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#### Abstract

Every locally trivial action of the additive group of complex numbers on four-dimensional complex affine space that is given by a triangular derivation is conjugate to a translation. A criterion for a proper action on complex affine $n$-space to be locally trivial is given, along with an example showing that the hypotheses of the criterion are sharp.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G_{a}$ denote the additive group of complex numbers, and $X$ a complex affine variety. By an action of $G_{a}$ on $X$ we will mean an algebraic action. It is well known that every such action can be realized as the exponential of some locally nilpotent derivation $D$ of the coordinate ring $\mathbf{C}[X]$ and that every locally nilpotent derivation gives rise to an action. The ring $C_{0}$ of $G_{a}$ invariants in $\mathbf{C}[X]$ is equal to the ring of constants of the generating derivation.

Given an action $\sigma: G_{a} \times X \rightarrow X$, let $\bar{\sigma}: G_{a} \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ denote the graph morphism and $\hat{\sigma}: \mathbf{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[X, t]$ (resp. $\tilde{\sigma}: \mathbf{C}[X \times X] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[X, t]$ ) denote the induced maps on coordinate rings.

The action is said to be proper if $\bar{\sigma}$ is a proper morphism (i.e., if $\mathbf{C}[X, t]$ is integral over the image of $\tilde{\sigma})$. The action is said to be equivariantly trivial if there is a variety $Y$ for which $X$ is $G_{a}$ equivariantly isomorphic to $G_{a} \times Y$, the action on $G_{a} \times Y$ being given by $g *(y, h)=(y, g+h)$. The action is locally trivial if there is a cover of $X$ by $G_{a}$ stable affine open subsets $X_{i}$ on which the action is equivariantly trivial. Equivariant triviality of an action on $X$ is equivalent with the existence of a regular function $s \in \mathbf{C}[X]$ for which $D s=1$. Such a function is called a slice and, if one exists, $\mathbf{C}[X]=C_{0}[s]$. If $X$ is factorial, i.e., its coordinate ring is a unique factorization domain, then local triviality is equivalent with the intersection of the kernel and image of $D$ generating the unit ideal in $\mathbf{C}[X]$.

The affine cancellation problem can be phrased in terms of $G_{a}$ actions on $X=$ $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ : If the action is equivariantly trivial, is then $Y \cong \mathbf{C}^{n}$ ? The answer is affirmative for $n=2$, and for $n=3$ provided the ring of invariants contains a coordinate function [16, Cor. 4.5.5]. It has recently been shown that the ring of $G_{a}$ invariants is finitely generated for actions on $\mathbf{C}^{4}$ whose generating derivation is triangulable (triangulable actions) [2]. These positive results suggest that a more complete understanding of actions on $\mathbf{C}^{4}$ is within reach. In section 1 we show that

[^0]locally trivial triangulable actions on $\mathbf{C}^{4}$ are in fact equivariantly trivial, admitting a geometric quotient isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^{3}$. Thus the example of Winkelmann [14] of a locally trivial, but not equivariantly trivial, triangular action on $\mathbf{C}^{5}$ is optimal.

Locally trivial actions are proper, and proper actions on $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ are locally trivial provided that $\mathbf{C}[X]$ is a flat ring extension of $C_{0}$ [4, Theorem 2.8]. This need not always be the case as shown in 5]. On the other hand, Holmann 12 showed that any proper holomorphic action on a complex manifold admits a quotient that is a manifold, while Popp [11 Lecture 3] showed that this quotient admits the structure of an algebraic space if the action is algebraic and the manifold is a smooth variety. Based on these results, we give in section 3 a ring-theoretic criterion for a proper action on $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ to be locally trivial and indicate where the hypotheses fail for the example in [5] of a nonlocally trivial proper action on $\mathbf{C}^{5}$.

## 2. Locally trivial triangular actions on $\mathbf{C}^{4}$

From [4. Theorem 2.8] we know in general that the quotient of a locally trivial action on an affine factorial variety $X$ exists as a quasiaffine variety $Y \subset \mathbf{S p e c}$ $R$, where $R$ is the subring of $C_{0}$ constructed as follows: Let $\delta\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \delta\left(a_{n}\right) \in C_{0}$ generate the unit ideal in $\mathbf{C}[X]$, and set $R_{i}=\mathbf{C}\left[X, \frac{1}{\delta\left(a_{i}\right)}\right]^{G_{a}}$. Note that $\mathbf{C}\left[X, \frac{1}{\delta\left(a_{i}\right)}\right]=$ $R_{i}\left[\frac{a_{i}}{\delta\left(a_{i}\right)}\right]$ so that $R_{i}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{C}$ algebra, say $R_{i}=\mathbf{C}\left[b_{i 1}, \ldots, b_{i m}, \frac{1}{\delta\left(a_{i}\right)}\right]$, with $b_{i j} \in C_{0}$. The ring $R=\mathbf{C}\left[b_{i j}, \delta\left(a_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\right]$ is the required subring of $C_{0}$.

It is easy to see that $C_{0}$ is the factorial closure of $R$ (i.e., the intersection of all unique factorization domains containing $R$ ), and we ask whether $C_{0}$ is the integral closure of $R$. Of course a positive answer would solve Hilbert's $14^{\text {th }}$ problem for locally trivial $G_{a}$ actions. Since $Y$ is a geometric quotient, $C_{0}$ is the ring of global sections of its structure sheaf. With $I$ denoting the ideal defining the complement of $Y$ in Spec $R$, and $F$ the quotient field of $R$, the ring $C_{0}$ is isomorphic to $T_{I} R=\bigcup_{n \geq 0}\left\{\alpha \in F \mid \alpha I^{n} \subset R\right\}$, the ideal transform of $R$ with respect to $I$. A fuller discussion of these notions can be found in [6].

Consider a locally trivial $G_{a}$ action on $\mathbf{C}^{4}$ generated by the locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathbf{C}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]$ defined by $\delta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{4} & \mapsto p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), \\
x_{3} & \mapsto q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \\
x_{2} & \mapsto r\left(x_{1}\right), \\
x_{1} & \mapsto 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It was recently shown [2] that $C_{0}$ is finitely generated for any triangular action on $\mathbf{C}^{4}$. In the special case under consideration, we show that $Y \cong \operatorname{Spec} C_{0}$. Since the quotient $Y$ is then affine, the action is equivariantly trivial (locally trivial actions with quotient $Y$ correspond to elements of $H^{1}(Y, O(Y))$, which is 0 with $Y$ affine), and van Rossum's thesis [16] then shows that $Y \cong \mathbf{C}^{3}$.
Theorem 2.1. Let $G_{a}$ act locally trivially on $X=\mathbf{C}^{4}$ via a triangular derivation as above. Then the action is equivariantly trivial with quotient isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^{3}$.

Proof. Set $Z=\operatorname{Spec} C_{0}$, and denote by $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ the $G_{a}$ equivariant morphism induced by the ring inclusion $C_{0} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right]$. By hypothesis, $x_{1} \in C_{0}$ and is prime, so that for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \pi_{\lambda}$, the restriction of $\pi$ to the hyperplane $X_{\lambda}$
defined by $x_{1}-\lambda$, is a $G_{a}$ equivariant morphism to the surface $Z_{\lambda} \subset Z$ defined there by $x_{1}-\lambda$. The assertion is proved by showing that $\pi_{\lambda}$ is surjective for all $\lambda$.

It suffices to consider only those $\lambda$ for which $x_{1}-\lambda$ divides $r\left(x_{1}\right)$, since otherwise $\frac{x_{2}}{r\left(x_{1}\right)}$ defines a slice on $X_{\lambda}$. Assume that $r(0)=0$, and we consider $\pi_{0}: X_{0} \rightarrow Z_{0}$. Note that $X_{0} \cong \mathbf{C}^{3}$ so that the action on $X_{0}$ has a slice and $\pi_{0}$ is an orbit map, but $Z_{0}$ is not a priori the quotient (which is the open image of $\pi_{0}$ ). Indeed, $Z_{0} \cong \operatorname{Spec} C_{0} /\left(x_{1}\right)$, and $C_{0} /\left(x_{1}\right) \subset\left[C[X] /\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{G_{a}}$, the latter being the ring of functions defined on the image of $\pi_{0}$ in $Y_{0}$, and $X_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{S p e c}\left[C[X] /\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{G_{a}}$ is clearly surjective. Denote by $I$ the ideal in $C_{0} /\left(x_{1}\right)$ defining $Y_{0}-\operatorname{im}\left(\pi_{0}\right)$. We have $T_{I}\left(C_{0} /\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \cong\left[\mathbf{C}[X] /\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{G_{a}} \cong \mathbf{C}^{[2]}$, a polynomial ring in two variables.

Lemma 2.5 of [2] can be interpreted in this context as saying that $C_{0} /\left(x_{1}\right)=$ $R[u]$, where $u$ is transcendental over some subring $R$, i.e., that the algorithm [1] to construct the ring of invariants terminates with the adjunction of an element transcendental over a subring. Denote by $\hat{R}$ the integral closure of $R$ in its quotient field. Note that $\hat{R}[u] \subset T_{I}(R[u])$ since both are integrally closed. Moreover, $\hat{R}$ is Dedekind and rational since the quotient field, $q f(\hat{R}[u]) \cong \mathbf{C}^{(2)} \cong q f(R)(u)$. By the generalized Luroth theorem, $q f(R)$ is rational. Since $\hat{R}$ is a subring of a polynomial ring, $\hat{R} \cong \mathbf{C}^{[1]}$.

Note that $T_{I \hat{R}[u]}(\hat{R}[u]) \cong T_{I}(R[u]) \cong \mathbf{C}^{[2]}$. If $h t(I \hat{R}[u])=1$, then $I \hat{R}[u]$ is principal, say $I \hat{R}[u]=(f)$. But then $\frac{1}{f} \in \mathbf{C}^{[2]}$, a contradiction. Thus $h t(I \hat{R}[u])=2$, which implies that $T_{I \hat{R}[u]}(\hat{R}[u])=\hat{R}[u]$. Finally, we obtain the chain of surjections:

$$
X_{0} \rightarrow \text { Spec }\left[C[X] /\left(x_{1}\right)\right]^{G_{a}}=\text { Spec } \hat{R}[u] \rightarrow \text { Spec } R[u]=Z_{0}
$$

That the quotient is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^{3}$ follows from a special case of [16, Cor. 4.5.5].

Remark 2.2. It appears to be true that every fixed point free action on $\mathbf{C}^{3}$ has a slice (S. Kaliman, preprint), while Winkelmann produced an example of a locally trivial triangular derivation on $\mathbf{C}^{5}$ that has no slice, and there is an example of a triangular proper action on $\mathbf{C}^{5}$ that is not locally trivial. The situation for $\mathbf{C}^{4}$ is not so clear, but the next section proposes an avenue of attack on the proper triangular case.

## 3. Proper actions

Consider a proper $G_{a}$ action on $X=\mathbf{C}^{n}$ generated by the locally nilpotent derivation $D$. Assume that the ring of invariants $C_{0}$ is finitely generated defining the affine variety $Y=\operatorname{Spec} C_{0}$. Let $\pi: \mathbf{C}^{n} \rightarrow Y$ as above be the morphism induced by the ring inclusion $C_{0} \subset \mathbf{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, and let $I$ denote the ideal $C_{0} \cap \operatorname{im} D$ ( $I=C_{0}$ if and only of the action is equivariantly trivial). Assuming that the action is not equivariantly trivial, in particular $n \geq 4$, denote by $Z$ the closed subset of $Y$ defined by $I$. From [7] we know that every irreducible component of $Z$ has codimension exactly two and that $\left.\pi\right|_{X-\pi^{-1} Z}: X-\pi^{-1} Z \rightarrow Y-Z$ is a principal $G_{a}$ bundle. The action is locally trivial if and only if $\pi^{-1} Z=\emptyset$.

From Holmann [12]: we know that the space of orbits carries the structure of an analytic space $X / G_{a}$ (in fact, $X / G_{a}$ is a manifold) and from Popp [11] that $X / G_{a}$ is an algebraic space. The simplicity of our context enables us to make this even more explicit. The orbit $G_{a} x$ of any point $x \in X$ is isomorphic to a line. As such it is a coordinate line in some coordinate system, $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for $X$, say
$G_{a} x$ is the $x_{1}$-axis, and we can take $x$ to be the origin. If $H_{x}$ is the hyperplane $x_{1}=0$, then it is clear that the morphism $G_{a} \times H_{x} \cong \mathbf{C}^{n} \rightarrow X=\mathbf{C}^{n}$ given by $\rho:(\lambda, y) \mapsto \sigma(\lambda, y)$ is étale in an affine neighborhood $U$ of $(\lambda, x)$ (the principal open subset defined by the Jacobian determinant $d$ of the regular mapping). Indeed, $\rho$ is $G_{a}$ equivariant with respect to the action on $G_{a} \times H_{1}$ given by $(\mu,(\lambda, y)) \mapsto(\mu+\lambda, y)$. Thus $d \in \mathbf{C}\left[G_{a} \times H_{x}\right]^{G_{a}}=\mathbf{C}\left[H_{x}\right]$, and $U=G_{a} \times U_{x}$ with $U_{x}$ the principal open subset of $H_{x}$ defined by $d$. Identifying $U_{x}$ with the 0 section of the trivial $G_{a}$ bundle, and therefore the quotient of $U$ with respect to the $G_{a}$ action, the restriction $\left.\rho\right|_{U_{x}}: U_{x} \rightarrow X / G_{a}$ gives an étale morphism. The images of finitely many such morphisms $\left.\rho\right|_{U_{x_{i}}}: U_{x_{i}} \rightarrow X / G_{a}$ cover $X / G_{a}$. That $\amalg_{i} U_{x_{i}} \xrightarrow{\left.\rho\right|_{U_{x_{i}}}} X / G_{a}$ provides an affine étale covering making $X / G_{a}$ an algebraic space is explained in [11, p. 39].

This description of the quotient as an algebraic space uses the complex structure. An alternative realization of the quotient of a variety $X$ by a proper action of an algebraic group $G$ as an algebraic space, valid in any characteristic, is given by Seshadri. Indeed, the construction is similar, differing in that Seshadri builds a variety $Z$ finite over $X$ from affine varieties analogous to $U$ above. The action of $G$ extends to $Z$ and is locally trivial. The quotient $W$ is separated but need not be quasiprojective. However, $\mathbf{C}(Z) / \mathbf{C}(X)$ is Galois with group $\Gamma, \Gamma$ acts on $W$, and the quotient of $X$ by $G$ is the algebraic space $W / \Gamma$. For the purposes of this paper the first description of the quotient is more convenient. For example, we can give a nice description of the stalks of the structure sheaf of the algebraic space $X / G_{a}$.

For a local ring $R$ with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, denote by $R^{h}$ the henselization of $R$ and by $\widehat{R}$ its completion at $\mathfrak{m}$. Recall that for $R$ equal to the localization of an affine domain, $R^{h}$ is the algebraic closure of $R$ in $\widehat{R}$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $z \in X / G_{a}$. Then $O_{z, X / G_{a}} \equiv \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim }\left(O\left(U \times_{X / G_{a}} X\right)^{G_{a}}\right) \cong$ $\left[\lim _{\longrightarrow}\left(O\left(U \times_{X / G_{a}} X\right)\right)\right]^{G_{a}} \cong\left(\mathbf{C}\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}\right)^{h}$, where the limit is taken over all étale open subsets $U \rightarrow X / G_{a}$ of $X / G_{a}$.

Proof. The isomorphism between $O_{z, X / G_{a}}$ and $\left(\mathbf{C}\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}\right)^{h}$ is clear from the above construction of $X / G_{a}$. It is also clear that $\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim }\left(O\left(U \times_{X / G_{a}} X\right)^{G_{a}}\right)$ maps injectively into $\left[\underline{\longrightarrow}\left(O\left(U \times_{X / G_{a}} X\right)\right)\right]^{G_{a}}$. Take $\bar{h} \in\left(\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim O}\left(U \times_{X / G_{a}} X\right)\right)^{G_{a}}$. Because the action is proper, we can find an étale open $V \rightarrow X / G_{a}$ of $X / G_{a}$ with $V$ affine and $V \times{ }_{X / G_{a}} X$ equivariantly isomorphic to $V \times \mathbf{C}$ with $\bar{h}$ represented by some element $h \in O(V \times \mathbf{C})$. Because $\bar{h}$ is $G_{a}$ invariant, for each $\lambda \in G_{a}$ there is an open subset $V_{\lambda} \times \mathbf{C} \subset V \times \mathbf{C}$ with $\left.\lambda(h)\right|_{V_{\lambda} \times \mathbf{C}}=\left.h\right|_{V_{\lambda} \times \mathbf{C}}$. But then the cyclic subgroup of $G_{a}$ generated by $\lambda$ stabilizes $h$ on $V_{\lambda} \times \mathbf{C}$. The stabilizer of $h$ is an algebraic subset of $G_{a}$ and is therefore all of $G_{a}$ for any $\lambda \neq 0$. Thus $h \in O(V \times \mathbf{C})^{G_{a}}$ and the image of $h$ in $\xrightarrow{\lim }\left(O\left(U \times_{X / G_{a}} X\right)^{G_{a}}\right)$ is the desired preimage of $\bar{h}$.

Example 1. The action on $X=\mathbf{C}^{5}$ determined by the locally nilpotent derivation of $\mathbf{C}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}, z\right]$, namely

$$
\delta: x_{2} \mapsto x_{1} \mapsto 0, \quad y_{2} \mapsto y_{1} \mapsto 0, \quad z \mapsto\left(1+x_{1} y_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

is proper. Its quotient is an algebraic space that is not a scheme [5]. In particular, $W$, as in Seshadri's construction above, is not quasiprojective.

The ring of invariants $C_{0}$ is generated by the five polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1} & =x_{1} \\
c_{2} & =x_{2} \\
c_{3} & =x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1} \\
c_{4} & =3 y_{1} z-x_{1} y_{2}^{3}-3 y_{2} \\
c_{5} & =\frac{x_{1}^{2} c_{4}+c_{3}^{3}+3 x_{1} c_{3}}{y 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $Y=\mathbf{S p e c} \mathbf{C}\left[c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}, c_{5}\right]$, and let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be the morphism defined by the rings inclusion. One checks that the $C_{0}$ ideal $\sqrt{C_{0} \cap \operatorname{im}(\delta)}=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right)$ has height 2 , and that $\left[C_{0} \cap \operatorname{im}(\delta)\right] \mathbf{C}[X]=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$. The singular locus $S$ of $Y$ is one dimensional, properly contained in the zeros locus $Z$ of $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right)$, and $\pi\left(\pi^{-1}(Z)\right) \subset$ S. $\left.\pi\right|_{X-\pi^{-1}(Z)}$ is a quotient morphism, but fibers over points in $S$ are all two dimensional.

In general, for a proper action with finitely generated $C_{0}$, the universal property for geometric quotients yields a morphism of algebraic spaces $\bar{\pi}: X / G_{a} \rightarrow Y$ that is an isomorphism outside of a closed subset of codimension 2 in $X / G_{a}$ and $Y$ (the zero loci of $(c 1, c 2)$ in the respective spaces). Note that $\mathbf{C}[Y]$ is a unique factorization domain (UFD), so that if $X / G_{a}$ had the structure of a variety, $\bar{\pi}$ would be an isomorphism into its image [18, Prop. 1, p. 289]. In our example, however, the completions (and henselizations) of the local rings over points in $S$ do not retain the unique factorization domain property.

To see this, we rely on the paper [15] where it is shown that the localization of the UFD $A=\mathbf{C}[X, Y, Z, T] /\left(X Y-Z T+X^{3}+Y^{3}\right)$ at the maximal ideal generated by the classes of $X, Y, Z, T$ does not remain a UFD upon completion. In fact, the completion $\hat{A}$ is shown to be isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}[[X, Y, Z, T]] /(X Y-Z T)$, i.e., $X^{3}+Y^{3} \in(X Y-Z T) \mathbf{C}[[X, Y, Z, T]]$. In the example above, $\mathbf{C}[Y] \cong$ $\mathbf{C}\left[C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, C_{4}, C_{5}\right] /\left(C_{2} C_{5}-C_{1}^{2} C_{4}-C_{3}^{3}-3 C_{1} C_{3}\right)$. With a simple change of variables (replacing $C_{3}$ by $3 C_{3}$ ) and the observation that $C_{1}^{3}+C_{3}^{3} \in\left(C_{2} C_{5}-\right.$ $\left.C_{1} C_{3}\right) \mathbf{C}\left[\left[C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, C_{5}\right]\right]$, we can realize the completion of $\mathbf{C}[Y]$ at the maximal ideal $\left(C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, C_{4}, C_{5}\right)$ as isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}\left[\left[C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, C_{4}, C_{5}\right]\right] /\left(C_{2} C_{5}-C_{1} K\right)$ for some $K$.
Lemma 1. Let $A$ be the localization of a finitely generated domain over $\mathbf{C}$ at the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Then the henselization $A^{h}$ of $A$ is a unique factorization domain if and only if the completion $\hat{A}$ of $A$ is.

Proof. In this context, the henselization and strict henselization of $A$ are equal and $\widehat{A^{h}} \cong \hat{A}$ [19, p. 38]. From 3] (proof of Theorem 1), we have $C\left(A^{h}\right)=C(\hat{A})$, where $C(-)$ denotes the divisor class group.

Lemma 2. Let $G_{a}$ act properly on $X=\mathbf{C}^{n}$ with geometric quotient the algebraic space $X / G_{a}$. Assume that $C_{0}=\mathbf{C}[X]^{G_{a}}$ is finitely generated defining the affine variety $Y$. Denote by $q$ the morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ induced by the ring inclusion, by $\pi$ the quotient morphism $X \rightarrow X / G_{a}$, and by $\bar{\pi}$ the canonical morphism $X / G_{a} \rightarrow Y$. For $z \in X / G_{a}$, let $K_{z}$ be the quotient field of the stalk at $z$ of the structure sheaf of $X / G_{a}$, and let $F_{\bar{\pi}(z)}$ be the quotient field of the henselization of $C_{0, \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\pi}(z)}}$. Then $\bar{\pi}$ induces an isomorphism of $F_{\bar{\pi}(z)}$ and $K_{z}$.
Proof. If the action is locally trivial in the Zariski topology, then there is nothing to show (note that since $Y$ is a variety, $O_{\pi(z), Y}$ is the henselization of $C_{0, \mathfrak{m}_{\pi(z)}}$ ). If the
action is not Zariski locally trivial, then it is nevertheless geometrically irreducible in codimension one (GICO) [7], i.e., the intersection of the kernel and image of the generating derivation $\delta$ lies in no height one prime ideal of $\mathbf{C}[X]$ (or of $C_{0}$ ). As a consequence, there is a closed subset $Z$ of codimension precisely 2 in $Y$ so that $\pi^{-1}(Z)$ has codimension 2 in $X$, and $q: X-\pi^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow Y-Z$ is a principal $G_{a}$ bundle, locally trivial in the Zariski topology with quotient $Y-Z$ [6] (and Theorem 4.2 below) below]. By the uniqueness of geometric quotients, $Y-Z \cong X / G_{a}-\bar{\pi}^{-1} Z$. The result follows by appealing to an affine étale covering of $X / G_{a}$ enabling the reduction to an affine neighborhood $U$ of $z$. A rational function on $U$ representing an element of $K_{z}$ is clearly in the function field of $Y$ at $\pi(z)$.

Theorem 3.2. Consider a proper action of $G_{a}$ on $X=\mathbf{C}^{n}$ with finitely generated ring of invariants $C_{0}$ defining the affine variety $Y$ and morphism $q: X \rightarrow Y$. The action is locally trivial with quasiaffine quotient if and only if for each $x \in X$ the completion of the local ring of $q(x)$ on $Y$ is a unique factorization domain.

Proof. If the action is locally trivial, then $q$ is a flat morphism (in the Zariski topology) whose image is in the smooth locus of $Y$.

To prove the converse, the argument is essentially that of 18, p. 289, Proposition 1]. Let $y=q(x), \mathfrak{m}$ the corresponding maximal ideal of $C_{0}$ and $A=C_{0 \mathfrak{m}}$. If $y$ is a smooth point of $Y$, then the action is locally trivial in an affine neighborhood of $q^{-1}(y)[7]$; so assume that $Y$ is singular at $y$. From Lemma 3.2, we know that $A^{h}$ is a unique factorization domain. Since the action is proper, $X / G_{a}$ exists as an algebraic space. Let $\bar{\pi}(z)=y$ for $z \in X / G_{a}$, and denote by $B=O_{z}, X / G_{a}$. Since $X / G_{a}$ is smooth but $Y$ is singular at $y$, we can view $A^{h}$ as a proper subring of $B$. If $\varphi \in B-A$, from Lemma 3.3, $\varphi=\frac{r}{s}, r, s \in A^{h}$. By choosing a suitable affine neighborhood of $y$, we can assume that there is a morphism of affine varieties $\bar{\pi}: V \rightarrow W$, subvarieties $W_{1}, W_{2}$ of $W$ (the zero loci of $r$ and $s$ ), whose intersection has pure codimension 2 in $W$, and a subvariety $V_{1}$ of $V$ (the zero locus of $s$ ) of codimension 1. Since $r=\varphi s$, every component of $V_{1}$ maps to $W_{1} \cap W_{2}$. In particular, the set of points at which $\bar{\pi}$ is not an isomorphism has codimension 1 , a contradiction.

Problem 1. For which actions does $C_{0, \mathfrak{m}}$ remain a unique factorization domain upon completion? In particular, does this hold for proper actions on $C^{4}$ ?

## 4. Remarks

Related to Lemma 3.2 we have the following.
Proposition 4.1. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic 0 and $A$ an affine $k$ algebra satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $A$ is a unique factorization domain;
(2) with $T$ denoting an indeterminate, $A[[T]]$ is a unique factorization domain (i.e., $A$ has discrete divisor class group, e.g. $A$ is a regular UFD);
(3) $G_{a}=G_{a}(k)$ acts on A via the locally nilpotent derivation $d$ with kernel $A^{d}$. Then $A^{d}$ satisfies Serre's $S_{3}$ condition.

Proof. Consider the extension $D$ of the derivation to $A[[T]]$, defined by $D \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} T^{i}$ $=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d\left(a_{i}\right) T^{i}$, and the extension of the $G_{a}$ action by $\sigma_{t} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} T^{i}=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp (t d)\left(a_{i}\right) T^{i}$ for each element $t \in k$. It is straightforward to check that
$A[[T]]^{G_{a}}=A[[T]]^{D}=A^{d}[[T]]=A^{G_{a}}[[T]]$. Moreover, $A[[T]]^{G_{a}}$ is factorially closed in $A[[T]]$. Indeed, suppose that $a \in A[[T]]^{G_{a}}$ has the factorization $a=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{k}$ in $A[[T]]$. Then $G_{a}$ permutes the ideals $\left(a_{i}\right)$ inducing a homomorphism from $G_{a}$ to the symmetric group on $k$ letters. However, only the trivial homomorphism exists, so that $\sigma_{t}\left(a_{i}\right)=\lambda(t) a_{i}$ where $\lambda: G_{a} \rightarrow A[[T]]^{*}$. Comparing coefficients of $T^{j}$, we find that $(\lambda(t)-1) a_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{t^{j}}{j!} d^{j}\left(a_{i}\right)$, where $N+1$ is the least power of $d$ annihilating $a_{i}$. Note that $d^{N}$ annihilates $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{t^{j}}{j!} d^{j}\left(a_{i}\right)$. Unless $\lambda(t)=1$, we obtain a contradiction. Thus each $a_{i} \in A[[T]]^{G_{a}}$, and therefore this ring is a unique factorization domain. Thus $A^{d}$ has discrete divisor class group and consequently satisfies Serre's condition $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ [13] .

Theorem 4.2. Let $X$ be a smooth factorial quasiaffine variety. Suppose that $G_{a}$ acts algebraically on $X$ and that $O(X)^{G_{a}}$ is finitely generated over $\mathbf{C}$. If $\operatorname{dim} X \leq$ 5 , then $O(X)^{G_{a}}$ is Gorenstein.

Proof. Since $O(X)^{G_{a}}$ has dimension at most 4 and satisfies $\mathrm{S}_{3}$, [17, Corollary 1.8] shows that all of its localizations are Gorenstein.

The proposition also enables a strengthening of [6] Theorem 3.1] by removing the Cohen-Macaulay hypothesis on the ring of invariants.

Theorem 4.3. Let $X$ be a smooth factorial complex affine variety of dimension $n \geq 4$ with a GICO $G_{a}$ action generated by the locally nilpotent derivation $\delta$ of $O(X)$. If $O(X)^{G_{a}}$ is finitely generated and the height of the ideal image $(\delta) \cap O(X)^{G_{a}}$ is at least 3, then the action is equivariantly trivial.
Proof. Let $P$ be a prime ideal of $O(X)^{G_{a}}$ minimal over image $(\delta) \cap O(X)^{G_{a}}$. Set $R=$ $O(X)_{P}^{G_{a}}$, denote the closed point of Spec $R$ by $M$, and let $U=\operatorname{Spec} R-\{M\}$. The Cohen-Macaulay hypothesis was used to show that $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(O_{U}, O_{U}\right) \cong H_{M}^{2}\left(W, O_{W}\right)=$ 0 . But this follows from the $S_{3}$ condition.

Greuel and Pfister have conjectured [8 that any proper action of a unipotent group on an affine scheme $X$ lifts to locally trivial action on some étale covering of $X$. If by étale covering one means a finite étale morphism, then the conjecture fails for $X=\mathbf{C}^{n}$ and the connected unipotent group by the simple conectivity of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ [10]. Indeed, suppose $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}$, with $q_{i}: X_{i} \cong X$ for each $i$. Connectivity implies that each orbit will lie in exactly one $X_{i}$ so that the action is locally trivial on $X_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ is $G_{a}$ equivariant (i.e., the action was already locally trivial on $X$ ). On the other hand, if one drops the finiteness requirement, then section 3 indicates why the conjecture does hold for $X=\mathbf{C}^{n}$ and proper $G_{a}$ actions.
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