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#### Abstract

An expression is derived for the time transformationt- $\tau$, where $t$ is coordinate time in the solar system barycentric space-time frame of reference and $\tau$ is proper time obtained from a fixed atomic clock on earth. This transformation is suitable for use in the compatation of high-precision earth-based range and doppler observables of a spacecraft or celestial body located anywhere in the solar system; it can also be used in obtaining computed values of Very Long Baseline Interferometry data types. The formulation for computing range and doppler observables, which is an explicit function of the transformation $t-\tau$, is described briefly.


## 1. Introduction

This paper derives an expression for the time transformation $t-\tau$, where $t$ is coordinate time in the solar system barycentric space-time frame of reference and $\tau$ is proper time obtained from a fixed atomic clock on the surface of the earth. ${ }^{1}$ The expression is obtained using general relativity; however, to the accuracy of the retained terms, it is consistent with all viable relativistic theories of gravitation. The expression for $t-\tau$ is suitable for use in the computation of high-precision earth-based range and doppler observables of a spacecraft or celestial body located anywhere in the solar system. It can also be used in obtaining computed values of Very Long Baseline Interferometry data types. The expression for $t-\tau$ can be used in orbit determination programs in which the motion of bodies and light is represented in the solar system barycentric space-time frame of reference with coordinate time tas an independent variable. The errors in computed range and doppler observables due to neglected terms in the expression for $t-\tau$ will not exceed approximately 0.62 m and $2.4 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively, per astronomical unit of range to the spacecraft. These figures apply specifically for two-way tracking data (transmitted and received at the same tracking station on earth).

An expression for $t^{\prime}-\tau$, where $t^{\prime}$ is coordinate time in the heliocentric space-time frame of reference, was previously obtained by Moyer (1971). However, a term affecting three-way tracking data (transmitted at one station on earth and received at a second station) was inadvertently omitted. This previous expression for $t^{\prime}-\tau$ was obtained by a straightforward integration of the differential equation for dT/dt'. Thomas (1975) has shown that the use of integration by parts and a first-order expansion of the gravitational potential simplifies the derivation and provides a clearer umderstanding of the physical origins of the various terms. The present derivation for $t-\tau$, where $t$ is coordinate time in the solar system barycentric space-time frame of reference, uses the method of Thomas (1975) and produces an expression that inchudes all of the terms previously obtained by Moyer (1971), with minor

[^0]changes in the coefficients, and the above-mentioned three-way term. The coefficients obtained in this paper are slightly more accurate than those previously obtained. The differences are due to different truncation errors for the two methods. In addition, six new periodic terms are obtained. Two of these are due to long-period variations in the gravitational potential at the earth due to Jupiter and Saturn. The remaining four terms are due to the offset of the solar system barycenter from the center of the sum. Without these four terms, the new expression for $t-\tau$ applies (with slightly reduced ac euracy) for coordinate time $t^{\prime}$ in the heliocentric space-time frame of reference.

The differential equation for $d \tau /$ at is developed in Sections 2 and 3 . It is integrated to give an intermediate expression for $t-\tau$ in Sections 4 and 5 . The periodic terms of this intermediate expression, which are integrals or dot products of position and velocity vectors, are converted to sums of sinusoidal functions in Section 6 . These terms are collected in Section 7 to give the final expression for $t-\tau$. Auxiliary equations for conputing the arguments of the periodic terms of $t-\tau$ are also given. The final expression for $t-\tau$ and the auxiliary equations give $t-\tau$ as a function of time and the earth-fixed coordinates of the atomic clock. Section 8 gives estimates for errors in computed range and doppler observables due to terms neglected in the final expression for $t-\tau$. The effects of the retained terms of $t-\tau$ on these observables are also given.

Section 9 gives an alternate expression for $t-\tau$ which is a function of the position and velocity vectors of the atomic clock and the major bodies of the solar system. This expression is the intermediate expression for $t-\tau$ given in Section 5 with the three terms which are expressed as integrals replaced by functions of position and velocity vectors. In certain circumstances, it may be desirable to comprate $t-T$ from position and velocity vectors using the equation given in Section 9 instead of computing it as a function of time using the formulation of Section 7.

The notation used is defined in the text. However, the definitions of the symbols used globally throughout this paper are repeated in Appendix A. Numerical values are given for those parameters which appear in the final expression for $t-7$. Appendix $B$ gives equations for computing range and
doppler observables. These equations are explicit functions of the time transformation $t-\tau$.

## 2. Differential Equation for $4 T /$ dt

The invariant interval ds between two events with differences in their space and time coordinates of $d x^{1}, d x^{2}, d x^{3}$, and $d x^{4}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=g_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the repeated indices are summed over the integers 1 through 4. The matrix of coefficients $g_{i j}$ is the metric tensor, obtained by solving Einstein's field equations. A solution for the case of n slowly moving bodies in the weak field approximation is the $n$-body metric tenser of Eddington and Clark (1938). ${ }^{2}$ This solution can be applied to the solar system. For this application, the coordinates $x^{1}, x^{2}$, and $x^{3}$ of this solution are nonrotating rectangular components $x, y$, and $z$, respectively, of position relative to the solar system barycenter; the coordinate $x^{4}=c t$, where $c$ is the speed of light and $t$ is coordinate time. In order to distinguish it from coordinate times of other solutions of the field equations, it will be referred to as coordinate time in the solar system barycentric space-tinge frame of reference. An interval of proper time d $\tau$ recorded on an atomic clock is related to the interval ds along its world line by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tau=\frac{d s}{c} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (1) and (2) relate an observed interval of proper time to the changes in the space and time coordinates of the atomic clock.

For the purpose of obtaining an expression for $t-\tau$, where $\tau$ is proper time recorded on a fixed atomic clock on earth, and $t$ is coordinate time in the solar system barycentric frame of reference, the $n$-body metric tensor

[^1]is substituted into (1) and terms are retained to order $(1 / \mathrm{c})^{\circ}$, giving
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(1-\frac{2 U}{e^{2}}\right) c^{2} d t^{2}-\left(d x^{2}+d y^{2}+d z^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $U$ is the Newtonian gravitational potential at the atomic clock, computed using the positive sign convention (i.e., $U=-\phi$ ). Let the velocity of the atomic clock relative to the solar system baryeenter be denoted by $\dot{s}$, which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{s}^{2}=\left(\frac{d x}{d t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d y}{d t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d z}{d t}\right)^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4) and (2) into (3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tau}{d t}=\left[1-\frac{2 U}{c^{2}}-\left(\frac{\dot{s}}{c}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding and retaining terms to order $1 / c^{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \dot{\tau}}{d t}=1-\frac{\mathrm{U}}{c^{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{\underline{\dot{s}}}}{c}\right)^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The neglected terms of $t-T$ due to the neglected $1 / c^{4}$ terms of (6) have a maximum magnitude of about $10^{-12} \mathrm{~s}$. They affect computed range and coppler observables by a maximum of about $10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}$ and $10^{-10} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, respectiveiy. Equation (3) is the "Newtonian" approximation to the $n$-body metric (see paragraph 39.7 of Misner, Thorne, and Wheeler, 1973). ${ }^{4}$ All viable relativistic theories of gravitation have the same metric to this level of

[^2]approximation. Hence, the expression for $t-\tau$ obtained from (6) is consistent with all viable relativistic theories of gravitation.

Equation (6) is the basic differential equation relating observed atomic time $\tau$ to coordinate time $t$ in the solar system barycentric frame of reference. The rate $d \tau / d t$ of an atomic clock relative to uniform coordinate time is a function of the Newtonian gravitational petential $U$ at the clock and the solar system barycentric velocity $\dot{s}$ of the clock.

Equation (6) will be used to obtain an expression for coordinate time $t$ minus proper time obtained from a fixed atomic clock on earth. In the expression to be obtained, the proper time will be specifically International Atomic Time TAI disseminated by the Bureau International de l'Heure (BIF). The TAI second is the SI second (International System of Units), which is the duration of 9192631770 periods of the radiation corresponding to the transition between the two hyperfine levels of the ground state of the cesium-133 atom (Mechtly, 1969). This is the observationally determined average length of the second of coordinate time $t$. ${ }^{5}$ Referring to Equation (6), periodic variations of $U$ and $\dot{s}^{2}$ from their average values produce periodic terms in $t-\tau$. However, the average values of $U$ and $\dot{s}^{2}$ for a fixed atomic clock on earth are positive, and the average length of an interval of proper time $d \boldsymbol{\tau}$ is less than the corresponding interval of coordimate time dt. Thus, the average length of the second of proper time $\tau$ is greater than the length of the second of coordinate time $t$. Proper time $\tau$ in (6) does not correspond to TAI because the average length of the TAI second is equal to the length of the second of coordinate time $t$. Let TAI be denoted by $\tau *$, which differs from $\tau$ in the length of the atomic second. A modified form of ( 6 ) will be obtained which applies for atomic time $\tau \%$. The average length of the $\tau *$ second must equal the length of the second of coordinate time $t$. Hence, the

5
Interpolation of the lunar ephemeris with ar observed longitude of thr moon gives an "observed" value of the independrint variable, coordinate time $t$. The average number of cyeles obtained from a cesium atomic clock per second of coordinate time $t$ was obtained by counting cycles of a cesium atomic clock between two observations of the moon and dividing the observed number of cycles by the difference of the two "observed" values o: cuordinate time $t$.
differential equation relating $\tau *$ and $t$ must satisfy the condition that the average value of $d r^{*}$ equals $d t$.

In (6), d $\tau$ is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \tau=\frac{\mathrm{dN}}{\mathrm{n}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d N$ is an observed number of cycles obtained from an atomic clock and $n$ (in units of cycles per second) is a conversion factor from cycles to seconds of atomic time. The value of $n$ corresponding to (6) is that value which results in the length of the second of proper time equalling the length of the second of coordinate time when the atomic clock is an infinite distance from the solar system and fixed relative to the solar system barycenter.
Equation (6) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tau}{d i t}=1-\frac{\overline{\bar{U}}}{c^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\overline{\dot{s}^{2}}}{c^{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{U}-\overline{\mathrm{U}}}{c^{2}}-\frac{1}{\overline{2}} \frac{\dot{\mathrm{~s}}^{2}-\overline{\dot{\mathrm{s}}^{\dot{2}}}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{U}$ is the time average value of $U$ at the atomic clock ${ }^{6}$ and $\overline{\dot{s}^{2}}$ is the time average value of $\dot{s}^{2}$ for the clock. Ignoring $1 / c^{4}$ terms, this may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tau}{d t\left(1-\frac{\bar{U}}{c^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{s}^{2}}{e^{2}}\right)}=1-\frac{\mathrm{U}-\overline{\mathrm{U}}}{c^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{s}^{2}-\overline{\dot{s}^{2}}}{e^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sulbstitute (7) into (9), and let atomic time $\tau^{*}$ be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \tau^{*}=\frac{\mathrm{dN}}{\mathrm{n}^{*}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{6}$ The time average value of $U$ is

$$
\overline{\mathrm{U}}=\lim _{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{T}} \int_{-T}^{T} U d t
$$

where the conversion factor $n *$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n *=n\left(1-\frac{\overline{\mathrm{U}}}{c^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\overline{\dot{s}^{2}}}{c^{2}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (9) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tau *}{d t}=1-\frac{\mathrm{U}-\overline{\mathrm{U}}}{c^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{\dot{s}}^{2}-\overline{\dot{s}^{2}}}{e^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average value of $\mathrm{d} \tau *$ equals $d t$ and hence atomic time $\tau *$ is the mathe matical representation of International Atomic Time TAI.

Periodic variations of $U$ and $\dot{s}^{2}$ from their average values result in periodic variations in atomic time $t *$ relative to coordinate time $t$ in the solar system barycentric frame of reference. Some of the periodic terms of $t-\tau *$ for atomic clocks at various locations on earth are not in phase. Thus, one is tempted to conclude that it is not possible to synchronize a world-wide network of atomic clocks which read atomic time $\tau \%$. However, Section 3 shows that such a system of atomic clocks can be synchronized with light signals.

In the conversion factor $n *$ given by Equation (11), the average value $\bar{U}$ varies with the location of the atomic clock on earth. However, the only term of $\overline{\mathrm{U}}$ which varies significantly is the gravitational potential $U(E)$ due to the earth. Similarly, the average value $\dot{s}^{2}$ varies with the location of the atomic clock on earth only because of the variation in the square of the geocentric velocity $v$ due to the earth's rotation ( $v=u w$, where u is the distance of the atomic clock from the earth's spin axis and $w$ is the inertial rotation rate of the earth). The only significant variable part of $n *$ is thus $-n\left[U(E)+(1 / 2) v^{2}\right] / c^{2}$. The quantity $U(E)+(1 / 2) v^{2}$, the sum of the earth's gravitational and centrifugal potentials, is constant on the geoid (mean sea level). The conversion factor $n *$ thus varies with altitude above the geoid; it increases at the approximate rate of $1.00 \times 10^{-3}$ cycles per second per kilometer. If the defined length of the TAI second ( 9192631770 cycles from a cesium atomic clock) is taken to apply at mean sea level, the conversion factor $n$ * (cycles from a cesium atomic clock per second of TAI)
is given approximately by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} *=9192631770+0.00100 \mathrm{~h} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where h is altitude above the geoid in kilemeters.
TAI is obtained in practice as a weighted average of times obtained from atomic clocks located at various altitudes. All of the clocks have the same conversion factor from cycles to seconds of atomic time. Prior to computing the weighted average, time obtained from each elock is corrected in value and rate (Bureau International de l'feure, 1975). The rate correetions remove the variations in the clock rates diue to the differing altitudes above mean sea level and other causes. Hence, in the determination of TAI, the altitude-dependent conversion factor $n *$ is used implicitly, but not explicitly.

In (12), the average lengths of the TAI and coordinate time seconds are the same and are equal to the "robserved" length of the coordinate time second. Hence, by using (12), the estimated length of the second of coordinate time becomes its adopted length. This does not produce any errors in observed minus computed residuals for tracking data obtained from a spacecraft, if the $n$-body ephemeris (for the planets, sum, and moon) is fit to observations using the expression for $t-\tau *$ obtained from Equation (12). Also, the observed minus computed residuals for the planets, sun, and moon are not degraded.

## 3. Synchronization of TAI Atomic Clecks

This section shows that a world-wide network of atomic cloeks which use the conversion factor no given by (ll) and read atomic time $\tau *=T A T$ can be synchronized by light signals. This conclusion is reached by considering the following expression for the interval ds which applies in a local region of the geocentric inertial (i.e., nonrotating) frame of reference:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left[1-\frac{2 U(E)}{c^{2}}\right] c^{2} d t^{2}-\left(d x^{2}+d y^{2}+d z^{2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U(E)$ is the gravitational potential due to the earth, $t$ is coordinate time in the geocentric inertial frame of reference, and $x, y$, and $z$ are rectangular components of position in this frame. Equation (14) is a modified form of (3)
applied to a local region of the geocentric inertial frame of reference. The modification consists of replacing the total gravitational potential by the potential due to the earth only. From the Principle of Equivalence, the accelerating earth produces a gravitational field which cancels (in a small region surrounding the earth) the gravitational field due to the sun, moon, and other bodies which accelerate the earth. Thus, in the local geocentric line element (14), the gravitational potential due to all bodies other than the earth does not appear.

Substituting (2) into (14) and retaining terms to order $1 / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r}{d t}=1-\frac{\mathrm{U}(E)}{\mathrm{c}^{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\dot{s}}{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{s}$ is the velocity of the atomic clock relative to the geocentric inertial frame of reference. The sum of the gravitational and centrifugal potentials, $U(E)+(1 / 2) \dot{s}^{2}$, is constant on the geoid and thus the rate of proper time $\tau$ varies with altitude above the geoid. The interval $d \tau$ is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \tau=\frac{\mathrm{dN}}{\mathrm{~m}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of the conversion factor (cycles per second of atomic, time) which corresponds to Equation (15) is that value which results in the length of the second of proper time $\tau$ equalling the length of the second of coordinate time $t$ for a fixed atomic clock at infinite distance from the earth. If the length of the atomic second is changed so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \tau *=\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbb{N}}{\mathrm{~m}^{3 \pi}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{*}=m\left(1-\frac{U(E)}{c^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{s}^{2}}{e^{2}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation (15) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \tau^{\text {弚 }}}{\mathrm{dt}}=1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using the altitude-dependent conversion factor m* results in atomic time $\tau^{*}$, which runs at the rate of coordinate time $t$ in the geocentric inertial frame of reference. The constant min (18) can be selected so that m*=n* given by (11) at mean sea level. However, the altitude-dependent terms in (18) and (11) are proportional to mand $n$, respectively, which differ by ternis of order $1 / c^{2}$. Thus the factor $1.00 \times 10^{-3}$ in (13) will agree with the corresponding factor obtained from (1-8) to about 7 digits. The maximum fractional difference between m* and $n *$ is about $10^{-21}$, which is negligible in relation to the current stabilities of atomic clocks. Thus, to sufficient aceuracy, atomic time $\tau *$ in (19) is the same time scale as $\tau *$ in (12).

From (19), atomie clocks at varying altitudes which read $T *$ have the same length for the second of atomic time (in seconds of coordinate time t). These clocks could be synchronized with a master clock by using light signals transmitted by way of an equatorial synchronous satellite. The Newtonian light time (calculated in the geocentric inertial frame of reference) from the master station to any other station is constant. The relativistic contribution to the light time is due to the mass of the earth only; it is less than 0.2 ns and constant. Hence, intervals of reception at the various stations will be identical to intervals of transmission at the master station. Since the various clocks have the same length for the atomic second, the transmission intervals can be synchronized with the seconds pulses of the master clock, and the reception intervals will be symehronized with (but out of phase with) the seconds pulses of the clock at the receiving station. In practice, only one signal is regaired to synchronize each elock with the master clock. Upon reception of the signal, the clock at the receiving station is set equal to the known transmission time plus the light time caleulated in the geocentric inertial frame of reference. The signal can be transmitted by way of any spacecraft in the earth's vicinity or by hunar bounce. For other methods of synchronizing atomic clocks on earth, see Thomas (1975).

## 4. Integration of Differential Equation

Equation (12) relates an infinitesimal interval of atomic time $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ obtained from a fixed atomic clock on earth to the corresponding interval of coordinate time $t$ in the solar system barycentric frame of reference, the Newtonian gravitational potential $U$ at the clock, its time average value $\overline{\mathrm{U}}$, the square of the solar system barycentric velocity of the clock $\dot{s}^{2}$, and its time average value $\overline{\dot{s}^{2}}$.

This section integrates Equation (12) to obtain an intermediate expression for $t-7 *$. The terms of this expression, which are integrals or dot products of position and velocity vectors, are converted to sums of sinusoidal functions in Section 6.

This section gives the magnitudes of several discarded termas of $t=\tau$ and their maximum effects on computed range and doppler observables. The effects that are range-dependent were evaluated at a range of 50 astromomical units. The most significant of these errors are included in the error summary in Section 8.

In the derivation of the expression for $t-T \geqslant$ the effects of solid earth tides, polar motion, and matation are ignored. The maximum effects of these phenomena on two-way or three-way range and doppler observables, due to changes in $t-\tau *$, are given in Table I:

TABLE I
Effects on range and doppler observables due to changes in $t-\tau$ 乘

| Phenomenon | Range, m | Doppler, m/s |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Solic earth tides | $10^{-3}$ | $10^{-7}$ |
| Polar motion | $10^{-2}$ | $10^{-7}$ |
| Nutation | $10^{-1}$ | $10^{-6}$ |

In the evaluation of the terms of $t-T *$ in Section 6, the effects of precession are acconnted for. In the final expression for $t-i *$, the coefficients of some of the terms are explicit functions of the earth-fixed coordinates of the atomic clock. Continental drift will result in a slow drift in the values of these coordinates.

In (12), U- $\overline{\mathrm{U}}$ excludes the constant potential due to the earth and can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}-\overline{\mathrm{U}}=\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{A}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)_{\mathrm{P}}+\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)_{\mathrm{P}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{A}$ and $U_{E}$ are the gravitational potentials due to all bodies except the earth, evaluated at the location of the atomic clock and at the center of the earth, respectively. The subscript pindicates that only the periodic terms of the quantity are to be retained.

In the following, $\underline{Y}_{i}^{j}$, $\dot{\underline{r}}_{i}^{j}$, and $\dot{\underline{r}}_{i}^{j}$ will refer to the position, velocity, and acceleration vectors, respectively, of point i relative io point $j$. The quantity $\dot{s}_{i}^{j}$ is the velocity of point $i$ relative to pois' $j$. The components of $\underline{r}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{j}}$ are space coordinates in the solar system bary-entric space-time frame of reference. The dots denote differentiation with respect to coordinate time $t$ in this frame of reference. In (12), $\dot{s}^{2}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{s}^{2}=\left(\dot{\underline{x}}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}}+\dot{\underline{\dot{x}}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{C}\right) \cdot\left(\dot{\underline{\dot{x}}}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}}+\dot{\underline{\dot{r}}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{C}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, E$, and $C$ refer to the locations of the atomic clock, the center of the earth, and the solar systm barycenter, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{s}^{2}-\overline{\dot{s}^{2}}=\left[\left(\dot{s}_{A}^{E}\right)^{2}+2 \dot{\dot{r}}_{E}^{C} \cdot \dot{\dot{x}}_{A}^{E}+\left(\dot{\dot{s}}_{E}^{C}\right)^{2}\right]_{P} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (20) and (22) into (12), dencting $\tau *$ by $\tau$, and integrating from an initial epoch (subscript o) to the current time $t$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
t-\tau= & (t-\tau)_{0} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[2 U_{E}+\left(\dot{s}_{A}^{E}\right)^{2}+\left(\dot{s}_{E}^{C}\right)^{2}\right]_{P} d t  \tag{23}\\
& +\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(U_{A}-U_{E}+\dot{\underline{\dot{E}}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \dot{\underline{E}}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{dt}
\end{align*}
$$

In the first integral of (23), $\dot{s}_{A}^{E}$ is mearly constant and periodic variations in the square of this quantity can be ignored. In the second integral, the potential difference $U_{A}-U_{E}$ may be expanded to first order:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{e^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(U_{A}-U_{E}\right)_{P} d t=\frac{1}{e^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[(\nabla U)_{E} \cdot \underline{r_{A}}\right]_{P} d t \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the gradient $(\nabla \mathrm{U})_{E}$ is evaluated at the center of the earth. The neglected higher-order terms in this expansion produce terms of $t-\tau$ with magnitudes of $10^{-11} s$ or less. They affect computed range and doppler observables by less than $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$ and $10^{-8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. In the second integral of (23), the dot product of the two velocity vectors is integrated by parts:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\dot{\underline{r}}_{E}^{C} \cdot \dot{\underline{r}}_{A}^{E}\right)_{P} d t=\left.\frac{1}{c^{2}}\left(\dot{\dot{x}}_{E}^{C} \cdot x_{A}^{E}\right)\right|_{t_{0}} ^{t}-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\underline{x}_{A}^{E} \cdot \dot{\underline{r}}_{E}^{C}\right)_{P} d t(2 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since terms of order $1 / c^{4}$ arc ignored, the acceleration of the earth can be approximated by its Newtonian value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\underline{\underline{F}}}_{E}^{C}=(\nabla U)_{E} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the second term of (25) cancels (24). This cancellation of the potential variation $J_{A}-U_{E}$ by the earth's acceleration is in accordance with the Principle of Equivalence. According to that principle, in a small region surrounding the freely falling earth, the sum of the gravitational potential due to the bodies accelerating the earth and the inertial potential due to the accelerated earth is constant to a high degree of accuracy. Substituting (24) through (26) into (23) and discarding the term $\left(\dot{S}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{2}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
t \rightarrow \tau= & (t-\tau)_{O} \\
& +\frac{1}{e^{2}} \int_{t_{O}}^{t}\left[U_{E}+\frac{1}{\overline{2}}\left(\dot{s}_{E}^{C}\right)^{2}\right]_{P} d t  \tag{27}\\
& +\left.\frac{1}{e^{2}}\left(\frac{\dot{x}_{E}^{C}}{\cdots_{E}^{E}} \cdot \frac{E}{A}\right)\right|_{t_{O}} ^{t}
\end{align*}
$$

The derivation of (27), starting from (23), is due to Thomas (1975). His Equation (13) corresponds to (27) above.

In the integral in (27), the gravitational potential at the earth due to the moon can be ignored. The neglected periodic terms of $t-\tau$ are smaller than 3 ns and affect computed range and doppler observables by less than 0.1 m and $0.2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. The potential $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{E}}$ in (27) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{E}=\left(U_{E}-U_{B}\right)+U_{B} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{E}$ and $U_{B}$ are gravitational potentials at the earth and earth-moon barycenter B, respectively, excluding the terms due to the earth and moon. The potential difference $U_{E}-U_{B}$ may be expanded to first order:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{E}}-\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{B}}=(\nabla \mathrm{U})_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \underline{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{B}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the gradient is evaluated at the earth-moon barycenter. The neglected terms in this expansion produce terms in $t-\tau$ which are smaller than $10^{-12}$ s and uffect computed range and doppler observables by less than $10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}$ and $10^{-9} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. In (27), the square of the solar system barycentric velocity of the earth can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\dot{\dot{s}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{2} & =\left(\dot{\underline{\dot{E}}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{B}}+\dot{\underline{\dot{x}}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{C}}\right) \cdot\left(\dot{\underline{\dot{r}}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{B}}+\dot{\underline{\dot{x}}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{C}}\right) \\
& =\left(\dot{\dot{s}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{2}+2 \dot{\underline{E}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \dot{\underline{\dot{x}}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{B}}+\left(\dot{\mathrm{s}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{2} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

The periodic terms of $\left(\dot{s}_{E}^{B}\right)^{2}$ can be neglected. They produce terms of $t-T$ smaller than $10^{-10}$ s which affect computed range and doppler observables by less than $10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$ and $10^{-8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. The dot product in (30) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\underline{\dot{r}}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \dot{\underline{\dot{x}}}_{E}^{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{\underline{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{dit}}\left(\dot{\underline{\dot{x}}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \underline{\underline{r}}_{E}^{\mathrm{B}}\right)-\underline{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \ddot{\underline{\dot{x}}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{C}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ignoring relativistic terms and assuming the earth and moon are located at their barycenter gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\underline{E}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{C}}=\langle\nabla \mathrm{V}\rangle_{\mathrm{B}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sulostituting (28) - (32) except the term $\left(\dot{s}_{E}^{B}\right)^{2}$ of (30) into (27) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
t-\tau= & (t-\tau)_{0} \\
& +\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[U_{B}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{s}_{B}^{C}\right)^{2}\right]_{p}^{d t}  \tag{33}\\
& +\left.\frac{\underline{1}}{e^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{r}}_{B}^{C} \cdot \underline{r}_{E}^{B}\right)\right|_{t_{0}} ^{t}+\left.\frac{1}{e^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \underline{r}_{A}^{E}\right)\right|_{t_{0}} ^{t}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U_{B}$ excludes the potential due to the earth and moon. The cancellation of the terms of $t-\tau$ due to (29) and the second term of (31) is in accordance with the Principle of Equivalence. This cancellation is associated with the earth-moon barycentric frame of reference and is analogous to the previously described cancellation in the geocentric frame of reference.

In (33), the second term of the integral can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{e^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\dot{s}_{B}^{C}\right)^{2}\right]_{P} d t= & \frac{1}{e^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{s}_{B}^{S}\right)^{2}+\dot{\underline{s}}_{S}^{C} \cdot \dot{\underline{i}}_{B}^{S}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{s}_{S}^{C}\right)_{p}^{2}\right]_{P} d t \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S$ refers to the center of the sum. The last term of the integral is negligible. The second term is integrated by parts;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\dot{\underline{r}}_{S}^{C} \cdot \dot{\underline{r}}_{B}^{S}\right)_{P} d t=\frac{1}{c^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{i}}_{S}^{C} \cdot \dot{x}_{B}^{S}\right)_{t_{0}}^{t^{t}}-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\dot{x}_{B}^{S} \cdot \ddot{x}_{S}^{C}\right)_{P} d t \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since terms of order $1 / \mathrm{c}^{4}$ are ignored, the integral in (35) can be evaluated using Newtonian accelerations. Also, the earth and moon can be considered to be located at the earth-moon barycenter. The result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{e^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(r_{B}^{S} \cdot \ddot{r}_{S}^{C}\right)_{p} d t=\sum_{i} \frac{e^{i}}{c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{1}{r_{i}^{3}}\left({\underset{r}{S}}_{S}^{B} \cdot r_{i}^{s}\right)\right]_{p} d t \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation over i includes eight planets and the earth - moon barycenter. The quantity $\underline{\underline{r}}_{i}^{S}$ is the heliocentric position vector of planet $i$, $r_{i}$ is its magnitude, and $\mu_{i}$ is the gravitational constant of the planet (see Appendix A). When i refers to the earth - moon barycenter, $\mu_{i}$ is the sum of the gravitational constants of the earth and moon. Assuming that the planets move in the ecliptic plane, (36) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\underline{\underline{r}}_{B}^{S} \cdot \ddot{\underline{r}}_{S}^{C}\right)_{p} d t=\sum_{i} \frac{\mu_{i}}{c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[\frac{r \cos \left(\ell-\ell_{i}\right)}{r_{i}^{2}}\right]_{P} d t \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the magnitude of $\underset{=}{B}$, $\ell$ is the true longitude of the sun measured at the earth - moon barycenter, and $l_{i}$ is the heliocentric true longitude of planeti. Both of these angles are referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of date. When i refers to the earth - moon barycenter, $r_{i}=r$ and $\ell-\ell_{i}= \pm 180^{\circ}$.

The first term of the integral in (33) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(U_{B}\right)_{P} d t=\frac{1}{e^{2}} \int_{t_{\theta}}^{t}\left[U_{B}(S)\right]_{P} d t+\sum_{i} \frac{1}{e^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[U_{B}(i)\right]_{P} d t \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{B}$ ( $S$ ) and $U_{B}(i)$ are the contributions to the gravitational potential at the earth - moon barycenter due to the sun $S$ and a planet i, respectively. The summation over i includes all planets except the earth and excludes the moon. For an outer planet i,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[U_{B}(i)\right]_{p} d t= & \frac{\mu_{i}}{e^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{\frac { 1 } { r _ { i } } \left[1-\left(\frac{r}{r_{i}}\right) \cos \left(\ell-\ell_{i}\right)\right.\right. \\
& -\frac{3}{8}\left(\frac{r}{r_{i}}\right)^{3} \cos \left(\ell-\ell_{i}\right)+\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{r}{r_{i}}\right)^{2} \cos 2\left(\ell-\ell_{i}\right) \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{r}{r_{i}}\right)^{2}-\frac{5}{8}\left(\frac{r}{r_{i}}\right)^{3} \cos 3\left(\ell-\ell_{i}\right)\right]\right\}_{P} d t \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where all terms to order $\left(r / r_{i}\right)^{3}$ have been retained, and the planet $i$ is assumed to move in the ecliptic plane. When $i$ refers to an inner planet, $r_{i}$ and rare interchanged in (39).

For an outer planet, the second term of (39) cancels the texm of (37) for that planet. The first term of (39) is retained for Jupiter and Saturn only. The remaining terms of (39) are ignored for all outer planets. For an inner planet, there is no canceilation between (39), after interchanging $r$ and $r_{i}$, and the term of (37) for that planet. All terms of (39) are ignored for Mercury and for Venus. The terms of (37) for Mercury, Venus, and the earth - moon barycenter are also ignored. Substituting the surviving terms of (34), (35), (38) and (39) into (33) and replacing solar system barycentric velocity vectors with heliocentric velocity vectors plus $\dot{\underline{i}}_{\underset{S}{C}}^{S}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{t}-\tau=(\mathrm{t}-\tau)_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left.\frac{1}{e^{2}}\left(\underset{\underline{E}}{\underline{S}} \cdot \underset{\underline{I}_{A}^{E}}{E}\right)\right|_{t_{0}} ^{t}+\frac{1}{e^{2}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\frac{\mu_{J}}{r_{J}}\right)_{p} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{J}$ and $\mu_{S A}$ are gravitational constants for Jupiter and Saturn, respectively, and $r_{J}$ and $r_{S A}$ are the heliocentric distances of these bodies. The term

$$
\left.\frac{1}{c^{2}}\left(\underline{\dot{x}}_{S}^{C} \cdot \underline{r}_{E}^{B}\right)\right|_{t_{0}} ^{t}
$$

is negligible and has been omitted from (40). The neglected terms in Equations (37) and (39) result in a maximum error in $t-\tau$ of about $1.3 \mu s$; the corresponding errors in computed range and doppler observables can be up to 1.1 m and $0.3 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively.

For an outer planet, the first term of (39) is the gravitational potential at the sum due to the planet (divided by $\mathrm{c}^{2}$ ). The remaining terms are thus the potential at the earth - moon barycenter $U_{B}(i)$ minus the potential at the $\sin U_{S}(i)$. The second term of (39) is the dot product of the gradient of the potential due to the planet (evaluated at the center of the sun) and the heliocentric position vector of the earth - moon baryenter. The cancellation of this term with the term of (37) due to the same planet is in accordance with the Principle of Equivalence. In a small region surrounding the sun, the variation in the gravitational potential due to a planet is cancelled to high accuracy by the inertial potential arising from the sun's acceleration clue to that planet. However, the cancellation of the potential difference $U_{B}(i)-U_{S}(i)$ due to an outer planet $i$ is only approximate as evidenced by the higher-order terms in (39). For an inner planet, the cancellation of this potential difference is not even approximate; the term of (37) for an inmer planet does not cancel any term of (39) when $r$ and $r_{j}$ are interchanged in (39).

## 5. Initial Values

The purpose of this section is to determine the value of $(t-\tau)_{0}$ in Equation (40). The subscript o indicates that this quantity is to be evaluated at the initial epoch $t_{0}$. The value of $(t-\tau)_{o}$ is station-dependent; that is, it depends upon the location of the atomic clock on earth. However, it will be seen that $(t-T)_{0}$ minus the initial values of all of the periodic terms of (40) has the same value for all stations; it is denoted by $\Delta T_{A}$.

The conclusion that the constant $\Delta T_{A}$ does not vary with the location of the atomic clock on earth follows from a consideration of the Lorentz transformation. One of the four equations of this transformation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\dot{s}^{2}}{c^{2}}}}\left(t^{\prime}+\frac{\dot{s}}{c^{2}} \frac{x^{\prime}}{2}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this application, $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ refer to coordinate time in the selar system barycentric and geocentric inertial frames of reference, respectively. The coordinate $x^{\prime}$ is the component of the geocentric position vector of the atomic clock along the instantaneous direction of the earth's velocity vector relative to the solar system barycenter. The magnitude of this vector is $\dot{s}$.

Section 3 showed that atomic clocks on earth which read International Atomic Time $\tau$ (denoted as $\tau$ starting in Section 4) can be synchronized. It was also shown that this time scale is identical with coordinate time in the geocentric inertial frame of reference. Hence, for two such
synchronized clocks, the interval $\Delta t^{\prime}$ between corresponding ticks is zero, and (41) gives the interval of coordinate time $t$ between the two "synchronized" ticks:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta t=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{\dot{s}^{2}}{c^{2}}}}\left(\frac{\dot{s} \cdot \Delta x^{\prime}}{c^{2}}\right)=\frac{\dot{s} \Delta x^{\prime}}{c^{2}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta x^{\prime}$ is the difference of the $x^{\prime}$ coordinates of the two atomic clocks. Thus, fixed observers in the solar system barycentric frame of reference do not agree that the two atomic clocks on earth are synchronized. The difference in the definitions of simultaneity in these two frames of reference is due to their relative velocity $\mathrm{s}^{2}$.

The transformation $t-\tau$ given by (40) mast be consistent with (42). This allows the relation between values of $\Delta T_{A}$ for clifferent stations to be determined. Let $(t-\tau)_{2}$ and $(t-\tau)_{1}$ refer to values of $t-\tau$ for two diffexent stations on earth at a given epoch $\tau$. Since the atomic clocks at these stations (which read $\tau$ ) are synchronized, the difference $(t-\tau)_{2}-(t-\tau)_{1}$ is the interval of coordinate time $t$ in the solar system barycentric frame of reference between corresponding ticks of the two atomic clocks on earth. This interval must be equal to $\Delta t$ given by (42). The sum $T$ of terms four and eight of (40) excluding the values of the functions at the initial epoch $t_{\theta}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{c^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{\dot{r}}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \underline{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $T$ for station 2 minus the value for station 1 is equal to the approximate form of (42). In order for $(t-\tau)_{2}-(t-\tau)_{1}$ to be equal to this same quantity, the term $(t-\tau){ }_{0}$ of (40) minus the initial values of terms four and eight must be the same constant for all stations. The initial values of the remaining terms of (40) are not station-dependent; they can be sulbtracted from this constant to give a second constant which is the same for all stations. This second constant is $\Delta T_{A}$, previously defined
as $(t-\tau)_{o}$ minus the values of all periodic terms of (40) at the initial epoch $t_{0}$. Using this notation in (40) and expressing term four as the sum of two terms gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{t}-\tau=\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}} \\
& +\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int\left[U_{B}(S)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{s}_{B}^{S}\right)^{2}\right]_{p} d t+\frac{\underline{l}}{c^{2}}(\underline{\dot{r}} \underset{B}{S} \cdot \underline{\underline{r}} \underset{E}{\mathrm{~B}})
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constants of integratiom for the three indefinite integrals are zero.

All terms of (44) except the first term are periodic. Terms four, five, and nine represent periodic variations in the rate of a specific clock on earth relative to uniform coordinate the $t$ in the solar system barycentric frame of reference. The remaining terms represent periodic variations in the rates of all clocks on earth. At a given epoch, the difference in the sums of terms four, five, and nine for two different stations on earth represents the difference in the definitions of simultaneity for observers on earth and observers fixed in the selar system barycentric frame of reference.

The expressions for $\mathrm{t}-\tau$ given in this report apply for ideal International Atomic Time $\tau$, which in general Aiffers from actual International Atomic Time $\tau(A)$. If an expression is desired for $t-\tau(A)$, terms representing $\tau-\tau(A)$ must be aded to the expressions for $t-\tau$ given in this report.

It was announced at the 16 th General Assembly of the International Astronomical Union (held in Grenoble in August 1976) that the second of TAI
is shorter than the SI second at mean sea level by $1.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~s}$. A resolution was adopted ${ }^{7}$ to increase the length of the TAI second by $1.0 \times 10^{-12}$ s at January 1, 1977, $0^{\text {h }}$ TAI. Using $\tau=\tau$ (A) at this epoch, the approximate expression for $\tau-\tau(A)$ which applies from January 1, 1972, $0^{h}$ TAI (when the TAI time scale was officially adopted) to January 1, 1977, 0 h TAI is:

$$
\tau-\tau(\mathrm{A})=-1 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{t}
$$

where t (a negative number) is seconds past January 1, 1977, 0h TAI. The a priori estimate for $\tau-\bar{\tau}(\mathrm{A})$ which applies after this epoch is zero.

The International Astronomical Union has adopted ${ }^{7}$ an atomic time scale which uses the SI second at mean sea level and has the value TAI +0.0003725 days exactly ( 32.184 s exactly) at Januaxy 1, 1977, 0h TAI. It has further stated that there be only periodic variations between this time scale and coordinate time in the solar-system barycentric space-time frame of reference. Hence, for $r=\tau(A)$ at January $1,19770^{\text {h }}$ TAI, the International Astronomical Union has adopted 32.184 s (exactly) for the value of the constant $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}$.

The value of $\Delta T A$ does not affect observed minus computed residuals for tracking data obtained from a spacecraft if the $n$-body ephemeris used was fit to observations using the same value for $\Delta T_{A}$. The value of $\Delta T_{A}$ is an adopted constant used in the expression for $t-\tau$; there is no error in $\Delta T_{A}$ which contributes to errors in computed range and doppler observables.

[^3]
## 6. Evaluation of Periodic Terms

This section converts the periodic terms in Equation (44) for $t-\tau$ to sums of sinusoidal functions. The various assumptions made and the terms which are discarded are stated but are not justified in this section. However, the resulting errors in computed range and doppler observables are given in Section 8 along with the effects of the retained terms of $t-\tau$. The final expression for $t-\tau$ and the equations for evaluating the arguments of its periodic terms are given in Section 7 .

Several of the periodic terms in (44) contain dot products of pesition and velocity vectors. All of the velocity vectors are inertial velocity vectors; the inertial velocity vector $\dot{F}_{i}^{j}$ gives the velocity vector of point i relative to a nonrotating coordinate system centered at point $j$. In evaluating the dotproduct terms, the components of each of the two vectors are referred to a nonrotating coordinate system which is aligned with the instantaneous position of a rotating coordinate system. It should be moted that the velocity vectors do not give velocity componente relative to the rotating coordinate systems. The two vectors required for the evaluation of a particular term are referred to either the mean earth equator and equinox of date or the mean equinox and ecliptic of date. By using these eoordinate systems, th二 final expression for $t-\tau$ accoants for the precession of the earth's eguator.

### 6.1 ANNUAL TERM

The second term of Equation (44) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{2}=\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int\left[U_{B}(S)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{S}_{B}^{S}\right)^{2}\right]_{p} d t \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant of integration is zero. This term is evaluated assuming that the heliocentric orbit of the earth-moon barycenter is an ellipse with semi-major axis a, eccentricity e, radial coordinate r, and eccentric anomaly $\mathbb{E}$. The gravitational potential at the earth-moon barycenter dae to the sum is
$U_{B}(S)=\frac{\mu}{r}$
where $\mu_{S}$ is the gravitational constant of the sun. The square of the heliocentric velocity of the earth-moon barycenter is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\dot{S}_{B}^{S}\right)^{2}=\left(\mu_{S}+\mu_{E}+\mu_{M}\right)\left(\frac{2}{\bar{F}}-\frac{1}{\bar{a}}\right)=\mu_{S}\left(\frac{2}{\bar{F}}-\frac{l}{a}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{E}$ and $\mu_{M}$ are the gravitational constants of the earth and moon, respectively. The inverse of $r$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r}=\frac{1}{a}+\frac{e}{r} \cos E \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sulbstituting (46), the approximate form of (47), and (48) into the integrand of (45) and retaining only the periodic terms as specified by the subscript $p$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{~S})+\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{S}}\right)^{2}\right]_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{2_{\mu} \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{r}} \cos \mathrm{E} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative of $E$ with respect to $t$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{E}=\frac{1}{r} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{S}+\mu_{\underline{E}}+\mu_{M}}{a}} \approx \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{S}}{a}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (49) into (45), multiplying the integrand by $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{E}$ ( and dividing it by the approximate form of (50) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{2}=\frac{2}{c^{2}} \sqrt{\mu_{\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{a}}}} \mathrm{e} \sin \mathrm{E} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting numerical values from Appendix A gives, in units of seconds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{t}-\pi)_{2}=1.658 \times 10^{-3} \sin \mathrm{E} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficient is given to four digits because e is constant to about that many digits from 1950 to 2000. The error due to this approximation is included in the error summary in Section 8. The approximation of the factor $\mu_{S}+\mu_{E}+\mu_{M}$ by $\mu_{s}$ in (47) and (50) is valid since these two quantities agree to six digits.

The term (51) was obtained by Moyer (1965). It was also obtained using an alternate derivation by J. D. Anderson (unpublished) in 1964. The derivation of (51) does not involve an expansion in powers of e. Clemence and Szebehely (1967) used such an expansion and obtained a series of terms, the first of which is (51) with E replaced by the mean anomaly M. Their complete series does convert to the form (51). The annual variation in the rate of atomic clocks on earth corresponding to the term (5l) of $t-\tau$ was obtained by Aoki (1964).

### 6.2 MONTHLY TERM

The third term of Equation (44) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-t)_{3}=\frac{1}{c^{2}}\left(\dot{r}_{B}^{S} \cdot \underset{E}{B}\right) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{3}=\frac{1}{c^{2}(1+\mu)}\left(\dot{\underline{\dot{q}}}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \underline{r}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${\underset{M}{M}}_{\mathbb{E}}$ is the geccentric position vector of the moon and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\mu}=\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{E}}}{\mu_{\mathrm{M}}} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both vectors in (54) are evaluated assuming circular orbits, and the $5^{\circ}$ inclination of the lunar orbit to the ecliptic is ignored. With rectangular components referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of date, these vectors are given by

$$
\dot{\underline{\dot{x}}}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{B}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\sin  \tag{56}\\
\cos L \\
0
\end{array}\right] \dot{\mathrm{s}}_{\mathrm{c}}
$$

and

$$
\stackrel{\mathbf{r}}{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{E}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \mathbb{G} & 7  \tag{57}\\
\sin \mathbb{d} & ]^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M} \\
0 & ]^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\bar{s}}_{c} \quad=\quad \text { circular orbit velocity of earth-moon barycenter relative } \\
& \text { to the sun (see Appendix A) } \\
& \text { I. } \quad=\quad \text { earth-moon-barycentric mean longitude of the sum, referred } \\
& \text { to the mean equinox and ecliptic of date } \\
& a_{M}=\text { semi-major axis of geocentric orbit of the moon } \\
& \mathbb{C}=\text { geocentric mean longitude of the moon, referred to the } \\
& \text { mean equinox and ecliptic of date }
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting (56) and (57) into (54) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{3}=\frac{\dot{s_{e}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}(1+\mu)} \sin D \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$, the mean elongation of the moon from the sun, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{D}=\mathbb{C}-L \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting numerical values from Appendix A gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{3}=1.548 \times 10^{-6} \sin D \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error summary in Section 8 gives the errors in computed range and doppler observables due to truncating the coefficients of the periodic terms of $t-\tau$.

### 6.3 DAILY TERM DUE TO MONTHLY MOTION OF EARTH

The fourth term of Equation (44) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{4}=\frac{1}{c^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{\dot{T}}}_{\underline{E}}^{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \underline{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{4}=-\frac{1}{c^{2}(1+\mu)}\left(\dot{\underline{r}}_{M}^{E} \cdot{\underset{A}{E}}_{E}^{E}\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orbit of the moon is assumed to be circular and in the ecliptic plane. The vectors in (62), with rectangular components referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of date, are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{\dot{\underline{E}}}{M}_{\underline{E}}^{\underline{E}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\sin \mathbb{C} \\
\cos \mathbb{I} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \dot{s}_{M}  \tag{63}\\
& \underline{\underline{E}}_{A}^{E}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
u \cos \left(\theta_{M^{+}}^{+\lambda)}\right. \\
u \sin \left(\theta_{M^{+}}^{+\lambda)} \cos \epsilon+\psi \sin \epsilon\right. \\
-u \sin \left(\theta_{M^{+}}^{+\lambda)} \sin \epsilon+v \cos \epsilon\right.
\end{array}\right] \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{s}_{M} & =\text { circular orbit velocity of the moon relative to earth (see } \\
& \text { Appendix A) } \\
\epsilon & =\text { mean obliquity of the ecliptic } \\
& =\text { inclination of the ecliptic plane to mean earth equator of } \\
& \text { date }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{\theta_{\mathrm{M}}}= & \text { mean sidereal time }=\text { Greenwich hour angle of mean } \\
& \text { equinox of date }
\end{aligned}
$$

and $u, v$, and $\lambda$ are earth-fixed coordinates of the atomic clock. The coordinate $u$ is the distance from the earth's spin axis in kilometers, $v$ is the distance north of the earth's equatorial plane in kilometers, and $\lambda$ is the east longitude. Substituting (63) and (64) into (62) and using trigonometric identities gives

$$
\begin{align*}
(t-\tau)_{4}= & -\frac{\dot{s}_{M}}{c^{2}(1+\mu)}\left\{\frac { u } { 2 } \left[( 1 + \operatorname { c o s } \varepsilon ) \operatorname { s i n } \left(\theta_{\left.M^{+\lambda}-\mathbb{U}\right)}\right.\right.\right.  \tag{65}\\
& \left.-(1-\cos \epsilon) \sin \left(\theta_{M^{+}}+(\mathbb{C})\right]+v \sin \epsilon \cos \mathbb{C}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The second and third terms of this expression are neglected.

From pages $73-74$ of the Explanatory Supplement to the Ephemeris (1961), the relation between mean sidereal time ${ }_{M}$ and wiversal time UT is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{UT}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{U}}-12^{\mathrm{h}} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where UT refers specifically to universal time UTl, hours past midnight, and $R_{U}$ is the right ascension, measured from the mean equinox of date, of a fictitious point on the equator. The adopted expression for $R_{U}$, with units changed from hours to degrees, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{U}}=279^{\circ} 41 \cdot 27!.54+1296.02768^{\prime!} .13 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{U}}+1^{11.393} 5 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{U}}^{2} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{U}$ is the number of Julian centuries of 36525 days of UTI elapsed since Jamuary 0, $1900,12^{\text {h }}$ UTI. From page 98 of the above reference, the mean longitude $L$ of the sum, referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of date, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=279^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 48^{\prime} \cdot 04+129602768!13 \mathrm{~T}+1!089 \mathrm{~T}^{2} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the number of Julian centuries of 36525 days of ephemeris time (coordinate time t) elapsed since January 0, 1900, $12^{\mathrm{h}}$ ephemeris time. The constant term of $R_{U}$ is $20^{11} 5$ smaller than the corresponding term of $L$ because $R_{U}$ is corrected for stellar aberration. The difference between $R_{U}$ and $L$ varies from about $21^{\prime \prime}$ at 1950 to about $23^{\prime \prime}$ at 2000. Because of this small and nearly constant difference, $\mathbb{R}_{U}$ in (66) is approximated by $L$ which gives, in radians,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{UT} 1+\mathrm{L}-\pi \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

If UTl is given in seconds past January $1,1950,0^{h}$ UTl, the angle UTl in radians is computed from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { UTl (radians) }=2 \pi\left\{\left[\frac{\text { UTl (seconds) }}{86400}\right]_{\text {decimal part }}\right\} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calendar date for the reference epoch for UTl (seconds) in (70) is arbitrary, but the time of day must be $0^{h}$ UTl. The fifects of the approximation in (69) are included in the error sumary given in Section 8.

Substituting (69) into the first term of (65) and using (59) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{4}=\frac{\dot{s}_{M}(1+\cos \mathrm{E})}{2 \mathrm{c}^{2}(1+\mu)} u \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda-\mathrm{D}) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting numerical values from Appendix A gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-T)_{4}=1.33 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{u} \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda-\mathrm{D}) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.4 DAILY TERMS AND SMALL ANNUAL TERM DUE TO ANNUAL MOTION OF EARTH

The fifth term of Equation (44) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{t}-\tau)_{5}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{\dot{r}}}_{-\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{S}} \cdot \underline{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}}\left(\dot{\dot{\dot{r}}}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \underline{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vectors in (73), with rectangular components referred to the mean earth equator and equimox of date, are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\underline{E}}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{B}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\sin (\ell-\gamma) \\
\cos (\ell-\gamma) \cos \epsilon \\
\cos (\ell-\gamma) \sin \epsilon
\end{array}\right] \dot{s}_{\mathrm{S}}  \tag{74}\\
& {\underset{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{~A}}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{cos}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{u} \cos \left(\theta_{\mathrm{M}^{+\lambda}}\right. \\
u \sin \left(\theta_{\mathrm{M}}+\lambda\right) \\
\mathrm{V}
\end{array}\right] \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$\dot{s}_{S}=$ velocity of earth-moon barycenter relative to sun
$\ell=$ earth-moon-barycentric true lomgitude of the sta, referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of date
$\gamma \quad=\quad$ elevation angle of heliocentric velocity vector of earthmoon barycenter, measured from the transverse direction (normal to radius)

From Broucke (1974),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{s}_{S}=\dot{s}_{c}\left(1-\frac{1}{4} e^{2}+e \cos M+\frac{3}{4} e^{2} \cos 2 M\right) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all terms to order $e^{2}$ have been retained, and $M$ is the mean anomaly of the heliocentric orbit of the earth-moon barycenter. The angle $\ell-Y$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell-Y=L+(f-M)-\gamma \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the true anomaly of the heliocentric orbit of the earth-moon barycenter. From Herrick (1971),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{e \sin E}{\sqrt{1-e^{2}}}\right) \approx e \sin E \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the approximate form is correct to order $e^{2}$. From Smart (1960),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin E=\sin M+\frac{1}{2} e \sin 2 M+\ldots \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f-M=2 e \sin M+\frac{5}{4} e^{2} \sin 2 M+\ldots \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sulbstituting (78) - (80) into (77) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell-\gamma=L+e \sin M+\frac{3}{4} e^{2} \sin 2 M \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

which includes all terms to order $e^{2}$. Substituting (74) and (75) inte (73), using trigonometric identities, and then substituting (69), (81), and (76) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
(t-\tau)_{5}= & \frac{\dot{s}_{c}(1+\cos \epsilon) u}{2 e^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{4} e^{2}+e \cos M+\frac{3}{4} e^{2} \cos 2 M\right) \\
& \times \sin \left(U T 1+\lambda-e \sin M-\frac{3}{4} e^{2} \sin 2 M\right) \\
& -\frac{\dot{s}_{c}(1-\cos \epsilon) u}{2 e^{2}}(1+e \cos M) \sin (U T 1+\lambda+2 L+e \sin M) \\
& -\frac{\dot{s}_{e}(\sin \epsilon) v}{c^{2}} \cos L \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

where all terms to order $e^{2}, e^{1}$, and $e^{0}$ have been retained in terms one, two, and three, respectively. Expanding andretaining terms to the same order of e gives

$$
\begin{align*}
(t-\tau)_{5}= & \frac{\dot{s}_{c^{(1+\cos \epsilon) u}}^{2 c^{2}}\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{2}\right) \sin (U T I+\lambda)\right.}{} \\
& +e \sin (U T 1+\lambda-M)-\frac{1}{8} e^{2} \sin (U T I+\lambda+2 M) \\
& +\frac{\left.\frac{1}{8} e^{2} \sin (U T I+\lambda-2 M)\right]}{2 c^{2}}[\sin (U T I+\lambda+2 L) \\
& -\frac{\dot{s}_{c}(1-\cos \epsilon) u}{\left.e^{2} \sin (U T I+\lambda+2 L+M)\right]} \\
& -\frac{\dot{s} c^{(\sin \epsilon) V}}{c^{2}} \cos L
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting mumerical values from Appendix A gives

$$
\begin{align*}
(t-\tau)_{5}= & 3.17679 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{u} \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda) \\
& +5.312 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{u} \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda-\mathrm{M}) \\
& -1.1 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{u} \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda+2 \mathrm{M}) \\
& +1.00 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{u} \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda-2 \mathrm{M}) \\
& -1.3677 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{u} \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda+2 \mathrm{~L}) \\
& -2.29 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{u} \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda+2 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{M}) \\
& -1.3184 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{v} \cos \mathrm{~L} \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

The term of (83) and (84) with argument (UT $1+\lambda$ ) was first obtained by Anderson (1968); the expression for the coefficient of his term differs slightly from that given in (83). The term with argument UTl $+\lambda+2 \mathrm{M}$ is not included in the final expression for $t-T$; the effects of deleting this term are included in the error summary in Section 8 .

### 6.5 LONG-PERIOD TERMS DUE TO JUPITER AND SATURN

The sixth term of Equation (44) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{6}=\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int\left(\frac{\mu^{\mu}}{r_{J}}\right)_{p} d t \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{J}$ is the gravitational constant of Jupiter and $r_{J}$ is the heliocentric radial coordinate of Jupiter. The constant of integration is zero. The seventh term of (44) is (85), with each subscript J replaced by the subscript SA, which refers to Saturn.

The inverse of $r{ }_{j}$ is given by (48), with a subscript $J$ added to each variable. Sulbstituting $1 / \mathrm{r} J$ into (85) and discarding the constant term gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{6}=\frac{1}{c^{2}} \int \frac{\mu_{J}^{e} J}{r_{J}} \cos E_{J} d t \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the integrand by $\mathrm{dE}_{J} / \mathrm{dt}$ and dividing it by $\dot{E}_{J}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{E}_{J}=\frac{1}{r_{J}} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{S}+\mu_{J}}{a_{J}}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t-\pi j_{6}=\frac{\mu_{j}^{e} J}{c^{2} \dot{s}_{J}} \sin E_{J}\right. \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{s}_{J}$ is the circular orbit velocity of Jupiter relative to the sun given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{s}_{J}=\sqrt{\frac{\mu_{S}+\mu}{a_{J}}} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sulbstituting mumerical values from Appendix A into (89) and (88) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{6}=5.21 \times 10^{-6} \sin E_{J} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding term for Saturn is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{7}=2.45 \times 10^{-6} \sin \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{SA}} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.6 TERMS WITH PERIODS EQUAL TO SYNODIC PERIODS FOR JUPTTER AND SATURN

The eighth term of Equation (44) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{8}=\frac{1}{c^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{r}}_{S}^{C} \cdot \underline{r}_{B}^{S}\right)=\frac{\underline{1}}{c^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{r}}_{C}^{S} \cdot \underline{E}_{S}^{B}\right) \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Terms will be obtained for Jupiter and Saturn due to their contribution to $\dot{\dot{E}_{C}}$. The contribution to ${\underset{\sim}{-}}_{S}^{S}$ due to planet $i$ with gravitational constant $\mu_{i}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\underline{\underline{r}}}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{i})=\frac{\mu_{\dot{\mathrm{i}}}}{\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{S}}} \dot{\underline{\dot{r}}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{S}} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{S}^{*}$ is the gravitational constant of the sun augmented by the gravitational constants of the planets and moon. It is assumed that the beliccertric orbits of the eartin-moon barycenter and planet $i$ are circular and that the latter lies in the ecliptic plane. With these assumptions, the vectors $\dot{r}_{i}^{S}$ and ${\underset{S}{S}}^{B}$, with rectangular components referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of date, are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\underline{\dot{r}}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{S}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\sin L_{i} \\
\cos L_{i} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad \dot{s}_{i}  \tag{94}\\
& \underset{\mathrm{~S}}{\mathrm{~B}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\cos \mathrm{L} \\
\sin L \\
0
\end{array}\right] a \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\dot{s}_{i}$ is the circular orbit velocity of planet i relative to the sum (computed from Equation 89 with subecript J replaced by i), and $L_{i}$ is the heliocentric mean longitude of planet i, referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of date. Substituting (93) to (95) into (92) gives the contribution to ( $t-\tau)_{8}$ due to planet $i$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{8 \dot{i}}=\frac{\mu_{i} \dot{s}_{i}^{a}}{c^{2} \mu_{S}^{*}} \sin \left(L-L_{i}\right) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting numerical values from Appendix A for $\mathrm{i}=$ Jupiter gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{8 J}=20.73 \times 10^{-6} \sin \left(\mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{J}}\right) \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

For i = Satura,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{8 S A}=4.58 \times 10^{-6} \sin \left(L-L_{S A}\right) \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.7 DAILY TERMS DUE TO MOTION OF SUN RELATIVE TO SOLAR SYSTEM BARYCENTER

The minth term of Equation (44) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{9}=\frac{1}{c^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{i}}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \underline{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)=-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{i}}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{S}} \cdot \underline{r}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right) \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Terms will be obtained for Jupiter and Saturn due to their contribution to $\dot{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{S}}$. The contribution to $(t-\tau)$ due to a planet $i$ is obtained by substituting (93), (94), and (64) into (99) and using trigonometric identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
(t-\tau)_{9 i}= & -\frac{\mu_{i} \dot{\underline{s}}_{\underline{i}}^{2}}{c^{2} \mu_{S}^{*}}\left\{\frac { u } { 2 } \left[(1+\cos \epsilon) \sin \left(\theta_{M}+\lambda-L_{i}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-(1-\cos \epsilon) \sin \left(\theta_{M}+\lambda+L_{i}\right)\right]+v \sin \epsilon \cos L_{i}\right\} \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

The second and third terms of this expression are neglected. Substituting (69) into the first term of (100) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{q_{i}}=\frac{\mu_{i} \dot{\mathbf{s}}_{\dot{i}}(1+\cos \epsilon)}{2 c^{2} \mu_{s}^{*}} u \sin \left(U T 1+\lambda+L-L_{i}\right) \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting numerical values from Appendix A for i = Jupiter gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{9 J}=1.33 \times 10^{-13} u \sin \left(\mathrm{UTI}+\lambda+\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{J}}\right) \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

For ì Saturn,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{9 S A}=2.9 \times 10^{-14} u \sin \left(\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda+\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{SA}}\right) \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 7. Final Expression and Arguments

The periodic terms of $t-\tau$, with analytical expressions for the coefficients, are given by Equations (51), (58), (71), (83), (88) (which applies for Jupiter) and the corresponding term for Saturn, and (96) and (101), each evaluated for Jupiter and for Saturn. Substituting these terms, except the term of (83) with argument UTl $+\lambda+2 \mathrm{M}$, into (44) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& t-\tau=\Delta T_{A}+\frac{2}{e^{2}} \sqrt{\mu} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e} \sin \mathrm{E}+\frac{\dot{\mathrm{s}}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{M}}}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}(1+\mu)} \sin \mathrm{D} \\
& +\frac{\dot{s}_{c}(1+\cos \mathrm{E})_{\mathrm{u}}}{2 \mathrm{c}^{2}}\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{2}\right) \sin (\mathrm{UTI}+\lambda)\right. \\
& \left.+e \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda-\mathrm{M})+\frac{9}{8} \mathrm{e}^{2} \sin (\mathrm{UTl}+\lambda-2 \mathrm{M})\right] \\
& -\frac{\dot{s}_{\mathrm{e}}(1-\cos \epsilon) \mathrm{u}}{2 \mathrm{e}^{2}}[\sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda+2 \mathrm{~L}) \\
& +\mathrm{e} \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda+2 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{M})] \\
& +\frac{\dot{s}_{M}(1+\cos \epsilon)}{2 \mathrm{c}^{2}(1+\mu)} u \sin (\mathrm{U} T 1+\lambda-D)-\frac{\dot{s}_{\mathrm{c}}(\sin \epsilon)_{\mathrm{V}}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \cos \mathrm{~L}  \tag{104}\\
& +\frac{\mu_{J} e_{J}}{c^{2} \dot{s}_{J}} \sin E_{J}+\frac{\mu_{\text {SA }}{ }^{e_{S A}}}{c^{2} \dot{s}_{S A}} \sin E_{S A} \\
& +\frac{\mu_{J} \dot{s}_{J} a}{e^{2} \mu_{S}^{*}} \sin \left(L-L_{J}\right)+\frac{\mu_{S A} \dot{s}_{S A} a}{c^{2} \mu_{S}^{*}} \sin \left(L-L_{S A}\right) \\
& +\frac{\mu_{J} \dot{s}_{J}(1+\cos \epsilon)}{2 e^{\overline{2}} \mu_{S}^{*}} u \sin \left(U T 1+\lambda+L-L_{J}\right) \\
& +\frac{\mu_{S A} \dot{s}_{S A}(1+\cos \epsilon)}{2 e^{2} \mu_{S}^{*}} u \sin \left(U T 1+\lambda+L-L_{S A}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting mumerical values for the coefficients of the periodic terms, obtained from Equations (52), (60), (72), (84), (90), (91), (97), (98), (102), and (103), gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{t}-\boldsymbol{T}=\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}+1.658 \times 10^{-3} \sin \mathrm{E}+1.548 \times 10^{-6} \sin \mathrm{D} \\
& +3.17679 \times 10^{-10} 4 \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda)+5.312 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{a} \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda-\mathrm{M}) \\
& +1.00 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{usin}(\mathrm{UTl}+\lambda-2 \mathrm{M})-\mathrm{L} .3677 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{u} \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda+2 \mathrm{~L}) \\
& -2.29 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{u} \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda+2 \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{M}) \\
& +1.33 \times 10^{-13} u \sin (\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda-\mathrm{D})-1.3184 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~V} \cos \mathrm{~L}  \tag{105}\\
& +5.21 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{sin} E_{J}+2.45 \times 10^{-6} \sin E_{\mathrm{SA}} \\
& +20.73 \times 10^{-6} \sin \left(\mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{J}}\right)+4.58 \times 10^{-6} \sin \left(\mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{SA}}\right) \\
& +1.33 \times 10^{-13} \text { a } \sin \left(\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda+\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{L} \mathrm{I}_{3}\right) \\
& +2.9 \times 10^{-14} \text { w } \sin \left(\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda+\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{SA}}\right. \text { ) }
\end{align*}
$$

where the coordinates $u$ and $v$ of the atomic elock which reads International Atomic Time $t$ are in kilometers and $t-t$ is in seconds. The coefficients of the daily terms of (105) are proportional to w. For a clock at the equator where $t=6378 \mathrm{~km}$, the magnitude of the coefficients of the daily terms varies from a maximam of $2.026 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}$ to a minimum of $1.85 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~s}$. The annual term with argument $I$ has a ceefficient proportional to $v$; its maximam value is $0.838 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}$.

The last four terms of (105) are due to the offset of the solar system barycenter from the sun. If these terms are deleted in (105), coordinate time $t$ in the solar system barycentric frame of reference is replaced in this equation by coordinate time $t^{\prime}$ in the heliocentric frame of reference, and the aceuracy of the equation is reduced slightly. The previously derived expression for $t^{\prime}$ - tis Equation (65) of Meyer (1971). In this previous expression, the long-period potential terms due to Jupiter and Saturn (the terms of 105 with arguments $E_{J}$ and $E_{S A}$ ) were omitted along with the term with argument I, which has a significant effect on computed three-way range and doppler observables. The previous expression for $t^{\prime}-\tau$ consisted of the first nine terms of (105) with minor differences in the coefficients of the periodic terms.

From Smart (1960), the relation between the eccentric and mean anomalies is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=M+e \sin M+\frac{1}{2} e^{2} \sin 2 M+\ldots \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three eccentric anomalies in (105) can be computed to sufficient accuracy from the following approximations to (106):

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E} & =\mathrm{M}+e \sin \mathrm{M}  \tag{107}\\
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{J}} & =\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{J}}  \tag{108}\\
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{SA}} & =\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{SA}} \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

The errers due to the approximations in (107) - (109) are included in the errer summary in Section 8. Expressions are given below for the arguments $L, M$, $D,\left(L-L_{J}\right),\left(L-L_{S A}\right), M_{J}$, and $M_{S A}$, which are functions of coordinate time $t$. The angle UTl in radians is computed from wiversal time UTI in seconds using Equation (70).

The Explanatery Supplement to the Ephemeris (1961) gives polynomials for the mean orbital elements $L$, $M$, and $D$ ( $p p .98$ and 107). The argument for these expressions (quadratics or cubics) is Julian centuries of 36525 days of ephemeris time ET (coordinate time t) from January 0, 1900, $12^{\text {h }}$ ET. Linear expressions have been obtained which are tangent to the polynomials at Jamuary $1,1975,6^{\text {h }}$ ET ( 0.75 Julian centuries past the above epoch). In units of radians, the linear expressions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=4.888339+1.99106383 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{t}  \tag{110}\\
& \mathrm{M}=6.248291+1.99096871 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{t}  \tag{111}\\
& \mathrm{D}=2.518411+2.462600818 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{t} \tag{112}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t$ is seconds of ephemeris time past January $1,1950,0^{\text {h }}$ ET.

Seidelmann et al (1974) give quadratic expressions for the heliocentric mean longitudes of the earth, Jupiter, and Saturn ( $L_{E}, L_{J}$, and $L_{S A}$ respectively) and for the longitudes of perihelion for Jupiter and Saturn
( $\tilde{\omega}_{J}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{S A}$, respectively), referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of 1950.0. Linear expressions were obtained which are tangent to the quadratic expressions for these mean orbital elements at January $1,1975,6^{\text {h }}$ ET. The argument was changed from tropical centuries of 36524.21988 ephemeris days past 1950.0 to ephemeris seconds past January 1, 1950, $0^{\mathrm{h}}$ ET. The expressions for the arguments $L-L_{J}, L-L_{S A}, M_{J}$, and $M_{S A}$ were obtained from the following combinations of the linear expressions for $L_{E}, L_{J}, L_{S A}, \tilde{\omega}_{J}$, and $\tilde{\omega}_{S A}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
L-L_{J} & =L_{E}-L_{J} \pm \pi  \tag{113}\\
L-L_{S A} & =L_{E}-L_{S A} \pm \pi  \tag{114}\\
M_{J} & =L_{J}-\widetilde{\omega}_{J}  \tag{115}\\
M_{S A} & =L_{S A}-\widetilde{\omega}_{S A} \tag{116}
\end{align*}
$$

The resulting expressions, in radians, are

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{J}} & =5.652593+1.82313637 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{t}  \tag{117}\\
\mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{SA}} & =2.125474+1.92339923 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{t}  \tag{118}\\
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{J}} & =5.286877+1.6785063 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{t}  \tag{119}\\
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{SA}} & =1.165341+0.6758558 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{t} \tag{120}
\end{align*}
$$

The mean longitudes on the left-hand side of (113) and (114) are referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of date. The mean longitudes on the right-hand side of these equations are those of Seidelmann et al which are referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of 1950.0. The equinox difference does not affect the computed longitude differences $L-L_{J}$ and $L-L_{S A}$. The difference in the reference planes does produce errots in Equations (117) and (118); however, because of the small inclinations of the orbit planes of the earth, Jupiter, and Saturn to the ecliptic of 1950.0 , the errors are negligible.

The errors in computed range and doppler observables due to the errors in the linear approximations (110) - (112) and (117) - (120) are completely negligible for the time period 1950-2000.

## 8. Effect of Retained and Neglected Terms of t-t

The primary purpose of this section is to give estimates for errors in computed range and doppler observables due to errors in Equation (105), the final expression for $t-\boldsymbol{T}$. The secondary purpose is to give the effect of the retained terms of $t-r$ on these observables.

Periodic terms of $t-\tau$ have a direct and an indirect effect on computed range observables. These two effects can be understood by considering the general procedure for computing a range observable. The light time solution produces solar system barycentric position vectors of the transmitting station on earth at the transmission time $t_{1}$, the spacecraft at the reflection time $t_{2}$, and the receiving station on earth at the reception time $t_{3}$. The reception time is known in atomic time $r$; addition of $t-\tau$ from (105) converts it to coordinate time $t$ (in the solar system barycentric space-time frame of reference). The light time solution yields the epochs $t_{2}$ and $t_{1}$ in coordinate time. The epoch $t_{3}$ in coordinate time is directly affected by the magnitude of the periodic terms of $t-\tau$ evaluated at $t_{3}$; the epochs $t_{2}$ and $t_{1}$ in coordinate time are affected by the same amount to an accuracy of 3 or 4 digits (depending upon the range rate of the spacecraft).

The values of $t_{3}$ and $t$ in the UTl time seale are alse obtained. The former is not affected by the terms of $t-\tau$; the latter is affected but not significantly. The indirect effect of a periodic term of $t-\tau$ on a computed range observable is due to the effect of the term on the epochs $t_{3}, t_{2}$, and $t_{1}$ and hence on the position vectors computed at these epochs. The direct effect is due to the values of the term at $t_{3}$ and $t_{1}$ which appear explicitly in the equa tioti for computing range observatles (Appendix B, Equation B4). Doppler observables are computed from the difference of two computed range observables divided by the difference in the two reception times. The direct and indirect effects of a term of $t-\tau$ on these two range observables produce a corrfsponding direct and indirect effect on the doppler observable.

Appendis $B$ gives the formulation for computing two-way or three-way coge and doppler observables. An analysis of these equations produced the approximate equations given below for the maximum direct effect of a term of
$t-\tau$ on range and doppler observables. The direct effect of a term of $t-\tau$ depends upon the form of the term. All of the retained terms and almost all of the neglected terms have one of the following forms. The terms can be divided into daily terms (period $P \approx 1$ day) and long-period terms (P ranging from roughly half a month to several years). The daily terms contain the longitude of the atomic clock in the argument; the long-peried terms do not. The coefficients of the daily terms are proportional to the spin-axis distance u of the atomic clock. The coefficients of some of the long-period terms are propertional to the distance $v$ of th. ヨtomic clock north of the earth's equatorial plane. The only meglected terms of $t-T$ which do not fit into these categories are some of the terms due to nutation, polar motion, and solidearth tides.

Let $\bar{\rho} \rho$ and $\bar{\phi} \dot{\rho}$ refer to the maximum possible value of the direct effect of a term of $t$ - $\tau$ on range and doppler observables, expressed as the tracking station to spacecraft range and range-rate, respectively. The effects of a daily term of $t-\tau$ on two-way or three-way data are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \rho=M \mathrm{c}  \tag{121}\\
& \delta \dot{\rho}=\mathrm{M}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{P}\right) \mathrm{c} \tag{122}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M$ is the magnitude of the coefficient of the term and $c$ is the speed of light. For three-way data, the spacecraft range $\rho$ at which these maximum effects occur depends upon the separation in longitude of the transmitting and receiving stations; the separation can be adjusted so that the maximum occurs at any range. For two-way data, the maximum effects at a given range are given by Equations (121) and (122) maltiplied by $\sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{P} \cdot \frac{\rho}{c}\right)$. Hence, the maximum two-way effects increase with range, reaching a peak at a range of 43.2 astronomical units.

The following equations give the effects of a long-period term of $t-\tau$ om two-way data. They also give the effect of a leng-period term on three-way data if the coefficient of the term is not proportional to $v$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \rho=M\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\bar{P}}\right) \rho  \tag{123}\\
& \delta \dot{\rho}=M\left(\frac{2 \pi}{\bar{P}}\right)^{2} \rho \tag{124}
\end{align*}
$$

If the coefficient of a long-period term is proportional to $v$, the effects of the term on three-way data are given by (121) and (122), where $M$ is the maximum possible magnitude of the coefficient of the term. These maximum effects are independent of the range to the spacecraft.

The indirect effects of a term of $t-\tau$ on two-way or three-way range and doppler observables will not exceed the following approximate values:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \rho_{I}=\dot{\rho} \mathrm{M}  \tag{125}\\
& \delta \dot{\rho}_{\mathrm{I}}=a \mathrm{M} \tag{126}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\dot{\rho}$ is the tracking station to spacecraft range rate, and a is the inertial acceleration of the spacecraft. The highest spacecraft acceleration likely to be encountered is $25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, which occurs near the surface of Jupiter. Higher accelerations occur closer than 3.3 solar radii from the center of the sun, but it is unlikely that a spacecraft would enter this region.

An extensive error analysis has been performed to determine estimates for the maximum possible errors in computed range and doppler observables due to errors in Equation (104) or (105) (which contain analytical and numerical coefficients, respectively) for $t$ - T. The results of this analysis are presented in Table II, The second column lists the errors committed in the derivation of Equation (105). If an error is associated with a term of (104) or (105), that term is identified in column 1. The magnitudes of the coefficients of the error termas are given in columan 3. The maximum values of the direct effects of the error terms on computed range and doppler observables are given in columns 4 and 5 , respectively. When one figure is given for the effect of an error, it applies for two-way or three-way data. When two figures are given, the figure in parentheses is the error for three-way data and the other figure applies for two-way data. For daily terms, the maximum

TABLE II
Error summary

| Term | Source of error | Magnitude of error term, $\mu^{s}$ | Direct effect on range, m | Direct effect on range rate, $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { Arg ument }}{\text { and }}$ | Assuming e constant from 1950 to 20010 | I. 0 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| Argument E | Neglected $e^{2}$ term in Equation (107) | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| $\underset{E}{\text { Argument }}$ | Ignoring perioric variations in heliocentric orbital elements of earth-moon barycenter | 12.0 | 15.0 | 4. 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Argument } \\ D \end{gathered}$ | Ignoring $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{M}}$ and e | 0.2 | 2.3 | 10.0 |
| Coefficients with factor $\dot{s}_{e}(1+\cos \epsilon)$ | $\operatorname{Ignorimg} \mathrm{e}^{3}$ terms | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.8 |
| Coefficients with factor $\dot{s}_{c}(1-\cos \epsilon)$ | Ignoring $\mathrm{e}^{2}$ terms | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.2 |
| All with UT1 $+\lambda$ in argument | Approximation in Equation (69) | 0.00 | 0.08 | 5.5 |

## TABLE II

Error summary (comtd)

| Term | Source of errer | Magnitude of error term, $\mu \mathrm{s}$ | Direct effect on range, m | Direct effect on range rate, $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argament <br> $\mathrm{UTI}+\lambda+2 \mathrm{M}$ | Deleting this term from Equation (84) | 0. 00 | 0.02 | 1.5 |
| All with factor $\dot{s}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\mathbf{u}}$ or sicv in coefficient | Ignoring periodic variatiors in heliocentric orbital elements of earth-moon barycenter | 0.00 | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ (0.6) \end{gathered}$ | 3.0 .0 |
| Argument $\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda-\mathrm{D}$ | Ignoring $e_{M}$ and second term of Equation (65) | 0.00 | 0.03 | 1.9 |
| Argument $\mathrm{UTl}+\lambda-\mathrm{D}$ | Ignoring third term in Equation (65) | 0.00 | $\begin{gathered} 0.01 \\ (0.11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Argument | Ignoring e | 0.02 | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \\ (4.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| Arguments $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{J}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{SA}}$ | Neglected e terms in <br> Equations (108) and (109) | 0.2 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
|  | Neglected terms in Equations (37) and (39) | I. 3 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
|  | Neglected gravitational potential at earth due to mon in Equation (27) | 0.00 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

TABLE II

## Errer summary (contd)

| Term | Source of errer | Magnitude of errer term, $\mu \mathrm{s}$ | Direct effect on range, m | Direct effect on range rate, $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arguments <br> $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{J}}$ and <br> L - LSA | Ignoring e, $e_{j}$, and $e_{S A}$ | 2.1 | 2.3 | 0.5 |
| Argumant$L-L_{i}$ | Ignoring terms for Mercury, Venus, Mars, Uranus, Neptune, and Pluto, including effects due to eccentricities | 1.3 | 1.8 | 0.4 |
|  | Omitting the term $\frac{1}{e^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{I}}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \underline{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{E}\right)$ in Equation (40) | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| Argument UT1 $+\lambda+L-$ L. | Ignoring second and third terms of Equation (100) for all planets, the first term for all planets except Jupiter and Saturn, and ignoring eccentricities of planetary orbits for retained and neglected terms | 0.00 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.06 \\ (0.2) \end{array}$ | 3.6 |

## TABLE II

Error summary (contd)

| Term | Source of error | Magnitude of error term, $\mu \mathrm{s}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Direct effect on } \\ \text { range, } \\ m \end{gathered}$ | Direct effect on range rate, $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arguments <br> $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{UTl}+\lambda-\mathrm{D}$, <br> $L_{1}-L_{\mathrm{J}}, \mathrm{L}_{1}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{SA}}$, <br> $\mathrm{UTI}+\lambda+\mathrm{L}-$ $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{J}}$, and $\mathrm{UTI}+\lambda$ <br> $+\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{L}}$ - LSA | Ignering inclination of orbits of moon, Jupiter, and Saturn to ecliptic plame | 0.02 | 0.2 | 1.5 |
| Coefficients with factor <br> $1+\cos \epsilon$, <br> $1-\cos \varepsilon$ <br> or $\sin \epsilon$ | Assuming $t$ and e are constant from 1950 to 2000 <br> Ignoring nutation, polar motion, and solidearth tides | 0.00 0.00 | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ (0.06) \end{gathered}$ <br> 0. I | 1.4 3.0 |
| All | Rouncloff of coefficients <br> Miscellaneows <br> (see text) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 4.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & 3.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 7.8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Totals | 23.0 | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ (34.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.0 \\ (77.0) \end{gathered}$ |

values of the errors are listed. This is also true for three-way errors due to long-period terms which have coefficients proportional to v. The errers in two-way data due to long-period terms and in three-way data due to longperiod terms whose coefficients are noi proportional to were computed for a spacecraft range of 50 astronomical units.

A large number of the errors listed in Table II are due to terms neglected in (104) and (105). Another large group of errors is due to ignoring eccentricities of elliptical orbits or ignoring terms above a certain power of the eccentricity. Some of the largest errors are due to ignoring periodic variations in the heliocentric orbital elements of the earth-moon barycenter. These variations, in the form of longitudinal and radial perturbations, were obtained from Newcomb (1898). The effects of periodic variations in the geocentric orbital elements of the moon and in the heliocentric orbital elements of Jupiter and Saturn have not been analyzed. The miscellaneous error listed at the end of Table II is an allowance for these errors and other minor unanalyzed errors.

Estimates have been obtained for the maximum possible direct errors in computed range and doppler oleservables as a function of the range to the spacecraft. For two-way data, these upper limits are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \rho_{2}=(0.62 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{AU}  \tag{127}\\
& \delta \dot{P}_{2}=\left(2.4 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right) \mathrm{AU} \tag{128}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A U$ is the range to the spacecraft in astronomical units. These figures are based upon the constant derivatives of long-period errers with respect to range and the derivatives of the daily errors with respect to range evaluated at a range of zero. Since daily errors are proportional to the sine of a multiple of the spacecraft range, these apper limits are high at large spacecraft ranges. For three-way data, the upper limits are

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 P_{3}=(0.59 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{AU}+5.5 \mathrm{~m} \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \dot{\dot{\rho}}_{3}=\left(0.49 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right) \mathrm{AU}+53 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Table II, the estimate of the maximum error in the magnitude of $t-\tau$ is $23 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. Using this figure and a spacecraft range rate of $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$, Equation (125) gives a maximum indirect error in computed range observables of 0.69 m . For a spacecraft inertial acceleration of $25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, (126) gives an upper limit to the indirect error in corr puted doppler observables of $575 \times$ $10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. This error is almost eight times as large as the maximum value of the direct error.

To an accuracy of $0.01 \mu s$, the error in the magnitude of $t=\tau$ is due entirely to long-period terms. This error could be represented by a curve which is a function of a small rumber of parameters. When fitting to tracking data obtained from a spacecraft which is near a planet, the values of these parameters could be estimated, thereby eliminating most of the indirect errors in computed observables due to neglected terms of $t-T$.

Table III gives the same information for tre retained terms of t- $\tau$ as given in Table II for the neglected terms. It is seen that the maximum value of the direct error in a computed range olbservable due to neglected terms of $t$ - $\boldsymbol{T}$ is about $1 \%$ of the maximum value of the direct effect of the retained terms of $t-\tau$. The corresponding figure for a doppler observable is $0.16 \%$. The maximum error in the magnitude of $t-T$ is about $1.36 \%$ of the maximum value of the sum of the periodic terms of $t \cdot T$. Hence, the maximum value of the indirect exror in a computed observable is about $1.36 \%$ of the maximum value of the indirect effect of the retained terms.

Approximate maximum values for the direct effects of the retained terms of $t-\tau$, as a fanction of the spacecraft range $A U$ (in astronomical units), are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \rho_{2}=(74.3 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{AU}  \tag{131}\\
& \delta \dot{\mathrm{~F}}_{2}=\left(1.72 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right) \mathrm{AU} \tag{132}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE III
Maximum effect of retained terms

| Argument of term | Magnitude of term $\mu \mathrm{s}$ | Direct effect on range, m | Direct effect on range rate, $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 1658. | 2476. | 493. |
| D | 1. 548 | 28.6 | 70.4 |
| UT1 $+\lambda$ | 2.026 | 607.4 | 44173. |
| $\mathrm{UTl}+\lambda-\mathrm{M}$ | 0.0339 | 10.2 | 739. |
| $\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda-2 \mathrm{M}$ | 0.00064 | 0.19 | 13.9 |
| UT1 $+\lambda+2 \mathrm{~L}$ | 0.0872 | 26.2 | 1902. |
| $\mathrm{UTl}+\lambda+2 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{M}$ | 0.0015 | 0.44 | 31.8 |
| $\mathrm{UT} 1+\lambda-\mathrm{D}$ | 0.00085 . | 0.26 | 18.5 |
| L | 0.838 | $\left(\begin{array}{c} 1.25 \\ (251 .) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.25 \\ (50.0) \end{array}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{J}}$ | 5.21 | 0.66 | 0.01 |
| $E_{\text {SA }}$ | 2.45 | 0.13 | 0.00 |
| $L-L_{J}$ | 20.73 | 28.4 | 5.17 |
| $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{SA}}$ | 4.58 | 6.61 | 1.27 |
| $\mathrm{UT} \mathrm{T}+\lambda+\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{J}}$ | 0.00085 | 0.26 | 18.5 |
| $\mathrm{UT1}+\lambda+\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}_{\text {SA }}$ | 0.00019 | 0.06 | 4.03 |
| Totals | 1696. | $\begin{gathered} 3187 . \\ (3437 .) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 47 & 471 . \\ (47521 .) \end{array}$ |

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \rho_{3}=(51 \mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{AU}+896 \mathrm{~m}  \tag{133}\\
& \delta \dot{\rho}_{3}=\left(0.012 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right) \mathrm{AU}+47.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \tag{134}
\end{align*}
$$

For a spacecraft range rate of $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ and inertial acceleration of $25 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, the maximum values of the indirect effects of the retained terms of $t-\tau$ on computed range and doppler observables are 51 m and $42 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively.

## 9. Alternate Expression for $t$ - T

This section gives an alternate equation for $t-T$ which is a function of position and velocity vectors of the atomic clock and the major bodies of the solar system. The equation is obtained from Equation (44) by replacing the second, sixth, and seventh terms, which are expressed as integrals, with functions of position and velocity vectors derived in this section.

The secend term of Equation (44) is given by Equation (51). For the heliocentric elliptical orbit of the earth-moon barycenter,

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{r} r=\dot{\dot{r}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{S}} \cdot \dot{E}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{S}} & =\sqrt{\left(\mu_{S}+\mu_{E}+\mu_{M}\right)} \mathrm{a} \text { e } \sin E \\
& \approx \sqrt{\mu_{S^{a}}} \mathrm{e} \sin E \tag{135}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the approximate form of (135) into (51) gives the desired form for the second term of Equation (44):

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{2}=\frac{2}{c^{2}}\left(\sum_{B}^{S} \cdot x_{B}^{S}\right) \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sixth term of Equation (44) is given by Equation (88). The equation analogous to (135) which applies for the beliocentric orbit of Jupter is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\underline{x}}_{J}^{S} \cdot \underline{\underline{r}}_{J}^{S}=\sqrt{\left(\mu_{S}+\mu_{J}\right) a_{J}} e_{J} \sin E_{J} \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $e_{J} \sin E_{J}$ from (137) into (88) and using (89) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{6}=\frac{\mu_{J}}{c^{2}\left(\mu_{S}+\mu_{J}\right)}\left(\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{J}^{S} \cdot \underline{r}_{J}^{S}\right) \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding term for Saturn (SA) is the seventh term of Equation (44):

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-\tau)_{7}=\frac{\mu_{S A}}{e^{2}\left(\mu_{S} \mu_{S A}\right)}\left(\dot{\underline{S}}_{\mathrm{SA}}^{\mathrm{S}} \cdot{\underset{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{SA}}}_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing terms two, six, and seven of Equation (44) with Equations (136), (138), and (139), combining the three terms which contain $\underline{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}}$, and reordering the terms gives the alternate expression for $t-\tau$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t-\tau=\Delta T_{A}+\frac{2}{e^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{S}} \cdot \underline{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{S}}\right)+\frac{1}{e^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{C}} \cdot \underline{r}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{E}}\right)+\frac{1}{e^{2}}\left(\dot{\underline{i}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{S}} \cdot \underline{r}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{B}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If the term $\frac{l}{e^{2}}\left(\left.\dot{\underline{r}}_{S}^{C} \cdot{\underset{E}{E}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{C}}\right|_{E_{0}} ^{\mathrm{t}}\right.$, which was omitted in Equation (40), was reinstated, $\dot{\underline{x}}_{\mathcal{B}}^{S}$ in the fourth term of (140) would be replaced by $\dot{\underline{x}}_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{C}}$.

## Values for Parameters

This appendix gives the definitions for the parameters used globally throughout the text. Numerical values are given for those parameters which appear in the final expression for $t-T$.

## Subscripts and Superscripts

$A=$ location of atomic clock on earth $w^{\prime}$ : hreads International Atomic Time $\boldsymbol{T}$
$\mathrm{E}=$ earth
$B \quad=$ earth-moon barycenter
$\mathrm{M}=$ moon
$5 \quad=\operatorname{sun}$
C = solar system barycenter
$\mathrm{J}=$ Jupiter
SA = Saturn
P This subscript indicates that periodic terms of the quantity are te be retained and constant terms are to be discarded

- This subseript indicates the quantity is evaluated at the initial epoch $t_{0}$

A bar over a guantity indicates the time average value of the quantity.

Position, Velocity, and Acceleration

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{j}, \dot{\dot{r}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{j}}, \ddot{\underline{r}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{j}= & \text { position, velocity, and acceleration vectors of point } \mathrm{i} \\
& \text { relative to point } j, \text { The dots denote differentiation with } \\
& \text { respect to coordinate time } t \\
\dot{s}_{\mathrm{i}}^{j}= & \text { velocity of point i relative to point } j \\
\dot{s}= & \text { velocity of fixed atomic clock on earth relative to solar system } \\
& \text { barycenter }
\end{aligned}
$$

Time


## Physical Constants

The physical constants were obtained from Standish et al (1976) or were computed from guantities obtained from this reference.
$\mathrm{c} \quad=$ speed of light $=299792.458 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$
$A_{E}=$ the number of kilometers per astronomical unit (AU)
$=149597871.41056 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{AU}$
$\mu_{i}=$ gravitational constant of body $i, \mathrm{~km}^{3} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$
$=G m_{i}$, where $G$ is the wiversal constant of gravitation and $m_{i}$ is the mass of body $i$.

The gravitational constant of the sun is computed from $A_{E}$ using Equation (104) of Moyer (1971):

$$
H_{S}=\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{E}}^{3}}{(86400)^{2}}=1.32712442 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~km}^{3} / \mathrm{s}^{2}
$$

where
$\mathrm{k} \quad=$ the Gaussian gravitational constant

$$
=0.01720209895 \mathrm{AU}^{3 / 2} / \text { day (exactly) }
$$

Using $\mu_{S}$ and mass ratios obtained from Standish et al (1976) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\mathrm{E}}= & 398600.5 \mathrm{~km}^{3} / \mathrm{s}^{2} \\
\mu_{\mathrm{M}}= & 4902.79 \mathrm{~km}^{3} / \mathrm{s}^{2} \\
\mu_{\mathrm{J}}= & 1.267120 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~km}^{3} / \mathrm{s}^{2} \\
\mu_{\mathrm{SA}}= & 3.793410 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~km}^{3} / \mathrm{s}^{2} \\
\mu= & \mu_{\mathrm{E}} / \mu_{\mathrm{M}}=81.3007 \\
\mu_{\mathrm{S}}= & \text { gravitational constant of the sun augmented by the gravitational } \\
& \text { constants of the planets and the moon } \\
= & 1.328906 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~km}^{3} / \mathrm{s}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Gravitational Potential
$\mathrm{U}=$ Newtonian gravitational potential, using the positive sign convention (i.e., $\mathrm{U}=-\phi$ )
$\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{U}$ at location i
$U(j)=U$ due to body $j$
$U_{i}(j)=U$ at location $i$ due to body $j$
$(\nabla \mathrm{U})_{\mathrm{i}}=$ gradient of U at location i

## Station Location

The earth-fixed coordinates $u$, $v$, and $\lambda$ of the atomic clock which reads International Atomic Time are:
$\mathrm{u}=$ distance fromeartion spin axis, km

```
v = distance north of earth's equatorial plane, km
\lambda = east longitude
\mp@subsup{0}{M}{}}=\mathrm{ mean sidereal time = Greenwich hour angle of mean equinox of
        date
```


## Heliocentric Orbit of Earth-Moon Barycenter

Numerical values were obtained from page 98 of the Explanatory Supplement to the Ephemeris (1961).

|  | $=149597906 \mathrm{~km}$ (obtained using $A_{\text {E }}$ given above) |
| :---: | :---: |
| e | $=$ eccentricity $=0.01672$. From 1950 to 2000, the last digit changes from 3 to 1. |
| F | $=$ radial coordinate |
| $\dot{s}_{\text {S }}$ | $=$ velocity |
| $\dot{s}_{\text {c }}$ | $=$ circular orbit velocity |
|  | $=\sqrt{\frac{\mu^{+} \underline{\underline{\mu}}_{\mathrm{E}^{+\mu}}^{\mathrm{M}}}{\mathrm{a}}}=29.784734 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| M | = mean anomaly |
| E | $=$ eccentric anomaly |
| f | $=$ true anomaly |
| L, $\ell$ | $=$ mean and true longitudes, respectively, of the $s u n$, measured at the earth-moon barycenter. These angles are referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of date. |
| $\gamma$ | $=$ elevation angle of velocity vector, measured from the transverse direction (normal to radius) |
| E | $=$ mean obliquity of the ecliptic |
|  | = inclimation of ecliptic plane to mean earth equator of date |

$\cos \epsilon=0.91746$ (from 1950 to 2000 , the last digit changes from 4 to 8) $\sin \epsilon=0.39783$ (from 1950 to 2000 , the last two digits change from 88 to 78 )

Heliocentric Orbit of Planet i
$a_{i}=$ semi-major axis. From Seidelmann et al (1974),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{J}} & =5.202833481 \mathrm{AU}=7.783328 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~km} \\
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{SA}} & =9.538762055 \mathrm{AU}=1.426978 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~km}
\end{aligned}
$$

$e_{i}=$ eccentricity. From Seidelmann et al (1974), evaluated at 1975.0,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}_{J} & =0.048284 \\
\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{SA}} & =0.056038
\end{aligned}
$$

These figures are constant to three significant digits from 1950 to 2000 .
$r_{i}=r a d i a l$ coordinate
$\dot{s}_{i}=$ circular orbit velocity
$=\sqrt{\frac{\mu_{S}+\mu_{i}}{a_{i}}}$
$\dot{s}_{J}=13.06413 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$
$\dot{s}_{S A}=9.64516 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad=$ mean anomaly
$E_{i}=$ eccentric anomaly
$L_{i}, l_{i}=$ heliocentric mean and true longitudes, respectively, of planet $i$, referred to the mean equinox and ecliptic of date.

## Geacentric Orbit of Moon

```
a}\mp@subsup{}{M}{}=\mathrm{ semi-major axis = 384399.1 km
```

This value is obtained from the above values of $\mu_{E}$ and $\mu_{M}$ and the observed mean motion of the moon using Equation (106) of Moyer (1971), which is a modified version of Kepler's third law.

```
\(e_{M}=\) eccentricity \(=0.0549\) (used in error analysis only)
    \(\dot{s}_{M}=\) circular orbit velocity
```

        \(=\sqrt{\frac{\mu_{E}+\mu_{M}}{\bar{a}_{M}}}=1.024548 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}\)
    4 = geocentric mean longitude of the moon, referred to the mean
        equinox and ecliptic of date
    $D=\mathbb{C}-\mathrm{J}=$ mean elongation of the moon from the sum

Miscellaneous

$$
(t-\tau)_{i}=\text { term } i \text { of Equation (44) }
$$

## Appendix B: Computation of Range and Doppler Observables

This appendix gives a brief description of simplified versions of the formulas used to obtain the computed values of range and doppler observables obtained by the Deep Space Network of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory. The purpose is to show how the time transformation $t-\tau$ is used in the computation of these observables. The simplifications made to the formulation do not change the effects of $t-\tau$. For further details of the formulation, see Moyer (1971).

The computation of two-way or three-way range observables is described first. A signal is transmitted from a tracking station on earth at time $t_{1}$, received and retransmitted at the spacecraft at time $t_{2}$, and received at the same tracking station on earth (two-way data) or at a different station (three-way data) at time $t_{3}$. The definition of the range observable $R$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=t_{3}(\text { TAI })-t_{1}(T A I) \tag{Bi}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{3}$ (TAI) and $t_{1}$ (TAI) are the reception and transmission times, respectively, in Internatiomal Atomic Time TAI. The "time tag" associated with each range observable is the known reception time $t_{3}$ (TAI).

The first step in computing a range observable is to obtain the light time solution. The epoch $t_{3}$ (TAI) is converted to coordinate time in the solar system barycentric space-time frame of reference (denoted here as ephemeris time ET) using

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{3}(E T)=t_{3}(T A I)+(E T-T A I)_{t_{3}} \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (ET-TAI) $t_{3}$ is ( $t-\tau$ ) given by Equation (105), evaluated at $t_{3}$. The light time solution gives the epochs $t_{2}$ (ET) and $t_{1}$ (ET) and the position vectors $\underline{\underline{x}}_{3}^{C}\left(t_{3}\right), \underline{r}_{2}^{C}\left(t_{2}\right)$, and $\underline{x}_{1}^{C}\left(t_{1}\right)$; these are the solar system barycentric position vectors of the receiving station at $t_{3}$, the spacecraft at $t_{2}$, and the transmitting station at $t_{1}$, respectively. The epochs $t_{3}$ and $t_{1}$ are also obtained in the UTl time scale; they are used along with the ET values of these epochs to compute the geocentric position vectors of the receiving and transmitting
stations at $t_{3}$ and $t_{1}$, respectively. These vectors are used in forming the above solar system barycentric vectors. The following vector magnitudes are computed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{r}_{i}=\left|\underline{r}_{i}^{C}\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \quad i=1,2, \text { and } 3 \\
& \mathbf{r}_{12}=\left|\underline{r}_{2}^{C}\left(t_{2}\right)-\underline{r}_{1}^{C}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \\
& \mathbf{r}_{23}=\left|\underline{r}_{3}^{C}\left(t_{3}\right)-\underline{r}_{2}^{C}\left(t_{2}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

The epochs $t_{3}$, $t_{2}$, and $t_{1}$ satisfy the following equations:

$$
t_{3}(E T)-t_{2}(E T)=\frac{r_{23}}{c}+\frac{2_{\mu}}{c^{3}} \ln \left(\frac{r_{2}+r_{3}+r_{23}}{r_{2}+r_{3}-r_{23}}\right) \quad \begin{align*}
& 3 \rightarrow 2  \tag{B3}\\
& 2 \rightarrow 1
\end{align*}
$$

The first term on the right-hand side is the Newtonian light time; the second term is an approximate expression for the contribution to the light time from general relativity.

Given the light time solution, the range observable is computed from

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=\frac{r_{1} 2}{c} \stackrel{2}{2}+\frac{2 \mu}{c^{3}} \ln \left(\frac{r_{1}+r_{2}+r}{r_{1}+2_{2}-r_{12}}\right)+\frac{r_{23}}{c}+\frac{2 \mu_{S}}{c^{3}} \ln \left(\frac{r_{2}+r_{3}+r_{23}}{r_{2}+r_{3}{ }^{-r} 23}\right) \\
& -(E T-T A I)_{t_{3}}+(E T-T A I)_{t_{1}}+\Delta \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

The sum of the first four terms is the round-trip light time in ET (see Equation B3). The next two terms convert this interval to an interval of TAI. The last term includes corrections for the effects of the troposphere and charged particles. If the light time solution has a tolerance ot for the determination of $t_{2}$ and $t_{1}$, observables computed from (E4) will have a corresponding error of roughly ( $\dot{r}_{12}{ }^{+\dot{\xi}_{23}}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta} t / \mathrm{c}$. If range observables were computed from (B1) using $t_{1}$ (TAI) obtained from the light time solution, the error would be about \&t, which is approximately four orders of magnitude larger.

It is seen that the time tranformation ( $t-r$ ) affects range observabies directly through terms 5 and 6 of (B4). It also affects the epochs $t_{3}(E T)$, $t_{2}$ (ET), and $t_{1}$ (ET) and hence the quantities $r_{12}, r_{23}, r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{3}$ in (B4); this is the indirect effect of $(t-\tau)$.

Doppler observables are derived from a signal being continuously transmitted from the transmitting station on earth to the receiving station via the spacecraft. A particular observable is associated with an interval of reception $T_{c}{ }^{-}(i n s e c o n d s$ of TAI) at the receiving station. Typical values of $T_{c}$ are 60 s and 600 s . It can be shown that the value of a doppler observable obtained by the Deep Space Network is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{c}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{T}$ is the frequency of the transmitted signal, and $R_{e}$ and $R_{S}$ are pseudo round-trip range observables, defined by (Bl), with reception times equal to the end (e) and start (s), respectively, of the reception interval $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$. Computed values of two-way or three-way doppler observables are obtained from (B5) using pseudo two-way or three-way range oloservables computed from (B4). The computed doppler observables are in error only because of errors in the computed psewdo range observables.

When (B4) is used to obtain the computed value of a true range observable, the contribution to $\triangle$ due to charged particles is positive because the ranging signal travels at the group velocity ( $<c$ ). When pseudo range observables are computed (which are differenced to form doppler observables), the sign of the charged particle correction is negative because the doppler signal travels at the phase velocity ( $>c$ ). These twe corrections, which have opposite signs, have the same magnitude.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Coordinate time $t$ is proportional to proper time that would be read by an atomic clock at infinite distance from the solar system and at restrelative to the solar system barycenter.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This is the solution previously obtained by de Sitter (1916) except for a correction to one of his terms. The n-body metric tensor corresponds to the n-body Lagrangian given by Eq. 3.3.37 of Infeld and Plebański (1960). The n-body metric tensor (with reversed sign convention) may also be found in Eq. 39.63 of Misner, Thorne, and wheeler (1973).

[^2]:    ${ }_{4}^{3}$ Due to terms neglected in (3) and in expanding (5).
    4 Equation (3) implies the spacelike sections of simultaneity $t=$ constant to be flat Euclidean spaces to first order: the coordinates $x$, $y$, and $z$ in them can properly be thought of as Euclidean coordinates, and all of the results of classical Euclidean geometry may be used, e.g., triangle theorems and vector addition laws for slowly moving objects.

[^3]:    7 See Transactions of the International Astronomical Union, Vol. XVIB (1977).

