This article was downloaded by: [Brunel University London] On: 27 January 2015, At: 01:31 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



CrossMark

Click for updates

International Journal of Smart and Nano Materials

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tsnm20

Trombe walls with nanoporous aerogel insulation applied to UK housing refurbishments

Mark Dowson^{ab}, David Harrison^b & Zahir Dehouche^b

^a Buro Happold Ltd, London, UK

^b School of Engineering and Design, Brunel University, London, UK Published online: 22 Jan 2015.

To cite this article: Mark Dowson, David Harrison & Zahir Dehouche (2014) Trombe walls with nanoporous aerogel insulation applied to UK housing refurbishments, International Journal of Smart and Nano Materials, 5:4, 283-303, DOI: <u>10.1080/19475411.2014.999730</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19475411.2014.999730</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Versions of published Taylor & Francis and Routledge Open articles and Taylor & Francis and Routledge Open Select articles posted to institutional or subject repositories or any other third-party website are without warranty from Taylor & Francis of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Any opinions and views expressed in this article are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor & Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

It is essential that you check the license status of any given Open and Open Select article to confirm conditions of access and use.



Trombe walls with nanoporous aerogel insulation applied to UK housing refurbishments

Mark Dowson^{a,b}*, David Harrison^b and Zahir Dehouche^b

^aBuro Happold Ltd, London, UK; ^bSchool of Engineering and Design, Brunel University, London, UK

(Received 19 November 2013; final version received 14 December 2014)

There is an opportunity to improve the efficiency of passive Trombe walls and active solar air collectors by replacing their conventional glass covers with lightweight polycarbonate panels filled with nanoporous aerogel insulation. This study investigates the thermal performance, energy savings, and financial payback period of passive Aerogel Trombe walls applied to the existing UK housing stock. Using parametric modeling, a series of design guidance tables have been generated, providing estimates of the energy savings and overheating risk associated with applying areas of Trombe wall to four different house types across the UK built to six notional construction standards. Calculated energy savings range from 183 kWh/m²/year for an 8 m² system retrofitted to a solid walled detached house to 62 kWh/m²/year for a 32 m² system retrofitted to a super insulated flat. Predicted energy savings from Trombe walls up to 24 m^2 are found to exceed the energy savings from external insulation across all house types and constructions. Small areas of Trombe wall can provide a useful energy contribution without creating a significant overheating risk. If larger areas are to be installed, then detailed calculations would be recommended to assess and mitigate potential overheating issues.

Keywords: silica aerogel; nanoporous insulation; energy harvesting; solar wall; Passivhaus

1. Introduction

Silica aerogel is a unique, nanoporous material with the best insulation properties of any solid. It can retain up to four times as much heat as conventional insulation, while being highly translucent to light and solar radiation. Solid monolithic tiles of transparent silica aerogel, produced in laboratories, have been cited as the "holy grail" of future glazing technology because of their unrivalled low thermal conductance and high solar transmission. Alternatively, low cost translucent aerogel granules, produced commercially, achieve similar properties and can be encapsulated and retrofitted to buildings in a variety of applications.

This study investigates the thermal performance, energy savings, and payback period of passive Trombe walls containing aerogel. Trombe walls (visualized in Figure 1) are a type of solar energy harvesting technology invented by Edward Morse in 1881, then later popularized by the French engineer Felix Trombe and architect Jacques Michel in the 1970s [1]. These systems consist of a thermally massive south facing wall (typically

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: mark.dowson@burohappold.com

^{© 2015} The Author(s). Published by Taylor & Francis.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. Ventilated and unventilated Trombe walls.

concrete) painted black and a cover (typically glass) with a cavity behind, which is heated up by incoming solar radiation. This captured heat can either be used straight away by venting the warm air inside or, later, by letting it permeate and warm up the concrete wall so that occupants can benefit from it in the evening.

In Dowson et al. [2], the in-situ performance of a flat plate solar air heater connected to a dwelling's active mechanical ventilation system with heat recovery was carried out. Instead of glass, the cover was a lightweight multiwall polycarbonate panel filled with granular aerogel. During a 7-day in-situ test, peak outlet temperatures up to 45° C were observed inside the collector, preheating the dwellings fresh air supply up to 30° C, facilitating internal temperatures of $21-22^{\circ}$ C, without auxiliary heating. Monitoring results were validated to within 5% of predictions. Efficiency calculations for a range of thicknesses were carried out compared to single and double glazing. Findings demonstrated that a 10 mm granular aerogel cover provided the optimum balance between light transmission and heat retention, saving up to $166 \text{ kWh/m}^2/\text{year}$ compared to annual savings of $110 \text{ kWh/m}^2/\text{year}$ for a single glazed collector.

As Trombe walls are passive, they do not rely on active mechanical ventilation, thus may be more widely applicable to existing housing in today's market, compared to solarair collectors. To date, there is limited design guidance available for sizing conventional glazed Trombe walls and no design guidance for sizing Trombe walls containing aerogel insulation. This study aims to fill this knowledge gap through a parametric steady state modeling exercise, providing initial design guidance on the likely energy savings depending on system size and house type, together with potential overheating risk (which the designer must then mitigate through static or movable shading grills to cut high summer sun, combined with passive vents at the top and bottom of the wall).

2. Literature review

2.1. Trombe walls with translucent insulation

Several studies investigate the steady state performance, modeling techniques, and in-situ performance of glazed Trombe walls, such as Monsen et al. [3] and Burek and Habeb [4], respectively. By comparison, Peuportier and Michel [5], Athienitis and Ramadan [6], and Suehrcke et al. [7], amongst others, demonstrate that incorporating a translucent insulation material (TIM) into the design, such as glass or plastic honeycombs and flat or corrugated polycarbonate sheets, can provide significant energy savings when retrofitted to residential and commercial properties. For example, in a comparative study of six houses in France, Peuportier and Michel [5] found that honeycomb TIMs can increase the efficiency of conventional solar air collectors and Trombe walls by 25% and 50%, respectively.

A selection of TIM Trombe wall projects has been compiled by Peurortier et al. [8]. Many of these installations were conducted during the 1980s and 1990s by the Fraunhofer-Institute for Solar Energy Systems in Freiburg, southern Germany, and through the International Energy Agency's Solar Heating and Cooling programme, established in 1977. One project listed by Peurortier et al. [8] is the "Self Sufficient Solar House" in Freiburg. Here, the entire dwelling's heating, electricity, and hot water demand is met through Passivhaus design, photovoltaic panels for electricity generation, and an 80 m² passive TIM Trombe wall. Trombe wall cavity temperatures up to 70°C and temperature lags of 11 hours were predicted in a simulation study by Stahl et al. [9]. According to the results of a 3-year monitoring study by Voss et al. [10], the property's space heating requirement was found to be almost zero and only necessary in extreme winter periods. The overall solar conversion efficiency of the Trombe wall was 47%, with average internal temperatures ranging from 16 to 28°C. A mechanical shade was recommended to reduce summertime overheating [10].

The world's largest TIM/Trombe wall installation is at Strathclyde University in Glasgow, Scotland [11]. Here, 1040 m² of translucent insulation applied in glazing and Trombe wall applications has been installed over four separate student accommodation blocks serving 376 students. According to a 3-year monitoring study by Twidell et al. [12], the south facade of the building provides a net energy gain throughout the year, providing up to 20% of the buildings heating even during the mid-winter season. According to monitoring data, the internal temperature in the occupied common rooms was always in the range of 22–26°C in winter. Available internal temperature data for unoccupied bedrooms with no auxiliary heating was not observed to drop below 18°C. Overall student satisfaction was very high (with 91% satisfied or very satisfied). During the summer, peak Trombe wall cavity temperatures of 50°C were observed. Automated roller blinds serve to prevent overheating.

According to Peurortier et al. [8], a well installed Trombe wall incorporating translucent insulation can save heating energy by up to 150 kWh/m² each heating period. Supporting this, Dolley et al. [13] used a test cell to monitor the thermal performance of a polycarbonate honeycomb TIM system retrofitted to a southern wall. Extrapolating the results, the study predicted that the annual space heating requirement would be reduced by 150 kWh/year in a typical pre-1930s UK solid walled dwelling or 40 kWh/ year in a super insulated home for every m² of TIM installed. Without shading, the hours of overheating (above 27°C) were raised from 4 to 31 for properties with solid walls and from 320 to 784 for super insulated homes.

2.2. Drivers and barriers

One of the main advantages of using TIM instead of single or multiple glazed covers is the considerable weight reduction, which can play an important factor in retrofit applications. Despite this, significant implementation of outdoor solar energy systems incorporating TIM has been slow. Platzer and Goetzberger [14] estimated that over 15,000 m² of TIM had been installed across 85 buildings throughout Germany, Austria, and Switzerland, indicating that the market was promising, but not satisfactory.

Platzer and Goetzberger [14] and Wong et al. [15] claim that commercial uptake of TIMs has been slow because of perceived high-investment costs and small number of payback studies. Peuportier et al. [11] state that production quality must improve to reduce imperfections such as rough or melted edges, which can hinder clarity. In contrast, Kaushika and Sumathy [16] state that considerable progress has been made to improve the quality and reduce the cost of manufacturing translucent insulation. Although capital costs to manufacture a fully functional TIM cladding system with solar control can reach

€ 600–1000/m², TIM glazing systems can have costs as low as € 24/m² [15,16]. On the basis of this lower cost, Wong et al. [15] calculated a 3 to 4 year payback period for an industrial production facility in Salzgitter, Germany, renovated with 7500 m² of TIM glazing costing € 180,000 with annual maintenance costs of € 7200. It is unclear whether these payback periods can be directly transferred to the domestic or commercial sector because of likely differences in design quality. Nonetheless, this payback period is significantly less than solid wall insulation and new double glazing.

Some of the key barriers include a lack of product development guides, imperfections in honeycomb or capillary TIMs, the low working temperatures of plastics, and the potential for overheating when too much solar radiation is absorbed [14,15]. Further to this, Wong et al. [15] state that the high investment cost of TIM, shading devices, and control measures has presented barriers to widespread implementation. Conversely, Wong et al. [15] claim that, with improved design guidance combined with more information on the capital cost and payback periods of TIM in use, there will be increasing evidence to outweigh the barriers currently hindering market growth, especially as fuel prices increase in future, reducing payback periods.

2.3. Aerogel insulation

Cutting edge research into TIM products focuses on developing systems using quasihomogenous silica aerogel insulation [16–20]. This lightweight, nanoporous material is the only known solid with an excellent combination of high solar and light transmittance and low thermal conductance, offering potential to achieve *U*-values as low as 0.1 W/m² K, as well as high solar energy and daylight transmittance of approximately 90% [21,22].

Aerogel is a super insulation material, because its thermal conductivity is lower than still air [23]. The total thermal conductivity of porous insulation depends on the amount of heat transfer through convection in the pores, conduction through the solid and pores, as well as radiation [23,24].

Typically, pores within conventional insulation are over 1 mm wide, allowing gas molecules to move freely and transfer thermal energy by convection [23]. By comparison, pores within aerogel can be as small as 20–40 nm, being smaller than the mean free path of air at 60–100 nm, that is the average distance between air molecules at normal atmospheric pressure [25]. As a result, individual air molecules within the pores have no space to transfer thermal energy by convection [23,26].

Conduction through the solid structure and air molecules within aerogel is also minimal. With little space for convection, air molecules constantly collide with the walls of the pores, suppressing gas conduction [24]. Furthermore, as aerogel only contains 0.1–5% silica and the thermal conductivity of air is very low, heat transfer is minimal [17]. Conduction in the gas will diminish with any decreases in pressure [24]. A vacuum inside the pores results in the best insulating properties. Yokogawa [23] measured thermal conductivities of 0.004 W/m K (ten times better than conventional insulation) using this technique.

The amount of radiative heat transfer through aerogel is dependent on the intensity and wavelength of the thermal radiation, the optical properties of the material, the size and shape of its pores, and the overall thickness [24,26]. At ambient temperature, the nanosized pores and particles provide effective attenuation of infrared thermal radiation because of high levels of absorption and reflection [27]. According to Hartmann et al. [28], radiative heat transfer at ambient temperature accounts for 10–15% of the total thermal conductivity through aerogel.

The optical and infrared properties of silica aerogel have been well documented [29,30]. It is a TIM that effectively transmits solar light, but blocks thermal infrared radiation [30]. Towards the blue and UV spectral region, absorption is low and transmission levels are reduced because of scattering effects. Transmission levels increase for longer wavelengths of visible light and are high across the near-infrared spectrum, high-lighting the materials potential to transmit heat in solar energy applications [26,31].

2.4. Aerogel Trombe walls

The potential use of monolithic or granular aerogel applied to passive solar Trombe walls has been discussed by Fricke and Tilotson [30], Fricke et al. [32], Fricke [33,34], Caps and Fricke [35], and Peurortier et al. [8]. This system would consist of a thermally massive black-painted brick wall, over which would be translucent insulation consisting of monolithic or granular silica aerogel between two protective glass panes [34]. Most of the produced heat would be transferred into the house. To prevent overheating, a shading device would be necessary.

There are two examples of large nonevacuated granular Aerogel Trombe walls mentioned in academic literature, both installed on semi-detached houses. However, there is little detailed information regarding the cost, architectural integration, or in-situ thermal performance of these installations. According to Fricke and Tilotson [34], a "convincing example" was a 120 m² system installed on two-family household in Ardon, Switzerland, in 1989, constructed for a lower cost than conventional insulation. Here, the energy consumption for heating was found to be exceptionally low at about 300 litres of oil per year, equivalent to approximately 3500 kWh/year (compared to the average UK household gas consumption of 16,000 kWh/year [36]). A second example was a 70 m² system installed in Freiburg-Tiengen, Germany, in 1991. No supporting literature could be found regarding this system.

In 2007, a prototype of an Aerogel Trombe wall was designed and constructed as part of the US Department of Energy's "Solar Decathlon" project: a biennial event challenging teams to design, build, and operate solar powered houses that are cost-effective, energyefficient, affordable, and attractive. The prototype [37] was designed by W. Colson, Senior Vice President of Hunter Douglas Inc., and was constructed in collaboration with a team of researchers from Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA, lead by K. Keville.

The south facing aerogel Trombe wall, named the "Hunter Douglas Solar Window," consists of 112 modular acrylic blocks, each containing a 1-inch layer of encapsulated granular aerogel in front of a 2.5 inch layer of encased water. According to a press release from Hunter Douglas [37], this encased water-base thermal mass layer heats up to approximately 100 degrees Fahrenheit (~38°C) on cold sunny days in winter, and the aerogel prevents heat loss to outside, while the interior thermal mass slowly releases its heat to the dwelling over a 24–36 hour period. When the product was used as the sole heating source over the course of two winters, the resultant internal temperature of the dwelling was 21°C for 90% of the time, with some supplemental heat required for the remaining 10%.

Beyond information in press releases, this literature review found no peer reviewed studies or empirical monitoring data evaluating the in-situ performance of this prototype. In 2007, Colson [38] registered the US Patent 8,082,916 "Solar heating blocks" for the

design of the double compartment acrylic blocks, containing water and a translucent insulating material, such as aerogel, for use in assembling solar heating panels in the walls of buildings.

Commenting on monolithic aerogel, modeling by Caps and Fricke [35] found that a Trombe wall containing a 15 mm thick layer of evacuated monolithic silica aerogel between double glazing cover achieves minimal solar heat losses compared to conventional TIM because of its high solar transmission of 50–60% and low *U*-value of 0.5 W/ m^2 K. However, Caps and Fricke [35] state that conventional TIMs are technically simpler as the evacuated system would also require a durable vacuum-tight metal rim.

3. Calculation methodology

Duffie and Beckman [39] provide one of the most comprehensive and widely cited resources for predicting the performance of solar energy technologies. According to Duffie and Beckman [39], p. 750, the thermal performance of passive Trombe walls can be calculated using the "Un-Utilizability Design Method" developed by Monsen et al. [3]. The methodology assumes that the fraction of solar energy collected by a Trombe wall converted into useful heat, that is the utilizability, is based upon the actual thermal storage capacity of a building and its Trombe wall, to the ratio of energy that would be dumped in a zero capacitance building that can store no energy. Calculations are done monthly, with a key result being the annual amount of auxiliary energy needed to heat the passively designed building. Building loads are calculated using a simple degree-day method, using the baseline heat loss coefficient of the building calculated by the designer [39].

The methodology assumes that the Trombe wall is unventilated and that heat transfer through the wall is linear. This creates a simple resistance network (shown in the left diagram of Figure 2) to enable straightforward calculation of the net heat transfer through the wall into the indoor spaces. Monsen et al. [3] claim that these assumptions are valid for all reasonable system designs, that is the energy storage of the wall is less than the heating load of a single winter's day. According to Monsen et al. [3], the methodology allows users to parametrically assess a large range of design options, such as cover types, solar absorptance properties, different baseline building heat losses, as well as high and low temperature set-points.

The methodology used in this study is based on the formulas developed by Monsen et al. [3], more recently published by Duffie and Beckman [39]. These formulae are presented in the next section. Note that in several instances, the methodology refers to additional formula to manually calculate figures for monthly average solar irradiance such as ratios of beam and diffuse irradiance as well as estimated cloud-cover dependant on the site latitude/longitude. These solar irradiance formulae are omitted from this methodology,



Figure 2. Monthly average resistance network (right) and energy flows (left).

because the values can also be derived from online climate data, such as NASA's "Surface Meteorology and Solar Energy Data Set," integrated into software such as RETScreen International.

3.1. Load calculations

The right diagram of Figure 2 shows the main monthly energy flows considered in the Un-Utilizability Design Method. L_A is the monthly requirement for auxiliary energy for a building with a Trombe wall. L_w is the monthly heat loss through the Trombe wall assuming no solar irradiance is absorbed. L_{ad} is the monthly heat load that occurs with no heat transfer through the Trombe wall. Q_i is the net heat gain through the Trombe wall. Q_D is the energy dump that would occur in a zero capacitance system. Loads L_{ad} and L_w can be determined from Equations (1) and (2), respectively:

$$L_{\rm ad} = \left(UA\right)_{\rm ad} \left(DD\right) \tag{1}$$

$$L_{\rm w} = U_{\rm w} A_{\rm r} \left(DD \right) \tag{2}$$

Here, $(UA)_{ad}$ is the building heat loss coefficient. *DD* is total monthly degree day hours. A_r and U_w correspond to the area and heat loss coefficient of the Trombe wall. U_w is calculated from Equation (3):

$$U_{\rm w} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{U_{\rm r}} + \frac{1}{U_{\rm r}} + \frac{x}{k}} \tag{3}$$

Here, \overline{U}_L is the average heat loss coefficient from the outer wall surface through the Trombe wall cover to the ambient air. According to Duffie and Beckman [39], it can be conceptually derived in the same way as the front heat loss coefficient for flat plate solar collectors (see Dowson et al. [2]). U_i is the heat transfer coefficient between the inner wall surface and the air in the adjacent room to the Trombe wall. x and k correspond to the thickness and conductivity of the wall.

3.2. Net heat transfer through Trombe wall

 Q_n , the net heat transfer into rooms through the Trombe wall, can be calculated using Equation (4):

$$Q_{\rm n} = U_{\rm k} A_{\rm r} (\overline{T}_{\rm w} - T_{\rm r}) \Delta t N \tag{4}$$

Here, U_k is the conductance from the outer surface of the wall to the room, calculated from Equation (5). \overline{T}_w is the monthly average outer wall temperature, calculated from Equation (6), where \overline{T}_a is the monthly mean ambient temperature. T_r is the room temperature at its low thermostat setting. N represents the number of days in the month. Δt is the temperature difference between the outer and inner wall surface, where \overline{T}_i , the inner wall surface temperature, is calculated from Equation (7), which assumes linear heat transfer. \overline{S} refers to the monthly average absorbed solar irradiance (see Duffie and Beckman [39], p. 239).

$$U_{\rm k} = \frac{U_{\rm i}k}{k + U_{\rm i}\delta} \tag{5}$$

$$\overline{T}_{w} = \frac{\overline{S} + \left(U_{k}T_{r} + \overline{U}_{L}\overline{T}_{a}\right)\Delta t}{\left(U_{k} + \overline{U}_{L}\right)\Delta t}$$
(6)

$$\overline{T}_{i} = T_{r} - \frac{U_{L}}{U_{i}} \left(T_{r} - \overline{T}_{w} \right)$$
(7)

3.3. Energy dump

The excess heat that enters a building through its Trombe wall, but does not contribute towards reducing the auxiliary energy load, is referred to as "dumped energy". This concept is visualized in Figure 3, which shows a theoretical operational sequence for a Trombe wall in a zero capacitance building where all solar gain that exceeds the instantaneous auxiliary energy load is dumped. As shown, any incident irradiance below the critical radiation level is useful and any energy above must be dumped. The monthly energy dump that would occur in a zero capacitance system can be calculated from Equation (8):

$$Q_{\rm D} = \frac{U_{\rm k} A_{\rm r} \overline{S} N \overline{\Phi}}{U_{\rm L} + \overline{U}_{\rm k}} \tag{8}$$

Here, $\overline{\phi}$ refers to the monthly average daily utilizability, which can be calculated from Equation (9):

$$\overline{\Phi} = \exp\left\{\left[a + b\left(\frac{R_n}{\overline{R}}\right)\right] \left[\overline{X}_c + c\overline{X}_c^2\right]\right\}$$
(9)

where

$$a = 2.943 - 9.271 \overline{K}_{T} + 4.031 \overline{K}_{T}^{2}$$
$$b = -4.345 + 8.853 \overline{K}_{T} - 3.602 \overline{K}_{T}^{2}$$
$$c = -0.170 - 0.306 \overline{K}_{T} + 2.9361 \overline{K}_{T}^{2}$$



Figure 3. Dumped, useful and auxiliary energy for a zero capacitance Trombe wall.

 \overline{K}_{T} refers to the average daily clearness index on the Trombe wall surface; \overline{R} is the ratio of the monthly average daily total irradiance on a tilted surface to that on a horizontal surface; R_n is the ratio of irradiance on a tilted surface to that of a horizontal surface at solar noon (see Duffie and Beckman [39], p. 77, p. 109 and p. 136, respectively, for these solar irradiance formulae). \overline{X}_c refers to the monthly average critical irradiance ratio, which can be calculated from Equation (10):

$$\overline{X}_{c} = \frac{I_{TC}}{r_{t,n}R_{n}\overline{H}}$$
(10)

Here, \overline{H} is the monthly average daily total solar irradiance on a horizontal surface, which can be obtained from meteorological data such as those found in Duffie and Beckman [39], pp. 843–881. $r_{t,n}$ is the ratio of irradiance at solar noon to daily total irradiance on a horizontal surface (see Duffie and Beckman [39], p. 89). I_{TC} refers to the hourly critical irradiance level, which makes the energy dump zero. This is calculated using Equation (11):

$$I_{\rm TC} = \frac{1}{(\overline{\tau}\alpha)A_{\rm r}} \left[(UA)_{\rm ad} \left(\frac{U_{\rm L}}{U_{\rm k}} + 1 \right) \frac{T_{\rm b} - \overline{T}_{\rm a}}{T_{\rm r} - \overline{T}_{\rm a}} + U_{\rm L}A_{\rm r} \right] \left(T_{\rm r} - \overline{T}_{\rm a} \right)$$
(11)

Here, $T_{\rm b}$ is the baseline temperature for which degree days were calculated. ($\overline{\tau a}$) is the monthly average transmittance–absorptance product, which can be calculated from Equation (12), where $\overline{H}_{\rm T}$ is the monthly average daily total solar irradiance on a tilted plane (see Duffie and Beckman [39], p. 109).

$$(\overline{\tau \alpha}) = \frac{\overline{S}}{\overline{H}_{\rm T}} = \frac{\overline{S}}{\overline{H}.\overline{R}}$$
(12)

3.4. Storage-dump ratio

The storage dump ratio, Y, is the ratio between the theoretical energy dump in a zero capacitance building to the actual storage capacity of the building, $S_{\rm b}$, and the Trombe wall, $S_{\rm w}$. It is calculated using Equation (13):

$$Y = \frac{S_{\rm b} + 0.047(S_{\rm w})}{Q_{\rm D}}$$
(13)

The storage capacity of the wall is slightly weighted compared to the building, indicating that heat stored in the building is more effective than heat stored in the Trombe wall. This is because thermal storage in the building or wall raises the temperature of components, leading to increased heat losses; the thermal resistance of the building will generally be greater than that of the wall and also because the temperature difference between the building and ambient air is ordinarily smaller than the temperature difference between the Trombe wall and ambient air [13]. To calculate S_b and S_w , Equations (14) and (15) can be used, respectively:

$$S_{\rm b} = C_{\rm b}(\Delta T_{\rm b})N\tag{14}$$

M. Dowson et al.

$$S_{\rm w} = \frac{\rho C_{\rm p} \delta^2}{2k \Delta t} Q_{\rm i} \tag{15}$$

where C_b and C_p correspond to the effective thermal storage capacity of the building and specific heat capacity of the wall. ΔT_b is the allowable temperature swing between the building's low and high thermostat settings. ρ is the density of the wall. Δt refers to the number of seconds in 24 hours (86,400 seconds). Q_i is the heat gain across the Trombe wall, calculated from Equation (16):

$$Q_{\rm i} = \frac{2kA_{\rm r}}{\delta} (\Delta T_{\rm w}) \Delta t N \tag{16}$$

In the above equation, again Δt refers to the number of seconds in 24 hours. ΔT_w is half of the temperature difference between the inside and outside wall surfaces.

3.5. Solar fraction

The solar fraction, that is the proportion of the buildings energy load, which is met by the net energy gain from the Trombe wall, can be calculated from Equation (17):

$$f = \min\{Pf_i + 0.88(1 - P)[1 - \exp(-1.26f_i)], 1\}$$
(17)

where

$$P = [1 - \exp(-0.144Y)]^{0.53}$$

where f_i is the fraction of the monthly load supply by solar energy, which can be calculated from Equation (18):

$$f_i = \frac{L_{\rm w} + Q_{\rm i}}{L_{\rm ad} + L_{\rm w}} \tag{18}$$

3.6. Auxiliary energy requirement

The final step is to calculate the building's auxiliary energy requirement for the month, L_A . This is calculated from Equation (19):

$$L_{\rm A} = (L_{\rm ad} + L_{\rm w})(1 - f) \tag{19}$$

Once L_A is known, the energy savings, that is the useful energy from the Trombe wall, can be determined by subtracting the auxiliary energy requirement from the building heat load without the Trombe wall, as shown in Equation (20):

$$Q_{\rm u} = L_{\rm ad} - L_{\rm A} \tag{20}$$

4. Aerogel Trombe wall parametric modelling

Based on the aforementioned formula, a steady state thermal model was created. The model can generate monthly average figures for a building's heating load, with and without the Trombe wall, the system solar fraction, average cavity temperatures,

critical irradiance levels and net energy gain. Monthly average heat loads and solar fraction can also be evaluated in terms of the actual thermal capacity of the building and Trombe wall or in the theoretical "zero" or "infinite" capacity scenarios.

Understandably, the depth of this tool is limited by the "monthly average" figures it produces. Consequently, detailed information regarding peak temperatures and the time/ day they occur, as well as information regarding thermal lags cannot be assessed. Nonetheless, the flexibility of the input process enables parameters such as different Trombe wall compositions, site locations, areas, and dwelling construction properties, to be promptly compared and evaluated.

Using this tool, the following study consists of a parametric thermal modelling assessment of different Trombe wall areas retrofitted directly to the outside of a range of house types and construction standards. This works builds on an extrapolation study by Dolley et al. [13], who estimated the thermal performance of different translucent honeycomb Trombe wall areas retrofitted to a theoretical detached house, built with solid walls, unfilled cavity walls, to 1976 and 1990 Building Regulations standards as well as to "super-insulation" standards (equivalent to 2010 Building Regulations).

4.1. Baseline housing stock performance

The first step in this parametric assessment involved generating representative heat loss parameters for different house types and insulation standards. This was achieved by conducting a series of thermal modeling assessments using IES Virtual Environment, a Building Regulation compliant SAP software. The results of this study are given in Table 1. According to Utley and Shorrock [40], the average heat loss parameter for detached houses, semi-detached houses, terrace houses, and flats in the United Kingdom is 342 W/K, 264 W/K, 235 W/K, and 167 W/K, respectively. Excluding results for the "super-insulated" and 2010 Building Regulations property (as they only represent around 1% of the UK's existing stock), the average heat loss parameter calculated from the SAP assessments was 339 W/K, correlating very well with data from Utley and Shorrock [40].

4.2. Data processing and limitations

Figures 4–7 display the predicted annual space heating consumption for each dwelling type and construction standard with 0, 8, 16, 24, or 32 m² areas of Trombe wall installed on their south facade. In each case, it was assumed in the steady state model that a Trombe wall incorporating a 10 mm granular aerogel cover is retrofitted directly to the outside of the dwelling's existing wall (i.e., brick with/without insulation and a cavity), as opposed to an "optimized" concrete storage wall, which would require more disruptive retrofit works to install.

Results are tabulated using a similar approach to Dolley et al. [13], with figures for predicted annual energy savings given in kWh/year and in kWh/m²/year, whereby m² refers to the installed area of the Trombe wall (not m² of floor area). Similarly to Dolley et al. [13], it is assumed that the Trombe wall possesses no shading system or summertime ventilation. Energy dump is given opposed to hours of overheating per year, provided by Dolley et al. [13]. Illustrations beneath each table display the Trombe wall energy savings (per m² of installed area) and the annual solar fraction (%).

		Extrapolated heat loss parameters (W/K)					
Construction type	$\frac{U}{\text{value (W/m2 K) and}}$ air permeability (m ³ /m ² h @ 50 Pa)	Detached	Semi- detached	Terrace	Flat		
Solid wall	Walls (2.16), Glazing (5.7), Roof (1.7), Ground floor (1.0) ; Air permeability = 15	469	362	322	229		
Unfilled cavity wall	Walls (1.24) , Glazing (5.7) , Roof (1.7) , Ground floor (1.0) ; Air permeability = 15	388	300	267	190		
1976 Building Regulations	Walls (0.76), Glazing (5.7), Roof (0.6), Ground floor (1.0); Air permeability = 15	292	225	200	142		
1990 Building Regulations	Walls (0.45), Glazing (3.3), Roof (0.25), Ground floor (0.45); Air permeability = 10	206	159	141	100		
2010 Building Regulations	Walls (0.25), Glazing (2.0), Roof (0.16), Ground floor (0.25); Air permeability = 10	132	102	90	64		
Super insulated	Walls (0.15), Glazing (0.8), Roof (0.15), Ground floor (0.15); Air permeability = 1	67	52	46	33		

Table 1. Extrapolated heat loss parameters used in parametric modeling.

Note that these preliminary results should only be treated as indicative values, which have not been validated experimentally in this application. It is anticipated that this data could be used as preliminary design guidance to assist designers in sizing Trombe walls, dependent on house type and insulation level. However, at this stage, predicted annual heating load and energy savings for each house type/construction standard are intended solely for comparative purposes, as opposed to providing accurate information.

5. Discussion

The preliminary results of the parametric modeling study provide a useful insight into how the performance of the Aerogel Trombe wall may vary when retrofitted to different notional house types and constructions. For example, it is possible to see how utilization and energy savings per m^2 reduce as larger areas of Trombe wall are specified and when systems are installed onto more highly insulated buildings. By comparison, as the installed Trombe wall area increases and the property's baseline heating demand reduces, annual solar fractions naturally increase. Evidently, the proportion of useful vs. wasted energy should be taken into account to avoid over sizing a Trombe wall, especially on highly insulated dwellings.

In detached homes, predicted energy savings range from 183 kWh/m²/year for an 8 m² Trombe wall retrofitted to a solid walled property to 64 kWh/m²/year for a 32 m² Trombe wall retrofitted to a property built in 2010. Similar findings were observed by Dolley et al. [13] when analyzing a Trombe wall incorporating a 100 mm thick translucent honeycomb cover (with *U*-value of 0.8 W/m² K and solar transmittance of 48%). Here, energy savings were 153 kWh/m²/year for 8 m² system installed on a solid-walled detached house compared to 35 kWh/m²/year for a 32 m² system installed on a detached house built to 2010 Building Regulations. Evidently, figures generated by Dolley et al. [13] are slightly lower than the values calculated in this parametric investigation. This could be because of lower solar transmittance of the 100 mm honeycomb cover compared to the 10 mm granular aerogel cover at 70%.

Detached08162.43.2Annual space heating consumptionKVM/year30,43128,96827,70026,64725,655Solid wallVenergy gain from Trombe wallKVM/year1463227143885800Useful energy from Trombe wallKVM/wear0146327111581471Savings per m² of Trombe wallKVM/m?year183171158149Annual space heating consumptionKVM/wear25,21528,81322,70121,7100,774Ket energy gain from Trombe wallKVM/year25,21528,81322,70121,7100,774Savings per m² of Trombe wallKVM/year145329,0643595812Savings per m² of Trombe wallKVM/m?year176157157157157Savings per m² of Trombe wallKVM/m?year18,94017,69416,72215,82914,994Net energy gain from Trombe wallKVM/year18,94017,6416,72215,82914,9941976BuildingRegulation%136122,924,93415,1139,47113,1139,41113,1139,41113,1139,4	101	2		Area of Trombe wall installed (m ²)				2)
Annual space heating consumptionWh/year30,43128,96827,70026,67725,655Solid valing regrig from Trombe wallKWh/year1463292543885500Excess energy from Trombe wallKWh/war1463216137844776Savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/war1614831711581491Annual space heating consumption%h/war25,21523,81322,0121,71020,714Manual space heating consumptionKWh/war25,21523,81322,0021,8135312Savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/war1463200631,8935312Savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/war1463200631,9935312Savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/war17511613,99413,994Annual solar fraction%175136113,99414,994Savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/war18,94017,69413,99414,994Savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/war13,19413,19413,19413,19413,194Savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/war1513,99413,19413,	Detached			0	8	16	24	32
Solid wall Net energy gain from Trombe wall KWh/year 1463 2925 4388 5850 Solid wall Useful energy from Trombe wall KWh/year 0 1463 2731 3784 4776 Savings per m² of Trombe wall KWh/wear 0 8 171 158 149 Annual solar fraction % 48% 9.0% 12.4% 157% Met energy gain from Trombe wall KWh/wear 25.215 23.813 22.010 21.710 20.774 Useful energy from Trombe wall KWh/year 1453 22006 4359 5812 Savings per m² of Trombe wall KWh/year 1403 175 146 139 Annual solar fraction % 18,940 17,894 16,722 15,89 14,93 Building Regulations Ket energy gain from Trombe wall KWh/year 11,15 504 973 14,93 Savings per m² of Trombe wall KWh/year 18,940 17,894 16,722 15,89 14,93 Savings per m² of Trombe w	Calidural	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	30,431	28,968	27,700	26,647	25,655
Solid wall Excess energy from Trombe wall KWh/year 1463 2731 3784 4776 Savings per m² of Trombe wall KWh/n²year 183 171 158 1491 Annual solar fraction % 23,813 22,701 21,710 20,774 Annual solar fraction % 23,813 22,001 21,710 20,774 Mathematy from Trombe wall KWh/n²year 1453 2906 4359 5812 Savings per m² of Trombe wall KWh/n²year 1402 2514 3505 4441 Excess energy from Trombe wall KWh/n²year 151 3920 854 1391 Savings per m² of Trombe wall KWh/n²year 156 10.0% 13.9% 14.94 1976 Annual solar fraction % 1361 2722 4084 5445 Building Regulations Annual solar fraction % 1384 12,312 11.50 14.94 1990 Building Regulations Nate nergy gain from Trombe wall KWh/year 13.84 12,312 11.		Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1463	2925	4388	5850
Solid Valit Excess energy from Trombe wali kWh/year 0 194 604 1074 Savings per m2 of Trombe wali kWh/m2/year 183 171 158 149 Annual solar fraction % 25,215 23,813 22,00 12.4% 15.7% Manual solar fraction % 1402 2514 3505 4441 Cavity wali Leergy gain from Trombe wali kWh/year 1402 2514 3505 4441 Cavity wali Leergy from Trombe wali kWh/year 175 175 176 176 176 Savings per m2 of Trombe wali kWh/year 18,940 17,694 16,722 15,829 14,994 1976 Manual solar fraction % 1361 2722 4084 5445 Building Regulations Ranual space heating consumption kWh/year 115 136 132 131 3447 1990 Building Regulations Ranual space heating consumption kWh/year 1348 12,344 1155 10,65		Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1463	2731	3784	4776
Savings per m² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction KWh/m²/year 183 171 158 149 Annual solar fraction % 4.8% 9.0% 12.4% 15.7% Unfilled cavity wall Net energy gain from Trombe wall KWh/year 25,215 23,813 22,701 21,710 20,774 Useful energy from Trombe wall KWh/year 1453 2906 43505 5412 Useful energy from Trombe wall KWh/year 51 392 854 1371 Savings per m² of Trombe wall KWh/war 18,90 17,69 16,722 15,82 14,99 Manual solar fraction % 18,90 17,69 16,722 40,84 5445 Useful energy from Trombe wall KWh/year 18,90 17,65 13,90 12,89 14,994 Net energy gain from Trombe wall KWh/year 18,80 12,412 13,10 13,01 13,01 13,01 13,01 13,01 13,01 13,01 13,01 13,01 13,01 13,01 13,01 13,01	Solid Wall	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		0	194	604	1074
Annual solar fraction%4.8%9.0%12.4%15.7%Unfilled cavity wellAnnual space heating consumptionKVh/year25,21523,81322,70121,71020,774Net energy gain from Trombe wallKVh/year1402251435055812Useful energy from Trombe wallKVh/year1402251435054441Excess energy from Trombe wallKVh/year14025113928541371Savings per m² of Trombe wallKWh/m²/year17.5916,72215,82914,994Annual solar fraction%17.69416,72240,845445Useful energy from Trombe wallKWh/year13,642121931113947RegulationNet energy gain from Trombe wallKWh/year115650497314,89Savings per m² of Trombe wallKWh/year115613913012,312Annual solar fraction%11,8223,5535474729Savings per m² of Trombe wallKWh/year1182236535474729Useful energy from Trombe wallKWh/year1182236535474729Savings per m² of Trombe wallKWh/year13,8812,31211,50510,75410,505Building RegulationsNet energy gain from Trombe wallKWh/year13,8511,83531,6010,355Savings per m² of Trombe wallKWh/year13,6571696,50354731,60Building Regulatio		Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		183	171	158	149
Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 25,215 23,813 22,701 21,710 20,774 Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 1453 2906 4359 5812 Losful energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 1402 2514 3505 44411 Excess energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 175 176 164 139 Annual solar fraction % 56% 10.0% 13.9% 17.6% Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 18,940 17.594 16,722 5,829 14,994 Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 18,940 17.694 16,722 15,829 14,994 Savings per m2 of Trombe wall kWh/year 1361 2722 4084 5445 Savings per m2 of Trombe wall kWh/year 1166 139 130 123 Savings per m2 of Trombe wall kWh/year 1168 14.3 2646 20.98 Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 118.8		Annual solar fraction	%		4.8%	9.0%	12.4%	15.7%
Net energy gain from Trombe wall cavity wallKWn/year1453290643595812Unfilled cavity wallUseful energy from Trombe wall kWn/yearKWh/year1402251435054441Excess energy from Trombe wall Annual space heating consumptionKWh/m2/year5153928541319Annual space heating consumption%Wn/year18,94017,69416,72215.6914.99Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumptionKWh/year18,94017,69416,7224.944Swings per m2 of Trombe wall savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/year1246221931113947Swings per m2 of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction%Wh/year115613913001213Annual space heating consumption savings per m2 of Trombe wall useful energy from Trombe wallKWh/year13.34812.31211.0510.75410.98Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption kWh/year13.34812.31211.5510.75410.9214.99Savings per m2 of Trombe wall savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/year13.34812.31211.5510.8412.9412.94Suitig per m2 of Trombe wall savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/year13.34812.9218.4513.9424.756Suitig per m2 of Trombe wall savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/year85617.7857.1696.596.595Suitig per m2 of Trombe wall savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/year<		Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	25,215	23,813	22,701	21,710	20,774
Unfilled cavity wallUseful energy from Trombe wallkWh/year1402251435054441Excess energy from Trombe wallkWh/year513928541371Savings per m²of Trombe wallkWh/m²/year175157157146139Annual solar fraction%17.6%10.0%13.9%17.6%Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumptionkWh/year18,94017.6916.72215.82914.9941976Savings per m²of Trombe wallkWh/year1246221931113947Savings per m²of Trombe wallkWh/year1156139130123Annual solar fraction%6.6%11.7%16.4%20.8%1990Savings per m²of Trombe wallkWh/year13.34812.31211.5%10.75410.95Building RegulationsSace heating consumptionkWh/year13.34812.31211.5%10.75410.951990Useful energy from Trombe wallkWh/year13.34812.31211.5%10.75410.95Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumptionkWh/year13.6%11.5%10.9510.9510.952010Useful energy from Trombe wallkWh/year13.6%11.5%10.8510.956069Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumptionkWh/year13.6%13.9%12.4%22.7%2010Building RegulationsSavings per m²of Trombe wall<		Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year	244	1453	2906	4359	5812
cavity wall savings per m2 of Trombe wall Savings per m2 of Trombe wall Annual solar fractionkWh/year5139285413711571571571461391976 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption Useful energy from Trombe wall Savings per m2 of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction18,94017,69416,72215,82914,9941976 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption Useful energy from Trombe wall Savings per m2 of Trombe wall Annual solar fractionkWh/year13,84017,69416,72215,82914,9941976 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall Savings per m2 of Trombe wall Useful energy from Trombe wall Savings per m2 of Trombe wall Savings per m2 of Trombe wall Wh/year13,34812,31211,50510,75410,0581990 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption Savings per m2 of Trombe wall Annual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall Annual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall Wh/year13,34812,31211,50510,75410,9581990 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall Net energy gain from Trombe wall Annual space heating consumptionKWh/year13,8511,81322,92354747292010 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall Net energy gain from Trombe wall Savings per m2 of Trombe wall Savings per m2 of Trombe wall Savings	Unfilled	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1402	2514	3505	4441
Savings per m² of Trombe wall Annual solar fractionKWh/m²/year %17515714613.9%1976 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption lue tenergy gain from Trombe wall bet energy gain from Trombe wall savings per m² of Trombe wall Annual solar fractionKWh/year18,94017,69418,72215,82914,9441976 Building RegulationsNet energy gain from Trombe wall savings per m² of Trombe wall building RegulationsKWh/war111550.4097314981990 Building RegulationsAnnual solar fraction vanual solar fractionKWh/year13,34812,31211,50510,75410,5581990 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption Useful energy from Trombe wall savings per m² of Trombe wall kWh/year13,34812,31211,50510,75410,5581990 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption kWh/year13,34812,31211,50510,75410,5581990 Building RegulationsKWh energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/yearKWh/year116361343259432,9092010 Building RegulationsKWh energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/yearKWh/year13657169659560,992010 Building RegulationsKWe energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/yearKWh/year14645292,67636,892010 Building RegulationsKWe energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/yearKWh/year14645380,1011,8927,87	cavity wall	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		51	392	854	1371
Inclusion%56%10.0%13.9%17.6%1976Annual space heating consumptionKWh/year18,94017,69416,72215,82914,994Net energy gain from Trombe wallKWh/year1361272240845445BuildingExcess energy from Trombe wallKWh/year1246221931113947Excess energy from Trombe wallKWh/year11555049731498Savings per m²of Trombe wallKWh/year1566117916.0%123Annual solar fraction%13,34812,31211,65510,75410,058Met energy gain from Trombe wallKWh/year13,34812,31211,65535474729Useful energy from Trombe wallKWh/year13,66118225943290BuildingRegulationsKWh/year14665229531439Savings per m²of Trombe wallKWh/year1306118225942479Savings per m²of Trombe wallKWh/year1306118225942491Savings per m²of Trombe wallKWh/year13061155108103Savings per m²of Trombe wallKWh/year13061155108103Savings per m²of Trombe wallKWh/year1465229531499Savings per m²of Trombe wallKWh/year1462492474Savings per m²of Trombe wallKWh/year14638030162149Savings per m²of Trombe wal		Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		175	157	146	139
1976 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumptionkWh/year18,94017,69416,72215,82914,944Building RegulationsKWh/year11246271240845445Building RegulationsKWh/year11246221931113947Savings per m2 of Trombe wallKWh/year15049731488Annual solar fraction%6.6611.7613091005Met energy gain from Trombe wallKWh/year13,34812,31211,50530471990 Building RegulationsAnnual solar fraction%13,34812,31211,505304747291990 Building RegulationsKWh/year13,34812,31211,505304747292010 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumptionKWh/year130011510810302010 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumptionKWh/year13057169659560692010 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumptionKWh/year14645380111982010 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumptionKWh/year14645380111982010 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumptionKWh/year14645380111982010 Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumptionKWh/year14645380111982010 Buil	-	Annual solar fraction	%		5.6%	10.0%	13.9%	17.6%
1976 Building RegulationsNet energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/yearKWh/year1361272240845445Building RegulationsUseful energy from Trombe wall Savings per m2 of Trombe wall Annual solar fractionKWh/year11565049731498Annual solar fraction%6.6%11.7%16.4%20.8%Annual space heating consumption Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption kWh/year13,34812,31211,50510,75410,058Building RegulationsAnnual space heating consumption kWh/year1182236535474729Building RegulationsSavings per m2 of Trombe wall kWh/yearKWh/year11665229531439Annual solar fraction%7.8%13.8%19.4%24.7%Annual solar fraction%7.8%13.8%19.4%24.7%Annual space heating consumption kWh/year85617.7857.16965056069Building RegulationsKWh/year85617.785118224912491Building RegulationsKWh/year85617.78513.6%24912491Building RegulationsKWh/year85617.78516.820763689Annual solar fraction%92218452.7673689Annual solar fraction%97616.32.91%2.91%Annual solar fraction%97633742.92%2.91%Annual so		Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	18,940	17,694	16,722	15,829	14,994
1976 Useful energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 1246 2219 3111 3947 Regulations Excess energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 115 504 973 1498 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall kWh/m ² /year 156 139 130 123 Annual solar fraction % 6.6% 11.7% 16.4% 20.8% Manual space heating consumption kWh/year 13,348 12,312 11,505 10,754 10,058 Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 13,348 12,312 1163 2594 3290 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall kWh/year 1306 1443 2594 3290 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall kWh/year 130 115 108 103 2010 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall kWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6505 6069 Building Regulations Keenergy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 922 1845 2767 3689 2491	1076	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1361	2722	4084	5445
Excess energy from Trombe wall Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction KWh/year KWh/m ² /year Manual solar fraction 115 504 973 1498 123 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction KWh/m ² /year Met energy gain from Trombe wall KWh/year 156 139 130 123 1990 Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption KWe energy gain from Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall KWh/year 13,348 12,312 11,505 10,754 10,058 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Regulations KWh/year 1334 12,312 11,505 3547 4729 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Regulations KWh/year 1036 1843 2594 3290 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Regulations KWh/year 146 522 953 1439 Annual solar fraction % 7.8% 13.8% 19.4% 24.7% Suilding Regulations Annual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall KWh/year 922 1845 2767 3689 Suilding Regulations Manual space heating consumption Net energy from Trombe wall KWh/year 146	Building	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1246	2219	3111	3947
Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction KWh/m ² /year % 156 139 130 123 1990 Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall Regulations KWh/year 13,348 12,312 11,505 10,754 10,058 Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption Building Regulations KWh/year 1036 1843 2594 3290 Annual solar fraction KWh/year 1036 1843 2594 3290 Annual solar fraction % 130 115 108 103 Annual space heating consumption Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall KWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Net energy from Trombe wall Building Regulations KWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Net energy from Trombe wall Building Regulations KWh/year 922 1845 2677 3689 Savings per m ² 0 Trombe wall Building Regulations KWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672	Regulations	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		115	504	973	1 498
Annual solar fraction % 6.6.% 11.7% 16.4% 20.8% 1990 Annual space heating consumption KWh/year 13,348 12,312 11,505 10,754 10,058 Building Regulations Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 1036 1843 2594 3290 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall kWh/year 146 522 953 1439 Annual solar fraction % 7.8% 13.8% 19.4% 24.7% Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Building Regulations Net energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 922 1845 2767 3689 2010 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall kWh/year 916 4533 801 1198 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall kWh/year 91% 16.3% 23.0% 29.1% Annual solar fraction <td< td=""><td></td><td>Savings per m² of Trombe wall</td><td>kWh/m²/year</td><td></td><td>156</td><td>139</td><td>130</td><td>123</td></td<>		Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		156	139	130	123
Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 13,348 12,312 11,505 10,754 10,058 Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 1182 2365 3547 4729 Building Regulations Excess energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 1036 1843 2594 3290 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall kWh/year 146 522 953 1439 Annual solar fraction % 7.8% 13.8% 19.4% 24.7% Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Building Regulations Net energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 922 1845 2767 3689 Super Useful energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 916 4533 801 1198 Savings per m ² 0 Trombe wall kWh/year 146 453 801 1198 Savings per m ² 0 Trombe wall kWh/year	4	Annual solar fraction	%		6.6%	11.7%	16.4%	20.8%
1990 Building Regulations Net energy gain from Trombe wall Useful energy from Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction KWh/year KWh/year 1182 2365 3547 4729 2010 Building Regulations Excess energy from Trombe wall Annual solar fraction KWh/year 146 522 953 1439 2010 Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall Building Regulations KWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall KWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall Regulations KWh/year 922 1845 2767 3689 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall Insulated KWh/year 91% 16.3% 23.0% 29.1% Super Insulated Annual space heating consumption Insulated KWh/year 4358 3819 3374 29.6% 27.2 Super Insulated Annual space		Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	13,348	12,312	11,505	10,754	10,058
Useful energy from Trombe wall Regulations KWh/year 1036 1843 2594 3290 Building Regulations Excess energy from Trombe wall Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction KWh/year 146 522 953 1439 2010 Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption Wet energy gain from Trombe wall Building Regulations KWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption Wet energy gain from Trombe wall KWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Regulations KWh/year 922 1845 2767 3689 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Regulations KWh/year 976 1392 1966 2491 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Regulations KWh/year 91% 16.3% 23.0% 29.1% Annual solar fraction % 9.1% 16.3% 23.0% 29.1% Super insulated Annual space heating consumption insulated KWh/year 4358 3819 3374 29.6 2732	1000	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1182	2365	3547	4729
Excess energy from Trombe wall Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/year kWh/m ² /year % 146 522 953 1439 2010 Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall Building Regulations kWh/year % 130 115 108 103 2010 Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption Wet energy gain from Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall Regulations kWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Annual space heating consumption Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption Excess energy from Trombe wall RWh/year kWh/year 922 1845 2767 3689 Annual solar fraction kWh/year 146 453 801 1198 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Runual solar fraction kWh/year 97 87 82 78 Annual space heating consumption insulated KWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672 Net energy gain from Trombe wall insulated kWh/year 539 985 1373 1687 Excess energy from Trombe wall insulated kWh/year 67 62 <td>Building</td> <td>Useful energy from Trombe wall</td> <td>kWh/year</td> <td></td> <td>1036</td> <td>1843</td> <td>2594</td> <td>3290</td>	Building	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1036	1843	2594	3290
Savings per m² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction KWh/m²/year % 130 115 108 103 2010 Building Regulations Annual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall Building Regulations KWh/year Net energy from Trombe wall KWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Savings per m² of Trombe wall Building Regulations KWh/year 922 1845 2767 3689 Annual space heating consumption Building Regulations KWh/year 7766 1392 1966 2491 Annual solar from Trombe wall Regulations KWh/year 977 87 82 78 Annual solar fraction % 9.1% 16.3% 23.0% 29.1% Annual space heating consumption insulated KWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672 Net energy gain from Trombe wall insulated KWh/year 683 1366 2049 2732 Super insulated Leful energy from Trombe wall Savings per m² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction KWh/year 677 62 57 53 Annual solar fraction % 1	Regulations	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		146	522	953	1 4 3 9
Annual solar fraction % 7.8% 13.8% 19.4% 24.7% Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 922 1845 2767 3689 Building Regulations Useful energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 7766 1392 1966 2491 Savings per m² of Trombe wall kWh/year 146 453 801 1198 Savings per m² of Trombe wall kWh/m²/year 97 87 82 78 Annual solar fraction % 9.1% 16.3% 23.0% 29.1% Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672 Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 683 1366 2049 2732 Useful energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 539 985 1373 1687 insulated Savings per m² of Trombe wall kWh/year 67 62 57 53<	, in the second s	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		130	115	108	103
2010 Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 8561 7785 7169 6595 6069 Building Regulations Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 922 1845 2767 3689 Super Useful energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 7766 1392 1966 2491 Excess energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 146 453 801 1198 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall kWh/m ² /year 97 87 82 78 Annual solar fraction % 9.1% 16.3% 23.0% 29.1% Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672 Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 683 1366 2049 2732 Useful energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 539 985 1373 1687 insulated Excess energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 667 62 57 53 Savings per m ² 0 Trombe wall kWh/m ² /year 6		Annual solar fraction	%		7.8%	13.8%	19.4%	24.7%
2010 Building Regulations Net energy gain from Trombe wall Useful energy from Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/year kWh/year 922 1845 2767 3689 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/year 1166 453 801 1198 Annual solar fraction % 97 87 82 78 Annual space heating consumption insulated kWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672 Net energy gain from Trombe wall insulated kWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672 Super insulated Annual space heating consumption issulated kWh/year 683 1366 2049 2732 Super insulated KWh/year 539 985 1373 1687 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/m ² /year 67 62 57 53		Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	8561	7785	7169	6595	6069
Building Regulations Useful energy from Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/year kWh/m ² /year % 776 1392 1966 2491 Annual solar fraction kWh/year 146 453 801 1198 Annual solar fraction % 9.1% 16.3% 23.0% 29.1% Super insulated Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672 Net energy gain from Trombe wall insulated kWh/year 683 1366 2049 2732 Super insulated Lesful energy from Trombe wall savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/year 539 985 1373 1687 Annual solar fraction % 144 381 677 1046 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/m ² /year 67 62 57 53	2010	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		922	1845	2767	3689
Regulations Excess energy from Trombe wall Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/year kWh/m ² /year % 146 453 801 1198 Annual solar fraction % 97 87 82 78 Annual solar fraction % 9.1% 16.3% 23.0% 29.1% Super insulated Annual space heating consumption keenergy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672 Ves ful energy from Trombe wall insulated kWh/year 683 1366 2049 2732 Super insulated Lescess energy from Trombe wall savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/year 539 985 1373 1687 Annual solar fraction %Wh/m ² /year 67 62 57 53	Building	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		776	1392	1966	2491
Savings per m² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/m²/year % 97 87 82 78 Annual solar fraction % 9.1% 16.3% 23.0% 29.1% Annual space heating consumption insulated Annual space heating consumption Net energy gain from Trombe wall insulated kWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672 Super insulated Lesful energy from Trombe wall insulated kWh/year 683 1366 2049 2732 Super insulated Lesful energy from Trombe wall insulated kWh/year 539 985 1373 1687 Savings per m² of Trombe wall insulated kWh/m²/year 67 62 57 53 Annual solar fraction % 12.4% 22.6% 31.5% 38.7%	Regulations	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		146	453	801	1198
Annual solar fraction % 9.1% 16.3% 23.0% 29.1% Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672 Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 683 1366 2049 2732 Useful energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 539 985 1373 1687 Excess energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 144 381 677 1046 Savings per m² of Trombe wall kWh/m²/year 67 62 57 53 Annual solar fraction % 12.4% 22.6% 31.5% 38.7%		Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		97	87	82	78
Annual space heating consumption kWh/year 4358 3819 3374 2986 2672 Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 683 1366 2049 2732 Useful energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 539 985 1373 1687 Excess energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 144 381 677 1046 Savings per m² of Trombe wall kWh/m²/year 67 62 57 53 Annual solar fraction % 12.4% 22.6% 31.5% 38.7%		Annual solar fraction	%		9.1%	16.3%	23.0%	29.1%
Net energy gain from Trombe wall kWh/year 683 1366 2049 2732 Super Useful energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 539 985 1373 1687 Excess energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 144 381 677 1046 Savings per m² of Trombe wall kWh/m²/year 67 62 57 53 Annual solar fraction % 12.4% 22.6% 31.5% 38.7%	Super insulated	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	4358	3819	3374	2986	2672
Super insulated Useful energy from Trombe wall Excess energy from Trombe wall Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/year kWh/m ² /year 539 144 985 381 1373 1687 March 2007 Excess energy from Trombe wall Savings per m ² of Trombe wall Annual solar fraction kWh/m ² /year 67 62 57 53		Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		683	1366	2049	2732
insulated Excess energy from Trombe wall kWh/year 144 381 677 1046 Savings per m ² of Trombe wall kWh/m ² /year 67 62 57 53 Annual solar fraction % 12.4% 22.6% 31.5% 38.7%		Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		539	985	1373	1687
Savings per m ² of Trombe wall kWh/m ² /year 67 62 57 53 Annual solar fraction % 12.4% 22.6% 31.5% 38.7%		Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		144	381	677	1046
Annual solar fraction % 12.4% 22.6% 31.5% 38.7%		Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		67	62	57	53
		Annual solar fraction	%		12.4%	22.6%	31.5%	38.7%







Figure 4. Parametric modeling results for Aerogel Trombe walls on detached houses.

(c)			Area of Trombe wall installed (m ²)				
Semi-detached			0	8	16	24	32
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	23,492	22,029	20,890	19,871	18,927
	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year	13105	1463	2925	4388	5850
Solid wall	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1463	2602	3622	4565
	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		0	323	766	1285
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		183	163	151	143
	Annual solar fraction	%		6.2%	11.1%	15.4%	19.4%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	19,463	18,096	17,058	16,097	15,208
Contract Contracts	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1453	2906	4359	5812
Unfilled	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1367	2405	3366	4254
cavity wall	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		86	501	993	1558
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		171	150	140	133
	Annual solar fraction	%		7.0%	12.4%	17.3%	21.9%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	14,627	13,423	12,494	11,633	10,844
1076	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1361	2722	4084	5445
1976 Building Regulations	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1204	2133	2994	3783
	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		157	590	1089	1662
,	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		151	133	125	118
	Annual solar fraction	%		8.2%	14.6%	20.5%	25.9%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	10,306	9314	8528	7805	7171
1000	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1182	2365	3547	4729
Building	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		992	1778	2500	3135
Regulations	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		191	587	1047	1594
÷	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		124	111	104	98
	Annual solar fraction	%		9.6%	17.3%	24.3%	30.4%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	6602	5858	5254	4708	4256
2010	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		922	1845	2767	3689
Building	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		743	1348	1894	2346
Regulations	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		179	497	873	1344
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m2/year		93	84	79	73
	Annual solar fraction	%		11.3%	20.4%	28.7%	35.5%
Super insulated	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	3361	2839	2408	2076	1796
	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		683	1366	2049	2732
	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		522	952	1285	1565
	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		161	414	764	1167
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m ² /year		65	60	54	49
	Annual solar fraction	%		15.5%	28.3%	38.2%	46.6%







Figure 5. Parametric modeling results for Aerogel Trombe walls on semi-detached houses.

	-		Area of Trombe wall installed (m ²)				2)
Terrace			0	8	16	24	32
Calid wall	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	20,912	19,449	18,361	17,359	16,441
	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1463	2925	4388	5850
	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1463	2551	3553	4471
Solid Wall	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		0	374	835	1379
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		183	159	148	140
	Annual solar fraction	%	-	7.0%	12.2%	17.0%	21.4%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	17,325	15,982	14,963	14,019	13,154
	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1453	2906	4359	5812
Unfilled	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1343	2362	3306	4171
cavity wall	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		110	544	1053	1642
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		168	148	138	130
·	Annual solar fraction	%		7.7%	13.6%	19.1%	24.1%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	13,020	11,843	10,922	10,077	9320
1076	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year	20	1361	2722	4084	5445
1976 Building	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1177	2098	2943	3700
Regulations	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		184	624	1141	1745
egulations	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		147	131	123	116
	Annual solar fraction	%	_	9.0%	16.1%	22.6%	28.4%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	9174	8201	7422	6715	6126
1000	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1182	2365	3547	4729
Building	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		972	1752	2458	3048
Regulations	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		210	613	1089	1682
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		122	109	102	95
	Annual solar fraction	%	2	10.6%	19.1%	26.8%	33.2%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	5877	5146	4547	4031	3614
2010	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		922	1845	2767	3689
Building	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		731	1329	1846	2263
Regulations	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		191	515	921	1427
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		91	83	77	71
	Annual solar fraction	%		12.4%	22.6%	31.4%	38.5%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	2992	2476	2061	1748	1479
Super insulated	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		683	1366	2049	2732
	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		515	931	1244	1513
	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		168	435	805	1220
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m2/year		64	58	52	47
	Annual solar fraction	%		17.2%	31.1%	41.6%	50.6%







Figure 6. Parametric modeling results for Aerogel Trombe walls on terrace houses.

53	2	1	Area of Trombe wall installed (m ²)				
Flats			0	8	16	24	32
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	14,861	13,495	12,443	11,502	10,735
	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1463	2925	4388	5850
Solid wall	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1366	2418	3359	4125
Solid Wall	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		96	507	1029	1725
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		171	151	140	129
	Annual solar fraction	%	-	9.2%	16.3%	22.6%	27.8%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	12,312	11,054	10,064	9178	8460
	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1453	2906	4359	5812
Unfilled	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1258	2248	3134	3852
cavity wall	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		195	658	1225	1960
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		157	140	131	120
-	Annual solar fraction	%		10.2%	18.3%	25.5%	31.3%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	9253	8140	7249	6491	5884
1976	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1361	2722	4084	5445
Building Regulations	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1113	2004	2761	3369
	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		249	719	1322	2076
2	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		139	125	115	105
<u>.</u>	Annual solar fraction	%		12.0%	21.7%	29.8%	36.4%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	6519	5593	4842	4243	3769
1990	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		1182	2365	3547	4729
Building	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		926	1678	2276	2750
Regulations	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		256	687	1271	1979
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		116	105	95	86
	Annual solar fraction	%		14.2%	25.7%	34.9%	42.2%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	4176	3475	2914	2492	2132
2010	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		922	1845	2767	3689
Building	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		701	1262	1685	2044
Regulations	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		222	583	1083	1645
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m²/year		88	79	70	64
	Annual solar fraction	%		16.8%	30.2%	40.3%	48.9%
	Annual space heating consumption	kWh/year	2126	1627	1272	1002	799
Super	Net energy gain from Trombe wall	kWh/year		683	1366	2049	2732
	Useful energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		499	854	1124	1327
insulated	Excess energy from Trombe wall	kWh/year		184	512	926	1405
	Savings per m ² of Trombe wall	kWh/m2/year		62	53	47	41
	Annual solar fraction	%		23.5%	40.2%	52.8%	62.4%

Energy savings (per m² of Trombe wall)





Figure 7. Parametric modeling results for Aerogel Trombe walls on flats.



Figure 8. Payback curve based on energy savings from parametric modeling.

5.1. Payback calculation

Figure 8 displays a payback curve based on the energy savings per m² from all Trombe walls modeled in the parametric assessment. The estimated capital cost of each Trombe wall is taken as $\pounds 220/m^2$ (assuming $\pounds 100/m^2$ for the aerogel cover and another $\pounds 120/m^2$ for the framing and a shading system). The baseline cost of electricity and gas is assumed to be $\pounds 0.12/kWh$ and $\pounds 0.04/kWh$, respectively, with a 6% annual fuel price inflation rate and 2% discount interest rate applied.

The two bands correspond to payback periods for gas and electrically heated homes, respectively. In each case, the upper limit of the band (providing the shortest payback) represents the predicted payback period for 8 m² Trombe wall on a solid walled detached property. Conversely, the lower limit (providing the longest payback period) represents the predicted payback for 32 m² of Trombe wall installed on a super insulated flat. All values between these limits represent the paybacks for the remaining house types and Trombe wall areas.

Predicted payback periods for the different Trombe wall installations range from 8 to 19 years in electrically heated homes or 17 to 35 years in gas heated homes. Evidently, the product may only be a viable retrofit option in electric heated homes or gas heated homes with little/no insulation. Countering this, however, as these payback periods are similar to those calculated by Shorrock et al. [41] for external insulation (i.e. greater than 20 years), if a dwelling is being overclad, it may be viable to incorporate a Trombe wall into the design if there is a suitable free area of south facade. Furthermore, if it were assumed that Trombe walls were eligible to the \pounds 0.085/kWh generation tariff under the governments Renewable Heat Incentive [42], which domestic hot water solar thermal panels currently obtain, then paybacks can be reduced.

5.2. External insulation comparison

To investigate if a Trombe wall provides a greater energy saving, per m^2 , compared to conventional insulation, Figure 9 illustrates the predicted energy savings from the Trombe wall vs. the predicted energy savings through external insulation. The degree-day calculation assumes that the building operates an 18 hour heating schedule on the days when heating is required (i.e., maximizing the need for insulation) and it is assumed that 1 m^2 of external wall area is upgraded to a *U*-value of 0.15 W/m² K. Upper and lower limits on the Trombe wall energy savings represent the maximum and minimum predicted savings from the detached house and flats, respectively.



Figure 9. Energy savings from external insulation vs. energy savings from a Trombe wall.

In all cases (with the exception of 32 m^2 of Trombe wall on a solid-walled flat), the Trombe wall energy savings exceed the predicted energy savings through external insulation, indicating that an Aerogel Trombe wall can be used as a stand-alone system or incorporated into an external cladding scheme to enhance its overall benefit. Evidently, as properties are built to better insulation standards, the energy savings from insulation diminish at a greater rate than the predicted Trombe wall energy savings. The greatest potential for increased energy savings is observed within unfilled cavity wall properties and dwellings built to 1976-1990 Building Regulations.

6. Conclusion

This study aimed to serve as a preliminary evaluation into the thermal performance of aerogel applied to passive solar Trombe walls. Preliminary modeling has found that a small area of Trombe wall can provide a useful energy contribution without creating a significant overheating risk. If larger areas are to be installed, then detailed calculations would be recommended to assess the potential overheating issues. Static shading grills to cut high summer sun combined with passive vents at the top and bottom of the wall would be recommended to regulate overheating without active cooling. It is likely that the most appropriate application for Aerogel Trombe walls would be in "deep" retrofits, particularly if incorporated alongside an external cladding scheme to enhance its benefit.

The concept of passive Trombe walls incorporating granular aerogel may prove to be more applicable across the existing UK housing stock, compared to solar air collectors as they do not rely on mechanical ventilation. Preliminary parametric modeling of Aerogel Trombe walls on existing buildings demonstrates that these systems can provide high energy savings, per m², particularly on older buildings with solid brick walls, comparable to external insulation. In contrast, small Trombe walls areas can provide significant solar fractions, particularly on more insulated dwellings with lower heating requirements.

Nomenclature

- $A_{\rm r}$ Trombe wall area (m²)
- $C_{\rm b}$ Thermal storage capacity of building (MJ/K)
- $C_{\rm p}$ Specific heat capacity of wall (kJ/kg K)
- DD Degree day hours (h K)
- f Solar fraction
- f_i Fraction of monthly load supply by solar energy

- I_{TC} Hourly critical irradiance level (W/m²) k Thermal conductivity of wall (W/m K)
- $K_{\rm T}$ Monthly average daily clearness index
- KT Monuny average dany cleaness index
- $L_{\rm A}$ Auxiliary energy requirement for the month (GJ)
- L_{ad} Monthly building heat load without the Trombe wall (GJ)
- $L_{\rm w}$ Monthly heat loss with zero glazing transmittance (GJ)
- *N* Number of days in a month
- $R_{\rm n}$ Ratio of irradiance on a tilted surface to horizontal surface at noon
- \overline{R} Ratio of monthly average daily irradiance on tilted to horizontal surface
- $r_{\rm tn}$ Ratio of irradiance at solar noon to daily irradiance on a horizontal surface
- \overline{S} Monthly average absorbed solar irradiance (MJ/m²)
- $S_{\rm b}$ Thermal storage capacity of building for a month (GJ)
- $S_{\rm w}$ Thermal storage capacity of Trombe wall for a month (GJ)
- \overline{T}_{a} Monthly average ambient temperature (°C)
- $T_{\rm b}$ Baseline temperature for degree-days (°C)
- $\Delta T_{\rm b}$ Allowable temperature swing between low and high thermostat settings (°C)
- \overline{T}_{i} Monthly average inner wall temperature (°C)
- $T_{\rm r}$ Room temperature at low thermostat setting (°C)
- \overline{T}_{W} Monthly average outer wall temperature (°C)
- $\Delta T_{\rm w}$ Half temperature difference between inside and outside wall (°C)
- Δt Inner and outer wall temperature difference (°C); seconds in 24 hours
- $Q_{\rm D}$ Energy dump in zero capacitance system (GJ)
- $Q_{\rm i}$ Heat gain across Trombe wall (GJ)
- $Q_{\rm u}$ Useful energy from Trombe wall (GJ)
- $Q_{\rm n}$ Net heat transfer into rooms through Trombe wall (GJ)
- $(UA)_{ad}$ Building heat loss coefficient (W/K)
- U_i Loss coefficient between inner wall and air inside room (W/m² K)
- $U_{\rm k}$ Conductance from outer wall to room (W/m² K)
- $U_{\rm w}$ Trombe wall heat loss coefficient (W/m² K)
- *x* Wall thickness (m)
- *X*_c Critical irradiance ratio
- *Y* Storage dump ratio

Greek Symbols

- ρ Density of the wall (kg/m³)
- $\overline{\phi}$ Monthly average daily un-utilizability
- $(\tau \alpha)$ Transmittance–absorptance product

Acknowledgments

This study forms part of a wider doctoral thesis focused on product development of novel retrofit technologies incorporating silica aerogel insulation [43]. See Dowson et al. [44], for a literature review of the UK retrofit market analysing the drivers and barriers to energy efficient housing refurbishments. In Dowson et al. [45], the in-situ performance of translucent insulating shutters to improve the thermal performance of existing windows is assessed. In Dowson et al. [46], a life cycle assessment of silica aerogel insulation is undertaken to verify the material's environmental performance. Dowson et al. [2] contains insitu test results of a solar-air collector containing an aerogel cover, pre-heating a whole house mechanical

ventilation system. The corresponding author would like to thank all those involved in the research as well as the technical reviewers and publishing teams.

Funding

The corresponding author thanks the EPSRC, Brunel University, and Buro Happold Ltd for funding this research project.

References

- J. Michel and F. Trombe, 1971. French Patent Anvar Trombe Michel BF 7123778, filed 29 June 1971.
- [2] M. Dowson, I. Pegg, D. Harrison, and Z. Dehouche, *Predicted and in situ performance of a solar air collector incorporating a translucent granular aerogel cover*, Energy Build. 49 (2012), pp. 173–187. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.02.007.
- [3] W.A. Monsen, S.A. Klein, and W.A. Beckman, *The un-utilizability design method for collector storage walls*, Solar Energy 29 (1982), pp. 421–429. doi:10.1016/0038-092X(82)90079-2.
- [4] S. Burek and A. Habeb, Air flow and thermal efficiency characteristics in solar chimneys and Trombe walls, Energy Build. 39 (2007), pp. 128–135. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2006.04.015.
- [5] B. Peuportier and J. Michel, Comparative analysis of active and passive solar heating systems with transparent insulation, Solar Energy 54 (1995), pp. 13–18. doi:10.1016/0038-092X(94)00112-Q.
- [6] A.K. Athienitis and H. Ramadan, Numerical model of a building with transparent insulation, Solar Energy 67 (1999), pp. 101–109. doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(00)00055-4.
- [7] H. Suehrcke, D. Daldehog, J.A. Harris, and R.W. Lowe, *Heat transfer across corrugated sheets and honeycomb transparent insulation*, Solar Energy 76 (2004), pp. 351–358. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2003.08.023.
- [8] B. Peuportier, N. Kohler, O. Lewis, A. Tombazis, and K. Voss. 2000. Education of architects in solar energy and environment, Section 3.1 - advanced glazing and transparent insulation. Available at http://www.cenerg.ensmp.fr/ease/advanced_glazing.pdf.
- [9] W. Stahl, V. Wittwe, and A. Pfluger, *Transparent insulation*, Solar Energy Mater. 11 (1984), pp. 199–208. doi:10.1016/0165-1633(84)90071-6.
- [10] K. Voss, Solar energy in building renovation results and experience of international demonstration buildings, Energy Build. 32 (2000), pp. 291–302. doi:10.1016/S0378-7788(00)00052-9.
- [11] C. Porteous and K. Macgregor, *Solar Architecture in Cool Climates*, Earthscan, London, 2005.
 [12] J.W. Twidell, C. Johnstone, B. Zuhdy, and A. Scott, *Strathclyde University's passive solar*,
- low-energy, residences with transparent insulation, Solar Energy 52 (1994), pp. 85–109. doi:10.1016/0038-092X(94)90084-F.
- [13] P. Dolley, C. Martin, and M. Watson, *Performance of walls clad with transparent insulation material in realistic operation*, Build. Environ. 29 (1994), pp. 83–88. doi:10.1016/0360-1323 (94)90055-8.
- [14] W.J. Platzer and A. Goetzberger, Recent Advances in Transparent Insulation Technology, Transparent Insulation - T18, Fraunhofer-Institute for Solar Energy Systems, Freiburg, 2004.
- [15] I.L. Wong, P.C. Eames, and R.S. Perera, A review of transparent insulation systems and the evaluation of payback period for building applications, Solar Energy 81 (2007), pp. 1058– 1071. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2007.04.004.
- [16] N.D. Kaushika and K. Sumathy, Solar transparent insulation materials: A review, Renew. Sustain Energy Rev. 7 (2003), pp. 317–351. doi:10.1016/S1364-0321(03)00067-4.
- [17] R.T. Bynum, Insulation Handbook, 1st ed., Mcgraw-Hill, New York, NY, 2001.
- [18] S. Roberts, Altering existing buildings in the UK, Energy Policy 36 (2008), pp. 4482–4486. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2008.09.023.
- [19] S. Roberts, *Effects of climate change on the built environment*, Energy Policy 36 (2008), pp. 4552–4557. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2008.09.012.
- [20] R. Baetens, B. Petter-Jelle, and A. Gustavsend, Aerogel insulation for building applications: A state-of-the-art review, Energy Build. 43 (2011), pp. 761–769. doi:10.1016/j. enbuild.2010.12.012.
- [21] A.B.S. Bahaj, P.A.B. James, and M.F. Jentsch, *Potential of emerging glazing technologies for highly glazed buildings in hot arid climates*, Energy Build. 40 (2008), pp. 720–731. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2007.05.006.

- [22] J.M. Schultz and K.I. Jensen, *Evacuated aerogel glazings*, Vacuum 82 (2008), pp. 723–729. doi:10.1016/j.vacuum.2007.10.019.
- [23] H. Yokogawa, Handbook of sol-gel science & technology, in Volume 2, Chapter 13 Thermal Conductivity of Silica Aerogels, S. Sakka, ed., Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, NY, 2005.
- [24] M. Ashby, P. Ferreira, and D. Schodek, Nanomaterials, Nanotechnologies and Design An Introduction for Engineers and Architects, 1st ed., Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 2009.
- [25] A. Dorcheh and M.H. Abbasi, Silica aerogel; Synthesis, properties and characterization, J. Mater. Process. Technol. 199 (2008), pp. 10–26. doi:10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2007.10.060.
- [26] I. Smirnova, Synthesis of Silica Aerogels and Their Application as a Drug Delivery System, Approved Dissertation for the Doctorate of Engineering, Faculty III - Process Sciences, Technical University of Berlin, Berlin, 2002.
- [27] Q. Zhu, Y. Li, and Z. Qui, Research progress on aerogels as transparent insulation materials, Int. Conf. Power Eng., October 23–27, Hangzhou, China, 1 (2007), pp. 1117–1121.
- [28] J. Hartmann, M. Rubin, and D. Arasteh 1987. *Thermal and solar optical properties of silica aerogel for use in insulated windows*, Proceedings of the 12th annual passive solar conference, June 12–16, Portland, OR.
- [29] W.J. Platzer, Solar transmission of transparent insulation material, Solar Energy Mater. 16 (1987), pp. 275–287. doi:10.1016/0165-1633(87)90027-X.
- [30] J. Fricke and T. Tillotson, Aerogels: Production, characterization, and applications, Thin Solid Films 297 (1997), pp. 212–223. doi:10.1016/S0040-6090(96)09441-2.
- [31] MMG, White Paper Silica Aerogels, Microstructured Materials Group, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 2004. Available at http://www.sps.aero/key_comspace_articles/tsa-009 white paper silica aerogels.pdf.
- [32] J. Fricke, R. Caps, D. Büttner, U. Heinemann, E. Hümmer, and A. Kadur, *Thermal loss coefficients of monolithic and granular aerogel systems*, Solar Energy Mater. 16 (1987), pp. 267–274. doi:10.1016/0165-1633(87)90026-8.
- [33] J. Fricke, Aerogels, Sci. Am. 258 (1988), pp. 92–97. doi:10.1038/scientificamerican0588-92.
- [34] J. Fricke, Aerogels and their applications, J. Non. Cryst. Solids 147–148 (1992), pp. 356–362. doi:10.1016/S0022-3093(05)80644-1.
- [35] R. Caps and J. Fricke, Fibrous insulations with transparent cover for passive use of solar energy, Int. J. Thermophys. 10 (1989), pp. 493–504. doi:10.1007/BF01133545.
- [36] BERR, Energy Trends December 2007, Department for Business Enterprise & Regulatory Reform, 2007. Available at http://www.berr.gov.uk/files/file43304.pdf.
- [37] Hunter Douglas, Warm Light Wall System Chosen for Zero Energy Home, Office News Wire, 2007. Available at http://www.officenewswire.com/4746.
- [38] W. Colson 2007. US Patent 8082916, Solar heating blocks, filed 5 April 2007.
- [39] J.A. Duffie and W.A. Beckman, Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes, 3rd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 2006.
- [40] J. Utley and L.D. Shorrock, *Domestic Energy Fact-File 2008*, Building Research Establishment, BRE Press, Watford, 2008. Available at http://www.bre.co.uk/filelibrary/pdf/ rpts/fact_file_2008.pdf.
- [41] L. Shorrock, J. Henderson, and J. Utley, *Reducing carbon emissions from the UK housing stock*, 2005. Available at http://projects.bre.co.uk/pdf_files/br480reducingcarbonemissionsfro-mukhousing.pdf.
- [42] RHI, Tariff Level Tables, Renewable Heat Incentive, 2011. Available at http://www.rhincentive.co.uk/.
- [43] M. Dowson, Novel Retrofit Technologies Incorporating Silica Aerogel for Lower Energy Buildings, Engineering Doctorate Thesis, Brunel University, 2012. Available at http://bura. brunel.ac.uk/handle/2438/7075.
- [44] M. Dowson, A. Poole, D. Harrison, and G. Susman, *Domestic UK retrofit challenge: Barriers, incentives and current performance leading into the Green Deal*, Energy Policy 50 (2012), pp. 294–30. doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2012.07.019.
- [45] M. Dowson, D. Harrison, S. Craig, and Z. Gill, *Improving the thermal performance of single-glazed windows using translucent granular aerogel*, Int. J. Sustain. Eng. 4 (2011), pp. 266–280. doi:10.1080/19397038.2011.558931.
- [46] M. Dowson, M. Grogan, T. Birks, D. Harrison, and S. Craig, *Streamlined life cycle assessment of transparent silica aerogel made by supercritical drying*, Appl. Energy 97 (2011), pp. 396–404. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.11.047.