

## TRANSLATION INVARIANT OPERATORS ON GROUPS

SADAHIRO SAEKI

(Received August 23, 1969)

**1. Notations.** Let  $G$  be an arbitrary, but fixed, locally compact abelian group, and  $\Gamma$  its dual. The Fourier transform of a function  $f$  in  $L^1(G)$  is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \hat{f}(\gamma) = \int_G f(x) \langle x, -\gamma \rangle dx \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma),$$

and the inverse Fourier transform of a function  $u$  in  $L^1(\Gamma)$  by

$$(1.2) \quad \check{u}(x) = \int_\Gamma u(\gamma) \langle x, \gamma \rangle d\gamma \quad (x \in G).$$

We denote by  $AL^1(G)$  the space of those continuous functions in  $L^1(G)$  whose Fourier transforms are also in  $L^1(\Gamma)$ . It will be always assumed that the Haar measures of  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  are so adjusted that the inversion formula holds:

$$(1.3) \quad f(x) = \int_\Gamma \hat{f}(\gamma) \langle x, \gamma \rangle d\gamma \quad (x \in G)$$

for every function  $f$  in  $AL^1(G)$ . For each  $p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ,  $T_p(G)$  denotes the space of all bounded linear operators from  $L^p(G)$  into  $L^p(G)$  that commute with all translations. It is well-known ([3: p. 100]) that to every operator  $\Phi$  in  $T_p(G)$  there corresponds a function in  $L^\infty(\Gamma)$ , denoted by  $\hat{\Phi}$ , such that

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi(f)^\wedge = \hat{\Phi} \cdot \hat{f} \quad (f \in L^p(G)).$$

We can easily show that any function in  $L^\infty(\Gamma)$  is  $\hat{\Phi}$  for some  $\Phi$  in  $T_2(G)$ . It is also known ([5: p. 73]) that a function on  $\Gamma$  is  $\hat{\Phi}$  for some  $\Phi$  in  $T_1(G)$  if and only if it is the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of a bounded (regular) measure on  $G$ . We denote by  $M_p(\Gamma)$  the space of all  $\hat{\Phi}$  with  $\Phi$  in  $T_p(G)$ . Finally, for

any  $\Phi$  in  $T_p(G)$  and any  $f$  in  $L^p(G)$ , we shall often write  $f*\Phi$  to denote  $\Phi(f)$ .

This paper is motivated by that of de Leeuw [5], where some characterizations of  $L^p$ -multipliers on the real line and the circle group are obtained. We shall here give some generalizations of his results.

**2. Some remarks and lemmas.** Throughout the remainder part of this paper, let us fix  $p$  arbitrarily so that  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , and put  $q = p/(p-1)$ . We shall assume that every function whose definition may be changed on a locally null set to make it continuous is always so redefined on such a set to be continuous. Recall that the spectrum of a function  $\varphi$  in  $L^\infty(\Gamma)$  is the closed subset of  $G$  defined by

$$S(\varphi) = \bigcap_u \{x \in G; \check{u}(x) = 0\},$$

where the intersection is taken over all functions  $u$  in  $L^1(\Gamma)$  such that  $u*\varphi=0$ .

Let now  $\Phi$  be any operator in  $T_p(G)$ , and put  $\varphi = \widehat{\Phi}$ . Then the spectrum of  $\varphi$  is called the support of  $\Phi$  and denoted by  $\text{supp } \Phi$ . For any closed subset  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $\text{supp } \Phi \subset K$ , we say that  $\Phi$  is concentrated on  $K$ . We also define  $\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma)}$  to be the operator norm of  $\Phi$ . Then for every function  $u$  in  $L^1(\Gamma)$  it is well-known that  $\varphi*u$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$ , that  $\|\varphi*u\| \leq \|\varphi\| \cdot \|u\|_1$ , and that  $S(\varphi*u) \subset S(\varphi) \cap \text{supp}(\check{u})$ . We shall write  $\Phi\check{u}$  to denote the operator in  $T_p(G)$  defined by  $(\Phi\check{u})^\wedge = \varphi*u$ . Finally, observe that if  $f$  is in  $AL^1(G)$ , then  $f*\Phi$  is continuous since we have

$$(f*\Phi)(x) = \int_\Gamma \hat{f}(\gamma) \widehat{\Phi}(\gamma) \langle x, \gamma \rangle d\gamma \quad (x \in G).$$

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $\Phi$  be any operator in  $T_p(G)$  concentrated on a closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , and let  $f$  and  $g$  be any functions in  $AL^1(G)$  such that  $f=g$  on  $H$ . Then we have  $f*\Phi = g*\Phi$  on  $H$ .*

**PROOF.** It suffices to prove that if  $h$  is in  $AL^1(G)$  and if  $h=0$  on  $H$ , then  $h*\Phi=0$  on  $H$ . But this follows from the fact that every closed subgroup of  $G$  is an  $S$ -set for the algebra  $L^1(\Gamma)$  (see [6; p. 170]).

We shall now denote by  $AL^1_c(G)$  the space of all functions in  $AL^1(G)$  having compact support, and observe then that there exists a net  $s_n$  in  $AL^1_c(G)$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$(2. A) \quad \|\hat{s}_n\|_1 \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } n;$$

(2. B) If  $\varphi$  is a function in  $L^\infty(\Gamma)$ , then the net  $\varphi * \hat{s}_n$  converges to  $\varphi$  in the weak-star topology of  $L^\infty(\Gamma)$ . If, in addition,  $\varphi$  is continuous, then the net  $\varphi * \hat{s}_n$  converges to  $\varphi$  uniformly on each compact subset of  $\Gamma$ .

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $\Phi$  be any operator in  $T_p(G)$  and let  $s_n$  be a net in  $AL^1_c(G)$  satisfying (2. A) and (2. B). Then we have  $\|\Phi s_n\| \leq \|\Phi\|$  and  $\text{supp } \Phi s_n \subset \text{supp } \Phi \cap \text{supp } s_n$  for all  $n$ . Furthermore, the net  $\Phi s_n$  in  $T_p(G)$  converges to  $\Phi$  in the topology of weak convergence of operators.*

PROOF. Trivial from the above observations.

**3. Operators concentrated on a closed subgroup.** In this section, we shall characterize the operators in  $T_p(G)$  concentrated on a closed subgroup.

Let  $H$  be any closed subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\Lambda$  its annihilator. For each element  $x$  of  $G$ ,  $\tilde{x}$  denotes the coset of  $H$  containing  $x$ . Similarly, for each element  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  denotes the coset of  $\Lambda$  containing  $\gamma$ . We shall fix the Haar measures of  $G, H$ , and the quotient group  $G/H$  so that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_G f dx = \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x+t) dt d\tilde{x} \quad (f \in L^1(G)).$$

Then we have

$$(3.2) \quad \int_\Gamma u d\gamma = \int_{\Gamma/\Lambda} \int_\Lambda u(\gamma+\lambda) d\lambda d\tilde{\gamma} \quad (u \in L^1(\Gamma)).$$

(Note that the Haar measures of a locally compact abelian group and its dual are always assumed to be so adjusted that the inversion formula holds. Since we have fixed the Haar measures of  $G, H$ , and  $G/H$ , it follows that those of  $\Gamma, \Gamma/\Lambda$ , and  $\Lambda$  are automatically determined by this requirement.) For a function  $f$  on  $G$  and an element  $x$  of  $G$ , the function  $f_x$  is defined by  $f_x(y) = f(y-x)$  ( $y \in G$ ). It is then easy to see that

$$(3.3) \quad \int_H f_{-x}(t) \langle t, -\tilde{\gamma} \rangle dt = \int_\Lambda (f_{-x})^\wedge(\gamma+\lambda) d\lambda$$

for all  $f$  in  $AL^1_c(G)$  and all  $x$  in  $G$ , where the integral in the right side exists for almost all  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in  $\Gamma/\Lambda$ , and the equality holds for almost all  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in  $\Gamma/\Lambda$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $\Psi$  be any operator in  $T_p(H)$ . Then there exists a unique operator  $\Phi$  in  $T_p(G)$  such that  $\hat{\Phi} = \hat{\Psi} \circ \pi_\Lambda$  where  $\pi_\Lambda$  denotes the quotient mapping from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Gamma/\Lambda$ . In this case we have also  $\|\Phi\| = \|\Psi\|$ .*

PROOF. For any function  $f$  on  $G$ , let  $f|_H$  be the restriction of  $f$  to  $H$ . It is readily seen that the restrictions to  $H$  of the functions in  $AL^1_c(G)$  coincides with  $AL^1_c(H)$ .

Let now  $f$  be any fixed function in  $AL^1_c(G)$ . It then follows from (3.3) that

$$(3.1.1) \quad (f_{-x}|_H)^\wedge(\tilde{\gamma}) = \int_{\Lambda} (f_{-x})^\wedge(\gamma+\lambda) d\lambda \quad (x \in G)$$

for almost all  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in  $\Gamma/\Lambda$ , and so

$$(3.1.2) \quad (\Psi(f_{-x}|_H))^\wedge(\tilde{\gamma}) = \widehat{\Psi}(\tilde{\gamma}) \int_{\Lambda} (f_{-x})^\wedge(\lambda+\lambda) d\lambda \quad (x \in G)$$

for almost all  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in  $\Gamma/\Lambda$ . Integrating both sides of (3.1.2), we have by (3.2)

$$(3.1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi(f_{-x}|_H)(0) &= \int_{\Gamma/\Lambda} \widehat{\Psi}(\tilde{\gamma}) \int_{\Lambda} (f_{-x})^\wedge(\gamma+\lambda) d\lambda d\tilde{\gamma} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} (\widehat{\Psi} \circ \pi_{\Lambda})(\gamma) (f_{-x})^\wedge(\gamma) d\gamma \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} (\widehat{\Psi} \circ \pi_{\Lambda})(\gamma) \hat{f}(\gamma) \langle x, \gamma \rangle d\gamma \quad (x \in G). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the function defined by

$$(3.1.4) \quad \Phi(f)(x) = \Psi(f_{-x}|_H)(0) \quad (x \in G)$$

is continuous. We have also by (3.1) and (3.1.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |\Phi(f)(x)|^p dx &= \int_{G/H} \int_H |\Psi(f_{-x}|_H)(t)|^p dt dx \\ &\leq \|\Psi\|^p \int_{G/H} \int_H |f(x+t)|^p dt dx \\ &= \|\Psi\|^p \int_G |f(x)|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\Phi(f)$  is in  $L^p(G)$  and

$$(3.1.5) \quad \|\Phi(f)\|_p \leq \|\Psi\| \cdot \|f\|_p.$$

It also follows from (3.1.3) and (3.1.4) that

$$(3.1.6) \quad (\Phi(f))^\wedge(\gamma) = (\widehat{\Psi} \circ \pi_\Lambda)(\gamma) \hat{f}(\gamma) \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma).$$

Since (3.1.5) and (3.1.6) are valid for every function  $f$  in  $AL^1_c(G)$ , and since  $AL^1_c(G)$  is dense in  $L^p(G)$ ,  $\Phi$  can be uniquely extended to an operator in  $T_p(G)$ , which we also denote by  $\Phi$ , so that

$$(3.1.7) \quad \|\Phi\| \leq \|\Psi\|, \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\Phi} = \widehat{\Psi} \circ \pi_\Lambda.$$

It remains only to show that  $\|\Phi\| = \|\Psi\|$ . If we note that  $\text{supp } \Phi \subset \text{supp } \Psi \subset H$ , which follows at once from (3.1.4), this equality will follow from the following:

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $\Phi$  be any operator in  $T_p(G)$  concentrated on  $H$ . Then there exists a unique operator  $\Psi$  in  $T_p(H)$  such that  $\widehat{\Psi} \circ \pi_\Lambda = \widehat{\Phi}$ . In this case we have  $\|\Psi\| = \|\Phi\|$ .*

PROOF. Let  $F$  be any function in  $AL^1_c(H)$ . Then there exists a function  $f$  in  $AL^1_c(G)$  such that  $F = f|_H$ . We put

$$(3.2.1) \quad \Psi(F)(t) = \Phi(f)(t) \quad (t \in H),$$

which is well-defined by Lemma 2.1. We then claim that  $\Psi$  can be extended to an operator in  $T_p(H)$  having the required properties.

First assume that  $\Phi$  has compact support. It is then easy to see that  $\Phi$  maps  $AL^1_c(G)$  into  $AL^1_c(G)$ . Let us now fix any function  $f$  in  $AL^1_c(G)$ . The inequality  $\|\Phi(f)\|_p \leq \|\Phi\| \cdot \|f\|_p$  is equivalent to

$$\int_G |\Phi(f)(x)|^p dx \leq \|\Phi\|^p \int_G |f(x)|^p dx,$$

and this can be rewritten in the form

$$(3.2.2) \quad \int_{G/H} \left\{ \int_H |\Phi(f)(x+t)|^p dt \right\} d\bar{x} \leq \|\Phi\|^p \int_{G/H} \left\{ \int_H |f(x+t)|^p dt \right\} d\bar{x}.$$

Take now any compact neighborhood  $K$  of 0 in  $G/H$ , and any open neighborhood  $U$  of  $K$ . Denote by  $\pi_H$  the quotient mapping from  $G$  to  $G/H$ . We can find a function  $g$  on  $G$ , which is the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of a bounded measure concentrated on  $\Lambda$ , so that

$$(3.2.3) \quad \text{supp } g \subset \pi_H^{-1}(U); \quad g = 1 \text{ on } \pi_H^{-1}(K); \quad |g| \leq 1 \text{ on } G.$$

Replacing  $f$  by  $gf$  in (3.2.2), we have

$$(3.2.4) \quad \int_{G/H} \left\{ \int_H |\Phi(gf)(x+t)|^p dt \right\} d\tilde{x} \leq \|\Phi\|^p \int_{G/H} \left\{ \int_H |(gf)(x+t)|^p dt \right\} d\tilde{x}.$$

But, the integral in the bracket in the right side, as a function on  $G/H$ , vanishes outside  $U$  by (3.2.3). Thus (3.2.4) together with (3.2.3) yields

$$(3.2.5) \quad \int_K \left\{ \int_H |\Phi(gf)(x+t)|^p dt \right\} d\tilde{x} \leq \|\Phi\|^p \int_U \left\{ \int_H |f(x+t)|^p dt \right\} d\tilde{x}.$$

One more application of (3.2.3) also shows that  $(gf)(x+t) = f(x+t)$  for all  $x$  in  $\pi_H^{-1}(K)$  and all  $t$  in  $H$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\Phi(gf)(x+t) = \Phi(f)(x+t)$  for all such  $x$  and  $t$ , since  $gf$  is in  $AL^1(G)$ . Thus (3.2.5) can be written as

$$\int_K \left\{ \int_H |\Phi(f)(x+t)|^p dt \right\} d\tilde{x} \leq \|\Phi\|^p \int_U \left\{ \int_H |f(x+t)|^p dt \right\} d\tilde{x}.$$

Since  $U$  was an arbitrary neighborhood of  $K$ , this yields

$$(3.2.6) \quad \int_K \left\{ \int_H |\Phi(f)(x+t)|^p dt \right\} d\tilde{x} \leq \|\Phi\|^p \int_K \left\{ \int_H |f(x+t)|^p dt \right\} d\tilde{x}.$$

Observe now that the integrals in the brackets in both sides of (3.2.6), as functions of  $\tilde{x}$ , are continuous. Since (3.2.6) holds for all compact neighborhoods  $K$  of 0 in  $G/H$ , this assures that

$$\int_H |\Phi(f)(t)|^p dt \leq \|\Phi\|^p \int_H |f(t)|^p dt,$$

which combined with (3.2.1) yields

$$\|\Psi(F)\|_p \leq \|\Phi\| \cdot \|F\|_p \quad (F \in AL^1_c(H)).$$

Thus  $\Psi$  can be uniquely extended to an operator in  $T_p(H)$ , which we also denote by  $\Psi$ , with  $\|\Psi\| \leq \|\Phi\|$ .

In order to show that  $\widehat{\Psi} \circ \pi_\Lambda = \widehat{\Phi}$ , it suffices to note that (3.2.1) implies (3.1.4). In fact, then (3.1.6) shows  $\widehat{\Psi} \circ \pi_\Lambda = \widehat{\Phi}$ .

Let now  $\Phi$  be any operator in  $T_p(G)$  concentrated on  $H$  whose support is not necessarily compact. Take a net  $s_n$  in  $AL^1_c(G)$  satisfying (2. A) and (2. B). It then follows from Lemma 2.2 that every operator  $\Phi s_n$  has a compact support contained in  $H$  and norm  $\leq \|\Phi\|$ . By what has already been proved, we can find a net  $\Psi_n$  in  $T_p(H)$  so that

$$(3.2.7) \quad \|\Psi_n\| \leq \|\Phi\|, \text{ and } \widehat{\Psi}_n \circ \pi_\Lambda = \widehat{\Phi} * \hat{s}_n$$

for all  $n$ . Then we have for any function  $u$  in  $L^1(\Gamma)$

$$(3.2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\Gamma \widehat{\Phi} \cdot u d\gamma &= \lim_n \int_\Gamma (\widehat{\Phi} * \hat{s}_n) \cdot u d\gamma \\ &= \lim_n \int_\Gamma (\widehat{\Psi}_n \circ \pi_\Lambda) \cdot u d\gamma \\ &= \lim_n \int_{\Gamma/\Lambda} \widehat{\Psi}_n(\tilde{\gamma}) \int_\Lambda u(\gamma + \lambda) d\lambda d\tilde{\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|\widehat{\Psi}_n\|_\infty = \|\widehat{\Phi} * \hat{s}_n\|_\infty \leq \|\widehat{\Phi}\|_\infty$$

for all  $n$ , and since the mapping

$$u \longrightarrow u'(\tilde{\gamma}) = \int_\Lambda u(\gamma + \lambda) d\lambda$$

carries  $L^1(\Gamma)$  onto  $L^1(\Gamma/\Lambda)$ , (3.2.8) shows that the net  $\widehat{\Psi}_n$  converges to some  $\psi$  of  $L^\infty(\Gamma/\Lambda)$  in the weak-star topology of  $L^\infty(\Gamma/\Lambda)$  such that

$$\int_\Gamma \widehat{\Phi} \cdot u d\gamma = \int_{\Gamma/\Lambda} \psi \cdot u' d\tilde{\gamma} \quad (u \in L^1(\Gamma)),$$

which clearly implies that  $\widehat{\Phi} = \psi \circ \pi_\Lambda$  locally almost everywhere.

To show that  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma/\Lambda)$  and  $\|\psi\| \leq \|\Phi\|$ , let  $f$  and  $g$  be any functions in  $AL^1(H)$ . Since the net  $\widehat{\Psi}_n$  converges to  $\psi$  in the weak-star topology of  $L^\infty(\Gamma/\Lambda)$ , and since  $\|\Psi_n\| \leq \|\Phi\|$  for all  $n$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Gamma/\Lambda} \hat{g} \cdot \hat{f} \cdot \psi d\tilde{\gamma} \right| &= \lim_n \left| \int_{\Gamma/\Lambda} \hat{g} \cdot \hat{f} \cdot \widehat{\Psi}_n d\tilde{\gamma} \right| \\ &\leq \|g\|_q \cdot \|f\|_p \cdot \|\Phi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma/\Lambda)$  and  $\|\psi\| \leq \|\Phi\|$ . Summarizing up, we have found an operator  $\Psi$  in  $T_p(H)$  such that

$$(3.2.9) \quad \|\Psi\| \leq \|\Phi\|, \text{ and } \widehat{\Psi} \circ \pi_\Lambda = \widehat{\Phi}.$$

Combining (3.2.9) and (3.1.7), we have also  $\|\Psi\| = \|\Phi\|$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *There exists an isometrical isomorphism  $\alpha$  from the Banach algebra  $T_p(H)$  to the Banach algebra  $T_p(G)$  such that*

$$(\alpha(\Psi))^\wedge = \widehat{\Psi} \circ \pi_\Lambda \quad (\Psi \in T_p(H)).$$

*The range of  $\alpha$  is precisely the space of all operators in  $T_p(G)$  concentrated on  $H$ .*

**PROOF.** This is trivial from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a function in  $L^\infty(\Gamma)$ . Then the spectrum of  $\varphi$  is contained in  $H$  if and only if  $\varphi$  may be redefined on a locally null set to be constant on each coset of  $\Lambda$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $u$  be any function in  $L^1(\Gamma)$ . Then for all  $\lambda$  in  $\Gamma$  and all  $x$  in  $G$ , we have  $\check{u}_\lambda(x) = \langle x, \lambda \rangle \check{u}(x)$ . Therefore, if  $\lambda$  is in  $\Lambda$ , we have  $\check{u}_\lambda = \check{u}$  on  $H$ .

Suppose now that the spectrum of  $\varphi$  is contained in  $H$ . Since  $H$  is an  $S$ -set for the algebra  $L^1(\Gamma)$ , it follows from the above observation that for all  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda$

$$\varphi_\lambda * u = \varphi * u_\lambda = \varphi * u \quad (u \in L^1(\Gamma)).$$

This clearly implies that for every  $\lambda$  in  $\Lambda$ ,  $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi$  locally almost everywhere, from which we can easily deduce that  $\varphi$  may be redefined on a locally null set to be constant on each coset of  $\Lambda$ .

Conversely assume that  $\varphi$  is constant on each coset of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\psi$  be the function on  $\Gamma/\Lambda$  defined by  $\psi \circ \pi_\Lambda = \varphi$ . We have then by (3.2)

$$(3.4.1) \quad \int_\Gamma \varphi \cdot \hat{f} d\gamma = \int_{\Gamma/\Lambda} \psi(\tilde{\gamma}) \int_\Lambda \hat{f}(\gamma + \lambda) d\lambda d\tilde{\gamma}$$

for all  $f$  in  $AL^1_c(G)$ . Substituting (3.1.1) to (3.4.1), we see that

$$(3.4.2) \quad \int_\Gamma \varphi \cdot \hat{f} d\gamma = \int_{\Gamma/\Lambda} \psi(\tilde{\gamma})(f|_H)^\wedge(\tilde{\gamma}) d\tilde{\gamma}$$



for all  $f$  in  $AL^1_c(G)$ . Thus we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} \varphi \cdot \hat{f} d\gamma = 0$$

for all  $f$  in  $AL^1_c(G)$  such that  $f=0$  on  $H$ . In other words, the spectrum of  $\varphi$  is contained in  $H$ . This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Let  $\psi$  be any function on  $\Gamma/\Lambda$ . Set  $\varphi = \psi \circ \pi_{\Lambda}$ . Then  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma/\Lambda)$ . In this case, we have  $\|\varphi\| = \|\psi\|$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma/\Lambda)$ , then  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$  and  $\|\varphi\| = \|\psi\|$  by Theorem 3.3. Conversely, if  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$ , then the operator in  $T_p(G)$  corresponding to  $\varphi$  is concentrated on  $H$  by Lemma 3.4 since  $\varphi$  is constant on each coset of  $\Lambda$ . Applying Theorem 3.3, we see that  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma/\Lambda)$  and  $\|\psi\| = \|\varphi\|$ . This completes the proof.

**4. Restrictions of  $L^p$ -multipliers to a subgroup.** We shall denote by  $\bar{G}$  the Bohr compactification of  $G$ . Thus the dual group of  $\bar{G}$  is  $\Gamma_a$ , the group  $\Gamma$  endowed with the discrete topology. Let  $R$  be the group of real numbers,  $Z$  the group of integers, and  $T$  the circle group.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be in  $L^\infty(\Gamma)$ , and  $u$  in  $L^1(\Gamma)$ . If  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma_a)$ , then so is  $\varphi * u$ , and  $\|\varphi * u\|_{M_p(\Gamma_a)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma_a)} \cdot \|u\|_1$ .*

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Let  $\psi$  be any continuous function on the group  $\Gamma' = R^N \times D$ , where  $N$  is a non-negative integer and  $D$  a discrete abelian group. Then  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma')$  if and only if  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma'_a)$ . In this case we have  $\|\psi\|_{M_p(\Gamma')} = \|\psi\|_{M_p(\Gamma'_a)}$ .*

The first lemma is due to de Leeuw [5], and the second one is also due to him in case  $\Gamma' = R^N$ . The modifications needed in the proofs are obvious.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $\varphi$  be any continuous function on  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma_a)$ . In this case we have  $\|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma)} = \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma_a)}$ .*

**PROOF.** We shall prove this in three steps.

Step 1. Suppose here that  $G$  has the form  $G = R^m \times Z^n \times K$  for some non-negative integers  $m$  and  $n$  and some compact abelian group  $K$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is of

the form  $\Gamma = R^m \times T^n \times D$ , where  $D$  is the discrete dual of  $K$ . Let now  $\Gamma' = R^m \times R^n \times D$ . Then we can construct a continuous homomorphism  $\pi$  from  $\Gamma'$  onto  $\Gamma$  so that  $\Gamma = \Gamma'/\Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the kernel of  $\pi$ . Let  $\varphi'$  be the function on  $\Gamma'$  defined by  $\varphi' = \varphi \circ \pi$ . Applying Corollary 3.5, we see that  $\varphi'$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma')$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$ , and that then  $\|\varphi'\|_{M_p(\Gamma')} = \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma)}$ . The same is true even if  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  are replaced by  $\Gamma_d$  and  $\Gamma'_d$  respectively. It also follows from Lemma 4.2 that  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma')$  if and only if  $\varphi'$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma'_d)$  and that then  $\|\varphi'\|_{M_p(\Gamma')} = \|\varphi'\|_{M_p(\Gamma'_d)}$ . Combining these facts, we have the desired conclusion.

Step 2. Suppose here that the spectrum of  $\varphi$  is compact. Let  $H$  be any compactly generated open subgroup of  $G$  containing the spectrum of  $\varphi$ . Then  $H$  has the form  $H = R^m \times Z^n \times K$  for some non-negative integers  $m$  and  $n$  and some compact abelian group  $K$  (see [2: Theorem 9.8, p. 90]). Let  $\Lambda$  be the annihilator of  $H$ , then we see from Lemma 3.4 that  $\varphi$  is constant on each coset of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\psi$  be the function on  $\Gamma/\Lambda$  defined by  $\varphi = \psi \circ \pi_\Lambda$ . It follows from Corollary 3.5 that  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma/\Lambda)$  and that in this case  $\|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma)} = \|\psi\|_{M_p(\Gamma/\Lambda)}$ . The same is true even if  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma/\Lambda$  are replaced by  $\Gamma_d$  and  $(\Gamma/\Lambda)_d$ . By Step 1, we have also that  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma/\Lambda)$  if and only if  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma_d/\Lambda_d)$ . From these facts we have the desired conclusion.

Step 3. Let  $s_n$  be a net in  $AL^1_c(G)$  satisfying (2. A) and (2. B). Suppose that  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$ . Then we see from Lemma 2.2 that every  $\varphi * \hat{s}_n$  has norm  $\leq \|\varphi\|$  and its spectrum is compact. It follows from Step 2 that every  $\varphi * \hat{s}_n$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma_d)$  and has norm  $\leq \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma)}$ . Since the net  $\varphi * \hat{s}_n$  converges to  $\varphi$  pointwise, it is easy to see that  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma_d)$  and  $\|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma_d)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma)}$ . Conversely, assume that  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma_d)$ . We then see from Lemma 4.1 that  $\varphi * \hat{s}_n$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma_d)$  and has norm  $\leq \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma_d)}$ . Thus the proof proceeds as before, and we conclude that  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$  and  $\|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma_d)}$ . This establishes our theorem.

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *Let  $\varphi$  be any measurable function on  $\Gamma$ . If  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma_d)$ , then  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$  and  $\|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma_d)}$ .*

**PROOF.** Take a net  $s_n$  in  $AL^1_c(G)$  satisfying (2. A) and (2. B). If  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma_d)$ , then by Lemma 4.1 so is every  $\varphi * \hat{s}_n$  and  $\|\varphi * \hat{s}_n\|_{M_p(\Gamma_d)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma_d)}$ . Since every  $\varphi * \hat{s}_n$  is continuous, Theorem 4.3 applies, and we see that  $\varphi * \hat{s}_n$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$  and

$$\|\varphi * \hat{s}_n\|_{M_p(\Gamma)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma_d)}.$$

Therefore, we have at once the desired conclusion.

We say that a bounded measurable function  $\varphi$  is regulated, if there exists a net  $u_n$  in  $L^1(\Gamma)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $\|u_n\|_1 \leq 1$  for all  $n$ ;
- (b)  $\varphi * u_n$  converges to  $\varphi$  in the weak-star topology of  $L^\infty(\Gamma)$  and also pointwisely.

We shall now state two corollaries without proof. These two results are immediate from Theorem 4.3, etc.

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a bounded measurable function on  $\Gamma$  which is regulated. Then  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma_d)$ . In this case we have  $\|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma)} = \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma_d)}$ .*

**COROLLARY 4.6.** *Let  $\varphi$  be as in Corollary 4.5, and  $\Lambda$  an algebraic subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . If  $\varphi$  is in  $M_p(\Gamma)$ , and if  $\psi$  is the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $\Lambda$ , we have:*

- (a)  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Lambda_d)$ , and  $\|\psi\|_{M_p(\Lambda_d)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma)}$ ;
- (b) If  $\Lambda$  is closed, and if  $\psi$  is measurable with respect to the Haar measure of  $\Lambda$ , then  $\psi$  is in  $M_p(\Lambda)$  and  $\|\psi\|_{M_p(\Lambda)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{M_p(\Gamma)}$ .

**REMARKS.** (a) In some special cases, we can weaken the assumption in the "if" part of Theorem 4.3. See [4].

(b) Let  $\Lambda$  be a closed subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , and for any topological space  $X$ , let  $C(X)$  be the space of all continuous functions. Part (b) of Corollary 4.6 shows that the restrictions to  $\Lambda$  of the functions in  $M_p(\Gamma) \cap C(\Gamma)$  belong to  $M_p(\Lambda) \cap C(\Lambda)$ . Conversely, is it true that every function in  $M_p(\Lambda) \cap C(\Lambda)$  is the restriction of a function in  $M_p(\Gamma) \cap C(\Gamma)$ ? This is the case if  $p = 1$  or  $2$ . The author conjectures that this is also true for all  $p$  with  $1 < p < 2$ .

**ADDED IN PROOF.** (c) The Answer to the question in (b) is Yes if  $\Lambda$  is a closed discrete subgroup of  $\Gamma$  (see [1]).

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. FIGÀ-TALAMANCA AND G. I. GAUDRY, Extensions of multipliers, to appear.
- [2] E. HEWITT AND K. A. ROSS, Abstract harmonic analysis, Vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1963.
- [3] L. HÖRMANDER, Estimates for translation invariant operators in  $L^p$  spaces, Acta Math., 104 (1964), 93-140.
- [4] S. IGARI, Functions of  $L^p$ -multipliers, Tôhoku Math. J., 21(1969), 304-320.
- [5] K. DE LEEUW, On  $L^p$ -multipliers, Ann. of Math., 81(1965), 364-979.
- [6] W. RUDIN, Fourier Analysis on groups, Interscience, New York, 1962.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY  
TOKYO, JAPAN