#### PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# Tunable coupling of two mechanical resonators by a graphene membrane

To cite this article: G J Verbiest et al 2021 2D Mater. 8 035039

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

### You may also like

- <u>Analysis of coupled micro rings resonators</u> and coupled Fabry-Pérot resonators with a single physical view Haim Abitan and Preben Buchhave

- <u>Spatio-temporal chaos</u> V M Gundlach and D A Rand

- <u>Micro-Racetrack Notch Filters Based on</u> InGaAsP/InP High Mesa Optical Waveguides

Woo-Seok Choi, Weifeng Zhao, Jeong-Woon Bae et al.

## **2D** Materials

#### PAPER

CrossMark

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

RECEIVED 6 March 2021

REVISED

29 April 2021

12 May 2021

PUBLISHED 26 May 2021

Original Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Tunable coupling of two mechanical resonators by a graphene membrane

G J Verbiest<sup>1,3,\*</sup>, M Goldsche<sup>1,2</sup>, J Sonntag<sup>1,2</sup>, T Khodkov<sup>1,2</sup>, N von den Driesch<sup>2</sup>, D Buca<sup>2</sup>

and C Stampfer<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> JARA-FIT and 2nd Institute of Physics, RWTH Aachen University, 52074 Aachen, Germany, EU

<sup>2</sup> Peter Grünberg Institute (PGI-8/9), Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany, EU

- <sup>3</sup> Current address: Department of Precision and Microsystems Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 2, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands, EU
- \* Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: G.J. Verbiest@tudelft.nl

Keywords: graphene, resonators, tunable coupling, NEMS, MEMS

Supplementary material for this article is available online

#### Abstract

Coupled nanomechanical resonators are interesting for both fundamental studies and practical applications as they offer rich and tunable oscillation dynamics. At present, the mechanical coupling in such systems is often mediated by a fixed geometry, such as a joint clamping point of the resonators or a displacement-dependent force. Here we show a graphene-integrated electromechanical system consisting of two physically separated mechanical resonators—a hybrid graphene comb-drive actuator system and a suspended silicon beam—that are tunably coupled by the integrated graphene membrane. The graphene membrane, moreover, provides a sensitive electrical read-out for the two resonating systems showing 16 different modes in the frequency range from 0.4 to 24 MHz. In addition, by pulling on the graphene membrane with an electrostatic potential applied to silicon beam resonator, we control the mechanical coupling, quantified by the *g*-factor, from 20 kHz to 100 kHz. Our results pave the way for coupled nanoelectromechanical systems requiring controllable mechanically coupled resonators.

#### 1. Introduction

Resonating silicon-based micro- and nanoelectromechanical systems can operate over a wide range of frequencies, varying from the kHz to the GHz regime, very much depending on the applications. This includes high-quality-factor band pass filters [1–3], signal amplifiers [4, 5], high-precision sensors (incl. biosensors) [6], or even logic gates [7]. Moreover, mechanically coupled resonators have attracted increasing attention thanks to their interesting dynamics [8–11], improved performance and advanced tunability compared to single resonators [12]. The mechanical coupling between different resonators can be well-designed [13] and can be used e.g. as low-noise signal amplifier [14]. Yet, up to now, the coupling is mediated by a fixed geometric contact or clamping between the mechanical resonators or a position-dependent force, which limits the

control over the coupling [8, 9, 15, 16]. The implementation of an integrated and independent control of the mechanical coupling is still a major technological challenge. This is mainly a consequence of the missing frequency tunability of the individual mechanical resonators and their weak vibration coupling [8].

Graphene-integrated nano-electromechanical systems are promising candidates for overcoming these limitations of silicon-based micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS). Graphene, an atomically thin crystal of carbon atoms, features a high mechanical strength [17, 18], an unprecedented high carrier mobility [19], and an highly sensitive electrical read-out scheme for its mechanical motion [20–22]. Moreover, the low mass density and the high Young's modulus [17, 23] make graphene interesting for resonator based sensor applications [24], for example as force [25–27], ultrasound [28–31]



**Figure 1.** (a) Top view scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a comb-drive (CD) actuator device. The suspended part of the CD actuator is held by four springs (blue arrows). (b) Illustration of an actuator device with integrated graphene (blue) clamped by cross-linked PMMA (yellow) and electrical contacts. The potential  $V_a$  generates an electrostatic force  $F_a$  in the direction of the white arrow. The potential  $V_g$  tunes the charge carrier density in the suspended graphene membrane and  $V_b$  is the applied bias, which results in a down-mixing current  $I_{\Delta\omega}$  (see text). (c) False-color SEM image (taken under an angle) of a different device with an integrated clamped graphene membrane (light blue). The close-up the corresponding area marked by the dashed box in panel a. (d) Schematic cross-sectional view of the device, highlighting the graphene membrane the suspended silicon beam (SB) and the CD actuator. (e) A simplified electrical circuit diagram of the nanoelectromechanical device. (f)  $I_{\Delta\omega}$  as a function of  $\omega/2\pi$  showing sixteen mechanical resonances. This data was recorded at a temperature of ~2.3 K and at a low <sup>4</sup>He pressure (1 mbar). (g) Close-ups of the data in panel (f) around the main resonances: I (1.48 MHz), II (5.14 MHz) and III (11.44 MHz). The yellow dashed lines are fits to the data.

or pressure sensors [32]. There is also a growing interest to implement graphene as a mechanical element in silicon MEMS devices such as accelerometers [33, 34], since their high flexibility allows for a considerable down-scaling of the device footprint while maintaining high sensitivity. These prototype demonstrations show that graphene is an interesting candidate for the integration in MEMS as a motion sensor. Despite its high flexibility and the large tuning range of stiffness, the implementation of graphene as a tunable spring and mechanical coupler in silicon based MEMS devices has up to now not been demonstrated.

Here we show that a suspended graphene membrane can be used to uniquely couple two physically separated mechanical resonators; a hybrid graphene comb-drive actuator system and a suspended silicon beam. Crucially, the graphene membrane simultaneously provides a unique electrical read-out scheme for the motion of both of these resonators. Without the graphene membrane, we would not be able to measure the motion of the hybrid-MEMS device. The mechanical coupling between the resonators, mediated by the graphene membrane, can be tuned over a wide range by an electrostatic potential, realizing a controlled, substantially enhanced coupling, when compared to systems without integrated membranes [8].

#### 2. Results and discussion

The device was fabricated by an electron-beam lithography (EBL) based structuring of a Cr/Au/Cr hard mask on a silicon-on-insulator substrate consisting of 725  $\mu$ m silicon, 1  $\mu$ m SiO<sub>2</sub> and 2  $\mu$ m highly pdoped silicon followed by a deep reactive ion etching (DRIE) step, as described in detail in [35, 36] and in the supplementary note 1 (available online at stacks.iop.org/2DM/8/035039/mmedia). The silicon beam (SB), which also operates as a bottom electrostatic gate for tuning the graphene membrane, was fabricated by interrupting the DRIE step after etching 275 nm deep followed by the deposition of an additional Cr mask before etching completely through the highly p-doped silicon layer. After removal of the Cr layer, a graphene/PMMA stack is transferred on the patterned comb-drive (CD) actuator. Confocal Raman spectroscopy confirms the single-layer nature of the graphene flake (supplementary figure 1). By an additional EBL step we partly cross-link the PMMA to clamp the graphene membrane [37] onto the actuator on one side and to a fixed anchor on the other side (figures 1(a)-(d)). Finally, the actuator with the integrated graphene membrane is released from the substrate by removing the SiO<sub>2</sub> layer with 10% hydrofluoric (HF) acid solution followed by a critical point drying (CPD) step. In the measurements presented here, the suspended graphene membrane has a length of  $L \approx 2 \ \mu m$  and a width of  $W \approx 3 \ \mu m$ (supplementary figure 1). During sample fabrication, no measures were taken to eliminate buckling or ripples, nor did we take any special measures to prevent the unlikely but possible sagging of the membrane during cooling (see supplementary note 1). All measurements were performed on the graphene-CD device shown in supplementary

figure 1 in a <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>4</sup>He dilution refrigerator with a base temperature around 20 mK, unless otherwise stated.

Figures 1(b) and (e) depicts the electrical scheme of the measured device. A potential difference  $V_a$ between the asymmetrically placed fingers of the CD actuator gives rise to an electrostatic force,  $F_a = \frac{1}{2}\partial_x C_a V_a^2$  that pulls the suspended comb in the *x*-direction (see figure 1(d)) and white arrow in figure 1(b). Here, the capacitance  $C_a$  and  $\partial_x C_a$  are given by the zeroth and the first order term in the displacement  $\delta x$  of the actuator in a series expansion of the parallel plate approximation for the capacitance between its fingers [35].

To measure the resonance frequencies of the device, we employ an amplitude-modulated downmixing scheme [38]. In this scheme, an AC potential  $V_{g}^{\rm ac}$  applied on the suspended SB at frequency  $\omega/2\pi$ supplies an external drive force acting on the suspended graphene membrane. Experimental details on the used equipment, applied potentials and frequencies is provided in supplementary figure 2. The mechanical displacement of the graphene  $\delta z$  perpendicular to the membrane plane (z-direction, see figure 1(d) at frequency  $\omega/2\pi$  modulates the capacitance  $C_{g}$ . Note that other (in-plane) displacement directions do not modulate the conductance G and thus do not contribute to the signal [20]. Both the varying potential  $V_{g}^{ac}$  on the SB and  $\delta z$  cause a modulation of the conductance G of the suspended graphene membrane at frequency  $\omega/2\pi$ . As the resonance frequency of suspended graphene membranes is usually in the MHz range [39-41], a drain-source bias  $V_b$  is applied at a slightly different frequency  $(\omega \pm \Delta \omega)/2\pi$ , with  $\Delta \omega$  in the low kHz regime. This results in a total current through the graphene membrane  $I = V_b G$  containing a component  $I_{\Delta\omega}$  passing the graphene membrane at a low, measurable frequency  $\Delta \omega / 2\pi$  [38]:

$$I_{\Delta\omega} = \frac{1}{2} V_{\rm b} \frac{\partial G}{\partial V_{\rm g}} \left( V_{\rm g}^{\rm ac} + V_{\rm g} \frac{\partial_z C_{\rm g}}{C_{\rm g}} \delta z \right), \qquad (1)$$

where  $V_g$  is the applied DC potential on the SB acting as gate, and  $\partial G/\partial V_g$  is the transconductance. The measured conductance and transconduction as function of  $V_g$  is shown in supplementary figure 3. The capacitance  $C_g = 0.19$  fF and  $\partial_z C_g = -0.70$  nF m<sup>-1</sup> are given by the zeroth and the first order term in  $\delta z$ of an analytical series expansion of the parallel plate approximation for the capacitance between the suspended SB and the graphene membrane.

To extract the resonance frequencies of the device, we measure  $I_{\Delta\omega}$  as a function of  $\omega/2\pi$ . The mechanical resonances are observed as dips and peaks in  $I_{\Delta\omega}$ (see figure 1(f)). We observe in total sixteen resonances in the range from 0.4 to 24 MHz. In this work, we focus on the three resonances (labelled as I, II, and III) with the largest amplitude. Corresponding close-ups are shown in figure 1(g). We fit the resonances with a nonzero-phase Lorentzian [38] to extract the resonance frequency  $\omega_0/2\pi$ , the quality factor *Q*, and the effective drive amplitude *A*.

To understand the physical origin of the different resonances, we extract the effective masses and spring constants of the resonances from the tuning of the resonance frequencies with applied electrostatic potentials. Here, we slowly sweep the DC voltages up to a value  $+V_g, V_a$ , then sweep to  $-V_g, V_a$  and then back to 0 V, similarly as in [35]. As we did not see any hysteresis in the measurement presented here, we conclude that slipping at side walls and or clamping points does not play any role. Figure 2(a) shows the measured down-mixed current as a function of  $V_{\rm g}$ for  $V_a = 0$  V. From such data, we extract the dependencies of the resonance frequencies on the applied potentials. Figures 2(b)-(d) show the dependencies of resonances I, II, and III on  $V_{g}$  (blue) and  $V_{a}$  (red). The dependencies are slightly asymmetric in  $V_{g}$  and  $V_{\rm a}$  due to residual charges on the graphene and the CD actuator. Resonances I and III tune towards lower frequencies for increasing  $|V_g|$ , whereas resonance II tunes towards lower frequencies for increasing  $|V_a|$ . The tuning towards lower frequencies for increasing  $|V_g|$  or  $|V_a|$  suggests a dominating capacitive softening effect [42–45]. Therefore, we fit the tuning of  $\omega_0$ with [38]

$$\omega_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{k_{0} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{x(z)}^{2}C_{a(g)}V_{a(g)}^{2}}{m_{\text{eff}}}},$$
 (2)

where  $k_0$  is the effective spring constant,  $m_{\rm eff}$  is the effective mass,  $\partial_r^2 C_a = 97 \text{ mF m}^{-2}$  characterizes the capacitive softening of the actuator capacitance, and  $\partial_z^2 C_g = 5.1 \text{ mF m}^{-2}$  characterizes the capacitive softening of the graphene-SB capacitance  $C_{\rm g}$  (see figure 1(e)). Here,  $\partial_{x(z)}^2 C_{a(g)}$  denotes the second order term in  $\delta x(z)$  of an analytical series expansion of the parallel plate approximation for  $C_{a(g)}$ . The fit results for the resonances I, II, and III are depicted by black lines in the lower panels of figures 2(b)-(d). The fit parameters are summarized in supplementary table 1. Resonances I and II have an effective mass  $m_{\rm eff}$  of 1.75  $\pm$  0.02 ng and 0.56  $\pm$  0.01 ng, respectively, and that of resonance III is  $0.065 \pm 0.001$  ng. We confirmed the extracted values for  $m_{\rm eff}$  (and  $k_0$ ) with a second independent measurement, in which we extracted the effective drive amplitude A as a function of the driving force [38, 46]  $F_d = \partial_z C_g V_g V_g^{ac}$  by varying  $V_{g}^{ac}$  for a fixed  $V_{g}$  and  $V_{a}$  (supplementary figure 4). In this measurement, the measured transconductance  $\partial G/\partial V_g$  (supplementary figure 3), in combination with the applied potentials and the estimated capacitances allows us to quantitatively extract the physical vibration amplitudes A contained in  $\delta z$ . The effective masses for our device are at least three orders of magnitude larger than the ones typically observed for graphene resonators [38], indicating



**Figure 2.** (a) Down-mixing current  $I_{\Delta\omega}$  as a function of  $\omega/2\pi$  and  $V_g$  (raw data) the dashed line highlights the resonance frequency. ((b)–(d) bottom) Frequency dependence of the resonances I, II, and III on the applied potentials  $V_g$  (blue) and  $V_a$  (red). All  $V_g$ -dependent measurements were recorded with  $V_a = 0$  V. The  $V_a$ -dependent measurements in (b)–(d) were recorded with  $V_g = -10, 2, \text{ and } -8$  V, respectively. The black lines are quadratic fits in accordance to the applied electrostatic force (see text). ((b)–(d) top) Schematic illustrations of the mechanical system. The black arrows indicate the main vibrating component of the corresponding resonance. The red and blue arrow indicate the direction of the force induced by  $V_g^2$  and  $V_a^2$ , respectively.

that the graphene mass is irrelevant for the total device.

The effective masses of resonances I and II are comparable to the estimated mass of the CD actuator when taking a density of 2329 kg m<sup>13</sup> for the highly p-doped silicon leading to  $m_{\rm CD} = 1.79$  ng, in good agreement with the effective mass extracted from resonance I. The effective mass extracted from resonance II is roughly one-third of  $m_{\rm CD}$ , which can be explained by the effective mass reduction for a doubly clamped beam [47] in its fundamental mode and thus highlights the importance of the mode shape. The effective mass  $m_{\rm eff}$  extracted from resonance III is approximately equal to the estimated mass of the suspended silicon beam,  $m_{\rm SB} = 0.048$  ng. This suggests that the observed resonances can be attributed to resonances of the actuator and of the silicon beam, and not to the mechanical motion of the graphene membrane.

To verify the origin of the resonances and to clarify the mode shapes, we performed finite element calculations [48]. It is important to include the suspended graphene membrane in the simulations for two reasons: (a) we can only measure modes with an oscillation of the graphene membrane in the z-direction (see equation (1)) and (b) the spring constant  $\sim 6.7 \text{ N m}^{-1}$  of the silicon actuator in the x-direction is much smaller than the expected spring constant  $k_{
m gr} \sim Y_{
m 2D} W/L =$ 510 N m<sup>-1</sup> of the graphene membrane. Here we used the literature value  $Y_{2D} = 340 \text{ N m}^{-1}$  for the two-dimensional Young's modulus of graphene that is expected at cryogenic temperatures [17, 49, 50]. The highly p-doped silicon has a Young's modulus of  $\approx 160$  GPa [51, 52]. We find excellent agreement between all the measured and computed resonance frequencies: the ratio between them is on average  $1.04 \pm 0.06$  (see supplementary table 2). The top panels in figures 2(b)-(d) schematically illustrate the main vibrating components for resonances I, II, and

III. We find that resonances I and II correspond to an out-of-plane and in-plane motion of the CD actuator. Resonance III is an out-of-plane mode of the silicon beam, which is supported by the absence of any tunability with  $V_a$ . Details of all computed frequencies and mode shapes are provided in supplementary table 2 (and supplementary figure 5). The computed mode shapes are consistent with the observed capacitive softening. In total we directly detect sixteen mechanical resonances of the CD actuator and one of the suspended silicon beam. As the observed frequencytuning is in agreement with capacitive softening, we can use the extracted effective spring constants to determine the static displacements  $\delta x$  and  $\delta z$ . We compute these displacements by dividing the electrostatic forces  $F_a = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x C_a V_a^2$  and  $F_g = \frac{1}{2} \partial_z C_g V_g^2$  by the spring constant of the lowest in-plane and out-ofplane mode, respectively (supplementary figure 6). The in-plane displacement  $\delta x$  goes up to 3 nm and is in agreement with the related strain values extracted by Raman spectroscopy measurements on similar devices [35].

To understand the increase of resonance I with applied  $|V_a|$  in figure 2(b), we now focus on the graphene membrane. As illustrated in the top panel of figure 2(b), resonance I is dominated by a spring along the z-direction, i.e. by an out-of-plane motion of the CD. Both the actuator and the graphene contribute to this spring. The contribution of the graphene membrane is highly sensitive to the induced strain  $\Delta \epsilon$ , and thus to a  $\delta x$  displacement, as it changes the pretension in the membrane [53, 54]. The total spring constant, the in-plane displacement of the actuator as well as the dimensions of the graphene membrane are known. Hence, the only free parameter is the Young's modulus of the graphene membrane (see section 3). Requiring the same in-plane actuator displacement for tuning resonance I as for resonance II, we obtain an effective Young's modulus of  $Y_{2D}$  =  $350 \pm 20 \text{ N m}^{-1}$  (see section 3 and supplementary



**Figure 3.** (a), (b) Raw data of the down-mixing current  $I_{\Delta\omega}$  as a function of  $\omega/2\pi$  and  $V_a$  for different fixed  $V_g$  (black labels) reveal avoided crossings. Different measurements are stitched together at the dotted lines. (c) The colored lines indicate the extracted resonance frequencies for different fixed  $V_g$  (black labels). The dashed black line traces the dependence of the avoided crossings on  $V_a$ . (d) Schematic illustration highlighting the two interacting modes.



figure 6). The extracted Young's modulus agrees well with values reported in the literature [17, 49] and supports the used value in the finite element calculations above. Remarkably, the extracted Young's modulus indicates that buckling, ripples and possible sagging of the graphene membrane due to the negative thermal expansion coefficient when cooling down have no effect in the presented measurements at 20 mK. This also agrees with work reported in the literature [39, 50]. The type of analysis here thus gives us a complete understanding of the mechanical behavior of the system.

Let us next focus on the resonance III attributed to the suspended silicon beam. When measuring this resonance as a function of  $V_g$  and  $V_a$ , we observe the emergence of avoided crossings, which is a clear signature for two strongly coupled modes. Figures 3(a) and (b) show the down-mixing current as function of  $V_a$  for various  $V_g$  values (separated by dashed lines). We fit these measurements to extract the resonance frequency as function of  $V_a$  for various  $V_g$  values, which are plotted in figure 3(c). Remarkably, we only observe both interacting modes at the avoided crossings. This suggests that the graphene membrane has no measurable motion in the z-direction for the mode with which the silicon beam is interacting. However, we can reconstruct the dependence of the mode with which the silicon beam is interacting by tracing the position of the avoided crossing as a function of  $V_a$ , as indicated by the black dashed parabola in figure 3(c) (for more data see supplementary figure 7). The computed resonance frequency spectrum of the CD actuator shows a twist mode close to the one of the suspended SB with negligible net graphene motion (displacement) in *z*-direction. We thus attribute the avoided crossing to a strong coupling between the silicon beam and the twist mode of the CD actuator (see illustration in figure 3(d).

We extract the coupling strength between the modes, i.e. the so-called g-factor [11],  $g = \omega_{sep}/2\pi$  from each individual avoided crossing by taking the minimal distance between the two modes along the frequency axis (see close-ups in figures 4(a) and (b). The linewidth  $\Gamma = \omega_0/2\pi Q$  was computed from the extracted resonance frequencies and Q-factors obtained by fitting two non-zero phase Lorentzians to the data. Figure 4(c) shows that the strong coupling ( $g > \Gamma$ ) between the modes is tunable with applied  $|V_g|$ , i.e. by the electrostatic force between the silicon beam and the graphene membrane [11]. Figure 5(a) shows  $\Gamma$  as function of  $V_a$  highlighting



**Figure 5.** (a) Linewidths  $\Gamma = \omega_0/Q$  of the interacting modes at  $V_g = -14$  V. The linewidths of the two modes (see insets and supplementary figure 5) become equal near the avoided crossing. (b) The tunable electrostatic softening spring  $k_g$  as a function of  $V_g$ . (c) Schematic illustration showing how  $k_g$ connects the graphene membrane (coupled to the MEMS actuator) to the suspended silicon beam.

that both modes fully hybridize with equal energy transfer between them [12], which is another sign of strong coupling. The linewidth comparison was performed on the data at  $V_{\rm g} = -14$  V, which show the twist mode nearly over the full V<sub>a</sub>-range. The observed g-factor is in agreement with the coupling expected from the electrostatic softening between the silicon beam and the graphene membrane, as shown in figure 5(b). Both the motion of (a) the silicon beam and (b) the graphene membrane alter their separation, resulting not only in a shift of the resonance frequency, i.e. the well-known electrostatic softening [38], but also in a change of the coupling between the two resonators. Following equation (2), this coupling can be characterized by an effective spring constant  $k_{\rm g} \approx \frac{1}{2} (\partial^2 C_{\rm g} / \partial z^2) V_{\rm g}^2$  (figures 5(b) and (c)). Please note that for a non-vanishing effective spring constant  $(k_g)$  it is crucial that the graphene flake has been placed asymmetrically with respect to the twist axis (see supplementary figure 1 and figure 1(c)). The g-factor can be estimated by g = $\sqrt{k_{\rm g}/m_{\rm eff}/2\pi}$ . Here, the effective mass  $m_{\rm eff}$  is the one of the hybridized modes. As the mass of the suspended silicon beam is much smaller than that of the twist mode, we set  $m_{\rm eff}$  equal to the mass  $m_{\rm CD}$  of the actuator. When using the estimated mass of the actuator ( $m_{\rm CD} = 1.79$  ng) for  $m_{\rm eff}$  as well as the value for  $(\partial^2 C_g/\partial z^2) = 5.1 \text{ mF m}^{-2}$  given above, we find a remarkably good agreement with the experimentally extracted g-factor and the computed one (see dashed line in figure 4(c)). Thus, the suspended graphene

membrane allows for a strong coupling between two physically separated resonators, and even allows for the tuning of this coupling by an applied voltage.

In summary, by taking advantage of the high sensitivity of graphene resonators, we implement and quantitatively validate a new coupling scheme for nano-electromechanical systems. The coupling strength can be tuned from 20 kHz to 100 kHz and theoretically be completely switched off by an electrostatic potential. We thus realise a maximal coupling of almost 1000 times larger than in systems without any integrated graphene membranes [8] and approximately equal to that obtained for spatially separated graphene resonators [9]. The resonators themselves are not affected by the light-weighted graphene membrane. This coupling scheme is on-chip, poses no restrictions on the choice of material for the connected masses. It is possibly scalable by means of integrated graphene obtained via chemical vapour deposition, and can be possibly extended using other conducting two-dimensional materials instead. The presented technique provides a platform to study the route to chaos in nonlinear dynamics by systematically measuring the orbit diagram [55]. Additionally, the presented scheme enables one to switch on and off the mechanical coupling, giving rise to readout schemes for quantum states at a well defined time instant with minimal back-action effects at other times.

#### 3. Materials and methods

#### 3.1. Young's modulus extraction

The effective spring constant  $k_{\rm eff}$  is given by the one of the actuator in parallel to the out-of-plane stiffness of the graphene membrane. The out-of-plane stiffness of the graphene membrane depends on the prestrain  $\epsilon_0$  [38]. For a fixed  $V_{\rm g}$ , we find a direct relation between the strain induced by the actuator  $\Delta \epsilon$  and the change of the out-of-plane spring constant  $\Delta k$  of the graphene membrane:

$$\Delta k = \frac{16Y_{2D}W}{3L}\Delta\epsilon.$$
(3)

Here, W(L) is the width (length) of the suspended graphene membrane. Note that we neglect the increase in strain by pulling upon the graphene with  $V_g$  for two reasons: Firstly,  $V_g$  is constant, and secondly, the in-plane spring constant of the CD actuator (~6.7 N m<sup>-1</sup>) is much smaller than the expected in-plane spring constant of the graphene membrance (~540 N m<sup>-1</sup>), thereby minimising the strain induced with  $V_g$ . We extract  $\Delta k$  and  $\Delta \epsilon$  experimentally and determine W and L from optical and scanning electron microscope images, which leaves  $Y_{2D}$  as the only free parameter. We extract  $\delta x$  from the inplane modes of the CD actuator. The induced strain is then simply  $\Delta \epsilon = \delta x/L$ . We then determine  $\Delta k$  from the observed increase in resonance frequency of the out-of-plane mode:

$$\Delta k = k_{\rm eff} \left( \frac{\omega_0 (V_{\rm a})^2}{\omega_0 (V_{\rm a} = 0 \, {\rm V})^2} - 1 \right). \tag{4}$$

In supplementary figure 6, we plot  $3L\Delta k/16W$  as a function of  $\Delta \epsilon$ , such that the slope is directly providing  $Y_{2D}$ .

#### Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the authors.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank F Haupt for help on the manuscript, R Dolleman and M Siskins for proof-reading, and S Staacks for help on the figures. Support by the ERC (GA-Nr. 280140), the Helmholtz Nanoelectronic Facility (HNF) [56] at the Forschungszentrum Jülich, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (STA 1146/12-1) are gratefully acknowledged. G V acknowledges funding by the Excellence Initiative of the German federal and state governments.

#### **Conflict of interests**

The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

#### Contributions

G V and M G executed the experiments. G V designed the experiments and analysed the data. M G, J S, and T K fabricated the devices. Nvd D and D B provided support in device fabrication. C S supervised the overall project. All authors contributed to writing and reviewing the paper.

#### **ORCID** iDs

G J Verbiest () https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1712-1234

J Sonntag <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6066-0436 N von den Driesch <sup>®</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0169-6110

D Buca (a) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3692-5596 C Stampfer (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4958-7362

#### References

- Yang L-J, Huang T-W and Chang P-Z 2001 CMOS microelectromechanical bandpass filters Sens. Actuators A 90 148–52
- [2] Gouttenoire V, Barois T, Perisanu S, Leclercq J-L, Purcell S, Vincent P and Ayari A 2010 Digital and FM demodulation of

a doubly clamped single-walled carbon-nanotube oscillator: towards a nanotube cell phone *Small* **6** 1060–5

- [3] Piekarski B, DeVoe D, Dubey M, Kaul R and Conrad J 2001 Surface micromachined piezoelectric resonant beam filters Sens. Actuators A 91 313–20
- [4] Mathew J, Patel R, Borah A, Vijay R and Deshmukh M 2016 Dynamical strong coupling and parametric amplification of mechanical modes of graphene drums *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 11 747–51
- [5] Karabalin R, Lifshitz R, Cross M, Matheny M, Masmanidis S and Roukes M 2011 Signal amplification by sensitive control of bifurcation topology *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** 094102
- [6] Bogue R 2013 Recent developments in MEMS sensors: a review of applications, markets and technologies *Sens. Rev.* 33 300–4
- [7] Tsai C-Y, Kuo W-T, Lin C-B and Chen T-L 2008 Design and fabrication of MEMS logic gates *J. Micromech. Microeng.* 18 045001
- [8] Okamoto H, Gourgout A, Chang C-Y, Onomitsu K, Mahboob I, Chang E and Yamaguchi H 2013 Coherent phonon manipulation in coupled mechanical resonators *Nat. Phys.* 9 1745–2481 (www-nature-com.tudelft.idm.oclc.org/ articles/nphys2665#change-history)
- [9] Luo G, Zhang Z-Z, Deng G-W, Li H-O, Cao G, Xiao M, Guo G-C, Tian L and Guo G-P 2018 Strong indirect coupling between graphene-based mechanical resonators via a phonon cavity *Nat. Commun.* 9 1–4 (www-naturecom.tudelft.idm.oclc.org/articles/s41467-018-02854-4)
- [10] Deng G-W et al 2016 Strongly coupled nanotube electromechanical resonators Nano Lett. 16 5456–62
- [11] Verbiest G, Xu D, Goldsche M, Khodkov T, Barzanjeh S, von den Driesch N, Buca D and Stampfer C 2016 Tunable mechanical coupling between driven microelectromechanical resonators *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 109 143507
- [12] Teufel J, Li D, Allman M, Cicak K, Sirois A, Whittaker J and Simmonds R 2011 Circuit cavity electromechanics in the strong-coupling regime *Nature* 471 204
- [13] Singh R, Nicholl R, Bolotin K and Ghosh S 2018 Motion transduction with thermo-mechanically squeezed graphene resonator modes *Nano Lett.* 18 6719–24
- [14] Singh R, Sarkar A, Guriaand C, Nicholl R, Chakraborty S, Bolotin K, Ghosh S 2019 Giant tunable mechanical nonlinearity in graphene-silicon nitride hybrid resonator (arxiv:1904.01613)
- [15] Tsioutsios I, Moser J, Plaza J A and Bachtold A 2013 Controlled assembly of graphene sheets and nanotubes: fabrication of suspended multi-element all-carbon vibrational structures J. Appl. Phys. 114 104310
- [16] Weber P, Güttinger J, Tsioutsios I, Chang D E and Bachtold A 2014 Coupling graphene mechanical resonators to superconducting microwave cavities *Nano Lett.* 14 2854–60
- [17] Lee C, Wei X, Kysar J and Hone J 2008 Measurement of the elastic properties and intrinsic strength of monolayer graphene Science 321 385–8
- [18] Tomori H, Kanda A, Goto H, Ootuka Y, Tsukagoshi K, Moriyama S, Watanabe E and Tsuya D 2011 Introducing nonuniform strain to graphene using dielectric nanopillars *Appl. Phys. Express* 4 075102
- [19] Mayorov A *et al* 2011 Micrometer-scale ballistic transport in encapsulated graphene at room temperature *Nano Lett.* 11 2396
- [20] Chen C, Lee S, Deshpande V V, Lee G-H, Lekas M, Shepard K and Hone J 2013 Graphene mechanical oscillators with tunable frequency *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 8 923–7
- [21] Katsnelson M 2007 Graphene: carbon in two dimensions Mater. Today 10 20–7
- [22] de Juan F, Mañes J and Vozmediano M 2013 Gauge fields from strain in graphene Phys. Rev. B 87 165131
- [23] Novoselov K, Geim A, Morozov S, Jiang D, Zhang Y, Dubonos S, Grigorieva I and Firsov A 2004 Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films *Science* 306 666–9

- [24] Lemme M et al 2020 Nanoelectromechanical sensors based on suspended 2D materials Research 2020 8748602
- [25] Mashoff T, Pratzer M, Geringer V, Echtermeyer T, Lemme M, Liebmann M and Morgenstern M 2010 Bistability and oscillatory motion of natural nanomembranes appearing within monolayer graphene on silicon dioxide *Nano Lett.* **10** 461–5
- [26] Chen C and Hone J 2013 Graphene nanoelectromechanical systems Proc. IEEE 101 1766–79
- [27] Chaste J, Eichler A, Moser J, Ceballos G, Rurali R and Bachtold A 2012 A nanomechanical mass sensor with yoctogram resolution *Nat. Nanotech.* 7 301–4
- [28] Verbiest G, Kirchhof J, Goldsche M, Khodkov T, Sonntag J and Stampfer C 2018 Detecting ultrasound vibrations by graphene resonators *Nano Lett.* 29 375301 (https://pubs-acsorg.tudelft.idm.oclc.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ acs.nanolett.8b02036)
- [29] Laitinen A, Kaikkonen J-P, Abhilash T, Todoshchenko I, Manninen J, Zavyalov V, Savin A, Isacsson A and Hakonen P 2019 A graphene resonator as an ultrasound detector for generalized Love waves in a polymer film with two level states J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 52 24LT02
- [30] Todorović D, Matković A, Milićević M, Jovanović D, Gajić R, Salom I and Spasenović M 2015 Multilayer graphene condenser microphone 2D Mater. 2 045013
- [31] Xu Y, Chen C, Deshpande V, DiRenno F, Gondarenko A, Heinz D, Liu S, Kim P and Hone J 2010 Radio frequency electrical transduction of graphene mechanical resonators *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 97 243111
- [32] Dolleman R J, Davidovikj D, Cartamil-Bueno S J, van der Zant H S J and Steeneken P G 2016 Graphene squeeze-film pressure sensors *Nano Lett.* 16 568–71 (PMID: 26695136)
- [33] Hurst A, Lee S, Cha W and Hone J 2015 2015 20th IEEE Int. Conf. on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS) (A graphene accelerometer) pp 865–8
- [34] Fan X et al 2019 Graphene ribbons with suspended masses as transducers in ultra-small nanoelectromechanical accelerometers Nat. Electron. 2 394–404
- [35] Goldsche M et al 2018 Tailoring mechanically tunable strain fields in graphene Nano Lett. 18 1707–13
- [36] Goldsche M, Verbiest G, Khodkov T, Sonntag J, von den Driesch N, Buca D and Stampfer C 2018 Fabrication of comb-drive actuators for straining nanostructured suspended graphene *Nanotechnology* 29 375301
- [37] Lee S *et al* 2013 Electrically integrated SU-8 clamped graphene drum resonators for strain engineering *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102** 153101
- [38] Chen C, Rosenblatt S, Bolotin K I, Kalb W, Kim P, Kymissis I, Stormer H L, Heinz T F and Hone J 2009 Performance of monolayer graphene nanomechanical resonators with electrical readout *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 4 861–7
- [39] Storch I R, De Alba R, Adiga V P, Abhilash T S, Barton R A, Craighead H G, Parpia J M and McEuen P L 2018 Young's modulus and thermal expansion of tensioned graphene membranes *Phys. Rev.* B 98 085408

- [40] Barton R A, Ilic B, van der Zande A M, Whitney W S, McEuen P L, Parpia J M and Craighead H G 2011 High, size-dependent quality factor in an array of graphene mechanical resonators *Nano Lett.* 11 1232–6
- [41] Zande A M v d *et al* 2010 Large-scale arrays of single-layer graphene resonators *Nano Lett.* 10 4869–73
- [42] Wu C and Zhong Z 2011 Capacitive spring softening in single-walled carbon nanotube nanoelectromechanical resonators *Nano Lett.* 11 1448–51
- [43] Eichler A, Moser J, Chaste J, Zdrojek M, Wilson-Rae I and Bachtold A 2011 Nonlinear damping in mechanical resonators made from carbon nanotubes and graphene *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 6 339–42
- [44] Song X, Oksanen M, Sillanpää M, Craighead H, Parpia J and Hakonen P 2011 Stamp transferred suspended graphene mechanical resonators for radio frequency electrical readout *Nano Lett.* 12 198–202
- [45] Kozinsky I, Postma H, Bargatin I and Roukes M 2006 Tuning nonlinearity, dynamic range and frequency of nanomechanical resonators *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 88 253101
- [46] Sazonova V, Yaish Y, Ustünel H, Roundy D, Arias T and McEuen P 2004 A tunable carbon nanotube electromechanical oscillator *Nature* 431 284–7
- [47] Hauer B, Doolin C, Beach K and Davis J 2013 A general procedure for thermomechanical calibration of nano/micro-mechanical resonators *Ann. Phys.*, NY 339 181–207
- [48] COMSOL Multiphysics® v. 5.3. (available at: www.comsol.com/) (COMSOL AB, Stockholm, Sweden).
   [40] Lin K and Wa 1901 (Mathematical States)
- [49] Liu K and Wu J 2016 Mechanical properties of two-dimensional materials and heterostructures J. Mater. Sci. 31 832–44
- [50] Nicholl R, Conley H, Lavrik N, Vlassiouk I, Puzyrev Y, Sreenivas V, Pantelides S and Bolotin K 2015 The effect of intrinsic crumpling on the mechanics of free-standing graphene Nat. Commun. 6 8789
- [51] Hopcroft M, Nix W and Kenny T 2010 What is the Young's modulus of silicon? J. Microelectromech. Syst. 19 229–38
- [52] Li X, Ono T, Wang Y and Esashi M 2003 Ultrathin single-crystalline-silicon cantilever resonators: fabrication technology and significant specimen size effect on Young's modulus Appl. Phys. Lett. 83 3081–3
- [53] Lau C, Bao W and Velasco J J 2012 Properties of suspended graphene membranes *Mater. Today* 15 238–45
- [54] López-Polín G, Jaafar M, Guinea F, Roldán R, Gómez-Navarro C and Gómez-Herrero J 2017 The influence of strain on the elastic constants of graphene *Carbon* 124 42–8
- [55] Strogatz S 2001 Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos: With Applications to Physics, Biology, Chemistry and Engineering (Studies in Nonlinearity) (Westview Press) (https:// www.bol.com/nl/f/nonlinear-dynamics-and-chaos/ 30472642/)
- [56] Albrecht W, Moers J and Hermanns B 2017 HNF-helmholtz nano facility *J. Large-Scale Res. Facil.* **3** A112