercial scale is that derived from plants, specifically wood (W-CNCs). These are typically isolated at low kg/day rates, with the largest W-CNC producers, CelluForce, and GranBio Technologies, recently reporting maximum outputs of ~ 1000 kg/day<sup>12-14</sup> and ~ 2500 kg/day<sup>15</sup> respectively. Smaller lab scale production of bacterial CNCs8 (~17 g/day), algal CNCs9,16 (~36 g/day), and tunicate CNCs10,11 (~10 g/day) do exist, but these are generally prepared for limited research applications.

Among the different natural sources of cellulose, tunicates may provide an important opportunity for the future commercial scale isolation of high aspect ratio (i.e. length/width) CNCs. Tunicates are marine animals which contain highly pure cellulose in their tunic, the unique leather-like epidermis of the animal from which its name is derived. This 'tunicin' cellulose may be hydrolyzed with appropriate procedures<sup>10</sup> to yield T-CNCs,

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Sustainable Design Engineering, University of Prince Edward Island, Charlottetown, Canada. <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Prince Edward Island, Charlottetown, Canada. <sup>3</sup>Forest Product Laboratory, USDA Forest Service, Madison, WI, USA. <sup>4</sup>Research Center for High Performance Polymer and Composite Systems (CREPEC), Department of Chemical Engineering, Polytechnique Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada. <sup>5</sup>Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada. <sup>™</sup>email: bsp874@usask.ca

which possess among the highest aspect ratio and crystallinity of all known CNC sources<sup>17,18</sup>. Current commercial W-CNCs have an aspect ratio of ~ 10–20 and tend to display lower crystallinity (60–80%) than T-CNCs, which possess an aspect ratio ~ 50–100 and crystallinity commonly exceeding 90%<sup>11,19</sup>. The potential advantages of a widely available CNC source, possessing both high crystallinity and high aspect ratio, are broad in scope. However, T-CNCs are only isolated at lab scale currently and, as a result, most recent research focuses on commercially available W-CNCs<sup>20</sup>. Higher aspect ratio CNCs lead to improved stress transfer in composites<sup>21</sup>, reduced concentrations necessary for gelation<sup>22</sup>, and enhanced viscosity modification<sup>23</sup>. Moreover, T-CNCs can be used in combination with W-CNCs to form hybrid CNC mixtures which possess broad and tailorable aspect ratio distributions. These hybrid CNC mixtures lead to the enhancement of all in-plane and some out-of-plane mechanical properties in hybrid CNC films<sup>17,24</sup>. Interestingly, such hybrid mixtures have recently been shown to enhanced stiffness in polymer composites compared to either individual CNC source<sup>25</sup>. This unique combination of attributes can potentially help broaden material performance capabilities and commercial adoption of T-CNCs in a growing global CNC market if efficient, cost-effective and scalable isolation methods can be developed.

Previous attempts to isolate T-CNCs involve first the removal of non-cellulose tunicate components via manual separation, alkaline and bleaching pretreatments. This is then followed by treatment of the purified cellulose to yield CNCs with varying surface chemistries. Non-cellulose components are generally removed using ether moderate temperature, standard pressure, chemical treatments<sup>26,27</sup>, or by using more mild chemical treatments combined with increased temperatures and pressures<sup>10,28</sup>. In past work<sup>18</sup>, we utilized an established three step hydrothermal treatment to isolate and compare T-CNCs from numerous tunicate species at lab scale<sup>10</sup>. While we isolated highly pure T-CNCs in a reasonable yield by this method; the necessity of a sealed pressure vessel at elevated temperatures over multiple processing steps, limit the scalability of this process. For these reasons, we feel that chemical pretreatments performed at moderate temperatures and standard pressure, such as that described by van den Berg et al. and emulated here, are more scalable candidates for tunicate pretreatment<sup>26</sup>. Once the purified tunicate cellulose is obtained, it can be surface modified using numerous approaches such as 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO) mediated oxidation<sup>29</sup> and sulfuric acid hydrolysis<sup>30-32</sup>, or left unmodified using hydrochloric acid hydrolysis<sup>26</sup>. The most common of these treatments for both wood and tunicate derived CNCs is sulfuric acid hydrolysis. Under appropriate conditions, this results in the nearly complete hydrolysis of amorphous cellulose content to yield CNCs, and the concurrent grafting of negatively charged sulfate groups to the CNC surface. These charged groups reduce interactions between neighboring CNCs, limiting the agglomeration and flocculation of CNC suspensions and allowing for their dispersion in a wider range of solvents<sup>26</sup>. The high aspect ratio of T-CNCs make them more susceptible to agglomeration and flocculation than other comparatively low aspect ratio W-CNC sources. This, coupled with the preexisting commercial scale production of sulfated W-CNCs, motivated us to design our large-scale T-CNC isolation process to yield sulfated T-CNCs.

After hydrolysis is complete, the acidic CNC solution is typically quenched followed by salt removal and concentration of the aqueous CNC suspension. In lab scale CNC isolation, a combination of conventional filtration techniques, centrifugation, and dialysis are commonly employed to obtain a purified and concentrated CNC product<sup>18,33-36</sup>. These techniques are limited in scalability, challenging to replicate or optimize, and often result in significant loss and/or contamination due to multiple small-volume product transfers. This has led to the adoption of highly scalable tangential flow filtration (TFF) systems in large-scale W-CNC isolation processes<sup>37</sup>. In TFF, the feed flows tangential to the membrane, leading to a continual defouling of the membrane surface by the feed components. This allows for the large-scale diafiltration and subsequent concentration of CNCs in a single system, leading to increased efficiency and reduced loss from product transfers. In this study, TFF was utilized to both purify and concentrate the isolated T-CNCs, emulating established protocols for commercial scale W-CNC isolation, and practically demonstrating that this scalable technique can be applied to T-CNCs.

The primary challenges of scaling up T-CNC isolation historically have been either a lack of available tunicates, difficulties in the large-scale harvesting of tunicates and the limited amount of available literature surrounding T-CNC isolation, at any scale<sup>38</sup>. Some of the challenges associated with T-CNC isolation may be mitigated by both unique local factors and by the growing global effects of climate change. Recently, tunicates have been causing great concern to aquaculture industries in the Maritime Provinces of Atlantic Canada<sup>39</sup>. In our previous work<sup>18</sup>, we highlighted how the mussel industry in Prince Edward Island (PEI) has been threatened by growing costs, reduced mussel harvests and the need to constantly apply anti-fouling treatments to fishing gear as a result of this tunicate infestation<sup>40,41</sup>. Through Dynamic Energy Budget modelling, a recent study predicted that invasive tunicates may reduce mussel production by more than 20%<sup>42</sup>. This is highly relevant to the local economy as PEI harvests over 80% of all blue mussels sold in Canada, while also selling product internationally<sup>43,44</sup>. In PEI alone, there are four different tunicate species, all of which are invasive and of foreign origin<sup>39,41,42</sup>. As the climate warms, the conditions under which tunicates thrive become more prevalent, leading to increased tunicate densities and growing challenges for local aquaculture communities<sup>45,46</sup>. However, it has been demonstrated that: (1) the scalable harvesting of tunicates is possible<sup>47–49</sup> and (2) high quality T-CNC can be isolated from these local invasive tunicates<sup>10,18</sup>. The commercial scale harvesting of tunicates could directly address the challenges of high tunicate density in local waterways. Easing the burden on members of the aquaculture community by harvesting tunicates for scalable T-CNC production may lead to a shift in the perception of invasive tunicates from a destructive nuisance species, to that of an abundant and available resource to be harvested and utilized<sup>47</sup>. Local waters surrounding PEI, along with similar marine environments worldwide with dense tunicate populations, serve as accessible sources of tunicate feedstock, with potential for scalable T-CNC isolation<sup>49</sup>.

Herein, we seek to begin laying the ground work towards the commercial scale extraction of high aspect ratio T-CNCs from the abundant feedstock of invasive tunicates on PEI. To the best of our knowledge, this work represents the largest scale isolation of T-CNCs from any tunicate species, under any isolation conditions previously reported. Our experiences during the various steps, from harvesting to T-CNC isolation, are discussed. Various



Figure 1. Flowchart for isolation of T-CNCs from tunicates.

characterizations were performed to better understand the behavior and challenges of preparation as well as the attributes of the final T-CNCs. Experiences from large-scale preparation of W-CNCs using established protocols<sup>37</sup> as well as the ultimate characteristics of W-CNCs and other nanocelluloses provided useful comparisons.

# **Results and discussion**

**Preparation of a tunicate-derived cellulose feedstock.** *Harvesting.* The starting material for the pilot-scale production of W-CNCs is a high-purity commercial cellulose pulp prepared by well-established wood pulping protocols. Obviously, the preparation of a similar cellulose feedstock from tunicates is necessarily a very different process. To prepare a relatively large quantity of tunicate cellulose feedstock, we began by manually harvesting approximately 20 kg of invasive Styela clava tunicates from waterways surrounding PEI. Manual harvesting is a viable process to collect commercial scale quantities of tunicates. In fact, it is estimated that over a million pounds of Styela clava (wet weight) are cultivated and harvested annually from waters around South Korea, where they are consumed as a seafood delicacy known locally as "mideuduck"<sup>50-52</sup>. These have primarily been manual tunicate harvesting methods similar to those employed here. Although we posit efficient automated processes may lower harvesting costs. Recently, Ocean Bergen AS implemented an automated approach for harvesting tunicates from Norwegian waters to extract protein for animal feed<sup>49</sup>. For these reasons, we are currently developing an automated harvesting process for collecting invasive tunicates on PEI, based on their success and the findings of this study.

The processing of the tunicates after harvesting is schematically shown in Fig. 1. Important aspects are discussed herein and a more detailed description of the T-CNC and W-CNC isolation process is provided in section (S1).

*Tunic preparation.* Once harvested, the cellulose-containing tunics were manually separated from the proteinrich internal organs. We are currently investigating more economically viable approaches including automated tunic separation and a biorefinery-type approach which utilizes the entire tunicate as a process input. The manually prepared tunics used here were washed, dried and ground as described in S1. While others have used the internal organs to prepare animal feed<sup>48</sup> or to ferment bioethanol<sup>53</sup>, we chose to focus on T-CNC isolation and simply disposed of the internal organs. The use of such byproducts is left for future work. Generally, one half of a tunicates weight is its tunic, although this varies with tunicate species, environmental factors and life cycle stage. We found that our ~ 20 kg of Styela clava tunicates harvested from PEI waters resulted in ~ 10 kg of tunic, which were ~ 90% water, yielding approximately 1 kg of tunic powder when dried.

*Tunic pretreatment.* To isolate T-CNCs from this tunic powder, the cellulose must be purified and the noncellulose components removed to prepare a high cellulose feedstock for acid hydrolysis. To accomplish this, the tunic powder was shipped to the Forest Products Laboratory where it was further processed by alkaline deproteination treatments and bleaching following the protocols described by van den Berg et al.<sup>2</sup>, with modifications as described in S1. The overall yield for the deproteination and bleaching steps was ~31%, comparable to the yields reported in Table 1 for similar processes at lab scale. The final bleached material was used as the feedstock for preparing T-CNCs by acid hydrolysis.

According to Zhao and Li, generally tunic possesses a  $\sim$  50:50 weight ratio of carbohydrates to proteins, where between 75 and 95% of the carbohydrate fraction is glucose, and of the glucose fraction, between 50 and 75% is cellulose<sup>10</sup>. Although their work focuses on four different tunicate species, we feel that their general conclusions

Tunicate feedstock	Deproteination	Bleaching	Pretreatment yield (%)*	References
Salpa fusiformis	Pronase in buffer	NaOH reflux	N/A	54
Styela clava	КОН	NaClO/CH <sub>3</sub> COOH	N/A	26,34,35,55
Halocynthia roretzi	КОН	NaClO/CH <sub>3</sub> COOH	N/A	56
Halocynthia papillosa	NaOH	NaClO <sub>2</sub>	N/A	29
Halocynthia roretzi	NaOH	NaClO <sub>2</sub>	N/A	57
Halocynthia roretzi Drasche	NaOH	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	20	58-60
Styela clava	NaOH	NaClO <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>3</sub> COOH	31	This work
Styela clava			40	18
Ciona intestinalis	Three step hydrothermal process		30	18
Ciona intestinalis	<sup>1</sup> Prehydrolysis (H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> )		12	10,30,61
Ascidia sp.	<sup>2</sup> Kraft Cooking (NaOH/Na <sub>2</sub> S) <sup>3</sup> Bleaching (NaClO)		3	10
Cynthia roretzi	Step 1 & 2: 180 °C   2 h		30	62
Styela plicata	Step 3: 75 °C   1 h		24	10
Halocynthia roretzi			21	10

**Table 1.** Other reports of tunicate cellulose purification strategies and respective yields. \*Approximate values  $\mid$ N/A = not reported.

are applicable to our processing. Therefore, this suggests that the 1 kg of dried tunic powder prepared for this work likely possesses only ~ 19-36% cellulose. Given this estimate, coupled with the findings reported in Table 1, our overall yield of ~ 31% for the deproteination and bleaching steps seems reasonable.

While the additional non-cellulose tunicate components present a challenge when isolating T-CNCs, these additional components have intrinsic value and may be recoverable. Although not the focus of this study, we suggest that additional value-added product streams, including protein<sup>63</sup> and heavy metal recovery (See S2)<sup>64-67</sup>, may be feasible if tunicates are processed to T-CNC in a biorefinery-type approach. This requires thoroughly understanding the components of waste streams generated in T-CNC isolation and determining their recoverability, an active area of investigation in our group.

**CNC preparation.** Wood derived W-CNCs are prepared from high purity cellulose wood pulp ( $\geq$  97% cellulose) in the Nanocellulose Pilot Plant at the Forest Product Laboratory using standard protocols<sup>37</sup>. The main steps in the process are: (1) sulfuric acid hydrolysis, (2) diafiltration to remove by-products, and (3) concentration of the resulting aqueous CNC suspension. Tunicate derived T-CNCs were prepared similarly, albeit on a smaller scale, and with necessary changes to accommodate differences in the source materials. Our experiences during the various steps of the T-CNC preparation are discussed below along with relevant comparisons to W-CNC processing and proposed changes to protocols that may improve the process.

*Sulfuric acid hydrolysis.* Hydrolysis of the tunicate cellulose was accomplished using 64%  $H_2SO_4$  for 2 h with additional details described in S1. The hydrolysis yield was ~42% for T-CNCs, compared to ~50% for the optimized W-CNC isolation, resulting in aspect ratios of 65 and 12 respectively (See Fig. 2, S4 and S5). For additional context, we have summarized the resulting aspect ratios and yields reported in numerous studies where similar cellulose sources and processing conditions were utilized to isolate CNCs at differing scales (See Table 2).

In many reports, information such as yield and precise processing conditions unfortunately are omitted. However, we note that the T-CNCs prepared here display properties consistent with previous T-CNCs isolated at laboratory scale. Indicating that the impressive properties attributed to T-CNCs can, as pioneered in the development of large-scale W-CNC isolation, be preserved when T-CNC isolation is scaled up. At this time, replicate experiments and concurrent process optimization of T-CNC isolation at this scale remain future areas of study. Also, as discussed later, some material was lost during diafiltration, which adversely affected the T-CNC yield. Therefore, with further improvement of protocols, the T-CNC yield could very well approach that of the W-CNCs.

*Diafiltration and concentration.* Following hydrolysis, the reaction is quenched and neutralized with aqueous NaOH. The resulting highly saline suspension leads to the association and settling of CNCs. Most hydrolysis byproducts could then be removed by decanting the supernatant, adding deionized water, again allowing CNCs to settle and repeating the process. Eventually as salinity decreased the CNCs began to suspend rather than settle, and a tubular ultrafiltration unit was used to complete by-product removal by tangential (cross) flow filtration (TFF)<sup>37</sup>. TFF reduces filter cake formation by creating turbulent flow, which improves flux rate compared to conventional dead-end filtration (See S3). As filtrate is removed, additional water is added and the hydrolysis byproducts are flushed from the CNCs in a process referred to as diafiltration. Unfortunately some residual, aggregated tunicate derivatives obstructed the circulation pump during diafiltration of T-CNCs, suggesting that improvements in our processing protocols are warranted. The suspension was filtered and centrifuged using a large, industrial centrifuge to remove the aggregated material (See S1 and S4). The diafiltration process was then



**Figure 2.** The aspect ratio distribution of W-CNC and T-CNC (top) and representative W-CNC and T-CNC micrographs (bottom) (ImageJ-Fizi Software was used to determine the size distribution, https://imagej.net/Fiji).

completed. This additional product loss almost certainly contributed to the lower yield of the T-CNCs when compared to the W-CNCs.

The make-up water was then shut off to concentrate the CNC suspension until its viscosity increase inhibited flow through the membranes tubes, after which point the system was back flushed to yield the concentrated CNCs. The CNC suspension viscosity is primarily governed by the aspect ratio of the CNCs and the CNC concentration, where the salinity of the suspension is assumed to be consistent since both W-CNCs and T-CNCs are neutralized prior to filtration. Figure 3 presents rheological properties of the 1 wt% W-CNC and T-CNC suspensions in water. The viscosity of the T-CNC suspension in the same concentration (1 wt%) is considerably higher than that of the W-CNC suspension (Fig. 3a). This is attributed largely to the higher aspect ratio of T-CNCs of 65 compared to about 15 for W-CNCs. For CNC suspensions, a shear-thinning behavior with increasing shear rate is expected due to the orientation of fibers. For the 1 wt% W-CNC suspension, a low, constant viscosity of less than 2 mPa.s is observed. This is in line with the results obtained by Lenfant et al. for a similar W-CNC suspension<sup>74</sup>. At this concentration of low aspect nanoparticles, Brownian motion prevents the orientation of the particles under flow<sup>74</sup>. In the case of the 1 wt% T-CNC suspension, the shear-thinning behavior is attributed to a gel-like structure formed by this suspension of large aspect ratio nanoparticles. With increasing shear rate, this structure is broken down explaining the decreasing viscosity although particle orientation could be partly responsible of the shear thinning. The presence of the gel structure is confirmed by the linear storage and loss moduli data of the T-CNC suspension presented in Fig. 3b. We observe a gel-like or viscoelastic solid-like behavior where the storage modulus (G'), is much greater than the loss modulus (G'') and both moduli are relatively independent of frequency<sup>75</sup>. Gelation of the T-CNC suspension at low concentration is due to its high aspect ratio and this behavior was observed previously at much higher concentration for W-CNC aqueous suspensions (~10 wt%)<sup>74</sup>.

Cellulose source	Production scale	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> hydrolysis	CNC aspect ratio*	Hydrolysis yield (%)*	References
MCC #	Lab	(64%, 2 h)	15	30%	68
Cotton	Lab	(65%, 30 min)	12	N/A	69
Cotton	Lab	(60%, 4 h)	15	N/A	60
Wood	Lab	(64%, 25 min)	28	33%	70,71
Wood	Lab	(64%, 2 h)	23	N/A	34
Wood	Pilot	(64%, 1.5 h)	12	50%	This work and <sup>37</sup>
Wood	Commercial	(64%, N/A)	20	N/A	14,72
Tunicate	Lab	(60%, 1.5 h)	50	N/A	26
Tunicate	Lab	(65%, 2 h)	72	N/A	59
Tunicate	Lab	(60%, 32 h)	70	N/A	60
Tunicate	Lab	(50%, 20 h)	63	N/A	57
Tunicate	Lab	(48%, 13 h)	70	N/A	69
Tunicate	Lab	(48%, 3 h)	100	N/A	34
Tunicate	Lab	(55%, 20 min)	35	30%	30
Tunicate	Lab	(50%, 4.5 h)	80	50%	73
Tunicate	Pre-pilot	(64%, 2 h)	65	42%	This Work

**Table 2.** Other reports of CNC isolation with varying production scale and hydrolysis conditions.\*Approximate values | N/A = not reported | # = microcrystalline cellulose (MCC).



**Figure 3.** Steady shear viscosity of 1 wt% T-CNC and W-CNC suspensions (**a**) steady shear and (**b**) small amplitude oscillatory (SAOS) data for the T-CNC suspension.

As observed previously by others<sup>76–78</sup>, high aspect ratio CNC suspensions display considerably higher viscosity values than lower aspect ratio CNC suspensions. In our case, the maximum concentration of the high aspect ratio T-CNC was ~ 1.3 wt%. This is far below the ~ 10 wt% achievable with lower aspect ratio W-CNCs, but similar to that found for TEMPO pretreated wood-derived cellulose nanofibrils<sup>79</sup>.

Suggested improvements to processing protocols. Several future procedural improvements are proposed based on our findings from the isolation of T-CNCs performed. Among the strongest recommendations is that, from extraction of the tunicates to isolation of the T-CNC, the cellulose containing material should remain wet as drying should be avoided to prevent hornification<sup>80</sup>. Hornification results from the formation of hydrogen bonded networks during drying that are only partially reversible. Here, we initially dried the tunic and between each process step the yield was determined by drying the intermediate product. This may have led to a compounding of the hornification of cellulosic material, resulting in reduced efficacy of chemical treatments and a lower process yield. If drying is necessary, lyophilization is preferable to air or oven drying to lessen the effect of hornification. Traces of color were still observed after the bleaching step, which we attempted to remedy with additional bleaching after acid hydrolysis. To obtain whiter and cleaner materials, alternating between acid chlorite bleaching and alkaline extraction may be a beneficial procedural improvement. The level of calcium in the final T-CNC product is quite high at 0.054 wt%, as typical levels observed in W-CNC processing are less than 0.002 wt% (See S2). We expect that the source is likely the tunicates' natural calcium-rich environment. The T-CNC hydrolysis is highly acidic and when the reaction was neutralized, it likely caused association of the negatively charged CNC sulfate groups with calcium cations. The calcium level may be reduced by the addition of an acid wash after bleaching, by decanting the acidic T-CNC solution after hydrolysis but before neutralization, or with suitable chelation treatments. After the hydrolysis and neutralization, some aggregates were observed which

Cellulose source	XRD*	NMR	380-Raman	93-Raman	References
MCC	84	NA	77	68	68,81
Cotton	92	75	77	68	57,81
Wood	80-89, 90, 72	60	56	46	70,81,82
Tunicate	91, 95	80, 94	70	93	34,81
Wood	66,	NA	56	46	This work
Tunicate	75	NA	68	96	This work





Figure 4. Experimental X-ray diffractograms of lyophilized T-CNC and W-CNC.



**Figure 5.** Raman spectra of wood and tunicate CNCs (Bruker OPUS 7.2 software was used to process spectral data).

interfered with subsequent ultrafiltration and purification steps. Simple screening for these aggregates prior to filtration may improve ultrafiltration efficacy. With scaling, it may also be advantageous to replace the large-scale centrifuging, if it is still found necessary after other improvements, with mechanical homogenization to improve yield, processing efficiency and overall consistency of the T-CNC product. Given the very different size distributions of the two types of CNCs, it may be possible to increase the T-CNC concentration efficiency by optimizing the pore size of the ultrafiltration membranes.

**CNC properties.** Once the T-CNCs and W-CNCs were prepared and their morphologies understood, we compared their crystallinity and thermal stabilities while contrasting our findings with past reports. What follows is our assessment of the results and how the properties of the obtained T-CNCs compare to that of W-CNCs prepared by an optimized process.



Figure 6. TGA thermograms of lyophilized CNCs in air (a) and an inert nitrogen (b).

*Crystallinity.* We assessed the overall structural order of as produced CNCs utilizing two complimentary techniques: XRD and Raman. A summary of our findings and those reported by others for CNCs prepared by similar procedures is displayed in Table 3 and Figs. 4 and 5. As described in S6, FTIR spectroscopy was also performed to determine the Lateral Order Index (LOI) and Total Crystallinity Index (TCI) of the isolated T-CNCs and W-CNCs.

XRD. The overall structural order of the prepared CNCs was further assessed by calculating their percent crystallinity from the background-corrected experimental diffractograms in Fig. 4, consistent with our prior work<sup>25</sup>. In this way, the T-CNC was determined to be 75% crystalline whereas the W-CNCs were 66% crystalline. Evidence of uniplanarity is observed in the T-CNC diffractograms by comparing the relative intensities of the 110 and 110 reflections. Elazzouzi-Hafraoui et al. attributed this to the rectangular cross-section of T-CNCs compared to the square cross-section of W-CNCs, where the longer plane of the rectangular T-CNC axis gives rise to enhanced 110 reflection intensity. However, this has also been reported to result from CNC orientation induced either from drying kinetics or incomplete hydrolysis<sup>69</sup>. Our XRD samples were prepared by freezing aqueous suspensions of dilute CNC ( $\sim 0.5$  wt%) in liquid N<sub>2</sub> followed by freeze-drying. Therefore, we expect that if orientation of the CNCs is contributing to the enhanced 110 reflection intensity, it is more likely a result of incomplete hydrolysis than drying induced orientation. This is supported by TEM results which indicate unusually wide T-CNC crystallites (~20 nm), which has been attributed to small bundles of CNCs arising from hornification or other processes<sup>17</sup>. We have contrasted our findings with past reports in Table 3 and provide further assessment of experimental diffractograms in S7. We note that a wide range of values are reported for W-CNCs and T-CNCs, which result from the diverse methods used to calculate crystallinity from XRD diffractograms reported in literature<sup>83,84</sup>. To provide a more comprehensive understanding of the relative crystallinity of our CNCs, we utilized Raman spectroscopy.

Raman. Raman crystallinity of the wood and tunicate CNCs were determined using two methods—380-Raman (Agarwal et al. 2010; 2013) and 93-Raman (Agarwal et al. 2018), and the values are reported in Table 3. The methods are based, respectively, on the band intensity ratios  $380/1096 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $93/1096 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the Raman spectra of the CNCs visible in Fig. 5. The Raman crystallinity data in Table 3 indicated that in both the Raman methods, compared to the crystallinity of wood CNCs the crystallinity of tunicate CNCs was significantly higher. For 380-Raman and 93-Raman, the crystallinity was higher by 21% and 109%, respectively. Although it's not clear why the two methods differed so significantly with respect to the increase, the increases supported the observation based on XRD that T-CNCs were significantly more crystalline compared to W-CNCs. The highly crystalline nature of the T-CNCs mean that they are stronger and less sensitive to moisture than W-CNCs in various applications.

*Thermal stability.* To understand the thermal stability of the T-CNCs isolated in this work and how it compares to W-CNCs, we performed TGA in both an oxidizing (air) and an inert (nitrogen) environment. The resulting thermograms and their derivatives were obtained and compared with those of W-CNC analyzed in the same manner. As visualized in Fig. 6 and further described in S8, the isolated T-CNCs are more thermally stable than W-CNCs in an oxidizing environment.

The onset of thermal degradation for W-CNCs is clearly lower (Fig. 6a) than that of the T-CNCs in air. However, in an inert environment (Fig. 6b), this trend is less apparent. In air, both CNC materials displayed ~ 3% ash content. However, in inert nitrogen there is an increase in the ash content of the W-CNCs (19%) and, to a lesser extent, the T-CNC (8%). This indicates that W-CNCs have a higher content of nitrogen-stable components or

Cellulose source	Onset temperature*	Inflection point*	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> hydrolysis	References
Wood	235	253	(64%, 2 h)	68
Wood	210	240, 421	(64%, 1.5 h)	This work and <sup>37</sup>
Wood	255	275	(64%, N/A)	72
Tunicate	180	N/A	(50%, 3 h)	86
Tunicate	180	N/A	(50%, 4.5 h)	73
Tunicate	106#	128#	(60%, 20 min)	87
Tunicate	290	N/A	(55%, 1 h)	27
Tunicate	190	200	(55%, 20 min)	30
Tunicate	225	291	(64%, 2 h)	This work

**Table 4.** Reported oxidative thermal properties of various CNCs prepared by  $H_2SO_4$  hydrolysis. \*Approximate values | N/A—not reported | #—measured in an inert environment.

.....

thermal degradation products<sup>85</sup>. We posit that this may be linked to the plethora of ocean-derived elements (S2) present in the T-CNCs but not found in W-CNCs. We have summarized some of the sparsely reported thermal properties of T-CNCs prepared by similar acid hydrolysis procedures in Table 4, and contrasted these with W-CNCs. We assess that the observed differences in thermal stability result primarily from previously discussed variations in crystallinity, as well as the relative sulfur content and the surface area of wood and tunicate derived CNCs which are discussed in S8.

**Perspective and outlook.** By processing roughly 20 kg of invasive tunicates to  $H_2SO_4$  hydrolyzed T-CNCs, this work accomplishes the largest scale isolation of T-CNCs reported to date. Learning from the pilot scale development of W-CNCs, we isolated T-CNCs using scalable techniques, with reasonable yield, and of similar properties to those reported for T-CNCs isolated at laboratory scale by others. This represents a significant step toward kilogram scale and eventual commercial scale isolation of T-CNCs on PEI, and in areas where similar tunicate densities are available in local waters. The overall yield of our pretreatment (31%) and acid hydrolysis (42%) of the tunic powder was within the range of values reported for laboratory scale tunicate to T-CNC processes. Overall, the yield of T-CNCs from our process was 12.2% based on the dry weight of the tunic powder and T-CNCs isolated therefrom. Experimentally determined aspect ratios, crystallinity and some thermal properties of the T-CNCs exceeded those of W-CNCs, as expected; and were similar to those found for T-CNCs prepared at laboratory scale by others. Replicate trials that implement the numerous potential process improvements described here would likely lead to a considerable increase in yield and quality of T-CNCs at this scale, and we feel that the proposed improvements themselves are scalable in nature. Other procedures for T-CNC isolation may be scalable, and modified from literature in a likewise manner. These may yield T-CNCs of similar or improved properties based on the process conditions, tunicate source and degree of process optimization.

We posit that the future commercial scale isolation of tunicate derived CNC is feasible and that the unique properties of these T-CNCs, which complement the growing global utilization of nanocellulose materials, justify this pursuit. We chose an invasive species negatively affecting local aquaculture communities in PEI and across Atlantic Canada as the T-CNC source. This allows us to demonstrate the unique conditions that currently exist on PEI, which mitigate the historic challenges of tunicate harvesting and T-CNC isolation at commercial scale. These conditions are not limited to Atlantic Canada and entities around the globe are currently harvesting tunicates at commercial scale for their proteinaceous components. Regardless of the driving force, tunicates will ultimately be considered and perhaps utilized as a large-scale source of numerous value-added products, including their unique animal-derived high aspect ratio cellulose, for commercial T-CNC isolation. This study lays tangible groundwork towards that goal, directly demonstrating the feasibility and results of kilogram scale tunicates to T-CNC processing, and promoting the wide spread utilization of both invasive and native tunicates to produce useful and sustainable materials for the benefit of our growing global community.

### Methods

A more detailed description of the T-CNC and W-CNC isolation process is provided in section (S1). What follows are general descriptions of the equipment and techniques utilized to obtain the reported experimental data and is complimented further in the Supplementary Information.

**Elemental analysis.** The sulfur, sodium and calcium content of the prepared CNCs was determined using Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) (Ultima II, Horiba Jobin–Yvon, Edison, NJ, USA) using previously developed protocols<sup>88</sup>.

The qualitative elemental composition of the dried tunic powder used to prepare the T-CNCs was investigated with a JEOL JSM6400 Digital SEM, using the equipped EDX (Genesis) Energy Dispersive X-ray system. Digital X-ray maps were obtained from powdered samples which were mounted to carbon tape and carbon coated for conductivity prior to imaging.

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM).** To assess the morphology of the T-CNC and W-CNC, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) micrographs were obtained on a JEOL 2011 STEM instrument. Dilute

(0.001 wt%) colloidal suspensions were cast onto etched copper coated grids and air-dried prior to imaging. The average length, width and aspect ratio were calculated from at least 50 measurements from 5–10 representative micrographs of each sample using Image J software.

**Fourier-transform infrared spectrometry (FTIR).** Attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared spectrometry (ATR-FTIR) was performed to understand the functional groups present, screen for impurities and to calculate the Lateral Order Index (LOI) and Total Crystallinity Index (TCI) of the isolated T-CNCs and W-CNCs. A Bruker Alpha FTIR spectrometer (Alpha-P) was utilized with OPUS software, 32 scans were averaged against background scans to yield the reported spectra in the range of 4000 to 500 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The measured transmittance values were converted to absorbance and the magnitude of the absorbance at 2900, 1430, 1375 and 897 cm<sup>-1</sup> was used to determine LOI and TCI.

**Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).** Thermal properties were assessed with the aid of Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) which yielded thermal decomposition profiles for T-NCC and W-CNCs, as well as their first derivative with respect to weight (DTGA) thermograms. Experiments were performed on a TA Instruments TGA Q500 under an oxidizing atmosphere (60 mL/min compressed air, 40 mL/min nitrogen) from room temperature to 700 °C, using a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Inert atmosphere thermograms were obtained by first purging the sealed sample chamber for 30 min with a 100 mL/min nitrogen flow rate, after which the sample was heated at 10 °C/min to 700 °C under nitrogen.

**X-ray diffraction (XRD).** X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed to assess the crystallinity of the isolated T-CNC and W-CNC used in this work. Aqueous CNC samples (0.5 wt%) were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen prior to lyophilization to obtain the dry CNC sample for analysis. The utilized Bruker AXS D8 Advance instrument was equipped with a graphite monochromator, variable divergence slit, variable anti-scatter slit and a scintillation detector. Cu (Ka) was the radiation source used (k = 1.542 A°) and the measurements were performed on glass slides with a double-sided scotch tape adhesive, in air, at room temperature, from 2° to 60° (2 $\theta$ ).

**Raman spectroscopy.** For estimations of crystallinity by Raman spectroscopy methods (380-Raman and 93-Raman<sup>89–91</sup>), sample pellets were prepared with a pellet-forming die. Approximately 100 mg of T-CNCs and W-CNCs were used for making pellets. The CNCs were analyzed with a Bruker (Billerica, MA) Multi-Ram equipped with a 1064-nm 1,000 mW continuous wave (CW) diode pumped Nd:YAG laser. Spectra were recorded from 2,048 co-added scans using 600 mW laser excitation, as reported previously<sup>92</sup>.

In all cases, Bruker OPUS 7.2 software was used to process the spectral data which involved normalization, selection of a spectral region, background correction, and band integration. Background correction was performed using a 64 points OPUS "rubberband option". For plotting purposes, the spectra were converted to ASCII format and exported to Excel.

CNCs crystallinity was estimated using two Raman methods—380-Raman<sup>89,90</sup> and 93-Raman<sup>91</sup>. The following two equations were used to estimate these crystallinities.

$$\operatorname{CrI}_{380-\operatorname{Raman}} = \frac{\left( \left( \frac{I_{380}/I_{1096}) - 0.0286}{0.0065} \right) + 2.0212 \right)}{0.8222} \tag{1}$$

$$CrI_{93-Raman} = \frac{\left(\frac{I_{93}}{I_{1096}}\right) - 0.0182}{0.0029}$$
(2)

**Rheometry.** A stress-controlled Anton Paar rheometer (MCR 502) was used to carry out the rheological measurements at 25 °C. Couette and double-Couette flow geometries were used for different samples. The region of linear viscoelasticity was first determined by performing strain-sweep tests. The viscoelastic behavior of the suspensions was determined from frequency sweep tests in the linear regime. The steady shear test was performed from low to high shear rate. The reproducibility of all data was investigated by repeating the tests three times. To eliminate the history effect, all samples were pre-sheared at shear of 100 s<sup>-1</sup> for 5 min followed by 30 min rest prior to all subsequent tests. To assure homogeneity of the suspensions and eliminate aging effect all the samples were ultrasonicated using a Sonics & Materials VCX500 probe, operating at 20 kHz, at a power of 60 W and energy of 10,000 J/g<sub>CNC</sub>, operated in pulses with suspensions placed in an ice bath to avoid overheating.

Received: 24 August 2020; Accepted: 23 October 2020 Published online: 05 November 2020

#### References

- 1. Dumanli, A. G. et al. Controlled, bio-inspired self-assembly of cellulose-based chiral reflectors. Adv. Opt. Mater. 2(7), 646–650 (2014).
- An, X., Long, Y. & Ni, Y. Cellulose nanocrystal/hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide/silver nanoparticle composite as a catalyst for reduction of 4-nitrophenol. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 156, 253–258 (2017).
- 3. Mautner, A. et al. Nanopapers for organic solvent nanofiltration. Chem. Commun. 50(43), 5778–5781 (2014).

- 4. Domingues, R. M., Gomes, M. E. & Reis, R. L. The potential of cellulose nanocrystals in tissue engineering strategies. *Biomacromol* 15(7), 2327–2346 (2014).
- Peng, B. L. Chemistry and applications of nanocrystalline cellulose and its derivatives: a nanotechnology perspective. Can. J. Chem. Eng. 89, 1191–1206 (2011).
- Kabir, A., Dunlop, M. J., Acharya, B., Bissessur, R. & Ahmed, M. Polymeric composites with embedded nanocrystalline cellulose for the removal of iron(II) from contaminated water. *Polymers* 10(12), 1377 (2018).
- Moon, R. J., Martini, A., Nairn, J., Simonsen, J. & Youngblood, J. Cellulose nanomaterials review: structure, properties and nanocomposites. Chem. Soc. Rev. 40(7), 3941–3994 (2011).
- Chen, G. et al. Scale-up of production of bacterial nanocellulose using submerged cultivation. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 93(12), 3418–3427 (2018).
- 9. El Achaby, M., Kassab, Z., Aboulkas, A., Gaillard, C. & Barakat, A. Reuse of red algae waste for the production of cellulose nanocrystals and its application in polymer nanocomposites. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **106**, 681–691 (2018).
- Zhao, Y. & Li, J. Excellent chemical and material cellulose from tunicates: diversity in cellulose production yield and chemical and morphological structures from different tunicate species. *Cellulose* 21(5), 3427–3441 (2014).
- 11. Jonoobi, M. et al. Different preparation methods and properties of nanostructured cellulose from various natural resources and residues: a review. Cellulose 22(2), 935–969 (2015).
- 12. Park, C., Han, S. & Namgung, H. Overview of the preparation methods of nano-scale cellulose. J. Korea TAPPI 49(1), 9–17 (2017).
- Miller, J. Nanocellulose: technology applications, and markets. In: TAPPI International Conference on Nanotechnology for Renewable Materials (2014).
- 14. Miller, J. Cellulose nanomaterials: state of the industry the road to commercialization. PAPER DAYS 2017 (2017).
- Kim, N. & Walker, C. Advancing commercialization of nanocellulose: critical challenges workshop. Washington, DC: U.S.D.A. Forest Service and National Nanotechnology Coordinating Office in support of the Sustainable Nanomanufacturing Signature Initiative; May 7–8, 2019.
- 16. Masaoka, S., Ohe, T. & Sakota, N. Production of cellulose from glucose by *Acetobacter xylinum*. J. Ferment. Bioeng. 75(1), 18–22 (1993).
- 17. Natarajan, B. *et al.* Binary cellulose nanocrystal blends for bioinspired damage tolerant photonic films. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **28**(26), 1800032 (2018).
- 18. Dunlop, M. J., Acharya, B. & Bissessur, R. Isolation of nanocrystalline cellulose from tunicates. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 6(4), 4408–4412 (2018).
- Peng, B. L., Dhar, N., Liu, H. L. & Tam, K. C. Chemistry and applications of nanocrystalline cellulose and its derivatives: a nanotechnology perspective. *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* 89(5), 1191–1206 (2011).
- 20. Trache, D. et al. Nanocellulose: from fundamentals to advanced applications. Front. Chem. 8, 392 (2020).
- Šturcová, A., Davies, G. R. & Eichhorn, S. J. Elastic modulus and stress-transfer properties of tunicate cellulose whiskers. *Biomacromol* 6(2), 1055–1061 (2005).
- Beuguel, Q., Tavares, J. R., Carreau, P. J. & Heuzey, M. Rheological behavior of cellulose nanocrystal suspensions in polyethylene glycol. J. Rheol. 62(2), 607 (2018).
- Wu, Q., Li, X., Fu, S., Li, Q. & Wang, S. Estimation of aspect ratio of cellulose nanocrystals by viscosity measurement: influence of surface charge density and NaCl concentration. *Cellulose* 24(8), 3255–3264 (2017).
- 24. Natarajan, B. N. *et al.* Hierarchical cellulose nanocrystal blends for bioinspired damage tolerant photonic films. *Adv. Mater.* 28, 1800032 (2018).
- Dunlop, M. J., Acharya, B. & Bissessur, R. Study of plant and tunicate based nanocrystalline cellulose in hybrid polymeric nanocomposites. *Cellulose* 27(1), 249–261 (2020).
- van den Berg, O., Capadona, J. R. & Weder, C. Preparation of homogeneous dispersions of tunicate cellulose whiskers in organic solvents. *Biomacromol* 8(4), 1353–1357 (2007).
- Cao, L., Fu, X., Xu, C., Yin, S. & Chen, Y. High-performance natural rubber nanocomposites with marine biomass (tunicate cellulose). *Cellulose* 24(7), 2849–2860 (2017).
- Favier, V., Chanzy, H. & Cavaille, J. Y. Polymer nanocomposites reinforced by cellulose whiskers. *Macromolecules* 28(18), 6365–6367 (1995).
- Iwamoto, S., Kai, W., Isogai, A. & Iwata, T. Elastic modulus of single cellulose microfibrils from tunicate measured by atomic force microscopy. *Biomacromol* 10(9), 2571–2576 (2009).
- Zhao, Y., Zhang, Y., Lindström, M. E. & Li, J. Tunicate cellulose nanocrystals: preparation, neat films and nanocomposite films with glucomannans. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 117, 286–296 (2015).
- Rusli, R., Shanmuganathan, K., Rowan, S. J., Weder, C. & Eichhorn, S. J. Stress transfer in cellulose nanowhisker composites—influence of whisker aspect ratio and surface charge. *Biomacromol* 12(4), 1363 (2011).
- 32. Schroers, M., Kokil, A. & Weder, C. Solid polymer electrolytes based on nanocomposites of ethylene oxide-epichlorohydrin copolymers and cellulose whiskers. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **93**(6), 2883–2888 (2004).
- Favier, V., Chanzy, H. & Cavaillé, J. Y. Polymer nanocomposites reinforced by cellulose whiskers. *Macromolecules* 28, 6365–6367 (1995).
- 34. Sacui, I. A. et al. Comparison of the properties of cellulose nanocrystals and cellulose nanofibrils isolated from bacteria, tunicate, and wood processed using acid, enzymatic, mechanical, and oxidative methods. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 6(9), 6127–6138 (2014).
- Jorfi, M., Roberts, M. N., Foster, E. J. & Weder, C. Physiologically responsive, mechanically adaptive bio-nanocomposites for biomedical applications. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 5, 1517–1526 (2013).
- Shanmuganathan, K. Bio-inspired mechanically-adaptive nanocomposites derived from cotton cellulose whiskers. J. Mater. Chem. 20, 180–186 (2010).
- Reiner, R. S. & Rudie, A. W. Process scale-up of cellulose nanocrystal production to 25 kg per batch at the forest products laboratory. In *Production and Applications of Cellulose Nanomaterials* Vol. 1 21–24 (TAPPI Press, Atlanta, 2013).
- Bercea, M. & Navard, P. Shear dynamics of aqueous suspensions of cellulose whiskers. *Macromolecules* 33(16), 6011–6016 (2000).
   Ramsay, A., Davidson, J., Landry, T. & Arsenault, G. Process of invasiveness among exotic tunicates in Prince Edward Island, Canada. *Biol. Invas.* 10(8), 1311–1316 (2008).
- Locke, A., Doe, K. G., Fairchild, W. L., Jackman, P. M., Reese, E. & Carman, M.R. Preliminary evaluation of effects of invasive tunicate management with acetic acid and calcium hydroxide on non-target marine organisms in Prince Edward Island, Canada (2009).
- LeBlanc, N., Davidson, J., Tremblay, R., McNiven, M. & Landry, T. The effect of anti-fouling treatments for the clubbed tunicate on the blue mussel, *Mytilus edulis*. Aquaculture 264(1), 205–213 (2007).
- 42. Guyondet, T., Patanasatienkul, T., Comeau, L. A., Landry, T. & Davidson, J. Preliminary model of tunicate infestation impacts on seston availability and organic sedimentation in longline mussel farms. *Aquaculture* **465**, 387–394 (2016).
- Canadian Aquaculture Production Statistics. Fisheries and Oceans Canada [Internet]; 2019 [updated 11–27; cited Apr 22, 2020]. https://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/stats/aqua/aqua18-eng.htm (2018).
- Canadian Aquaculture R&D Review 2009 [Internet]; 2016 [updated 08–08; cited Apr 22, 2020]. https://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/aquaculture/sci-res/rd2009/shell-eng.html.

- McKindsey, C. W., Lecuona, M., Huot, M. & Weise, A. M. Biodeposit production and benthic loading by farmed mussels and associated tunicate epifauna in Prince Edward Island. *Aquaculture* 295(1), 44–51 (2009).
- 46. Lucas, J. Aquaculture. Curr. Biol. 25(22), R1064-R1065 (2015).
- Filgueira, R., Strople, L. C., Strohmeier, T., Rastrick, S. & Strand, Ø. Mussels or tunicates: that is the question. Evaluating efficient and sustainable resource use by low-trophic species in aquaculture settings. J. Clean. Prod. 231, 132–143 (2019).
- Troedsson, C., Thompson, E., Bouquet, J., Magnesen, T., Schander, C. & Li, J. Inventors; Bergen Teknologioverføring As, Golding, Louise, assignee. WO patent WO2013088177A1 (2013).
- Christofer, T., Eric, T., Christoffer, S., Jean-Marie, B., Thorolf, M. & Jiebing, L. Inventors; Bergen Teknologioverforing AS, assignee. Method for farming ascidians. United States patent US10226032B2. 2019 March 12.
- 50. Morris, R. H., Abbott, D. P. & Haderlie, E. C. Intertidal Invertebrates of California (Stanford University Press, Stanford, 1980).
- 51. Locke, A. & Carman, M. Market potential for Styela clava, a non-indigenous pest invading New England coastal waters. Aquat.
- Invas. 4(1), 295–297 (2009).
  52. Clarke, C. L. & Therriault, T.W. Biological synopsis of the invasive tunicate *Styela clava* (Herdman 1881). Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Science Branch, Pacific Region, Pacific (2007).
- Durán, N., Lemes, A. P., Durán, M., Freer, J. & Baeza, J. A minireview of cellulose nanocrystals and its potential integration as co-product in bioethanol production. J. Chil. Chem. Soc. 56(2), 672–677 (2011).
- Belton, P. S., Tanner, S. F., Cartier, N. & Chanzy, H. High-resolution solid-state carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy of tunicin, an animal cellulose. *Macromolecules* 22(4), 1615–1617 (1989).
- Shanmuganathan, K., Capadona, J. R., Rowan, S. J. & Weder, C. Stimuli-responsive mechanically adaptive polymer nanocomposites. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2(1), 165–174 (2010).
- 56. Yuan, H., Nishiyama, Y., Wada, M. & Kuga, S. Surface acylation of cellulose whiskers by drying aqueous emulsion. *Biomacromol* 7(3), 696 (2006).
- Darpentigny, C., Molina-Boisseau, S., Nonglaton, G., Bras, J. & Jean, B. Ice-templated freeze-dried cryogels from tunicate cellulose nanocrystals with high specific surface area and anisotropic morphological and mechanical properties. *Cellulose* 27(1), 233–247 (2020).
- Zhang, T., Cheng, Q., Ye, D. & Chang, C. Tunicate cellulose nanocrystals reinforced nanocomposite hydrogels comprised by hybrid cross-linked networks. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 169, 139–148 (2017).
- Cheng, Q., Ye, D., Chang, C. & Zhang, L. Facile fabrication of superhydrophilic membranes consisted of fibrous tunicate cellulose nanocrystals for highly efficient oil/water separation. J. Membr. Sci. 525, 1–8 (2017).
- 60. Zhang, Y., Cheng, Q., Chang, C. & Zhang, L. Phase transition identification of cellulose nanocrystal suspensions derived from various raw materials. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 135(24), 45702 (2018).
- Zhao, Y. Cellulose nanofibers from softwood, hardwood, and tunicate: preparation-structure-film performance interrelation. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9, 13508–13519 (2017).
- Koo, Y., Wang, Y., You, S. & Kim, H. Preparation and properties of chemical cellulose from ascidian tunic and their regenerated cellulose fibers. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 85(8), 1634–1643 (2002).
- Hultin, H. O. & Kelleher, S. D. Inventors; University of Massachusetts (UMass), assignee. High efficiency alkaline protein extraction. US patent US6136959A (2000).
- Ruppert, E. E., Fox, R. S. & Barnes, R. D. Invertebrate Zoology: A Functional Evolutionary Approach 7th edn. (Thomson-Brooks/ Cole, Belmont, 2004).
- Brand, S. G., Hawkins, C. J., Marshall, A. T., Nette, G. W. & Parry, D. L. Vanadium chemistry of ascidians. Compos. Biochem. Physiol. 93, 425–436 (1989).
- Dingley, A. L., Kustin, K., Macara, I. G., McLeod, G. C. & Roberts, M. F. Vanadium-containing tunicate blood cells are not highly acidic. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 720, 384–389 (1982).
- Webb, D. A. Observations on the blood of certain ascidians, with special reference to the biochemistry of vanadium. J. Exp. Biol. 16, 499–523 (1939).
- Yu, H. *et al.* Facile extraction of thermally stable cellulose nanocrystals with a high yield of 93% through hydrochloric acid hydrolysis under hydrothermal conditions. J. Mater. Chem. A 1(12), 3938–3944 (2013).
- Elazzouzi-Hafraoui, S. et al. The shape and size distribution of crystalline nanoparticles prepared by acid hydrolysis of native cellulose. Biomacromol 9(1), 57–65 (2008).
- 70. Hamad, W. Y. & Hu, T. Q. Structure-process-yield interrelations in nanocrystalline cellulose extraction. *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* 88(3), 392–402 (2010).
- Beck-Candanedo, S., Roman, M. & Gray, D. G. Effect of reaction conditions on the properties and behavior of wood cellulose nanocrystal suspensions. *Biomacromol* 6(2), 1048–1054 (2005).
- Reid, M. S., Villalobos, M. & Cranston, E. D. Benchmarking cellulose nanocrystals: from the laboratory to industrial production. Langmuir 33(7), 1583–1598 (2017).
- 73. Redondo, A. et al. Melt-spun nanocomposite fibers reinforced with aligned tunicate nanocrystals. Polymers 11(12), 1912 (2019).
- Lenfant, G., Heuzey, M., van de Ven, T. G. M. & Carreau, P. J. A comparative study of ECNC and CNC suspensions: effect of salt on rheological properties. *Rheol. Acta* 56(1), 51–62 (2017).
- Sojoudiasli, H., Heuzey, M., Carreau, P. J. & Riedl, B. Rheological behavior of suspensions of modified and unmodified cellulose nanocrystals in dimethyl sulfoxide. *Rheol. Acta* 56(7–8), 673–682 (2017).
- Moberg, T. et al. Rheological properties of nanocellulose suspensions: effects of fibril/particle dimensions and surface characteristics. Cellulose 24(6), 2499–2510 (2017).
- 77. Xu, Y., Atrens, A. & Stokes, J. R. Advances in colloid and interface science. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 269, 309–333 (2019).
- Wu, Q. et al. Rheological behavior of cellulose nanocrystal suspension: influence of concentration and aspect ratio. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 131(15), 40525.1-40525.8 (2014).
- Reiner, R. S. & Rudie, A. W. Pilot plant scale-up of TEMPO-pretreated cellulose nanofibrils. In Production and Applications of Cellulose Nanomaterials 177–178 (TAPPI Press, Atlanta, 2013).
- Fernandes Diniz, J. M. B., Gil, M. H. & Castro, J. A. A. M. Hornification—its origin and interpretation in wood pulps. Wood Sci. Technol. 37(6), 489–494 (2004).
- Agarwal, U. P. Raman spectroscopy in the analysis of cellulose nanomaterials. In Nanocelluloses: Their Preparation, Properties, and Applications 75–90 (American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 2017).
- Chen, L. et al. Tailoring the yield and characteristics of wood cellulose nanocrystals (CNC) using concentrated acid hydrolysis. Cellulose 22(3), 1753–1762 (2015).
- 83. Foster, E. J. et al. Current characterization methods for cellulose nanomaterials. Chem. Soc. Rev. 47(8), 2609–2679 (2018).
- 84. Park, S. Cellulose crystallinity index: measurement techniques and their impact on interpreting cellulase performance. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **3**, 10 (2010).
- 85. George, J. *et al.* Enhancement of thermal stability associated with the chemical treatment of bacterial (*Gluconacetobacter xylinus*) cellulose. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. **108**(3), 1845–1851 (2008).
- Nicharat, A., Sapkota, J., Weder, C. & Foster, E. J. Melt processing of polyamide 12 and cellulose nanocrystals nanocomposites. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 132(45), 42752 (2015).

- Jun, S. Y., Park, J., Song, H. & Shin, H. Tunicate cellulose nanocrystals as stabilizers for PLGA-based polymeric nanoparticles. Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng. 25(2), 206–214 (2020).
- Chen, S. Experimental and modeling investigation of cellulose nanocrystals polymer composite fiber. Purdue University. Open access dissertations. p. 435. https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/open\_access\_dissertations/435 (2015).
- Agarwal, U. P., Reiner, R. S. & Ralph, S. A. Cellulose I crystallinity determination using FT-Raman spectroscopy: univariate and multivariate methods. *Cellulose* 17(4), 721–733 (2010).
- Agarwal, U. P., Reiner, R. R. & Ralph, S. A. Estimation of cellulose crystallinity of lignocelluloses using near-IR FT-Raman spectroscopy and comparison of the Raman and Segal-WAXS methods. J. Agric. Food Chem. 61(1), 103–113 (2013).
- Agarwal, U. P., Ralph, S. A., Reiner, R. S. & Baez, C. New cellulose crystallinity estimation method that differentiates between organized and crystalline phases. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 190, 262–270 (2018).
- Agarwal, U. P., Ralph, S. A., Reiner, R. S. & Baez, C. Probing crystallinity of never-dried wood cellulose with Raman spectroscopy. *Cellulose* 23(1), 125–144 (2016).

# Acknowledgements

The authors wish to sincerely thank Faculty of Sustainable Design Engineering and the Department of Chemistry at UPEI and the Forest Product Laboratory for providing resources to carry out this study. We gratefully acknowledge Mr. Chuck Terrio of the UPEI Faculty of Sustainable Design Engineering for aid in the tunic powder preparation. As well as Mr. Aaron Ramsay of PEI Fisheries & Aquaculture for kindly procuring the tunicates used in this work. We would also like to thank Mr. Philip Walsh of the Engineered Composites Science Unit in the Forest Product Laboratory for his generous aid provided to this project. We acknowledge Mr. Steven R. Cogswell of the Microscopy and Microanalysis Facility at the University of New Brunswick for his assistance obtaining TEM micrographs of the CNCs studied in this work. Lastly, we would like to thank the Department of Fisheries and Oceans—Atlantic Fisheries Fund (AFF-PEI-34) and Prince Edward Aqua Farms Inc. for financial support.

# Author contributions

M.J.D. collected the raw tunicates and prepared the tunic powder. R.R. preformed the pretreatment, acid hydrolysis, and TFF process necessary to recover the CNCs. H.S. and P.J.C. contributed the rheology section and U.P.A. contributed the Raman section describing the prepared CNCs. Remaining characterization was primarily preformed and assed by M.J.D. at UPEI under the supervision of B.A. and R.B., and at the Forest Product Laboratory in collaboration with R.S. and C.C. The manuscript was primarily written by M.J.D. and was reviewed by all the coauthors. B.A. obtained the funding for the work.

# **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

# Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76144-9.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.A.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020