Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research

Research

Traditional Chinese medicines in the treatment of hepatocellular cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Ping Wu¹, Jean Jacques Dugoua², Oghenowede Eyawo³ and Edward J Mills*^{3,4}

Address: 1Shanghai Hospital #4, Shanghai, PR China, 2Graduate Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Leslie Dan Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, ³Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada and ⁴Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada

Email: Ping Wu - pwu@ccnm.edu; Jean Jacques Dugoua - drjj@askdrjj.com; Oghenowede Eyawo - oea1@sfu.ca; Edward J Mills* - emills@sfu.ca * Corresponding author

Published: 12 August 2009

Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research 2009, 28:112 doi:10.1186/1756-9966-28-112

This article is available from: http://www.jeccr.com/content/28/1/112

© 2009 Wu et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Liver cancer is a common malignancy with a high mortality rate. Given the poor prognosis associated with this cancer, many patients seek additional therapies that may improve quality of life or survival. Several Traditional Chinese Medicines (TCM) have been evaluated in clinical trials, but little is known about them outside of China.

Methods: We searched independently and in duplicate 8 electronic databases, including 2 Chinese language databases, until February 2009. We included any randomized clinical trials (RCT) evaluating a TCM oral preparation for the treatment of hepatocellular cancers. We abstracted data on survival, tumor response, and performance scores. We conducted a random-effects metaanalysis and applied a meta-regression analysis.

Results: We included 45 RCTs (n = 3,236). All studies employed an active control group. In general, the reporting of methodological issues was poor. We analyzed data from 37 trials reporting on complete response effects score (Relative Risk [RR] of 1.26 (95 Cl, 1.04-1.52, P = 0.01, $l^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.99). Products containing ginseng, astragalus and mylabris had a larger treatment effect (OR 1.34, 95% CI, 1.04–1.71, P = 0.01) than the pooled broad estimate, also the case for astragalus-based treatments (OR 1.35, 95% CI, 1.001–1.80. P = 0.048). We examined survival rates and pooled 15 studies reporting on 6 month outcomes (RR 1.10, 95% CI, 1.04-1.15, P = < 0.0001, $I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.60). This effect was consistent at other prospective dates, including 12 months (22 trials, RR 1.26, 95% CI, 1.17–1.36, P = < 0.0001, I² = 7%, P = 0.36), 24 months (15 trials, 1.72, 95% CI, I.40-2.03, P = < 0.000 I, I² = 0%, P = 0.75); and, at 36 months (8 trials, RR 2.40, 95% CI, I.65-3.49, $P = \langle 0.000 |, |^2 = 0\%, P = 0.62 \rangle$.

Limitations: All included trials were conducted in China where emerging evidence suggests many RCTs are not, in fact, randomized. Publication bias may exist, favouring positive reports.

Conclusion: Our meta-analysis displays compelling evidence of effectiveness for hepatocellular cancers that should be evaluated in high-quality and transparent clinical trials.



Open Access

Received: 6 July 2009

Accepted: 12 August 2009

Introduction

Worldwide, liver cancer is the fifth most common malignancy in men and the eighth in women[1]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), liver cancer is a major health problem and its incidence is increasing[2]. In the United States alone, it is estimated that there will be 22,620 new cases and 18,160 deaths related to liver cancer in 2009[3].

The major risk factor for liver cancer is the presence of cirrhosis of the liver, largely due to chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection[4]. It is believed that the combined effects of these infections account for well over 80% of liver cancer cases worldwide[1]. Through HBV vaccines and screening of blood and blood products for HBV and HCV, primary liver cancer is the first human cancer largely amenable to prevention[1].

With respect to treatment, the plan depends on a number of factors, including the extent of the disease, growth pattern of the tumour and hepatic functional reserve of the patient[5]. In cases of localized resectable liver tumours, standard treatment is surgical resection (partial hepatectomy) in patients without liver cirrhosis and surgical resection or liver transplantation in patients with liver cirrhosis[5]. In cases of localized non-resectable liver tumours, the standard treatment of total hepatectomy with liver transplantation is considered first followed by other options, including chemoembolization, percutaneous ethanol injection, radiofrequency ablation, inclusion in clinical trials or systemic chemotherapy (anthracyclines, cisplatin and 5-FU)[5]. Systematic chemotherapy, however, is reported to have a 10% response rate and no survival benefit[5]. In cases of advanced liver tumours, there is no established standard of care[5].

Given the poor prognosis associated with some liver cancers and limited treatment options outside of surgery, patients may seek alternative treatments, including traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) products, alone or in combination with standard of care. The purpose of this study is to systematically review and meta-analyze data from randomized clinical trials (RCTs) for evidence on the efficacy of TCM products in the treatment of liver cancer.

Methods

Search strategy, trials selection, and data retrieval

To be eligible for inclusion in our systematic review, studies had to have enrolled adult patients (>18 years) with liver cancer. The patients had to be randomly allocated to an active TCM formulation treatment or a control group with either placebo or no treatment. In addition, any cointervention had to be the same in both groups except for the TCM formulation. We excluded studies that reported only laboratory values rather than clinical responses. We also excluded direct comparisons of TCM formulations.

PW and EM worked independently, in duplicate, searching the following English electronic databases: MEDLINE (1966–February 2009), AMED (1985–February 2009), Alt Health Watch (1995–February 2009), CINAHL (1982–February 2009), Nursing and Allied Health Collection: Basic (1985–February 2009), Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (2008). In addition, PW, and YL, fluent in Mandarin and Cantonese, searched the Chinese database CNKI (1979–February 2009) and Wan Fang (1994–February 2009) independently. No language restrictions were placed on the searches.

Three reviewers (PW, EM and JL) assessed eligibility based on the full text papers and conducted data extraction, independently, using a standard pre-piloted form. Disagreements were resolved by consensus or by a third reviewer. If the required information was not available in the published article, we obtained additional information in correspondence with the authors. We included all evaluated outcome measures including: disease stage, Karnofsky performace (KP), the Child-Pugh score and the response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (RECIST). The response is categorized as complete response (CR), partial response (PR) outcomes, stable disease (SD), progressive disease (PD) and as CR + PR as a proportion for response rate (RR). We additionally examined survival rates by group according to 6, 12, 18, 24, 36 and 60-month survival rates, where reported.

In addition, we extracted data on trial quality, protocol, and outcomes assessed. We assessed quality through the reporting of the following criteria: sequence generation, allocation concealment, reporting of who was blinded, adequate descriptions of patient withdrawal, language of publication, and exposure to chemotherapy. We also noted the language in which the paper was written and the setting the studies were conducted. These criteria were not used for weighting covariates in the meta-analysis; instead, these were considered *a priori* explanations for study heterogeneity.

Statistical analysis

We applied the Relative Risk and 95% Confidence Intervals as our primary effect measure in this analysis. For analysis examining response and survival, favourable results for the TCM intervention are in the direction greater than 1. In circumstances of zero outcome events in either arm of a trial, we used the Haldane method and added 1 to each arm, as suggested by Sheehe[6]. We first pooled studies on all interventions versus all controls using the DerSimonian-Laird random effects method[7]. This method recognizes and anchors studies as a sample of all potential studies, and incorporates an additional between-study component to the estimate of variability. We calculated the I² statistic for each analysis as a measure of the proportion of the overall variation that is attributable to between-study heterogeneity[8]. Forest plots are displayed for the primary analysis, showing individual study effect measures with 95% CIs and the overall Der-Simmonian-Laird pooled estimate. We conducted a metaregression analysis using the unrestricted maximum likelihood method to determine if the a priori covariates of TCM formulation yielded differing effects. We examined publication bias visually and through the Begg-Mazumdar, Egger, and Horbold-Egger tests. We calculated the optimal information size (OIS) required to determine adequate power across trials. We used Stats Direct and Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (Version 2) for all statistical procedures. All p-values are 2-sided and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. PW and EM conducted the analysis.

Results

Our extensive searching yielded 130 titles and/or abstracts, of which 54 were found likely to be relevant. Nine of the full text articles reviewed were excluded for one of two reasons: 1) either the study was not randomized; 2) TCM was the control intervention 3)study was duplicated.

In total, 45 publications [9-53] containing independent data fit the criteria for inclusion. Figure 1 details the literature retrieval process used during our searches and the rationales for exclusion leading to the final selection. Among the final 45 studies, 44 [9-14,16-53]were published in Chinese languages and 1 [15]was published in English. All the studies were conducted in China.

Characteristics of included studies

The 45 RCTs included 3,236 patients, 1,682 in the treatment groups and 1,554 in the control groups (See Additional file 1 and 2). Most trials were small and the median intervention group size was 32 (interquartile range [IQR] 30–42) and control group size is 31 (IQR 30–38). The majority of trials (24)[9,12,13,15-18,25,27,29,30,32,34-36,40,46,47,49-54] included patients with stage II or more advanced cancers. Additional file 1 displays the study characteristics and formulations along with the TCM philosophy for the preparation. All studies employed transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) as adjunct therapy. No placebo was used as the control group in any study.

TCM Interventions

The TCM interventions identified in this study were principally combinations of different herbal medicines or animal/insect extracts (Additional file 1). A brief outline on the oncologic and immunologic pharmacology of the most commonly used ingredients is presented below.

Astragalus

Astragalus appears to have a number of immunomodulatory properties [55-57]. Astragalus appears to have antitumour activity where its potentiates LAK cell activity *in vitro* when used in combination with IL-2[58]. Astragalus appears to restore *in vitro* T-cell function, which is suppressed in cancer patients[59].

Panax ginseng

Panax ginseng and its chemical constituents were found to have inhibitory effects on putative carcinogenesis mechanisms, e.g., cell proliferation and apoptosis, immunosurveillance and angiogenesis[60]. Ginsenosides from *Panax ginseng* have been shown to inhibit tumor cell invasion and to suppress sister chromatid exchanges in human lymphocytes[61].

Toad skin secretions (bufotoxin)

The toad skin secretion bufalin was found to induce apoptosis in human-leukemia cells by altering expression of apoptotic genes c-myc and bcl-2[62]. Other toad skin secretions like 3-formyloxyresibufogenin, 19-oxobufalin, 19-oxodesacetylcinobufagin, 6-hydroxycinobufagin and 1-hydroxybufalin were found to exert inhibitory effects on KB, HL-60 and MH-60 cancer cell lines[63].

Beetle extracts (Mylabris)

An extract from *Mylabris phaleratais*, the dried body of the Chinese blister beetle, was shown to have anti-cancer activity via inducing cancer cell apoptosis and was associated with little toxicity[64].

Atractylodes

Atractylodes appears to have anticancer activity by inducing apoptosis and cytotoxic effects against leukemia and other cancer cell lines[65].

Bupleurum

Saikosaponins from *Bupleurum falcatum* were shown to exhibit potent anti-cell adhesive activity on solid tumour cells and to have strong hemolytic action[66].

Curcuma

Curcuma longa may have immunostimulatory activity[67].

Meta-analysis

Complete Response

We analyzed data from 37 trials[10,12,13,15-18,20,21,23,25-30,32,33,35,36,38-41,44-54,68,69] reporting on RECIST CR score. Our pooled analysis indicates an RR of 1.26 (95 CI, 1.04–1.52, P = 0.01, I² = 0%, P





= 0.99). See figure 2. Applying meta-regression, we found that products containing ginseng, astragalus and mylabris had a larger treatment effect (OR 1.34, 95% CI, 1.04–1.71, P = 0.01) than the pooled broad estimate and that any product containing astragalus also had this effect (OR 1.35, 95% CI, 1.001–1.80. P = 0.048).

Partial response

We pooled data from 37 trials [10,12,13,15-18,20,21,23,25-30,32,33,35,36,38-41,44-54,68,69] reporting on PR between groups. The pooled RR is 1.27 (95% CI, 1.17–1.38, P = < 0.0001, I² = 0%, P = 0.99, See Figure 3). When we examined if differential effects existed across specific formulations, we found that studies using bufotoxin demonstrated increased effects (OR 1.25, 95% CI, 1.15–1.37, P = < 0.0001), as did studies using ginseng, astragalus and mylabris (OR 1.27, 95% CI, 1.16–1.39, P = < 0.0001) and any product using astragalus (OR 1.27, 1.13–1.42, P = < 0.0001).

Stable disease

We pooled data from 37 trials[10-13,15-18,20,21,23,25-30,32,33,35,36,38-40,44-54,68,69] reporting on stable disease between groups at study conclusion. The pooled RR is 1.03 (95% CI, 0.93–1.15, P = 0.47, I² = 10%, P = 0.29, see figure 4). When we examined the effects of different preparations we did not show an effect with bufotoxin (OR 1.04, 95% CI, 0.95–1.15, P = 0.35), with



Figure 2 Forest-plot of complete response.

Study name		Statis	tics for e	ach study				Risk ratio and 95% Cl		
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
Yi JZ	1.060	0.610	1.841	0.206	0.837		- I	-+		I
Li DJ	1.600	0.761	3.364	1.240	0.215				-	
Zhang YQ	3.444	0.423	28.064	1.156	0.248					· I
Wang YZ	1.833	0.779	4.317	1.387	0.165				-	
Wen H	1.165	0.721	1.882	0.623	0.533					
Li Q	1.150	0.804	1.644	0.766	0.443					
Lin J	1.154	0.790	1.685	0.741	0.459					
Li M	1.120	0.437	2.869	0.236	0.813				-	
Lin YZ	0.907	0.383	2.146	-0.223	0.824					
Tian XZ	1.273	0.671	2.415	0.738	0.461			+=		
Wu XD	1.154	0.670	1.987	0.516	0.606			- P		
Yu QT	1.438	1.077	1.919	2.461	0.014					
Zhang YF	1.080	0.764	1.526	0.436	0.663					
LIWH	1.500	0.282	7.987	0.475	0.635					
Zhao XW	1.167	0.802	1.697	0.807	0.420					
VVen HY	1.313	0.692	2.491	0.832	0.405					
Xu ZW	1.200	0.614	2.344	0.534	0.593					
Wang RP	1.200	0.410	3.511	0.333	0.739				-	
Yang JM	1.143	0.682	1.915	0.507	0.612					
Xiang DB	1.298	0.779	2.163	1.001	0.317					
Feng J	1.179	0.340	4.015	0.203	0.793					
	1.979	0.512	2,627	0.990	0.322					
Tion HO	1.200	0.093	2.037	0.000	0.006					
	1.014	0.400	2.020	1 420	0.975					
Zhu AF Zhang SV	1.007	0.027	1.026	1.428	0.103					
Chen C	1.350	0.863	1.835	1 1002	0.234					
Wang OP	0.818	0.000	1 759	-0.515	0.607					
Zhou BG	1 075	0 711	1 625	0.344	0.731					
Cao I W	1.500	1 209	1.861	3 689	0.000			T.		
Zhou JS	1.646	0 789	3 434	1 329	0.184				_	
Zhang C.I	1.076	0 775	1 494	0 437	0.662			_ _		
Zhou BG2	1.319	0.637	2,729	0 745	0.456				.	
Zhang YM	1.260	0.634	2.505	0.659	0.510					
Zhao HR	1.613	0.670	3,884	1.066	0.286				_	
Li QM	1.800	0.855	3,791	1.547	0.122				_	
Wang HZ	1.643	1.019	2.648	2.038	0.042				.	
	1.276	1.176	1.386	5.817	0.000			↓		
						0.01	0.1	1	10	100
							Favours con	trols	Favours TCM	

Figure 3 Forest plot of partial response.

ginseng, astragalus and mylabris (OR 1.04, 95% CI, 0.95–1.14, P = 0.40) or any product using astragalus (OR 1.02, 10.92–1.13, P = 0.63).

Progressive disease

We pooled data from 37 trials[11-13,15-18,20,21,23,25-30,32,33,35,36,38-40,44-54,68-70] reporting on progressive disease among patients. We found an inflated progressive disease rate in the control groups (RR 0.54, 95% CI, 0.45–0.64, P = < 0.0001, I² = 0%, P = 0.66, see figure 5). Studies utilizing bufotoxin had a decreased risk (OR 0.54, 95% CI, 0.46 to -0.65, P = < 0.0001), this was also the case with studies using ginseng, astragalus and mylabris (0.54, 95% CI, -0.46 to -0.66, P = < 0.0001) and with studies using any form of astragalus (OR 0.57, 95% CI, 0.46 to -0.70, P = < 0.0001).

Study name		Statis	tics for e	ach study	Risk ratio and 95% CI					
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value					
Yi JZ	0.994	0.564	1.751	-0.022	0.982		- I	-+	- I	
Li DJ	0.907	0.600	1.369	-0.466	0.641					
Zhang YQ	1.416	1.019	1.967	2.075	0.038					
Wang YZ	1.083	0.595	1.973	0.262	0.794					
WenH	2.112	0.913	4.884	1.748	0.080			⊢	-	
Li Q	0.690	0.367	1.299	-1.150	0.250			∎∔		
Lin J	1.222	0.737	2.026	0.778	0.437					
Li M	1.280	0.519	3.159	0.536	0.592					
Lin YZ	1.047	0.742	1.477	0.262	0.793			-		
Tian XZ	1.385	0.804	2.385	1,173	0.241					
Wu XD	1.000	0.400	2,503	0.000	1.000					
Zhang YF	1.157	0.654	2.047	0.501	0.616					
Li WH	1.375	0.716	2.639	0.957	0.338					
Zhao XW	0.091	0.005	1.575	-1.648	0.099					
Wen HY	0.804	0.305	2,120	-0.442	0.659	-				
Guo TS	0.920	0.684	1.237	-0.551	0.581			-		
Xu ZW	0.769	0.401	1.475	-0 790	0.429					
Wang RP	1 500	0.958	2 349	1 772	0.076					
Yang JM	7.000	0.914	53,581	1.874	0.061					_
Xiang DB	0.938	0.479	1.834	-0.188	0.850				_	
Cao MR	1.002	0.586	1.714	0.007	0.994			_ _		
Fena J	1.596	0.974	2.615	1.854	0.064					
Liu XL	0.891	0.553	1.434	-0.476	0.634					
Wu WG	0 867	0 529	1 421	-0.567	0 570					
Tian HQ	1 159	0 485	2 774	0.332	0 740					
Zhu XF	1 111	0 700	1 764	0 447	0.655					
Zhang SY	0 720	0.215	2 409	-0.533	0.594					
Chen C	0.293	0.087	0.988	-1 979	0.048					
Wang QP	1.150	0.550	2.404	0.372	0.710					
Zhou BG	0.806	0.053	12.258	-0.155	0.877					
Cao LW	0.167	0.039	0.707	-2.431	0.015					
Zhou JS	1 048	0.518	2 119	0 129	0.897		I			
Zhang CJ	0.563	0.297	1.065	-1.766	0.077			∎_		
Zhou BG2	0 769	0.258	2 297	-0 470	0.638					
Zhang YM	1.120	0.599	2.095	0.355	0.723					
Zhao HR	0.903	0.533	1.531	-0.378	0.705			_ _		
LiQM	0.900	0.263	3.082	-0.168	0.867					
Wang HZ	0.667	0.265	1.679	-0.860	0.390					
	1.038	0.936	1 152	0 711	0 477					
		0.000	1.102	0.711	0.111	0 01	01	1	10	100
						0.01	0.1			
							Favours con	trols	Favours TCM	

Figure 4 Forest plot of stabilized disease.

Survival rates

We examined survival rates and pooled 15 studies[12,17,25,26,28,29,33,36,42,44,46,50,54,69,70] reporting on 6 month outcomes (RR 1.10, 95% CI, 1.04– 1.15, P = < 0.0001, I² = 0%, P = 0.60). This effect was consistent at other prospective dates, including 12 months (22 trials[9,12,17,20,25-29,31,33,35,36,41,42,44,46,47, 50,54,69,70], RR 1.26, 95% CI, 1.17–1.36, P = < 0.0001, I² = 7%, P = 0.36, See figure 6); 18 months (4 trials[9,26,28,52], RR 1.71, 95% CI, 1.002–2.91, P = 0.049, I² = 70%, P = 0.009); 24 months (15 trials[17,20,26-28,31,33,36,41,42,46,52,54,69,70], 1.72, 95% CI, 1.40– 2.03, P = < 0.0001, I² = 0%, P = 0.75); and, at 36 months (8 trials[27,31,33-35,42,47,69], RR 2.40, 95% CI, 1.65– 3.49, P = < 0.0001, I² = 0%, P = 0.62). We applied metaregression on the 12 month survival and found increased effect with bufotoxin (OR 1.22, 95% CI, 1.13–1.32, P = < 0.0001) and with products containing ginseng, astragalus

Study name		Statis	tics for e	ach study	Risk ratio and 95% CI						
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value						
Yi JZ	0.861	0.275	2.700	-0.256	0.798	1	I —	- 1	I		
Li DJ	0.267	0.032	2.253	-1.214	0.225			∔ ∣			
Zhang YQ	0.172	0.053	0.560	-2.923	0.003						
Wang YZ	0.500	0.216	1.157	-1.619	0.105			+ 1			
WenH	0.392	0.205	0.752	-2.820	0.005						
Li Q	0.394	0.108	1.435	-1.412	0.158			⊢ I			
Lin J	0.286	0.100	0.816	-2.339	0.019						
Li M	0.640	0.205	1.998	-0.768	0.442			-			
Lin YZ	0.952	0.373	2,428	-0.103	0.918			∔			
Tian XZ	0.125	0.016	0.949	-2.011	0.044	- -		4 1			
Wu XD	0.667	0.209	2.126	-0.685	0.493			- 1			
Zhang YF	0.300	0.064	1.412	-1.524	0.128			F 1			
LiWH	0.556	0.228	1.352	-1.296	0.195			F 1			
Zhao XW	0.333	0.037	3.026	-0.976	0.329			 I			
Wen HY	0 104	0.006	1 860	-1 538	0 124			- I			
Xu ZW	1.143	0.474	2 753	0.298	0.766	ſ	Г —				
Wang RP	0.273	0.084	0.880	-2 173	0.030			F I			
Yang JM	0.500	0.004	0.994	-1 977	0.048						
Xiang DB	0.469	0.201	1 709	-1 148	0.040						
Cao MR	0.546	0.120	1.700	-1.140	0.201			L I			
Feng I	0.040	0.217	0.952	-2.097	0.036						
Liu XI	0.950	0.524	1 724	-0.169	0.866			<u> </u>			
	0.500	0.024	5 168	-0.582	0.561			<u> </u>			
Tian HO	0.652	0.040	1 561	0.002	0.301						
	0.002	0.273	0.062	2 240	0.037						
Zhu AF Zhang SV	0.306	0.110	1,600	-2.240	0.025						
Chan C	0.400	0.125	2.002	-1.210	0.223						
Wang OR	0.325	0.035	3.002	-0.990	0.322						
Varig QF	0.920	0.345	2.401	-0.107	0.000						
Zhou BG	0.103	0.008	3.238	-1.190	0.234						
	0.077	0.004	1.330	-1.704	0.078			T I	1		
Zhong Ol	0.175	0.023	1.331	-1.084	0.092						
Znang CJ	0.916	0.503	1.669	-0.286	0.775				1		
Zhou BG2	0.156	0.008	3.070	-1.223	0.221				I		
Znang YM	0.600	0.298	1.208	-1.430	0.153			T I			
Zhao HR	0.605	0.223	1.641	-0.987	0.324						
LIQM	0.450	0.163	1.245	-1.538	0.124			T I			
Wang HZ	0.200	0.025	1.628	-1.504	0.132			f- 1			
	0.541	0.456	0.641	-7.087	0.000		• •	I I	I		
						0.01	0.1	1 10	100		
							Favours controls	Favours TCM			

Figure 5 Forest plot of progressive disease.

and mylabris (OR 1.24, 95% CI, 1.16–1.33, P = < 0.0001) and astragalus alone (OR 1.28, 95% CI, 1.15–1.40, P = < 0.0001).

Symptom improvement

Several studies reported on improvement of symptoms. In particular, 6 studies[13,15,23,29,44,68] reported on abdominal pain improvements favouring TCM

approaches (RR 1.50, 95% CI, 1.09–2.07, P = 0.013, I²44%, P = 0.11). Abdominal distension did not improve among TCM recipients in 5 reported trials^{8,18,24,39,50} (RR 1.26, 95% CI, 0.96–1.64, P = 0.09, I² = 4%, P = 0.38). Fatigue significantly improved in 4 reported trials^{8,18,24,39}, (RR 1.54, 95% CI, 1.17–2.01, P = 0.001, I² = 0%, P = 0.87), and appetite improved in 4 reported trials^{8,18,24,39},

Study name Statistics for e			ach study	,		Risk ratio	Risk ratio and 95% Cl		
	Risk ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value				
Bai GD	0.957	0.772	1.186	-0.406	0.685			I	- I
Zhang YQ	1.325	0.812	2.162	1.126	0.260			┼╍────	
Wen H	1.118	0.782	1.598	0.613	0.540			∔	
Li Q	1.549	1.036	2.317	2.133	0.033				
Lin YZ	1.745	0.883	3.450	1.601	0.109			+	
Tian XZ	1.333	0.894	1.989	1.409	0.159			+∎-	
Wu XD	1.250	0.822	1.902	1.042	0.297			∔∎- I	
Yu QT	1.467	1.077	1.997	2.431	0.015				
Zhang YF	1.550	1.020	2.355	2.053	0.040			}∎-	
Li WH	1.333	0.743	2.394	0.963	0.335			┼╍─────	
Wang RP	2.750	0.985	7.675	1.932	0.053				
Wu WG	1.417	0.869	2.309	1.397	0.162			∔∎- I	
Tian HQ	2.609	0.294	23.133	0.861	0.389		— —	┼╼╌┼╴	
Zhang SY	1.479	0.978	2.236	1.853	0.064				
Chen C	1.383	1.028	1.860	2.143	0.032				
Wang QP	2.024	0.829	4.942	1.548	0.122			∔∎	
Zhou BG	1.037	0.834	1.290	0.325	0.745			₽	
Cao LW	1.458	1.037	2.051	2.170	0.030				
Zhang CJ	1.197	1.010	1.419	2.074	0.038				
Wang ZX	1.615	1.038	2.514	2.124	0.034				
Zhang L	1.152	0.913	1.453	1.195	0.232			#	
Wang HZ	1.526	1.078	2.162	2.380	0.017			-∎-	
	1.265	1.172	1.367	5.997	0.000				
						0.01	0.1	1 10	100
							Favours controls	Favours TCM	

Figure 6 Forest plot of 12-months survival.

(RR 1.53, 95% CI, 1.14–2.05, P = 0.004, $I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.45).

Optimal Information Size (OIS)

Almost all trials included in our analysis were small. We applied OIS based on the event rate in the intervention and control arms for the PR outcome. We found an event rate of 0.42 in the intervention arms and an event rate of 0.33 in the control arms. When applying 80% power and a two-tailed 5% alpha, we identify that we require at least 906 participants in our meta-analysis.

Publication bias

We assessed publication bias visually with a funnel plot and applied several statistical tests to determine the likelihood of publication bias. We found no vidence when applying the Begg-Mazumdar test (P = 0.14), Egger's test (P = 0.80) or Horbold-Egger's test (P = 0.89). We also imputed the number of studies that were likely missing, but the resulting number was unconcerning (n = 2) and was unlikely to change the effect estimate.

Discussion

We found consistent effects of traditional Chinese medicines when combined with TACE versus TACE alone. The majority of studies included in our analysis were small or of moderate size and none can provide definitive answers on treatment options, although compelling results related to bufotoxin, astragalus and products containing ginseng, astragalus and mylabris warrant further examination. Our study also highlights the utility that searching in non-English languages may have on identifying potentially useful new interventions for common diseases. While our study finds compelling results, there is also reason for caution, given the poor reporting of clinical trials in China. Only independently conducted research from high-quality research teams will strengthen the inference of effectiveness.

Strengths of our study include our extensive searches of literature in both English and in Chinese languages, and using Chinese language databases for our search. Two of us (PW, JL) understand and read Mandarin and Cantonese, along with English, thus allowing searches across several languages. We applied a broad criteria for pooling studies. We included any TCM formulation and then conducted a meta-regression analysis to determine if specific preparation yielded differing effects over the broad group, and in several cases did.

Limitations of our study include the underlying concern about the quality of the included studies. As we highlight, the majority of studies were small, with typically 30 participants per arm. Meta-analysis aims to overcome issues of power through pooling, thus increasing sample size and power. We applied an OIS on the overall event rate of partial response and found that a pooled sample size of 1,108 provided sufficient evidence of an effect. This did not apply to specific formulations. We further assessed issues of methodological rigour as two major concerns with Chinese-based clinical trials. Firstly, is that only positive trials are published in Chinese medical journals, and second, is that some trials reported as randomized are, in fact, not randomized. A recent evaluation by Wu et al. found that many studies labelled as RCTs with Chinese journals were, in fact, not randomized[71] In our own experience, we recognize many Chinese clinical trialists have not been exposed to appropriate clinical epidemiology training. We examined publication bias through both visual inspection of the funnel plot on the primary outcome (PR) and through statistical tests, but were unable to identify publication bias. However, funnel plots cannot rule out publication bias and we remain cautious that many negative trials likely exist.

From a clinical standpoint, the results of this study are very encouraging but should be implemented with caution. The average clinician will be reassured that TCM interventions, both herbal-based and animal/insectbased, were safely combined with chemotherapy. The average clinician, however, likely will not scrutinize the results of this study using evidence-based principles and may implement our findings into practice due to the overwhelming positive response in our meta-analysis. Given this tendency, the results from this study should be carefully disseminated to the medical community with the caveat that although promising, our findings need to be confirmed via a RCT conducted in a Western academic setting.

Our study may prove useful for a number of reasons. Firstly, there is reason to further examine the evidence of several of the interventions included in our analysis. Other investigators have examined the role of herbal medicines and TCM interventions for hepatocellular cancers, lung cancers and hepatitis and found compelling evidence in humans [72-75] However, perhaps a far more important finding from our analysis and approach is the role that searching for clinical trials in non-English languages may play in drug discovery. Important first line drugs, such as artemisin-based therapies for malaria, have been discovered through searching existing trials in non-English languages. [76]

There have now been two studies prior to ours that examined the role of TCM interventions on survival and clinical outcomes in patients also receiving TACE. [72,75] The first study, by Shu et al[72], published in 2005, included 26 RCTs of interventions including 2079 patients. Similar to ours, they found improved survival at 12 months [RR 1.55, 95% CI, 1.39-1.72], at 24 months [RR 2.15, 95% CI, 1.75-2.64], and at 36 months [RR, 2.76, 95% CI, 1.95-3.91]. Tumor response was also significantly increased [RR 1.39, 95% CI, 1.24–1.56]. A more recent study, published in 2009 by Cho and Chen, [75] included 30 studies including 2428 patients. As with ours, they found increased survval at 12 months [OR 1.92, 95% CI, 1.43-2.57], at 24 months [OR 3.55, 95% CI, 2.36-5.36], and at 36 months [OR 5.12, 95% CI, 2.76-9.52]. The inflated effect sizes found in the study by Cho and Chen may be related to their choice of effect size of OR rather than the more conservative RR((([77] Given that all three reviews found compelling evidence of a role for TCM in hepatocellular cancers, it seems appropriate that further evaluations, in a non-Chinese setting, occur in order to determine if we have a possible new opportunity for drug development.

Our study builds on the findings of others about the heterogeneous quality of randomized trials from China. In our own experience in China, we have doubts that many methodological features attributed to randomized trials, were in fact conducted. A previous analysis, by Vickers et al, found that most trials conducted in China were reported as positive,[78] a finding our analysis also supports⁸. While several explanations for this phenomenon exist, a likely explanation is the slow uptake of evidence-based medicine and clinical trials methodology in academic research centres[79] With the opening of the Chinese Cochrane Centre, we hope that clinical epidemiology will receive considerably more attention[80]

In conclusion, our study provides important inferences about new potential therapeutic options for hepatocellular cancers. While these finds are compelling, there is a need for confirmation of these studies in well-conducted RCTs conducted in Western settings. Until such time, potentially useful interventions cannot be wholly recommended based on evidence alone.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

PW, JJD, EM conceived the study. PW, JJD, EM, OE participated in protocol design. PW, JJD, EM, OE ran the searches and abstracted data. EM performed the analysis. PW, JJD, EM, OE wrote and approved the manuscript.

Additional material

Additional file 1

Characteristics of included studies. Table describing characteristics of study populations and interventions. Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-9966-28-112-S1.doc]

Additional file 2

Ingredients and TCM philosophy for each study. Table describing individual ingredients and TCM philosophy for the use of the ingredients. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-9966-28-112-S2.doc]

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by an educational and research grant from The Lotte and John Hecht Memorial Foundation. We appreciate the assistance of |Y Liang (|L).

References

- Bosch FX, Ribes J, Díaz M, Cléries R: Primary liver cancer: worldwide incidence and trends. Gastroenterology 2004, 127:S5-S16.
- 2. World Health Organization Mortality database [http:// www.who.int/whosis/en/]
- 3. American Cancer Scociety: Cancer Facts and Figures [http://www.cancer.org/downloads/STT/500809web.pdf]
- Gomaa AI, Khan SA, Leen ELS, Waked I, Taylor-Robinson SD: Diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol 2009, 15:1301-1314.
- Parikh P, Malhotra H, Jelic S, Group. EGW: Hepatocellular carcinoma: ESMO clinical recommendations for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2008, 19:27-28.
- Sheehe PR: Combination of log relative risk in retrospective studies of disease. Am J Public Health Nations Health 1966, 56:1745-1750.
- 7. Fleiss JL: The statistical basis of meta-analysis. *Stat Methods Med Res* 1993, **2**:121-145.

- Higgins JP, Thompson SG: Quantifying heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. Stat Med 2002, 21:1539-1558.
- 9. Bai GD, Liang ZP, Huang JP, Hou EC: A clinical analysis on the therapeutic effect of integrative medicine therapy on primary middle and advanced stage cancer treatment. Jiangsu Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine 2008, 40(8):27-29.
- Cao GW, Wang XC, Zhang FL, Ning HF, Sun YQ, Yan LF: Clinical Observation of Ganfukang capsule combined with TACE in primary liver cancer treatment. Shandong Medical Journal 2005, 45(2):13-14.
- 11. Cao MR, Tang HL: Clinical study of Ai Di injection combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization in treatment of middle and advanced stage liver cancer. Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine 2003, 23(9):713-714.
- Chen C, Zhou JG, Huang HC, Ruan SH, Zhang QS: Hepatic artery lipiodol infusion chemotherapy combined with Pei Yuan Gu Ben Kang Ai capsule in the treatment of 42 cases of mid- and late-stage hepatocellular carcinoma. Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine on Liver Diseases 2001, 11(3):183-184.
- Feng J: TCM combined with interventional therapy in 35 cases of primary liver cancer. Journal of Guangxi Traditional Chinese Medical University 2002, 151(13):51-52.
- Guo TS, Huang FX, Cao XL: Jew Ear Parasitized Granula combined with TACE on Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Chinese Journals of Practical Medicine 2005, 21(16):1846-1847.
- Li DJ, Xu X, Bao D, Xue F, Dai DL: Effects of kanglaite capsules combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) on patients with mid or late-stage primary hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Chinese-German Journal of Clinical Oncology 2009, 8(2):65-68.
- Li M: Chinese herbal compound combined with TACE in primary liver cancer treatment. Clinical Research 2007, 3(31):40-41.
- 17. Li Q, Sun BM, Peng YH, Fan ZZ, Jue S: Clinical Study on the Treatment of Primary Liver Cancer by Cinobufotain Combined with Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization. ACTA UNI-VERSITATIS TRADITIONIS MEDICALIS SINENSIS PHARMACOLO-GIAEQUE SHANGHAI 2008, 22(2):32-34.
- Li QM, Zhao YL: Qining injection combined with Interventional therapy in liver cancer treatment. Journal of Cancer Control and Treatment 2003, 16(3):160-161.
- 19. Li RP: Clinical Observation of Ai Di Injection combined with transarterial chemembolization in primary liver treatment. *Central Plains Medical Journal* 2005, **32(24):**69.
- Li YJ, Wang XP, Liu J: Clinical observation of Injectio Cinobu Facini combined with TACE on middle and advanced stage liver cancer. World Clinical Drugs 2006, 27(5):304-306.
- 21. Lin J: Fuzhen detoxification decoction combined with TACE in primary liver cancer treatment. Hubei Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine 2008, 20(2):30-31.
- Lin ZD, Liu K, et al.: Analysis on the Prognostic Factors in Patients with Large Hepatocarcinoma Treated by Shentao Ruangan Pill and Hydroxycamptothecine. Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine 2005, 25(1):8-11.
- Liu XL, Zhu XQ: Clinical Observation of Yan Shu in liver cancer treatment. Journal of Ningxia Medical College 2002, 24(2):105-106.
- 24. Xiao GH: Clinical Study of Aidi Injection Combined with Transcather Hepatic Arterial Chemoembolization in the Treatment of Primary Liver Cancer. Cancer Research on Prevention and Treatment 2005, 32(5):313-314.
- Tian HQ, Liang GW, Tao Y, Huang ZQ, Yu SY, Ye WY: Clinical Study of TCM combined with Interventional therapy in Liver cancer Treatment. Journal of Henan college of Traditional Chinese Medicine 2001, 16(1):47-48.
- 26. Tian XY: Ai Yi Shu injection combined with chemoembolization on middle and advanced stage liver cancer. Central Plains Medical Journal 2006, 33(6):32-34.
- 27. Wang HZ: Clinical Observation on Effect of Comprehensive Immunotherapy in Treating Hepatic Carcinoma after Embolism Chemotherapy. Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine 1998, 18(7):411-413.
- Wang QP, Shi ZY, Jiang ZG, Guo XY: Effectiveness evaluation of TACE combined with Ai Di injection on middle and advanced stage liver cancer treatment. *Clinical Focus* 2006, 21(8):580-581.

- Wang YC: Clinical observation on treatment of primary liver cancer with ganji granule combined with tace. Journal OF Anhui TCM Coll Ege 2002, 21(6):9-11.
- Wang YZ, Yao SK, Gao LM, Li ZG, Gao TS: Effect on immune function in patient with primary liver cancer treated with Qing Gan Hua Yu liquid treatment. *Chinese Journal of Gerontology* 2008, 28:770-771.
- Wang ZX, Wu XH, Chen SQ: Fu Zheng Hua Ji Jie Du Fang and hepatic artery infusion chemotherapy in treatment of primary hepatocellular carcinoma. Henan Traditional Chinese Medicine 2001, 21(6):48-49.
- 32. Wen Han Yin PY: Traditional-western Combined Treatment on on middle and advanced stage liver cancer, analysis of 32 cases. Shan Xi TCM 2006, 27(1):26-28.
- Hen Wen, Zhen Jia, Qu ZP, Jun Lu: Internal and External Medicine combined with TACE in primary cancer treatment. Journal of Sichuan of Traditional Chinese Medicine 2008, 26(4):59-60.
- 34. Wu JX: Observation of long-term effectiveness of Yi Guan Jian Jia Wei combined with TACE in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Zhejiang Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine 1999, 9(2):100-101.
- Wu WG, Guo WJ, Lin JH: Pingxiao capsule combined with Transcather Hepatic Arterial Chemoembolization in 25 cases of Primary Liver Cancer Treatment. Chinese Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine on Liver Diseases 2001, 11(1):50-51.
- Wu Xiao-dong JX, Wang YD, Liu SM: Observation of Hu Gan Ruan Jian Fang in increasing effectiveness and reducing toxicity of hepatic artery chemoembolization in stage II and II hepatocellular carcinoma. *Journal of Beijing University of TCM* 2003, 26(1):67-68.
- 37. Xing De-bing XJ, Dong Wang, Ge Wang, Zhong ZY, Li ZP: Clinical study of De lisheng injection combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization in treatment of primary hepa-tocellular carcinoma. *Modern Oncology* 2006, 14(7):861-862.
- Xu ZW, Zhou RY, et al.: Appl ication of Modified Six Nobles Decoction in In tervention Therapy of Primary Liver Cancer. Zhejiang Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine 2000, 10(12):712-713.
- Yang JM: Transcatheter Hepatic Arter ial Chemoembolization and Aidi Injection in Treanment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Journal of Medical Forum* 2006, 127(120):26-27.
- Yi JZ, Xie YC, Deng XH: Clinical observationon the effect of Kang'a i injection combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization on hepatocellular carcinoma in 36 patients. Tumor 2008, 28(11):997-1000.
- 41. Yu MZ: Injectio Cinobu Facini combined with TACE on middle and advanced stage liver cancer. Journal of Guangxi Traditional Chinese Medical University 2004, 171(13):35-37.
- Ling Zhang, Cui SZ, Liu CL, Chen WP, Huang ZY: Observation on the long-term efficacy of Qingganjiedusanjie decoction combined with interventional therapy for primary liver cancer. Chinese Journal of Primary Medicine and Pharmacy 2005, 12(8):1010-1011.
- Zhang Cai-qing CQ, Liang TJ, Yu MB: Clinical study of combination therapy of Jinlong capsule and chemical therapy and embolization by hepatic artery catheterization on primary hepatic carcinoma. *Beijing Medical Journal* 2005, 27(6):357-359.
- Zhang SY, Geng NY, Liu YE, Jiang W, Jiang WF: Clinical study of hepatic artery infusion chemotherapy combined with AC-III injection in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Information on Traditional Chinese Medicine 1996, 4:29-31.
- Zhang YM, Peng YX, Guan HZ: Shanxian Granula combined with interventional therapy in liver cancer treatment. Journal of Shaanxi College of Traditional Chinese Medicine 2005, 28(3):31-32.
- Zhang Yu-fang JZ, Mi QY, Li PW: TCM combined with TACE on middle and advanced stage liver cancer treatment. Chinese Journal of Surgery of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine 2000, 6(3):179-180.
- Zhang YQ: Clinical experience of TCM combined with TACE in primary cancer treatment. Journal Of New Medicine 2008, 5(4):601-602.
- Zhao HR, Mai NS, Yong BX: Short-term efficacy observation of Jew Ear Parasitized Granula combined with interventional therapy in primary liver cancer treatment. Xinjiang Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine 2004, 22(5):27-28.

- Zhao HK: Shen Qi capsule combined with interventional therapy in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Acta Chinese Medicine and Pharmacology 2005, 133(13):28-29.
- Zhou BG, Huang YN, Jing ŚH, Li WC: To Treat Advanced stage liver cancer with Pinxiao capsule combined with hepatic transcatheter artery chemoembolization. Shaanxi Oncology Medicine 1999, 7(3):159-161.
- Zhou BG, Sun JZ, Jing SH, Fan YZ, Yun-ning H: Observation of combined Chinese and Western medicine in the treatment of 26 cases of mid- and late-stage hepatocellular carcinoma. *Journal of New Chinese Medicine* 2002, 34(11):37-38.
- Zhou JS, Lu H, Wu XD, Xu X: Effects of huachan-shu injection combind with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization on patients with advanced unresectable hepatocelluler carcinoma. Chinese Journal of Primary Medicine and Pharmacy 2006, 13(4):571-572.
- 53. Zhu XF: The Clinical Observation of the Effect of Kanlaite Injection Combined with Chemoembolization Primary on Middle and Advanced Stage Liver Cancer. Journal of Basic and Clinical Oncology 2006, 119(12):132-134.
- Lin YZ, Zhou DH, Liu K: Analysis on the Prognostic Factors in Patients with Large Hepatocarcinoma Treated by Shentao Ruangan Pill and Hydroxycamptothecine. Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine 2005, 25(1):8-11.
- Chu DT, Wong WL, Mavligit GM: Immunotherapy with Chinese medicinal herbs. II. Reversal of cyclophosphamide-induced immune suppression by administration of fractionated Astragalus membranaceus in vivo. J Clin Lab Immunol 1988, 25:125-129.
- Shao BM, Xu W, Dai H, Tu P, Li Z, Gao XM: A study on the immune receptors for polysaccharides from the roots of Astragalus membranaceus, a Chinese medicinal herb. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2004, 320:1103-1111.
- Sun Y, Hersh EM, Lee SL, McLaughlin M, Loo TL, Mavligit GM: Preliminary observations on the effects of the Chinese medicinal herbs Astragalus membranaceus and Ligustrum lucidum on lymphocyte blastogenic responses. J Biol Response Mod 1983, 2:227-237.
- Chu DT, Lepe-Zuniga J, Wong WL, LaPushin R, Mavligit GM: Fractionated extract of Astragalus membranaceus, a Chinese medicinal herb, potentiates LAK cell cytotoxicity generated by a low dose of recombinant interleukin-2. J Clin Lab Immunol 1988, 26:183-187.
- Chu DT, Wong WL, Mavligit GM: Immunotherapy with Chinese medicinal herbs. I. Immune restoration of local xenogeneic graft-versus-host reaction in cancer patients by fractionated Astragalus membranaceus in vitro. J Clin Lab Immunol 1988, 25:119-123.
- Shin HR, Kim JY, Yun TK, Morgan G, Vainio H: The cancer-preventive potential of Panax ginseng: a review of human and experimental evidence. *Cancer Causes Control* 2000, 11:565-576.
- Keum YS, Park KK, Lee JM, Chun KS, Park JH, Lee SK, Kwon H, Surh YJ: Antioxidant and anti-tumor promoting activities of the methanol extract of heat-processed ginseng. *Cancer Lett* 2000, 150:41-48.
- Jing Y, Ohizumi H, Kawazoe N, Hashimoto S, Masuda Y, Nakajo S, Yoshida T, Kuroiwa Y, Nakaya K: Selective inhibitory effect of bufalin on growth of human tumor cells in vitro: association with the induction of apoptosis in leukemia HL-60 cells. Jpn J Cancer Res 1994, 85:645-651.
- 63. Nogawa T, Kamano Y, Yamashita A, Pettit GR: Isolation and Structure of Five New Cancer Cell Growth Inhibitory Bufadienolides from the Chinese Traditional Drug Ch'an Su. J Nat prod 2001, 64:1148-1152.
- Huh JE, Kang KS, Ahn KS, Kim DH, Saiki I, Kim SH: Mylabris phalerlata induces apoptosis by caspase activation following cytochrome c release and Bid cleavage. Life Sci 2003, 73:2249-2262.
- Wang CC, Chen LG, Yang LL: Cytotoxic activity of sesquiterpenoids from Atractylodes ovata on leukemia cell lines. *Planta* Med 2002, 68:204-208.
- Ahn BZ, Yoon YD, Lee YH, Kim BH, Sok DE: Inhibitory effect of bupleuri radix saponins on adhesion of some solid tumor cells and relation to hemolytic action: screening of 232 herbal drugs for anti-cell adhesion. *Planta Med* 1998, 64:220-224.

- Antony S, Kuttan R, Kuttan G: Immunomodulatory activity of curcumin. Immunol Invest 1999, 28:291-303.
- Xiang De-bing XJ, Wang D, Wang G, Zhong WZ, Li ZP: Clinical study of De lisheng injection combined with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization in treatment of primary hepatocellular carcinoma. *Modern Oncology* 2006, 14(7):861-862.
 Zhang CJ, Liang TJ, Yu MB: Clinical study of combination ther-
- Cao LW, Wang XC, Zhang FL, Ning HF, Sun YQ, Yan LF: Clinical Observation of Ganfukang capsule combined with TACE in primary liver cancer treatment. Shandong Medical Journal 2005, 45(2):13-14.
- Wu Ť, Li Y, Bian Z, Liu G, Moher D: Randomized trials published in some Chinese journals: how many are randomized? *Trials* 2009, 10:46.
- Shu X, McCulloch M, Xiao H, Broffman M, Gao J: Chinese herbal medicine and chemotherapy in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Integrative cancer therapies 2005, 4:219-229.
- McCulloch M, See C, Shu XJ, Broffman M, Kramer A, Fan WY, Gao J, Lieb W, Shieh K, Colford JM Jr: Astragalus-based Chinese herbs and platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced non-smallcell lung cancer: meta-analysis of randomized trials. J Clin Oncol 2006, 24:419-430.
- McCulloch M, Broffman M, Gao J, Colford JM Jr: Chinese herbal medicine and interferon in the treatment of chronic hepatitis B: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. American journal of public health 2002, 92:1619-1628.
- 75. Cho WC, Chen HY: Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization combined with or without Chinese herbal therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: meta-analysis. Expert opinion on investigational drugs 2009, 18:617-635.
- Pittler MH, Ernst E: Artemether for severe malaria: a metaanalysis of randomized clinical trials. Clin Infect Dis 1999, 28:597-601.
- Altman DG, Deeks JJ, Sackett DL: Odds ratios should be avoided when events are common. BMJ (Clinical research ed) 1998, 317:1318.
- Vickers A, Goyal N, Harland R, Rees R: Do certain countries produce only positive results? A systematic review of controlled trials. Controlled clinical trials 1998, 19:159-166.
- 79. Li J, Xu L, Zhang MM, Ai CL, Wang L: Chinese authors do need CONSORT: reporting quality for five leading Chinese medical journals. *Cochrane Colloquium, Freiberg October P80* 2008.
- 80. Tang JL, Liu BY, Ma KW: Traditional Chinese medicine. Lancet 2008, 372:1938-1940.

