

# ***Trichoderma*-Enriched Biofertilizer Enhances Production and Nutritional Quality of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) and Minimizes NPK Fertilizer Use**

Abul Hossain Molla · Md. Manjurul Haque ·  
Md. Amdadul Haque · G. N. M. Ilias

Received: 2 March 2012 / Accepted: 14 June 2012 / Published online: 5 July 2012  
© NAAS (National Academy of Agricultural Sciences) 2012

**Abstract** Effective biofertilizer reduces not only the load of chemical fertilizers in crop production but also minimizes the pollution by excessive uses of the latter. The impact of *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer (BioF), i.e., BioF/compost (household/kitchen wastes composted by *Trichoderma harzianum* T22) and BioF/liquid (*T. harzianum* T22 grown in liquid media, i.e., broth culture) were evaluated to recognize their roles in growth, yield and nutritional quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) in field studies. Encouraging responses were monitored in all respects. Above 200 and 336.5 % yield increase were recorded over control by BioF/compost alone (T<sub>3</sub>) and its combination with N:P:K (Nitrogen:Phosphorus:Potassium) application (T<sub>4</sub>), respectively. Application of 50 % BioF/compost and 50 % BioF/liquid with 50 % N:P:K, provided statistically similar and significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) performance over control but not significant with standard dose of N:P:K. Total soluble solids, sugar, ascorbic acid,  $\beta$ -carotene, lycopene, phosphorus and manganese content in tomato were significantly higher when fertilized with BioF/compost. In addition, protein content and some essential minerals were increased in 50 % BioF/compost + 50 % N:P:K treatment. *Trichoderma* composted kitchen wastes can serve as prospective biofertilizer for improvement in yield and quality of tomato cultivation.

**Keywords** Biofertilizer · *Trichoderma harzianum* T22 · Kitchen waste · N:P:K use · Yield · Nutritional quality · Tomato

---

A. H. Molla (✉) · Md. Manjurul Haque  
Department of Bioenvironmental Science,  
Faculty of Agriculture, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman  
Agricultural University, Gazipur 1706, Bangladesh  
e-mail: ahmolla60@gmail.com; ahmolla@bsmrau.edu.bd

Md. Manjurul Haque  
e-mail: haque59@hotmail.com

Md. Amdadul Haque  
Department of Agro-processing, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur  
Rahman Agricultural University, Gazipur 1706, Bangladesh  
e-mail: amdadhstu@yahoo.com

G. N. M. Ilias  
Natore Development Society (NDS), Natore and M/S RASH  
Agro Enterprise, Natore 6400, Bangladesh  
e-mail: gnmilias@yahoo.com

## **Introduction**

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) is one of the most popular vegetables worldwide, and contains carbohydrates, amino acids, minerals, and vitamins. Yield and nutrient content of tomato are dramatically affected by the application of inorganic fertilizer [13]. In fact, non-judicious use of inorganic fertilizer may lead to environmental pollution including contamination of groundwater, and soil acidification as well as increase denitrification resulting in higher the emission of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) to the atmosphere which is responsible for global warming. Currents efforts include exploring the possibility of substitution of inorganic fertilizer with organic ones which are eco-friendly and cost effective. Taiwo et al. [33] suggested that organic fertilizer

can be combined with inorganic fertilizer at rates below those recommended for sustainable tomato production. Yield of tomato are significantly lower in organically fertilized plants than the plants that receiving inorganic fertilizer [16]. In recent years, biofertilizer, products containing living cells of different types of microorganisms have emerged as an important component in integrated nutrient supply system and hold a great promise to improve yield and quality of crop through better nutrient supplies [39].

*Trichoderma*, a filamentous fungus is opportunistic, avirulent symbionts that are used as biopesticide, biofertilizer or fertility promoter worldwide [14, 15, 34]. Application of *Trichoderma* may result in the promotion of plant growth, yield and increase nutrient availability [4, 5, 9, 10, 15, 34, 42, 43]. In addition, several species of *Trichoderma* are well-known producers of different kinds of secondary metabolites [29] that are important for plant growth regulation [34, 36, 37]. Some *Trichoderma* strains may also be able to colonize root surfaces and cause substantial changes in plant metabolism [15]. Furthermore, *Trichoderma* may produce organic acids that decrease soil pH and permit the solubilization of phosphates, micronutrients and mineral cations like iron, manganese, and magnesium that are useful for plant metabolism [8]. Molecular study revealed that *Trichoderma* metabolites or roots colonization by *Trichoderma*, changes the proteome and transcriptome of plants [1, 23, 31].

The effect of *Trichoderma* on plant growth and productivity has been studied for a large number of plant species mainly in greenhouse or in pot experiments. However, very little attention has been paid to the combined use of *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer and chemical fertilizer on growth, yield attributes, yield and nutritional quality of crops under field conditions. Efficient use of *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer alone or in combination with chemical fertilizer may increase yield and quality of tomato, reduce the load of N:P:K use and associated environmental pollution. Thus, this study was undertaken to evaluate the impact of *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer such as BioF/compost (household/kitchen wastes composted with *Trichoderma harzianum* T22) and BioF/liquid (broth culture containing spores and mycelia of *T. harzianum* T22) alone or in combination with chemical (N:P:K) fertilizer on growth, yield attributes, yield and nutritional quality of tomato as well as screening the best dose and combination for sustainable tomato production.

## Materials and Methods

### Experimental Site and Weather Condition

A field experiment was carried out during November 2009 to March 2010 at agricultural research farm of

**Table 1** Physico-chemical characteristics of the soil in the experimental site

Physical characteristics	
Textural class	Silty clay loam to clay loam
Bulk density (g/cc)	1.33
Particle density (g/cc)	2.61
Porosity (%)	46.9
Chemical characteristics	
pH	6.1
Organic carbon (%)	0.75
Organic matter (%)	1.12
Total N (%)	0.091
Available P (µg/g)	16.0
Exchangeable K (meq/100 g)	0.32

Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University (BSMRAU), Gazipur, Bangladesh. The GPS (Global Positioning System) of experimental site is at 24.09°N latitude and 90.26°E longitude with an elevation of 8.4 meter above the mean seal level. Soil of the experimental site is belonging to the Salna series representing the Shallow Red Brown Terrace Soil which falls under the order of inceptisols and agro-ecological zone (AEZ) of Madhupur Tract (AEZ 28). The physico-chemical properties of the soil in the experimental site including initial N:P:K status was shown in Table 1. In the experimental area, the minimum and maximum air temperature varied between 11–27 and 14–34 °C, soil temperature in 10-, 20-, and 30-cm depth varied between 16–27.5, 16.5–28, and 17–28.5 °C, respectively; ground water table varied between 15.60 and 18.56 m and total amount of rainfall was 2.92 mm during the entire cropping period.

### Raising Seedlings, Transplantation, and Crop Management

Seeds of tomato, variety Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI) 14 were collected from the Horticulture Centre of BARI, Gazipur, Bangladesh. Seedlings were grown in seed bed and 30 days old, uniform healthy seedlings were transplanted on 15 November 2009 as one seedling per pit. The unit plot size was 2.5 m × 2.0 m and spacing was 50 cm × 50 cm. The intercultural operations viz. gap filling, weeding, staking, pruning, irrigation, etc. were done as per standard management practice.

### Requirements of N:P:K and Biofertilizers

For higher yield of tomato, the required amount of N:P:K [as source of urea, triple super phosphate (TSP) and

**Table 2** Soil test interpretation (STVI) class and the respective recommended fertilizer nutrients [6]

STVI class	Limit of the soil test value within the STVI class			Fertilizer recommendation of the respective STVI class (kg/ha)		
	N (%)	P ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ )	K (meq/100 g)	N	P	K
Very low	<0.09	<7.5	<0.09	121–160	37–48	76–100
Low	0.091	7.51–15.0	0.091	81–120	25–36	51–75
Medium	0.181–0.27	15.1–22.5	0.181–0.27	41–80	13–24	26–50
Optimum	0.271–0.36	22.51–30	0.271–0.36	0–40	0–12	0–25
High	0.361–0.45	30.1–37.5	0.361–0.45	–	–	–
Very high	>0.45	>37.5	>0.45	–	–	–

muriate of potash (MOP), respectively] were calculated based on following equation of Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council (BARC) 2005 [6]:

$$Fr = Uf - Ci/Cs \times (St - Ls),$$

where Fr is the fertilizer nutrient required for given soil test value, Uf is the upper limit of the recommended fertilizer nutrient for the respective soil test interpretation (STVI) class, Ci is the units of class intervals used for fertilizer nutrient recommendation, Cs is the units of class intervals used for STVI class, St is the soil test value, and Ls is the lower limit of the soil test value within STVI class (Table 2). The required amount of N:P:K for a 5-m<sup>2</sup> plot (g) were 60:54:5, respectively.

*Trichoderma harzianum* T22-enriched biofertilizers such as BioF/compost and BioF/liquid were collected from Natore Development Society (NDS) and M/S RASH Agro Enterprise, Natore, Bangladesh. BioF/compost and BioF/liquid were applied at the rate of 680 g/plot and 5 ml/plant, respectively, as recommended by the producers. The N:P:K content of BioF/compost were 1.63:0.142:1.35 %, respectively. Moreover, the microbial status of *T. harzianum* T22 in BioF/compost and BioF/liquid was  $7.92 \times 10^3$  cfu/g and  $11.90 \times 10^6$  cfu/ml, respectively. However, the broth media of BioF/liquid contained (g/l) KNO<sub>3</sub> 1.0, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 0.5, MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O 0.25, glucose 34, trace amount FeCl<sub>3</sub> 0.25–0.50 were dissolved in distilled water and pH 6.5 was maintained.

### Experimental Design and Treatments

The experiment was conducted in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with five replications and eight treatments. The treatments were: (i) T<sub>1</sub>—control (without BioF and N:P:K), (ii) T<sub>2</sub>—recommended dose of N:P:K (120:108:10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), (iii) T<sub>3</sub>—BioF/compost (household/kitchen wastes composted with *T. harzianum* T22), (iv) T<sub>4</sub>—50 % BioF/compost + 50 % N:P:K, (v) T<sub>5</sub>—75 % BioF/compost + 25 % N:P:K, (vi) T<sub>6</sub>—BioF/liquid (broth containing spores and mycelia of *T. harzianum* T22 grown in liquid media), (vii) T<sub>7</sub>—50 % BioF/liquid + 50 % N:P:K, and (viii) T<sub>8</sub>—75 % BioF/liquid + 25 % N:P:K.

### Application of N:P:K and Biofertilizer

The full dose of P and K were applied using TSP and MOP, respectively, at the time of final plot preparation. Nitrogen as urea was applied in three equal splits: final plot preparation, 30 and 60 days after transplanting. BioF/compost at the rate of 618 g/plot was applied to the soil immediately before transplanting of the seedlings. In case of BioF/liquid, roots of the tomato seedlings were immersed and kept for 30 min in BioF/liquid. Residual BioF/liquid was also applied at the rate of 5 ml/plant in each pit immediately after transplanting of seedlings.

### Data Collection

Data were collected from five randomly selected plants from each plot in such a way that the border effect was avoided for high precision. The different parameters such as plant height, number of leaves and branches per plant, root and shoot dry matter (oven dried at 70 °C for 3 days) weight (g) of plant were determined at 50 % flowering stage, number of flower clusters per plant and number of flowers per cluster counted during pick flowering stage. Ripen tomatoes were harvested at 3–4 days interval, counted, and weighed. Yield data were converted from each plot in t/ha.

### Biochemical Analysis

Protein content was quantified as the procedure described by Lowry et al. [22]. Sugar content was determined by the method described by Somogyi [32]. Ascorbic acid content was analyzed based on procedure described by Mukherjee and Choudhury [25]. Lycopene and  $\beta$ -carotene content was quantified by spectrophotometer (Model 200-20, Hitachi, Japan) according to Nagata et al. [26]. The extraction procedure adopted for calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) was as described by Hunter [17]. Potassium (K) was extracted as per Jackson [19] and estimated by atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Phosphorus (P) was determined by the method described by Olsen et al. [27]. Aqua

regia extraction method [11] followed by atomic absorption spectrophotometer were used for assessment of heavy metals (trace elements).

### Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance and comparison of means were calculated separately with statistical package MSTAT-C [24]. The means were compared by using the least significance difference (LSD) test. The significance of difference between the pairs of treatment means was evaluated by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) at 95 % confidence levels ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

## Results and Discussion

### Vegetative Growth

Vegetative growth, such as plant height, number of leaves and branches per plant was significantly influenced by the application of biofertilizer alone or in combination with N:P:K (Table 3). Treatments T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, and T<sub>7</sub> offered significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) higher plant height and number of leaves per plant. However, moderate plant height was recorded in treatments T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>. The maximum number of branches per plant achieved by the standard dose of N:P:K (T<sub>2</sub>) followed by the treatments T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>. BioF/compost (T<sub>3</sub>) produced significantly higher plant height, number of leaves and branches per plant as compared to the BioF/liquid (T<sub>6</sub>). Furthermore, application of 50 % BioF/compost or 50 % BioF/liquid combined with 50 % N:P:K (i.e., treatments T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>) enhanced significantly higher plant height, number of leaves and branches per plant over the treatments T<sub>3</sub> and

T<sub>6</sub>. The lowest plant height, number of leaves and branches per plant were recorded in treatments T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>6</sub>.

Enhanced growth response of several plants, such as bean [18], cucumber [20], maize [9], and tomato [28] were also noticed by the application of *Trichoderma* spp. and other biofertilizers [12, 21]. The increased plant growth by *Trichoderma* (*T. harzianum* strain T22, T39, and A6) may be due to production of secondary metabolites which may act as an auxin-like compound [34, 35]. Secondary metabolites such as harzianolide, anthraquinones, T39 butenolide isolated from *Trichoderma* spp. was shown to increase growth of wheat [35] while harzianic acid increased the growth of canola [36]. Conversely, at higher concentrations, several secondary metabolites inhibited the plant growth [35, 36]. It has been shown that *Trichoderma* spp. increased nutrient uptake through enhanced root growth or promoted availability of necessary nutrients leading to growth of the plants [15]. Moreover, *Trichoderma* reduced the concentrations of substances in soil that are inhibitory to plant growth [20, 38, 40]. It has also been reported that *T. harzianum* 1295-22 could improve nitrogen use efficiency and could solubilize a number of poorly soluble nutrients, such as Mn<sup>4+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, and Cu<sup>2+</sup>, etc., leading to better plant growth and development [2]. Thus, one or several mechanisms may be involved in regulation of growth of tomato by *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer alone or in combination with N:P:K.

### Dry Matter Production

Shoot and root dry matter weight (per plant) was significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) influenced by combined application of biofertilizer and N:P:K (Table 3). Treatments T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> produced maximum root and shoot dry matter weight. The

**Table 3** Impact of *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer (BioF) on vegetative growth and dry matter production of tomato as sole and combination with N:P:K application at field condition

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves/plant	Number of branches/plant	Dry matter weight/plant (g)	
				Root	Shoot
T <sub>1</sub> (control, without BioF and NPK)	66.33 c	34.60 f	6.33 e	2.87 e	30.55 e
T <sub>2</sub> (standard dose of N:P:K) <sup>a</sup>	95.06 a	105.96 a	13.10 a	3.77 d	83.31 b
T <sub>3</sub> (BioF/compost) <sup>b</sup>	80.53 b	53.40 e	8.20 de	3.90 d	45.35 d
T <sub>4</sub> (50 % BioF/compost, i.e., T <sub>3</sub> + 50 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	93.80 a	104.50 a	11.20 abc	6.38 a	93.20 a
T <sub>5</sub> (75 % BioF/compost, i.e., T <sub>3</sub> + 25 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	84.06 ab	76.66 cd	9.26 cd	4.67 c	83.54 b
T <sub>6</sub> (BioF/liquid) <sup>c</sup>	69.33 c	34.46 f	6.53 e	3.95 d	42.05 d
T <sub>7</sub> (50 % BioF/liquid, i.e., T <sub>6</sub> + 50 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	95.06 a	106.10 a	12.26 ab	6.66 a	95.28 a
T <sub>8</sub> (75 % BioF/liquid, i.e., T <sub>6</sub> + 25 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	82.60 b	72.36 d	10.30 bcd	5.08 bc	62.88 c
CV (%)	7.04	8.73	15.05	5.56	6.38
LSD ( $P \leq 0.05$ )	10.27	10.61	2.54	0.44	7.33

NB: [Different letters in column imply significant difference at ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), <sup>a</sup> 120:108:10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for N:P:K, <sup>b</sup> BioF/compost (composted kitchen wastes by *T. harzianum* T22), <sup>c</sup> BioF/liquid (Broth of spores suspension of *T. harzianum* T22)]

**Table 4** Efficacy of *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer (BioF) on yield attributes of tomato grown in field condition

Treatments	Cluster no/plant	Flower no/cluster	Fruit no/cluster	Fruit no/plant	Individual fruit wt. (g)
T <sub>1</sub> (control, without BioF and N:P:K)	2.60 d	7.00 d	2.06 d	7.66 e	53.17 b
T <sub>2</sub> (standard dose of N:P:K) <sup>a</sup>	6.26 abc	8.82 ab	3.86 c	23.73 ab	67.43 a
T <sub>3</sub> (BioF/compost) <sup>b</sup>	6.20 abc	8.83 ab	3.80 c	19.20 cd	64.52 a
T <sub>4</sub> (50 % BioF/compost, i.e., T <sub>3</sub> + 50 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	6.93 ab	9.60 a	5.33 b	24.86 a	72.00 a
T <sub>5</sub> (75 % BioF/compost, i.e., T <sub>3</sub> + 25 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	5.80 bc	7.93 bcd	4.73 b	21.76 bc	69.74 a
T <sub>6</sub> (BioF/liquid) <sup>c</sup>	3.40 d	7.26 d	2.13 d	9.20 e	61.39 ab
T <sub>7</sub> (50 % BioF/liquid, i.e., T <sub>6</sub> + 50 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	7.33 a	9.86 a	5.46 a	25.66 a	64.35 a
T <sub>8</sub> (75 % BioF/liquid, i.e., T <sub>6</sub> + 25 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	5.23 c	7.50 cd	4.53 b	18.26 d	62.01 ab
CV (%)	13.37	7.35	5.98	8.90	8.78
LSD ( $P \leq 0.05$ )	1.28	1.07	0.41	2.93	9.89

NB: [Different letters in column imply significant difference at ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), <sup>a</sup> 120:108:10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for N:P:K, <sup>b</sup> BioF/compost (composted kitchen wastes by *T. harzianum* T22), <sup>c</sup> BioF/liquid (Broth of spores suspension of *T. harzianum* T22)]

second highest root and shoot dry weight was found in treatments T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>5</sub>, respectively. However, the treatments T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, and T<sub>6</sub> presented similar root dry matter weight. Like vegetative growth, the lowest root (2.87 g) and shoot (30.55 g) dry matter weight was recorded in control treatment (T<sub>1</sub>). These results clearly illustrated that the dry matter of tomato plant was boosted by the combined use of biofertilizer and N:P:K. The increased root dry matter weight of plant could increase the chance for nutrients uptake through maximum exploitation of soils. The obtained results corroborate earlier findings [9] that the application of *Trichoderma* spp. increased both root and shoot growth of corn. Shoot and root dry matter weight of tomato was also increased by 120.6 and 78.6 % when treated with other microbe, *Rhodopseudomonas* sp. [12].

#### Yield Attributes

Yield contributing characters of tomato was also significantly enhanced by *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer and N:P:K application (Table 4). Number of clusters per plant (7.33) was significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) higher in treatment T<sub>7</sub> which was followed by the treatments T<sub>4</sub> (6.93), T<sub>2</sub> (6.26), and T<sub>3</sub> (6.20). Similarly, the treatment T<sub>7</sub> produced higher number of flowers per cluster (9.86), followed by the treatments T<sub>3</sub> (8.83) and T<sub>2</sub> (8.82). Both number of fruits per cluster and number of fruits per plant were maximum in treatments T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, there by indicating positive correlation between the two parameters. However, the lower number of clusters per plant, number of flowers and fruits per cluster, and number of fruits per plant was recorded in control (T<sub>1</sub>) treatment that was statistically similar with treatment T<sub>6</sub>. Individual fruit weight was higher (64.35–67.43 g) in treatments T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, and T<sub>7</sub> and lower (53.17 g) in control treatment. Thus, *Trichoderma*-

enriched biofertilizer alone or in combination with chemical fertilizer may play an important role in the expression of yield-related traits of tomato. Vinale et al. [34] have also been reported that dramatic increase in the number of fruits per plant by application of *Trichoderma* spp. than the control in pepper, lettuce, and tomato grown in greenhouse.

#### Yield of Tomato

Yield per plant was found to be higher in treatment T<sub>4</sub> (1.79 kg), followed by the treatments T<sub>7</sub> (1.65 kg) and T<sub>2</sub> (1.60 kg) cited in Table 5. The lowest yield per plant (0.41 kg) was obtained in control treatment (T<sub>1</sub>) which was not significantly differed with treatment T<sub>6</sub>. Perhaps, supplemental nutrients are necessary for optimum proliferation and growth of microbes. In T<sub>6</sub>, it was absent; therefore, the yield of tomato was quite low. Conversely, in T<sub>3</sub>, nutrients were supplemented to the microbes by decomposed compost. In contrast to the control treatment, yield per plant was radically increased in all the treatments such as T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, and T<sub>8</sub> by 290.2, 200.0, 336.5, 270.7, 34.1, 302.4, and 182.0 %, respectively (Table 5). However, only the treatments T<sub>4</sub> (11.87 %) and T<sub>7</sub> (3.13 %) gave the superior yield over standard dose of N:P:K. The present result suggest that when nutrients were supplied from both the sources, i.e., biofertilizer and N:P:K, then the yield of tomato increased remarkably and significantly (even than the recommended dose of N:P:K) compared to sole application of biofertilizer. On the other hand, yield per plant over the standard dose of N:P:K was decreased by 5 and 27.5 % at combined treatments of T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>, respectively. Generally, 20 % decrease in yield is expected in plants cultivated in organic systems than conventionally produced crops [30]. Thus, the treatments T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, and T<sub>7</sub> may be recommended for organic or semi-organic tomato production.

**Table 5** Tomato yield status at field condition as influenced by *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer (BioF) application

Treatment	Yield/plant (kg)	Percent yield increased (+)/decreased (–)	
		Over control	Over standard dose of N:P:K
T <sub>1</sub> (control, without BioF and N:P:K)	0.41 d	–	290.24 (–)
T <sub>2</sub> (standard dose of N:P:K) <sup>a</sup>	1.60 ab	290.2 (+)	–
T <sub>3</sub> (BioF/compost) <sup>b</sup>	1.23 c	200.0 (+)	30.08 (–)
T <sub>4</sub> (50 % BioF/compost, i.e., T <sub>3</sub> + 50 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	1.79 a	336.5 (+)	11.87 (+)
T <sub>5</sub> (75 % BioF/compost, i.e., T <sub>3</sub> + 25 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	1.52 b	270.7 (+)	5.00 (–)
T <sub>6</sub> (BioF/liquid) <sup>c</sup>	0.55 d	34.1 (+)	65.63 (–)
T <sub>7</sub> (50 % BioF/liquid, i.e., T <sub>6</sub> + 50 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	1.65 ab	302.4 (+)	3.13 (+)
T <sub>8</sub> (75 % BioF/liquid, i.e., T <sub>6</sub> + 25 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	1.16 c	182.0 (+)	27.50 (–)
CV (%)	11.42	–	–
LSD ( $P \leq 0.05$ )	0.24	–	–

NB: [Different letters in column imply significant difference at ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), <sup>a</sup> 120:108:10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for N:P:K, <sup>b</sup> BioF/compost (composted kitchen wastes by *T. harzianum* T22), <sup>c</sup> BioF/liquid (Broth of spores suspension of *T. harzianum* T22)]

### Nutritional Quality of Fruits

Tables 6 and 7 show the nutritional quality of tomato as affected by *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer alone or in combination with N:P:K. Significantly the highest value of total soluble solids (TSS) was found in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (BioF/compost) but statistically similar reflections were also noted in treatments T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, and T<sub>8</sub> (Table 6). It has been reported that application of increased doses of nitrogen enhances protein and reduces carbohydrate production [30]. Accordingly, similar profile of results of protein content was noticed in treatments T<sub>2</sub> (100 % N:P:K), T<sub>4</sub>, and T<sub>7</sub> of present studies. The higher sugar content (5.11 mg/100 g) was found in tomato fertilized with BioF/compost (T<sub>3</sub>) followed by the treatments T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, and T<sub>8</sub>. Unlike TSS, protein and sugar content, the ascorbic acid content (22.18 mg/100 g) was higher in treatment T<sub>6</sub> (BioF/liquid). However, the lower sugar and ascorbic acid

content was obtained in treatment T<sub>2</sub>. Lycopene content was significantly higher in treatments T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, and T<sub>7</sub>, followed by the treatments of T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, and T<sub>1</sub>. Lycopene content of tomato fruits was reported to be higher when treated with biofertilizer, *Rhodospseudomonas* sp. than untreated control [21].  $\beta$ -carotene content was also found higher in T<sub>3</sub> treatment and the lowest  $\beta$ -carotene content detected in control treatment (T<sub>1</sub>). The higher mineral content, such as Ca, Mg, K, Fe, Zn and Cu was found in tomato fertilized with 50 % BioF/compost + 50 % N:P:K (T<sub>4</sub> treatment) and the lowest value was recorded in control treatment. Manganese and phosphorus contents were obtained higher in treatment T<sub>3</sub>. These results suggested that biofertilizer alone or in combination with N:P:K may influence the nutritional quality of tomato.

Nutrient content of tomatoes are reported to be influenced by environmental factors and cultural practices. It is reported that organically grown crops contain more dry

**Table 6** Outcome of *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer (BioF) on biochemical properties of tomato fruits (per 100 g of tomato)

Treatment	Total soluble solid	Protein content (g)	Total sugar (g)	Ascorbic acid (mg)	$\beta$ -carotene (mg)	Lycopene (mg)
T <sub>1</sub> (control, without BioF and N:P:K)	6.43 b	0.62 cd	3.21 d	12.32 de	0.074 d	0.032 d
T <sub>2</sub> (standard dose of N:P:K) <sup>a</sup>	6.97 a	0.81 a	2.73 e	7.87 f	0.087 c	0.054 c
T <sub>3</sub> (BioF/compost) <sup>b</sup>	7.13 a	0.71 b	5.11 a	18.03 b	0.106 a	0.096 a
T <sub>4</sub> (50 % BioF/compost, i.e., T <sub>3</sub> + 50 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	6.93 a	0.84 a	3.77 b	11.47 e	0.099 b	0.105 a
T <sub>5</sub> (75 % BioF/compost, i.e., T <sub>3</sub> + 25 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	6.50 b	0.65 c	3.49 c	15.43 c	0.095 b	0.095 a
T <sub>6</sub> (BioF/liquid) <sup>c</sup>	6.17 c	0.58 d	3.69 b	22.18 a	0.085 c	0.076 b
T <sub>7</sub> (50 % BioF/liquid, i.e., T <sub>6</sub> + 50 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	7.06 a	0.81 a	3.85 b	13.59 d	0.095 b	0.105 a
T <sub>8</sub> (75 % BioF/liquid, i.e., T <sub>6</sub> + 25 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	7.03 a	0.59 cd	3.76 b	s	0.094 b	0.059 bc
CV (%)	2.02	4.59	2.66	6.99	2.52	4.73
LSD ( $P \leq 0.05$ )	0.24	0.054	0.17	1.79	0.005	0.017

NB: [Different letters in column imply significant difference at ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), <sup>a</sup> 120:108:10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for N:P:K, <sup>b</sup> BioF/compost (composted kitchen wastes by *T. harzianum* T22), <sup>c</sup> BioF/liquid (Broth of spores suspension of *T. harzianum* T22)]

**Table 7** Influence of *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer (BioF) on mineral content of tomato (per 100 g of tomato) grown in field condition

Treatment	Ca (mg)	Mg (mg)	Na (mg)	K (mg)	Fe (mg)	Zn (mg)	Cu (mg)	Mn (mg)	P (mg)
T <sub>1</sub> (control, without BioF and N:P:K)	21.23 d	12.86 c	5.77 a	70.93 d	0.65 f	0.22 e	0.13 c	0.07 ef	7.83 cd
T <sub>2</sub> (standard dose of N:P:K) <sup>a</sup>	26.76 b	10.76 d	5.23 b	98.06 a	0.88 de	0.30 cd	0.14 c	0.09 cde	7.63 cd
T <sub>3</sub> (BioF/compost) <sup>b</sup>	25.93 bc	15.47 b	5.23 b	77.86 c	0.95 d	0.28 d	0.13 c	0.15 a	10.83 a
T <sub>4</sub> (50 % BioF/compost, i.e., T <sub>3</sub> + 50 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	32.40 a	16.63 a	4.73 c	94.73 a	1.32 a	0.39 a	0.19 a	0.11 bc	8.20 c
T <sub>5</sub> (75 % BioF/compost, i.e., T <sub>3</sub> + 25 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	18.50 e	13.13 c	4.20 d	80.53 bc	1.33 a	0.34 b	0.17 ab	0.08 def	9.87 b
T <sub>6</sub> (BioF/liquid) <sup>c</sup>	25.10 c	16.00 ab	5.17 b	81.83 bc	1.07 c	0.32 bc	0.15 bc	0.06 f	9.77 b
T <sub>7</sub> (50 % BioF/liquid, i.e., T <sub>6</sub> + 50 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	33.80 a	12.36 c	5.57 a	85.56 b	0.87 e	0.34 b	0.16 bc	0.09 cd	7.50 d
T <sub>8</sub> (75 % BioF/liquid, i.e., T <sub>6</sub> + 25 % N:P:K, i.e., T <sub>2</sub> )	22.03 d	16.63 a	4.27 d	76.73 c	0.72 f	0.28 d	0.13 c	0.13 ab	10.30ab
CV (%)	3.21	3.31	3.13	3.89	4.17	5.20	10.10	12.80	4.10
LSD ( $P \leq 0.05$ )	1.45	0.79	0.28	5.67	0.07	0.027	0.027	0.023	0.64

NB: [Different letters in column imply significant difference at ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), <sup>a</sup> 120:108:10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> for N:P:K, <sup>b</sup> BioF/compost (composted kitchen wastes by *T. harzianum* T22), <sup>c</sup> BioF/liquid (Broth of spores suspension of *T. harzianum* T22)]

matter, total sugar, vitamin C, essential amino acids, phenolic compounds, and minerals such as iron, magnesium, calcium, manganese, copper, potassium, sodium, and zinc than the non-organic crops [7, 13, 41]. It has also been reported that organic fertilizer is superior to conventional synthetic fertilizer in achieving more nutritious fruits or vegetables [3]. In this study, nutrient content was found to be higher in plants fertilized with biofertilizer alone or in combination with inorganic fertilizer compared to the recommended dose of N:P:K only (Tables 6, 7). Thus, biofertilizer alone or combined with chemical fertilizer may be recommended for higher yield and higher nutritional quality of tomato. Biofertilizer along with chemical fertilizer may save at least 50 % N:P:K fertilizer and may reduce the environmental pollution too. Nevertheless, biofertilizer may be used for organic tomato production, which is becoming increasingly popular worldwide.

## Conclusions

In this study, *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer played significant role in both yield and quality improvement of tomato. Combined application of biofertilizer and chemical fertilizer (especially 50 % BioF + 50 % N:P:K) enhanced vegetative and reproductive growth, yield and nutritional quality of tomato by slow and steady release of nutrients to the plants than the sole application of N:P:K fertilizer. The present findings, i.e., *Trichoderma*-enriched biofertilizer application could save at least 50 % N:P:K, i.e., urea:TSP:MOP can reduce cultivation cost of tomato while minimizing pollution by excessive use of N fertilizer.

**Acknowledgments** The authors are grateful and express their sincere thanks to Research Management Centre (RMC), Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University (BSMRAU), Gazipur, Bangladesh for extending cooperation and assistance for conducting this study by providing financial support.

## References

- Alfano G, Ivey MLL, Cakir C, Bos JIB, Miller SA, Madden LV, Kamoun S, Hoitink HAJ (2007) Systemic modulation of gene expression in tomato by *Trichoderma hamatum* 382. *Phytopathol* 97:429–437
- Altomare C, Norvell WA, Bjorkman T, Harman GB (1999) Solubilization of phosphates and micronutrients by the plant-growth-promoting and biocontrol fungus *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai 1295-22. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 65:2926–2933
- Asami DK, Hong YH, Barret DM, Mitchel AE (2003) A comparison of the total phenolic and ascorbic acid contents of freeze-dried and air-dried marionberry, strawberry and corn grown using conventional, organic and sustainable agricultural practices. *J Agric Food Chem* 51:1237–1241
- Bal U, Altintas S (2006) A positive side effect from *Trichoderma harzianum*, the biological control agent: increased yield in vegetable crops. *J Environ Protect Ecol* 7:383–387

5. Bal U, Altintas S (2008) Effects of *Trichoderma harzianum* on lettuce in protected cultivation. *J Cent Eur Agric* 1:63–70
6. BARC (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council) (2005) Fertilizer recommendation guide—2005. Soils publication no. 45. Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council, Dhaka
7. Barrett DM, Weakley C, Diaz JV, Watnik M (2007) Quality and nutritional differences in processing tomatoes grown under commercial organic and conventional systems. *J Food Sci* 72: 441–450
8. Benítez T, Rincón AM, Limón MC, Codón AC (2004) Biocontrol mechanisms of *Trichoderma* strains. *Intl Microbiol* 7:249–260
9. Björkman T, Price HC, Harman GE, Ballerstein J, Nielsen P (1994) Improved performance of shrunken 2 sweet corn using *Trichoderma harzianum* as a bioprotectant. *Hort Sci* 29:471
10. Chang Y-C, Chang Y-C, Baker R, Kleifeld O, Chet I (1986) Increased growth of plants in presence of the biological control agent *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Plant Dis* 70:145–148
11. Cottonie A, Velghe G, Verloo M, Kiekens L (1979) Analytical methods for plants and soils. Laboratory of Analytical and Agrochemistry, State University, Ghent, p 29
12. Datta JK, Banerjee A, Saha Sikdar M, Gupta S, Mondal NK (2009) Impact of combined exposure of chemical fertilizer, bio-fertilizer and compost on growth, physiology and productivity of *Brassica campestris* in old alluvial soil. *J Environ Biol* 30: 797–800
13. Dumas Y, Dadomo M, Di Lucca G, Grolier P (2003) Effects of environmental factors and agricultural techniques on antioxidant content of tomatoes. *J Sci Food Agric* 83:369–382
14. Harman GE (2006) Overview of mechanisms and uses of *Trichoderma* spp. *Phytopathol* 96:190–194
15. Harman GE, Howell CR, Viterbo A, Chet I, Lorito M (2004) *Trichoderma* species—opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2:43–56
16. Heeb A, Lundegårdh B, Savage G, Ericsson T (2006) Impact of organic and inorganic fertilizers on yield, taste, and nutritional quality of tomatoes. *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci* 169:535–541
17. Hunter AH (1984) Soil fertility analytical services in Bangladesh. Consultancy report: Bangladesh Agricultural Research Project Phase II. BARC, Dhaka
18. Inbar J, Abramsky M, Cohen D, Chet I (1994) Plant growth enhancement and disease control by *Trichoderma harzianum* in vegetable seedlings grown under commercial conditions. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 100:337–346
19. Jackson ML (1973) Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi
20. Kleifeld O, Chet I (1992) *Trichoderma harzianum*-interaction with plants and effect on growth response. *Plant Soil* 144: 267–272
21. Lee K-H, Koh R-H, Song H-G (2008) Enhancement of growth and yield of tomato by *Rhodospseudomonas* sp. under greenhouse condition. *J Microbiol* 6:641–646
22. Lowry OH, Brough NJR, Fan AL, Randal RJ (1951) Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. *J Biol Chem* 193: 265–275
23. Marra R, Ambrosino P, Carbone V, Vinale F, Woo SI, Ruocco M, Ciliento R, Lanzuise S, Ferraioli S, Soriente I, Gigante S, Turrá D, Fogliano V, Scala F, Lorito M (2006) Study of the three-way interaction between *Trichoderma atroviride*, plant and fungal pathogens by using a proteomic approach. *Curr Genet* 50: 307–321
24. MSU (Michigan State University) (1989) The user's guide to MSTAT-C. Michigan State University, Lansing, MI
25. Mukherjee SP, Choudhury MA (1983) Implications of water stress induced changes in the levels of endogenous ascorbic acid and hydrogen peroxide in *Vigna* seedlings. *Physiol Plant* 58: 166–170
26. Nagata M, Dan K, Yamashita I (1992) Simple method of simultaneous determination of chlorophyll and carotenoids in tomato. *J Jpn Soc Hort Sci* 61:686–687
27. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA (1954) Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Cir. No. 939. USDA, Washington, DC, pp 171–179
28. Ozbay N, Newman SE, Brown WM (2004) The effect of the *Trichoderma* strains on the growth of tomato seedlings. *Acta Hort* 635:131–135
29. Reino JL, Guerrero RF, Hernández-Galán R, Collado IG (2008) Secondary metabolites from species of the biocontrol agent *Trichoderma*. *Phytochem Rev* 7:89–123
30. Rembiałkowska E (2007) Quality of plant products from organic agriculture. *J Sci Food Agric* 87:2757–2762
31. Shores M, Harman GE (2008) The molecular basis of shoot responses of maize seedlings to *Trichoderma harzianum* T22 inoculation of the root: a proteomic approach. *Plant Physiol* 147: 2147–2163
32. Somogyi M (1952) Notes on sugar determination. *J Biol Chem* 195:19–23
33. Taiwo LB, Abediran JA, Sonubi OA (2007) Yield and quality of tomato grown with organic and synthetic fertilizers. *Int J Veg Sci* 13:5–19
34. Vinale F, Sivasithamparam K, Ghisalberti EL, Marra R, Woo SL, Lorito M (2008) *Trichoderma*-plant-pathogen interactions. *Soil Biol Biochem* 40:1–10
35. Vinale F, Sivasithamparam K, Ghisalberti EL, Marra R, Barbetti MJ, Li H, Woo SL, Lorito M (2008) A novel role for *Trichoderma* secondary metabolites in the interactions with plants. *Physiol Mol Plant Pathol* 72:80–86
36. Vinale F, Flematti G, Sivasithamparam K, Lorito M, Marra R, Skelton BW, Ghisalberti EL (2009) Harzianic acid, an antifungal and plant growth promoting metabolite from *Trichoderma harzianum*. *J Nat Prod* 72:2032–2035
37. Vinale F, Ghisalberti EL, Sivasithamparam K, Marra R, Ritieni A, Ferracane R, Woo SL, Lorito M (2009) Factors affecting the production of *Trichoderma harzianum* secondary metabolites during the interaction with plant pathogens. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 48:705–711
38. Wang C, Knill E, Glick BR, Defago G (2000) Effect of transferring 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) deaminase genes into *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain CHA0 and its *gacA* derivative CHA96 on their growth-promoting and disease-suppressive capacities. *Can J Microbiol* 46:898–907
39. Wani SP, Lee KK (1995) Microorganisms as biological inputs for sustainable agriculture. In: Thampan PK (ed) *Organic agriculture theory and practices*. Tree Crops Development Foundation, Cochin
40. Windham MT, Elad Y, Baker R (1986) Mechanism for increased plant growth induced by *Trichoderma* spp. *Phytopathol* 76: 518–521
41. Worthington V (2001) Nutritional quality of organic versus conventional fruits, vegetables, and grains. *J Altern Complement Med* 7:161–173
42. Yedidia I, Benharmou N, Chet I (1999) Induction of defense responses in cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativas* L.) by the bio-control agent *Trichoderma harzianum*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 65:1061–1070
43. Yedidia I, Srivastva AK, Kapulnik Y, Chet I (2001) Effects of *Trichoderma harzianum* on microelement concentrations and increased growth of cucumber plants. *Plant Soil* 235:235–242