

Tree-likeness of hereditarily equivalent continua

by

H. Cook (Houston, Tex.)

A continuum is a compact, connected, metric space. An hereditarily equivalent continuum is a non-degenerate continuum which is homeomorphic to each of its non-degenerate subcontinua.

In [5], S. Mazurkiewicz raised the question: "Un continu dans l'espace à m dimensions qui est homéomorphe de tout continu qu'il contient, est-il nécessairement un arc simple?" Moise, [6], has answered this question in the negative by showing that the pseudo-arc is an indecomposable, planar, hereditarily equivalent continuum; however, G. W. Henderson, [3], has shown that each decomposable, hereditarily equivalent continuum is an arc. The pseudo-arc and the arc are the only known hereditarily equivalent continua. The author has heard, conversationally, speculation that (especially in light of the proof of D. W. Henderson, [2], that there is a continuum each non-degenerate subcontinuum of which is infinite dimensional) there may be an infinite dimensional, hereditarily equivalent continuum. Lemma 3 of this paper shows that this is not the case.

A tree-like continuum is one which, for every positive number ε , can be ε -mapped onto a finite tree. Each tree-like continuum is one-dimensional. Whyburn has shown, [8], that each planar, hereditarily equivalent continuum is tree-like. In this note it is shown that every hereditarily equivalent continuum is tree-like.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that M is an hereditarily equivalent continuum and ε is a positive number. Then there is a homeomorphism h of M onto a proper subcontinuum of M such that, for each point x of M , the distance from x to $h(x)$ is less than ε .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be an uncountable monotonic collection of non-degenerate subcontinua of M (e.g., for some point p , let \mathcal{G} be the collection to which g belongs if, and only if, for some positive number δ , g is the component containing p of the closed δ -neighborhood of p .) For each element g of \mathcal{G} , let h_g denote a homeomorphism of M onto g . Let $X = \{h_g \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Then X is a subset of M^M , the space of all mappings (i.e. continuous transformations) of M into M , and, thus, is separable

and metric. Since every uncountable subset of X has a limit element, there is an element k of \mathcal{G} such that h_k is a limit element of $\{h_g \mid g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } g \subset k\}$ and of $\{h_g \mid g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } k \subset g\}$, ([7], Theorem 6, p. 3). Thus there is a sequence $\{g_i\}$ of distinct elements of \mathcal{G} which are proper subcontinua of k such that the sequence $\{h_{g_i}\}$ converges to h_k . Then the sequence $\{h_{g_i} \circ h_k^{-1}\}$ converges to the identity mapping of k onto itself and, for each i , $h_{g_i} \circ h_k^{-1}(k)$ is a proper subcontinuum, g_i , of k . Since M is homeomorphic to k , there also exists a sequence $\{f_i\}$ of homeomorphisms, each throwing M onto a proper subcontinuum of M such that the sequence $\{f_i\}$ converges to the identity mapping of M onto M . Thus, Lemma 1 is true.

LEMMA 2. *If M is an hereditarily equivalent continuum, every mapping of M into a connected one-dimensional polyhedron is homotopic to a constant.*

Proof. Suppose that Y is connected, one-dimensional polyhedron and there is an essential mapping of M into Y . Since the space Y^M of all mappings of M into Y is an ANR ([4], p. 260), if we show that there is an essential mapping of M into Y which is a limit point (in Y^M) of the set of inessential mappings of M into Y , we will have achieved a contradiction. Let f be a mapping of M onto Y which is essential; there is a subcontinuum K of M such that $f|K$ is essential but, if K' is a proper subcontinuum of K , $f|K'$ is inessential. Since K is homeomorphic to M , there is an essential mapping g of M into Y such that, if M' is a proper subcontinuum of M , $g|M'$ is inessential. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since g is uniformly continuous, there is a positive number δ such that, if h is a mapping of M into M at a distance in M^M less than δ from the identity mapping of M onto M then $[g|h(M)] \circ h$ is a distance less than ε from g in Y^M . But there is a homeomorphism h of M onto a proper subcontinuum M' of M which moves no point of M a distance greater than δ and $g|M'$ is inessential. Thus, the distance in Y^M from g to $[g|M'] \circ h$ is less than ε and is inessential. Thus g is an essential mapping of M into Y which is a limit point in Y^M of the set of inessential mappings of M into Y , our contradiction. Hence, every mapping of M into a one-dimensional polyhedron is inessential.

LEMMA 3. *Every hereditarily equivalent continuum is one-dimensional.*

Proof. Suppose M is an hereditarily equivalent continuum and $\dim M > 1$. Then ([4], p. 271) there is a subcontinuum M' of M and an essential mapping f of M' onto a circle. But M' is hereditarily equivalent, a contradiction to Lemma 2.

THEOREM. *Every hereditarily equivalent continuum is tree-like.*

Proof. Every hereditarily equivalent continuum is a one-dimensional continuum each mapping of which onto a one-dimensional polyhedron is inessential and, thus, [1], Theorem 1, is tree-like.

References

- [1] J. H. Case and R. E. Chamberlin, *Characterizations of tree-like continua*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), pp. 73-84.
- [2] D. W. Henderson, *An infinite-dimensional compactum with no positive dimensional compact subsets—a simpler construction*, Amer. J. Math. 89 (1967), pp. 105-121.
- [3] G. W. Henderson, *Proof that every compact decomposable continuum which is topologically equivalent to each of its nondegenerate subcontinua is an arc*, Ann. Math. 27 (1960), pp. 421-428.
- [4] K. Kuratowski, *Topologie*, II, Warszawa 1961.
- [5] S. Mazurkiewicz, *Problem 14*, Fund. Math. 2 (1921), p. 286.
- [6] E. E. Moise, *An indecomposable continuum which is homeomorphic to each of its nondegenerate subcontinua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1948), pp. 581-594.
- [7] R. L. Moore, *Foundations of point set theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 13, New York 1962.
- [8] G. T. Whyburn, *A continuum every subcontinuum of which separates the plane*, Amer. J. Math. 52 (1930), pp. 319-330.

THE UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON

Reçu par la Rédaction le 7. 5. 1969