

Tuples, Projections and Cartesian Products

Andrzej Trybulec¹
Warsaw University
Białystok

Summary. The purpose of this article is to define projections of ordered pairs, and to introduce triples and quadruples, and their projections. The theorems in this paper may be roughly divided into two groups: theorems describing basic properties of introduced concepts and theorems related to the regularity, analogous to those proved for ordered pairs by Cz. Byliński [1]. Cartesian products of subsets are redefined as subsets of Cartesian products.

The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following papers: [3], [4], and [2]. For simplicity we adopt the following convention: $v, x, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, z$ denote objects of the type Any; $X, X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, Y, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5, Z$ denote objects of the type set. One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) $X \neq \emptyset$ **implies** $\text{ex } Y \text{ st } Y \in X \ \& \ Y \text{ misses } X$,
- (2) $X \neq \emptyset$ **implies** $\text{ex } Y \text{ st } Y \in X \ \& \ \text{for } Y_1 \text{ st } Y_1 \in Y \ \text{holds } Y_1 \text{ misses } X$,
- (3) $X \neq \emptyset$ **implies**
 $\text{ex } Y \text{ st } Y \in X \ \& \ \text{for } Y_1, Y_2 \text{ st } Y_1 \in Y_2 \ \& \ Y_2 \in Y \ \text{holds } Y_1 \text{ misses } X$,
- (4) $X \neq \emptyset$ **implies** $\text{ex } Y \text{ st } Y \in X$
 $\ \& \ \text{for } Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \text{ st } Y_1 \in Y_2 \ \& \ Y_2 \in Y_3 \ \& \ Y_3 \in Y \ \text{holds } Y_1 \text{ misses } X$,
- (5) $X \neq \emptyset$ **implies** $\text{ex } Y \text{ st } Y \in X \ \& \ \text{for } Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4$
 $\ \text{st } Y_1 \in Y_2 \ \& \ Y_2 \in Y_3 \ \& \ Y_3 \in Y_4 \ \& \ Y_4 \in Y \ \text{holds } Y_1 \text{ misses } X$,
- (6) $X \neq \emptyset$ **implies** $\text{ex } Y \text{ st } Y \in X \ \& \ \text{for } Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4, Y_5 \text{ st}$
 $\ Y_1 \in Y_2 \ \& \ Y_2 \in Y_3 \ \& \ Y_3 \in Y_4 \ \& \ Y_4 \in Y_5 \ \& \ Y_5 \in Y \ \text{holds } Y_1 \text{ misses } X$.

¹Supported by RBPB.III-24.C1.

We now define two new functors. Let us consider x . Assume there exist x_1, x_2 , of the type Any such that

$$x = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle.$$

The functor

$$x_1,$$

is defined by

$$x = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \text{ implies it} = y_1.$$

The functor

$$x_2,$$

is defined by

$$x = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle \text{ implies it} = y_2.$$

We now state a number of propositions:

$$(7) \quad \langle x, y \rangle_1 = x \ \& \ \langle x, y \rangle_2 = y,$$

$$(8) \quad (\text{ex } x, y \text{ st } z = \langle x, y \rangle) \text{ implies } \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle = z,$$

$$(9) \quad X \neq \emptyset \text{ implies ex } v \text{ st } v \in X \ \& \ \text{not ex } x, y \text{ st } (x \in X \ \text{or } y \in X) \ \& \ v = \langle x, y \rangle,$$

$$(10) \quad z \in [X, Y] \text{ implies } z_1 \in X \ \& \ z_2 \in Y,$$

$$(11) \quad (\text{ex } x, y \text{ st } z = \langle x, y \rangle) \ \& \ z_1 \in X \ \& \ z_2 \in Y \text{ implies } z \in [X, Y],$$

$$(12) \quad z \in [\{x\}, Y] \text{ implies } z_1 = x \ \& \ z_2 \in Y,$$

$$(13) \quad z \in [X, \{y\}] \text{ implies } z_1 \in X \ \& \ z_2 = y,$$

$$(14) \quad z \in [\{x\}, \{y\}] \text{ implies } z_1 = x \ \& \ z_2 = y,$$

$$(15) \quad z \in [\{x_1, x_2\}, Y] \text{ implies } (z_1 = x_1 \ \text{or } z_1 = x_2) \ \& \ z_2 \in Y,$$

$$(16) \quad z \in [X, \{y_1, y_2\}] \text{ implies } z_1 \in X \ \& \ (z_2 = y_1 \ \text{or } z_2 = y_2),$$

$$(17) \quad z \in [\{x_1, x_2\}, \{y\}] \text{ implies } (z_1 = x_1 \ \text{or } z_1 = x_2) \ \& \ z_2 = y,$$

$$(18) \quad z \in [\{x\}, \{y_1, y_2\}] \text{ implies } z_1 = x \ \& \ (z_2 = y_1 \ \text{or } z_2 = y_2),$$

$$(19) \quad z \in [\{x_1, x_2\}, \{y_1, y_2\}]$$

$$\text{implies } (z_1 = x_1 \ \text{or } z_1 = x_2) \ \& \ (z_2 = y_1 \ \text{or } z_2 = y_2),$$

$$(20) \quad (\text{ex } y, z \text{ st } x = \langle y, z \rangle) \text{ implies } x \neq x_1 \ \& \ x \neq x_2.$$

In the sequel xx will have the type **Element of X** ; yy will have the type **Element of Y** . One can prove the following propositions:

$$(21) \quad X \neq \emptyset \ \& \ Y \neq \emptyset \ \mathbf{implies} \ \langle xx, yy \rangle \in [X, Y],$$

$$(22) \quad X \neq \emptyset \ \& \ Y \neq \emptyset \ \mathbf{implies} \ \langle xx, yy \rangle \ \mathbf{is \ Element \ of} \ [X, Y],$$

$$(23) \quad x \in [X, Y] \ \mathbf{implies} \ x = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle,$$

$$(24) \quad X \neq \emptyset \ \& \ Y \neq \emptyset \ \mathbf{implies \ for} \ x \ \mathbf{being \ Element \ of} \ [X, Y] \ \mathbf{holds} \ x = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle,$$

$$(25) \quad [\{x_1, x_2\}, \{y_1, y_2\}] = \{ \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_1, y_2 \rangle, \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle \},$$

$$(26) \quad X \neq \emptyset \ \& \ Y \neq \emptyset \\ \mathbf{implies \ for} \ x \ \mathbf{being \ Element \ of} \ [X, Y] \ \mathbf{holds} \ x \neq x_1 \ \& \ x \neq x_2.$$

Let us consider x_1, x_2, x_3 . The functor

$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle,$$

is defined by

$$\mathbf{it} = \langle \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, x_3 \rangle.$$

One can prove the following three propositions:

$$(27) \quad \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle = \langle \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, x_3 \rangle,$$

$$(28) \quad \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle \ \mathbf{implies} \ x_1 = y_1 \ \& \ x_2 = y_2 \ \& \ x_3 = y_3,$$

$$(29) \quad X \neq \emptyset \\ \mathbf{implies \ ex \ v \ st} \ v \in X \ \& \ \mathbf{not \ ex} \ x, y, z \ \mathbf{st} \ (x \in X \ \mathbf{or} \ y \in X) \ \& \ v = \langle x, y, z \rangle.$$

Let us consider x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 . The functor

$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle,$$

is defined by

$$\mathbf{it} = \langle \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, x_4 \rangle.$$

The following propositions are true:

$$(30) \quad \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle = \langle \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, x_4 \rangle,$$

$$(31) \quad \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle = \langle \langle \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, x_3 \rangle, x_4 \rangle,$$

$$(32) \quad \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle = \langle \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, x_3, x_4 \rangle,$$

$$(33) \quad \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \rangle \\ \mathbf{implies} \ x_1 = y_1 \ \& \ x_2 = y_2 \ \& \ x_3 = y_3 \ \& \ x_4 = y_4,$$

$$(34) \quad X \neq \emptyset \text{ implies ex } v \\ \text{st } v \in X \ \& \ \text{not ex } x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \text{ st } (x_1 \in X \ \text{or } x_2 \in X) \ \& \ v = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle,$$

$$(35) \quad X_1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_3 \neq \emptyset \ \text{iff } [X_1, X_2, X_3] \neq \emptyset.$$

In the sequel $xx1$ has the type Element of X_1 ; $xx2$ has the type Element of X_2 ; $xx3$ has the type Element of X_3 . One can prove the following propositions:

$$(36) \quad X_1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_3 \neq \emptyset \ \text{implies} \\ ([X_1, X_2, X_3] = [Y_1, Y_2, Y_3] \ \text{implies } X_1 = Y_1 \ \& \ X_2 = Y_2 \ \& \ X_3 = Y_3),$$

$$(37) \quad [X_1, X_2, X_3] \neq \emptyset \ \& \ [X_1, X_2, X_3] = [Y_1, Y_2, Y_3] \\ \text{implies } X_1 = Y_1 \ \& \ X_2 = Y_2 \ \& \ X_3 = Y_3,$$

$$(38) \quad [X, X, X] = [Y, Y, Y] \ \text{implies } X = Y,$$

$$(39) \quad [\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \{x_3\}] = \{\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle\},$$

$$(40) \quad [\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2\}, \{x_3\}] = \{\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle y_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle\},$$

$$(41) \quad [\{x_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \{x_3\}] = \{\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle x_1, y_2, x_3 \rangle\},$$

$$(42) \quad [\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \{x_3, y_3\}] = \{\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle x_1, x_2, y_3 \rangle\},$$

$$(43) \quad [\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \{x_3\}] = \{\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle y_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle x_1, y_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle y_1, y_2, x_3 \rangle\},$$

$$(44) \quad [\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2\}, \{x_3, y_3\}] = \{\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle y_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle x_1, x_2, y_3 \rangle, \langle y_1, x_2, y_3 \rangle\},$$

$$(45) \quad [\{x_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \{x_3, y_3\}] = \{\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle x_1, y_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle x_1, x_2, y_3 \rangle, \langle x_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle\},$$

$$(46) \quad [\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x_2, y_2\}, \{x_3, y_3\}] = \{\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, \\ \langle x_1, y_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle x_1, x_2, y_3 \rangle, \langle x_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle, \langle y_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle y_1, y_2, x_3 \rangle, \langle y_1, x_2, y_3 \rangle, \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle\}.$$

We now define three new functors. Let us consider X_1, X_2, X_3 . Assume that the following holds

$$X_1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_3 \neq \emptyset.$$

Let x have the type Element of $[X_1, X_2, X_3]$. The functor

$$x_1,$$

with values of the type Element of X_1 , is defined by

$$x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \ \text{implies it} = x_1.$$

The functor

$$x_2,$$

yields the type Element of X_2 and is defined by

$$x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \text{ implies it} = x_2.$$

The functor

$$x_3,$$

with values of the type Element of X_3 , is defined by

$$x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \text{ implies it} = x_3.$$

One can prove the following propositions:

$$(47) \quad X_1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_3 \neq \emptyset \text{ implies for } x \text{ being Element of } [X_1, X_2, X_3] \\ \text{for } x_1, x_2, x_3 \text{ st } x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \text{ holds } x_1 = x_1 \ \& \ x_2 = x_2 \ \& \ x_3 = x_3,$$

$$(48) \quad X_1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_3 \neq \emptyset \\ \text{implies for } x \text{ being Element of } [X_1, X_2, X_3] \text{ holds } x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle,$$

$$(49) \quad X \subseteq [X, Y, Z] \ \text{or} \ X \subseteq [Y, Z, X] \ \text{or} \ X \subseteq [Z, X, Y] \text{ implies } X = \emptyset,$$

$$(50) \quad X_1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_3 \neq \emptyset \text{ implies for } x \text{ being Element of } [X_1, X_2, X_3] \\ \text{holds } x_1 = (x \text{ qua Any})_{11} \ \& \ x_2 = (x \text{ qua Any})_{12} \ \& \ x_3 = (x \text{ qua Any})_{2},$$

$$(51) \quad X_1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_3 \neq \emptyset \text{ implies} \\ \text{for } x \text{ being Element of } [X_1, X_2, X_3] \text{ holds } x \neq x_1 \ \& \ x \neq x_2 \ \& \ x \neq x_3,$$

$$(52) \quad [X_1, X_2, X_3] \text{ meets } [Y_1, Y_2, Y_3] \\ \text{implies } X_1 \text{ meets } Y_1 \ \& \ X_2 \text{ meets } Y_2 \ \& \ X_3 \text{ meets } Y_3,$$

$$(53) \quad [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4] = [:[X_1, X_2], X_3], X_4],$$

$$(54) \quad [:[X_1, X_2], X_3, X_4] = [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4],$$

$$(55) \quad X_1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_4 \neq \emptyset \text{ iff } [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4] \neq \emptyset,$$

$$(56) \quad X_1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_4 \neq \emptyset \text{ implies} \\ ([X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4] = [Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4] \\ \text{implies } X_1 = Y_1 \ \& \ X_2 = Y_2 \ \& \ X_3 = Y_3 \ \& \ X_4 = Y_4),$$

$$(57) \quad [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4] \neq \emptyset \ \& \ [X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4] = [Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4] \\ \text{implies } X_1 = Y_1 \ \& \ X_2 = Y_2 \ \& \ X_3 = Y_3 \ \& \ X_4 = Y_4,$$

$$(58) \quad [X, X, X, X] = [Y, Y, Y, Y] \text{ implies } X = Y.$$

In the sequel xx_4 will have the type Element of X_4 . We now define four new functors. Let us consider X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4 . Assume that the following holds

$$X_1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X_4 \neq \emptyset.$$

Let x have the type **Element of** $\{X1, X2, X3, X4\}$. The functor

$$x_1,$$

yields the type **Element of** $X1$ and is defined by

$$x = \langle x1, x2, x3, x4 \rangle \text{ implies it} = x1.$$

The functor

$$x_2,$$

with values of the type **Element of** $X2$, is defined by

$$x = \langle x1, x2, x3, x4 \rangle \text{ implies it} = x2.$$

The functor

$$x_3,$$

yields the type **Element of** $X3$ and is defined by

$$x = \langle x1, x2, x3, x4 \rangle \text{ implies it} = x3.$$

The functor

$$x_4,$$

with values of the type **Element of** $X4$, is defined by

$$x = \langle x1, x2, x3, x4 \rangle \text{ implies it} = x4.$$

Next we state several propositions:

- (59) $X1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X4 \neq \emptyset$ **implies**
for x being Element of $\{X1, X2, X3, X4\}$ **for** $x1, x2, x3, x4$
st $x = \langle x1, x2, x3, x4 \rangle$ **holds** $x_1 = x1 \ \& \ x_2 = x2 \ \& \ x_3 = x3 \ \& \ x_4 = x4$,
- (60) $X1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X4 \neq \emptyset$
implies for x being Element of $\{X1, X2, X3, X4\}$ **holds** $x = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$,
- (61) $X1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X4 \neq \emptyset$ **implies**
for x being Element of $\{X1, X2, X3, X4\}$ **holds** $x_1 = (x \text{ qua Any})_{111}$
 $\ \& \ x_2 = (x \text{ qua Any})_{112} \ \& \ x_3 = (x \text{ qua Any})_{12} \ \& \ x_4 = (x \text{ qua Any})_2$,
- (62) $X1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X4 \neq \emptyset$ **implies**
for x being Element of $\{X1, X2, X3, X4\}$
holds $x \neq x_1 \ \& \ x \neq x_2 \ \& \ x \neq x_3 \ \& \ x \neq x_4$,
- (63) $X1 \subseteq \{X1, X2, X3, X4\}$ **or**
 $X1 \subseteq \{X2, X3, X4, X1\}$ **or** $X1 \subseteq \{X3, X4, X1, X2\}$ **or** $X1 \subseteq \{X4, X1, X2, X3\}$
implies $X1 = \emptyset$,

- (64) $[X1, X2, X3, X4]$ meets $[Y1, Y2, Y3, Y4]$
implies $X1$ meets $Y1$ & $X2$ meets $Y2$ & $X3$ meets $Y3$ & $X4$ meets $Y4$,
- (65) $\{\{x1\}, \{x2\}, \{x3\}, \{x4\}\} = \{\langle x1, x2, x3, x4 \rangle\}$,
- (66) $[X, Y] \neq \emptyset$ **implies for x being Element of $[X, Y]$ holds $x \neq x_1$ & $x \neq x_2$,**
- (67) $x \in [X, Y]$ **implies $x \neq x_1$ & $x \neq x_2$.**

For simplicity we adopt the following convention: $A1$ will denote an object of the type Subset of $X1$; $A2$ will denote an object of the type Subset of $X2$; $A3$ will denote an object of the type Subset of $X3$; $A4$ will denote an object of the type Subset of $X4$; x will denote an object of the type Element of $[X1, X2, X3]$. We now state a number of propositions:

- (68) $X1 \neq \emptyset$ & $X2 \neq \emptyset$ & $X3 \neq \emptyset$ **implies**
for $x1, x2, x3$ st $x = \langle x1, x2, x3 \rangle$ holds $x_1 = x1$ & $x_2 = x2$ & $x_3 = x3$,
- (69) $X1 \neq \emptyset$ &
 $X2 \neq \emptyset$ & $X3 \neq \emptyset$ & **(for $xx1, xx2, xx3$ st $x = \langle xx1, xx2, xx3 \rangle$ holds $y1 = xx1$)**
implies $y1 = x_1$,
- (70) $X1 \neq \emptyset$ &
 $X2 \neq \emptyset$ & $X3 \neq \emptyset$ & **(for $xx1, xx2, xx3$ st $x = \langle xx1, xx2, xx3 \rangle$ holds $y2 = xx2$)**
implies $y2 = x_2$,
- (71) $X1 \neq \emptyset$ &
 $X2 \neq \emptyset$ & $X3 \neq \emptyset$ & **(for $xx1, xx2, xx3$ st $x = \langle xx1, xx2, xx3 \rangle$ holds $y3 = xx3$)**
implies $y3 = x_3$,
- (72) $z \in [X1, X2, X3]$
implies ex $x1, x2, x3$ st $x1 \in X1$ & $x2 \in X2$ & $x3 \in X3$ & $z = \langle x1, x2, x3 \rangle$,
- (73) $\langle x1, x2, x3 \rangle \in [X1, X2, X3]$ **iff $x1 \in X1$ & $x2 \in X2$ & $x3 \in X3$,**
- (74) **(for z holds**
 $z \in Z$ **iff ex $x1, x2, x3$ st $x1 \in X1$ & $x2 \in X2$ & $x3 \in X3$ & $z = \langle x1, x2, x3 \rangle$)**
implies $Z = [X1, X2, X3]$,
- (75) $X1 \neq \emptyset$ & $X2 \neq \emptyset$ & $X3 \neq \emptyset$ & $Y1 \neq \emptyset$ & $Y2 \neq \emptyset$ & $Y3 \neq \emptyset$ **implies**
for x being Element of $[X1, X2, X3]$, y being Element of $[Y1, Y2, Y3]$
holds $x = y$ implies $x_1 = y_1$ & $x_2 = y_2$ & $x_3 = y_3$,

$$(76) \quad \text{for } x \text{ being Element of } [X1, X2, X3] \\ \text{st } x \in [A1, A2, A3] \text{ holds } x_1 \in A1 \ \& \ x_2 \in A2 \ \& \ x_3 \in A3,$$

$$(77) \quad X1 \subseteq Y1 \ \& \ X2 \subseteq Y2 \ \& \ X3 \subseteq Y3 \text{ implies } [X1, X2, X3] \subseteq [Y1, Y2, Y3].$$

In the sequel x has the type Element of $[X1, X2, X3, X4]$. We now state a number of propositions:

$$(78) \quad X1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X4 \neq \emptyset \text{ implies for } x1, x2, x3, x4 \\ \text{st } x = \langle x1, x2, x3, x4 \rangle \text{ holds } x_1 = x1 \ \& \ x_2 = x2 \ \& \ x_3 = x3 \ \& \ x_4 = x4,$$

$$(79) \quad X1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \\ X4 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ (\text{for } xx1, xx2, xx3, xx4 \text{ st } x = \langle xx1, xx2, xx3, xx4 \rangle \text{ holds } y1 = xx1) \\ \text{implies } y1 = x_1,$$

$$(80) \quad X1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \\ X4 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ (\text{for } xx1, xx2, xx3, xx4 \text{ st } x = \langle xx1, xx2, xx3, xx4 \rangle \text{ holds } y2 = xx2) \\ \text{implies } y2 = x_2,$$

$$(81) \quad X1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \\ X4 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ (\text{for } xx1, xx2, xx3, xx4 \text{ st } x = \langle xx1, xx2, xx3, xx4 \rangle \text{ holds } y3 = xx3) \\ \text{implies } y3 = x_3,$$

$$(82) \quad X1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \\ X4 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ (\text{for } xx1, xx2, xx3, xx4 \text{ st } x = \langle xx1, xx2, xx3, xx4 \rangle \text{ holds } y4 = xx4) \\ \text{implies } y4 = x_4,$$

$$(83) \quad z \in [X1, X2, X3, X4] \text{ implies ex } x1, x2, x3, x4 \\ \text{st } x1 \in X1 \ \& \ x2 \in X2 \ \& \ x3 \in X3 \ \& \ x4 \in X4 \ \& \ z = \langle x1, x2, x3, x4 \rangle,$$

$$(84) \quad \langle x1, x2, x3, x4 \rangle \in [X1, X2, X3, X4] \\ \text{iff } x1 \in X1 \ \& \ x2 \in X2 \ \& \ x3 \in X3 \ \& \ x4 \in X4,$$

$$(85) \quad (\text{for } z \text{ holds } z \in Z \text{ iff ex } x1, x2, x3, x4 \\ \text{st } x1 \in X1 \ \& \ x2 \in X2 \ \& \ x3 \in X3 \ \& \ x4 \in X4 \ \& \ z = \langle x1, x2, x3, x4 \rangle) \\ \text{implies } Z = [X1, X2, X3, X4],$$

$$(86) \quad X1 \neq \emptyset \\ \ \& \ X2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ X4 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ Y1 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ Y2 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ Y3 \neq \emptyset \ \& \ Y4 \neq \emptyset \\ \text{implies} \\ \text{for } x \text{ being Element of } [X1, X2, X3, X4], y \text{ being Element of } [Y1, Y2, Y3, Y4] \\ \text{holds } x = y \text{ implies } x_1 = y_1 \ \& \ x_2 = y_2 \ \& \ x_3 = y_3 \ \& \ x_4 = y_4,$$

(87) **for** x **being** Element **of** $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$
st $x \in \{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ **holds** $x_1 \in A_1 \ \& \ x_2 \in A_2 \ \& \ x_3 \in A_3 \ \& \ x_4 \in A_4$,

(88) $X_1 \subseteq Y_1 \ \& \ X_2 \subseteq Y_2 \ \& \ X_3 \subseteq Y_3 \ \& \ X_4 \subseteq Y_4$
implies $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\} \subseteq \{Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4\}$.

Let us consider X_1, X_2, A_1, A_2 . Let us note that it makes sense to consider the following functor on a restricted area. Then

$\{A_1, A_2\}$ is Subset **of** $\{X_1, X_2\}$.

Let us consider $X_1, X_2, X_3, A_1, A_2, A_3$. Let us note that it makes sense to consider the following functor on a restricted area. Then

$\{A_1, A_2, A_3\}$ is Subset **of** $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$.

Let us consider $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$. Let us note that it makes sense to consider the following functor on a restricted area. Then

$\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ is Subset **of** $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$.

References

- [1] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.
- [2] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.
- [3] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.
- [4] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Świączkowska. Boolean properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1990.

Received March 30, 1989
