

## Toxic Equivalency Factors (TEFs) for PCBs, PCDDs, PCDFs for Humans and Wildlife

Martin Van den Berg,<sup>1</sup> Linda Birnbaum,<sup>2</sup> Albertus T.C. Bosveld,<sup>3</sup> Björn Brunström,<sup>4</sup> Philip Cook,<sup>5</sup> Mark Feeley,<sup>6</sup> John P. Giesy,<sup>7</sup> Annika Hanberg,<sup>8</sup> Ryuichi Hasegawa,<sup>9</sup> Sean W. Kennedy,<sup>10</sup> Timothy Kubiak,<sup>11</sup> John Christian Larsen,<sup>12</sup> F.X. Rolaf van Leeuwen,<sup>13</sup> A.K. Djien Liem,<sup>14</sup> Cynthia Nolt,<sup>15</sup> Richard E. Peterson,<sup>16</sup> Lorenz Poellinger,<sup>17</sup> Stephen Safe,<sup>18</sup> Dieter Schrenk,<sup>19</sup> Donald Tillitt,<sup>20</sup> Mats Tysklind,<sup>21</sup> Maged Younes,<sup>22</sup> Fredrik Wærn,<sup>8</sup> and Tim Zacharewski<sup>23</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Institute of Toxicology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands; <sup>2</sup>Experimental Toxicology Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 USA; <sup>3</sup>DLO Institute for Forestry & Nature Research, Wageningen, The Netherlands; <sup>4</sup>Uppsala University, Department of Environmental Toxicology, Uppsala, Sweden; <sup>5</sup>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mid-Continent Ecology Division, Duluth, MN 55804 USA; <sup>6</sup>Toxicological Evaluation Section, Bureau of Chemical Safety, Health Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; <sup>7</sup>Michigan State University, Department of Fisheries & Wildlife, East Lansing, MI 48824 USA; <sup>8</sup>Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>9</sup>Division of Toxicology, National Institute of Health Sciences, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>10</sup>Environment Canada, Canadian Wildlife Service, National Wildlife Research Centre, Hull, Quebec, Canada; <sup>11</sup>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Environmental Contaminants, Arlington, VA 22203 USA; <sup>12</sup>Institute of Toxicology, National Food Agency of Denmark, Ministry of Health, Søborg, Denmark; <sup>13</sup>European Centre for Environment and Health, Bilthoven Division, World Health Organization, Bilthoven, The Netherlands; <sup>14</sup>Laboratory for Organic-Analytical Chemistry, National Institute of Public Health and Environmental Protection, Bilthoven, The Netherlands; <sup>15</sup>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Science Policy, Washington, DC 20460 USA; <sup>16</sup>University of Wisconsin-Madison, School of Pharmacy, Madison, WI 53706 USA; <sup>17</sup>Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Department of Cellular and Molecular Biology, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>18</sup>Veterinary Physiology and Pharmacology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843 USA; <sup>19</sup>Food Chemistry and Environmental Toxicology, University of Kaiserslautern, Kaiserslautern, Germany; <sup>20</sup>Environmental and Contaminants Research Center, U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resource Division, Columbia, MO 65201 USA; <sup>21</sup>Institute of Environmental Chemistry, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; <sup>22</sup>Programme for the Promotion of Chemical Safety, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; <sup>23</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824 USA

An expert meeting was organized by the World Health Organization (WHO) and held in Stockholm on 15–18 June 1997. The objective of this meeting was to derive consensus toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PCDFs) and dioxinlike polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) for both human, fish, and wildlife risk assessment. Based on existing literature data, TEFs were (re)evaluated and either revised (mammals) or established (fish and birds). A few mammalian WHO-TEFs were revised, including 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorinated DD, octachlorinated DD, octachlorinated DF, and PCB 77. These mammalian TEFs are also considered applicable for humans and wild mammalian species. Furthermore, it was concluded that there was insufficient *in vivo* evidence to continue the use of TEFs for some di-*ortho* PCBs, as suggested earlier by Ahlborg et al. [Chemosphere 28:1049–1067 (1994)]. In addition, TEFs for fish and birds were determined. The WHO working group attempted to harmonize TEFs across different taxa to the extent possible. However, total synchronization of TEFs was not feasible, as there were orders of a magnitude difference in TEFs between taxa for some compounds. In this respect, the absent or very low response of fish to mono-*ortho* PCBs is most noticeable compared to mammals and birds. Uncertainties that could compromise the TEF concept were also reviewed, including nonadditive interactions, differences in shape of the dose–response curve, and species responsiveness. In spite of these uncertainties, it was concluded that the TEF concept is still the most plausible and feasible approach for risk assessment of halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons with dioxinlike properties. **Key words:** dioxins, humans, PCBs, polychlorinated biphenyls, TEFs, toxic equivalency, uncertainties, wildlife. *Environ Health Perspect* 106:775–792 (1998). [Online 10 November 1998] <http://ehpnet1.niehs.nih.gov/docs/1998/106p775-792vandenbergaabstract.html>

Polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs), dibenzofurans (PCDFs), and biphenyls (PCBs) constitute a group of persistent environmental chemicals. Due to their hydrophobic nature and resistance towards metabolism, these chemicals have been found in fatty tissues of animals and humans. Several PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs have been shown to cause toxic responses similar to those caused by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD), the most potent congener

within these groups of compounds. These toxic responses include dermal toxicity, immunotoxicity, carcinogenicity, and adverse effects on reproduction, development, and endocrine functions.

PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs exist in environmental and biological samples as complex mixtures of various congeners whose relative concentrations differ across trophic levels. These differences are caused by environmental degradation, which refers

to the different environmental fates of congeners with different solubilities, volatilities, and rates of degradation/metabolism. As a result, these mixtures change spatially and temporally into the environment and are very different from the technical mixtures originally released into the environment.

The complex nature of PCDD, PCDF, and PCB mixtures complicates the risk evaluation for humans, fish, and wildlife. For this purpose, the concept of toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) has been developed and introduced to facilitate risk assessment and regulatory control of exposure to these mixtures. To apply this TEF concept, a fundamental understanding of the mechanism of action is a prerequisite. At present, there is sufficient evidence available that there is a common mechanism for these compounds, involving binding to the aryl hydrocarbon (Ah) receptor as an initial step. When applying the TEF concept, the toxicity of these compounds relative to that of 2,3,7,8-TCDD is determined on the basis of available *in vivo* and *in vitro* data. However, it should also be understood that the TEF concept is based on a number of

Address correspondence to F.X.R. van Leeuwen, European Centre for Environment and Health, Bilthoven Division, World Health Organization, PO Box 10, 3730 AA De Bilt, The Netherlands. We thank Karen Hol and Floor Felix from the European Centre for Environment and Health of the World Health Organization for their assistance in the preparation of this manuscript. Received 4 June 1998; accepted 20 August 1998.

assumptions and has limitations. In this respect, the most basic assumption is that the combined effects of the different congeners are dose or concentration additive, and results of many studies support this assumption.

In the last decade, several different TEF schemes have been developed for PCDDs, PCDFs, and dioxinlike PCBs. Recognizing the need for a harmonized approach in setting internationally agreed upon TEFs, the European Centre of Environmental Health of the World Health Organization (ECEH-WHO) and the International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS) have initiated a program to derive TEFs for these compounds for assessing the impact of these compounds on human and environmental health.

At an initial WHO consultation on the derivation of TEFs for wildlife for dioxinlike compounds, held on 9–10 August 1996 in Bilthoven, The Netherlands, the question was addressed whether it is appropriate to use TEFs derived for the purpose of human risk assessment to estimate the risk for fish and wildlife species. The meeting concluded that there was a need to derive separate sets of TEFs for fish and wildlife, and recommended to combine this effort with a reevaluation and possible update of existing TEFs for human health risk assessment. In addition, it was recommended that the same TEFs for human health and wildlife should be used as much as possible.

For the (re)evaluation process, experimental data on the relative potencies (REPs) of PCDDs, PCDFs, and dioxinlike PCBs for mammalian, avian, and fish species have been collected by the Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden, and inserted into a database. Following collection of all available information, an ECEH-WHO/IPCS meeting was held at the Karolinska Institute on 15–18 June 1997. The objective of the meeting was to assess the REP values in the database and to derive consensus TEFs for PCDDs, PCDFs, and dioxinlike PCBs for both human, fish, and wildlife risk assessment. The results of this process are presented in this paper.

## TEF Concept and Data Requirements

### Toxic Equivalency Factors, Relative Potencies, and Toxic Equivalents

In the literature, there is some confusion regarding the definition of the term toxic equivalency factor (TEF). One reason for confusion is the fact that the term TEF has been used in two different ways: 1) as a relative potency value that is based on the results of several *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies;

or 2) as the relative potency of a compound relative to TCDD to cause a particular toxic or biological effect in a single study. Furthermore, TEF is frequently used to refer to an end point that is not a toxic response per se, such as binding affinity to the aryl hydrocarbon (Ah) receptor or induction of cytochrome P4501A1, although these biochemical effects may in some way be associated with subsequent toxic responses.

It is important to realize that in this paper and associated WHO publications TEF indicates an order of magnitude estimate of the toxicity of a compound relative to TCDD. This consensus TEF value has been derived using careful scientific judgment after considering all available scientific data. However, when the potency of a compound relative to TCDD has been obtained in a single *in vivo* or *in vitro* study, it will be referred to as a REP value.

TEF values, in combination with chemical residue data, can be used to calculate toxic equivalent (TEQ) concentrations in various environmental samples, including animal tissues, soil, sediment, and water. TEQ concentrations in samples are calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{TEQ} = \sum_{i=1} [PCDD_i \times \text{TEF}_i] + \sum_{j=2} [PCDF_j \times \text{TEF}_j] + \sum_{k=3} [PCB_k \times \text{TEF}_k] \quad (1)$$

TEFs and TEQs are used for risk characterization and management purposes, e.g., to help prioritize areas of concern for clean-up. However, in relation to the use of TEFs for abiotic compartments, the biological meaning of these values is obscure. This is caused by the fact that the assumed biological or toxicological effect is influenced by many physicochemical factors before the actual uptake of the compounds by the organism takes place. Nevertheless, TEQ values can be used as relative measures between different abiotic samples, e.g., sediment and soil, to prioritize remedial actions. In relation to the initial transport of these compounds from the abiotic to biotic compartment, it was recognized that congener-specific biota-sediment accumulation factors (BSAFs) can be used to predict the concentrations in fish tissue, after which these can be converted to TEQs using TEFs.

The TEFs presented in this paper apply only to AhR-mediated responses, and this concept assumes a model of dose additivity. In relation to this prerequisite, we decided that for PCDDs, PCDFs, and some planar PCBs there is sufficient evidence from both *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies supporting the dose or concentration-additivity model for Ah receptor-mediated responses. In addition, it should be emphasized that the TEF concept cannot be applied to effects that

are not Ah receptor-mediated, and it does not consider modulating effects of compounds that are not Ah receptor ligands.

TEF values were determined for the following classes of vertebrates: mammals, fish, and birds. TEFs that were determined for mammalian species were also considered to be applicable for human risk assessment purposes. It was concluded that, to date, not enough information was available to determine REP values in amphibians and reptiles, such that TEFs could not be proposed for these classes of vertebrates. At this time, development of TEFs for invertebrates is not recommended because there is limited evidence for ligand activation of AhR or for TCDD-like toxicity in invertebrates.

The criteria for including a compound in a fish and wildlife TEF scheme are the same criteria as those used for the derivation human TEFs (1). These are 1) a compound must show a structural relationship to the PCDDs and PCDFs; 2) a compound must bind to the Ah receptor; 3) a compound must elicit Ah receptor-mediated biochemical and toxic responses; and 4) a compound must be persistent and accumulate in the food chain.

### Compilation of the Database

Since 1993, the data of all available mammalian, bird, and fish studies on relative toxicity of dioxinlike compounds that meet the criteria of inclusion in a TEF scheme (1) have been collected, and this information has been stored in a database at the Karolinska Institute in Stockholm (Sweden). These publications were analyzed and the data to be included in the database were selected using the following criteria:

1. At least one PCDD, PCDF, or PCB congener and a reference compound must be included in the study.
2. Either TCDD or PCB 126 must be included as a reference compound in the same experiment or studied with the same experimental design by the same authors in another experiment.
3. The relevant end point should be affected by the congener studied as well as the reference compound.

PCB 126 was used as reference in some cases, with an assigned REP of 0.1 for mammals and birds or 0.005 for fish, based on a variety of *in vivo* studies covering various end points. The use of PCB 77 and PCB 169 as reference compounds was no longer included in this evaluation due to the limited comparative information available for these two compounds. Only dioxin-specific end points were included in the database (see criteria 3).

However, it is recognized that some endpoints such as liver enlargement and tumor promotion are affected by both dioxinlike and nondioxinlike halogenated aryl hydrocarbons (HAHs; e.g., mono- or di-*ortho* PCBs). In addition, several biological or toxic effects have been described for PCBs, for example, which are probably not related to an Ah receptor-mediated mechanism of action. Among others, these effects include a decrease in dopamine levels (2,3), alterations in retinoid and thyroid hormone levels (4,5), and binding to the estrogen receptor (6). These effects show distinctly different structure-activity relationships for PCBs than those observed for Ah receptor binding involving multiple *ortho* chlorine substitution or hydroxylated metabolites. Based on the four criteria mentioned earlier, these effects, how biologically relevant they might be, are not covered in this TEF concept. For these compounds and their associated effects, a different approach for risk assessment is needed, which might possibly involve the development of an alternative toxic equivalency concept.

Compounds included in the database are the 2,3,7,8-substituted PCDDs and PCDFs and those PCBs with established dioxinlike activity, especially the non- and mono-*ortho* PCBs. We agreed that there are a large number of other halogenated compounds that meet the criteria for inclusion in the TEF concept and could contribute to the total concentration of TEQs in environmental samples. These include any or all of the following classes of polychlorinated compounds: naphthalenes, diphenyl ethers, diphenyl toluenes, phenoxy anisoles, biphenyl anisoles, xanthenes, xanthenes, anthracenes, fluorenes, dihydroanthracenes, biphenyl methanes, phenylxylylethanes, dibenzothiophenes, quaterphenyls, quaterphenyl ethers, and biphenylenes. In addition to the chlorinated compounds, brominated and chloro/bromo-substituted analogues of PCDDs (PBDDs) and PCDFs (PBDFs) have been found in the environment (7–10) and are known to induce CYP1A1 activity *in vivo* and *in vitro* (11). PBDDs and PBDFs have also been shown to cause developmental toxicity, and REPs have been determined in mammals and fish (12–14). However, it was decided that, at present, insufficient environmental and toxicological data are available to establish a TEF value for any of the above compounds.

### Calculation of REPs

The following methods were used to derive REPs from the available data:

- REPs were used as reported in each publication; if experimental data were also reported, these were used to calculate the REPs using one of the methods below.

- REPs were calculated by comparing dose-response curves or by using linear interpolation of log-doses, comparing the same effect level; if necessary, corrections were made for different control levels.
- REPs were determined from ratios of medium effective dose ( $ED_{50}$ ), median lethal dose ( $LD_{50}$ ), and median effective concentration ( $EC_{50}$ ) values, tumor promotion indexes, dissociation constant ( $K_d$ ) values for Ah receptor binding, or directly estimated from the graphs presented.

All the data were compiled into a spreadsheet format using Quattro Pro (version 6.0) for Windows (Corel Corporation Limited). The database used for the derivation of TEF values is available from The European Centre for Environment and Health, World Health Organization, Bilthoven, The Netherlands.

## Basis for the Review Process

### TEFs for Mammalian Wildlife

The TEF values, which are mainly based on rodent studies, should be suitable for both human risk assessment and for estimating the risk for species of mammalian wildlife.

Based on two semichronic studies with mink and TCDD and PCB 169, the REP of PCB 169 was evaluated. In the first study with adult male mink, a 28-day oral  $LD_{50}$  value (single dose) of 4.2  $\mu$ g TCDD/kg body weight (bw) was reported (15,16), and a 28-day dietary median lethal concentration ( $LC_{50}$ ) of 4.3 ppb was calculated for female mink. In the second study, PCB 169 was given to mink via the diet (17). Exposure of adult females to dietary concentrations of 0.05 mg PCB 169/kg bw for 131 days resulted in 50% mortality. Assuming a daily feed consumption of 150 g and a body weight of 1 kg, the total intake of PCB 169 was about 1,000  $\mu$ g/kg bw. By using the  $LD_{50}$  values of 4.2 for TCDD and 1,000 for PCB 169, a value of 0.0042 can be calculated as a first estimate for the relative potency of PCB 169 in mink. Based on these calculations, the previously assigned TEF value of 0.01 for PCB 169 for human risk assessment seems fairly reasonable for mink and gives some support to the use of similar TEF values for humans and wild mammals.

The TEF concept is further supported in mink by comparison of the no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) derived from a meta-analysis of mink reproductive toxicity data (18) with the NOAEL calculated from a mink laboratory reproductive study in which the diets were subject to complete chemical characterization (19). These two independent analyses of the TEF approach,

utilizing different effects data sets and the same set of international TEFs (1,20) for the assessment of mink reproductive toxicity, confirmed one another. Overall these results suggest that the relative potencies of the PCBs, PCDDs, and PCDFs toward mink reproductive toxicity are not different from those of the rodent models from which most of the data were derived.

One argument that might be considered to refine future risk assessment of mammalian wildlife is the substance-specific biotransformation capacity of different mammalian species. Certain marine mammals might have a higher capacity to metabolize dioxins and dioxinlike congeners than certain terrestrial mammals, but due to their position in the foodchain and strictly aquatic diet, body burdens can still be high. Due to these differences in metabolic CYP1A1 capacities, it has been suggested that terrestrial mammals, when compared to highly exposed marine mammals, may experience a greater threat from dioxinlike compounds, e.g., PCB 126, than mammalian top predators in the aquatic food webs (21–25).

Furthermore, pharmacokinetic differences will undoubtedly contribute to the interspecies variability observed with different congeners. At present, the mammalian TEF scheme is based on administered dose. Although it has been discussed whether mammalian TEFs should be derived based on target tissue concentrations, this is at present considered not feasible due to the limited amount of scientific information available.

### TEFs for Ah Receptor-mediated Toxicity for Fish

Fish, including all salmonids that have been studied, express CYP4501A activity (26,27), which is an Ah receptor-mediated process and can be induced by many (halogenated) aromatic hydrocarbons (28–31). This mechanism indicates that fish should be sensitive to the effects of Ah receptor-active compounds; but compared to mammals, fish are less responsive to mono-*ortho* PCBs. The hepatic cytochrome P450-dependent enzymes in fish are considered to be functionally similar to those of mammals (32). In those instances where adequate data are available, it appears that fish enzymes are influenced by some of the same factors that affect these enzymes in mammals: species (32), strain (33), size and/or age (34), developmental stage (35), sex (36), reproductive state (37), nutrition (38), and exposure to certain types of organic xenobiotic inducers as well as environmental conditions (39).

The inclusion of fish in the TEF concept is justified by the common Ah receptor-mediated mechanism of response; this was confirmed by many toxicological and

biochemical studies during the last decades. Fish-specific REPs have been derived from mortality in early life stages in rainbow trout (40–42) and from *in vivo* CYP1A induction in adult rainbow trout (43) and *in vitro* in rainbow trout liver (RTL-W1) (44–46) and gonadal cell lines (47). These have been developed for ecological risk assessments involving fish exposed to complex mixtures

of dioxinlike PCBs, PCDDs, and PCDFs. Generally, the REPs derived from CYP1A induction either *in vivo* or *in vitro* are higher than those derived from early life stage mortality (48). Injection of trout eggs with TCDD has been shown to result in the same effects and LD<sub>50</sub> as with maternal transfer of the chemical (41) and has confirmed the application of the TEF concept in fish.

In addition, it has been established that REPs determined for mortality in rainbow trout sac fry following waterborne exposure are nearly identical to those determined for the same end point after egg injection (40,42,49). Thus, the REPs based on sac fry mortality were not influenced by the route of exposure. Zabel et al. (50) also showed that the REP for PCB 126 in producing lake

**Table 1.** Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for human risk assessment and mammals

Congener	Description	Old TEF	WHO TEF
<b>Dioxins</b>			
1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDD	Based on new <i>in vivo</i> tumor promotion data and CYP1A1/A2 induction potencies from subchronic studies (79–81); a value closer to 1.0 is recommended.	0.5	1
1,2,3,4,7,8-HexaCDD	Limited <i>in vivo</i> data are available, but more recent LD <sub>50</sub> values continue to support the current value (82,83); no revision is recommended.	0.1	0.1
1,2,3,6,7,8-HexaCDD	No new <i>in vivo</i> data. Recent <i>in vitro</i> data support the current value (84); no revision is recommended.	0.1	0.1
1,2,3,7,8,9-HexaCDD	No new <i>in vivo</i> information; no revision is recommended.	0.1	0.1
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HeptaCDD	Two recent semichronic studies indicate values between 0.02 and 0.03 for various end points (85,86); no revision is recommended.	0.01	0.01
OctaCDD	A recalculation of the old data in which exposure versus tissue concentrations were compared suggests that a lower value is more appropriate (87).	0.001	0.0001
<b>Furans</b>			
2,3,7,8-TetraCDF	Recent semichronic studies generally support a value of 0.1 (88,89); less emphasis was put on LD <sub>50</sub> values; no revision is recommended.	0.1	0.1
1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDF	Although new semichronic data suggest that mice are more responsive (81,90), the combined potency values indicate the current value is adequately protective; no revision is recommended.	0.05	0.05
2,3,4,7,8-PentaCDF	Most REPs dealing with relevant toxic end points [e.g., (79)] support the continued use of a value of 0.5. Due to the fact that this congener is a major contributor to total TEQs in biological samples, confirmation of recent immunotoxicity data indicating a higher value is strongly recommended (91); at present, no revision is recommended.	0.5	0.5
1,2,3,4,7,8-HexaCDF	Additional <i>in vivo</i> potency values on nonspecific biological end points (liver, thymus, body weight alterations) are in the range of the current TEF (92); no revision is recommended.	0.1	0.1
1,2,3,6,7,8-HexaCDF	No new information; no revision is recommended.	0.1	0.1
1,2,3,7,8,9-HexaCDF	Very limited data (93) and no new <i>in vitro</i> and QSAR data that suggest a change in value is warranted; no revision is recommended.	0.1	0.1
2,3,4,6,7,8-HexaCDF	Limited new <i>in vitro</i> and QSAR information indicate a change of value is not warranted (93); no revision is recommended.	0.1	0.1
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HeptaCDF	Recent values greater than 0.01 are under discussion due to possible impurity of test compound and the fact that no reference (TCDD/PCB126) was included at the time of studies (94). In addition, the same study reports approximately the same response with 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-heptaCDF, whereas this congener is known to be less persistent <i>in vivo</i> (95). Confirmation of REPs >0.01 is required; no revision is recommended.	0.01	0.01
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HeptaCDF	A very limited data set is available and the same comment holds as for 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-heptaCDF; no revision is recommended.	0.01	0.01
OctaCDF	New <i>in vivo</i> EROD induction potency values (81) and an expected structural similarity with octaCDD for the <i>in vivo</i> situation support a change in analogy with octaCDD.	0.001	0.0001
<b>Non-ortho PCBs</b>			
3,3',4,4'-TetraCB (77) <sup>a</sup>	Recent subchronic studies with EROD induction and hepatic retinol decreases suggest a value around or below 0.0001 (96–98), which is lower than the previous value based on tumor promotion (99); however, impurity and background contamination (e.g. PCB 126) is an identified problem with this congener. A change in value to 0.0001 is recommended.	0.0005	0.0001
3,4,4',5-TetraCB (81)	Based on Ah receptor binding, <i>in vitro</i> CYP1A induction, and QSAR calculations, a value similar to PCB 77 was suggested (100–102). It should be noted that <i>in vitro</i> REPs possibly overestimate the potency of this compound, as in analogy with PCB 77, it is likely to be metabolized efficiently by higher organisms; however, this congener is detectable in certain wildlife species and human samples. A value of 0.0001 is recommended.	–	0.0001
3,3',4,4',5-PentaCB (126)	Multiple <i>in vivo</i> end points in semichronic studies with rats, including CYP1A1/A2 induction, tumor promotion, and hepatic retinol decreases, support the current value (89,103,104); no revision is recommended.	0.1	0.1
3,3',4,4',5,5'-HexaCB (169)	Recent <i>in vivo</i> EROD induction and thymic atrophy values support a conservative value of 0.01 for this congener. Confirmation of recent immunotoxicity data possibly indicating a higher REP is strongly recommended (91,105); no revision is recommended.	0.01	0.01

continued, next page

trout early life stage mortality is similar to the REP for the same response in rainbow trout. These results are significant because they suggest that TCDD-like congeners show similar REPs in rainbow trout and lake trout and support both the use of rainbow trout REPs in assessments of risks to lake trout and, perhaps, other fish species. While this agreement in REPs for PCB 126 between trout species is encouraging, further comparisons of REPs for other potent congeners and more disparate fish species are needed. In relation to environmental risk assessment, the use of early life stage mortality-specific TEFs and the egg toxicity equivalent additivity model predicted that PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs in Great Lakes lake trout are currently below levels that cause early life stage mortality (51). This information is in agreement with recent observations of juvenile lake trout survival in this area of the Great Lakes and gives further support for the use of the TEF concept in fish.

One of the major differences between the TEFs determined for mammals and fish is the lack or low response to mono-*ortho* PCBs in the latter taxa. Even with an extremely sensitive Ah receptor-mediated

effect, the induction of CYP1A1, it was found that fish are very insensitive, if sensitive at all, to mono-*ortho*-substituted PCBs (45,48,52–55). Similar lack of responses toward mono-*ortho* PCBs have been observed for early life stage mortality in rainbow trout (40,42). Based on the above considerations, we decided that fish should be included in the TEF concept, but due to the deviations found for PCBs, fish should be treated as a separate taxa in this TEF evaluation.

### TEFs for Birds

Although many studies with young or adult birds have shown dioxinlike toxic and biochemical effects of TCDD and PCBs, these studies were not designed for REPs of compounds to be reliably derived (56–63). At present, TEFs for birds can only be derived from egg injection studies, studies with cultured avian hepatocytes, and studies with cultured thymus cells.

The end points mentioned above have been studied in a number of avian species. These species include domestic chicken, duck, domestic goose, turkey, pheasant, gull, common tern, double-crested cormorant, and American kestrel (64–68). As

with fish and mammals, Ah receptor-mediated CYP1A1 induction has been found in a large number of avian species, clearly indicating an Ah receptor responsiveness in this taxa. This justifies the inclusion of birds in the TEF concept. The induction of CYP1A1 in avian systems has been studied for most of the environmentally relevant PCDDs, PCDFs, and dioxinlike PCBs. However, the number of studies that could be used for this evaluation was limited. REPs for Tier 1 studies were not available for PCDDs and PCDFs, but for some PCBs, Tier 1 studies (*in ovo* lethality) were available. In general, REPs for ethoxyresorufin-*O*-deethylase (EROD) induction for dioxins, furans, and non-*ortho*-PCBs in birds are in the same range as those reported from mammalian systems. The major exception is TCDF, which can be more potent than TCDD in hepatocyte cultures from several species of birds (65,66,69).

Based on studies with chicken embryos, it has been concluded that birds can be highly responsive toward non-*ortho* PCBs, although much of the information available concerns induction of CYP1A1 and not distinct toxic

Table 1. (continued)

Congener	Description	Old TEF	WHO TEF
<b>Mono-<i>ortho</i> PCBs</b>	For this group of congeners, more than one mechanism of action (not only Ah receptor specific) is involved. A variety of <i>in vivo</i> mammalian experiments have demonstrated distinct Ah receptor-mediated biochemical and toxic effects. Among these are CYP1A1/1A2 induction and reproductive and teratogenic effects similar to TCDD.		
2,3,3',4,4'-PentaCB (105)	The majority of potency values from subchronic bioassays support a value of less than 0.0002, including those for tumor promotion and thymus atrophy (97,106). The immunotoxicity data suggest a TEF between $10^{-5}$ and $10^{-7}$ while acute <i>in vivo</i> values for nonspecific end points, e.g., liver weight gain and body weight decrease, are in the range of $10^{-3}$ and $10^{-4}$ ; no revision is recommended.	0.0001	0.0001
2,3,4,4',5-PentaCB (114)	There are no new data which suggest that the current value is not appropriate. Older Ah receptor binding and enzyme induction data need confirmation regarding the present value (100,101,107); at present no revision is recommended.	0.0005	0.0005
2,3',4,4',5-PentaCB (118)	New data support the original value (91,96,108); no revision is recommended.	0.0001	0.0001
2',3,4,4',5-PentaCB (123)	No new data are available; no revision is recommended.	0.0001	0.0001
2,3,3',4,4',5-HexaCB (156)	Recent data from semichronic studies suggest that the old value is appropriate (109). Higher REPs measuring nonspecific end points, e.g., effects on thyroid hormones and body and thymus weight, were not included in the evaluation. Some concern was raised about identity and purity of test compounds in some studies; no revision is recommended.	0.0005	0.0005
2,3,3',4,4',5'-HexaCB (157)	No new relevant <i>in vivo</i> data available; no revision is recommended.	0.0005	0.0005
2,3',4,4',5,5'-HexaCB (167)	No new data are available; no revision is recommended.	0.00001	0.00001
2,3,3',4,4',5,5'-HeptaCB (189)	New data for immunotoxicity (91) are within the range of the current value; no revision is recommended.	0.0001	0.0001
<b>Di-<i>ortho</i> PCBs</b>	The limited data set as recognized in 1993 still exists. <i>In vivo</i> subchronic assay results with relevant end points, including CYP1A1/A2 induction and reproductive toxicity, with the structurally similar congener PCB 153 (98,104,110–112) do not confirm the weak Ah receptor agonist properties reported from <i>in vitro</i> experiments (11,113,114); as a result, the previous TEF values for di- <i>ortho</i> PCBs have been withdrawn.		
2,2',3,3',4,4',5 HeptaCB (170)	Withdrawn.	0.0001	–
2,2',3,4,4',5,5'-OCB (180)	Withdrawn.	0.00001	–

Abbreviations: WHO, World Health Organization; CDD, chlorinated dibenzodioxin; CDF, chlorinated dibenzofurans; CB, chlorinated biphenyls, LD<sub>50</sub>, median lethal dose; REPs, relative potencies; QSAR, quantitative structure–activity relationship; EROD, ethoxyresorufin-*O*-deethylase; Ah, aryl hydrocarbon; PCBs, polychlorinated biphenyls.

\*International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry numbers are shown in parentheses.

end points (70–72). Birds, in contrast with fish, show a more pronounced response to mono-*ortho* PCBs, and REPs resemble those found in mammalian systems. In addition, studies with cultured chicken embryo hepato-

cytes indicate that some di-*ortho* PCBs, e.g., PCBs 128, 138, 170, and 180, are also EROD inducers (69,73,74). However, *in ovo* studies with either biochemical or toxic end points have not been done with these di-*ortho* PCBs,

which could confirm an Ah receptor-mediated response by these congeners.

When REPs for CYP1A1 induction and embryo mortality in avian systems are compared, it can be concluded that no significant

**Table 2.** Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for fish

Congener	Description	WHO TEF
<b>Dioxins</b>		
1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDD	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound was found to be 0.7 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality (40); a value of 1.0 is recommended.	1
1,2,3,4,7,8-HexaCDD	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound was found to be 0.3 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality (40); a value of 0.5 is recommended.	0.5
1,2,3,6,7,8-HexaCDD	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound was found to be 0.02 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality (42); a value of 0.01 is recommended.	0.01
1,2,3,7,8,9-HexaCDD	No <i>in vivo</i> studies have been carried out with this compound. It was determined to be 0.02 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at inducing EROD in PLHC-1 cells (115); a value of 0.01 is recommended.	0.01
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HeptaCDD	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound was found to be 0.002 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality (42); a value of 0.001 is recommended.	0.001
OctaCDD	A two week study in carp using a single ip dose of this compound failed to detect any dose or hepatic concentration relationship with CYP1A induction (53). Based on structure homology with OCDF, a value <0.0001 is recommended. <sup>a</sup>	<0.0001
<b>Furans</b>		
2,3,7,8-TetraCDF	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound was found to be 0.03 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality (40); a value of 0.05 is recommended.	0.05
1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDF	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound was found to be 0.03 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality revision (40); a value of 0.05 is recommended.	0.05
2,3,4,7,8-PentaCDF	In a rainbow trout egg injection study this compound was found to be 0.3 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality (40); a value of 0.5 is recommended.	0.5
1,2,3,4,7,8-HexaCDF	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound was found to be 0.2 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality (40); a value of 0.1 is recommended.	0.1
1,2,3,6,7,8-HexaCDF	At present, available data are only from QSAR studies (93,116). Also based on structural similarity between the hexaCDF isomers generally observed in experiments, a value of 0.1 is recommended.	0.1
1,2,3,7,8,9-HexaCDF	Data from EROD induction in PLHC-1 cells and QSAR studies indicate an REP between 0.04 and 0.09 (116); a value of 0.1 is recommended.	0.1
2,3,4,6,7,8-HexaCDF	Based on the structural similarity of this compound with other hexaCDFs and supported by QSAR modeling (93,116); a value of 0.1 is recommended.	0.1
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HepaCDF	At present, no <i>in vivo</i> or <i>in vitro</i> data are available from fish studies, but based on structure homology with 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-heptaCDF, a value of 0.01 is recommended.	0.01
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HeptaCDF	Data from EROD induction in PLHC-1 cells indicate an REP of 0.01 (116); a value of 0.01 is recommended.	0.01
OctaCDF	A two week study in carp using a single ip dose of this compound failed to detect any dose or hepatic concentration relationship with CYP1A induction (53). In the PLHC-1 cell line, this compound was 0.0008 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at inducing EROD activity (115). However, both studies do not accurately reflect the environmental <i>in vivo</i> situation in which extreme low solubility and large molecular size limiting transport over the gill membranes (117) should reduce the octaCDF potency for fish significantly. A value <0.0001 is recommended. <sup>a</sup>	<0.0001
<b>Non-<i>ortho</i> PCBs</b>		
3,4,4',5'-TetraCB (81) <sup>b</sup>	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound was found to be 0.0006 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality (42); a value of 0.0005 is recommended.	0.0005
3,3',4,4'-TetraCB (77)	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound was found to be 0.0002 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality (40); a value of 0.0001 is recommended.	0.0001
3,3',4,4',5'-PentaCB (126)	In a rainbow trout egg injection study this compound was found to be 0.005 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality (40); a value of 0.005 is recommended.	0.005
3,3',4,4',5,5'-HexaCB (169)	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound was found to be 0.00004 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD at causing early life stage mortality (42); a value of 0.00005 is recommended.	0.00005
<b>Mono-<i>ortho</i> PCBs</b>		
2,3,3',4,4'-PentaCB (105)	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound did not cause early life stage mortality at the highest dose administered (40); a value of <0.000005 is recommended.	<0.000005
2,3,4,4',5'-PentaCB (114)	A value of <0.000005 was assigned based on the similarity of the structure of this compound with other mono- <i>ortho</i> pentaCBs.	<0.000005
2,3',4,4',5'-PentaCB (118)	In a rainbow trout egg injection study, this compound did not cause early life stage mortality at the highest dose administered (40); a value of <0.000005 is recommended.	<0.000005
2',3,4,4',5'-PentaCB (123)	A value of <0.000005 was assigned based on structural similarity of this compound with other mono- <i>ortho</i> pentaCBs.	<0.000005
2,3,3',4,4',5'-HexaCB (156)	An <i>in vitro</i> study with the rainbow trout RTG-2 cell line supports the earlier assigned value of <0.000005 for the other mono- <i>ortho</i> PCBs (47). In another <i>in vitro</i> study with the rainbow trout cell line RTL-2, this compound was 0.00003 times as potent as TCDD in inducing EROD activity (45); a value of <0.000005 is recommended.	<0.000005
2,3,3',4,4',5'-HexaCB (157)	A value of <0.000005 was assigned based on structural similarity of this compound with other mono- <i>ortho</i> pentaCBs; this value is also supported by QSAR modeling (118).	<0.000005
2,3',4,4',5,5'-HexaCB (167)	A value of <0.000005 was assigned based on structural similarity of this compound with other mono- <i>ortho</i> pentaCBs.	<0.000005
2,3,3',4,4',5,5'-HeptaCB (189)	A value of <0.000005 was assigned based on structural similarity of this compound with other mono- <i>ortho</i> pentaCBs.	<0.000005

Abbreviations: WHO, World Health Organization; CDD, chlorinated dibenzodioxins; ip, intraperitoneal; EROD, ethoxyresorufin-O-deethylase; CDF, chlorinated dibenzofurans; QSAR, quantitative structure-activity relationship; REP, relative potency; CB, chlorinated biphenyl.

<sup>a</sup>After a postmeeting consultation in February 1998, we agreed upon a revision of the TEF values for octaCDD and octaCDF in fish and birds, which were presented at the 17th International Symposium on Chlorinated Dioxins and Related Compounds on 25–29 August 1997 in Indianapolis, Indiana.

<sup>b</sup>International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry numbers are shown in parentheses.

differences have been found between these values. Thus, the relative potencies of these compounds, as determined by CYP1A1 induction in cultured avian hepatocytes, appear to be predictive for the relative toxicity in the developing embryo (66).

However, some toxic end points, such as porphyrin accumulation in cultured avian hepatocytes, should be approached with caution because structure-activity relationships differ significantly from those of CYP1A induction (74-76). Based on this information, it is unclear if porphyrin accumulation in birds is uniquely an Ah receptor-mediated effect. Therefore, it is unclear whether it should be included in the TEF concept. This case again stresses the importance to include only those end points in which the Ah receptor-mediated mechanism is shown to be involved and is the major determining factor.

## Review of TEFs

### Approach for Deriving TEFs

For reevaluation of the existing mammalian TEFs for PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs, both previously reviewed and new data were examined. These included all congeners that have been selected before and also published data of multiple *ortho*-substituted PCBs (1,11,77,78). For prioritization, a rank order was followed as was suggested at an earlier WHO/IPCS TEF meeting (1). The TEFs for humans and mammals were primarily derived from *in vivo* toxicity data, which were given more weight than *in vitro* and/or quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) data. *In vivo* toxicity data were prioritized according to the following ranking scheme: chronic > subchronic > subacute > acute. In the final TEF selection, different Ah receptor-specific end points were also ranked according to toxic > biochemical (e.g., enzyme induction) response. For revision of the existing mammalian TEFs, we agreed that if the available information was considered insufficient to warrant a change, the existing value would remain. The suggested TEFs for humans and mammals, including a short description, are given in Table 1.

A tiered approach was followed in deriving TEFs for fish and birds. Following this approach, we noted that the number of bird and fish studies, which could be used for the derivation of a TEF, was often very limited when compared with mammalian data. For all congeners, the studies that were given the most weight were tier 1 studies, followed by tiers 2, 3, and 4. The tiers were as follows:

- Tier 1: Overt toxicity observed in developing embryos; the only end point used was the LD<sub>50</sub>
- Tier 2: Biochemical effects observed in developing embryos; the only end point

used was the relative potency to induce CYP1A

- Tier 3: Biochemical effects observed in *in vitro* systems; the only endpoint used was the REP to induce CYP1A in cultured cells
- Tier 4: Estimates from QSAR studies.

Fish studies that determined early life stage mortality were considered to be most useful for determining TEF values (40,42). In addition, there was a preference to use results from egg injection studies in which the dose to the egg was known, rather than results from waterborne studies in which this was not determined. Furthermore, TEF values for octaCDD, octaCDF, and the mono-*ortho* PCBs for fish were given a "lower than" value. This approach was chosen because fish appear to be very insensitive, if sensitive at all, toward an Ah receptor-mediated response of octaCDD, octaCDF, or these types of PCBs. Nevertheless, we recognized that some regulatory agencies would like to have some directions for possible TEF values of these compounds in fish. Therefore, based on the present state of the science, a "smaller than" TEF value was given for these compounds, which should be considered to be the expected upper limit of such a compound in fish. The suggested TEFs for fish, including a short description, are given in Table 2.

Few studies have been carried out to determine the overt toxic effects of PCBs in bird embryos after injection of compounds into eggs. Where data from tier 1 studies were available, they were used to derive TEFs, but TEF values of PCDDs and PCDFs for birds were mainly derived from tier 2, 3, or 4 studies. These data include mainly studies that measured EROD induction in cultured hepatocytes or derived QSAR. The suggested avian TEFs, including a short motivation, are given in Table 3.

In line with the already existing TEF values, new TEFs were rounded to a value of either 1 or 5, irrespective of the order of magnitude difference with the reference compound, TCDD.

## Discussion

### Molecular Basis for TEFs across Species

A strict criterion for application of the TEF concept is that a compound must be demonstrated to bind to the Ah receptor since most (if not all) biological effects of these compounds appear to be mediated by the Ah receptor (128,129). Studies of biological effects of 2,3,7,8-TCDD and related polyhalogenated polyaromatic hydrocarbons have made it apparent that there are extensive and important species differences in the functional responses elicited by these compounds

(130). However, for a number of common biological effects, many species can respond at dose levels that are within one order of magnitude (131).

With regard to the ligand binding properties of the Ah receptor, most data on receptor interaction with PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs have been generated using rodent experimental model systems. In addition, there are data on the ligand binding specificity of the human Ah receptor (129,132-134). The mouse has been studied in most detail with regard to determinants in Ah receptor structure of ligand binding activity. Four differently sized allelic variants of the receptor have been described, which show extended C-terminal parts as well as point mutations that affect binding of 2,3,7,8-TCDD and other polyhalogenated aromatic hydrocarbons (135,136).

With regard to humans, the Ah receptor is detected in a wide variety of tissues (e.g., placenta, liver, lung) and primary/established human-derived cell lines. Moreover, the Ah receptor can be activated both *in vivo* and *in vitro* into a DNA binding state by a variety of halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons (134,137,138). As in mice, a similar situation with several different allelic variants of the receptor might be present in humans. Although the mean 2,3,7,8-TCDD binding affinity ( $K_d$ ) for the human Ah receptor may be lower than that observed in responsive mouse strains (C57BL/6J), there exists a range of  $K_d$  values similar in magnitude to the range observed between responsive and nonresponsive mouse strains (135).

The extension of the TEF concept to other classes of vertebrates such as fish and birds is supported by data on ligand binding properties of the Ah receptor in these species. In this respect it should be noted that the Ah receptor has been detected in both bony and cartilaginous fish (139-141). Thus, it appears that the Ah receptor is phylogenetically very old, which is supported by the fact that development of these fish groups diverged from one another about 450-550 million years ago. Moreover, there exist homologs of both the receptor gene and the gene encoding the dimerization partner of the receptor, Arnt, in the nearly entirely sequenced genome of the nematode worm *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Studies using species-specific recombinant cells and primary cultures from birds also suggest that the ligand binding specificity of the Ah receptor may not be identical between species (66,142). Furthermore, one study determined the specific binding characteristics of TCDD to the Ah receptor in four different avian species and found differences in binding affinity of over an order of magnitude (67). Consequently, some caution in



the absolute homology of ligand binding properties of the Ah receptor between species may be justified.

In conclusion, the Ah receptor and Arnt have been very well conserved during evolution, indicating that they may have important physiological functions, possibly in development (27). This evolutionary conservation, in combination with the ligand

binding properties of the Ah receptor, support the use of the TEF concept across taxa.

### The Role of Pharmacokinetics and Food Chain Transport in the TEF Concept

The pharmacokinetic behavior of dioxinlike compounds and PCBs is largely governed by three major factors: 1) lipophilicity, 2) binding

to CYP1A2 leading to hepatic sequestration, and 3) relative rates of metabolism. Lipophilicity controls the rate and extent of absorption, tissue distribution, and passive elimination. In addition, the chlorine substitution pattern determines hepatic sequestration and rate of metabolism. Pharmacokinetic properties have been shown to play a role in the determination of TEF values for a number

**Table 3.** Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for birds

Congener	Description	WHO TEF
<b>Dioxins</b>		
1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDD	In a chicken embryo egg injection study, this compound was found to be approximately equipotent to 2,3,7,8-TCDD as an inducer of EROD (119). In addition, a study with chick embryo hepatocytes from several bird species determined that the potency of this compound to induce EROD is similar or even higher than that of TCDD (68,69); a value of 1 is recommended.	1.0
1,2,3,4,7,8-HexaCDD	In a chicken embryo egg injection study this compound was found to be approximately 0.05 times less potent than 2,3,7,8-TCDD as an inducer of EROD (119); a value of 0.05 is recommended.	0.05
1,2,3,6,7,8-HexaCDD	In a chicken embryo egg injection study, this compound was found to be approximately 0.01 times less potent than 2,3,7,8-TCDD as an inducer of EROD (119); a value of 0.01 is recommended.	0.01
1,2,3,7,8,9-HexaCDD	In two chicken embryo egg injection studies, this compound was found to be approximately 0.1–0.4 times less potent than 2,3,7,8-TCDD as an inducer of EROD (119,120); a value of 0.1 is recommended.	0.1
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HeptaCDD	In a chicken embryo egg injection study, this compound was found to be a poor inducer of EROD (119); a lower than value of 0.001 is recommended.	<0.001
OctaCDD	At present, no <i>in vivo</i> or <i>in vitro</i> data are available for this compound in birds; a value of 0.0001 was recommended based on data from mammalian studies. <sup>a</sup>	0.0001
<b>Furans</b>		
2,3,7,8-TetraCDF	Chicken embryo egg injection studies and one study with chicken embryo hepatocytes of several species of birds found this compound to be approximately equipotent or even more potent than 2,3,7,8-TCDD as an inducer of EROD (66,119,121); a value of 1 is recommended.	1.0
1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDF	In a chicken embryo egg injection study, this compound was found to be approximately 0.3 times less potent than 2,3,7,8-TCDD as an inducer of EROD (119); a value of 0.1 is recommended.	0.1
2,3,4,7,8-PentaCDF	Studies with chicken embryo egg injections and chick embryo hepatocytes from several bird species indicated that this compound is approximately equipotent to 2,3,7,8-TCDD as an inducer of EROD (68,119); a value of 1.0 is recommended.	1.0
1,2,3,4,7,8-HexaCDF	This compound was reported to be 0.01 times as potent as 2,3,7,8-TCDD as an inducer of EROD in chicken embryos after egg injection (119). However, examination of the dose–response curves indicates problems with rigorous comparison to that of 2,3,7,8-TCDD. Based on QSAR calculations with other existing bird data (M. Tysklind and P.L. Anderson, University of Umea, Sweden), a value of 0.1 is recommended.	0.1
1,2,3,6,7,8-HexaCDF	In a chicken embryo egg injection study, this compound was found to be approximately 0.4 times less potent than 2,3,7,8-TCDD as an inducer of EROD (119). In combination with QSAR calculations with other existing bird data (M. Tysklind and P.L. Anderson, University of Umea, Sweden), a value of 0.1 is recommended.	0.1
1,2,3,7,8,9-HexaCDF	No <i>in vivo</i> or <i>in vitro</i> data are available. Based on QSAR calculations with other existing bird data (M. Tysklind and P.L. Anderson, University of Umea, Sweden), a value of 0.1 is recommended.	0.1
2,3,4,6,7,8-HexaCDF	No <i>in vivo</i> or <i>in vitro</i> data are available. Based on QSAR calculations with other existing bird data (M. Tysklind and P.L. Anderson, University of Umea, Sweden); a value of 0.1 is recommended.	0.1
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HeptaCDF	At present no data are available for this compound in birds; a value of 0.01 is recommended based on similar homolog class REPs across taxa for the hexaCDFs and heptaCDFs.	0.01
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HeptaCDF	At present, no data are available for this compound in birds; a value of 0.01 is recommended based on similar homolog class REPs across taxa for the hexaCDFs and heptaCDFs.	0.01
OctaCDF	At present, no <i>in vivo</i> or <i>in vitro</i> data are available for this compound in birds; a value of 0.0001 was recommended based on data from mammalian studies. <sup>a</sup>	0.0001
<b>Non-ortho PCBs</b>		
3,3',4,4'-TetraCB (77)	Studies with chickens and wild avian species reported <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in ovo</i> effects, with REPs ranging from <0.0003 to 0.15 depending on the species (66,68,69,122,123). A value of 0.05 is recommended.	0.05
3,4,4',5-TetraCB (81)	Egg injection studies have not been carried out with this compound. Two studies with chick embryo hepatocytes from several bird species indicate a wide range of REPs (0.001–0.5) of this compound to induce EROD relative to TCDD (66,68). As for most species, REPs were close to a value of 0.1, which is the recommended value.	0.1
3,3',4,4',5-PentaCB (126)	A 24-hr study with chickens determined a REP of 0.07 (124); a value of 0.1 is recommended.	0.1
3,3',4,4',5,5'-HexaCB (169)	One study determined the LD <sub>50</sub> in chicken embryos after injection of the compound into the egg, and a REP of 0.002 was reported (125,126). A value of 0.001 is recommended.	0.001

*continued, next page*



of dioxinlike chemicals. These factors can include alterations in absorption, tissue distribution, and metabolism between individual congeners.

The importance of pharmacokinetic factors has been illustrated with studies using octaCDD in rodents. This compound initiated dioxinlike responses following subchronic exposure to rats, with an estimated relative potency of 0.01 based on hepatic levels correlated with the induction of CYP1A1 (87). However, earlier acute toxicity studies had suggested that octaCDD was essentially nontoxic, with a TEF value less than 0.000001. These subchronic studies also showed that octaCDD is extremely poorly absorbed and absorption from the gastro-intestinal tract decreased with increasing dose (143). Consequently, very little is stored from a (single) high dose of octaCDD in tissues of animals. In contrast, repeated exposure to relatively low doses can lead to significant tissue accumulation and hence a biological response. Based on these findings, it can be discussed whether future TEF values should be based on intake or tissue level values to bridge differences between species.

Differences in tissue distribution can also significantly influence TEF values based on tissue concentrations. The liver/adipose tissue distribution can vary significantly between the species and dose levels used (95). A number of highly toxic congeners such as 2,3,4,7,8-pentaCDF, 2,3,7,8-TCDD, and PCB 126 bind very tightly to CYP1A2 and subsequently concentrate in the liver in many rodent species, even at very low dose levels

(88,131,144–148). In this respect, it should be noted that the structure–activity relationship for binding to the Ah receptor and to CYP1A2 are not identical (149). As the level of CYP1A2 is increased, dioxinlike compounds redistribute from the adipose tissue back to the liver. Thus, depending on the species and dose level, the binding of an Ah receptor agonist to CYP1A2 can alter tissue distribution. As a result of this CYP1A2 binding, hepatic REPs for these compounds are lower than those based on intake because of disproportionately high liver concentrations. However, if other tissues are considered, e.g., skin and lung, the REP values would be higher because the tissue concentrations are lower than expected based on administered dose (81).

The distribution of PCBs in laboratory animals differs significantly from that of the 2,3,7,8-substituted PCDFs and PCDDs with respect to liver distribution. Highly biopersistent PCBs, e.g., PCB 153, are predominantly stored in adipose tissue and skin and not in the liver (150). Nevertheless, PCB congeners that are isostereomers of TCDD, such as PCB 126, attain a high liver concentration. With the addition of one *ortho* chlorine, the hepatic accumulation decreases dramatically, as was shown in comparative semichronic studies with rats and PCBs 126 and 156 (103,109). These differences again appear to be related to induction of and binding to CYP1A2. It has also been shown that co-administration of PCDDs, PCDFs, or dioxinlike PCBs with the nondioxinlike congener PCB 153 can result in modulation of the hepatic disposition and elimination

(151–153). These toxicokinetic interactions are quantitatively limited, compound and dose dependent, and probably the result of multiple mechanisms involving, among others, *de novo* synthesis of both the Ah receptor and CYP1A2 (151). To some extent, these toxicokinetic interactions could explain the nonadditive effects that were observed when combinations of dioxins and PCB 153 were used in rodent studies (95). To what extent these toxicokinetic interactions are also relevant at low-level environmental exposure is still unknown.

The position and degree of halogenation determines the rate and extent of metabolism, which is the key determinant of excretion or bioaccumulation of these compounds (95,154). Full lateral halogenation at the 2,3,7,8-positions produces PCDDs and PCDFs that lack two adjacent unsubstituted carbon atoms. These congeners tend to be very resistant to metabolism, as these positions are also preferentially oxidized by the cytochrome P450 system, most likely by the CYP1A enzymes. Because of the stress on the furan ring, PCDFs are more susceptible to biochemical degradation than PCDDs. In addition, the positions adjacent to the oxygen bridge in the PCDF molecule (positions 4 and 6) are more sensitive to metabolic attack than those in the PCDD molecule (95).

For PCBs the presence of two adjacent unsubstituted carbon atoms also facilitates the metabolic conversion to more polar metabolites. As with PCDFs and PCDDs, the cytochrome P450 1A enzyme(s) seems to play an important role in metabolism of

Table 3. (continued)

Congener	Description	WHO TEF
<b>Mono-ortho PCBs</b>		
2,3,3',4,4'-PentaCB (105)	One study determined the LD <sub>50</sub> in chicken embryos after injection of the compound into the egg, and a REP value of 0.00014 was reported (125,126). A value of 0.0001 is recommended.	0.0001
2,3,4,4',5-PentaCB (114)	At present, available data are only from QSAR studies (74,102,127); based on these calculations, a value of 0.0001 is recommended.	0.0001
2,3',4,4',5-PentaCB (118)	One study was carried out to determine the LD <sub>50</sub> in chicken embryos after injection of the compound into the egg; at the highest doses, no lethality was observed (126). This compound is also a poor inducer of EROD in avian hepatocyte cultures (66); a value of 0.00001 is recommended.	0.00001
2',3,4,4',5-PentaCB (123)	At present, available data are only from QSAR studies (74,102); based on these calculations, a value of 0.00001 is recommended.	0.00001
2,3,3',4,4',5-HexaCB (156)	One study determined the LD <sub>50</sub> in chicken embryos after injection of the compound into the egg and reported a REP of 0.0002 (126). A value of 0.0001 is recommended.	0.0001
2,3,3',4,4',5'-HexaCB (157)	One study determined the LD <sub>50</sub> in chicken embryos after injection of the compound into the egg, and reported a REP of 0.0001 (126). A value of 0.0001 is recommended.	0.0001
2,3',4,4',5,5'-HexaCB (167)	One study determined the LD <sub>50</sub> in chicken embryos after egg injection and reported a REP <0.00008 (126). A value of 0.00001 is recommended.	0.00001
2,3,3',4,4',5,5'-HeptaCB (189)	No <i>in vivo</i> or <i>in vitro</i> data are available. Based on QSAR calculations with other existing bird data (M. Tysklind and P.L. Anderson, University of Umea, Sweden), a value of 0.00001 is recommended.	0.00001

Abbreviations: WHO, World Health Organization; CDD, chlorinated dibenzodioxins; EROD, ethoxyresorufin-*O*-deethylase; CDF, chlorinated dibenzofurans; QSAR, quantitative structure–activity relationship; REPs, relative potencies; CB, chlorinated biphenyl; LD<sub>50</sub>, median lethal dose.

\*After a postmeeting consultation in February 1998, we agreed upon a revision of the TEF values for octaCDD and octaCDF in fish and birds, which were presented at the 17th International Symposium on Chlorinated Dioxins and Related Compounds on 25–29 August 1997 in Indianapolis, Indiana.

those PCB congeners that are more or less isosteric with 2,3,7,8-TCDD (155). This is based on a study with rats, marine mammals, and wild bird species in which it was observed that the metabolic degradation of PCB 77 correlated well with EROD activity. However, the same study indicated that for fish species this isoenzyme might be less effective in metabolizing these PCBs (156). In addition, several other P450 isoenzymes, e.g., P450 2B and 3A, might be involved in the metabolism of PCBs that have an *ortho*-substitution pattern. With an increasing *ortho* chlorine substitution pattern, the induction of P450 2B1 and 2B2 isoenzymes increases significantly (155,157). Studies with PCB patterns and enzyme activities in wild mammals indicate that, at least in seals and polar bears, the involvement of CYP2B isoenzymes in metabolism of PCBs cannot be excluded (158,159).

The role of metabolism can also be important when comparing acute and subchronic studies. In rodent studies using both 2,3,7,8-TCDF and TCDD, it was demonstrated that the REP of 2,3,7,8-TCDF for enzyme induction was almost equal to that of TCDD after acute exposure. However, when subchronic studies were done, 2,3,7,8-TCDF was much less potent than TCDD due to its rapid metabolism and lack of bioaccumulation (88). A similar explanation was suggested when comparing the relative potencies for the induction of cleft palate in mice by 1,2,3,7,8-pentaCDF versus 2,3,4,7,8-pentaCDF (160). When comparing the brominated dioxins and dibenzofurans with the chlorinated ones, it appears that at least some brominated compounds can be more resistant to metabolism than their corresponding chlorinated analogs (12). Species differences in metabolism might also be one of the causes for the observed differential TEFs between fish, birds, and laboratory mammals. For example, some fish and birds may have limited ability to metabolize PCB 77 and 2,3,7,8-TCDF. However, both lay eggs and embryos have little depuration capability compared to adults. The respective avian and mammalian PCB 77 and 2,3,7,8-TCDF TEFs demonstrate more potency *in ovo* than *in vivo*. The PCB 77 and 2,3,7,8-TCDF TEFs are higher in bird eggs/embryos without a postconception maternal influence than in mammalian embryos with maternal metabolic protection. Conversely, a similar fish and mammalian comparison shows almost identical fish egg/embryo and mammalian TEFs. The role of metabolism, subsequent elimination, and reproductive strategy differences, especially through maternal egg deposition for most nonmammalian vertebrate species, points to the need to focus future REP/TEF determinations on *in vivo* or *in ovo* studies with comparisons between target organ or tissue

exposure measurements and toxicity end points. In addition, it points to the need to base future TEF values on *in vivo* studies in which steady-state conditions are obtained or at least have been approached. Utilizing this approach will greatly increase the value of laboratory-determined exposure measurements for data interpretation in similar environmental samples regardless of taxonomic group.

As illustrated above, many PCBs, PCDFs, and PCDDs are relatively resistant to metabolism and can therefore accumulate in different trophic levels, causing biomagnification and toxicity higher in the foodchain (161). For PCDDs and PCDFs, the structure-activity relationships for bioaccumulation are relatively simple and involve primarily the 2,3,7,8-substituted congeners for most vertebrate species. Only in some invertebrates and the guinea pig has the accumulation of non-2,3,7,8-substituted PCDDs and PCDFs been found to be significant, but the guinea pig has been found to have low metabolic capacities for these compounds (95). Thus, from a pharmacokinetic point of view, only the 2,3,7,8-substituted congeners should be considered when TEFs must be determined for ecotoxicological risk assessment of vertebrates.

For PCBs the situation is more complex, as structure-activity relationships are less well defined for metabolism and associated bioaccumulation. The degree of accumulation is strongly determined by the capability of the organism to metabolize the various PCB congeners (22,162). This can differ qualitatively as well as quantitatively between species. In general, it can be stated that fish are less capable of metabolizing PCBs than most birds and mammals. In spite of the complexity of the above processes, the lack of chlorine substitution on both the *meta* and *para* positions in the PCB molecule appears to facilitate the metabolism of these compounds in most higher vertebrate species (21,156,158,163). As a result of this "biofiltering" by metabolism at different levels in the foodchain, the qualitative PCB pattern in top predators shows some resemblance, which allows selection of PCB congeners of major concern for risk assessment (164).

Qualitative and quantitative changes occur in PCDD, PCDF, and PCB patterns among different trophic levels and between the abiotic and biotic components of different ecosystems. This is especially confounded when attempts are made to perform risk and exposure assessments from a contaminated soil or sediment. It is preferable and indeed desirable to model Ah receptor-active congeners from abiotic to biotic components of ecosystems because of differential partitioning across these matrices, which are influenced by

physicochemical properties, physiological differences, food chain position, and different reproductive strategies.

Depending on the assessment and toxicity end points identified in a particular ecological risk assessment, application of the appropriate TEFs for fish, birds, or mammals, as well as resulting TEQs, should only be determined in biotic matrices after the quantitative estimates of congener concentrations are made in a particular target species or generic food chains. Conversely, TEQs in abiotic matrices could be misused and have obscure value. For example, calculating TEQs in sediment or soil does not harm or place any risk on these abiotic matrices, regardless of TEF scheme. The application of the TEF concept for food-chain transport out of the abiotic compartments is clearly an area for future research.

### Application of *in Vitro* Assays in the Derivation of TEFs

A number of *in vitro* assays, either in primary cultures or immortalized cells, have been used to establish REPs for mammals, birds, and fish. These include assays for Ah receptor competitive ligand binding, CYP1A1 gene induction, cell differentiation, plaque-forming cell (PFC) response, and porphyrin accumulation.

The most extensively used biological *in vitro* response studied so far is the induction of the CYP1A1 gene. CYP1A1 gene induction has been measured by monitoring changes in mRNA (165,166), protein levels (66,167), and/or enzyme activity [i.e., EROD or aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase (AHH)] (168,169).

In addition, permanently transfected cells have also been established that contain CYP1A-regulated reporter genes. These cell lines contain a permanently incorporated reporter gene that is regulated by the mouse CYP1A1 regulatory region (55,142,170,171). These cell lines have a number of advantages over conventional enzyme induction assays such as 1) a sensitive and more easily measurable enzyme activity; 2) chemical inducers do not act as competitive inhibitors of the reporter gene; and 3) a larger number of available species- and tissue-specific assays.

Only two studies have compared endogenous EROD induction to reporter gene induction (55,172). The structure-activity relationship of the tested chemicals was comparable between wild-type and recombinant H4IIE cells. Dose-response relationships exhibited comparable dynamic ranges, and binary mixtures of TCDD, PCB 126, and PCB 77 did not depart from additivity in either cell line. In addition, PCB 153 significantly antagonized induction by

TCDD and PCB 126 in both cell lines. The recombinant cell line was found to be generally more sensitive to PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs when compared to the wild-type cells (172). Additionally, Richter et al. (55) recently reported that a recombinant trout cell line (RLT 2.0) could be used as an approximate predictor of responses in fish. However, the use of this assay was not advocated to develop REPs for ecological risk assessment since it was still plagued by the same drawbacks that limit the utility of other *in vitro* assays.

*In vitro* CYP1A induction has also been used to assess the level of dioxin equivalents (TEQs) in a number of environmental samples (69,173–177). In general, the TEF/TEQ approach using CYP1A induction accurately predicted dioxin equivalents within a complex mixture when compared to gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis or *in vivo* assessments, but may overestimate the TEQs when using other responses such as PFC (91). The accuracy of this *in vitro* approach also depends on the composition of the sample. The presence of high amounts of Ah receptor agonists that are not covered by the TEF concept (e.g., polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) can result in a considerable overestimation of TEQs in environmental samples. Furthermore, these *in vitro* studies have shown that some responses (i.e., PFC, reporter gene, and AHH/EROD induction) and cell lines (H4IIE, recombinant reporter cells) are susceptible to nonadditive interactions. This is especially true if the complex mixture contains PCB congeners that are partial Ah receptor agonists (91,142,178,179).

In summary, a single *in vitro* assay based on a single surrogate species may not accurately predict the toxicity of a chemical or complex mixture following exposure to other species. Nevertheless, the use of *in vitro* assays provides a general tool as a pre-screening method of TEQs in environmental samples. However, it does not replace *in vivo* experiments when determining TEFs for dioxinlike compounds.

### The Use of QSARs for Identification and Screening of Dioxinlike Compounds

PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs consist of a large number of possible congeners with varying degrees of chlorination and substitution patterns. Thus, from a practical point of view, it does not seem feasible to test extensive numbers of congeners for their biological or toxic properties. The determination of QSARs could facilitate future risk assessment procedures significantly. Based on a limited number of compounds, structure–activity relationships have been developed for Ah receptor-mediated effects, e.g., induction of CYP1A1 and binding

to this protein (11,129,180). In addition, structure–activity relationships have also been determined for effects that are not directly related to the Ah receptor and involve both parent compounds and their hydroxylated metabolites. These non-Ah receptor-mediated structure–activity relationships include binding to transthyretin and thyroxine-binding globulin (6,181,182), binding to the estrogen receptor (183,184), and decrease in dopamine levels (2,3). Based on these studies it can be concluded that the structure–activity relationships of the latter effects deviate significantly from those observed for the Ah receptor-mediated effects. As only Ah receptor-mediated effects are considered in the present TEF concept, these structure–activity relationships will not further be considered in this evaluation (see criteria above).

Most biological systems are complex, and it is unlikely that only one or a few chemical properties will suffice to describe them. Thus, it is necessary to characterize these compounds with a multitude of physicochemical descriptors. Such a broad chemical characterization may capture the underlying, hidden factors that correlate with the response of interest. This information can then be used as the future base for the selection of congeners for biological testing (185).

Within the TEF concept, the critical question is how to identify the physicochemical properties that determine if a compound can be expected to be dioxinlike or not. The planar structure of the PCDDs and the PCDFs is characteristic for high Ah receptor binding affinity. Furthermore, the 2,3,7,8-chlorine substitution pattern is of great importance as well. PCBs show a larger chemical variation due to the possible rotation of the two phenyl rings. The number of chlorine atoms in the *ortho*-position will, in this case, define the degree of dioxinlike properties. However, from a chemical point of view, it is difficult to define the point at which the compounds lose their dioxinlike properties. As a consequence of this, no single PCB congener can be identified as being structurally representative for the whole class of compounds. Hence, a number of congeners must be investigated in order to include the many facets of chemical structure within the PCB class of chemicals.

The use of multivariate chemical characterization (118) in combination with factorial design provides a tool by which small sets of structurally representative congeners can be selected. This tool can be used in the design of *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments in order to introduce systematic structural variation in the congeners to be tested. In studies of complex environmental mixtures, indicator congeners can be selected from the structural variation found in such matrices as flue gases

from incineration plants or Aroclor mixtures. The use of these systematic and balanced sets of congeners in the experimental protocol will provide increased knowledge of the biological behavior of the compounds studied.

Small sets of congeners, representative for the PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs, have been suggested (186,187). Twenty congeners were selected based only on their physicochemical properties and not on expected biological activity. As a first screening of possible dioxinlike effects, PCDFs and PCBs were tested in different *in vitro* systems using primary hepatocytes from different species as well as rat and fish hepatoma cell lines. Based on these results, QSARs have been established to define the correlation between chemical properties and a dioxinlike biochemical response. As can be seen in Table 4, these studies predict that a large number of PCBs, and also PCDFs, exhibit dioxinlike activity measured as *in vitro* induction of CYP1A(1) activity.

The systematic selection approach makes it possible to make interpolations and predictions of not yet tested congeners. In this way, all congeners within the chemical range in which the selection of test congeners were made can be ranked according to expected dioxinlike activity and the congeners of special interest can be identified and investigated further. This method has so far mainly focused on CYP1A1 induction, but could also be used in the screening of other dioxinlinked effects or other groups of halogenated aromatics, e.g., polychlorinated naphthalenes and polybrominated diphenylethers.

Based on the information presented in Table 4 about the predicted dioxinlike activity of PCBs, it should be noted that experimental data were used from *in vitro* experiments. Therefore, the structure–activity relationships determined with this multivariate characterization await further confirmation from *in vivo* experiments, including the role of pharmacokinetics, before these dioxinlike PCBs can be included in the TEF concept. Nevertheless these QSAR data indicate that more PCBs might be considered in the future for inclusion in the TEF concept. In relation to the large number of PCDFs that are predicted to induce CYP1A 1 *in vitro* activity, it should be noted that in *in vivo* situations only the 2,3,7,8-substituted PCDFs exhibit a significant tissue retention. In this case, a pharmacokinetic factor (metabolism) seems to dominate the toxicodynamic aspects of these non-2,3,7,8-PCDFs (*in vitro* Ah receptor binding and CYP1A1 induction).

### Uncertainties Associated with the TEF Concept

Toxic equivalency factors were initially developed for calculating the TEQs in mixtures of PCDDs and PCDFs. The TEF

approach for hazard assessment of reconstituted PCDD/PCDF mixtures has been validated using standardized TEF or response-specific TEF values. In a limited number of validation studies using mixtures, a good correlation was found between the observed *in vivo* or *in vitro* response and TEQ values calculated from the relative concentrations of individual congeners in the mixture (84,188–190). The non-*ortho* and mono-*ortho* PCBs also elicit Ah receptor-mediated responses. As a consequence, TEFs have been assigned to these PCBs (1,11,77). From a risk assessment point of view this was a logical decision, as most environmental matrices contain PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs. In fact, in some environmental samples, the overall contributions of PCBs to TEQs exceed that of the PCDDs and PCDFs (191). When concentrations of TEQs in complex mixtures from environmental matrices were determined *in vitro* and compared to TEQs by using REPs from the same *in vitro* system, the results were generally within a factor of 2 (192).

However, the inclusion of PCBs in the TEF concept also poses a problem due to the nonadditive effects, which have been observed in laboratory studies with mixtures containing PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs. Ah receptor antagonist activities of certain PCB congeners, including the major environmental contaminant PCB 153, have been reported in several experimental systems. Recently, these nonadditive interactions of PCB on dioxinlike effects have been reviewed (193). In summary, the following antagonistic effects of nondioxinlike PCBs were described: induction of EROD activity in chick embryo hepatocytes (194), splenic PFC response to sheep erythrocytes in mice (195), splenic PFC response to trinitrophenyl-lipopolysaccharide in mice (196), serum IgM units in mice (91), mouse fetal cleft palate (110,195), and chick embryo malformations and edema and liver lesions (197). The apparent antagonism by PCB 153 of the TCDD-induced immunosuppression is due to the enhanced immune response induced by PCB 153 (198). In addition, synergistic interactions have also been reported between PCBs and dioxins in the development of porphyria in rats (199) and mice (89), the induction of CYP1A1 (152,178) and thyroid hormone levels and associated enzyme activities (200,201). These multiple nonadditive interactions between dioxinlike and nondioxinlike HAHs require further investigation to establish the extent to which they compromise the TEF concept.

Several reports have also questioned the relative contributions of TEQs associated with dioxinlike compounds versus the substantial daily intakes of natural nonchlorinated

**Table 4.** Screening of compounds with a relative potency (REP) for PCDFs of at least  $10^{-3}$  TCDD and for PCBs of  $10^{-5}$  of PCB 126 in different *in vitro* systems

Compounds and assay	Number of dioxinlike congeners	Reference
PCDFs in H4IIE rat hepatoma bioassay	40 out of 87 tetra- through octaCDFs	(93)
PCDFs in PLHC-1 fish hepatoma bioassay	47 out of 87 tetra- through octaCDFs	(127)
PCBs in primary hepatocytes from chicken	56 out of 154 tetra- through heptaCBs	(102)
PCBs in primary hepatocytes from monkey	79 out of 154 tetra- through hepta CBs	(102)
PCBs in primary hepatocytes from pig	101 out of 154 tetra- through heptaCBs	(102)

Abbreviations: PCDFs, chlorinated dibenzofurans; PCBs, chlorinated biphenyls. Congeners tested and creating the quantitative structure-activity relationship models were selected based on multivariate chemical characterization in combination with experimental design.

Ah receptor agonists in cooked foods and vegetables (193,202,203). The Ah receptor agonist and antagonist activities of indole-3-carbinol have been reported (204–206). Perinatal exposure of pregnant rats to indole-3-carbinol resulted in reproductive abnormalities in male rat offspring, which were also elicited by TCDD in the same study. However, when comparing the effects caused by indole-3-carbinol or TCDD, both similar and different responses were observed (205). In contrast, a recent study with TCDD or indole-3-carbinol in rats did not find characteristic TCDD-like responses, e.g., hypophagia, body weight loss, and CYP1A1 induction (207). These results suggest that at least in some animal and cell models, the potential effects of the natural Ah receptor agonists could be significant. However, it has been suggested that the difference in pharmacokinetics between natural and halogenated Ah receptor agonists may decrease the potential impact of the natural agonists in *in vivo* situations. These possible differences between halogenated and natural Ah receptor agonists, such as indole-3-carbinol, in pharmacokinetics and toxicodynamics should be examined in more detail in *in vivo* experiments.

In view of the nonadditive effects mentioned above, a question has been raised as to which effect would compromise the TEF concept more: antagonism or synergism. From the available experimental data, it appears that antagonism is the most commonly reported nonadditive effect between individual dioxinlike compounds and complex mixtures (195,208–212). However, it should be noted that the occurrence of either antagonism or synergism is ratio and dose dependent. With respect to nonadditive effects between TCDD and PCB 153 on CYP1A1 induction in rodents, it was observed that synergism prevailed at the lower dose levels, while antagonism dominated at higher dose levels (95). Mechanistically, this antagonism can be explained by the fact that less potent congeners still have Ah receptor binding affinities and therefore are effective competitors for binding the site (195,209). This reduces the probability of the more toxic dioxinlike compounds to bind to the Ah receptor. However, the less active congeners

do not bind with such a high affinity that they would effectively induce EROD activity or cause other Ah receptor-mediated adverse effects (11). In this respect, some results of interactive studies with these compounds are equivocal. For instance, 3,3',4,4'-tetraCB and TCDD caused greater than additive induction of AHH activity in the liver of rainbow trout at doses calculated to produce 50% or less of the maximum response. However, in rainbow trout at greater doses, the same mixture was found less than additive (213). In addition, PCB 153 had an antagonistic effect on the induction of EROD activity by TCDD (214), but was found to be synergistic in another study (215).

In conclusion, there has been much discussion about the possible interactions between and among individual congeners in complex technical mixtures and extracts of environmental matrices (216). Based on receptor theory and the proposed mechanism of action of Ah receptor-active compounds, an additive model for the prediction of TCDD TEQs still seems most plausible in spite of the also observed nonadditive interactions. It is unlikely that the use of additivity in the TEF concept will result in a great deal of error in predicting the concentrations of TEQs due to synergism or antagonism.

### Validation of the TEF Concept for Environmental Risk Assessments

A range of validation studies with fish and birds have examined the suitability of an additive model of toxicity for these compounds. These span from isobolographic studies of several pairs of Ah receptor agonists (and/or antagonists) to the testing of complex environmental mixtures found in the environment.

In rainbow trout and lake trout embryos, binary mixtures of Ah receptor agonists were tested following the isobolographic method (14,50,217). These interactions on embryo lethality between congener pairs were, in general, found to be additive. However, for the combinations of TCDD and some non-*ortho* PCBs, deviations from additivity that were dependent on the ratio of the congeners were also reported (49,213,218). With respect to these nonadditive interactions,

**Table 5.** World Health Organization toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for humans, mammals, fish, and birds

Congener	TEF		
	Humans/mammals	Fish <sup>a</sup>	Birds <sup>a</sup>
2,3,7,8-TCDD	1	1	1
1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDD	1	1	1 <sup>b</sup>
1,2,3,4,7,8-HexaCDD	0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.5	0.05 <sup>b</sup>
1,2,3,6,7,8-HexaCDD	0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.01	0.01 <sup>b</sup>
1,2,3,7,8,9-HexaCDD	0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.1 <sup>b</sup>
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HeptaCDD	0.01	0.001	<0.001 <sup>b</sup>
OctaCDD	0.0001 <sup>a</sup>	<0.0001	0.0001
2,3,7,8-TetraCDF	0.1	0.05	1 <sup>b</sup>
1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDF	0.05	0.05	0.1 <sup>b</sup>
2,3,4,7,8-PentaCDF	0.5	0.5	1 <sup>b</sup>
1,2,3,4,7,8-HexaCDF	0.1	0.1	0.1 <sup>b,d</sup>
1,2,3,6,7,8-HexaCDF	0.1	0.1 <sup>d</sup>	0.1 <sup>b,d</sup>
1,2,3,7,8,9-HexaCDF	0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.1 <sup>c,d</sup>	0.1 <sup>d</sup>
2,3,4,6,7,8-HexaCDF	0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.1 <sup>d,e</sup>	0.1 <sup>d</sup>
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HeptaCDF	0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.01 <sup>e</sup>	0.01 <sup>e</sup>
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HeptaCDF	0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.01 <sup>c,e</sup>	0.01 <sup>e</sup>
OctaCDF	0.0001 <sup>a</sup>	<0.0001 <sup>c,e</sup>	0.0001 <sup>e</sup>
3,4,4',5'-TetraCB (81)	0.0001 <sup>a,c,d,e</sup>	0.0005	0.1 <sup>c</sup>
3,3',4,4'-TetraCB (77)	0.0001	0.0001	0.05
3,3',4,4',5'-PentaCB (126)	0.1	0.005	0.1
3,3',4,4',5,5'-HexaCB (169)	0.01	0.00005	0.001
2,3,3',4,4'-PentaCB (105)	0.0001	<0.000005	0.0001
2,3,4,4',5'-PentaCB (114)	0.0005 <sup>a,d,e,f</sup>	<0.000005 <sup>e</sup>	0.0001 <sup>g</sup>
2,3',4,4',5'-PentaCB (118)	0.0001	<0.000005	0.00001
2',3,4,4',5'-PentaCB (123)	0.0001 <sup>a,d,f</sup>	<0.000005 <sup>e</sup>	0.00001 <sup>g</sup>
2,3,3',4,4',5'-HexaCB (156)	0.0005 <sup>d,e</sup>	<0.000005	0.0001
2,3,3',4,4',5'-HexaCB (157)	0.0005 <sup>d,e,f</sup>	<0.000005 <sup>d,e</sup>	0.0001
2,3',4,4',5,5'-HexaCB (167)	0.00001 <sup>a,f</sup>	<0.000005 <sup>e</sup>	0.00001 <sup>g</sup>
2,3,3',4,4',5,5'-HeptaCB (189)	0.0001 <sup>a,d</sup>	<0.000005	0.00001 <sup>g</sup>

Abbreviations: CDD, chlorinated dibenzodioxins; CDF, chlorinated denzofurans; CB, chlorinated biphenyls; QSAR, quantitative structure-activity relationship.

<sup>a</sup>Limited data set.

<sup>b</sup>In vivo CYP1A induction after *in ovo* exposure.

<sup>c</sup>In vitro CYP1A induction.

<sup>d</sup>QSAR modeling prediction from CYP1A induction (monkey, pig, chicken, or fish).

<sup>e</sup>Structural similarity.

<sup>f</sup>No new data from 1993 review (7).

<sup>g</sup>QSAR modeling prediction from class specific TEFs.

deviations from strict additivity were less than a factor of two in the LD<sub>50</sub> values (50,217). In addition, brominated analogs of 2,3,7,8-TCDD and other dioxin, furan, and biphenyl congeners also showed additive interactions (14).

When testing synthetic or complex environmental mixtures of chemicals in fish, additivity also appears to be the general case (219). This was shown with a synthetic mixture of Ah agonists and non-Ah receptor compounds in rainbow trout early life stage mortality tests in which results simply followed an additive model (40). The additivity model has also been investigated in fish through the use of environmentally derived mixtures. One study used the organic extract made from lake trout, which was injected into eggs of hatchery-reared rainbow trout (220) and lake trout (221). Additive toxicity of PCDDs, PCDFs, and planar PCBs to developing trout embryos was also evaluated through the direct injection of environmentally derived mixtures into newly fertilized eggs (220). The good

agreement between TEQ<sub>egg</sub> calculated with the trout early life stage mortality TEFs for concentrations of PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs measured in Lake Michigan lake trout and TEQ<sub>egg</sub> measured on the basis of toxicity of the lake trout extract to rainbow trout sac fry following egg injection again suggests that TEQ<sub>egg</sub> are strictly additive and TEFs for all significant Ah receptor agonists present were included (220).

The results from these studies showed again that the mixture of these compounds present in lake trout acted in an additive fashion when compared with experiments using single congeners (40). The additive model of toxicity is also supported by studies of embryotoxicity in birds. The toxicity of an environmentally derived mixture of chemicals, including dioxinlike chemicals, was tested in chicken and found to be additive (222).

Additionally, the TEF approach could also be validated by using TEF factors derived from experiments in chickens that were successfully used to predict the embryo lethality for double crested cormorant eggs

(175,223). The TEF and TEQ approaches were also successfully applied in a biological monitoring study with great blue herons and double crested cormorants (224,225). Thus, as with fish, the additivity in the TEF concept is also supported by studies with (wild) birds. Based on the use of more environmentally relevant species, it can be concluded that the type of interaction that is most prevalent among Ah receptor agonists and non-Ah receptor compounds is additivity. However, more studies to validate the additivity in fish and wildlife are required to better understand the limitations of the TEF and TEQ approaches. Yet, evidence from fish and bird studies indicates that the hazards of not using such an approach are greater than the uncertainties currently observed with the TEF/TEQ approaches.

## Conclusions

Based on an extension of the existing database (1), TEFs for PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs were reevaluated and either revised (mammals) or established (fish and birds). A limited number of existing mammalian TEFs for HAHs were revised based on new scientific information or reevaluation of existing data. These HAHs included 1,2,3,7,8-pentaCDD, octaCDD, octaCDF, and PCB 77. In addition, we decided that there was insufficient *in vivo* evidence to support Ah receptor agonist activity and thus determine TEF values for some di-*ortho* PCBs. Therefore, we recommended the withdrawal of the TEF values for PCB 170 and 180 that were assigned earlier (see Table 1) (1).

The mammalian TEFs established by this WHO expert meeting and presented here are considered to be applicable for the human situation as well as for wild mammalian species. In addition, TEFs for fish and birds were determined, which could be used in ecotoxicological risk assessments of these vertebrate classes.

When deriving TEFs for humans/mammals, fish, and birds, we attempted to harmonize the TEFs across different taxa to the extent possible, as this would have a clear advantage from a risk assessment and management perspective. However, total synchronization of TEFs between mammals, birds, and fish was not feasible, as there were obvious indications of orders of a magnitude difference in TEFs between the taxa for some compounds. In this respect the absent or very low response of fish to mono-*ortho* PCBs compared to mammals and birds is most noticeable. It is also important to note that mammalian TEFs are based on intake (administered dose) while fish and bird TEFs are based on residue analysis (tissue concentration and administered dose in egg injection studies).

We also reviewed a number of uncertainties that could compromise the TEF concept when used for risk assessment purposes. These uncertainties include nonadditive interactions, differences in shape of the dose-response curve, and species responsiveness. This was based on the proposed Ah receptor mechanism of action for PCDDs, PCDFs, and dioxinlike PCBs, but was also based on a number of combination studies with mammals, birds, and fish that predicted the measured TEQ adequately according to the dose additive model.

Therefore, the prediction of TEQs according to the TEF model is considered to be plausible and to be the most feasible approach for risk assessment of HAHs with dioxinlike properties. In view of the available scientific evidence from studies with mixtures, it was concluded that it is unlikely for the use of this additive model to result in a great deal of error in predicting the concentrations of TCDD TEQs or responses at environmentally relevant levels due to non-additive interactions.

A summary of the suggested WHO TEFs for PCDDs, PCDFs, and dioxinlike PCBs is shown in Table 5.

## REFERENCES AND NOTES

- Ahlborg UG, Becking GC, Birnbaum LS, Brouwer A, Derks HJGM, Feeley M, Golor G, Hanberg A, Larsen JC, Liem AKD, et al. Toxic equivalency factors for dioxin-like PCBs; report on a WHO-ECEH and IPCS consultation. *Chemosphere* 28:1049-1067 (1994).
- Shain W, Bush B, Seegal R. Neurotoxicity of polychlorinated biphenyls: structure-activity relationship of individual congeners. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 111:33-42 (1991).
- Seegal RF, Bush B, Shain W. Lightly chlorinated *ortho*-substituted PCB congeners decrease dopamine in nonhuman primate brain and in tissue culture. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 111:136-144 (1990).
- Brouwer A, Van den Berg KJ. Early and differential decrease in natural retinoid levels in C57BL/Rij and DBA/2 mice by 3,4,3',4'-tetrachlorobiphenyl. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2:204-209 (1984).
- Brouwer A. Role of biotransformation in PCB-induced alterations in vitamin A and thyroid hormone metabolism in laboratory and wildlife species. *Biochem Soc Trans* 19:731-737 (1991).
- Waller CL, Minor DL, McKinney JD. Using three-dimensional quantitative structure-activity relationships to examine estrogen receptor binding affinities of polychlorinated hydroxybiphenyls. *Environ Health Perspect* 103:702-707 (1995).
- Andersson O, Blomkvist G. Polybrominated aromatic pollutants found in fish in Sweden. *Chemosphere* 10:1051-1060 (1981).
- Buser H-R. Brominated and brominated/halogenated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans: potential environmental contaminants. *Chemosphere* 10:713-732 (1987).
- De Boer J. Organochlorine compounds and bromodiphenylethers in livers of Atlantic cod (*Gadus orhus*) from the North Sea 1977-1987. *Chemosphere* 18:2131-2140 (1989).
- Donnelly JR, Grange AH, Nunn NJ, Sovocool GW, Breen JJ. Bromo- and bromochloro-dibenzo-*p*-dioxin and dibenzofurans in the environment. *Chemosphere* 20:1423-1430 (1990).
- Safe S. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs), dibenzofurans (PCDFs), and related compounds: environmental and mechanistic considerations which support the development of toxic equivalency factors (TEFs). *Crit Rev Toxicol* 21:51-88 (1990).
- Birnbaum LS, Morrissey RM, Harris MW. Teratogenic effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrabromodibenzo-*p*-dioxin and three polybrominated dibenzofurans in C57BL/6N mice. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 107:141-152 (1991).
- Hornung MW, Zabel EW, Peterson RE. Additive interactions between pairs of polybrominated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin, dibenzofuran, and biphenyl congeners in rainbow trout early life stage mortality bioassay. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 140:345-355 (1996).
- Hornung MW, Zabel EW, Peterson RE. Toxic equivalency factors of polybrominated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins, dibenzofuran, biphenyl, and polyhalogenated diphenyl ether congeners based on rainbow trout early life stage mortality. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 140:227-234 (1996).
- Hochstein JR, Aulerich RJ, Bursian SJ. Toxicity of dioxin to mink confirmed. In: *Blue Book of Fur Farming*. Eden Prairie, MN:Comm Marketing Inc., 1986;76-77.
- Hochstein JR, Aulerich RJ, Bursian SJ. Acute toxicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin to mink. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 17:27-31 (1988).
- Aulerich RJ, Bursian SJ, Evans MG, Hochstein JR, Koudele KA, Olson BA, Napolitano AC. Toxicity of 3,4,5,3',4',5'-hexachlorobiphenyl to mink. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 16:53-60 (1987).
- Leonards P, de Vries TH, Minnaard W, Stuijzand S, de Voogt P, Cofino WP, Van Straalen NM, Hattum B. Assessment of experimental data on PCB-induced reproduction inhibition in mink, based on an isomer- and congener-specific approach using 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin toxic equivalency. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 14:639-652 (1995).
- Tillitt DE, Gale RW, Meadows JC, Zajicek JL, Peterman PH, Heaton SN, Jones PD, Bursian SJ, Kubiak TJ, Giesy JP, Aulerich RJ. Dietary exposure of mink to carp from Saginaw Bay. 3. Characterization of dietary exposure to planar halogenated hydrocarbons, dioxin equivalents, and biomagnification. *Environ Sci Technol* 30:283-291 (1996).
- Ahlborg UG, Brouwer A, Fingerhut MA, Jacobson JL, Jacobson SW, Kennedy SW, Ketttrup AAF, Koeman JH, Poiger H, Rappe C, et al. Impact of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins, dibenzofurans, and biphenyls on human and environmental health, with special emphasis on application of the toxic equivalency factor concept. *Eur J Pharmacol-Env Toxicol Pharmacol Sect* 228:179-199 (1992).
- Tanabe S, Kannan N, Subramanian A, Watannabe S, Tatsukawa R. Highly toxic coplanar PCBs: occurrence, source, persistency and toxic implications to wildlife and humans. *Environ Pollut* 47:147-163 (1987).
- Leonards PEG, Van Hattum B, Cofino WP, Brinkman UAH. Occurrence of non-*ortho*-substituted, mono-*ortho*-substituted, and di-*ortho*-substituted PCB congeners in different organs and tissues of polecats (*Mustela putorius* L) from the Netherlands. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 13:129-142 (1994).
- Ross PS. Seals, pollution and disease: environmental contaminant-induced immuno-suppression [PhD thesis]. Utrecht University, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 1995.
- Berge S, Bergqvist P-A, Hjelt M, Olsson M, Rappe C, Roos A, Zook D. Concentrations of PCDDs and PCDFs in seals from Swedish waters. *Ambio* 21:553-556 (1992).
- Van Scheepingen WB, Verhoeven AJJM, Mulder P, Addink MJ, Smeenk C. Polychlorinated biphenyls, dibenzo-*p*-dioxins, and dibenzofurans in harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) stranded on the Dutch coast between 1990 and 1993. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 30:492-502 (1996).
- Binder RL, Stegeman JJ. Basal levels and induction of hepatic aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase activity during the embryonic period of development in brook trout. *Biochem Pharmacol* 32(7):1324-1327 (1983).
- Hahn ME. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor: a comparative perspective. *Comp Biochem Phys C* (in press).
- Anderson TM, Pesonen M, Johansson C. Differential induction of cytochrome P-450-dependent monooxygenase, epoxide hydrolase, glutathione transferase and UDP-glucuronosyl transferase activities in the liver of the rainbow trout by B-naphthoflavone or clophen A50. *Biochem Pharmacol* 34:3309-3314 (1985).
- Lindstrom SP, Pesonen M. Biotransformation enzymes in fish as tools for biomonitoring aquatic environment. *Acta Biol* 37:85-92 (1986).
- Miranda CL, Wang JL, Chang HS, Buhler DR. Multiple effects of 3,4,5,3',4',5'-hexachlorobiphenyl administration on hepatic cytochrome P450 isozymes and associated mixed function oxidase activities in rainbow trout. *Biochem Pharmacol* 40:387-390 (1990).
- Pesonen M, Goksoyr A, Anderson T. Expression of P4501A1 in a primary culture of rainbow trout hepatocytes exposed to naphthoflavone or 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 292:228-233 (1992).
- Buhler DK, Williams DE. The role of biotransformation in the toxicity of chemicals. *Aquat Toxicol* 11:19-28 (1988).
- Pederson MG, Hershberger WK, Zachariah PK, Juchau MR. Hepatic biotransformation of environmental xenobiotics in six strains of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *J Fish Res Board Can* 33:666-675 (1975).
- Addison RF, Willis DE. Variation of hepatic ethoxycoumarin-o-de-ethylase activity with body weight and other factors in brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 39:924-926 (1982).
- Mably TA, Bjerke DL, Moore RW, Gendron-Fitzpatrick A, Peterson RE. In utero and lactational exposure of male rats to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin. 3. Effects on spermatogenesis and reproductive capability. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 114:118-126 (1992).
- Williams DE, Masters BSS, Lech JJ, Buhler DR. Sex differences in cytochrome P-450 isozyme composition and activity in kidney microsomes of mature rainbow trout. *Biochem Pharmacol* 35:2017-2023 (1986).
- Stegeman JJ, Cheviron M. Sex differences in cytochrome P-450 and mixed-function oxygenase activity in gonadally mature trout. *Biochem Pharmacol* 29:553-562 (1980).
- Ankley GT, Reinert RE, Mayer RT, Burke MD, Agosin M. Metabolism of alkoxyphenoxazones by channel catfish liver microsomes: effects of phenobarbital, Aroclor 1254 and 3-methylcholanthrene. *Biochem Pharmacol* 36:1379-1381 (1987).
- Willis DE, Edwards AJ, Addison RF. Effects of environmental pH on the hepatic mixed function oxidases in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 48:445-447 (1991).
- Walker MK, Peterson RE. Potencies of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin, dibenzofuran and biphenyl congeners, relative to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin for producing early life stage mortality in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquat Toxicol* 21:219-238 (1991).
- Walker MK, Cook PM, Batterman AR, Butterworth BC, Berini C, Libal JJ, Hufnagle LC, Peterson RE. Translocation of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin from adult female lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*) to oocytes: effects on early life stage development and sac fry survival. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 51:1410-1419 (1994).
- Zabel EW, Cook PM, Peterson RE. Toxic equivalency factors of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin, dibenzofuran and biphenyl congeners based on early life stage mortality in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquat Toxicol* 31:315-328 (1995).
- Parrott JL, Hodson PV, Servos MR, Huestis SL, Dixon DG. Relative potency of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans for inducing mixed-function oxygenase activity in rainbow trout. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 14:1041-1050 (1995).
- Clemons JH, Van den Heuvel MR, Stegeman JJ, Dixon DG, Bols NC. Comparison of toxic equivalent factors for selected dioxin and furan congeners derived using fish and mammalian liver cell lines. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 51:1577-1584 (1994).
- Clemons JH, Lee LEJ, Myers CR, Dixon DG, Bols NC. Comparison of toxic equivalent factors for selected dioxin and furan congeners derived using fish and mammalian liver cell lines. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 53:1177-1185 (1996).
- Clemons JH, Dixon DG, Bols NC. Derivation of 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalent factors (TEFs) for selected dioxins,



- furans and PCBs with rainbow trout and rat liver cell lines and the influence of exposure time. *Chemosphere* 34:1105–1119 (1997).
47. Zabel EW, Pollenz R, Peterson RE. Relative potencies of individual polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin, dibenzofuran and biphenyl congener mixtures based on induction of cytochrome p4501A mRNA in a rainbow trout gonadal cell line (RTG-2). *Environ Toxicol Chem* 15:2310–2318 (1996).
  48. Bols NC, Whyte JJ, Clemons JH, Tom DJ, Van den Heuvel MR, Dixon DG. Use of liver cell lines to develop toxic equivalency factors and to derive toxic equivalent concentrations in environmental samples. In: *Ecotoxicology: Responses, Biomarkers and Risk Assessment* (Zelikoff JT, ed). Fair Haven, NJ: SOS Publications 1997:329–350.
  49. Bol J, Van den Berg M, Seinen W. Interactive effects of PCDD's, PCDF's and PCB's as assessed by the ELS-bioassay. *Chemosphere* 19:899–906 (1989).
  50. Zabel EW, Cook PM, Peterson RE. Potency of 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 126), alone and in combination with 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD), to produce lake trout early life stage mortality. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 14:2175–2179 (1995).
  51. Cook PM, Butterworth BC, Walker MK, Hornung MW, Zabel EW, Peterson RE. Lake trout recruitment in the Great Lakes: relative risks for chemical-induced early life stage mortality [abstract]. In: *Proceedings of the 15th annual meeting of the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 1994, Denver, CO, Abstracts 15:58.
  52. Gooch JW, Elskus AA, Kloepper-Sams PJ, Hahn ME, Stegeman JJ. Effects of *ortho*- and non-*ortho*-substituted polychlorinated biphenyl congeners on the hepatic monooxygenase system in sculpin (*Stenotomus chrysops*). *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 98:422–433 (1989).
  53. Van der Weiden MEW, De Vries LP, Fase K, Celander M, Seinen W, Van den Berg M. Relative potencies of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins, dibenzofurans and biphenyls, based on P450 1A induction in the mirror carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Aquat Toxicol* 29:163–182 (1994).
  54. Newsted JL, Giesy JP, Ankley GT, Tillitt DE, Crawford RA, Gooch JW, Jones PD, Denison MS. Development of toxic equivalency factors for PCB congeners and the assessment of TCDD and PCB mixtures in rainbow trout. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 14:861–871 (1995).
  55. Richter CA, Tieber VL, Denison MS, Giesy JP. An *in vitro* rainbow trout cell bioassay for aryl hydrocarbon receptor-mediated toxins. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 16:543–550 (1997).
  56. McKinney JD, Chae K, Gupta BN, Moore JA, Goldstein JA. Toxicological assessment of hexachlorobiphenyl isomers and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzofuran in chicks. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 36:65–80 (1976).
  57. Goldstein Y, McKinney J, Lucier G, Hickman P, Bergman H, Moore J. Toxicological assessment of hexachlorobiphenyl isomers and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzofuran in chicks. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 36:81–92 (1976).
  58. Miranda CL, Henderson MC, Wang LJ, Nakau HS, Buhler DR. Effects of polychlorinated biphenyls on porphyrin synthesis and cytochrome P-450-dependent monooxygenases in small intestine and liver of Japanese quail. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 20:27–35 (1987).
  59. Elliott JE, Kennedy SW, Peakall DB, Won H. Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) effects on hepatic mixed function oxidases and porphyrin in birds. I. Japanese quail. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 96 C:205–210 (1990).
  60. Elliott JE, Kennedy SW, Jeffrey D, Shutt L. Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) effects on hepatic mixed function oxidases and porphyrin in birds. II. American kestrel. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 99 C:141–145 (1991).
  61. Nosek JA, Craven SR, Sullivan JR, Hurley SS, Peterson RE. Toxicity and reproductive effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin in ring-necked pheasant hens. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 35:187–198 (1992).
  62. Bosveld ATC, Gradener J, Murk AJ, Brouwer A, Van Kampen M, Evers EHG, Van den Berg M. Effects of PCDDs, PCDFs and PCBs in common tern (*Sterna hirundo*) breeding in estuarine and coastal colonies in The Netherlands and Belgium. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 14:99–115 (1995).
  63. Hoffman DJ, Melancon MJ, Klein PN, Rice CP, Eiseman JD, Hines RK, Spann JW, Pendleton W. Developmental toxicity of PCB 126 (3,3',4,4',5-pentachloro-biphenyl) in nestling American kestrels (*Falco sparverius*). *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 34:188–196 (1996).
  64. Bosveld ATC. Effects of polyhalogenated aromatic hydrocarbons on piscivorous avian wildlife [PhD thesis]. Utrecht University, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 1995.
  65. Bosveld ATC, Kennedy SW, Seinen W, Van den Berg M. Ethoxyresorufin-*O*-deethylase (EROD) inducing potencies of planar chlorinated aromatic hydrocarbons in primary cultures of hepatocytes from different development stages of the chicken. *Arch Toxicol* 71:746–750 (1997).
  66. Kennedy SW, Lorenzen A, Jones SP, Hahn ME, Stegeman JJ. Cytochrome P4501A induction in avian hepatocyte cultures: a promising approach for predicting the sensitivity of avian species to toxic effects of halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 141:214–230 (1996).
  67. Sanderson JT, Bellward GD. Hepatic microsomal ethoxyresorufin-*O*-deethylase-inducing potency in ovo and cytosolic Ah receptor binding affinity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin: comparison of four species. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 132:131–145 (1995).
  68. Sanderson JT, Kennedy SW, Giesy JP. *In vitro* induction of ethoxyresorufin *O*-deethylase and porphyrins by halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons in avian primary hepatocytes. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 17(10):2006–2018 (1998).
  69. Kennedy SW, Lorenzen A, Norstrom RJ. Chicken embryo hepatocyte bioassay for measuring cytochrome P4501A-based 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin equivalent concentrations in environmental samples. *Environ Sci Technol* 30:706–715 (1996).
  70. Nikolaidis E, Brunström B, Dencker L. Effects of the TCDD congeners 3,3',4,4'-tetrachlorobiphenyl and 3,3',4,4'-tetrachloroazobenzene on lymphoid development in the bursa of fabricius of the chick embryo. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 92:315–323 (1988).
  71. Nikolaides E, Brunström B, Dencker L. Effects of TCDD and its congeners 3,3',4,4'-tetrachloroazobenzene and 3,3',4,4'-tetrachlorobiphenyl on lymphoid development in the thymus of avian embryos. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 63:333–336 (1988).
  72. Brunström B. Toxicity and EROD-inducing potency of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in avian embryos. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 100C:241–243 (1991).
  73. Brunström B, Engwall M, Hjelm K, Lindqvist L, Zebuhr Y. EROD induction in cultured chick embryo liver: a sensitive bioassay for dioxin-like environmental pollutants. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 14:837–842 (1995).
  74. Tysklind M, Bosveld ATC, Andersson P, Verhallen E, Sinnige T, Seinen W, Rappe C, Van den Berg M. Porphyrin accumulation by PCBs and TCDD down-regulates CYP1A1 activity in chicken hepatocytes. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 2:1–6 (1995).
  75. Lorenzen A, Kennedy SW, Bastien LJ, Hahn M. Halogenated aromatic hydrocarbon-mediated porphyrin accumulation and induction of cytochrome P4501A in chicken embryo hepatocytes. *Biochem Pharmacol* 53:373–384 (1997).
  76. Lorenzen A, Shutt JL, Kennedy SW. Sensitivity of common tern (*Sterna hirundo*) embryo hepatocyte cultures to CYP1A induction and porphyrin accumulation by halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons and common tern egg extracts. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 32:126–134 (1997).
  77. Safe S. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs): environmental impact, biochemical and toxic responses, and implications for risk assessment. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 24:87–149 (1994).
  78. Birnbaum LS, De Vito MJ. Use of toxic equivalence factors for risk assessment for dioxins and related compounds. *Toxicology* 105:391–401 (1995).
  79. Wærn F, Flodström S, Busk L, Kronevi T, Nordgren I, Ahlberg A. Relative tumour promoting activity and toxicity of some polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin- and dibenzofuran-congeners in female Sprague-Dawley rats. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 69:450–458 (1991).
  80. Wærn F. Studies on polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans with emphasis on their relative potency and interactions in the rat [PhD thesis]. Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden, 1995.
  81. DeVito MJ, Diliberto JJ, Ross DG, Menache MG, Birnbaum LS. Dose-response relationships for polychlorinated dioxins and dibenzofurans following subchronic treatment in mice I CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 enzyme activity in liver, lung, and skin. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 147:267–280 (1997).
  82. Pohjanvirta R, Unkila M, Tuomisto JT, Tuomisto J. Toxic equivalency factors do not predict the acute toxicities of dioxins in rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* 293:341–353 (1995).
  83. Stahl BU, Ketrup A, Rozman K. Comparative toxicity of four chlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (CDDs) and their mixture. Part I: Acute toxicity and toxic equivalency factors (TEFs). *Arch Toxicol* 66:471–477 (1992).
  84. Schrenk D, Lipp HP, Wiesmüller T, Hagenmaier H, Bock KW. Assessment of biological activities of mixtures of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins: comparison between defined mixtures and their constituents. *Arch Toxicol* 65:114–118 (1990).
  85. Schrenk D, Buchmann A, Dietz K, Lipp HP, Brunner H, Sirma H, Meunzel P, Hagenmaier H, Gebhardt R, Bock KW. Promotion of preneoplastic foci in rat liver with 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin, 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-heptachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin and a defined mixture of 49 polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins. *Carcinogenesis* 15:509–515 (1994).
  86. Viukksela M, Stahl BU, Rozman KK. Subchronic (13-week) toxicity of heptachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin in male Sprague-Dawley rats. *Chemosphere* 29:9–11 (1994).
  87. Couture LA, Elwell MR, Birnbaum LS. Dioxin-like effects observed in male rats following exposure to octachlorodibenzodioxin (OCDD) during a 13-week toxicity study. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 93:31–46 (1988).
  88. DeVito MJ, Birnbaum LS. The importance of pharmacokinetics in determining the relative potency of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-furan. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 24:145–148 (1995).
  89. Van Birgelen APJM, DeVito MJ, Akins JM, Ross DG, Diliberto JJ, Birnbaum LS. Relative potencies of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins, dibenzofurans, and biphenyls derived from hepatic porphyrin accumulation in mice. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 138:98–109 (1996).
  90. Harris M, Zacharewski T, Piskorska-Pliszczynska J, Rosengren R, Safe S. Structure dependent induction of aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase activity in C57BL/6 mice by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin and related congeners: mechanistic studies. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 105:243–253 (1990).
  91. Harber N, Connor K, Steinberg M, Safe S. Immunosuppressive activity of polychlorinated biphenyl mixtures and congeners: nonadditive (antagonistic) interactions. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 27:131–139 (1995).
  92. Hebert CD, Harris MW, Elwell MR, Birnbaum LS. Relative toxicity and tumor-promoting ability of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD), 2,3,4,7,8-pentadibenzofuran (PCDF), and 1,2,3,4,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran (HCDF) in hairless mice. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 102:362–377 (1990).
  93. Tysklind M, Tillitt D, Eriksson L, Lundgren K, Rappe C. A toxic equivalency factor scale for polychlorinated dibenzofurans. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 22:277–285 (1994).
  94. Dickerson R, Howie L, Davis D, Safe S. The structure dependent effects of heptachloro dibenzofuran isomers in male C57BL/6 mice: immunotoxicity and monooxygenase enzyme induction. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 15:298–307 (1990).
  95. Van den Berg M, de Jongh J, Poiger H, Olson JR. The toxicokinetics and metabolism of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs) and dibenzofurans (PCDFs), and their relevance for toxicity. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 24:1–74 (1994).
  96. Håkansson H, Manzoer E, Trossvik C, Ahlberg UG, Chu I, Villeneuve D. Effect on tissue vitamin A levels in the rat following subchronic exposure to four individual PCB congeners (IUPAC 77, 118, 126 and 153). *Chemosphere* 29:2309–2313 (1994).
  97. DeVito MJ, Maier WE, Diliberto JJ, Birnbaum LS. Comparative activity of various PCBs, PCDFs, and TCDD to induce cytochrome P450 1A1 and 1A2 activity



- following 4 weeks of treatment. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 20:125–130 (1993).
98. Chu I, Villeneuve DC, Yagminas A, Lecavalier P, Håkansson H, Ahlborg UG, Valli VE, Kennedy SW, Bergmann A, Seegal RF, Feeley M. Toxicity of PCB 77 (3,3',4,4'-tetrachlorobiphenyl) and PCB 118 (2,3,4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl) in the rat following subchronic dietary exposure. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 26:282–292 (1995).
  99. Sargent L, Dragan YP, Erickson C, Laufer CJ, Pitot HC. Study of the separate and combined effects of the non-planar 2,5,2',5'- and the planar 3,4,3',4'-tetrachloro-biphenyl in liver and lymphocytes *in vivo*. *Carcinogenesis* 12:793–800 (1991).
  100. Sawyer T, Safe S. PCB isomers and congeners: induction of aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase and ethoxyresorufin-*O*-deethylase enzyme activities in rat hepatoma cells. *Toxicol Lett* 13:87–93 (1982).
  101. Bandiera S, Safe S, Okey AB. Binding of polychlorinated biphenyls classified as either phenobarbitone-, 3-methylcholanthrene- or mixed-type inducers of cytosolic Ah receptor. *Chem Biol Interact* 39:259–277 (1982).
  102. Van der Burght ASM. Polychlorinated biphenyls. The role of chlorine substituents on cytochrome P450 induction in several species—possible implications for risk assessment. [PhD thesis]. Research Institute of Toxicology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 1997.
  103. Van Birgelen APJM, Van der Kolk J, Fase KM, Bol I, Poiger H, Van den Berg M, Brouwer A. A toxic potency of 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl relative to and in combination with 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin in a subchronic feeding study in the rat. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 127:209–221 (1994).
  104. Hemming H, Bager Y, Flodström S, Nordgren I, Kronevi T, Ahlborg UG, Wärngård L. Liver tumour promoting activity of 3,4,5,3',4'-pentachlorobiphenyl and its interaction with 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin. *Eur J Pharmacol* 292:241–249 (1995).
  105. Mayura K, Spainhour CB, Howie L, Safe S, Phillips TD. Teratogenicity and immunotoxicity of 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl in C57BL/6 mice. *Toxicology* 77:123–131 (1993).
  106. Hemming H, Flodström S, Wärngård L, Bergman A, Kronevi T, Nordgren I, Ahlborg UG. Relative tumour promoting activity of three polychlorinated biphenyls in rat liver. *Eur J Pharmacol* 248:163–174 (1993).
  107. Leece B, Denommen MA, Townner R, Li SM, Safe S. Polychlorinated biphenyls: correlation between *in vivo* and *in vitro* quantitative structure–activity relationships. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 16:379–388 (1985).
  108. Haag-Grönlund M, Wärngård L, Flodström S, Scheu G, Kronevi T, Ahlborg UG, Fransson-Steen RF. Promotion of altered hepatic foci by 2,3,4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl in Sprague-Dawley female rats. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 35:120–130 (1997).
  109. Van Birgelen APJM, Van der Kolk J, Fase KM, Bol I, Poiger H, Van den Berg M, Brouwer A. A toxic potency of 2,3,3',4,4',5-hexachlorobiphenyl relative to and in combination with 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin in a subchronic feeding study in the rat. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 126:202–213 (1994).
  110. Morrissey RE, Harris MW, Diliberto JJ, Birnbaum LS. Limited PCB antagonism of TCDD-induced malformations in mice. *Toxicol Lett* 60:19–25 (1992).
  111. Bouwman CA, Fase K, Waalkens-Berendsen L, Smits-van Prooije A, Seinen W, Van den Berg M. Cytochrome P450 induction in weanling and adult rats exposed to PCB 126, PCB 118, PCB 153 and 2,3,4,7,8-PnCDF. *Organohalogen Compounds* 29:184–189 (1996).
  112. Waalkens-Berendsen I, Smits-van Prooije A, Bouwman CA, Van den Berg M. Reproductive effects in F1-generation rats perinatally exposed to PCB 126, PCB 118, PCB 153 and 2,3,4,7,8-PnCDF. *Organohalogen Compounds* 29:122–125 (1996).
  113. Van der Burght ASM, Clifters PJ, Tysklind M, Horbach GJM, Van den Berg M. Structure dependent induction of CYP1A by polychlorinated biphenyls in cynomolgus monkey hepatocytes. In: *Organohalogen Compounds* 29:246–250 (1996).
  114. Van der Burght ASM, Kreikamp AP, Horbach GJM, Van den Berg M. Induction by different substituted PCBs and characterization of CYP1A in cynomolgus monkey hepatocytes. In: *Organohalogen Compounds* 29:306–311 (1996).
  115. Tillitt DE, Cantrell SM. Planar halogenated hydrocarbon (PHH) structure–activity relationship in a teleost (PLHC-1) cell line [abstract]. In: *Proceedings of the 13th Annual Meeting of the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, November 1992, Cincinnati, OH.
  116. Tysklind M, Tillitt D, Erikson L, Rappe C. Toxic equivalency factors for tetra- through octa-chlorinated dibenzofurans in PLHC-1 fish hepatoma cells. Submitted *Aquatic Toxicol* (1998).
  117. Opperhuizen A, Sijm DTHM. Bioaccumulation and biotransformation of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans in fish. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 9:175–186 (1990).
  118. Andersson P, Haglund P, Rappe C, Tysklind M. Ultraviolet absorption characteristics and calculated semi-empirical parameters as chemical descriptors in multivariate modelling of polychlorinated biphenyls. *J Chemometrics* 10:171–185 (1996).
  119. Bosveld ATC, Van den Berg M, Theelen RMC. Assessment of the EROD inducing potency of eleven 2,3,7,8-substituted PCDD/Fs and three coplanar PCBs in the chick embryo. *Chemosphere* 25:911–916 (1992).
  120. Poland A, Greenlee WF, Kende AS. Studies on the mechanism of action of the chlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and related compounds. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 320:214–230 (1979).
  121. Poland A, Glover E. Chlorinated biphenyl induction of aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase activity: a study of the structure–activity relationship. *Mol Pharmacol* 13:924–938 (1977).
  122. Brunström B, Andersson L. Toxicity and 7-ethoxyresorufin-*O*-deethylase-inducing potency of coplanar polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in chick embryos. *Arch Toxicol* 62:263–264 (1988).
  123. Powell DC, Aulerich RJ, Stromborg K, Bursian SJ. Effects of 3,3',4,4'-tetrachlorobiphenyl, 2,3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl on the developing chicken embryo when injected prior to incubation. *J Toxicol Env Health* 49:319–338 (1996).
  124. Powell DC, Aulerich RJ, Meadows JC, Tillitt DE, Giesy JP, Stromborg K, Bursian SJ. Effects of 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 126) and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD) injected into the yolks of chicken (*Gallus domesticus*) eggs prior to incubation. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 31:404–409 (1996).
  125. Brunström B. Mono-*ortho*-chlorinated chlorobiphenyls: toxicity and induction of 7-ethoxyresorufin-*O*-deethylase (EROD) activity in chick embryos. *Arch Toxicol* 64:188–191 (1990).
  126. Brunström B, Andersson L, Nikolaides E, Dencker L. Non-*ortho*- and mono-*ortho*-chlorine-substituted polychlorinated biphenyls—embryotoxicity and inhibition of lymphoid development. *Chemosphere* 20:1125–1128 (1990).
  127. Tysklind M, Bosveld ATC, Sinnige T, Van den Berg M. A toxic equivalency factor scale for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in chicken hepatocytes. In: *The Proceedings of the 16th Annual Meeting and 2nd World Congress of the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 5–9 November 1995, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.
  128. Poland A, Knutson JC. 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin and related halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons: examination of the mechanisms of toxicity. *Ann Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 22:517–554 (1982).
  129. Safe S. Comparative toxicology and mechanism of action of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans. *Ann Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 26:371–399 (1986).
  130. Peterson RE, Theobald HM, Kimmel GL. Developmental and reproductive toxicity of dioxins and related compounds: cross-species comparisons. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 23:283–335 (1993).
  131. DeVito MJ, Birnbaum LS, Farland WH, Gasiewicz TA. Comparisons of estimated human body burdens of dioxinlike chemicals and TCDD body burdens in experimentally exposed animals. *Environ Health Perspect* 103:820–831 (1995).
  132. Poellinger L, Göttlicher M, Gustafsson J-Å. The dioxin and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: nuclear receptors in search of endogenous ligands. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 13:241–245 (1992).
  133. Whitlock JP. Mechanistic aspects of dioxin action. *Chem Res Toxicol* 6:754–763 (1993).
  134. Hankinson D. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor complex. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 35:307–340 (1995).
  135. Ema M, Ohe N, Suzuki M, Mimura J, Sogawa K, Ikawa S, Fujii-Kuriyama Y. Dioxin binding activities of polymorphic forms of mouse and human aryl hydrocarbon receptors. *J Biol Chem* 269:27337–27343 (1994).
  136. Poland A, Palen D, Glover E. Analysis of the four alleles of the murine aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Mol Pharmacol* 46:915–921 (1994).
  137. Okey AB, Riddick DS, Harper PA. Molecular biology of the aryl hydrocarbon (dioxin) receptor. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 15:226–232 (1994).
  138. Poellinger L. Mechanism of signal transduction by the basic helix-loop-helix dioxin receptor. In: *Inducible Gene Expression*, Vol 1 (Baeuerle PA, ed). Boston: Birkhäuser, 1995:177–205.
  139. Hahn ME, Poland A, Glover E, Stegeman JJ. Photoaffinity labelling of the Ah receptor: phylogenetic survey of diverse vertebrate and invertebrate species. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 310:218–228 (1994).
  140. Hahn ME, Karchner SI. Evolutionary conservation of the vertebrate Ah (dioxin) receptor—amplification and sequencing of the PAS domain of a teleost Ah receptor cDNA. *J Biochem* 310:383–387 (1995).
  141. Hahn ME, Karchner SI, Shapiro MA, Perera SA. Molecular evolution of two vertebrate aryl hydrocarbon (dioxin) receptors (AHR1 and AHR2) and the PAS family. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94:13743–13748 (1997).
  142. Garrison PM, Tullis K, Aarts JMMJG, Brouwer A, Giesy JP, Denison MS. Species-specific recombinant cell lines as bioassay systems for the detection of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin-like chemicals. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 30:194–203 (1996).
  143. Birnbaum LS, Couture LA. Disposition of octachlorodibenzodioxin (OCDD) in male rats. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 93:231–246 (1988).
  144. Voorman R, Aust S. Specific binding of polyhalogenated aromatic hydrocarbon inducers of cytochrome P-450d to the cytochrome and inhibition of its estradiol 2-hydroxylase activity. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 90:69–78 (1987).
  145. Yoshimura H, Kuroki J, Koga N, Kuroki H, Masuda Y, Fukasaku N, Hasegawa M. High accumulation of 2,3,4,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran to hepatic microsomes of rats. *J Pharmacobio-Dyn* 7:414–419 (1984).
  146. DeVito MJ, Ross DR, Birnbaum LS. Disposition of PCDD/PCDF in mice. *Organohalogen Compounds* 25:11–14 (1995).
  147. Brewster DW, Birnbaum LS. Disposition and excretion of 2,3,4,7,8-pentachloro-dibenzofuran in the rat. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 90:243–253 (1987).
  148. Diliberto JJ, Burgin D, Birnbaum LS. Role of CYP1A2 in hepatic sequestration of dioxin: studies using CYP1A2 knock-out mice. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 236:431–433 (1997).
  149. DeVito MJ, Ross DG, Dubuy AE Jr, Ferrario J, McDaniel D, Birnbaum LS. Dose–response relationships for disposition and hepatic sequestration of polyhalogenated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin, dibenzofurans and biphenyls following subchronic treatment in mice. *Toxicol Sci* (in press).
  150. Muehlebach S, Wyss PH, Bickel MH. The use of 2,4,5,2',4',5'-hexachlorobiphenyl as an unmetabolizable lipophilic model compound. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 69:410–415 (1991).
  151. De Jongh J, Wondergem F, Seinen W, Van den Berg M. Toxicokinetic interactions between chlorinated aromatic hydrocarbons in the liver of the C57BL/6J mouse: 1. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). *Arch Toxicol* 67:453–460 (1993).
  152. De Jongh J, Nieboer R, Schröders I, Seinen W, Van den Berg M. Toxicokinetic interactions between chlorinated aromatic hydrocarbons in the liver of the C57BL/6J mouse: 2. Polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs), dibenzofurans (PCDFs) and biphenyls (PCBs). *Arch Toxicol* 67:598–604 (1993).
  153. De Jongh, DeVito MJ, Nieboer R, Birnbaum LS, Van den Berg M. Induction of cytochrome P450 isoenzymes after toxicokinetic interactions between 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD) and 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl (HxCB) in the liver of the mouse. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 25:264–270 (1995).
  154. Birnbaum LS. The role of structure in the disposition of halogenated aromatic hydrocarbons. *Environ Health Perspect* 61:11–20 (1985).

155. Parkinson A, Safe S. Mammalian biologic and toxic effects of PCBs. In: *Environmental Toxin Series*, Vol 1. Heidelberg:Springer-Verlag, 1987:49–75.
156. Murk A, Morse D, Boon J, Brouwer A. *In vitro* metabolism of 3,4',4,4'-tetrachlorobiphenyl in relation to ethoxyresorufin-*O*-deethylase activity in liver microsomes of some wildlife species and rat. *Eur J Pharmacol* 270:253–261 (1994).
157. Connor K, Safe S, Jefcoate CR, Larsen M. Structure-dependent induction of CYP2B by polychlorinated biphenyl congeners in female Sprague-Dawley rats. *Biochem Pharmacol* 50:1913–1920 (1995).
158. Boon J, Oostingh I, van der Meer J, Hillebrand MT. A model for the bioaccumulation of chlorobiphenyl congeners in marine mammals. *Eur J Pharmacol* 270:237–251 (1994).
159. Letcher RJ, Norstrom RJ, Lin S, Ramsay MA, Bandiera SM. Immunoreactivity and microsomal mono-oxygenase activities of hepatic cytochromes P4501A and P4502B and chlorinated hydrocarbon levels in polar bear (*Ursus maritimus*). *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 137:127–140 (1996).
160. Birnbaum LS, Harris MW, Barnhart ER, Morrissey RE. Teratogenic effects of three polychlorinated dibenzofurans in C57BL/6N mice. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 90:206–216 (1987).
161. Cook PM, Kuehl DW, Walker MK, Peterson RE. Bioaccumulation and toxicity of TCDD and related compounds in aquatic ecosystems. In: *Biological Basis for Risk Assessment of Dioxins and Related Compounds*, Banbury Report No 35 Plainview, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Press, 1991:143–167.
162. Boon JP, Van der Meer J, Allichin CR, Law RJ, Klungsoyr J, Leonards PEG, Spliid H, Storr-Hansen E, McKenzie C, Wells DE. Concentration-dependent changes of PCB patterns in fish-eating mammals: structural evidence for induction of cytochrome P450. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 33:298–311 (1997).
163. Borlakoglu JT, Wilkins JGG, Walker MC, Dils RR. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in fish-eating sea birds. II. Molecular features of PCB isomers and congeners in adipose tissue of male and female puffins (*Fratercula arctica*), Guillemots (*Uria aalga*), shags (*Phalacrocorax aristotelis*) and cormorants (*Phalacrocorax carbo*) of British and Irish coastal waters. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 97C:161–171 (1990).
164. McFarland VA, Clarke JU. Environmental occurrence abundance and potential toxicity of polychlorinated biphenyl congeners: considerations for a congener specific analysis. *Environ Health Perspect* 81:225–239 (1989).
165. Campbell PM, Devlin RH. Expression of CYP1A1 in livers and gonads of Pacific salmon: quantitation of mRNA levels by RT-PCR. *Aquat Toxicol* 34:47–69 (1996).
166. Van den Heuvel JP, Clark GC, Kohn MC, Tritscher AM, Greenlee WF, Lucier GW, Bell DA. Dioxin-responsive genes: examination of dose–response relationships using quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction. *Cancer Res* 54:62–68 (1994).
167. Hahn ME, Woodward BL, Stegeman JJ, Kennedy SW. Rapid assessment of induced cytochrome P4501A protein and catalytic activity in fish hepatoma cells grown in multiwell plates: response to TCDD, TCDF and two planar PCBs. *Environ Sci Technol* 5:582–591 (1996).
168. Bradlaw JA, Casterline JL Jr. Induction of enzyme activity in cell culture: a rapid screen for detection of planar polychlorinated organic compounds. *J Assoc Off Anal Chem* 62:904–906 (1979).
169. Lipp H-P, Schrenk D, Wiesmüller T, Hagenmaier H, Bock KW. Assessment of biological activities of mixtures of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs) and their constituents in human HepG2 cells. *Arch Toxicol* 66:220–223 (1991).
170. El-Fouly MH, Richter C, Giesy JP, Denison MS. Production of a novel recombinant cell line for use as a bioassay system for detection of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin-like compounds. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 13:1581–1588 (1994).
171. Postlind H, Vu TP, Tukey RH, Quattrochi LC. Response of human CYP1-luciferase plasmids to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 118:255–262 (1993).
172. Sanderson JT, Aarts JMMJG, Brouwer A, Froese KL, Denison MS, Giesy JP. Comparison of Ah-receptor-mediated luciferase and ethoxyresorufin-*O*-deethylase induction in H4IIE cells: implications for their use as bioanalytical tools for the detection of polyhalogenated aromatic compounds. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 137:316–325 (1996).
173. Hanberg A, Ståhlberg M, Georgellis A, de Wit C, Ahlberg U. Swedish dioxin survey: evaluation of the H-4-II E bioassay for screening environmental samples for dioxin-like induction. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 69:442–449 (1991).
174. Tillitt DE, Giesy JP, Ankley GT. Characterization of the H4IIE rat hepatoma cell bioassay as a tool for assessing toxic potency of planar halogenated hydrocarbons in environmental samples. *Environ Sci Technol* 25:87–92 (1991).
175. Tillitt DE, Ankley DA, Verbrugge DA, Giesy JP, Ludwig JP, Kubiak TJ. H4IIE rat hepatoma cell bioassay-derived 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin equivalents in colonial fish-eating birds from the Great Lakes. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 21:91–101 (1991).
176. Zacharewski T, Harris M, Safe S, Thoma H, Hutzinger O. Applications of the *in vitro* aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase induction assay for determining "2,3,7,8-tetrachloro-dibenzo-*p*-dioxin equivalents": pyrolyzed brominated flame retardants. *Toxicology* 51:177–189 (1988).
177. Zacharewski T, Safe L, Safe S, Chittim B, Devault D, Wiberg K, Bergqvist P-A, Rappe C. Comparative analysis of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin and dibenzofuran congeners in Great Lakes fish extracts by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry and *in vitro* enzyme induction activities. *Environ Sci Technol* 23:730–735 (1989).
178. Bannister R, Safe S. The effects of receptor antagonists on the AHH induction activity of 2,3,7,8-TCDD in C57BL/6 and DBA/12 mice: 1, 3, 6, 8-Tetrachlorodibenzofuran. *Chemosphere* 16:1739–1742 (1987).
179. Bannister R, Safe S. Synergistic interactions of 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl in C57BL/6J and DBA/2J mice: role of the Ah receptor. *Toxicology* 44:159–169 (1987).
180. Safe S, Bandiera S, Sawyer T, Robertson L, Safe L, Parkinson A, Thomas PE, Ryan DE, Reik LM, Levin W, et al. PCBs: structure–function relationships and mechanism of action. *Environ Health Perspect* 60:47–56 (1985).
181. Rickenbacher U, McKinney JD, Oatley SJ, Blake CC. Structurally specific binding of halogenated biphenyls to thyroxine transport protein. *J Med Chem* 29:641–648 (1986).
182. Lans MC, Klasson-Wehler E, Willemsen M, Meussen E, Safe S, Brouwer A. A structure-dependent, competitive interaction of hydroxy-polychlorobiphenyls, dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and -dibenzofurans with human transthyretin. *Chem Biol Interact* 88:7–21 (1993).
183. Korach KS, Sarver P, Chae K, McLachlan JA, McKinney JD. Estrogen receptor-binding activity of polychlorinated hydroxybiphenyls: conformationally restricted structural probes. *Mol Pharmacol* 33:120–126 (1988).
184. McKinney JD, Waller CL. Polychlorinated biphenyls as hormonally active structural analogues. *Environ Health Perspect* 102:290–297 (1994).
185. Tysklind M. Multivariate chemical characterization and modelling of polychlorinated dioxins and dibenzofurans [PhD thesis]. University of Umeå, Umeå, Sweden, 1993.
186. Tysklind M, Lundgren K, Eriksson L, Sjöström M, Rappe C. Identifying training sets of PCDDs and PCDFs for use in chemical and biological monitoring. *Chemosphere* 27(1-3):47–54 (1993).
187. Tysklind M, Andersson P, Haglund P, Van Bavel B, Rappe C. Selection of polychlorinated biphenyls for use in quantitative structure–activity modelling. *SAR & QSAR Environ Res* 4:11–19 (1995).
188. Gierthy JF, Crane D, Frenkel GD. Application of an *in vitro* keratinization assay to extracts of soot from a fire in a polychlorinated biphenyl-containing transformer. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 4:1036–1041 (1984).
189. Eadon G, Kaminsky L, Silkworth J, Aldous K, Hilker D, O'Keefe P, Smith R, Gierthy J, Hawley J, Kim N, DeCaprio A. Calculation of 2,3,7,8-TCDD equivalent concentrations of complex environmental contaminant mixtures. *Environ Health Perspect* 70:221–227 (1986).
190. Pluess N, Poiger H, Hohbach C, Schlatter C. Subchronic toxicity of some chlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) and chlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs) in rats. *Chemosphere* 17:973–984 (1988).
191. Kannan N, Tanabe S, Tatsukawa R. Toxic potential of non-ortho and mono-ortho coplanar PCBs in commercial PCB preparations: 2,3,7,8-TCDD toxicity equivalence factors approach. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* 41:267–276 (1988).
192. Giesy JP, Jude D, Tillitt DE, Gale RW, Meadows JC, Zajicek JL, Peterman PH, Verbrugge DA, Tuchman ML. Polychlorinated-dibenzo-*p*-dioxins, -dibenzofurans and -biphenyls in fishes from Saginaw Bay, Michigan, USA. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 16:713–724 (1997).
193. Safe S. Limitation of the toxic equivalency factor approach for risk assessment of TCDD and related compounds. *Teratog Carcinog Mutagen* 17:285–304 (1997).
194. Verhallen E, Van den Berg M, Bosveld ATC. Interactive effects on the ERQD-inducing potency of polyhalogenated aromatic hydrocarbons in the chicken embryo hepatocyte assay. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 16:277–282 (1997).
195. Biegel L, Harris M, Davis D, Rosengren R, Safe L, Safe S. 2,2',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl as a 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin antagonist in C57BL/6J mice. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 97:561–571 (1989).
196. Zhao F, Mayura K, Harper N, Safe SH, Phillips TD. Inhibition of 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl-induced fetal cleft palate and immunotoxicity in C57BL/6 mice by 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl. *Chemosphere* 34:1605–1613 (1997).
197. Zhao F, Mayura K, Kocurek N, Edwards JF, Kubena LF, Safe SH, Phillips TD. Inhibition of 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl-induced chicken embryotoxicity by 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 35:1–8 (1997).
198. Smialowicz RJ, De Vito MJ, Riddle MM, Williams WC, Birnbaum LS. Opposite effects of 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin on the antibody response to sheep erythrocytes in mice. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 37:141–149 (1997).
199. Van Birgelen APJM, Fase KM, Van der Kolk J, Poiger H, Brouwer A, Seinen W, Van den Berg M. Synergistic effect of 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin on hepatic porphyrin levels in the rat. *Environ Health Perspect* 104:550–557 (1996).
200. Van Birgelen APJM, Van der Kolk J, Poiger H, Van den Berg M, Brouwer A. Interactive effects of 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin on thyroid hormone, vitamin A, and vitamin K metabolism in the rat. *Chemosphere* 25(7-10):1239–1244 (1992).
201. Van Birgelen APJM, Visser TJ, Kaptein E, Kodavanti PRS, Derr-Yellin EC, DeVito MJ, Birnbaum LS. Synergistic effects on thyroid hormone metabolism in female Sprague Dawley rats after subchronic exposure to mixtures of PCDDs, PCDFs and PCBs. *Organohalogen Compounds* 34:370–375 (1997).
202. Safe S. Development, validation and problems with the TEF approach for risk assessment of dioxins and related compounds. *J Anim Sci* 76:134–141 (1988).
203. Silkworth JB, Brown JF Jr. Evaluating the impact of exposure to environmental contaminants on human health. *Clin Chem* 42:1345–1349 (1996).
204. Gillner M, Bergman J, Cambilleau C, Alexandersson M, Fernström B, Gustafsson J-Å. Interactions of indoles with specific binding sites for 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin. *Mol Pharmacol* 44:336–345 (1993).
205. Wilker CE, Johnson L, Safe S. Effects of developmental exposure to indole-3-carbinol or 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin on reproductive potential of male rat offspring. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 141:68–75 (1996).
206. Chen I, Safe S, Bjeldanes L. Indole-3-carbinol and diindolylmethane as aryl hydrocarbon (Ah) receptor agonists and antagonists in T47D human breast cancer cells. *Biochem Pharmacol* 51:1069–1076 (1995).
207. Pohjanvirta R, Juvonen R, Tuomisto JT, Unkila M, Viluksela M, Bergman J, Poellinger L, Tuomisto J. Indole (3*z*-b) carbazole (ICZ) is a weaker Ah receptor agonist *in vivo* than *in vitro* [abstract]. In: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Toxicology VIII*, 5–9 July 1998, Paris, France.
208. Haake J, Safe S, Mayura K, Phillips TD. Aroclor 1254 as an antagonist of the teratogenicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin. *Toxicol Lett* 38:299–306 (1987).

209. Astroff B, Zacharewski T, Safe S, Arlatto MP, Parkinson A, Thomas P, Levin W. 6-Methyl-1,3,8-trichlorodibenzofuran as a 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin antagonist: inhibition of the induction of rat cytochrome P-450 isozymes and related mono-oxygenase activities. *Mol Pharmacol* 33:231–236 (1988).
210. Safe S, Bannister R, Davis D, Haoke JM, Zacharewski T, Mayura K, Philips TD. Aroclor 1254 as a 2,3,7,8-TCDD antagonist in mice. *Chemosphere* 18:709–714 (1989).
211. Landers JP, Bunce NJ. The Ah-receptor and the mechanism of dioxin toxicity. *Biochem J* 276:273–287 (1991).
212. Silkworth JB, Cutler DS, O'Keefe PW, Lipniskas T. Potentiation and antagonism of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin effects in a complex environmental mixture. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 119:236–247 (1993).
213. Janz DM, Metcalfe CD. Nonadditive interactions of mixtures of 2,3,7,8-TCDD and 3,3',4,4'-tetrachlorobiphenyl on aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase induction in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Chemosphere* 23:467–472 (1991).
214. Van der Kolk J, Van Birgelen APJM, Poiger H, Schlatter C. Interactions of 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin in a subchronic feeding study in the rat. *Chemosphere* 25:2023–2027 (1992).
215. De Jongh J, DeVito M, Nieboer R, Birnbaum L, Van den Berg M. Induction of cytochrome P450 isoenzymes after toxicokinetic interactions between 2,3,7,8-tetrachloro-dibenzo-*p*-dioxin and 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl in the liver of the mouse. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 25:264–270 (1995).
216. Leece B, Denommen MA, Yowner R, Li A, Landers J. Nonadditive interactive effects of polychlorinated biphenyl congeners in rats: role of the 2,3,7,8-tetrachloro-dibenzo-*p*-dioxin receptor. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* 65:1908–1912 (1987).
217. Zabel EW, Walker MK, Hornung MW, Clayton MK, Peterson RE. Interactions of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin, dibenzofuran and biphenyl congeners for producing rainbow trout early life stage mortality. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 134:204–213 (1995).
218. Kim P, Cooper KR. Interactions of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD) and 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 126) for producing toxic effects in the Japanese medaka embryos and larvae. *Chemosphere* 36(2):409–418 (1998).
219. Walker MK, Cook PM, Butterworth BC, Zabel EW, Peterson RE. Potency of a complex mixture of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxin, dibenzofuran and biphenyl congeners compared to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin in causing fish early life stage mortality. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 30:178–186 (1996).
220. Wright PJ, Tillitt DE. Embryotoxicity of Great Lakes lake trout extracts to developing rainbow trout. Submitted.
221. Tillitt DE, Wright PJ. Dioxin-like embryotoxicity of a Lake Michigan lake trout extract to developing lake trout. *Organohalogen Compounds* 34:221–225 (1997).
222. Powell DC, Aulerich RJ, Meadows JC, Tillitt DE, Powell JF, Restum JC, Stromborg K, Giesy JP, Bursian SJ. Effects of 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 126), 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD) or an extract derived from field-collected double crested cormorant eggs injected into double crested cormorant (*Phalacrocorax auritus*) eggs. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 16:1450–1455 (1997).
223. Powell DC, Aulerich RJ, Meadows JC, Tillitt DE, Stromborg KL, Kubiak TJ, Giesy JP, Bursian SJ. Organochlorine contaminants in double-crested cormorants from Green Bay, WI. II. Effects of an extract derived from cormorant eggs on the chicken embryo. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 32:316–322 (1997).
224. Sanderson JT, Elliott JE, Norstrom RJ, Whitehead PE, Hart LE, Cheng KM, Bellward GD. Monitoring biological effects of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins, dibenzofurans and biphenyls in great blue heron chicks (*Ardea herodias*) in British Columbia. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 41:435–450 (1994).
225. Sanderson JT, Norstrom RJ, Elliott JE, Hart LE, Cheng KM, Bellward GD. Biological effects of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins, dibenzofurans and biphenyls in double-crested cormorant chicks (*Phalacrocorax auritus*). *J Toxicol Environ Health* 4:247–265 (1994).