Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/edrv/article/29/6/677/2355000 ticular, functional genomics and biochemical studies have shown that ERR α and ERR γ operate as the primary conduits for the activity of members of the family of PGC-1 coactivators. As transcription factors, the ERRs control vast gene networks involved in all aspects of energy homeostasis, including fat and glucose metabolism as well as mitochondrial biogenesis and function. Phenotypic analyses of knockout mouse models have shown that all three ERRs are indispensable for proper development and/or survival of the organism when Š \subset . S Department ਼ੁ Justice user

on

6

August

Transcriptional Control of Energy Homeostasis by the Estrogen-Related Receptors

Vincent Giguère

The Rosalind and Morris Goodman Cancer Centre and Departments of Biochemistry, Medicine, and Oncology, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3A 1A3

Transcriptional control of cellular energy metabolic pathways is achieved by the coordinated action of numerous transcription factors and associated coregulators. Several members of the nuclear receptor superfamily have been shown to play important roles in this process because they can translate hormonal, nutrient, and metabolite signals into specific gene expression networks to satisfy energy demands in response to distinct physiological cues. Estrogen-related receptor (ERR) α , ERR β , and ERR γ are nuclear receptors that have yet to be associated with a natural ligand and are thus considered as orphan receptors. However, the transcriptional activity of the ERRs is exquisitely sensitive to the presence of coregulatory proteins known to be essential for the control of energy homeostasis, and for all intents and purposes, these coregulators function as protein ligands for the ERRs. In par-

- I. Introduction
- II. The Estrogen-Related Receptors
 - A. Structural and functional features of the ERRs
 - B. ERRs interact with nuclear receptor coregulators involved in metabolic control
 - C. ERRs are expressed in tissues with high metabolic needs
- III. ERRs as Regulators of Energy Metabolism
 - A. Fatty acid oxidation
 - B. Mitochondrial biogenesis and oxidative capacity
 - C. Glucose metabolism
 - D. Transcriptional control of metabolism
- IV. Tissue-Specific Metabolic Phenotypes of ERR-Null Mice
 - A. Abnormal lipogenesis in white adipose tissue
 - B. Brown adipose tissue and intolerance to cold temperatures
 - C. Lipid handling in the intestine
 - D. Comprehensive orchestration of heart functions by ERR α and ERR γ
 - E. Mitochondrial ROS production in bone marrow-derived macrophages
- V. ERRs, Energy Metabolism, and Human Diseases
 - A. Obesity and diabetes
 - B. Heart failure
 - C. Cancer cell metabolism
- VI. Closing Remarks

I. Introduction

NERGY HOMEOSTASIS IS the balanced regulation of L fuel intake, storage, and expenditure by an organism. Although a constant supply of energy is required to maintain

First Published Online July 29, 2008

subjected to a variety of physiological challenges. The focus of this review is on the recent and rapid advances in understanding the functions of the ERRs in regulating bioenergetic pathways, with an emphasis on their roles in the specification of energetic properties required for cell- and tissue-specific functions. (Endocrine Reviews 29: 677-696, 2008) the basal metabolic rate of the whole organism, energy is also differentially generated and used by individual cell types

and organs in response to endogenous or exogenous signals specified by various developmental and physiological needs and stimuli. Regulated control of energy production and utilization thus allows the organism to function effectively in a broad range of environmental conditions. On the other hand, disturbance of energy homeostasis over a long period of time can contribute to the etiology of many diseases such as diabetes, obesity, and heart failure. Imbalance in metabolic homeostasis may originate from nutritional deprivation or

Endocrine Reviews is published by The Endocrine Society (http:// www.endo-society.org), the foremost professional society serving the endocrine community.

Abbreviations: AF, Activation function; apo, apolipoprotein; BAT, brown adipose tissue; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; CoA, coenzyme A; COUP-TF, chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter-transcription factor; DBD, DNA-binding domain; ER, estrogen receptor; ERR, estrogen-related receptor; ERRE, ERR response element; FAO, fatty acid oxidation; FOXO1, forkhead box O1; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; GABP, GA-binding protein; HIF, hypoxia-inducible factor; HNF4 α , hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α ; IFN- γ , interferon γ ; LBD, ligand binding domain; LXR, liver X receptor; MCAD, medium-chain acyl-coenzyme A dehydrogenase; MEFs, mouse embryonic fibroblasts; NRF-2, nuclear respiratory factor-2; NRRE, nuclear receptor response element; NTD, amino terminal domain; OXPHOS, oxidative phosphorylation; PDC, pyruvate dehydrogenase complex; PDK, pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase; PEPCK, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase; PGC, PPARy coactivator; PPAR, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; RAR, retinoic acid receptor; RIP140, receptor interacting protein 140; ROS, reactive oxygen species; RXR, retinoid X receptor; SHP, small heterodimer partner; siRNA, small inhibitory RNA; SRC, steroid receptor coactivator; TCA, tricarboxylic acid cycle; TR, thyroid hormone receptor; TRP53 (or p53), transformation-related protein 53; UCP, uncoupling protein; WAT, white adipose tissue

overabundance, genetic variations and disorders, as well as from gradual loss of efficiency in control systems during the aging process. Consequently, improving our knowledge of how metabolic homeostasis can be maintained through the life of the organism and defining, at the molecular level, control mechanisms that can be manipulated to prevent and treat metabolic-related diseases are of indisputable medical significance.

Complex biochemical pathways have evolved to adequately respond to the constantly changing metabolic needs of individual cells, specific organs, or the whole organism. Homeostatic regulation is mostly under hormonal control and is achieved through allosteric control and posttranslational modifications of key metabolic enzymes for immediate responses. However, long-term adaptation requires transcriptional regulation of metabolic genes (1). Nuclear receptors, a family of 48 transcription factors in humans that respond to small lipophilic hormones, vitamins, and metabolites, possess the ability to directly regulate gene expression (2). For example, the roles of glucocorticoids and thyroid hormones and their cognate nuclear receptors in the regulation of glucose metabolism and basal metabolic rate, respectively, have been studied for decades (3). More recently, lipid metabolites such as fatty acids, hydroxy-cholesterols, and bile acids have been identified as ligands for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) isoforms (PPAR α , β , and γ , or NR1C1, NR1C2, and NR1C3), the liver X receptor α and β (LXR α and β , or NR1H3 and NR1H2), and the farnesoid X receptor (FXR, or NR1H4), respectively (4, 5). These nuclear receptors have been implicated in the regulation of gene networks involved in metabolic processes such as fatty acid oxidation (FAO), lipogenesis, thermogenesis, cholesterol homeostasis, and bile acid synthesis (1). LXR has also been proposed as an intracellular sensor for glucose (6). In contrast, several members of the superfamily of nuclear receptors still have no known natural ligands and remain classified as orphan receptors. Despite the absence of natural ligands that could link these receptors to specific functions and metabolic pathways, several orphan receptors have been directly implicated in the control of metabolism (1, 7, 8). For example, the chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter-transcription factor 1 (COUP-TF 1 or NR2F1) influences the expression of Pck1, the gene encoding phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) (9), hepatocyte nuclear factor 4α (HNF4 α or NR2A1) affects the expression of several genes involved in glucose, fatty acid, and cholesterol metabolism (10), and members of the NR4A subfamily (NGFI-B or NR4A1, Nurr1 or NR4A2, and NOR-1 or NR4A3) regulate energy metabolism in response to sympathetic nervous system signaling (8). Thus, orphan nuclear receptors may occupy a position equal to their liganded counterparts in the regulation of metabolic processes.

This review will focus on a specific class of orphan nuclear receptors known as the estrogen-related receptors (ERRs). A large body of recent work has established that the ERRs play a surprisingly central role in regulating energy metabolism. First, the ERRs are expressed in tissues associated with lipid metabolism and high energy demands; second, their transcriptional activity is highly dependent on the presence of nuclear receptor coregulators implicated in the control of complex metabolic programs; third, genetic studies in mice revealed that their presence is essential for the generation of energy and related tissue-specific functions; and fourth, functional genomics/proteomics studies have associated ERRs with the control of vast metabolic gene networks, in particular with those involved in mitochondrial biogenesis and function. Herein, a brief overview of the key features of members of the ERR subfamily is first provided. Then, the review describes how identification of target genes and phenotypic analyses of ERR-null mice linked the ERRs to the control of energy metabolism. Finally, the potential relevance of regulating ERRs activity in human diseases is discussed.

II. The Estrogen-Related Receptors

The ERR subfamily represents the archetype of orphan nuclear receptors. Several comprehensive reviews have described the structural and functional features of the ERRs as well as their potential interactions with estrogen signaling pathways (5, 11–16). The next sections will thus only highlight the attributes of ERRs necessary to understand how these receptors, acting as transcription factors, can regulate energy metabolism.

A. Structural and functional features of the ERRs

The first two members of the family, ERR α and ERR β (NR3B1 and NR3B2), were identified from kidney and heart in a screen designed to clone steroid hormone receptors closely related to the estrogen receptor (ER) α (NR3A1) (17). However, it soon became apparent that the two new members of the superfamily of nuclear receptors did not possess the ability to bind a broad range of known steroid hormones or their derivatives and were thus recognized as the first orphan nuclear receptors. The third member of the ERR subfamily, ERR γ (NR3C3), was identified a decade later as the last member of the superfamily (18–20). ERR α and ERR γ can then be considered as the two bookends of the superfamily of nuclear receptors. In addition to the main three isoforms, several splice variants of ERR β and ERR γ have been identified in humans (12). It is currently unknown whether these spliced variants play specific roles in tissues in which they are expressed. All ERR isoforms share the characteristic structural features of nuclear receptors, including a nonconserved amino terminal domain (NTD), a central DNA-binding domain (DBD) composed of two zinc finger motifs, and a functional ligand-binding domain (LBD) embedding docking sites for nuclear receptor coregulators (Fig. 1A).

1. The amino terminal domain. The NTD of nuclear receptors is a nonconserved and unstructured region that can contain an activation function (AF)-1 and is often subjected to posttranslational modifications. In contrast to other members of the superfamily, the three ERR isoforms share considerable amino acid identity in their NTDs, suggesting an important role for the domain in the regulation of ERR transcriptional activities. Functional characterization of the ERRs has yet to clearly identify a functional AF-1 within the NTD, but its posttranslational modification can affect ERR transcriptional



FIG. 1. ERR α and its main partners in gene regulation. A, Schematic representation of ERR α depicting its functional domains. The NTD is a site for posttranslational modifications, the DBD contains two zinc finger domains that recognize the consensus ERRE, and the LBD possesses a functional ligand binding pocket and an AF-2 (blue) that interacts with the coactivator PGC-1 α and corepressor RIP140. B, Linear representation of PGC-1 α with its activation domain (green) and its RNA binding and splicing domains (red). The location of the three LxxLL nuclear receptor recognition motifs is indicated by L1, L2, and L3. C, RIP140 is shown with its four repression domains (gray) and 10 putative LxxLL nuclear receptor recognition motifs. HDAC, Histone deacetylase. D, Model of ERR α -mediated gene regulation. The receptor recognizes target genes via binding to an ERRE located in a regulatory region located in the promoter or at a distant site. ERR α interacts either with PGC-1 α or RIP140 to activate or repress gene transcription. Additional complexes (not shown) are presumably required to actively repress or enhance transcription of the target gene.

activities. Serine residues 19 and 22 in ERR α are phosphorylated, and mutation of serine 19 to a nonphosphorylatable alanine residue increases the transcriptional activity of the receptor in response to the presence of coactivators (21, 22). Phosphorylation of serine 19 is required for sumoylation of lysine 14, and mutation of serine 19 to an arginine residue also leads to an increase in ERR α activity. Indeed, the NTD of both ERR α and ERR γ contains a functional phospho-

sumoyl switch motif (21, 23). This motif, ψ KxEPxSP, is embedded within a larger synergy control motif that modulates higher order interactions among transcription factors (24). Accordingly, the increased transcriptional activity of the sumoylation-deficient ERR α mutants is more pronounced in the presence of multiple ERR binding sites (21). Although the precise signals modulating ERR phosphorylation and sumoylation remain to be identified, the observation that PPAR γ is the only other member of the nuclear receptor superfamily to possess a phospho-sumoyl switch motif within its NTD suggests a putative link between phosphorylation-dependent sumoylation and transcriptional control of energy metabolism by nuclear receptors.

2. The DNA binding domain. Nuclear receptors recognize short DNA sequences, referred to as hormone response elements, located in both distal and proximal regulatory regions of target genes. The receptors bind DNA as monomer, homodimer, or heterodimer entities. Each monomeric unit recognizes a short six base pairs core motif, and binding specificity is imparted by both the primary sequence of the core motifs and the spacing between the two motifs. Monomeric binding by nuclear receptors requires the recognition of three to six additional nucleotides adjacent to the core half-site. Unbiased binding site sequence identification showed that ERR α recognizes the consensus sequence TCAAGGTCA, a single core motif preceded by three nucleotides (25). Bioinformatics analysis of a large number of ERR target promoters identified through genome-wide chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-on chip assays recently confirmed the presence of this motif at most ERR binding regions (26). However, the ERRs have the ability to bind to this sequence, referred to as the ERR response element (ERRE), as a monomer or a homodimer or as heterodimeric complexes composed of two distinct ERR isoforms (25-31). The exact molecular mechanism by which ERR dimers can bind to a single core half-site instead of two has yet to be elucidated. It has also been shown that the ERRs can bind in vitro to the same hormone response element as the ER (32–34). It is currently unknown whether recognition of the estrogen response element by the ERRs has physiological significance in regard to metabolic control or other biological functions.

3. An orphan receptor with a functional LBD. The LBD of the ERRs contains a well-conserved AF-2 helix motif. The conformation of the AF-2 helix, either in the active or inactive state, is usually dictated by the presence of the natural ligand (35). However, elucidation of the crystal structures of ERR α and ERR γ LBDs showed that in the absence of a ligand, the AF-2 helix of both receptors is positioned in the active configuration (36–39). Thus, the ERRs have the capacity to interact with coactivators in a ligand-independent manner. This observation suggests that the relative concentration of a coactivator in a given tissue might be sufficient to dictate the transactivation potential of these receptors. On the other hand, crystal structure studies also revealed that the LBD contains a flexible ligand-binding pocket that, although quite small (\sim 100 Å) in the unliganded state (36, 38), can be rearranged to accommodate synthetic molecules that occupy much larger volumes (37, 39). In agreement with these observations, the presence of several synthetic molecules has been shown to inhibit the constitutive transcriptional activity of the ERRs. For example, the synthetic estrogen agonist diethylstilbestrol inhibits the activity of all three ERR isoforms, whereas the selective ER modulator 4-hydroxytamoxifen negatively affects the activity of only ERR β and ERR γ (40–42). Compounds with better specificity against the ERRs have recently been identified. XCT790 acts as a specific inverse agonist for ERR α , whereas GSK5182, a derivative of 4-hydroxytamoxifen, displayed improved inverse agonist selectivity for ERR γ (43–45). Although the ERRs show constitutive transcriptional activity, the identification of two structurally related phenolic acyl hydrazone compounds, DY131 and GSK4716, with weak but specific agonist activity toward ERR β and ERR γ has also been reported (46, 47). ERR transcriptional activity can thus be inhibited or stimulated by pharmacological agents. The presence of a functionally conserved LBD also indicates a high probability that the transcriptional activity of the ERRs is controlled *in vivo* by one or more natural ligands.

B. ERRs interact with nuclear receptor coregulators involved in metabolic control

The transcriptional activity of nuclear receptors is dependent on the presence of coregulatory proteins. More than 200 nuclear receptor coactivator and corepressor proteins have been identified to date, each one displaying different patterns of expression, enzymatic activity, and specificity of interactions with members of the superfamily of nuclear receptors as well as with other classes of transcription factors (48, 49). A subset of nuclear receptor coregulators have been shown to modulate specific metabolic programs (50). In particular, PPAR γ coactivator (PGC)-1 α and PGC-1 β have been shown to play essential roles in mitochondrial biogenesis, fuel intake, FAO, adaptive thermogenesis, gluconeogenesis, circadian activity, and production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (51-61). Genetic studies in mice have demonstrated that steroid receptor coactivator (SRC)-1, -2, and -3 regulate hepatic metabolism, fat storage, and energy balance (62–65). Similarly, the absence of the corepressor known as receptor interacting protein 140 (RIP140 or NRIP1) in mice leads to reduced body weight and fat content as well as an increase in oxidative metabolism in skeletal muscle (66-68). The *Nrip1*-null mice also display a higher glucose tolerance and insulin responsiveness when challenged with a high-fat diet (69). The three classes of coregulators have been shown to interact with one or more ERR isoform. SRC-1, -2, and -3 were first demonstrated to interact with the LBD of ERR and stimulate the transcriptional activity of ERR α and ERR β when cointroduced in mammalian cells (70), whereas ERR γ was originally identified in a yeast two-hybrid screen aimed at cloning proteins interacting with SRC-2 (20). The ERRs also directly interact with PGC-1 α and PGC-1 β via their AF-2 domains and sequence-specific leucine-rich nuclear receptor interacting motifs (LxxLL) in the PGC-1 proteins (58, 71–75) (Fig. 1B). PGC-1 α contains three distinct LXXLL-like motifs, but only motifs 2 and 3 have been shown to mediate the interaction with nuclear receptors. Motif 2 is recognized by a large number of nuclear receptors, including the PPARs,

whereas motif 3, an atypical reverse LXXLL motif (LLKYL), interacts exclusively with the ERRs (71, 73). Indeed, the atypical LLKYL motif 3 and surrounding amino acid residues make specific contacts with the ERR α LBD that involve helices 4, 8, and 9, contacts that cannot be made with ER α (76). The specific allosteric role of helices 8 and 9 in ERR α /PGC-1 α interaction may explain the previous observations that DNA binding and ERR α homodimerization affect PGC-1 α binding to and the transcriptional activity of ERR α (27, 29, 77, 78). The activity of the ERRs as transcription factors is generally inhibited through physical interaction with RIP140, although activation resulting from this interaction has also been observed in ERR isoform- and promoter-specific manners (79-82). RIP140 contains nine LXXLL motifs and one atypical LXXML motif (Fig. 1C). The precise motifs responsible for interaction with the ERRs have not been delineated, but distinct regions in RIP140 have been shown to bind the ERRs. PGC-1 α and RIP140 thus compete for interaction with the ERRs for positive and negative control of gene expression (Fig. 1D).

C. ERRs are expressed in tissues with high metabolic needs

At least one ERR isoform is expressed in every tissue examined to date (83). ERR α is ubiquitously expressed and found in more abundant quantities than the two other isoforms (Fig. 2). In particular, high levels of ERR α can be detected in tissues with high metabolic needs such as the heart, kidneys, intestinal tract, skeletal muscle, and brown adipose tissue (BAT) (17, 25, 83, 84). Indeed, anatomical profiling of nuclear receptor expression in 39 different tissues showed that ERR α segregated with tissues involved in lipid metabolism and energy homeostasis (83). ERR α is also expressed throughout the adipocyte differentiation program (85) and in bone-derived macrophages activated by lipopolysacchararide or interferon γ (IFN- γ) (58, 86). ERR β and ERR γ show more restricted expression patterns and are, in general, expressed at lower levels than those of ERR α . However, both ERR β and ERR γ are present in abundance in the heart and kidneys, and their expression patterns segregate with tissues associated with basal metabolic functions (83). All three ERR isoforms are widely expressed in the central



FIG. 2. Distribution of mRNA expression for ERR α , ERR β , and ERR γ according to a functional grouping of tissues. The data are adapted from Bookout *et al.* (83). Levels of expression are represented as: A, absent; L, low; M, medium; H, high.

nervous system (87). In particular, ERR α and ERR γ can be found at high levels in the hypothalamus, an anatomical region of the brain known to play an important role in basic body homeostasis (87). Finally, light/dark-dependent oscillations in ERR isoform expression in key metabolic tissues suggest a role for these orphan receptors in linking the peripheral circadian clock with specific metabolic outputs (88). ERR β was shown to be rhythmically expressed in the liver, BAT, white adipose tissue (WAT), and skeletal muscle (88). In contrast, ERR α and ERR γ expression selectively oscillates in WAT and liver and BAT and liver, respectively. When taken in combination, the expression of ERR isoforms in highly oxidative tissues and the cyclic behavior of ERR transcripts in metabolic tissues led to the suggestion that the ERRs may operate as molecular links between the circadian oscillator and energy metabolism (88).

Little is known about the mechanisms and signals governing the expression of the three ERRs. ERR α expression is stimulated by physiological stimuli such as exposure to cold temperatures, exercise, and fasting, in a pattern parallel to that of PGC-1 α (73, 84, 89). Indeed, the *ESRRA* promoter encodes a PGC-1 α /ERR α -responsive autoregulatory element that can also be recognized and activated by ERR β and ERR γ (26, 72, 90, 91). Induction of PGC-1 α expression by physiological stimuli is thus believed to increase the transcriptional activity of the ERRs, leading to higher expression of ERR α and other ERR target genes in a positive feedforward mechanism.

III. ERRs as Regulators of Energy Metabolism

In the absence of a natural ligand linking the ERRs to biological functions, identification of target genes initially offered a powerful alternative approach to identifying physiological processes under the transcriptional control of the ERRs. Indeed, the identification and characterization of the first ERR target genes helped establish the concept of ERRs as key regulators of energy metabolism.

A. Fatty acid oxidation

Medium-chain acyl-coenzyme A dehydrogenase (MCAD, Acadm) is an enzyme that mediates the first step in the mitochondrial β -oxidation of fatty acids (92). The levels of MCAD expression dictate the rate of tissue FAO and are thus closely regulated by tissue energy demands. Accordingly, tissues that preferentially utilize fatty acids as energy substrates such as the heart and kidneys express the highest MCAD levels (93). FAO also provides the reducing equivalents for the mitochondrial uncoupling reaction involved in the dissipation of energy as heat, and consequently high levels of MCAD can also be found in BAT (94). Initial studies on the regulatory pathways controlling the expression of MCAD led to the identification of a complex nuclear receptor response element referred to as NRRE-1, located in the proximal region of the promoter driving its expression (95–97). This element was shown to be essential for the proper expression of a reporter gene in the heart and BAT of transgenic mice and for the transcriptional induction of the gene during brown adipocyte differentiation in vitro (98). Although the MCAD NRRE-1 could confer transcriptional activation by the retinoic acid receptor (RAR)/retinoid X receptor (RXR) complex (99), PPAR α (100), HNF-4 α (97), or repression by COUP-TF I and II (96), the endogenous nuclear receptors responsible for modulation of MCAD expression in tissues with high energy needs were not known. As described above, identification of the ERRE as the consensus sequence TCAAGGTCA led to the realization that an ERRE was embedded within the NRRE-1 element and that, when isolated, the element could impart ERR α responsiveness to a heterologous promoter (25). Further experiments using an EMSA and a specific ERR α antibody demonstrated that endogenous ERR α , present in nuclear extracts prepared from brown adipocytes in culture, was the main nuclear protein binding to the NRRE-1 (25, 101). The same approach was later used to show that ERR α present in the liver, heart, and kidneys of mice also binds to the Acadm promoter (102). The recruitment of ERR α at the *Acadm* promoter *in vivo* was also confirmed by ChIP assays using chromatin obtained from mouse hearts (26). Introduction of PGC-1 α , but not of a mutant protein lacking functional L2 and L3 motifs, induced MCAD expression, an effect also abolished by a small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting ERR α (73). On the other hand, elevated expression of MCAD in myotubes devoid of RIP140 can be decreased by the ERR α inverse agonist XCT790 (68). A direct effect of ERR α on mitochondrial FAO was observed in rat neonatal cardiac myocytes and mouse embryonic fibroblasts devoid of ERR α (103). In both cell types, overexpression of ERR α led to a significant increase in palmitate oxidation. Taken together, the identification of ERR α as a transcriptional regulator of *Acadm*, the observation that ERR α and MCAD were coexpressed in tissues with high energy needs, and the direct effect of ERR α on FAO provided the initial evidence of a strong link between the metabolic potential of a tissue and the presence and transcriptional activity of ERR α .

B. Mitochondrial biogenesis and oxidative capacity

As described above, ERR α and PGC-1 α are not only coexpressed in tissues with high energy demands, but PGC-1 α also strongly activates the transcriptional activity of ERR α . Taken together, these observations led to the hypothesis that the regulation of key metabolic processes by PGC-1 α , such as mitochondrial biogenesis and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), could be transduced by ERR α . Accordingly, Schreiber *et al.* (104) used an adenovirus to introduce PGC-1 α in SAOS2 cells and monitored changes in gene expression by high-density oligonucleotide microarrays. Expression of PGC-1 α was associated with the up-regulation of 151 genes encoding mitochondrial proteins involved in FAO, the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA), and OXPHOS, as well as in the mitochondrial ribosomal machinery and transport across the mitochondrial membrane. PGC-1 α induction of genes encoding mitochondrial proteins was accompanied by an increase in mitochondrial DNA content, an effect that could either be blocked by an siRNA against ERR α or mimicked by the introduction of a transcriptionally constitutive ERR α -VP16 chimeric construct. These tools were also used to validate the requirement for ERR α in the induction of several

nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes by PGC-1 α , including *Cycs*, *Atp5b*, and *Idh3a*.

Using a similar approach but applying different diagnostic tools to analyze the data, Mootha et al. (90) performed expression profiling of C2C12 myoblast cells differentiated into myotubes and transduced with an adenovirus expressing PGC-1 α . A large proportion of the 649 genes up-regulated by PGC-1 α were also linked to carbohydrate metabolism and mitochondrial functions. The use of a novel motif-finding algorithm led to the discovery that a significant number of promoters contained binding sites for ERR α . Treatment of C2C12 cells with the ERR α inverse agonist XCT790 abolished the activity of the ERR α /PGC-1 α complex on a subset of promoters containing an ERRE, diminished the PGC-1 α induction of MCAD expression, and reduced total mitochondrial respiration induced by PGC-1 α . An extended role for ERR α in mitochondrial biogenesis had also been previously proposed by Mootha et al. (105) based on their analysis of a large-scale proteomic survey of mitochondrial proteins and integration of this data set with known gene expression profiles. In that study, it was observed that ERR α expression was tightly correlated with genes whose products reside in or are in close association with the mitochondrion.

The strategy to use PGC-1 α as a surrogate ligand to activate endogenous ERRs was refined further by engineering a PGC-1 α mutant that specifically recognizes ERR α (106). Introduction of this PGC-1 α mutant in HepG2 cells and subsequent analysis of the modified gene expression profile confirmed that ERR α is a key regulator of OXPHOS and other related energy metabolism pathways. Adenovirus-mediated PGC-1 α expression in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) derived from wild-type and ERR α -null mice was also used to assess ERR α -dependent gene expression profiles (107). As previously observed, genes involved in mitochondrial function were among transcripts differentially expressed in ERR α -null cells. In addition, it was shown that PGC-1 α failed to induce citrate synthase activity, a key indicator of mitochondrial activity, in the absence of ERR α .

Genome-wide location analysis of ERR α and ERR γ binding sites in proximal promoters also led to the identification of a large number of genes under potential regulation by the ERRs (26, 58, 108). The ERR-bound regions were identified using a custom-made mouse genomic DNA array containing the region spanning 800 base pairs upstream and 200 base pairs downstream of transcriptional start sites of approximately 19,000 genes. Gene ontology analysis of the genes associated with ERR-bound promoters revealed that a significantly large number of these genes encode mitochondrial proteins (Table 1). This gene list included previously validated ERR targets such as Acadm, Atp5b, Cycs, and Idh3a, whereas a direct role for ERRs in the regulation of other genes such as Aco2, Mtch2, and Timm8b was shown in these studies, suggesting that the vast majority of ERR binding sites found in proximal promoters are functional. A survey of the list of ERR target genes (Table 1) shows that the ERRs may control most aspects of mitochondrial functions, including FAO (e.g., Acadm, Mylcd), the TCA cycle (e.g., Fh1, Sdhd), OXPHOS (e.g., *Cycs*, *Ndfus1*), ATP production (*e.g.*, *Atp5b*, *Atp5g3*), and export (e.g., Slc25a4, encoding Ant1), as well as transcription of mitochondrial genome-encoded genes (e.g., Tfb2m) and the

Giguère • ERRs and Energy Metabolism

translation apparatus (*e.g.*, *Mrpl19*) (Fig. 3). Unpublished work from our laboratory using promoter arrays covering as much as 8 kilobases of DNA centered around the transcriptional start sites of genes shows that the ERRs may directly regulate the expression of close to half of the mitochondrial proteome. ERR α is also involved in the control of the biogenesis and maintenance of the mitochondrial network though regulation of the levels of mitofusin 1 and 2 (89, 109). The mitofusins are mitochondrial membrane proteins that participate in mitochondrial fusion and contribute to the maintenance and operation of the organelle. The *MFN2* promoter contains a conserved ERRE and is activated by ERR α in the presence of PGC-1 α (89).

The studies cited above clearly established a direct involvement of ERR α in mitochondrial biogenesis. ERR α , working in concert with ERR γ , responds to induced levels of PGC-1 α by up-regulating the expression of other factors such as PPAR α and GA binding protein α (GABPA), as well as its own (Fig. 4). However, the observation that ERR α -null mice are viable and MEFs derived from these animals display normal growth and proliferation demonstrates that ERR α by itself is not absolutely essential for this process (72, 110). In addition, it was shown that overexpression of PGC-1 α in ERR α -null MEFs could still induce FAO in those cells, suggesting that another PGC-1 α partner is able to promote mitochondrial functions (103). In fact, PGC-1 α itself is not absolutely essential for mitochondrial biogenesis (57). Because ERR α and ERR γ (and most probably ERR β) can share the same target genes (26), it is likely that genetic and functional redundancies between ERR isoforms are responsible for the lack of an overt mitochondrial phenotype in the absence of ERR α .

C. Glucose metabolism

Cellular glucose utilization is tightly controlled. One key step in this process is the regulation of pyruvate entry into the TCA cycle by the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC). The PDC, a large molecule containing 60 subunits of three different enzymes, catalyzes the irreversible decarboxylation of pyruvate to acetyl coenzyme A (CoA). The activity of the PDC is controlled by both allosteric regulation of fatty acid intermediates of FAO and posttranslational modifications. In particular, PDC can be reversibly phosphorylated by members of the pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) 1-4 family, a modification that inactivates the enzymatic complex. Inhibition of the PDC thus supports a switch from glucose oxidation to FAO. Because glucose oxidation and FAO pathways can be controlled by shared regulatory mechanisms, Wende et al. (111) explored the possibility that PGC-1 α could influence glucose oxidation via transcriptional control of PDK4 expression. Indeed, introduction of PGC-1 α in C2C12 myotubes was shown to induce its expression. Surprisingly, neither PPAR α nor forkhead box O1 (FOXO1), two transcription factors previously implicated in the regulation of PDK4 and known partners of PGC-1 α , were required for the induction. Rather, PGC-1 α was shown to activate the *Pdk4* promoter via a nuclear receptor binding site occupied by ERR α , whereas the PGC-1 α effect was lost in MEFs derived from ERR α -null mice. Similarly, introduction

TABLE 1.	Genes	encoding	mitochondrial	proteins	whose	proximal	promoter	regions	are	bound by	$7 \text{ ERR}\alpha$	and/or	ERR	y
----------	-------	----------	---------------	----------	-------	----------	----------	---------	-----	----------	-----------------------	--------	-----	---

Gene	Official full name	Function	ERRE	Position	Dir	Ref.
Acadm	Acetyl-CoA dehydrogenase, medium chain	Fatty acid oxidation	TAAAGGTCA	-557	F	25, 26, 44, 90, 101, 102, 103, 104, 106, 108, 110,
Aco2 Atp5b	Aconitase 2, mitochondrial ATP synthase, H+ transporting mitochondrial F1 complex, e-subput	TCA ATP synthesis	TCAAGGTCA CCAAGGACA	$-225 \\ -325$	R F	26, 58, 104, 106, 108, 151 26, 58, 106,108, 151, 158
Atp5c1	ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F1 complex, γ	ATP synthesis	TCAAGGTCA ACAAGGTCA	$\substack{+198\\+246}$	R F	26, 58, 104
Atp5d	polypeptide 1 ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F1 complex, δ subunit	ATP synthesis	CACGGGTCA	+291	R	58
Atp5f1	ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F0 complex, subunit b, isoform 1	ATP synthesis	ATTAGGTCA TCGGGGTCA	$^{+308}_{-1}$	F F	26
Atp5g1	ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F0 complex, subunit c (subunit 9), isoform 1	ATP synthesis	ACAGGGACA AGAAGGACA	$^{+7}_{-208}$	F R	26, 104
Atp5g3	ATP synthase, H+ transporting,	ATP synthesis	AGAAGGACA AAAAGGTCA TCAAGGTCA	$^{-156}_{+267}$ $^{-75}$	R R R	26, 58, 104
	(subunit 9), isoform 3		TTAAGGTCA	+215	R	
Atp5k	ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F1F0 complex, subunit	ATP synthesis	CGAAGGTCA	+1	F	58
Cabc1	chaperone, ABC1 activity of bc1 complex homolog	Electron transfer	TTAAGGACA	-48	F	26
Ccdc90b	Coiled-coil domain containing 90B	Unknown	CTAGGGACA	-792	R	58
Ckmt2	Creatine kinase, mitochondrial 2	Phosphocreatine metabolism	TCAAGGTCA TAAAGGACA	-7 +60 +97	к F F	26, 101, 118, 110, 158
Clybl Coq7	Citrate lyase β like Demethyl-Q 7	Hydrocarbon metabolism Ubiquinone biosynthesis	CAGAGGTCA TCAGGGTGA	$^{+176}_{+9}$	R R	26, 104, 108 26, 58, 104, 108
Cox5b	Cytochrome c oxidase, subunit Vb	Respiratory chain	TGGAGGTCA TAAAGGTCA	$^{+195}_{-553}$	F F P	58, 90, 108
Cox6c	Cytochrome c oxidase, subunit VIc	Respiratory chain	TCAAGGTCA	$^{+353}_{+440}$	R	26, 104, 108
Cox7a2 Cox7b	Cytochrome c oxidase, subunit VIIa 2 Cytochrome c oxidase subunit VIIb	Respiratory chain Respiratory chain	GGAGGGTCA TATAGGTCA	$^{+258}_{-26}$	F F R	58 106, 108
Cox7c Cox8a	Cytochrome c oxidase subunit VIIc Cytochrome c oxidase, subunit VIIIa	Respiratory chain Respiratory chain	TGAAGGTCA TCAAGGTCA	$+413 \\ -834$	F	108, 153 26, 108
Cox8b	Cytochrome c oxidase, subunit VIIIb	Respiratory chain	CCAAGGTCA AGAAGGACA AGAAGGACA	$^{+71}_{-872}$	R F F	26, 103, 104, 158
Cpt1b	Carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1b, muscle	Fatty acid metabolism	nonnoonon	-754	I	108, 151, 158
Cpt2 Cycs	Carnitine palmitoyltransferase 2 Cytochrome c, somatic	Fatty acid metabolism Respiratory chain	TAGAGGACA TAAAGGTCA	$\begin{array}{c} -896 \\ -494 \end{array}$	F F	26, 108 26, 58, 103, 104, 106, 108, 110, 151, 158
Cyp27a1	Cytochrome P450, family 27, subfamily A, polypeptide 1	Cholesterol metabolism	TGAGGGACA	-363	F	26, 108
D10Ertd322e	DNA segment, Chr 10, ERATO Doi	Translation	TGCAGGTCA GCAAGGTCA	$\begin{array}{r} -339 \\ +236 \end{array}$	F R	26
Endog	Endonuclease G	DNA metabolism	CTAGGGTCA	-307	R	26
Etfb	Electron-transfer-flavoprotein, β polypeptide	Fatty acid catabolism	AGAGGGTCA	$^{+82}_{+37}$	R F	26, 104, 108
Etfdh	Electron-transferring-flavoprotein dehydrogenase	Fatty acid catabolism	GTCGGGTCA TCCGGGTCA	$\substack{+188\\-69}$	R R	26, 103, 104, 106, 158
Fh1 Got2	Fumarate hydratase 1 Glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase 2, mitochondrial	Tricarboxylic acid cycle Amino acid metabolism	CAAAGGTCA TGAAGGTCA ACAGGGACA	$^{+326}_{+172}_{-451}$	F R F	26, 104 26, 58
Hdhd3	Haloacid dehalogenase-like hydrolase	Metabolism	CTCGGGTCA CGGAGGTCA TTAAGGTCA	$-345 \\ -162 \\ -195$	F R R	26
Hk2	Hexokinase 2	Glucose metabolism	CCAAGGTCA	-587	R	26, 158
Hspa9a Idh3a	Heat shock protein 9 Isocitrate dehydrogenase 3 (NAD+)	Protein folding Tricarboxylic acid cycle	ACTGGGTCA TCAAGGTCA TCAAGGTCA	$^{+177}_{-292}$ +221	К R F	58 58, 104, 106
Isca1	$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}$ Iron-sulfur cluster assembly 1	Respiratory chain	TGAGGGTCA GCAAGGTCA	$+304 \\ -141$	F F	58
	nomolog (S. cerevisiae)		CACAGGTCA	-133	F	

TABLE 1. —continued

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/edrv/article/29/6/677/2355000 by U.S. Department of Justice user on 16 August 2022

Gene	Official full name	Function	ERRE	Position	Dir	Ref.
Iscu	Iron-sulfur cluster scaffold homolog $(E - c - b)$	Respiratory chain	GGAAGGTCA	-845	F	26
Me3	(E. coll) Malic enzyme 3, NADP(+)- dependent, mitochondrial	Tricarboxylic acid cycle	TGAGGGTCA	-559	R	26, 104
Mtan	Motovin 2	Protoin transport	CCAAGGTCA	$^{+61}_{+277}$	R	96
Mtx2 Mrpl11	Metaxin 2 Mitochondrial ribosomal protein L11	Translation	TTTGGGTCA	+377 +14	r R	20 58
	hinoulonalia incoconal protoni 211	Transfation	ACAAGGACA	+314	R	00
Mrpl19	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein L19	Translation	TTTAGGTCA	-546	R	58
Mrpl34	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein L34	Translation	TCCGGGTCA	+1	R	26
Mm147	Mitashandrial ribasamal protain I 47	Translation	GGCGGGGTCA	+22	R F	59
Mrpi47	Mitochonuriai ribosomai protein L47	Translation	ACGAGGTCA	$^{-490}_{+4}$	r R	50
			GCAAGGTCA	+239	R	
Mrps18b	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein	Translation	GCCGGGACA	-537	\mathbf{F}	26
Mtch2	Mitochondrial carrier homolog 2	Transport	GCAAGGTCA	-188	R	26, 103, 104
M6-9	Mit-frain 9	Mitaahan duial faaian	TCAGGGTCA	-179	F	80, 100
MfnZ	Mitorusin 2	Mitochondrial fusion	ACACCCTCA	-559 -461	F	89, 109
Mlvcd	Malonyl-CoA decarboxylase	Fatty acid oxidation	TCAAGGTCA	+923	F	26
Ndufa4	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1	Respiratory chain	CCAGGGTCA	-284	Ŕ	26, 104
,	α subcomplex, 4	1 0				
Ndufa5	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1 α subcomplex, 5	Respiratory chain	ATGAGGTCA	-387	R	58
	<u>r</u> , , ,		TGGAGGTCA	+172	F	
Ndufa8	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1	Respiratory chain	TCAAGGTCA	-980	R	26, 104
Ndufa9	α subcomplex, 8 NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1	Respiratory chain	AAAGGGTCT	-301	F	26, 58, 104
1100/00	α subcomplex, 9	nespiratory chain	inniddafol	001	-	20, 00, 101
			GCGGGGGTCA	-38	R	
NJUGO	NADU dahudmaganaga (uhiguinana) 1	Pospinatowy abain	GAAAGGTCA	+122	R F	26
Naujo2	β subcomplex. 2	Respiratory cham	GIAAGGICA	-200	г	28
	P		CAGGGGACA	-73	R	
Ndufb4	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1	Respiratory chain	TCAAGGTCA	-436	\mathbf{F}	26, 58, 104
	β subcomplex, 4		TCAACCTCA	270	D	
			TGAAGGTCA	-56	F	
Ndufb5	NADH dehvdrogenase (ubiquinone) 1	Respiratory chain	GCAAGGTCA	-525	F	26, 58, 104, 108
, ,	$\hat{\beta}$ subcomplex, 5	r J				-,,-,
			ACGAGGTCA	-290	F	
N.J. fo 1	NADU debudregenege (ubiquinene)	Pospinatowy abain	CCAAGGTCA	+204	R F	96 59 104 109
Naujsi	Fe-S protein 1	Respiratory cham	IAAAGGICA	-549	г	20, 58, 104, 108
	100 protoni 1		GAGGGGTCA	+66	F	
			CATAGGTCA	+196	\mathbf{F}	
Ndufs2	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone)	Respiratory chain	GGGAGGTCA	-91	R	26
	Fe-S protein 2		CAGGGGTCA	+206	R	
			CCTGGGTCA	+200 +224	R	
Ndufs3	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone)	none) Respiratory chain	GCACGGTCA	-336	R	58, 104, 158
	Fe-S protein 3		~~			
			GCAAGGACA	+378	R	
			CCAAGGACA	$+394 \\ +499$	R	
Ndufs7	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone)	Respiratory chain	AGCGGGTCA	-96	F	26, 58, 108
,,	Fe-S protein 7	r of states				-,,
NT 1 C 1		D : / I :	TATAGGTCA	+154	F	22
Ndufv1	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone)	Respiratory chain	CCAGGUTCA	-186	R	26
	navoprotein 1		GACGGGTCA	+65	F	
Pdk4	Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase,	Glucose metabolism	ACAAGGACA	-360	F	26, 104, 110, 111, 112, 113
D. (1.1	isozyme 4		agaaama	0.00	D	22
Rtn4ıp1	Reticulon 4 interacting protein 1	Metabolism	CCCGGGTCA	-263	R	26
Sdha	Succinate debydrogenase complex	Tricarboxylic acid cycle	CGAAGGTCA	+202	F	26 58 106 158
Santa	subunit A, flavoprotein		oannaaron	. 202	-	20, 00, 100, 100
Sdhb	Succinate dehydrogenase complex,	Tricarboxylic acid cycle	CAGAGGTCA	-88	\mathbf{F}	26, 58, 104, 106, 158
Sdhd	Succinate dehydrogenase complex,	Tricarboxylic acid cycle	TCAAGGTCA	+13	R	26, 58, 104, 106, 108
	subunit D, integral membrane					-,,-,
S1-95-4	protein	Transa and and	TOCACCTCA	901	F	00 104 100 100 150
SIC25a4	(mitochondrial carrier adenine	Transport	IUGAGGIUA	-391	г	26, 104, 106, 108, 158
	nucleotide translocator), member 4					
			AGAGGGTCA	+176	\mathbf{F}	
01 or oc			TGCAGGTCA	+194	P	104 100
Slc25a29	Solute carrier family 25 (mitochondrial carrier	Transport	TUAACCTCA	-327	К	104, 108
	palmitoylcarnitine transporter).					
	member 29					
~		m · · · · · · ·	GAAGGGTCA	+297	R	
Suclg1	Succinate-CoA ligase, GDP-forming,	Tricarboxylic acid cycle	TGTGGGACA	-240	R	58, 104, 106
	a-subuiit					

TABLE	1.	-continued
-------	----	------------

Gene	Official full name	Function	ERRE	Position	Dir	Ref.
Supv3l1	Suppressor of var1, 3-like 1	Helicase	GAATGGTCA	-767	F	58
Tfb2m	Transcription factor B2, mitochondrial	Transcription	GGGAGGACA	-446	F	58
Timm8b	Translocase of inner mitochondrial membrane 8 homolog b	Protein transport	TCAAGGTCA	-104	F	26
Timm9	Translocase of inner mitochondrial membrane 9 homolog	Protein transport	GGAGGGTCA	+50	R	58
			TCAAGGTCA	+410	R	
Timm10	Translocase of inner mitochondrial membrane 10 homolog	Protein transport	TCTAGGTCA	-585	F	58
	-		TTAGGGTCA	+214	\mathbf{F}	
			TCAAGGTCA	+366	R	
Timm17a	Translocase of inner mitochondrial membrane 17 homolog a	Protein transport	TAAGGGACA	+74	R	26
			ACAAGGTCA	+233	R	
Txn2	Thioredoxin 2	Redox homeostasis	CTAGCGTCA	+2	R	104
1110020P15Rik	RIKEN cDNA 1110020P15 gene	Electron transfer	CCAAGGTCA	+200	R	58

Gene names in *bold* indicate that, in addition to $\text{ERR}\alpha$ and/or $\text{ERR}\gamma$ binding to the promoter region, modulation of $\text{ERR}\alpha$ and/or $\text{ERR}\gamma$ activity in cultured cells or *in vivo* was shown to modulate the expression of that gene. Dir, Direction; F, forward; R, reverse.

of an siRNA against ERR α in CV-1 cells prevented PGC-1 α dependent induction of the *Pdk4* promoter (112). A third study showed that both ERR α and ERR γ can activate the rat PDK4 gene promoter in hepatoma cells and that although FOXO1 was not directly involved in the response to PGC-1, it could be needed for the synergistic effects of ERR α and PGC-1 α (113). Thus, through the regulation of PDK4 expression, the ERR α /PGC-1 α complex is also involved in the regulation of energy substrate selection.

ERR α has also been shown to repress the gluconeogenic action of PGC-1 α via its effects on the promoter of the gene encoding PEPCK (84, 114). PEPCK is a key rate-limiting enzyme in hepatic gluconeogenesis that is active during periods of fasting. The expression of PEPCK is under intense hormonal regulation that involves insulin, glucagon, and glucocorticoids. The effect of these hormones is transduced via a number of transcription factors that include CCAAT/ enhancer binding proteins, cAMP-response element-binding protein, AP-1, FOXO1, and the glucocorticoid receptor as well as the orphan nuclear receptors HNF-4 α and COUP-TF1. PGC-1 α has been shown to induce PEPCK expression through interactions with HNF-4 α , FOXO1, and the glucocorticoid receptor (115–118). In contrast, ERR α , via binding to an ERRE located in the PEPCK promoter, appears to interfere with the recruitment of PGC-1 α to the proximal regulatory region of PEPCK (114). This effect is gene specific because induction of mitochondrial genes involved in the process of OXPHOS by PGC-1 α was still dependent on the presence of ERR α . Although PEPCK expression was found to be elevated in the liver of fed ERR α -null mice, blood glucose levels were normal in the fed state. This last observation highlights the complexity of the regulatory pathways controlling energy homeostasis in the whole animal and the specific roles that ERR α may play in each tissue.

D. Transcriptional control of metabolism

The ERRs not only control the expression of genes whose products are directly involved in cellular energy homeostasis but also regulate the expression of transcription factors that, on their own, can influence this process. In that capacity, the ERRs are thus initiators of extensive transcriptional regulatory networks implicated in the control of energy metabolism.

1. Nuclear receptors. As mentioned in Section II.B.C., ERR α can control its own expression via binding to a conserved regulatory region located in the promoter of the *ESRRA* gene (72, 90). Binding of both ERR α and ERR γ has been observed at this site using ChIP-based techniques, and the two receptor isoforms can activate the *ESRRA* promoter in transient transfection assays (26, 91). Interestingly, this regulatory region is polymorphic in humans and contains one to four copies of an ERRE, in addition to another ERRE located outside of the polymorphic sequence (72, 119). The transcriptional response of the *ESRRA* promoter to the presence of ERRs and PGC-1 α is directly proportional to the number of ERREs contained in the promoter, suggesting that this polymorphism may lead to differential responses to ERR/PGC-1-mediated metabolic cues in the human population (72).

Thyroid hormone is an important regulator of thermogenesis, cardiac function, and overall maintenance of energy homeostasis. In peripheral tissues, thyroid hormone can increase free fatty acid and glucose levels by enhancing lipid mobilization as well as gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis. The action of thyroid hormone in the sympathetic nervous system is essential for adaptive thermogenesis and the adrenergic response of the WAT. Thyroid hormone regulates gene expression through binding to two members of the nuclear receptor superfamily, thyroid hormone receptor (TR) α (NR1A1) and TR β (NR1A2) (120). The two receptors act as strong transcriptional repressors in the absence of the hor-



FIG. 3. ERRs regulate nuclear-encoded genes whose products are involved in all aspects of mitochondrial functions. Examples of genes whose promoters are bound by $\text{ERR}\alpha$ and $\text{ERR}\gamma$ are shown in *red boxes* according to functions or location within the mitochondrion. Metabolites and molecules involved in the generation of energy are shown within *green boxes*.

mone and as activators of gene expression in the liganded form when bound to positive thyroid hormone response elements. In particular, studies in knockout mice have shown that TR α is required for normal thermogenesis and heart function and is essential for metabolic homeostasis (121–126). Because TR α and TR β can actively regulate gene expression even in the absence of thyroid hormone, knowledge about their expression levels and factors regulating them in target tissues is particularly important. The promoter driving the expression of the TR α 1 isoform contains a perfect ERRE at position -443, which was shown to be both necessary and sufficient to mediate transcriptional activation of the promoter by ERR α (127). A subsequent study also showed that transient transfection of all three ERR isoforms leads to an increase in the activity of the TR α promoter (80). However, deletion of the ERRE at position -443 did not significantly affect the response to the three ERRs, suggesting that ERR regulation of the TR α promoter might involve other sites and mechanisms (80). TR α 1 and ERR α are both coexpressed in several tissues in which they are active, including intestine, BAT, and heart, suggesting that transcriptional control of TR α 1 expression by ERR α might be physiologically relevant. It should be noted that endogenous TR α 1 expression has yet to be reported as altered in an ERR knockout mouse model.

Like ERR α , PPAR α is highly expressed in tissues with high energy demands. PPAR α has been implicated in the control of lipid, lipoprotein, and glucose metabolism, functions that are shared with ERR α (128). As observed with MCAD, the two receptors can target the same gene for transcriptional regulation. Another reason that the biological functions of the two receptors often overlap is that ERR α has been shown to directly regulate the expression of PPAR α (103). Overexpression of ERR α in C2C12 skeletal myotubes leads to enhanced levels of PPAR α , and the PPAR α promoter contains a functional ERRE that can be activated by ERR α . Furthermore, the presence of PPAR α is required for ERR α -mediated regulation of a subset of FAO targets in MEFs. Thus, in addition to directly regulating genes involved in mitochondrial biogenesis and FAO, ERR α also acts upstream of PPAR α to enhance the control of shared target genes and indirectly by modulating genes more specific to the PPAR α pathway.

ERR α and/or ERR γ have also been shown to bind the promoter regions of RAR α (NR1B1) and RXR β (NR1B2) (26, 58). Retinoic acid is a pleiotropic regulator of development and physiology and a potent modulator of cell growth and differentiation. As a heterodimer with RXR, RAR α has been shown to regulate metabolic genes such as MCAD (25, 99), but its influence on metabolism also resides in its broad effects on the differentiation and survival of several cell types, including adipocytes (129, 130). By controlling the expression of RXR β , ERR α has the potential to indirectly influence the activity of a large number of RXR partners involved in metabolic control (131). In addition to the RARs, the RXRs act as obligatory partners for numerous nuclear receptors implicated in energy homeostasis, including PPAR α , - δ , and - γ , TR α and - γ , LXR, and FXR. RXR β is ubiquitously expressed but is found at particularly high lev-



Mitochondrial biogenesis Fatty acid oxidation Oxidative phosphorylation

FIG. 4. A model of the ERR-based transcription network controlling mitochondrial biogenesis and function. The transcriptional activity of ERR α and ERR γ (and presumably ERR β) is enhanced by constitutively present PGC-1 β or by PGC-1 α whose expression is turned on after physiological stimuli such as fasting, exercise, or exposure to cold temperatures. The expression of ERR α is then enhanced via an autoregulatory mechanism. ERR α and ERR γ then activate the expression of other transcription factors such as GABPA, PPAR α , and TBFM2, and together all these factors activate vast gene networks involved in mitochondrial biogenesis and function. RIP140 whose expression is controlled by the ERRs may then participate in a negative regulatory loop to stop the initial ERR/PGC-1 response.

els in metabolic tissues and the cardiovascular system (83). Although it remains to be shown that binding of ERR α and ERR γ to the RAR α and RXR β promoters leads to the regulation of the cognate genes, control of crucial components of the retinoid/rexinoid pathway would significantly increase the range of control that the ERRs exert on energy metabolism.

The orphan nuclear receptor small heterodimer partner (SHP, NR0B2) is an atypical nuclear receptor lacking a DBD. SHP interacts with numerous nuclear receptors, including ERR α , ERR β , and ERR γ , and inhibits their activity via a variety of mechanisms (132–135). Through its interaction with nuclear receptors and other transcription factors, SHP has been shown to modulate the expression of a large num-

ber of genes involved in metabolic processes. In particular, SHP appears to play important roles in bile acid metabolism, lipogenesis, glucose homeostasis, and steroid biosynthesis (132, 136–138). SHP has also been described as a negative regulator of energy production in BAT (134). In brown adipocytes, SHP inhibits the expression of PGC-1 α via interference with the potent transcriptional activity of ERR γ on the PGC-1 α promoter. SHP expression is positively regulated by ERR γ via binding to an atypical ERRE but apparently not by ERR α and ERR β (133). Thus, stimulation of the expression of the transcriptional repressor SHP by the ERRs provides an inhibitory feedback mechanism to control for the initial feedforward-positive PGC-1 α /ERR loop.

RIP140. As a transcriptional repressor of nuclear receptors, RIP140 plays a crucial role in metabolic regulation and skeletal muscle function (66, 68). In adipocytes, RIP140 suppresses the expression of genes involved in FAO, glucose homeostasis, and energy expenditures, and many of these genes are direct targets of ERR α (69, 139). As observed with the transcriptional repressor SHP, ERR α stimulates the expression of RIP140, thus subjecting itself to feedback inhibition (140). ERR α enhances RIP140 expression via an alternative promoter (P2) that is preferentially used during adipogenesis. ERR α activates the P2 promoter by two different mechanisms involving direct binding to an ERRE at position -650 and indirect binding through Sp1 at a GC-rich element located in the proximal promoter region. Deleting the ERRE led to a loss of responsiveness to PGC-1 α but not to ERR α , indicating that PGC-1 α was not required for induction of gene expression by ERR α at the Sp1 site. These results suggest that the sensitivity of the feedback inhibition of ERR α activity via increased expression of RIP140 may depend on the cell-specific levels of PGC-1 α and Sp1.

3. GABPA. GABPA is the DNA binding α -subunit of GABP, also known as nuclear respiratory factor-2 (NRF-2). GABPA binds DNA in the form of a heterotetramer ($\alpha 2\beta 2$) with GABPB, the non-DNA binding β -subunit (141). NRF-2 directly regulates the expression of numerous mitochondrial proteins of the respiratory chain or indirectly via induction of transcription factors controlling their expression. GABPA binding sites were found to be particularly enriched in the promoters of genes encoding mitochondrial proteins and whose levels of expression were induced in the presence of PGC-1 α in C2C12 myotubes (90). In this same study, it was found that the expression of both GABPA and ERR α was also induced by PGC-1 α . The GABPA promoter was shown to contain a functional binding site for ERR α and, concurrently, inhibition of ERR α transcriptional activity by the inverse agonist XCT790 abolished the PGC-1 α -dependent induction of GABPA in C2C12 cells. Recent genome-wide ChIP-onchip experiments not only confirmed that the GABPA promoter is a *bona fide* ERR α target *in vivo* but also identified the promoter of GABPB as an ERR α -bound region (26, 58, 108). Thus, NRF-2 and ERR α are components of a double-positive feedback loop initiated by the presence of PGC-1 α that plays an important role in mitochondrial gene expression and function.

4. *TRP53*. The tumor suppressor transformation-related protein 53 (TRP53 or p53) is known for its major role in the control of DNA repair, cell-cycle arrest, and cell death (142, 143). However, p53 is now emerging as a key regulator of genes involved in modulating mitochondrial respiration and setting the levels of ROS (144–147). It is therefore likely that p53 levels would be regulated by transcription factors known to regulate these processes. The expression of p53 is indeed down-regulated in the heart of ERR α -null mice, and both ERR α and ERR γ bind to the *Trp53* promoter *in vivo* as detected by ChIP-on-chip and standard ChIP experiments (26).

IV. Tissue-Specific Metabolic Phenotypes of ERR-Null Mice

Knockout mice for all three ERR isoforms have been generated, and the phenotypes resulting from ablation of those genes have been analyzed (108, 110, 148, 149). These mouse models cover the whole phenotypic spectrum, from embryonic lethal for the ERR β -null mice and death shortly after birth for the ERR γ -null mice to viability and fertility for the ERR α -null animals. Although phenotypic analyses of the knockout mice showed that individual ERR isoforms are not absolutely essential for mitochondrial biogenesis *in vivo*, these mice displayed metabolic phenotypes that are tissueand function-specific, and that are often essential for the survival of the organism when challenged with physiological stresses (Table 2).

A. Abnormal lipogenesis in white adipose tissue

Genetic ablation of *Esrra* resulted in ERR α -null mice that are viable and fertile with no gross anatomical alterations when housed under controlled laboratory conditions (110). The only readily apparent phenotype was reduced body weight and peripheral fat deposits. A straightforward explanation for the observed phenotype could not be obtained because no significant changes in food consumption, energy expenditure, or blood chemistry could be observed in the ERR α -null mice. However, the ERR α -null mice were also resistant to a high-fat diet-induced obesity, suggesting a complex role for ERR α in lipid metabolism at the level of the whole organism. It should be noted that these two phenotypes are not consistent with a decrease in oxidative metab-

TABLE 2. Tissue-specific phenotypes observed in ERR-null mice

olism as anticipated by the role played by ERR α as a transcriptional activator of oxidative metabolism genes. A similar inconsistent phenotype was also observed in the PGC-1 α -null mice (57), suggesting a more intricate role for the ERR α /PGC-1 α complex in the systematic regulation of energy homeostasis. In fact, the expression of the energy expenditure-promoting gene Ucp1 was shown to be increased in WAT of ERR α -null mice. It is currently unknown whether ERR α -null adipocytes exhibit an increase in respiration. Nonetheless, gene expression profiling in ERR α -null WAT also showed alterations in the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism and energy metabolism, including a reduction in the expression of Fasn, the gene encoding fatty acid synthase. Accordingly, the WAT of ERR α null mice displayed significantly decreased lipogenesis in comparison to WAT of littermate controls. Thus, the initial phenotypic analysis of the ERR α -null mouse demonstrated that ERR α was essential for normal lipid metabolism and control of metabolic genes under basal conditions. Although the basal phenotype of the ERR α -null mice is relatively mild, the availability of viable ERR α -null animals allows for the investigation of the roles played by ERR α in the response to diverse physiological stresses.

B. Brown adipose tissue and intolerance to cold temperatures

The main function of BAT is to generate heat in response to cold temperatures via adaptive thermogenesis and is, thus, essential for an active life and survival in a cold-unprotected environment (150). As indicated above, ERR α is highly expressed in BAT, and the consequences of the absence of ERR α on BAT function were thus investigated (151). The BAT of ERRα-null mice was shown to have a decreased mitochondrial mass and a reduced oxidative capacity, an observation that reinforced the crucial role that ERR α plays in this process in BAT and other oxidative tissues. The ERR α -null mice were found to be defective in nonshivering thermogenesis and thus unable to maintain proper body temperature even when exposed to moderately low temperatures. However, the inability of ERR α -null mice to maintain body temperature was not a consequence of a lack of induction of genes involved in the thermogenic program. The levels of induction of *Ucp1* and *Dio2* expression were normal in the BAT of ERR α -null

Isoform	Tissue	Phenotype	Ref.
$\mathrm{ERR}lpha$	BAT	Impaired mitochondrial biogenesis and	151
		thermogenesis	
	Heart	Abnormal bioenergetic and functional adaptation to	158
		hemodynamic stressors	
	Intestine	Lipid malabsorption	153
	Macrophages	Reduced ROS production and clearance of bacteria	58
		in response to IFN- γ	
	WAT	Reduced lipogenesis and fat content	110
$\mathrm{ERR}eta$	Placenta	Abnormal differentiation of the trophoblast lineage	149
	Inner ear	Alteration in the developmental fate of epithelial	148
		cells; defect in inner-ear fluid homeostasis	
$\mathrm{ERR}\gamma$	Heart	Electrocardiographic abnormalities; failure to switch	108
		to an oxidative gene program; increased	
		mitochondrial biogenesis	

mice upon exposure to cold, suggesting that ERR α is not directly involved in the transcriptional regulation of these genes in BAT. TR α is a more likely candidate as a regulator of the cold-induced thermogenic program, but BAT also expresses a high level of ERR γ , which could compensate for the absence of ERR α in regulating this specific gene network. In contrast, the expression of mitochondrial genes involved in energy metabolism was affected in BAT of ERR α -null mice. Together, the study of the role played by ERR α in BAT indicated once again that, in the absence of ERR α , the mitochondrial capacity is sufficient to maintain basal mitochondrial function in oxidative tissues, but that stressors that increase energy demand exceed the inherent capacity of the ERR α -null mitochondria.

C. Lipid handling in the intestine

The intestine is the primary site for the uptake and transport of alimentary fat. Furthermore, the intestine contributes significantly to total β -oxidation of fatty acids by the organism (152). ERR α is expressed in epithelial cells of the intestinal mucosa, and its levels of expression are particularly high in neonatal and weaning mice, a period in life when most of the energy is generated from fat (153). Gene expression profiling of the ERR α -null intestine revealed that several genes involved in OXPHOS were down-regulated, whereas β -oxidation activity in the small intestine was concurrently diminished, supporting an *in vivo* role for ERR α in energy production in that tissue (153). Another group of genes with altered expression levels in the ERR α -null intestine consisted of genes whose products participate in lipid digestion and absorption. This group included pancreatic lipase-related protein 2, fatty acid binding protein 1 and 2 (L-FABP and I-FABP), and apolipoprotein (apo)A-IV. Further characterization of the apoA-IV gene showed that ERR α directly binds to the well-studied ApoC-III enhancer, which is required for ERR α -dependent apoA-IV expression. In agreement with the gene expression data set, it was found that ERR α -null pups malabsorb dietary fats as indicated by a significant magnitude of steatorrhea. This work on lipid handling in the intestine demonstrated that ERR α is involved at another important step in the control of energy homeostasis.

D. Comprehensive orchestration of heart functions by $ERR\alpha$ and $ERR\gamma$

The heart has to produce a constant supply of ATP to perform its primary function as a pump. Energy demands of the heart can be met by consuming carbohydrates or fatty acids. The choice of energy substrates is governed by the developmental, physiological, and pathological status of the organism (154–157). For instance, the fetal heart predominantly uses carbohydrates as a source of energy but undergoes a postnatal shift to fatty acids to meet the increase in energy demand. In contrast, a shift from fatty acids to glucose utilization is generally observed during the pathological progression to heart failure. Functional genomics studies using a combination of ChIP-on-chip and expression profiling have shown that in cardiomyocytes, ERR α and ERR γ have the potential to regulate not only the mitochondrial programs

implicated in FAO, the TCA cycle, and OXPHOS, but also gene networks involved in the uptake and processing of energy substrates, transport of ATP across membranes, generation of the phosphocreatine pool, intracellular fuel sensing, as well as Ca^{2+} handling and contraction work (26, 108). The physiological significance of these studies first became apparent when the functional and energetic response to pressure overload-induced pathological cardiac hypertrophy was compared between wild-type and ERR α -null mice (158). The expression of ERR α is diminished in the heart of wildtype mice subjected to pressure overload. In the complete absence of ERR α in the knockout mice, the heart high-energy phosphate reserves are depleted and the ATP synthesis rate is lowered, a condition that contributes to pathological remodeling in response to pressure overload. Concurrently, the expression levels of several metabolic genes identified as potential ERR α targets by ChIP-on-chip (e.g. Acadm, Ckmt2, *Etfdh*, *Hk*2, *Sdha*, *Slc*25a4) were altered in the heart of ERR α null mice either at baseline or when subjected to pressure overload.

The expression levels of several ERR target genes included in the nuclear-encoded mitochondrial gene network (*e.g.*, *Aco2*, *Cox6a*, *Cox8a*, *Cpt2*, *Ndufs1*, *Slc25a29*) were down in the postnatal hearts of ERR γ -null mice (108). In agreement with this observation, abnormal heart function contributes to early postnatal death of the ERR γ -null mice. More specifically, the hearts of ERR γ -null mice fail to make the transition from the utilization of glucose as a fetal energy substrate to mitochondrial FAO at birth. Taken together, phenotypic analyses of ERR α - and ERR γ -null mice and associated functional genomics studies clearly demonstrated that both receptors are required to establish and maintain the cardiac bioenergetic program.

$E.\ Mitochondrial\ ROS\ production\ in\ bone\ marrow-derived\\ macrophages$

The activation of macrophages by the proinflammatory cytokine IFN- γ is a major component of the defense mechanism during bacterial infection. A role for mitochondrial ROS in macrophage-mediated innate immunity had been proposed based on studies of uncoupling protein 2 (UCP-2) function (159-161). UCP-2 reduces mitochondrial ROS production by releasing membrane potential. In UCP-2-null mice, ROS levels are thus elevated, and the mice are resistant to infection by specific intracellular pathogens. Given that IFN- γ induces the expression of ERR α in bone-derived macrophages and the role of ERRs in regulating mitochondrial respiration, the interaction between IFN- γ , ERR α , and ROS production in macrophage functions was investigated using an experimental approach combining functional genomics and phenotypic analyses of knockout animals and cells (58). ChIP-on-chip studies identified several genes encoding components of the TCA cycle, electron transfer, and mitochondrial respiratory chain machinery (e.g., Aco2, Atp5k, Coq7, Cycs, Idh3a, and Sdhd) as direct targets of IFN- γ -induced ERR α activity in macrophages. Consequently, ERR α -null macrophages displayed reduced mitochondrial respiratory activity, intracellular ROS levels, and inefficient clearance of pathogens in response to IFN- γ . *In vivo*, ERR α -null mice were more susceptible to infection, a defect attributed to bone marrow-derived cells. IFN- γ -induced ERR α transcriptional activity was shown to be dependent on the presence of PGC-1 β in macrophages, and the loss of PGC-1 β phenocopied the loss of ERR α in mice. Therefore, ERR α acts in concert with PGC-1 β to transduce the action of IFN- γ in macrophages, which results in an increase in mitochondrial ROS production and a better host innate response to bacterial pathogenesis.

V. ERRs, Energy Metabolism, and Human Diseases

Genetic evidence for a direct involvement of ERR family members in human metabolic diseases has yet to be demonstrated. However, biochemical, molecular and mouse genetic studies performed to date indicate that targeting specific ERR isoforms with pharmacological agents to modulate energy metabolism could have a beneficial impact on human diseases.

A. Obesity and diabetes

ERR α -null mice are lean and resistant to high-fat dietinduced obesity (110). As noted above, this phenotype does not agree with the primary role of ERR α in the positive regulation of energy metabolism, but highlights the more complex physiological consequence of completely restraining ERR α activity in the whole organism. For example, UCP-1 expression is up-regulated in ERR α -null WAT by a mechanism that has yet to be characterized, a change in WAT function that may contribute to an increase in energy expenditure and lower body weight. Because ERR α has obvious ligand-independent activities, it is not clear whether the utilization of a potent ERR α inverse agonist would result in a similar phenotype. A potential link between the polymorphic sequence that alters the copy number of a functional ERRE in the promoter of the ERR α gene in human obesity was also investigated. One group observed that a higher ERRE copy number was associated with a higher body mass index in Japanese individuals (162). This result would agree with the ERR α -null mice phenotype because higher expression of ERR α would lead to a higher body weight. However, no such genetic linkage was found in a larger populationbased study of Danish Caucasians (163).

Mitochondrial dysfunctions have been linked to type 2 diabetes (164). In particular, defects in mitochondrial FAO may contribute to insulin resistance in humans via an increase in intracellular fatty acid metabolites affecting insulin signaling. Indeed, the expression of a subset of genes involved in OXPHOS was shown to be down-regulated in human diabetic muscle (56). This subset of genes can be up-regulated by the introduction of PGC-1 α in C2C12 myotubes in a manner that is dependent on the presence of ERR α (90). Because ERR α has an opposite effect on the expression of genes involved in gluconeogenesis (114), tissue-selective modifiers of ERR α activity could constitute valuable pharmacological tools to increase mitochondrial function and FAO in muscle while at the same time lowering gluconeogenesis in liver and plasma glucose levels.

B. Heart failure

The development and progression of chronic heart failure is multifactorial, but changes in bioenergetics are an important component of the disease (157). As described above, recent studies have shown that ERR α and ERR γ regulate entire metabolic programs in both the developing and adult heart and that abolishing ERR α and ERR γ function has dramatic physiological consequences for the heart (26, 108, 158). In particular, the presence of ERR α is essential for the bioenergetic adaptation of the heart subjected to hemodynamic stressors known to cause heart failure. Moreover, a large number of ERR α and ERR γ target genes involved in other aspects of heart functions (e.g., contractile, structural) have been linked to cardiac phenotypes in mouse models and/or cardiomyopathies in humans (26, 108). It is thus conceivable that, by altering cardiac energy metabolism and other functions of the heart, ERR ligands could provide new therapeutic avenues for the prevention and treatment of heart failure.

C. Cancer cell metabolism

One of the hallmarks of cancer cells is their acquired dependency on glycolysis for energy production in the context of adequate oxygen to sustain glucose oxidation. This physiological alteration in energy metabolism, e.g., paradoxical aerobic glycolysis, is referred to as the Warburg effect and is now believed to be required for malignant progression (165, 166). The ERRs promote mitochondrial respiration, and a reduction in their activity could support a shift toward glycolysis. However, this attractive hypothesis remains to be tested. In contrast, a large-scale proteomic analysis of a human breast cancer model for brain metastasis has shown that ERR α , PGC-1 α , and PGC-1 β , as well as proteins involved in FAO and OXPHOS are up-regulated in these cells (167). The switch of the parent cell line from anaerobic glycolysis toward increased mitochondrial metabolism of glucose in the brain-derived cells may be a requirement to adapt to the energy pathways predominant in the brain and contribute to the growth advantage of tumor cells in the brain microenvironment.

ERRs have also been shown to be possibly involved in the transcriptional response to hypoxia and growth of solid tumors. The adaptive response to low oxygen levels is mainly controlled by a transcription factor referred to as hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF). HIF regulates gene networks involved in glucose uptake and metabolism as well as tumor angiogenesis. ERRs can directly interact with HIF *in vitro* and *in vivo* and enhance HIF-mediated gene transcription, whereas inhibition of ERR activity attenuates the hypoxic response (168).

Modulation of ERR activity could also potentially be used to control cachexia in cancer patients. Cachexia, a chronic hypermetabolic state stimulated by high levels of inflammatory cytokines, is observed in more than 50% of cancer patients. It has been shown that cytokines activate PGC-1 α via phosphorylation by p38 MAPK, resulting in an increase in the expression of genes associated with mitochondrial uncoupling and energy expenditure (169). Given that ERR α has been shown to modulate cytokine activation of mitochondrial functions via PGC-1 β in macrophages (58), inhibiting ERR action in muscle could be beneficial to cachexic cancer patients.

VI. Closing Remarks

Although the ERRs were identified two decades ago, the realization that these receptors play crucial roles in controlling energy homeostasis is only beginning to emerge. Indeed, a recent in-depth review on the transcriptional control of metabolism made no mention of the ERRs (1). In contrast, the studies reviewed herein have clearly established that the ERRs regulate vast gene networks involved in a wide range of bioenergetic processes (Fig. 5). In particular, the ERRs can now be considered as essential factors for normal mitochondrial biogenesis and function. Loss of a single ERR isoform can lead to mitochondrial dysfunctions and related physiological consequences such as cold intolerance, depletion of energy stores in the heart, and diminished ROS production in macrophages with associated susceptibility to bacterial infection. Mechanistically, the ERRs appear to be the major conduits for the action of PGC-1 α and related isoforms in the control of energy metabolism. However, it is currently unknown whether the sole presence of one of the PGC-1 isoforms is sufficient to activate the ERRs, or whether the interactions between the ERRs and the PGC-1 coactivators can be modulated by signals specifically targeting the receptors. These signals could take the form of one or more endogenous ligands or posttranslational modification of the receptors induced by extracellular events. The same principle applies for the interaction between the ERRs and the corepressor RIP140 and how the exchanges between coactivators and corepressors are modulated. Identification of these signals and establishing their physiological relevance in health and diseases are the foremost challenges facing investigators in this field.

Although molecular studies have indicated that the three ERR isoforms share a considerable amount of structural and functional features, analyses of genetically modified mice have shown that the three ERRs display stricter specificity in



FIG. 5. Tissue-specific functions related to the control of energy metabolism by ERR α and/or ERR γ .

their primary biological functions. Nonetheless, genetic redundancy between receptor isoforms was also evident, as exemplified by the fact that both the ERR α - and ERR β -null mice are viable under certain experimental conditions, and that ERR α and ERR γ can function as heterodimeric partners and control identical gene networks. Tissue-specific loss or gain of function approaches will be required to uncover the exact roles played by each receptor isoform in distinct metabolic organs.

Given their extended roles in the transcriptional control of energy homeostasis, the ERRs represent leading targets for therapeutic interventions of metabolic diseases such as obesity, diabetes, and heart failure, as well as cancer. Potent modulation of ERR activity by pharmacological agents has proven elusive so far, a feature that may be related to the constitutive interactions observed between the ERRs and their coregulators. An alternative strategy would be to modulate the activity of ERR coactivators as recently shown for resveratrol-induced PGC-1 α activity via SIRT1 activation (170). Despite these hurdles, there is good reason to be hopeful that the ERRs will soon join the long list of nuclear receptors successfully targeted for therapeutic intervention.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Catherine R. Dufour for production of Table 1 and members of the laboratory for suggestions.

Received May 2, 2008. Accepted July 21, 2008.

Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: Vincent Giguère, Ph.D., The Rosalind and Morris Goodman Cancer Centre, Cancer Pavilion, 1160 Pine Avenue West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 1A3. Email: vincent.giguere@mcgill.ca.

This work was supported by the Canadian Institutes for Health Research, the National Cancer Institute of Canada via a Terry Fox Program Project Grant and the Fonds de la recherche en sante du Quebec.

Disclosure Statement: The author has nothing to declare.

References

- Desvergne B, Michalik L, Wahli W 2006 Transcriptional regulation of metabolism. Physiol Rev 86:465–514
- Evans RM 1988 The steroid and thyroid hormone receptor superfamily. Science 240:889–895
- Kronenberg HM, Melmed S, Polonsky KS, Larsen PR 2007 Williams textbook of endocrinology. 11th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders
- Kliewer SA, Lehmann JM, Willson TM 1999 Orphan nuclear receptors: shifting endocrinology into reverse. Science 284:757–760
- 5. **Giguère V** 1999 Orphan nuclear receptors: from gene to function. Endocr Rev 20:689–725
- Mitro N, Mak PA, Vargas L, Godio C, Hampton E, Molteni V, Kreusch A, Saez E 2007 The nuclear receptor LXR is a glucose sensor. Nature 445:219–223
- Sladek R, Giguère V 2000 Orphan nuclear receptors: an emerging family of metabolic regulators. Adv Pharmacol 47:23–87
- 8. Hummasti S, Tontonoz P 2008 Adopting new orphans into the family of metabolic regulators. Mol Endocrinol 22:1743–1753
- Stafford JM, Waltner-Law M, Granner DK 2000 Role of accessory factors and SRC1 in the regulation of PEPCK gene transcription by glucocorticoids. J Biol Chem 276:3811–3819
- Louet JF, Hayhurst G, Gonzalez FJ, Girard J, Decaux JF 2002 The coactivator PGC-1 is involved in the regulation of the liver carnitine palmitoyltransferase I gene expression by cAMP in combination with HNF4 α and cAMP-response element-binding protein (CREB). J Biol Chem 277:37991–38000
- Giguère V 2002 To ERR in the estrogen pathway. Trends Endocrinol Metab 13:220–225

- 12. Tremblay AM, Giguère V 2007 The NR3B subgroup: an ovERRview. Nucl Recept Signal 5:e009
- Bardet PL, Laudet V, Vanacker JM 2006 Studying non-mammalian models? Not a fool's ERRand! Trends Endocrinol Metab 17:166–171
- Bonnelye E, Aubin JE 2005 Estrogen receptor-related receptor α: a mediator of estrogen response in bone. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 90:3115–3121
- Ariazi EA, Jordan VC 2006 Estrogen-related receptors as emerging targets in cancer and metabolic disorders. Curr Top Med Chem 6:203–215
- Stein RA, McDonnell DP 2006 Estrogen-related receptor α as a therapeutic target in cancer. Endocr Relat Cancer 13(Suppl 1):S25– S32
- 17. Giguère V, Yang N, Segui P, Evans RM 1988 Identification of a new class of steroid hormone receptors. Nature 331:91–94
- Eudy JD, Yao S, Weston MD, Ma-Edmonds M, Talmage CB, Cheng JJ, Kimberling WJ, Sumegi J 1998 Isolation of a gene encoding a novel member of the nuclear receptor superfamily from the critical region of Usher syndrome type IIa at 1q41. Genomics 50:382–384
- Heard DJ, Norby PL, Holloway J, Vissing H 2000 Human ERRγ, a third member of the estrogen receptor-related receptor (ERR) subfamily of orphan nuclear receptors: tissue-specific isoforms are expressed during development and in the adult. Mol Endocrinol 14:382–392
- Hong H, Yang L, Stallcup MR 1999 Hormone-independent transcriptional activation and coactivator binding by novel orphan nuclear receptor ERR3. J Biol Chem 274:22618–22626
- 21. Tremblay AM, Wilson BJ, Yang XJ, Giguère V 2008 Phosphorylation-dependent sumoylation regulates ERR α and γ transcriptional activity through a synergy control motif. Mol Endocrinol 22:570–584
- Vu EH, Kraus RJ, Mertz JE 2007 Phosphorylation-dependent sumoylation of estrogen-related receptor α1. Biochemistry (Mosc) 46:9795–9804
- 23. Yang XJ, Gregoire S 2006 A recurrent phospho-sumoyl switch in transcriptional repression and beyond. Mol Cell 23:779–786
- Iniguez-Lluhi JA, Pearce D 2000 A common motif within the negative regulatory regions of multiple factors inhibits their transcriptional synergy. Mol Cell Biol 20:6040–6050
- 25. Sladek R, Bader J-A, Giguère V 1997 The orphan nuclear receptor estrogen-related receptor α is a transcriptional regulator of the human medium-chain acyl coenzyme A dehydrogenase gene. Mol Cell Biol 17:5400–5409
- 26. Dufour CR, Wilson BJ, Huss JM, Kelly DP, Alaynick WA, Downes M, Evans RM, Blanchette M, Giguère V 2007 Genomewide orchestration of cardiac functions by orphan nuclear receptors ERRα and γ. Cell Metab 5:345–356
- 27. **Barry JB, Laganière J, Giguère V** 2006 A single nucleotide in an estrogen related receptor α site can dictate mode of binding and PGC-1 α activation of target promoters. Mol Endocrinol 20:302–310
- Gearhart MD, Holmbeck SM, Evans RM, Dyson HJ, Wright PE 2003 Monomeric complex of human orphan estrogen related receptor-2 with DNA: a pseudo-dimer interface mediates extended half-site recognition. J Mol Biol 327:819–832
- Huppunen J, Aarnisalo P 2004 Dimerization modulates the activity of the orphan nuclear receptor ERRγ. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 314:964–970
- 30. Johnston SD, Liu X, Zuo F, Eisenbraun TL, Wiley SR, Kraus RJ, Mertz JE 1997 Estrogen-related receptor α1 functionally binds as a monomer to extended half-site sequences including ones contained within estrogen-response elements. Mol Endocrinol 11:342–352
- Vanacker J-M, Bonnelye E, Chopin-Delannoy S, Delmarre C, Cavailles V, Laudet V 1999 Transcriptional activities of the orphan nuclear receptor ERR α (estrogen receptor-related receptor-α). Mol Endocrinol 13:764–773
- Vanacker JM, Pettersson K, Gustafsson J, Laudet V 1999 Transcriptional targets shared by estrogen receptor-related receptors (ERRs) and estrogen receptor (ER) α, but not by ERβ. EMBO J 18:4270-4279
- Yang N, Shigeta H, Shi HP, Teng CT 1996 Estrogen-related receptor, hERR1, modulates estrogen receptor-mediated response of human lactoferrin gene promoter. J Biol Chem 271:5795–5804

- Giguère ERRs and Energy Metabolism
- 34. Zhang Z, Teng CT 2000 Estrogen receptor-related receptor α 1 interacts with coactivator and constitutively activates the estrogen response elements of the human lactoferrin gene. J Biol Chem 275:20837–20846
- Nettles KW, Greene GL 2005 Ligand control of coregulator recruitment to nuclear receptors. Annu Rev Physiol 67:309–333
- Greschik H, Wurtz JM, Sanglier S, Bourguet W, van Dirsselaer A, Moras D, Renaud JP 2002 Structural and functional evidence for ligand-independent transcriptional activation by the estrogen-related receptor 3. Mol Cell 9:303–313
- 37. Kallen J, Lattmann R, Beerli R, Blechschmidt A, Blommers MJ, Geiser M, Ottl J, Schlaeppi JM, Strauss A, Fournier B 2007 Crystal structure of human estrogen-related receptor *α* in complex with a synthetic inverse agonist reveals its novel molecular mechanism. J Biol Chem 282:23231–23239
- 38. Kallen J, Schlaeppi JM, Bitsch F, Filipuzzi I, Schilb A, Riou V, Graham A, Strauss A, Geiser M, Fournier B 2004 Evidence for ligand-independent transcriptional activation of the human estrogen-related receptor α (ERRα): crystal structure of ERRα ligand binding domain in complex with peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor coactivator-1α. J Biol Chem 279:49330–49337
- 39. Wang L, Zuercher WJ, Consler TG, Lambert MH, Miller AB, Orband-Miller LA, McKee DD, Willson TM, Nolte RT 2006 X-ray crystal structures of the estrogen-related receptor-γ ligand binding domain in three functional states reveal the molecular basis of small molecule regulation. J Biol Chem 281:37773–37781
- Coward P, Lee D, Hull MV, Lehmann JM 2001 4-Hydroxytamoxifen binds to and deactivates the estrogen-related receptor γ. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98:8880–8884
- Tremblay GB, Kunath T, Bergeron D, Lapointe L, Champigny C, Bader J-A, Rossant J, Giguère V 2001 Diethylstilbestrol regulates trophoblast stem cell differentiation as a ligand of orphan nuclear receptor ERRβ. Genes Dev 15:833–838
- Tremblay GB, Bergeron D, Giguère V 2001 4-Hydroxytamoxifen is an isoform-specific inhibitor of orphan estrogen-receptor-related (ERR) nuclear receptors β and γ. Endocrinology 142:4572–4575
- 43. Busch BB, Stevens Jr WC, Martin R, Ordentlich P, Zhou S, Sapp DW, Horlick RA, Mohan R 2004 Identification of a selective inverse agonist for the orphan nuclear receptor estrogen-related receptor α. J Med Chem 47:5593–5596
- 44. Willy PJ, Murray IR, Qian J, Busch BB, Stevens Jr WC, Martin R, Mohan R, Zhou S, Ordentlich P, Wei P, Sapp DW, Horlick RA, Heyman RA, Schulman IG 2004 Regulation of PPAR γ coactivator 1 α (PGC-1 α) signaling by an estrogen-related receptor α (ERR α) ligand. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:8912–8917
- 45. Chao EY, Collins JL, Gaillard S, Miller AB, Wang L, Orband-Miller LA, Nolte RT, McDonnell DP, Willson TM, Zuercher WJ 2006 Structure-guided synthesis of tamoxifen analogs with improved selectivity for the orphan ERRγ. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 16:821–824
- Yu DD, Forman BM 2005 Identification of an agonist ligand for estrogen-related receptors ERRβ/γ. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 15: 1311–1313
- 47. Zuercher WJ, Gaillard S, Orband-Miller LA, Chao EY, Shearer BG, Jones DG, Miller AB, Collins JL, McDonnell DP, Willson TM 2005 Identification and structure-activity relationship of phenolic acyl hydrazones as selective agonists for the estrogen-related orphan nuclear receptors ERRβ and ERRγ. J Med Chem 48:3107–3109
- Lonard DM, Lanz RB, O'Malley BW 2007 Nuclear receptor coregulators and human disease. Endocr Rev 28:575–587
- Lonard DM, O'Malley BW 2007 Nuclear receptor coregulators: judges, juries, and executioners of cellular regulation. Mol Cell 27:691–700
- Feige JN, Auwerx J 2007 Transcriptional coregulators in the control of energy homeostasis. Trends Cell Biol 17:292–301
- Lin J, Handschin C, Spiegelman BM 2005 Metabolic control through the PGC-1 family of transcription coactivators. Cell Metab 1:361–370
- Arany Z, He H, Lin J, Hoyer K, Handschin C, Toka O, Ahmad F, Matsui T, Chin S, Wu PH, Rybkin II, Shelton JM, Manieri M, Cinti S, Schoen FJ, Bassel-Duby R, Rosenzweig A, Ingwall JS, Spiegelman BM 2005 Transcriptional coactivator PGC-1α controls

the energy state and contractile function of cardiac muscle. Cell Metab 1:259–271

- 53. Leone TC, Lehman JJ, Finck BN, Schaeffer PJ, Wende AR, Boudina S, Courtois M, Wozniak DF, Sambandam N, Bernal-Mizrachi C, Chen Z, Holloszy JO, Medeiros DM, Schmidt RE, Saffitz JE, Abel ED, Semenkovich CF, Kelly DP 2005 PGC-1 α deficiency causes multi-system energy metabolic derangements: muscle dysfunction, abnormal weight control and hepatic steatosis. PLoS Biol 3:e101
- Uldry M, Yang W, St-Pierre J, Lin J, Seale P, Spiegelman BM 2006 Complementary action of the PGC-1 coactivators in mitochondrial biogenesis and brown fat differentiation. Cell Metab 3:333–341
- 55. Knutti D, Kralli A 2001 PGC-1, a versatile coactivator. Trends Endocrinol Metab 12:360–365
- 56. Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson KF, Subramanian A, Sihag S, Lehar J, Puigserver P, Carlsson E, Ridderstrale M, Laurila E, Houstis N, Daly MJ, Patterson N, Mesirov JP, Golub TR, Tamayo P, Spiegelman B, Lander ES, Hirschhorn JN, Altshuler D, Groop LC 2003 PGC-1α-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. Nat Genet 34:267–273
- 57. Lin J, Wu PH, Tarr PT, Lindenberg KS, St-Pierre J, Zhang CY, Mootha VK, Jager S, Vianna CR, Reznick RM, Cui L, Manieri M, Donovan MX, Wu Z, Cooper MP, Fan MC, Rohas LM, Zavacki AM, Cinti S, Shulman GI, Lowell BB, Krainc D, Spiegelman BM 2004 Defects in adaptive energy metabolism with CNS-linked hyperactivity in PGC-1α null mice. Cell 119:121–135
- 58. Sonoda J, Laganière J, Mehl IR, Barish GD, Chong LW, Li X, Scheffler IE, Mock DC, Bataille AR, Robert F, Lee C-H, Giguère V, Evans RM 2007 Nuclear receptor ERR α and coactivator PGC-1 β are effectors of IFN- γ induced host defense. Genes Dev 21:1909– 1920
- Rohas LM, St-Pierre J, Uldry M, Jager S, Handschin C, Spiegelman BM 2007 A fundamental system of cellular energy homeostasis regulated by PGC-1α. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 7933–7938
- 60. Sonoda J, Mehl IR, Chong LW, Nofsinger RR, Evans RM 2007 PGC-1β controls mitochondrial metabolism to modulate circadian activity, adaptive thermogenesis, and hepatic steatosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:5223–5228
- 61. Rodriguez-Calvo R, Jove M, Coll T, Camins A, Sanchez RM, Alegret M, Merlos M, Pallas M, Laguna JC, Vazquez-Carrera M 2006 PGC-1β down-regulation is associated with reduced ERRα activity and MCAD expression in skeletal muscle of senescenceaccelerated mice. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 61:773–780
- Jeong JW, Kwak I, Lee KY, White LD, Wang XP, Brunicardi FC, O'Malley BW, DeMayo FJ 2006 The genomic analysis of the impact of steroid receptor coactivators ablation on hepatic metabolism. Mol Endocrinol 20:1138–1152
- Picard F, Géhin M, Annicotte J-S, Rocchi S, Champy M-F, O'Malley BW, Chambon P, Auwerx J 2002 SRC-1 and TIF2 control energy balance between white and brown adipose tissue. Cell 111: 931–941
- 64. Louet JF, Coste A, Amazit L, Tannour-Louet M, Wu RC, Tsai SY, Tsai MJ, Auwerx J, O'Malley BW 2006 Oncogenic steroid receptor coactivator-3 is a key regulator of the white adipogenic program. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:17868–17873
- 65. Wang Z, Qi C, Krones A, Woodring P, Zhu X, Reddy JK, Evans RM, Rosenfeld MG, Hunter T 2006 Critical roles of the p160 transcriptional coactivators p/CIP and SRC-1 in energy balance. Cell Metab 3:111–122
- Christian M, White R, Parker MG 2006 Metabolic regulation by the nuclear receptor corepressor RIP140. Trends Endocrinol Metab 17: 243–250
- Leonardsson G, Steel JH, Christian M, Pocock V, Milligan S, Bell J, So PW, Medina-Gomez G, Vidal-Puig A, White R, Parker MG 2004 Nuclear receptor corepressor RIP140 regulates fat accumulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:8437–8442
- Seth A, Steel JH, Nichol D, Pocock V, Kumaran MK, Fritah A, Mobberley M, Ryder TA, Rowlerson A, Scott J, Poutanen M, White R, Parker M 2007 The transcriptional corepressor RIP140 regulates oxidative metabolism in skeletal muscle. Cell Metab 6:236–245

- 69. Powelka AM, Seth A, Virbasius JV, Kiskinis E, Nicoloro SM, Guilherme A, Tang X, Straubhaar J, Cherniack AD, Parker MG, Czech MP 2006 Suppression of oxidative metabolism and mitochondrial biogenesis by the transcriptional corepressor RIP140 in mouse adipocytes. J Clin Invest 116:125–136
- Xie W, Hong H, Yang NN, Lin RJ, Simon CM, Stallcup MR, Evans RM 1999 Constitutive activation of transcription and binding of coactivator by estrogen-related receptors 1 and 2. Mol Endocrinol 13:2151–2162
- Huss JM, Kopp RP, Kelly DP 2002 Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor coactivator-1α (PGC-1α) coactivates the cardiacenriched nuclear receptors estrogen-related receptor-α and -γ. Identification of novel leucine-rich interaction motif within PGC-1α. J Biol Chem 277:40265–40274
- 72. Laganière J, Tremblay GB, Dufour CR, Giroux S, Rousseau F, Giguère V 2004 A polymorphic autoregulatory hormone response element in the human estrogen related receptor α (ERR α) promoter dictates PGC-1 α control of ERR α expression. J Biol Chem 279: 18504–18510
- 73. Schreiber SN, Knutti D, Brogli K, Uhlmann T, Kralli A 2003 The transcriptional coactivator PGC-1 regulates the expression and activity of the orphan nuclear receptor ERRα. J Biol Chem 278:9013– 9018
- 74. Gaillard S, Dwyer MA, McDonnell DP 2007 Definition of the molecular basis for estrogen receptor-related receptor-α-cofactor interactions. Mol Endocrinol 21:62–76
- 75. Kamei Y, Ohizumi H, Fujitani Y, Nemoto T, Tanaka T, Takahashi N, Kawada T, Miyoshi M, Ezaki O, Kakizuka A 2003 PPAR γ coactivator 1 β /ERR ligand 1 is an ERR protein ligand, whose expression induces a high-energy expenditure and antagonizes obesity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:12378–12383
- 76. Greschik H, Althage M, Flaig R, Sato Y, Peluso-Iltis C, Chavant V, Choulier L, Cronet P, Rochel N, Schule R, Stromstedt PE, Moras D 2008 Communication between the ERRα homodimer interface and the PGC-1α binding surface via the helix 8–9 loop. J Biol Chem 283:20220–20230
- Horard B, Castet A, Bardet PL, Laudet V, Cavailles V, Vanacker JM 2004 Dimerization is required for transactivation by estrogenreceptor-related (ERR) orphan receptors: evidence from amphioxus ERR. J Mol Endocrinol 33:493–509
- 78. Barry JB, Giguère V 2005 Epidermal growth factor-induced signaling in breast cancer cells results in selective target gene activation by orphan nuclear receptor estrogen-related receptor α. Cancer Res 65:6120–6129
- Augereau P, Badia E, Carascossa S, Castet A, Fritsch S, Harmand PO, Jalaguier S, Cavailles V 2006 The nuclear receptor transcriptional coregulator RIP140. Nucl Recept Signal 4:e024
- Castet A, Herledan A, Bonnet S, Jalaguier S, Vanacker JM, Cavailles V 2006 Receptor-interacting protein 140 differentially regulates estrogen receptor-related receptor transactivation depending on target genes. Mol Endocrinol 20:1035–1047
- 81. Debevec D, Christian M, Morganstein D, Seth A, Herzog B, Parker MG, White R 2007 Receptor interacting protein 140 regulates expression of uncoupling protein 1 in adipocytes through specific peroxisome proliferator activated receptor isoforms and estrogen-related receptor α. Mol Endocrinol 21:1581–1592
- Sanyal S, Matthews J, Bouton D, Kim HJ, Choi HS, Treuter E, Gustafsson JA 2004 Deoxyribonucleic acid response element-dependent regulation of transcription by orphan nuclear receptor estrogen receptor-related receptor γ. Mol Endocrinol 18:312–325
- Bookout AL, Jeong Y, Downes M, Yu RT, Evans RM, Mangelsdorf DJ 2006 Anatomical profiling of nuclear receptor expression reveals a hierarchical transcriptional network. Cell 126:789–799
- 84. Ichida M, Nemoto S, Finkel T 2002 Identification of a specific molecular repressor of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ coactivator-1α (PGC-α). J Biol Chem 277:50991–50995
- Fu M, Sun T, Bookout AL, Downes M, Yu RT, Evans RM, Mangelsdorf DJ 2005 A nuclear receptor atlas: 3T3–L1 adipogenesis. Mol Endocrinol 19:2437–2450
- Barish GD, Downes M, Alaynick WA, Yu RT, Ocampo CB, Bookout AL, Mangelsdorf DJ, Evans RM 2005 A nuclear receptor atlas: macrophage activation. Mol Endocrinol 19:2466–2477
- 87. Gofflot F, Chartoire N, Vasseur L, Heikkinen S, Dembele D, Le

Merrer J, Auwerx J 2007 Systematic gene expression mapping clusters nuclear receptors according to their function in the brain. Cell 131:405–418

- Yang X, Downes M, Yu RT, Bookout AL, He W, Straume M, Mangelsdorf DJ, Evans RM 2006 Nuclear receptor expression links the circadian clock to metabolism. Cell 126:801–810
- 89. Cartoni R, Leger B, Hock MB, Praz M, Crettenand A, Pich S, Ziltener JL, Luthi F, Deriaz O, Zorzano A, Gobelet C, Kralli A, Russell AP 2005 Mitofusins 1/2 and ERRα expression are increased in human skeletal muscle after physical exercise. J Physiol 567: 349–358
- 90. Mootha VK, Handschin C, Arlow D, Xie X, St Pierre J, Sihag S, Yang W, Altshuler D, Puigserver P, Patterson N, Willy PJ, Schulman IG, Heyman RA, Lander ES, Spiegelman BM 2004 ERRα and GABPAα/β specify PGC-1α-dependent oxidative phosphorylation gene expression that is altered in diabetic muscle. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:6570–6575
- 91. Liu D, Zhang Z, Teng CT 2005 Estrogen-related receptor-γ and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ coactivator-1α regulate estrogen-related receptor-α gene expression via a conserved multi-hormone response element. J Mol Endocrinol 34:473–487
- 92. Schulz H 1991 β-Oxidation of fatty acids. Biochim Biophys Acta 1081:109–120
- 93. Nagao M, Parimoo B, Tanaka K 1993 Developmental, nutritional, and hormonal regulation of tissue-specific expression of the genes encoding various acyl-CoA dehydrogenases and α-subunit of electron transfer flavoprotein in rat. J Biol Chem 268:24114–24124
- Hainline BE, Kahlenbeck DJ, Grant J, Strauss AW 1993 Tissue specific and developmental expression of rat long- and mediumchain acyl-CoA dehydrogenases. Biophysica et Biochemica Acta 1216:460–468
- 95. Leone TC, Cresci S, Carter ME, Zhang ZF, Lala DS, Strauss AW, Kelly DP 1995 The human medium chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase gene promoter consists of a complex arrangement of nuclear receptor response elements and Sp1 binding sites. J Biol Chem 270: 16308–16314
- 96. Carter ME, Gulick T, Moore DD, Kelly DP 1994 A pleiotropic element in the medium-chain acyl coenzyme A dehydrogenase gene promoter mediates transcriptional regulation by multiple nuclear receptor transcription factors and defines novel receptor-DNA binding motifs. Mol Cell Biol 14:4360–4372
- 97. Carter ME, Gulick T, Raisher BD, Caira T, Ladias JAA, Moore DD, Kelly DP 1993 Hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 activates medium chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase gene transcription by interacting with a complex regulatory element. J Biol Chem 268:13805–13810
- Disch DL, Rader TA, Cresci S, Leone TC, Barger PM, Vega R, Wood PA, Kelly DP 1996 Transcriptional control of a nuclear gene encoding a mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation enzyme in transgenic mice: role for nuclear receptors in cardiac and brown adipose expression. Mol Cell Biol 16:4043–4051
- Raisher BD, Gulick T, Zhang Z, Strauss AW, Moore DD, Kelly DP 1992 Identification of a novel retinoid-responsive element in the promoter region of the medium chain acyl-coenzyme A dehydrogenase gene. J Biol Chem 267:20264–20269
- 100. Gulick T, Cresci S, Caira T, Moore DD, Kelly DP 1994 The peroxisome proliferator activated receptor regulates mitochondrial fatty acid oxidative enzyme gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:11012–11016
- 101. **Vega RB, Kelly DP** 1997 A role for estrogen-related receptor α in the control of mitochondrial fatty acid β -oxidation during brown adipocyte differentiation. J Biol Chem 272:31693–31699
- 102. Kim MS, Shigenaga JK, Moser AH, Feingold KR, Grunfeld C 2005 Suppression of estrogen-related receptor α and medium-chain acyl-coenzyme A dehydrogenase in the acute-phase response. J Lipid Res 46:2282–2288
- 103. Huss JM, Torra IP, Staels B, Giguère V, Kelly DP 2004 Estrogenrelated receptor α directs peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α signaling in the transcriptional control of energy metabolism in cardiac and skeletal muscle. Mol Cell Biol 24:9079–9091
- 104. Schreiber SN, Emter R, Hock MB, Knutti D, Cardenas J, Podvinec M, Oakeley EJ, Kralli A 2004 The estrogen-related receptor α (ERR α) functions in PPAR γ coactivator 1 α (PGC-1 α)-induced mitochondrial biogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:6472–6477

- 105. Mootha VK, Bunkenborg J, Olsen JV, Hjerrild M, Wisniewski JR, Stahl E, Bolouri MS, Ray HN, Sihag S, Kamal M, Patterson N, Lander ES, Mann M 2003 Integrated analysis of protein composition, tissue diversity, and gene regulation in mouse mitochondria. Cell 115:629-640
- 106. Gaillard S, Grasfeder LL, Haeffele CL, Lobenhofer EK, Chu TM, Wolfinger R, Kazmin D, Koves TR, Muoio DM, Chang CY, Mc-Donnell DP 2006 Receptor-selective coactivators as tools to define the biology of specific receptor-coactivator pairs. Mol Cell 24:797– 803
- 107. Rangwala SM, Li X, Lindsley L, Wang X, Shaughnessy S, Daniels TG, Szustakowski J, Nirmala NR, Wu Z, Stevenson SC 2007 Estrogen-related receptor α is essential for the expression of antioxidant protection genes and mitochondrial function. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 357:231–236
- 108. Alaynick WA, Kondo RP, Xie W, He W, Dufour CR, Downes M, Jonker JW, Giles W, Naviaux RK, Giguère V, Evans RM 2007 ERRγ directs and maintains the transition to oxidative metabolism in the post-natal heart. Cell Metab 6:13–24
- 109. Soriano FX, Liesa M, Bach D, Chan DC, Palacin M, Zorzano A 2006 Evidence for a mitochondrial regulatory pathway defined by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ coactivator-1 α , estrogen-related receptor- α , and mitofusin 2. Diabetes 55:1783–1791
- Luo J, Sladek R, Carrier J, Bader J-A, Richard D, Giguère V 2003 Reduced fat mass in mice lacking orphan nuclear receptor estrogenrelated receptor α. Mol Cell Biol 23:7947–7956
- 111. Wende AR, Huss JM, Schaeffer PJ, Giguère V, Kelly DP 2005 PGC-1α coactivates PDK4 gene expression via the orphan nuclear receptor ERRα: a mechanism for transcriptional control of muscle glucose metabolism. Mol Cell Biol 25:10684–10694
- 112. Araki M, Motojima K 2006 Identification of ERR α as a specific partner of PGC-1 α for the activation of PDK4 gene expression in muscle. FEBS J 273:1669–1680
- 113. Zhang Y, Ma K, Sadana P, Chowdhury F, Gaillard S, Wang F, McDonnell DP, Unterman TG, Elam MB, Park EA 2006 Estrogenrelated receptors stimulate pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase isoform 4 gene expression. J Biol Chem 281:39897–39906
- 114. Herzog B, Cardenas J, Hall RK, Villena JA, Budge PJ, Giguère V, Granner DK, Kralli A 2006 Estrogen-related receptor α is a repressor of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase gene transcription. J Biol Chem 281:99–106
- 115. Yoon JC, Puigserver P, Chen G, Donovan J, Wu Z, Rhee J, Adelmant G, Stafford J, Kahn CR, Granner DK, Newgard CB, Spiegelman BM 2001 Control of hepatic gluconeogenesis through the transcriptional coactivator PGC-1. Nature 413:131–138
- 116. Boustead JN, Stadelmaier BT, Eeds AM, Wiebe PO, Svitek CA, Oeser JK, O'Brien RM 2003 Hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 α mediates the stimulatory effect of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ co-activator-1 α (PGC-1 α) on glucose-6-phosphatase catalytic subunit gene transcription in H4IIE cells. Biochem J 369:17–22
- 117. Puigserver P, Rhee J, Donovan J, Walkey CJ, Yoon JC, Oriente F, Kitamura Y, Altomonte J, Dong H, Accili D, Spiegelman BM 2003 Insulin-regulated hepatic gluconeogenesis through FOXO1-PGC-1α interaction. Nature 423:550–555
- 118. Rhee J, Inoue Y, Yoon JC, Puigserver P, Fan M, Gonzalez FJ, Spiegelman BM 2003 Regulation of hepatic fasting response by PPAR γ coactivator-1 α (PGC-1 α): requirement for hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 α in gluconeogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 4012–4017
- 119. Laflamme N, Giroux S, Loredo-Osti JC, Elfassihi L, Dodin S, Blanchet C, Morgan K, Giguère V, Rousseau F 2005 A frequent regulatory variant of the estrogen-related receptor *α* gene associated with BMD in French-Canadian premenopausal women. J Bone Miner Res 20:938–944
- Zhang J, Lazar MA 2000 The mechanism of action of thyroid hormones. Annu Rev Physiol 62:439–466
- 121. Sjogren M, Alkemade A, Mittag J, Nordstrom K, Katz A, Rozell B, Westerblad H, Arner A, Vennstrom B 2007 Hypermetabolism in mice caused by the central action of an unliganded thyroid hormone receptor α1. EMBO J 26:4535–4545
- 122. Quignodon L, Vincent S, Winter H, Samarut J, Flamant F 2007 A point mutation in the activation function 2 domain of thyroid hormone receptor *α*1 expressed after CRE-mediated recombination

partially recapitulates hypothyroidism. Mol Endocrinol 21:2350-2360

- 123. Gloss B, Trost S, Bluhm W, Swanson E, Clark R, Winkfein R, Janzen K, Giles W, Chassande O, Samarut J, Dillmann W 2001 Cardiac ion channel expression and contractile function in mice with deletion of thyroid hormone receptor α or β . Endocrinology 142:544–550
- 124. Wikstrom L, Johansson C, Salto C, Barlow C, Campos Barros A, Baas F, Forrest D, Thoren P, Vennstrom B 1998 Abnormal heart rate and body temperature in mice lacking thyroid hormone receptor α 1. EMBO J 17:455–461
- 125. Ribeiro MO, Carvalho SD, Schultz JJ, Chiellini G, Scanlan TS, Bianco AC, Brent GA 2001 Thyroid hormone-sympathetic interaction and adaptive thermogenesis are thyroid hormone receptor isoform-specific. J Clin Invest 108:97–105
- 126. Marrif H, Schifman A, Stepanyan Z, Gillis MA, Calderone A, Weiss RE, Samarut J, Silva JE 2005 Temperature homeostasis in transgenic mice lacking thyroid hormone receptor- α gene products. Endocrinology 146:2872–2884
- 127. Vanacker JM, Bonnelye E, Delmarre C, Laudet V 1998 Activation of the thyroid receptor α gene promoter by the orphan nuclear receptor ERRα. Oncogene 17:2429–2435
- 128. Lefebvre P, Chinetti G, Fruchart JC, Staels B 2006 Sorting out the roles of PPAR α in energy metabolism and vascular homeostasis. J Clin Invest 116:571–580
- 129. Altucci L, Leibowitz MD, Ogilvie KM, de Lera AR, Gronemeyer H 2007 RAR and RXR modulation in cancer and metabolic disease. Nat Rev Drug Discov 6:793–810
- 130. Villarroya F, Iglesias R, Giralt M 2004 Retinoids and retinoid receptors in the control of energy balance: novel pharmacological strategies in obesity and diabetes. Curr Med Chem 11:795–805
- 131. **Shulman AI, Mangelsdorf DJ** 2005 Retinoid X receptor heterodimers in the metabolic syndrome. N Engl J Med 353:604–615
- 132. Bavner A, Sanyal S, Gustafsson JA, Treuter E 2005 Transcriptional corepression by SHP: molecular mechanisms and physiological consequences. Trends Endocrinol Metab 16:478–488
- 133. Sanyal S, Kim JY, Kim HJ, Takeda J, Lee YK, Moore DD, Choi HS 2002 Differential regulation of the orphan nuclear receptor SHP gene promoter by orphan nuclear receptor ERR isoforms. J Biol Chem 277:1739–1748
- 134. Wang L, Liu J, Saha P, Huang J, Chan L, Spiegelman B, Moore DD 2005 The orphan nuclear receptor SHP regulates PGC-1α expression and energy production in brown adipocytes. Cell Metab 2:227– 238
- 135. Akter MH, Yamaguchi T, Hirose F, Osumi T 2008 Perilipin, a critical regulator of fat storage and breakdown, is a target gene of estrogen receptor-related receptor α . Biochem Biophys Res Commun 368:563–568
- Ma K, Saha PK, Chan L, Moore DD 2006 Farnesoid X receptor is essential for normal glucose homeostasis. J Clin Invest 116:1102– 1109
- 137. Wang L, Huang J, Saha P, Kulkarni RN, Hu M, Kim Y, Park K, Chan L, Rajan AS, Lee I, Moore DD 2006 Orphan receptor small heterodimer partner is an important mediator of glucose homeostasis. Mol Endocrinol 20:2671–2681
- 138. **Trottier J, Milkiewicz P, Kaeding J, Verreault M, Barbier O** 2006 Coordinate regulation of hepatic bile acid oxidation and conjugation by nuclear receptors. Mol Pharm 3:212–222
- Christian M, Kiskinis E, Debevec D, Leonardsson G, White R, Parker MG 2005 RIP140-targeted repression of gene expression in adipocytes. Mol Cell Biol 25:9383–9391
- 140. Nichol D, Christian M, Steel JH, White R, Parker MG 2006 RIP140 expression is stimulated by estrogen-related receptor α during adipogenesis. J Biol Chem 281:32140–32147
- 141. **Scarpulla RC** 2008 Transcriptional paradigms in mammalian mitochondrial biogenesis and function. Physiol Rev 88:611–638
- 142. Vogelstein B, Lane D, Levine AJ 2000 Surfing the p53 network. Nature 408:307–310
- 143. Vousden KH, Lane DP 2007 p53 in health and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8:275–283
- 144. Bensaad K, Vousden KH 2007 p53: new roles in metabolism. Trends Cell Biol 17:286–291
- 145. Matoba S, Kang JG, Patino WD, Wragg A, Boehm M, Gavrilova

O, Hurley PJ, Bunz F, Hwang PM 2006 p53 regulates mitochondrial respiration. Science 312:1650–1653

- 146. Wei CL, Wu Q, Vega VB, Chiu KP, Ng P, Zhang T, Shahab A, Yong HC, Fu Y, Weng Z, Liu J, Zhao XD, Chew JL, Lee YL, Kuznetsov VA, Sung WK, Miller LD, Lim B, Liu ET, Yu Q, Ng HH, Ruan Y 2006 A global map of p53 transcription-factor binding sites in the human genome. Cell 124:207–219
- 147. Cawley S, Bekiranov S, Ng HH, Kapranov P, Sekinger EA, Kampa D, Piccolboni A, Sementchenko V, Cheng J, Williams AJ, Wheeler R, Wong B, Drenkow J, Yamanaka M, Patel S, Brubaker S, Tammana H, Helt G, Struhl K, Gingeras TR 2004 Unbiased mapping of transcription factor binding sites along human chromosomes 21 and 22 points to widespread regulation of noncoding RNAs. Cell 116:499–509
- 148. Chen J, Nathans J 2007 Estrogen-related receptor β /NR3B2 controls epithelial cell fate and endolymph production by the stria vascularis. Dev Cell 13:325–337
- 149. Luo J, Sladek R, Bader J-A, Rossant J, Giguère V 1997 Placental abnormalities in mouse embryos lacking orphan nuclear receptor ERR β . Nature 388:778–782
- Cannon B, Nedergaard J 2004 Brown adipose tissue: function and physiological significance. Physiol Rev 84:277–359
- 151. Villena JA, Hock MB, Giguère V, Kralli A 2007 Orphan nuclear receptor ERRα is essential for adaptive thermogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:1418–1423
- 152. Murase T, Aoki M, Wakisaka T, Hase T, Tokimitsu I 2002 Antiobesity effect of dietary diacylglycerol in C57BL/6J mice: dietary diacylglycerol stimulates intestinal lipid metabolism. J Lipid Res 43:1312–1319
- 153. **Carrier JC, Deblois G, Champigny C, Levy E, Giguère V** 2004 Estrogen related-receptor α (ERR α) is a transcriptional regulator of apolipoprotein A-IV and controls lipid handling in the intestine. J Biol Chem 279:52052–52058
- 154. Huss JM, Kelly DP 2005 Mitochondrial energy metabolism in heart failure: a question of balance. J Clin Invest 115:547–555
- 155. Lehman JJ, Kelly DP 2002 Transcriptional activation of energy metabolic switches in the developing and hypertrophied heart. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 29:339–345
- 156. **Taegtmeyer H, Golfman L, Sharma S, Razeghi P, van Arsdall M** 2004 Linking gene expression to function: metabolic flexibility in the normal and diseased heart. Ann NY Acad Sci 1015:202–213
- 157. Neubauer S 2007 The failing heart—an engine out of fuel. N Engl J Med 356:1140–1151
- 158. Huss JM, Imahashi K-I, Dufour C, Weinheimer CJ, Courtois M, Kovacs A, Giguère V, Murphy E, Kelly DP 2007 The nuclear receptor ERR α is required for the bioenergetic and functional adaptation to cardiac pressure overload. Cell Metab 6:25–37
- 159. Kizaki T, Suzuki K, Hitomi Y, Taniguchi N, Saitoh D, Watanabe K, Onoe K, Day NK, Good RA, Ohno H 2002 Uncoupling protein 2 plays an important role in nitric oxide production of lipopolysaccharide-stimulated macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:9392– 9397
- 160. Arsenijevic D, Onuma H, Pecqueur C, Raimbault S, Manning BS, Miroux B, Couplan E, Alves-Guerra MC, Goubern M, Surwit R, Bouillaud F, Richard D, Collins S, Ricquier D 2000 Disruption of the uncoupling protein-2 gene in mice reveals a role in immunity and reactive oxygen species production. Nat Genet 26:435–439
- 161. Rousset S, Emre Y, Join-Lambert O, Hurtaud C, Ricquier D, Cassard-Doulcier AM 2006 The uncoupling protein 2 modulates the cytokine balance in innate immunity. Cytokine 35:135–142
- 162. Kamei Y, Lwin H, Saito K, Yokoyama T, Yoshiike N, Ezaki O, Tanaka H 2005 The 2.3 genotype of ESRRA23 of the ERR α gene is associated with a higher BMI than the 2.2 genotype. Obes Res 13:1843–1844
- 163. Larsen LH, Rose CS, Sparso T, Overgaard J, Torekov SS, Grarup N, Jensen DP, Albrechtsen A, Andersen G, Ek J, Glumer C, Borch-Johnsen K, Jorgensen T, Hansen T, Pedersen O 2007 Genetic analysis of the estrogen-related receptor α and studies of association with obesity and type 2 diabetes. Int J Obes 31:365–370
- 164. Lowell BB, Shulman GI 2005 Mitochondrial dysfunction and type 2 diabetes. Science 307:384–387
- Warburg O 1956 On respiratory impairment in cancer cells. Science 124:269–270

696 Endocrine Reviews, October 2008, 29(6):677-696

- 166. Bui T, Thompson CB 2006 Cancer's sweet tooth. Cancer Cell 9:419–420
- 167. Chen EI, Hewel J, Krueger JS, Tiraby C, Weber MR, Kralli A, Becker K, Yates III JR, Felding-Habermann B 2007 Adaptation of energy metabolism in breast cancer brain metastases. Cancer Res 67:1472–1486
- 168. Ao A, Wang H, Kamarajugadda S, Lu J 2008 Involvement of estrogen-related receptors in transcriptional response to hypoxia and growth of solid tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:7821–7826
- 169. Puigserver P, Rhee J, Lin J, Wu Z, Yoon JC, Zhang CY, Krauss S, Mootha VK, Lowell BB, Spiegelman BM 2001 Cytokine stimulation of energy expenditure through p38 MAP kinase activation of PPARγ coactivator-1. Mol Cell 8:971–982
- 170. Lagouge M, Argmann C, Gerhart-Hines Z, Meziane H, Lerin C, Daussin F, Messadeq N, Milne J, Lambert P, Elliott P, Geny B, Laakso M, Puigserver P, Auwerx J 2006 Resveratrol improves mitochondrial function and protects against metabolic disease by activating SIRT1 and PGC-1α. Cell 127:1109–1122

Endocrine Reviews is published by The Endocrine Society (http://www.endo-society.org), the foremost professional society serving the endocrine community.