

The following document was submitted “for the record” to the Intermodal Container Transfer Facility (ICTF) Joint Powers Authority (JPA) during the Notice of Preparation/Initial Study (NOP/IS) comment period for the ICTF Modernization and Expansion Project.

The document was submitted by:

Andrea M. Hricko  
Assoc Prof Prev Med  
Keck School of Med &  
Director, Community Outreach and Education  
Southern CA Env Health Sciences Ctr  
1540 Alcazar Street CHP 236  
L.A. CA 90033  
323-442-3077

----- End Page -----

**ehp**

**Environmental Health**

ehponline.org

P E R S P E C T I V E S

Published by the National Institute of  
Environmental Health Sciences

## Traffic, Susceptibility, and Childhood Asthma

---

**Rob McConnell, Kiros Berhane, Ling Yao, Michael Jerrett,  
Fred Lurmann, Frank Gilliland, Nino Kuenzli, Jim  
Gauderman, Ed Avol, Duncan Thomas, and John Peters**  
doi:10.1289/ehp.8594 (available at <http://dx.doi.org/>)  
Online 16 February 2006



**The National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences  
National Institutes of Health  
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services**

## Traffic, Susceptibility, and Childhood Asthma

Rob McConnell<sup>1</sup>

Kiros Berhane<sup>1</sup>

Ling Yao<sup>1</sup>

Michael Jerrett<sup>1</sup>

Fred Lurmann<sup>2</sup>

Frank Gilliland<sup>1</sup>

Nino Kuenzli<sup>1</sup>

Jim Gauderman<sup>1</sup>

Ed Avol<sup>1</sup>

Duncan Thomas<sup>1</sup>

John Peters<sup>1</sup>

### Affiliations

- 1 Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California
- 2 Sonoma Technology, Inc, Petaluma, California

Correspondence should be addressed to: Rob McConnell, M.D., Department of Preventive Medicine, USC Keck School of Medicine, 1540 Alcazar Street, CHP 236, Los Angeles, CA 90033. Voice: (323) 442-1096; Fax: (323) 442-3272; Electronic mail: [rmconne@usc.edu](mailto:rmconne@usc.edu).

Acknowledgments/disclaimers: This study was supported by the National Institute of Environmental Health Science (Grants # 5P30ES007048, 5 P01 ES009581, and 5 P01 ES011627), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Grant # R 826708, RD831861-01 and R82735201 (the Southern California Particle Center), the Southern California Air Quality Management District, the National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (Grant # 5R01HL61768) and the Hastings Foundation. The authors thank Ed Rappaport and Jun Manila for data management and Bryan Penfold and Sean Raffuse for assisting with the assessment of traffic-related exposures. The authors do not have any conflict of interest.

Running title: Traffic, susceptibility, and childhood asthma

Article descriptor: Asthma

Key words: air pollution; asthma; child; epidemiology; traffic

#### Abbreviations

C.I.	Confidence interval
GIS	Geographic information system
IgE	Immunoglobulin E
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen dioxide
O.R.	Odds ratio
PM <sub>10</sub>	Particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter

Word count (without tables): 6271

## Outline of manuscript section headers

Abstract.....	4
Introduction.....	5
Methods.....	7
Statistical Analysis.....	9
Results.....	11
Discussion.....	15
References.....	23
Tables.....	29
Figure Legends.....	34
Figures.....	35

## **ABSTRACT**

Results from studies of traffic and childhood asthma have been inconsistent, but there has been little systematic evaluation of susceptible subgroups. The relationship of local traffic-related exposure and asthma and wheeze was examined in southern California school children (age 5-7 years). Lifetime history of doctor-diagnosed asthma and prevalent asthma and wheeze were evaluated by questionnaire. Parental history of asthma and child's history of allergic symptoms, gender, and early life exposure (residence at the same home since age 2) were examined as susceptibility factors. Residential exposure was assessed by proximity to a major road and by modeling exposure to local traffic-related pollutants. Residence within 75 m of a major road was associated with an increased risk of lifetime asthma (odds ratio 1.29; 95% confidence interval 1.01,1.86), prevalent asthma (1.50;1.16,1.95), and wheeze (1.40;1.09,1.78). Susceptibility increased in long term residents with no parental history of asthma for lifetime asthma (1.85;1.11,3.09), prevalent asthma (2.46;0.48,4.09), and recent wheeze (2.74;1.71,4.39). The higher risk of asthma near a major road decreased to background rates by 150–200 m from the road. In children with a parental history of asthma and in children moving to the residence after age 2, there was no increased risk associated with exposure. Effect of residential proximity to roadways also was larger in girls. A similar pattern of effects was observed with traffic-modeled exposure. These results indicate that residence near a major road is associated with asthma. The reason for larger effects in those with no parental history of asthma merits further investigation.

## INTRODUCTION

Childhood asthma prevalence and incidence have been associated with local variation in traffic patterns within communities in many (Brauer et al. 2002; Gauderman et al. 2005; Nicolai et al. 2003; van Vliet et al. 1997; Venn et al. 2000; Zmirou et al. 2004), but not all (English et al. 1999; Waldron et al. 1995; Wjst et al. 1993) studies that have examined the impact of local traffic or traffic-related air pollutants near children's homes. However, many studies did not evaluate exposure at early age, which may be an important determinant of risk from traffic-related pollution (Zmirou et al. 2004) and which might vary depending on residential stability of study participants. The duration of residence at the same home might also be expected to increase any risk of asthma associated with traffic-related exposure. Other characteristics that might make children more susceptible to this exposure include parental history of asthma and childhood allergy, which are strong risk factors for asthma (London et al. 2001; Peden 2000). A recent study found larger associations of traffic with asthma in children without a parent with asthma (Gordian et al. 2005), and we have previously found that children with incident asthma associated with ozone were less likely to have a parental history of asthma than other children with asthma (McConnell et al. 2002). Susceptibility to second hand tobacco smoke exposure, another environmental combustion product, and traffic related pollutants has been found to vary by atopy in some studies that have examined this relationship (Janssen et al. 2003; Kershaw 1987; Palmieri et al. 1990; Strachan et al. 1996a; Strachan and Cook 1998; Strachan et al. 1996b; Zmirou et al. 2004). Some evidence also suggests that girls may be more susceptible than boys to traffic related

exposure (Oosterlee et al. 1996; Pershagen et al. 1995; Shima et al. 2003; van Vliet et al. 1997; Venn et al. 2001).

Concentrations of pollutants in fresh vehicular exhaust are high near roadways but decline markedly within 150-300 m (Gilbert et al. 2005; Zhu et al. 2002). Accurate assessment of this large but very local variation in exposure may be important to identify health hazards. One promising approach has been to estimate residential distance to a major roadway. This can be done with relatively little error in measurement, using geographic information systems and accurately located roadways. Some studies have found increased asthma prevalence in children living within 100 m of a major road, and there is evidence that the risk increases dramatically within 75 m (van Vliet et al. 1997; Venn et al. 2001).

In this population-based study we examined characteristics that might increase childhood susceptibility to the effects of traffic-related air pollution in a new cohort in the southern California Children's Health Study, an ongoing longitudinal evaluation of air pollution and respiratory health (Künzli et al. 2003). We evaluated whether parental history of asthma, and child age at exposure, symptoms of allergy and gender influenced susceptibility to the risk of childhood asthma and wheeze associated with exposure to traffic.



## **METHODS**

### **Population**

A new cohort was recruited in 2003 from schools in 13 southern California communities (shown in Figure 1). Nine communities were the same as in the original Children's Health Study cohorts, and four were new. All students present in 2003 in all kindergarten and first grade classrooms (age 5-7 years old) in participating schools were given a questionnaire and informed consent to take home for parents to complete. Informed consent, approved for this study by the University of Southern California Institutional Review Board, was obtained, and questionnaires were completed and returned for 5341 (65%) of 8193 eligible children.

### **Assessment of Exposure to Traffic-related Pollutants**

Distance of each participant's residence to the nearest major road, including freeways, other highways, and arterial roads was estimated. Participant residence addresses were standardized and their locations were geo-coded to 13 m perpendicular to the side of the adjacent road, using the Tele Atlas database and software (Tele Atlas, Inc., Menlo Park, CA, [www.na.teleatlas.com](http://www.na.teleatlas.com)). Distance to the nearest major road was estimated using ESRI ArcGIS Version 8.3 (ESRI, Redlands, CA, [www.esri.com](http://www.esri.com)). Each direction of travel was represented as a separate roadway, and the shortest distance was estimated from the residence to the middle of the nearest side of the freeway or major road. We included in the analysis only children with addresses that could be accurately geo-coded. Specifically, only residential addresses for which the Tele Atlas geo-coding software assigned its highest quality match code were included. These addresses are located on

the correct side of the street with their relative position between cross streets determined by linear interpolation of residence number between the nearest intersections.

Residential distance to a major road was categorized as <75 m, 75 to 150 m, >150 m to 300 m, and >300 m, based on results of previous studies showing markedly increased exposure and risk of asthma within 75 m of large roadways, which decreased to background levels by 150 to 300 m (Gilbert et al. 2005; van Vliet et al. 1997; Venn et al. 2001; Zhu et al. 2002). We also estimated residential exposure to fresh traffic-modeled pollutants from local freeway and non-freeway sources, accounting for traffic volume, wind speed and direction in each community, using a line source dispersion model, as described in the accompanying online supplement.

### **Health Outcomes and Other Questionnaire Information**

We classified lifetime asthma based on a questionnaire response to the question “Has a doctor ever diagnosed this child as having asthma?” Current wheeze was defined to include children with any wheezing in the previous 12 months (ISAAC Steering Committee 1998). Prevalent asthma was defined as the reported use of controller medications for asthma (inhaled corticosteroids, leukotriene inhibitors, cromolyn sodium, or long acting beta agonists) in the previous year or lifetime asthma with any wheeze in the previous year. In addition, children without a physician’s diagnosis who had severe wheeze in the previous 12 months were included as prevalent asthmatics in order to identify undiagnosed asthma due to poor access to medical care. Severe wheeze included four or more attacks of wheeze, one or more nights per week of wheeze, or wheeze with

shortness of breath so severe as to interfere with speech (ISAAC Steering Committee 1998).

Personal and family covariates and housing characteristics were collected by questionnaire and included child's race and date of birth and the language in which the questionnaire was completed (Spanish or English). Potentially susceptible groups were identified based on child's gender, allergic characteristics defined as a history of hay fever or a problem with sneezing or runny or blocked nose when the child did not have a cold, parental history of asthma, and residence (exposure) in the current home since age two or earlier. Information on potentially confounding exposures or characteristics included maternal smoking while pregnant with the child, current second hand tobacco smoke exposure, family income and responding parent's education, current coverage of the child by a health insurance plan, and housing characteristics. These included pets inside the home (dog, cat, bird, other furry or hairy pets, or other pets), cockroaches, rats or mice, carpeting, water damage or mold or mildew in the home since the child lived there, use of an air conditioner, second hand tobacco smoke, and a combustion source for NO<sub>2</sub> in the home (a gas oven or stove or heating unit with a pilot light).

### **Statistical Analysis**

The odds ratio for each distance category was estimated with residences further than 300 m as the reference group, using logistic regression. All models were adjusted for the child's age, gender, race, community, and language of questionnaire completion. To assess the effect of long term and early life exposure, some analyses were stratified into

children living since 2 years of age or younger at the same residence and those moving to the current residence at a later age. Confounding was evaluated by assessing whether the coefficient of the log odds ratio for exposure changed by more than 10% after adding an additional covariate to this basic model. Effect modification by parental history of asthma and the child's history of allergic symptoms and gender were assessed by modeling the interaction of the potential effect modifier with exposure category (or with traffic-modeled exposure, as described in the online supplement) and by examining the effects of exposure by strata.

Logistic additive models (Hastie and Tibshirani 1990) were also fitted to assess the functional relationship between childhood asthma and proximity to major roads. These models used the smoothing spline with three degrees of freedom for the continuous distance from major road and used the same adjustment variables as in the linear logistic models described above.

Significance was defined as 2-sided  $P < 0.05$  for all analyses. The logistic additive models were fitted using the S-plus programming language (Venables et al. 2002). All other analyses were performed using the Statistical Analysis System Version 9.0 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina).

## RESULTS

Of the 5341 children completing a questionnaire and informed consent, there were 4762 with an address that could be accurately matched and geo-coded. Among these, there were 650 reports of ever physician diagnosed asthma (14%), 577 cases of prevalent asthma (13%) based on current severe symptoms, use of controller medications, or lifetime asthma with current wheeze, and 682 children with current wheeze during the previous year (15%). Although there was some overlap of these phenotypes, 38% of children with lifetime asthma had no current wheeze, 16% with prevalent asthma had no current wheeze (based primarily on use of controller medications in prevalent asthma), and 17% of prevalent asthma cases had no lifetime reported doctor diagnosis of asthma. The mean age was 6.5 years (standard deviation 0.68). The frequency of other characteristics of children, parents and households is shown in Table 1. The majority of children were Hispanic, and almost one quarter of parents completed a questionnaire in Spanish. Eighteen percent of parents reported that annual household income was less than \$15,000, and 22% had less than a high school education. Forty-two percent of children had lived at the same address since age 2 or earlier.

The mean distance from the child's residence to a major road was 418 m, standard deviation (s.d.) 519 m, median 254 m, range 0.02 m to 7516 m. (Error in precisely locating homes and roadways accounted for distances less than the 13 meter offset from the street used in geo-coding residences). Most residences (56.6%) were within 300 m of a major road, 25.2% were between 150 and 300 m, 16.4% between 75 and 150 m, and 15% within 75 m.

The risk of asthma-related outcomes was associated with residential distance to a major road (Table 2). Compared to those living at least 300 m from a major road, there were increased risks for all three outcomes among children within 75 m. For both prevalent asthma and current wheeze, there was increasing risk with decreasing residential distance to the roadway. Among long term residents (living since age 2 at the same home), risk was increased only among those living within 75 m of a major road, and the odds ratios were slightly larger than the corresponding odds ratios in the entire population.

Confounding by housing characteristics or other covariates from Table 1 was assessed among long term residents, and the effect of living within 75 m of a major road was not substantially changed.

We examined interactions of exposure with the susceptibility factors in the sample restricted to long term residents, because exposure in this group was more likely to have been accurately assigned for the period during which asthma developed than for children moving later. Parental asthma modified the effect of living within 75 m of a major road (Table 3). There were almost 2-fold (lifetime asthma) to almost 3-fold increased risks (current wheeze) associated with this exposure, but only among those children without a parental history of asthma. The interaction of parental history with residential proximity within 75 m was significant for prevalent asthma (1 degree of freedom Wald chi square 4.39;  $P=0.04$ ) and for current wheeze ( $P=0.01$ ), but not for lifetime asthma.

Among long term residents who had no allergic symptoms, greater than 2-fold increased risks of all three outcomes were associated with living in a residence within 75 m of a major road (Table 4). However, there were no significant interactions of allergy with this exposure for any of the three outcomes.

Among boys there was little evidence of increased risk associated with residential distance to a major road (Table 5). Among girls, strong associations with living within 75 m of a major road were observed for all three outcomes, and the difference between boys and girls was significant for lifetime asthma (1 degree of freedom interaction  $P=0.02$ ).

Among children with no family history of asthma, we examined further the relationship of asthma and distance to a major road within 500 m of the home, using smoothed models. Among long term residents an increasing rate of prevalent asthma was observed with residential proximity to the nearest major road, and the risk decreased to background levels by 150 to 200 m (Figure 2). This trend was observed only among children living at the same address since age 2. Children moving to the current residence after age 2 showed no effect of proximity to a major road. A similar pattern of effects was observed for lifetime asthma and wheeze (results not shown).

The effects of pollutants in fresh traffic exhaust modeled from traffic volume, distance and meteorology were generally consistent with those observed for proximity to a major road (see online supplement). There were significant associations of non-freeway (but not of freeway or total) traffic-modeled exposure with prevalent asthma and current wheeze,

and these effects were stronger in long term residents (Table S-2 in the online supplement). The stratum-specific pattern of traffic-modeled effects also were stronger in those with no parental history, with no allergic symptoms, and among girls (Table S-3 in the online supplement).



## **DISCUSSION**

Asthma and wheeze were strongly associated with residential proximity to a major road. These associations were strongest among children with no parental history of asthma who had lived at the same address since early in life. In this group, the highest risk occurred adjacent to the major road and decreased to background rates by 150 to 200 m from the road. Larger risks of asthma associated with long term residence within 75 m of a major road were observed among girls than boys.

If traffic-related pollutants were responsible for the observed associations with asthma, the increased risk among the longer term residents might be expected because they had a larger cumulative exposure to the pollutant indicators used in this analysis. However, the absence of any effect of a major road among children moving to their residence after age 2 (Figure 2) may indicate vulnerability during the prenatal period or infancy. Although the study design did not allow us to distinguish between these two possibilities, there is evidence that other early life exposures may increase the risk of asthma (Martinez 1999). Recent case-control and cohort studies have found an increased risk of asthma with early life exposure to local residential traffic-related pollutants (Brauer et al. 2002; Zmirou et al. 2004). In addition, several recent studies suggest that early life (especially in utero) exposure to tobacco smoke, which like fresh vehicular exhaust is a complex mixture of air pollutants, is more strongly associated with increased risk of subsequent asthma than exposure later in childhood (Gilliland et al. 2002; Gilliland et al. 2001). The larger effect of proximity to a major roadway among girls in our study also is consistent with previous

reports (Oosterlee et al. 1996; Pershagen et al. 1995; Shima et al. 2003; van Vliet et al. 1997; Venn et al. 2001).

We have previously found that children with an increased risk of incident asthma associated with exercise in high ozone environments were less likely to have a parental history of asthma (McConnell et al. 2002), and another recent study found that the risk of traffic-associated prevalent asthma was larger in children without parental history (Gordian et al. 2005). However, both family history of asthma and child allergy are strong risk factors for asthma independent of exposure to air pollution (London et al. 2001; Peden 2000). In our study, among long term residents living more than 300 m from a major road, parental history was associated with a 3.6-fold increased risk of prevalent asthma (95% C.I. 2.3, 5.8) and child symptoms of allergy with a 6.4-fold increased risk (95% C.I. 4.5, 9.1). Therefore, one possible explanation for the larger effects of traffic exposure in children without these strong risk factors is that other risks, for example dietary factors, indoor allergens or other environmental exposures produced asthma in the high risk group, regardless of traffic-related exposures. It is possible that among those with parental asthma or allergic symptoms there was no additional risk of childhood asthma associated with traffic, or that any small additional effect of traffic was undetectable in the high background rate of asthma in these children.

Parental history of asthma is an indication of genetic susceptibility, so the absence of risk among those with parental history may also indicate that asthma caused by pollutants in fresh traffic exhaust is less likely to be inherited, or at least is not mediated through the

same genetic pathways that accounted for asthma in the parents of these children. Non-allergic asthma is one possible alternative pathway, a pathway that may be consistent with the stronger observed effect of traffic among children without hay fever or other allergic symptoms. Like parental history of asthma, allergic symptoms are associated with atopic asthma (Peden 2000). Atopy is characterized by a positive skin test or IgE specific response to environmental allergens. Recent studies indicate that non-allergic asthma (without airway eosinophilia or atopy) may account for as much as half of all asthma (Beasley et al. 2001; Douwes et al. 2002; Pearce et al. 1999), and it has been suggested that risk factors for this asthma phenotype, including particulate air pollution, may differ from those for allergic asthma (Douwes et al. 2002). Some studies of the risk of asthma and wheeze due to second hand smoke, another mix of oxidant pollutants, have shown stronger effects among children without atopy or atopic symptoms (Kershaw 1987; Palmieri et al. 1990; Strachan et al. 1996a; Strachan and Cook 1998; Strachan et al. 1996b). In addition, drug induced and occupational asthma commonly occur in the absence of atopy, and many of the exposures responsible for “irritant induced asthma” in the workplace are also present in the general population (Gautrin et al. 2003; Kitani et al. 1993). However, in other studies stronger associations of asthma and wheeze with traffic-related pollutants were found among atopic children (Janssen et al. 2003; Zmirou et al. 2004) and with second hand tobacco smoke exposure among children with an atopic parent (Jaakkola et al. 2001). In addition, laboratory evidence suggests that exposure to oxidant air pollution promotes the effect of allergens on asthma severity and on the pathogenesis of asthma (Jenkins et al. 1999; Kehrl et al. 1999; Li et al. 2003; Schelegle et al. 2003). Based on these studies, an effect of traffic-related pollutants might have been

expected to be stronger among children with allergy. Further investigation is warranted to identify the reason for the apparent susceptibility of children without allergy and parental history of asthma in our study. Better phenotypic characterization of atopy both in the study children and in their parents and of allergen exposure in children would be useful to interpret the relationship of these characteristics to traffic and asthma.

In a previous cohort in the Children's Health Study we observed strong associations of lifetime asthma with residential ambient NO<sub>2</sub>, an indicator of variability within communities of traffic related pollutants, which was measured at a sample of homes (Gauderman et al. 2005). Measured NO<sub>2</sub> was moderately correlated with total traffic-modeled pollution (R=0.59). Strong associations also were observed with residential distance to a freeway and with traffic-modeled exposure from freeways (but not from non-freeway traffic-modeled pollution). We have now extended these observations to a larger population and to residential distance to other major roadways. The association of asthma in our new cohort with non-freeway traffic-modeled exposure, but not with freeway-modeled exposure, may reflect differences in the distribution of freeways and major roads around homes in the different cohorts. The association of asthma with non-freeway traffic-modeled exposure is consistent with the observed association with distance to a major road, because there were few children within 75 m of a freeway in our study. Residential distance to a major roadway also is computationally easier to estimate from data that are more readily available than the meteorologic and traffic volume data required to model exposure, and an increased risk associated with proximity to a major roadway is more easily explained to policy makers and to the general public.

Our results are also consistent with several European studies that found increased risks of childhood asthma with increased traffic counts in close proximity to the home (Morris et al. 2000; Nicolai et al. 2003; van Vliet et al. 1997; Venn et al. 2001; Zmirou et al. 2004). One large British study that focused on traffic within 150 m of children's homes found a gradient in risk that increased markedly with decreasing residential distance to a main road (Venn et al. 2001). There have been few other studies of traffic and childhood asthma in the United States. A recent study in northern California found an association between measured traffic-related pollutants at schools and childhood asthma (Kim et al. 2004). However, another large study in southern California based on records of children covered by Medicaid (public insurance for low income persons) found no association between asthma prevalence and traffic counts within 168 m of the home, although an association with asthma medication was observed (English et al. 1999). It is possible that some of the inconsistencies in the literature could be explained by the failure of many studies to account for the pattern of effect modification by parental history of asthma and by age and duration of residential exposure to traffic-related pollutants that vary markedly at different locations. The larger effects of traffic in girls has been observed in previous studies of traffic and asthma and related symptoms, but the reason for the apparent susceptibility of girls is not known (Oosterlee et al. 1996; Pershagen et al. 1995; Shima et al. 2003; van Vliet et al. 1997; Venn et al. 2001).

A causal relationship between asthma and traffic-related exposures is biologically plausible, as ambient particulate matter and other oxidant pollutants have been shown to

elicit responses relevant to the pathogenesis of asthma (Li et al. 2003). In addition, studies in southern California and elsewhere have shown that the largest gradients in traffic-related pollutants occur within the 150 to 200 m from roadways over which we saw decreasing risk of asthma (Gilbert et al. 2003; Zhu et al. 2002). In studies in which NO<sub>2</sub> and other markers of traffic-related exposure have been measured in close proximity to major roadways, variability has usually been best explained by traffic volume within 300 m (Briggs et al. 2000; Gilbert et al. 2003; Ross et al. 2005), although weaker correlations have also been observed over longer distances from the highest volume traffic corridors (Gauderman et al. 2005; Gilbert et al. 2005; Gilbert et al. 2003; Ross et al. 2005).

We considered bias as an explanation for our results. Parents with asthma who were susceptible to environmental triggers might have selected homes away from major roads, perhaps even before the children were born. If the children of these parents had high rates of asthma, this might have explained the observed lack of effect of a major road in families with parental asthma. There is some evidence that parents may intervene to reduce household exposure to indoor allergens, another perceived risk for asthma and asthma severity (Almqvist et al. 2003; van Strien et al. 2002). However, this bias is unlikely to explain our results, because we examined and found no significant differences in rates of parental history of asthma by exposure to a major road (results not shown). Selection bias related to factors influencing participation could not be evaluated, because characteristics of non-participants are not known. However, there were some modest differences between participants whose addresses could be geo-coded, who were of

higher socioeconomic status than participants whose addresses could not be geo-coded. For example, of those with family income less than \$7,500, 85% could be geo-coded, compared with 93% of those \$100,000 or more. Of those without insurance, 85% could be geo-coded, compared with 90% of those with insurance. The differences between those whose homes could and could not be geo-coded were heavily influenced by 299 subjects (of 579 total that could not be geo-coded), who completed a questionnaire but did not provide an address. However, none of the three asthma outcomes were associated with absence of a home geocode, and the associations of asthma with traffic were robust to our adjustment for socioeconomic status. It has also been suggested that traffic-related noise might cause asthma through a pathway mediated by stress (Ising and Ising 2002). However, to date there is little evidence to support this hypothesis. Other potential confounders, including socio-demographic factors, second hand or in utero tobacco smoke exposure, or housing characteristics that are commonly associated with asthma also did not explain our results. A final possible limitation to the interpretation of these results is the assessment of asthma by questionnaire. However, self report of physician diagnosed asthma has been reported to accurately reflect what physicians have told the patient, at least in adults, and validity of questionnaires as reported by repeatability of response is good (Ehrlich et al. 1995). For these reasons, self report of physician diagnosed asthma has been widely used in epidemiologic studies and has been recommended as the preferred outcome assessment for use in large population based studies, because a more precise diagnosis is not available (Burr 1992). In addition, the consistency of associations with lifetime asthma, prevalent asthma based on a

combination of symptoms reporting and doctor diagnosis, and recent wheezing suggest that diagnostic bias is unlikely to have explained the observed results.

We conclude that living in a residence with more nearby traffic increases the risk of childhood asthma. Children with no parental history of asthma who had long term residential exposure (or early life exposure) constituted a susceptible population, and the risk was larger for girls than for boys. Because a substantial proportion of southern California children live near a major road, this exposure is potentially an important public health problem that could be remediable by different transportation and residential development decisions, and by more effective control of vehicular emissions. Among those long term residents with no parental history of asthma, who lived within 75 m of a major road, 59% of asthma was attributable to residential proximity to the road. Further investigation is warranted to understand why the absence of parental asthma history increased susceptibility to traffic-related exposure.



## REFERENCES

- Almqvist C, Egmar AC, van Hage-Hamsten M, Berglind N, Pershagen G, Nordvall SL, et al. 2003. Heredity, pet ownership, and confounding control in a population-based birth cohort. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 111(4):800-806.
- Beasley R, Pekkanen J, Pearce N. 2001. Has the role of atopy in the development of asthma been over-emphasized? *Pediatr Pulmonol Suppl* 23:149-150.
- Brauer M, Hoek G, Van Vliet P, Meliefste K, Fischer PH, Wijga A, et al. 2002. Air pollution from traffic and the development of respiratory infections and asthmatic and allergic symptoms in children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 166(8):1092-1098.
- Briggs DJ, de Hoogh C, Gulliver J, Wills J, Elliott P, Kingham S, et al. 2000. A regression-based method for mapping traffic-related air pollution: Application and testing in four contrasting urban environments. *Sci Total Environ* 253(1-3):151-167.
- Burr ML. 1992. Diagnosing asthma by questionnaire in epidemiological surveys [editorial]. *Clin Exp Allergy* 22(5):509-510.
- Douwes J, Gibson P, Pekkanen J, Pearce N. 2002. Non-eosinophilic asthma: importance and possible mechanisms. *Thorax* 57(7):643-648.
- Ehrlich RI, Du Toit D, Jordaan E, Volmink JA, Weinberg EG, Zwarenstein M. 1995. Prevalence and reliability of asthma symptoms in primary school children in Cape Town. *Int J Epidemiol* 24(6):1138-1145.
- English P, Neutra R, Scalf R, Sullivan M, Waller L, Zhu L. 1999. Examining associations between childhood asthma and traffic flow using a geographic information system. *Environ Health Perspect* 107(9):761-767.
- ESRI. 2006. GIS and Mapping Software. Available: [www.esri.com](http://www.esri.com) [accessed 13 February 2006].
- Gauderman WJ, Avol E, Lurmann F, Kuenzli N, Gilliland F, Peters J, et al. 2005. Childhood asthma and exposure to traffic and nitrogen dioxide. *Epidemiology* 16(6):737-43.
- Gautrin D, Newman-Taylor AJ, Nordman H, Malo JL. 2003. Controversies in epidemiology of occupational asthma. *Eur Respir J* 22(3):551-559.

- Gilbert NL, Goldberg MS, Beckerman B, Brook JR, Jerrett M. 2005. Assessing spatial variability of ambient nitrogen dioxide in Montreal, Canada, with a land-use regression model. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 55(8):1059-1063.
- Gilbert NL, Woodhouse S, Stieb DM, Brook JR. 2003. Ambient nitrogen dioxide and distance from a major highway. *Sci Total Environ* 312(1-3):43-46.
- Gilliland FD, Li YF, Dubeau L, Berhane K, Avol E, McConnell R, et al. 2002. Effects of glutathione S-transferase M1, maternal smoking during pregnancy, and environmental tobacco smoke on asthma and wheezing in children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 166(4):457-463.
- Gilliland FD, Li YF, Peters JM. 2001. Effects of maternal smoking during pregnancy and environmental tobacco smoke on asthma and wheezing in children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 163(2):429-436.
- Gordian ME, Haneuse S, Wakefield J. 2005. An investigation of the association between traffic exposure and the diagnosis of asthma in children. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol* doi:10.1038/sj.jea.7500436 [Online 29 June 2005 ].
- Hastie TJ, Tibshirani RJ. 1990. *Generalized Additive Models*. London:Chapman and Hall.
- ISAAC Steering Committee. 1998. *International study of asthma and allergies in children: Phase II modules*. Muenster, Germany: Institute of Epidemiology and Social Medicine, University of Muenster (Dr. Stephan K Weiland).
- Ising H, Ising M. 2002. Chronic Cortisol Increases in the First Half of the Night Caused by Road Traffic Noise. *Noise Health* 4(16):13-21.
- Jaakkola JJ, Nafstad P, Magnus P. 2001. Environmental tobacco smoke, parental atopy, and childhood asthma. *Environ Health Perspect* 109(6):579-582.
- Janssen NA, Brunekreef B, van Vliet P, Aarts F, Meliefste K, Harssema H, et al. 2003. The relationship between air pollution from heavy traffic and allergic sensitization, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, and respiratory symptoms in Dutch schoolchildren. *Environ Health Perspect* 111(12):1512-1518.
- Jenkins HS, Devalia JL, Mister RL, Bevan AM, Rusznak C, Davies RJ. 1999. The effect of exposure to ozone and nitrogen dioxide on the airway response of atopic

- asthmatics to inhaled allergen: dose- and time- dependent effects. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 160(1):33-39.
- Kehrl HR, Peden DB, Ball B, Folinsbee LJ, Horstman D. 1999. Increased specific airway reactivity of persons with mild allergic asthma after 7.6 hours of exposure to 0.16 ppm ozone. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 104(6):1198-1204.
- Kershaw CR. 1987. Passive smoking, potential atopy and asthma in the first five years. *J R Soc Med* 80(11):683-688.
- Kim JJ, Smorodinsky S, Lipsett M, Singer BC, Hodgson AT, Ostro B. 2004. Traffic-related air pollution near busy roads: the East Bay Children's Respiratory Health Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 170(5):520-526.
- Kitani H, Kajimoto K, Sugimoto K, Mifune T, Mitsunobu F, Yokota S, et al. 1993. IgE-mediated allergic reaction in drug-induced asthma. *Acta Med Okayama* 47(5):317-321.
- Künzli N, McConnell R, Bates D, Bastain T, Hricko A, Lurmann F, et al. 2003. Breathless in Los Angeles: The Exhausting Search for Clean Air. *Am J Public Health* 93(9):1494-1499.
- Li N, Hao M, Phalen RF, Hinds WC, Nel AE. 2003. Particulate air pollutants and asthma. A paradigm for the role of oxidative stress in PM-induced adverse health effects. *Clin Immunol* 109(3):250-265.
- London SJ, James Gauderman W, Avol E, Rappaport EB, Peters JM. 2001. Family history and the risk of early-onset persistent, early-onset transient, and late-onset asthma. *Epidemiology* 12(5):577-583.
- Martinez FD. 1999. Maturation of immune responses at the beginning of asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 103(3 Pt 1):355-361.
- McConnell R, Berhane K, Gilliland F, London SJ, Islam T, Gauderman WJ, et al. 2002. Asthma in exercising children exposed to ozone: a cohort study. *Lancet* 359(9304):386-391.
- Morris SE, Sale RC, Wakefield JC, Falconer S, Elliott P, Boucher BJ. 2000. Hospital admissions for asthma and chronic obstructive airways disease in east London hospitals and proximity of residence to main roads. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 54(1):75-76.

- Nicolai T, Carr D, Weiland SK, Duhme H, von Ehrenstein O, Wagner C, et al. 2003. Urban traffic and pollutant exposure related to respiratory outcomes and atopy in a large sample of children. *Eur Respir J* 21(6):956-963.
- Oosterlee A, Drijver M, Lebet E, Brunekreef B. 1996. Chronic respiratory symptoms in children and adults living along streets with high traffic density. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 53(4):241-247.
- Palmieri M, Longobardi G, Napolitano G, Simonetti DM. 1990. Parental smoking and asthma in childhood. *Eur J Pediatr* 149(10):738-740.
- Pearce N, Pekkanen J, Beasley R. 1999. How much asthma is really attributable to atopy? *Thorax* 54(3):268-272.
- Peden DB. 2000. Development of atopy and asthma: candidate environmental influences and important periods of exposure. *Environ Health Perspect* 108 (suppl)3:475-482.
- Pershagen G, Rylander E, Norberg S, Eriksson M, Nordvall SL. 1995. Air pollution involving nitrogen dioxide exposure and wheezing bronchitis in children. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 24(6):1147-1153.
- Ross Z, English PB, Scalf R, Gunier R, Smorodinsky S, Wall S, et al. 2005. Nitrogen dioxide prediction in Southern California using land use regression modeling: potential for environmental health analyses. *J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol*. doi: 10.1038/sj.jea.7500442 [Online 27 July 2005].
- Schelegle ES, Miller LA, Gershwin LJ, Fanucchi MV, Van Winkle LS, Gerriets JE, et al. 2003. Repeated episodes of ozone inhalation amplifies the effects of allergen sensitization and inhalation on airway immune and structural development in Rhesus monkeys. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 191(1):74-85.
- Shima M, Nitta Y, Adachi M. 2003. Traffic-related air pollution and respiratory symptoms in children living along trunk roads in Chiba Prefecture, Japan. *J Epidemiol* 13(2):108-119.
- Strachan DP, Butland BK, Anderson HR. 1996a. Incidence and prognosis of asthma and wheezing illness from early childhood to age 33 in a national British cohort [see comments]. *BMJ* 312(7040):1195-1199.

- Strachan DP, Cook DG. 1998. Health effects of passive smoking. 6. Parental smoking and childhood asthma: longitudinal and case-control studies. *Thorax* 53(3):204-212.
- Strachan DP, Griffiths JM, Johnston ID, Anderson HR. 1996b. Ventilatory function in British adults after asthma or wheezing illness at ages 0-35. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 154(6 Pt 1):1629-1635.
- Tele Atlas, Inc. 2006. Map Databases. Available: [www.na.teleatlas.com](http://www.na.teleatlas.com) [accessed 13 February 2006].
- van Strien RT, Koopman LP, Kerkhof M, Spithoven J, de Jongste JC, Gerritsen J, et al. 2002. Mite and pet allergen levels in homes of children born to allergic and nonallergic parents: the PIAMA study. *Environ Health Perspect* 110(11):A693-698.
- van Vliet P, Knappe M, de Hartog J, Janssen N, Harssema H, Brunekreef B. 1997. Motor vehicle exhaust and chronic respiratory symptoms in children living near freeways. *Environmental Research* 74(2):122-132.
- Venables WN, Ropley BD, Ripley BD. 2002. *Modern applied statistics with S-Plus*. New York:Springer Verlag.
- Venn A, Lewis S, Cooper M, Hubbard R, Hill I, Boddy R, et al. 2000. Local road traffic activity and the prevalence, severity, and persistence of wheeze in school children: combined cross sectional and longitudinal study. *Occup Environ Med* 57(3):152-158.
- Venn AJ, Lewis SA, Cooper M, Hubbard R, Britton J. 2001. Living near a main road and the risk of wheezing illness in children. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 164(12):2177-2180.
- Waldron G, Pottle B, Dod J. 1995. Asthma and the motorways--one District's experience. *J Public Health Med* 17(1):85-89.
- Wjst M, Reitmeir P, Dold S, Wulff A, Nicolai T, von Loeffelholz-Colberg EF, et al. 1993. Road traffic and adverse effects on respiratory health in children [see comments]. *BMJ* 307(6904):596-600.

- Zhu Y, Hinds WC, Kim S, Sioutas C. 2002. Concentration and size distribution of ultrafine particles near a major highway. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 52(9):1032-1042.
- Zmirou D, Gauvin S, Pin I, Momas I, Sahraoui F, Just J, et al. 2004. Traffic related air pollution and incidence of childhood asthma: results of the Vesta case-control study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 58(1):18-23.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics and potential confounders or susceptibility factors

CHILD	N <sup>a</sup>	(%)
<u>Sex</u>		
Male	2425	( 51 )
Female	2295	( 49 )
<u>Race</u>		
North Am Ind	44	( 0.93 )
Asian	170	( 3.6 )
Black	197	( 4.2 )
Hispanic white	2617	( 55 )
Non-Hispanic white	1682	( 35 )
Other	32	( 0.67 )
<u>Health insurance</u>	3985	( 88 )
<u>Long term residence</u>	1856	( 42 )
<u>Allergy</u>	1834	( 44 )
<u>In utero tobacco smoke</u>	360	( 7.9 )
PARENT		
<u>Spanish questionnaire</u>	1091	( 23 )
<u>Family income</u>		
Less than \$7500	279	( 6.9 )
\$7500 to \$14,999	456	( 11 )
\$15,000 to \$29,999	692	( 17 )
\$30,00 to \$49,999	709	( 18 )
\$50,000 to \$74,999	726	( 18 )
\$75,000 to \$99,999	535	( 13 )
\$100,000 or more	639	( 16 )
<u>Parental education</u>		
<12th grade	982	( 22 )
Grade 12	880	( 20 )
Some post high school	1681	( 38 )
4 years of college	512	( 11 )
Some post graduate	417	( 9.3 )
<u>Parental asthma</u>	965	( 23 )
HOME		
<u>Any pet</u>	2479	( 54 )
<u>Dog</u>	1337	( 29 )
<u>Cat</u>	841	( 18 )
<u>Bird</u>	462	( 10 )
<u>Cockroach</u>	487	( 11 )
<u>Mice</u>	366	( 8.1 )
<u>Rats</u>	189	( 4.2 )
<u>NO2 source</u>	3358	( 72 )
<u>Air conditioner</u>	2763	( 60 )
<u>Carpeting</u>	4230	( 92 )
<u>Water damage</u>	653	( 14 )
<u>Mold or mildew</u>	1068	( 25 )
<u>SHS<sup>b</sup></u>	794	( 18 )

<sup>a</sup>Total (% of total) with each characteristic; denominator varies due to missing values or "don't know" responses

<sup>b</sup>SHS=second hand smoke

Table 2: Association of asthma and wheeze with distance to a major road

		All Participants						
		<u>Lifetime Asthma</u>		<u>Prevalent Asthma</u>		<u>Current Wheeze</u>		
		<u>N<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>O.R.<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>	<u>O.R.<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>	<u>O.R.<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>
<u>Major road distance</u>								
>300 m	2058	1.00			1.00		1.00	
150 - 300 m	1193	0.92	( 0.73 , 1.15 )		1.04	( 0.82 , 1.33 )	1.02	( 0.82 , 1.27 )
75-150 m	778	1.06	( 0.82 , 1.36 )		1.33	( 1.02 , 1.72 ) *	1.30	( 1.02 , 1.66 ) *
<75 m	713	1.29	( 1.01 , 1.66 ) *		1.50	( 1.16 , 1.95 ) **	1.40	( 1.09 , 1.78 ) **
		Long Term Residents						
		<u>Lifetime Asthma</u>		<u>Prevalent Asthma</u>		<u>Current Wheeze</u>		
		<u>N<sup>*</sup></u>	<u>O.R.<sup>*</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>	<u>O.R.<sup>*</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>	<u>O.R.<sup>*</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>
<u>Major road distance</u>								
>300 m	813	1.00			1.00		1.00	
150 - 300 m	483	0.86	( 0.59 , 1.24 )		0.83	( 0.56 , 1.21 )	0.97	( 0.69 , 1.38 )
75-150 m	294	1.03	( 0.68 , 1.56 )		1.09	( 0.71 , 1.66 )	1.09	( 0.73 , 1.62 )
<75 m	266	1.46	( 0.98 , 2.17 )		1.64	( 1.10 , 2.44 ) *	1.67	( 1.14 , 2.43 ) **

<sup>a</sup>N is total exposed in each category of distance to a major road; O.R. (95% C.I.) odds ratio (95% confidence interval), adjusted for age, sex, language of questionnaire, community, and race  
 \*p<.05; \*\*p<.01



Table 3: Association of asthma and wheeze with distance to a major road among long term residents, by parental history of asthma

<u>Major road distance</u>	<u>No Parental Asthma</u> (N=1330)		<u>Parental Asthma</u> (N=380)	
	<u>O.R.<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>	<u>O.R.<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>
<u>Lifetime asthma<sup>b</sup></u>				
>300 m	1.00		1.00	
150 - 300 m	1.06	( 0.65 , 1.71 )	0.62	( 0.30 , 1.25 )
75-150 m	1.13	( 0.64 , 1.97 )	0.75	( 0.34 , 1.63 )
<75 m	1.85	( 1.11 , 3.09 ) *	1.03	( 0.47 , 2.24 )
<u>Prevalent asthma</u>				
>300 m	1.00		1.00	
150 - 300 m	0.94	( 0.57 , 1.58 )	0.67	( 0.33 , 1.37 )
75-150 m	1.21	( 0.69 , 2.14 )	0.80	( 0.37 , 1.74 )
<75 m	2.46	( 1.48 , 4.09 ) **	0.79	( 0.34 , 1.82 )
<u>Current wheeze</u>				
>300 m	1.00		1.00	
150 - 300 m	1.02	( 0.64 , 1.64 )	0.96	( 0.51 , 1.80 )
75-150 m	1.37	( 0.81 , 2.31 )	0.88	( 0.42 , 1.83 )
<75 m	2.74	( 1.71 , 4.39 ) **	0.87	( 0.40 , 1.90 )

<sup>a</sup>O.R. (95% C.I.) odds ratio (95% confidence interval), adjusted for age, sex, language of questionnaire, community, and race

<sup>b</sup>Participants from Lake Arrowhead were excluded from the model for stratum with no parental asthma, because otherwise model failed to converge.

\*p<.05; \*\*p<.01

Table 4: Association of asthma and wheeze with distance to a major road among long term residents, by child's history of allergy

<u>Major road distance</u>	<u>No Allergic Symptoms (N=942)</u>		<u>Allergic Symptoms (N=723)</u>	
	<u>O.R.<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>	<u>O.R.<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>
<u>Lifetime asthma<sup>u</sup></u>				
>300 m	1.00		1.00	
150 - 300 m	0.92	( 0.432 , 1.97 )	0.87	( 0.534 , 1.41 )
75-150 m	1.04	( 0.414 , 2.62 )	0.96	( 0.566 , 1.61 )
<75 m	2.27	( 1.043 , 4.94 ) *	1.31	( 0.764 , 2.25 )
<u>Prevalent asthma</u>				
>300 m	1.00		1.00	
150 - 300 m	0.98	( 0.422 , 2.26 )	0.77	( 0.463 , 1.27 )
75-150 m	0.81	( 0.254 , 2.55 )	1.01	( 0.60 , 1.69 )
<75 m	2.52	( 1.067 , 5.93 ) *	1.29	( 0.758 , 2.21 )
<u>Current wheeze</u>				
>300 m	1.00		1.00	
150 - 300 m	1.50	( 0.723 , 3.12 )	0.80	( 0.50 , 1.28 )
75-150 m	0.72	( 0.229 , 2.25 )	1.03	( 0.634 , 1.68 )
<75 m	2.58	( 1.139 , 5.86 ) *	1.25	( 0.75 , 2.07 )

<sup>a</sup>O.R. (95% C.I.) odds ratio (95% confidence interval), adjusted for age, sex, language of questionnaire, community, and race

<sup>b</sup>Participants from Lake Arrowhead were excluded from models for stratum without allergy for prevalent and lifetime asthma, because otherwise the models failed to converge.

\*p<.05

Table 5: Association of asthma and wheeze with distance to a major road among long term residents, by child's sex

<u>Major road distance</u>	<u>Boys (N=945)</u>		<u>Girls (N=901)</u>	
	<u>O.R.<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>	<u>O.R.<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>(95% C.I.)</u>
<u>Lifetime asthma<sup>b</sup></u>				
>300 m	1.00		1.00	
150 - 300 m	0.87	( 0.54 , 1.40 )	0.88	( 0.48 , 1.61 )
75-150 m	1.15	( 0.69 , 1.92 )	0.68	( 0.31 , 1.49 )
<75 m	0.94	( 0.54 , 1.64 )	2.51	( 1.39 , 4.54 ) **
<u>Prevalent asthma</u>				
>300 m	1.00		1.00	
150 - 300 m	0.77	( 0.46 , 1.30 )	0.90	( 0.50 , 1.61 )
75-150 m	1.37	( 0.82 , 2.31 )	0.53	( 0.23 , 1.24 )
<75 m	1.31	( 0.75 , 2.29 )	2.13	( 1.18 , 3.85 ) *
<u>Current wheeze</u>				
>300 m	1.00		1.00	
150 - 300 m	0.96	( 0.60 , 1.53 )	0.99	( 0.58 , 1.69 )
75-150 m	1.27	( 0.77 , 2.10 )	0.72	( 0.35 , 1.46 )
<75 m	1.41	( 0.84 , 2.37 )	1.95	( 1.11 , 3.41 ) *


<sup>a</sup>O.R. (95% C.I.) odds ratio (95% confidence interval), adjusted for age, language of questionnaire, community, and race

<sup>b</sup>Participants from Lake Arrowhead were excluded from model for stratum with girls for lifetime asthma, because otherwise model failed to converge.


\*p<.05; \*\*p<.01

Figure 1: Location of study communities

Figure 2: Prevalence of asthma by distance of residence to a major road within 500 m, among long term and shorter term residents with no family history of asthma



### Long Term Residents



### Short Term Residents

