

Trajectory Tracking of Quadrotor Aerial Robot Using Improved Dynamic Inversion Method

Lifeng Wang, Yichong He, Zhixiang Zhang, Congkui He

Field Bus Technology & Automation Lab, North China University of Technology, Beijing, China Email: lf_wang@ncut.edu.cn

Received September 21, 2013; revised October 21, 2013; accepted October 28, 2013

Copyright © 2013 Lifeng Wang *et al*. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

This paper presents trajectory tracking control works concerning quadrotor aerial robot with rigid cross structure. The quadrotor consists of four propellers which are two paired clockwise rotate and anticlockwise rotate. A nonlinear dy-namic model of the quadrotor is provided, and a controller based on the improved dynamic inverse is synthesized for the purpose of stabilization and trajectory tracking. The proposed control strategy has been tested in simulation that can balance the deviation of model inaccuracy well.

Keywords: Aerial Robot; Quadrotor; Nonlinear Model; Dynamic Inversion; Robust Control

1. Introduction

Since the beginning of 20th century, many research efforts have been done to create effective flying machines with improved performance and capabilities. The quadrotor aerial robot is emerging as a kind of popular platform for unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) research due to its simple structure, hovering ability and vertical take-off and landing (VTOL) capability. It is especially suitable for reconnaissance and surveillance missions in complex and tough environment. Because of quadrotor's complexity of dynamic and uncertainty of parameters, it is usually chosen as a testbed for validating the advanced control algorithm of nonlinear control.

The quadrotor is described as aero robot in [1,2]. The core problems of quadrotor are attitude control and trajectory tracking control. The flight control unit and its control programming code are priority to its structure when treated as a testbed for control algorithm. With the emerging of open code project for autonomous control algorithms from modeling and simulation to validating test, which also provide great help to research enthusiast or aerial robot hobbyist. In [3], the differential flat control and nonlinear inverse control are proposed to process the nonlinear trajectory control for differential flatness property system. In [4], an real-time nonlinear optimal control, which is called $\theta - D$ technique, is employed to solve the resultant challenging problem considering the

full nonlinear dynamics without gaining scheduling techniques and timescale separations, which leads to a closedform suboptimal control law. In [5,6], the back-stepping procedure is synthesized for the purposes of stabilization and trajectory tracking, which is generally robustness applied to the system of parameters uncertainty and unmodeled dynamic to some extent. The various types of aerial robot UAV based on quadrotor concept are put forward in [5-8], using the superiority of fix-wing plant and rotor-wing helicopter to enhance the VTOL ability and flying range. So, in general, the dynamic inverse method is more suitable for various types of aerial robots due to its start from original model. In [9], the dynamic inverse control with zero dynamic for non-linear affine model system is proposed. In [10], the hierarchical flight control is synthesis for rotorcraft-based UAV. In present paper, the hierarchical structure of improved dynamic inverse method for quadrotor trajectory tracking is proposed, which can balance the deviation of model inaccuracy to enhance the robustness of control system.

In this paper, we first describe the mechanism of quadrotor aerial robot in section 2. Next, we establish the dynamic model of quadrotor obtained from Newton-Euler formula in section 3. In section 4, a hierarchical structure of improved dynamic inversion method is proposed. Furthermore, robustness with respect to parameters uncertainty and unmodeled dynamic has been observed in simulation. Finally, a trajectory tracking example is simulated and remarked.

2. Quadrotor Mechanism

The typical quadrotor mechanism and coordinate system is briefly showed in **Figure 1**, where four rotors are cross structure, providing the three required moments (roll, pith and yaw) and lifting force. For describing the flight condition of quadrotor exactly, we can respectively define flat-earth frame E and rigid body frame B according to right-hand rule.

The aerodynamic forces and moments of propeller depend on various parameters, such as the angle of attack, aerofoil and inflow ratio. We assumed that the aerodynamic forces F_i and moments T_i of four propellers are proportional to the square of angular velocity ω_i . Which is given by:

$$F_i = k_f \omega_i^2$$

$$T_i = k_i \omega_i^2$$
(1)

where i = 1, 2, 3, 4. The k_f, k_t is respectively aerodynamic force and anti-torque coefficient. Since the forces and moments are derived by modifying the speed of each rotor, then the lifting force and three moments can be given as follows:

Lifting force (the sum of four rotor forces):

$$F = F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4 = k_f \left(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2 \right)$$
(2)

Roll torque (right-hand rule):

$$T_{p} = L(-F_{1} + F_{2} + F_{3} - F_{4})$$

= $Lk_{f}(-\omega_{1}^{2} + \omega_{2}^{2} + \omega_{3}^{2} - \omega_{4}^{2})$ (3)

Pitch torque (right -hand rule):

$$T_{q} = L(-F_{1} + F_{2} - F_{3} + F_{4})$$

= $Lk_{f}(-\omega_{1}^{2} + \omega_{2}^{2} - \omega_{3}^{2} + \omega_{4}^{2})$ (4)

Yaw torque (right -hand rule):



Figure 1. Quadroter UAV coordinate frame systems.

$$T_{r} = L(T_{1} + T_{2} - T_{3} - T_{4})$$

= $Lk_{t}(\omega_{1}^{2} + \omega_{2}^{2} - \omega_{3}^{2} - \omega_{4}^{2})$ (5)

where L is the distance from motor position to the center of quadrotor mass. Rewrite the matrix form of Equations (2)-(5) as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_p \\ T_q \\ T_r \\ F_z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -Lk_f & Lk_f & Lk_f & -Lk_f \\ -Lk_f & Lk_f & -Lk_f & Lk_f \\ k_t & k_t & -k_t & -k_t \\ k_f & k_f & k_f & k_f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1^2 \\ \omega_2^2 \\ \omega_3^2 \\ \omega_4^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

3. Dynamic Model of Quadrotor

The frame E stands for earth coordinate system, and the body frame B stands for the rigid quadrotor coordinate system. The body coordinate B is attached to the center of mass of the quadrotor. The Euler angles which denote the rotation of quadrotor in the flat-earth frame coordinate E is the variables ϕ , θ and ψ , which respecttively represents the roll, pitch and yaw angles of the quadrotor in the body-fixed coordinates.

3.1. Six DOF Model of Rigid Body

The dynamics of a rigid body under external forces applied to the center of mass expressed in the body fixed frame are in Newton-Euler formalism:

$$mV_b + \Omega_b \times mV_b = F_b + F_g + F_e$$

$$I\dot{\Omega}_b + \Omega_b \times I\Omega_b = T_b$$
(7)

where the $V_b = \begin{bmatrix} u & v & w \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the velocity in the body frame, $\Omega_b = \begin{bmatrix} p & q & r \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the angular velocity in the body frame. $F_b, F_g, F_e \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the external propeller forces acted on the rotors, the weight forces of mass center, the elastic force of landing gear only on the ground, respectively.

only on the ground, respectively. $T_b = \begin{bmatrix} T_p & T_q & T_r \end{bmatrix}^T \in R^3$ are external torques in the body frame. $I^{3\times3} \in R^3$ are the inertia matrix of quadrotor, m is the mass of quadrotor.

The 3 \times 3 symmetrical inertia matrix *I* is defined as:

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} I_{xx} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & I_{yy} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & I_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

and the cross product operator \times is defined as :

$$\Omega_{b} \times = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -r & q \\ r & 0 & -p \\ -q & p & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

The external propeller forces F_b acted on the rotors is defined as:

$$F_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & F_z \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(10)

The weight forces of mass center is as follows:

$$F_{g} = \begin{bmatrix} mgs\theta & -mgc\theta s\phi & -mgc\theta c\phi \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(11)

where s and c are shorthand form of cosine and sine.

The elastic force of landing gear on the ground is modeling the characteristics of taking off situation for quadrotor, so it disappears while flying or leaving the ground. It is modeling as follows:

$$F_e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & k_l (z_l - z) \delta(z_l - z) \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(12)

where k_i and z_i is elastic coefficient and elastic deformation on ground.

And the function $\delta(z_l - z)$ denotes:

$$\delta(z_{l} - z) = \begin{cases} 1 & z_{l} - z > 0 \\ 0 & z_{l} - z \le 0 \end{cases}$$
(13)

Then the Equation (7) can be expanded as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \\ \dot{w} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} -rv + qw \\ ru - pw \\ -qu + pv \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ F_z/m \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} gs\theta \\ -gc\theta s\phi \\ -gc\theta c\phi \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ k_l (z_l - z)\delta(z_l - z)/m \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{p} \\ \dot{q} \\ \dot{r} \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} qr(I_{zz} - I_{yy})/I_{xx} \\ pr(I_{xx} - I_{zz})/I_{yy} \\ pq(I_{yy} - I_{xx})/I_{zz} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} T_p/I_{xx} \\ T_q/I_{yy} \\ T_r/I_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$
(15)

3.2. Navigation Equations

To obtain the translational and rotational motions from the body frame to the flat earth coordinate system, the well-known navigation equations are described as follows:

$$E_e = S\Omega_b$$

$$\dot{N}_e = RV_b$$
(16)

where the vector $N_e = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \end{bmatrix}^T$ stand for the positions of quadrotor in flat earth coordinate, the vector $E_e = \begin{bmatrix} \phi & \theta & \psi \end{bmatrix}^T$ stand for the attitude angles of body frame in the flat earth coordinate. The matrix R and S is translational and rotational transform matrix. The Equation (16) can be extended as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s\phi t\theta & c\phi t\theta \\ 0 & c\phi & -s\phi \\ 0 & s\phi/c\theta & c\phi/c\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \\ r \end{bmatrix}$$
(17)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c\theta c\psi & -c\phi s\psi + s\phi s\theta c\psi & s\phi s\psi + c\phi s\theta c\psi \\ c\theta s\psi & c\phi c\psi + s\phi s\theta s\psi & -s\phi c\psi + c\phi s\theta s\psi \\ -s\theta & s\phi c\theta & c\phi c\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

where s, c and t stand for sine, cosine and tangent, respectively.

3.3. Motor Dynamics

The revolving speed of propeller driven by the brushless DC-motor is proportional to the input voltage which is regulated by speed control unit. Input voltage signals come from the flight control system in the light of flight task. Assumed that the load anti-torque is proportional to the square of revolving speed and the inductance of brushless DC-motor is omitted, the dynamic model of DC-motor is described in following formula:

$$\dot{\omega}_i = -k_1 \omega_i - k_2 \omega_i^2 + k_3 \omega_{ic} \tag{19}$$

where the coefficient k_1 , k_2 and k_3 is constant in dynamic model, ω_{ic} is the regulated signal pwm from the flight control unit and the ith is the index of DC-motor.

4. Improved Dynamic Inverse Controller

The above airframe dynamics of typical quadrotor involves Equations (14), (15), (17)-(19), including 6 equations in Equations (14) and (15) stand for airframe rigid-body dynamics, 6 equations in Equations (17) and (18) stand for navigation transformation. 4 equations in Equations (19) stand for motor dynamics. In these equations describe the dynamics of quadrotor, the total state variables include 16 state variables and 4 control input variables. The inherent characteristics of strong coupling in pitch-roll-yaw and underactuation are shown in state equations. For solving the coupling in pitch-roll-vaw, the dynamic inverse controller is applied to the controller. In parallel, the hierarchical structure of slow-loop and fast-loop is adopted to solve the underactuation. Nevertheless, the accurate model which is necessary in dynamic inverse method is hardly to acquire, so it's important to develop an improved dynamic inverse model to enhance the robustness of controller. The overall controller depicted in Figure 2 has fast loop as attitude control loop and slow loop as trajectory tracking loop. The details of improving dynamic inverse of hierarchical structure will be described in following process.

4.1. Slow Loop Control

The desired trajectory can be divided into sequence of segments with waypoints. So quadrotor tracks from one waypoint to the next waypoint with desired velocity and acceleration constraints, then the segments are connected



Figure 2. Improved dynamic inverse controller of hierarchical structure for quadrotor.

to approximate the continuous trajectory well. The slow loop frequency which depends on the response of translational motion and position sensor is about 4 Hz.

A given trajectory command signal include position command $(x_c \ y_c \ z_c)$ and orientation command ψ_c . We assumed that the pitch and roll angle are small enough to combine the formula (14) and (18). Then, symbol inv1 can be denoted by the relationship of attitude angle and position derivative as follows:

$$F_{z} = (\ddot{z} + g)m$$

$$\varphi_{c} = (\ddot{x}s\psi - \ddot{y}c\psi)m/F_{z} \qquad (20)$$

$$\theta_{c} = (\ddot{x}c\psi + \ddot{y}s\psi)m/F_{z}$$

Trajectory control is considered to exponentially stabilize the waypoint position error. Then the desired acceleration command would satisfy the relationship as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} &= -2\xi\omega\dot{x} - \omega^{2}(x - x_{c}) \\ &= k_{u}\left(k_{x}\left(x_{c} - x\right) - \dot{x}\right) = -k_{u}\dot{x} - k_{u}k_{x}\left(x - x_{c}\right) \\ \ddot{y} &= -2\xi\omega\dot{y} - \omega^{2}\left(y - y_{c}\right) \\ &= k_{v}\left(k_{y}\left(y_{c} - y\right) - \dot{y}\right) = -k_{v}\dot{y} - k_{v}k_{y}\left(y - y_{c}\right) \\ \ddot{z} &= -2\xi\omega\dot{z} - \omega^{2}\left(z - z_{c}\right) \\ &= k_{w}\left(k_{z}\left(z_{c} - z\right) - \dot{z}\right) = -k_{w}\dot{z} - k_{w}k_{z}\left(z - z_{c}\right) \end{aligned}$$
(21)

As depicted in **Figure 2**, ξ , ω is the damping factor and natural frequency of system, respectively. The factor $k_p = \begin{bmatrix} k_x & k_y & k_z \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $k_d = \begin{bmatrix} k_u & k_v & k_w \end{bmatrix}^T$. From Equations (20) and (21) above, the control problem of quadrotor is addressed by decoupling the position control and attitude control, and position control of slow loop provides the attitude set points for the attitude controller of fast loop. We have testified that the desired system dynamics could be perfect when damping factor is constant 0.4 - 0.8. Only if the natural frequency of system required to aware, the controller factor can be quantified as follows:

$$k_{x} = k_{y} = k_{z} = \frac{\omega}{2\xi}$$

$$k_{u} = k_{v} = k_{w} = 2\omega\xi$$
(22)

4.2. Fast Loop Control

Fast loop is attitude control loop which is required to response the change of attitude angle quickly. Its frequency which settled by system dynamics and control unit is 50 Hz.

Equation (17) can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_c \\ q_c \\ r_c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s\varphi t\theta & c\varphi t\theta \\ 0 & c\varphi & -s\varphi \\ 0 & s\varphi/c\theta & c\varphi/c\theta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\varphi} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{\psi} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s\varphi t\theta & c\varphi t\theta \\ 0 & c\varphi & -s\varphi \\ 0 & s\varphi/c\theta & c\varphi/c\theta \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} k_{\varphi}(\varphi_c - \varphi) \\ k_{\theta}(\theta_c - \theta) \\ k_{\psi}(\psi_c - \psi) \end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

where the matrix inverse stands for symbol inv2. We can acquire $\begin{pmatrix} p_c & q_c & r_c \end{pmatrix}$ by expected attitude angle command $\begin{pmatrix} \phi_c & \theta_c & \psi_c \end{pmatrix}$.

Equation (15) can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_p \\ T_q \\ T_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{p}I_{xx} \\ \dot{q}I_{yy} \\ \dot{r}I_{zz} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} (I_{zz} - I_{yy})qr \\ (I_{xx} - I_{zz})pr \\ (I_{yy} - I_{xx})pq \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} k_p (p_c - p)I_{xx} \\ k_q (q_c - q)I_{yy} \\ k_r (r_c - r)I_{zz} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} (I_{zz} - I_{yy})qr \\ (I_{xx} - I_{zz})pr \\ (I_{yy} - I_{xx})pq \end{bmatrix}$$
(24)

In Equation (24), the second item is intentionally omitted in order to maintain zero dynamics while angular velocity error is zero. Thus, the model error or unmodel dynamic characteristics in dynamic inverse method can be balanced through improving the dynamic inverse expected attitude angle command $\begin{pmatrix} p_c & q_c & r_c \end{pmatrix}$. In above formula, the factors $k_e = \begin{bmatrix} k_{\phi} & k_{\theta} & k_{\psi} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ and $k_v = \begin{bmatrix} k_p I_{xx} & k_q I_{yy} & k_r I_{zz} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$ can be quantified in the same process. For example:

$$\theta_{c} = -2\xi\omega\theta - \omega^{2}(\theta - \theta_{c})$$

$$= k_{q}\left(k_{\theta}\left(\theta_{c} - \theta\right) - \dot{\theta}\right) \approx -k_{q}q - k_{q}k_{\theta}\left(\theta - \theta_{c}\right)$$
(25)

The factor $k_{\theta} = \frac{\omega}{2\xi}$ and $k_q = 2\omega\xi$ is gained in the

same formula.

4.3. Motor Speed Control

Equation (6) can be transform as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega_{1c} \\ \omega_{2c} \\ \omega_{3c} \\ \omega_{4c} \end{bmatrix} = \sqrt{\begin{bmatrix} -1/4 & -1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 \\ 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 \\ 1/4 & -1/4 & -1/4 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 & -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} T_p / (Lk_f) \\ T_q / (Lk_f) \\ T_r / (Lk_t) \\ F_z / k_f \end{bmatrix}$$
(26)

where the square root stands for symbol inv3.

5. Simulation Results

In this section, the demonstrating results are presented in order to observe the performances of proposed control principle. We considered a case of a rectangle shape trajectory-tracking problem. The parameters used for the aircraft model and the controller are given in **Table 1**. These parameters are referred to the quadrotor prototype designed by our lab, just refer **Figure 3**.

Table 1. Model parameters in demonstrator of quadrotor.

parameter	description	value
m	Mass of quadrotor	2.3 kg
L	Lever arm length	0.7 m
I _{xx}	Body inertia of x axis	8.04*10^-3 kgm^2
I_{yy}	Body inertia of y axis	8.46*10^-3 kgm^2
I _{zz}	Body inertia of z axis	14.68*10^-3 kgm^2
\mathbf{k}_{f}	Force coefficient	0.65016e-3N/(rad/s)^2
\mathbf{k}_{t}	Torque coefficient	0.82218e-5N/(rad/s)^2
k1	Motor model coefficient	20
k2	Motor model coefficient	0.01
k3	Motor model coefficient	3.5
$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{l}}$	Elastic deformation of gear	0.003 m
\mathbf{k}_{l}	Elastic coefficient of gear	6000 N/m

In the improving dynamic inverse controller, the parameters are defined as follows: The natural frequency of slow loop in Equation (21) is guessed as 1 Hz, the damping ratio is chosen as 0.72 according the experience in simulation. So the proportional parameters in controller are defined in Equation (22). In the same way, the natural frequency of pitch and roll attitude in Equation (25) is guessed as 10 Hz and the damping ratio is chosen as 0.7. But the natural frequency of yaw attitude is chosen as 2 Hz and damping ratio is chosen as 0.7.

The rectangle shape trajectory is 10 m \times 15 m field with an altitude about 10 m altitude, the tracking simulation task is described as taking off tracking the rectangle shape trajectory, then landing. The results shown in **Figure 4** illustrate the trajectory tracking performance of control strategy developed in this paper. The modeling difference between plant model and dynamic inverse controller include two aspects: no motor dynamic model in controller and 10% deviation of quadrotor body inertia and mass. But we can see the proposed controller behaves perfectly in **Figure 4**, except for the altitude due to quadrotor mass error.

6. Conclusion

The analysis presented here has shown the hover and



Figure 3. The demonstrator of simulating quadrotor.



Figure 4. Illustrate the trajectory tracking performance of improved dynamic inverse strategy.

trajectory tracking capability of proposed controller. A nonlinear dynamic model of the quadrotor is provided, and a controller based on the improved dynamic inverse is synthesized for the purpose of stabilization and trajectory tracking. The proposed control strategy can balance the deviation of model inaccuracy.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Tomic, K. Schmid, P. Lutz, *et al.*, "Towards a Fully Autonomous UAV," *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2012, pp. 46-56.
- [2] H. Lim, J. Park, D. Lee and H. J. Kim, "Build Your Own Quadrotor: Open-Source Projects on Unmanned Aerial Vehicles," *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 2012, pp. 33-45.
- [3] A. Drouin, S. Simoes-Cunha, and A. C. Brandao-Ramos, "Differential Flatness and Control of Nonlinear Systems," *Proceedings of the 30th Chinese Control Conference*, 22-24 July 2011, Yantai, pp. 643-648.
- [4] M. Xin, Y. J. Xu and R. Hopkins, "Trajectory Control of Miniature Helicopters Using a Unified Nonlinear Optimal Control Technique," *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control*, Vol. 133, No. 6, 2011, 14 p.
- [5] K. T. Oner, E. Cetinsoy, M. Unel, et al., "Dynamic Model

and Control of a New Quadrotor Unmanned Aerial vehicle with Tilt-Wing Mechanism," World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 2008.

- [6] Z. Fang and W. N. Gao, "Adaptive Integral Backstepping Control of a Micro-Quadrotor," *The 2nd International Conference on Intelligent Control and Information Processing*, Harbin, 25-28 July 2011, pp. 910-915.
- [7] I. F. Kendoul and R. Lozano, "Modeling and Control of a Small Autonomous Aircraft Having Two Tilting Rotors," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, Vol. 22, No. 6, 2006, pp. 1297-1302.
- [8] K. T. Oner, E. Cetinsoy, E. Sirimoglu, et al., "Mathematical Modeling and Vertical Flight Control of a Tilt-Wing UAV," Turkish Journal of Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2012, p. 149.
- [9] A. Das, K. Subbarao and F. Lewis, "Dynamic Inversion with Zero-Dynamics Stabilisation for Quadrotor Control," *IET Control Theory Applications*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2009, pp. 303-314. http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/iet-cta:20080002
- [10] H. Shim, "Hierarchical Flight Control System Synthesis for Rotorcraft-Based Unmanned Aerial Vehicles," Doctor of Philosophy Dissertation, University Of California, Berkeley, 2000.