



Turán Type Inequalities for Generalized Inverse Trigonometric Functions

Árpád Baricz^{a,b}, Barkat Ali Bhayo^c, Matti Vuorinen^d

^aDepartment of Economics, Babeş-Bolyai University, 400591 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

^bInstitute of Applied Mathematics, John von Neumann Faculty of Informatics, Óbuda University, 1034 Budapest, Hungary

^cDepartment of Mathematical Information Technology, University of Jyväskylä, 40014 Jyväskylä, Finland

^dDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Turku, 20014 Turku, Finland

Abstract. In this paper we study the inverse of the eigenfunction \sin_p of the one-dimensional p -Laplace operator and its dependence on the parameter p , and we present a Turán type inequality for this function. Similar inequalities are given also for other generalized inverse trigonometric and hyperbolic functions. In particular, we deduce a Turán type inequality for a series considered by Ramanujan, involving the digamma function.

1. Introduction

P. Lindqvist [25] studied the eigenfunction \sin_p in connection with unidimensional nonlinear Dirichlet eigenvalue problem for p -Laplacian. This function has become a standard tool in the analysis of more complicated equations with various applications e.g. see [7, 8, 14, 17, 18, 20, 26].

Motivated by the work of Lindqvist, several authors have studies on the equalities and inequalities of the generalized trigonometric functions e.g. see [10, 11, 16, 21, 22, 31] and their bibliography. Motivated by the many results on these generalized trigonometric functions, in this paper we make a contribution to the subject by showing some convexity properties [2, 5] and Turán type inequalities for the inverse generalized trigonometric functions. These kind of inequalities are named after the Hungarian mathematician Paul Turán who proved a similar inequality for Legendre polynomials. For more details on Turán type inequalities we refer to the papers on hypergeometric functions [3, 4, 6, 23] and to the references therein. We deduce also a Turán type inequality for a series involving the digamma function, which was considered by Ramanujan [9]. The monotonicity of the function $\pi_{p,q}$ is given in [21], here we prove that the function $\pi_{p,q}$ is strictly geometrically convex and log-convex. We note that this study gives us new bounds for elementary functions in terms of generalized trigonometric and hyperbolic functions. We also mention that the results of this paper complements the known Turán type inequalities for Gaussian hypergeometric functions, see for example [4, 23].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33C99; Secondary 33B99.

Keywords. Eigenfunctions of p -Laplacian; generalized trigonometric function; log-convexity; log-concavity; Turán-type inequalities; completely monotone functions; Bernstein functions.

Received: 2 October 2014; Accepted: 16 January 2015

Communicated by Miodrag Mateljević

The research of Árpád Baricz was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by a research grant of the Babeş-Bolyai University for young researchers, project number GTC-34021.

Email addresses: bariczocsi@yahoo.com (Árpád Baricz), bhayo.barkat@gmail.com (Barkat Ali Bhayo), vuorinen@utu.fi (Matti Vuorinen)

For the formulation of our main results we give first the following definitions of some classical functions, such as *gamma function* Γ , the *psi function* ψ and the *beta function* $B(\cdot, \cdot)$. For $x > 0, y > 0$, these functions are defined by

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt, \quad \psi(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}, \quad B(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}.$$

For the given complex numbers a, b and c with $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, the *Gaussian hypergeometric function* is the analytic continuation to the slit plane $\mathbf{C} \setminus [1, \infty)$ of the series

$$F(a, b; c; z) = {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a, n)(b, n)}{(c, n)} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Here $(a, 0) = 1$ for $a \neq 0$, and (a, n) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the *shifted factorial* or the *Appell symbol*

$$(a, n) = a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots (a+n-1).$$

For $x \in (0, 1)$ and $p > 0$ the generalized inverse trigonometric functions are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \arcsin_p(x) &= \int_0^x (1-t^p)^{-1/p} dt = xF\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}; 1 + \frac{1}{p}; x^p\right), \\ \arctan_p(x) &= \int_0^x (1+t^p)^{-1} dt = xF\left(1, \frac{1}{p}; 1 + \frac{1}{p}; -x^p\right), \\ \operatorname{arcsinh}_p(x) &= \int_0^x (1+t^p)^{-1/p} dt = xF\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}; 1 + \frac{1}{p}; -x^p\right), \\ \operatorname{arctanh}_p(x) &= \int_0^x (1-t^p)^{-1} dt = xF\left(1, \frac{1}{p}; 1 + \frac{1}{p}; x^p\right), \end{aligned}$$

and $\operatorname{arccos}_p(x) = \arcsin_p((1-x^p)^{1/p})$. We note that the eigenvalue problem [19], $1 < p < \infty$

$$-\Delta_p u = -(|u'|^{p-2}u')' = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u, \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0,$$

has eigenvalues $\lambda_n = (p-1)(n\pi_p)^p$, and eigenfunctions $t \mapsto \sin_p(n\pi_p t)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where \sin_p is the inverse function of \arcsin_p and

$$\pi_p = \frac{2}{p} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{-1/p} s^{1/p-1} ds = \frac{2}{p} B\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{2\pi}{p \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)},$$

see [18]. The other generalized trigonometric and hyperbolic functions $\cos_p : (0, a_p) \rightarrow (0, 1)$, $\tan_p : (0, b_p) \rightarrow (0, 1)$, $\sinh_p : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$, and $\tanh_p : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ are defined as the inverse of the generalized inverse trigonometric and hyperbolic functions arccos_p , arctan_p , $\operatorname{arcsinh}_p$ and $\operatorname{arctanh}_p$, where

$$a_p = \frac{\pi_p}{2}, \quad b_p = \frac{1}{2p} \left(\psi\left(\frac{1+p}{2p}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2p}\right) \right) = 2^{-1/p} F\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}; 1 + \frac{1}{p}; \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

We also consider for $p, q > 0$ and $x \in (0, 1)$ the generalized inverse trigonometric functions

$$\begin{aligned} \arcsin_{p,q}(x) &= \int_0^x (1-t^q)^{-1/p} dt = xF\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}; 1 + \frac{1}{q}; x^q\right), \\ \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q}(x) &= \int_0^x (1+t^q)^{-1/p} dt = xF\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}; 1 + \frac{1}{q}; -x^q\right), \end{aligned}$$

which for $p = q$ reduces to $\arcsin_p(x)$ and $\operatorname{arcsinh}_p(x)$, also we denote $\arcsin_{p,q}(1) = \pi_{p,q}/2$.

Before we present the main results of this paper we recall some definitions, which will be used in the sequel. A function $f: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is said to be logarithmically convex, or simply log-convex, if its natural logarithm $\ln f$ is convex, that is, for all $x, y > 0$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq [f(x)]^\lambda [f(y)]^{1-\lambda}.$$

The function f is log-concave if the above inequality is reversed. By definition, a function $g: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is said to be geometrically (or multiplicatively) convex if it is convex with respect to the geometric mean, that is, if for all $x, y > 0$ and all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ the inequality

$$g(x^\lambda y^{1-\lambda}) \leq [g(x)]^\lambda [g(y)]^{1-\lambda}$$

holds. The function g is called geometrically concave if the above inequality is reversed. Observe that the geometrical convexity of a function g means that the function $\ln g$ is a convex function of $\ln x$ in the usual sense. We also note that the differentiable function f is log-convex (log-concave) if and only if $x \mapsto f'(x)/f(x)$ is increasing (decreasing), while the differentiable function g is geometrically convex (concave) if and only if the function $x \mapsto xg'(x)/g(x)$ is increasing (decreasing), for more details see [5]. Finally, we recall that a function $h: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be completely monotonic if h has derivatives of all orders and satisfies

$$(-1)^m h^{(m)}(x) \geq 0$$

for all $x > 0$ and $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. For properties of completely monotonic functions we refer to the paper [27] and to the references therein.

2. Main results

Our first main result reads as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *For all $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed, the following hold:*

- (1) *The functions $p \mapsto \arcsin_p(x)$ and $p \mapsto \operatorname{arctanh}_p(x)$ are strictly completely monotonic and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, $p \mapsto \arcsin_p(x)$ is strictly geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$.*
- (2) *The function $p \mapsto \operatorname{arctan}_p(x)$ is strictly increasing and concave on $(0, \infty)$.*

In particular, the following Turán type inequalities are valid for all $p > 1$ and $x \in (0, 1)$

$$\arcsin_p^2(x) < \arcsin_{p-1}(x) \arcsin_{p+1}(x),$$

$$\operatorname{arctanh}_p^2(x) < \operatorname{arctanh}_{p-1}(x) \operatorname{arctanh}_{p+1}(x),$$

$$\operatorname{arctan}_p^2(x) > \operatorname{arctan}_{p-1}(x) \operatorname{arctan}_{p+1}(x).$$

The next corollary follows from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *For $x \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$\frac{\arcsin_3^2(x)}{\arcsin_4(x)} < \arcsin(x), \quad \frac{\operatorname{arctanh}_3^2(x)}{\operatorname{arctanh}_4(x)} < \operatorname{arctanh}(x), \quad \frac{\operatorname{arctan}_3^2(x)}{\operatorname{arctan}_4(x)} > \operatorname{arctan}(x),$$

and each inequality is sharp as $x \rightarrow 0$.

The proof of the following result follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 4.1.

Corollary 2.3. For $p > 0, a \geq 1$ and $x \in (0, 1)$, the following inequalities hold

$$\arcsin_p(x) \leq \left(\frac{\arcsin_{2a}(x) \arcsin_p(x)^a}{\arcsin_{ap}(x)} \right)^{1/a} \leq \arcsin(x),$$

$$\operatorname{arctanh}_p(x) \leq \left(\frac{\operatorname{arctanh}_{2a}(x) \operatorname{arctanh}_p(x)^a}{\operatorname{arctanh}_{ap}(x)} \right)^{1/a} \leq \operatorname{arctanh}(x),$$

with equality when $p = 2$ and $a = 1$.

Based on computer experiments we believe that the following results are true.

Conjecture 2.4. For $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed, the function $p \mapsto \operatorname{arcsinh}_p(x)$ is strictly concave on $(0, \infty)$. In particular, the following Turán type inequality is valid for all $p > 1$ and $x \in (0, 1)$

$$\operatorname{arcsinh}_p^2(x) > \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p-1}(x) \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p+1}(x).$$

Conjecture 2.5. The following Turán type inequalities hold for all $p > 1$ and $x \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sin_p^2(x) &> \sin_{p-1}(x) \sin_{p+1}(x), \\ \cos_p^2(x) &> \cos_{p-1}(x) \cos_{p+1}(x), \\ \tan_p^2(x) &< \tan_{p-1}(x) \tan_{p+1}(x), \\ \sinh_p^2(x) &< \sinh_{p-1}(x) \sinh_{p+1}(x), \\ \tanh_p^2(x) &> \tanh_{p-1}(x) \tanh_{p+1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we focus on the $\arcsin_{p,q}$ and $\operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q}$ functions.

Theorem 2.6. For all $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed, the following hold:

- (1) $p \mapsto \arcsin_{p,q}(x)$ is completely monotonic and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $q > 0$.
- (2) $p \mapsto \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q}(x)$ is strictly geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $q > 0$.
- (3) $q \mapsto \arcsin_{p,q}(x)$ is completely monotonic and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $p > 0$.
- (4) $p \mapsto \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q}(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and concave on $(\log \sqrt{2}, \infty)$ for $q > 0$.
- (5) $q \mapsto \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q}(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ for $p > 0$ and strictly concave on $(0, \infty)$ for $p > 1$.

In particular, the following Turán type inequalities are valid for $x \in (0, 1)$

$$\arcsin_{p,q}^2(x) < \arcsin_{p-1,q}(x) \arcsin_{p+1,q}(x), \quad p > 1, q > 0,$$

$$\operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q}^2(x) > \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p-1,q}(x) \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p+1,q}(x), \quad p > \log \sqrt{2} + 1, q > 0.$$

Moreover, for $x \in (0, 1)$ we have the next Turán type inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \arcsin_{p,q}^2(x) &< \arcsin_{p,q-1}(x) \arcsin_{p,q+1}(x), \quad p > 0, q > 1, \\ \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q}^2(x) &> \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q-1}(x) \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q+1}(x), \quad p > 1, q > 1. \end{aligned}$$

The next corollary follows from Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.7. For $x \in (0, 1)$ and $p > 0, p > \log \sqrt{2}$ respectively, we have

$$\frac{\arcsin_{p+1,p}^2(x)}{\arcsin_{p+2,p}(x)} < \arcsin_p(x), \quad \operatorname{arcsinh}_p(x) < \frac{\operatorname{arcsinh}_{p+1,p}^2(x)}{\operatorname{arcsinh}_{p+2,p}(x)},$$

and both of inequalities are sharp as $x \rightarrow 0$.

3. Concluding remarks and further results

A. We would like to mention that it is possible to prove that $p \mapsto \arcsin_p x$ is strictly decreasing and log-convex by using the hypergeometric series representation. Namely, it can be shown that for $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed the function $a \mapsto F(a, a; a + 1; x^{1/a})$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$. For this, first observe that

$$F(a, a; a + 1; x^{1/a}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi_n(a), \text{ where } \varphi_n(a) = \frac{a(a, n)}{a + n} \frac{x^{n/a}}{n!}.$$

Taking the logarithm of $\varphi_n(a)$, we get

$$\log(\varphi_n(a)) = \log(a) + \log(\Gamma(a + n)) - \log(\Gamma(a)) - \log(a + n) - \log(n!) + \frac{n}{a} \log(x).$$

Differentiating $\log(\varphi_n(a))$ with respect to a we get

$$\frac{\varphi'_n(a)}{\varphi_n(a)} = \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a + n} + \psi(a + n) - \psi(a) - \frac{n}{a^2} \log(x),$$

which is clearly strictly positive for all $a > 0$ and $x \in (0, 1)$. Here we used tacitly that the gamma function Γ is log-convex, that is, the digamma function ψ is increasing. This implies that $a \mapsto \varphi_n(a)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ for each $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed. Consequently, for $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed the function $a \mapsto F(a, a; a + 1; x^{1/a})$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, as the infinite series of increasing functions. This in turn implies that indeed $p \mapsto \arcsin_p x$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, since the digamma function is concave, it follows that for all $a > 0$, $x \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ we have

$$\left[\frac{\varphi'_n(a)}{\varphi_n(a)} \right]' = -\frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{1}{(a + n)^2} + \psi'(a + n) - \psi'(a) + \frac{2n}{a^3} \log(x) < 0,$$

which means that $a \mapsto \varphi_n(a)$ is strictly log-concave on $(0, \infty)$ for each $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed. Now, since for $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, $p > 0$ one has

$$(\log \varphi_n(1/p))'' = -\frac{1}{p^2} \cdot \left(\frac{\varphi'_n(1/p)}{\varphi_n(1/p)} \right)' + \frac{2}{p^3} \cdot \frac{\varphi'_n(1/p)}{\varphi_n(1/p)} > 0,$$

we get that $p \mapsto \varphi_n(1/p)$ is strictly log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for each $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed, and hence $p \mapsto \arcsin_p x$ is indeed strictly log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$, as the infinite sum of strictly log-convex functions.

Now, recall that a continuous function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a Bernstein function (see [30]) if $(-1)^k f^{(k)}(x) \leq 0$ for $x > 0$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, that is f' is a completely monotone function. We would like to mention that if $a > 0$, $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $x \in (0, 1)$ are such that $\varphi_n(a) > 1$, then the function $a \mapsto \log(\varphi_n(a))$ is in fact a Bernstein function, that is, $(-1)^k \log(\varphi_n(a))^{(k)} \leq 0$ for $a > 0$, $x \in (0, 1)$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Indeed, for $a > 0$, $x \in (0, 1)$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ we have

$$\log(\varphi_n(a))^{(k)} = (-1)^{k-1} (k - 1)! \left[\frac{1}{a^k} - \frac{1}{(a + n)^k} \right] + \psi^{(k-1)}(a + n) - \psi^{(k-1)}(a) + \frac{(-1)^k k! n}{a^{k+1}} \log(x)$$

and consequently

$$\frac{(-1)^k \log(\varphi_n(a))^{(k)}}{(k - 1)!} = - \left[\frac{1}{a^k} - \frac{1}{(a + n)^k} \right] + \sum_{m \geq 0} \left[\frac{1}{(a + n + m)^k} - \frac{1}{(a + m)^k} \right] + \frac{kn}{a^{k+1}} \log(x) < 0.$$

Here we used tacitly that

$$\psi^{(k-1)}(a + n) - \psi^{(k-1)}(a) = (-1)^k (k - 1)! \sum_{m \geq 0} \left[\frac{1}{(a + n + m)^k} - \frac{1}{(a + m)^k} \right].$$

Finally, we mention that a similar procedure to that mentioned above can be applied to prove that

$$p \mapsto \operatorname{arctanh}_p(x) = xF\left(1, \frac{1}{p}; 1 + \frac{1}{p}; x^p\right) = x \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^{pn}}{pn + 1}$$

is strictly decreasing and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed.

B. In the first main theorem we mentioned that the function $p \mapsto \operatorname{arcsin}_p(x)$ is strictly geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, and in the proof we used Lemma 4.2. We note that the origins of such kind of results goes back to Montel. More precisely, Montel [28] proved the following result: if the function $f : (0, a) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is geometrically convex, then the function $x \mapsto \int_0^x f(t)dt$ is also geometrically convex on $(0, a)$. Moreover, it is known (see [5, 33]) that the above result remains true if we replace the word “convex” with “concave”. Now, consider the functions $f, g, r, s : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, defined by

$$f(t) = (1 - t^p)^{-1/p}, \quad g(t) = (1 + t^p)^{-1}, \quad r(t) = (1 + t^p)^{-1/p}, \quad s(t) = (1 - t^p)^{-1}.$$

Then for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and $p > 0$ we have

$$\left[\frac{ts'(t)}{s(t)} \right]' = p \left[\frac{tf'(t)}{f(t)} \right]' = \frac{p^2 t^{p-1}}{(1 - t^p)^2} > 0$$

and

$$\left[\frac{tg'(t)}{g(t)} \right]' = p \left[\frac{tr'(t)}{r(t)} \right]' = -\frac{p^2 t^{p-1}}{(1 + t^p)^2} < 0.$$

Combining these with the above results it follows that for $p > 0$ the functions $x \mapsto \operatorname{arcsin}_p x$ and $x \mapsto \operatorname{arctanh}_p x$ are strictly geometrically convex on $(0, 1)$, while the functions $x \mapsto \operatorname{arcsinh}_p x$ and $x \mapsto \operatorname{arctan}_p x$ are strictly geometrically concave on $(0, 1)$. These results for $p > 1$ were proved recently in [10] by using a different approach.

C. Observe that

$$a_p = \frac{\pi_p}{2} = \operatorname{arcsin}_p(1) = \int_0^1 (1 - t^p)^{-1/p} dt = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^{-1/p} s^{1/p-1} ds.$$

Now, since by Theorem 2.1 we have that $p \mapsto \operatorname{arcsin}_p(x)$ is strictly geometrically convex and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, by letting x tend to 1 we get that $p \mapsto \pi_p = 2 \operatorname{arcsin}_p(1)$ is strictly geometrically convex and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$. Consequently, for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p, q > 0$ such that $p \neq q$ we have

$$\pi_{p^\alpha q^{1-\alpha}} < \pi_p^\alpha \pi_q^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{\alpha p + (1-\alpha)q} < \pi_p^\alpha \pi_q^{1-\alpha}.$$

The first inequality implies that we have also

$$\pi_{p^\alpha q^{1-\alpha}} < \alpha \pi_p + (1 - \alpha) \pi_q.$$

Observe that for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, p = s - 1$ and $q = s + 1$ the second inequality reduces to the next Turán type inequality for $s > 1$

$$\pi_s^2 < \pi_{s-1} \pi_{s+1}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{s}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{s-1} \sin \frac{\pi}{s+1}} > \frac{s^2}{(s-1)(s+1)}.$$

We also mention that the first inequality of this remark for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and the third inequality of this remark for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ were proved recently by Bhayo and Vuorinen [10].

D. Now, we focus on b_p . Observe that

$$b_p = \arctan_p(1) = \int_0^1 (1+t^p)^{-1} dt.$$

Now, taking into account that according to Theorem 2.1 the function $p \mapsto \arctan_p x$ is strictly concave on $(0, \infty)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, by tending with x to 1 we obtain that $p \mapsto b_p$ is also concave on $(0, \infty)$. In particular we have

$$b_{\alpha p+(1-\alpha)q} > \alpha b_p + (1-\alpha)b_q > (b_p)^\alpha (b_q)^{1-\alpha}$$

for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p, q > 0$ such that $p \neq q$. Choosing $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and $p = s-1, q = s+1$, we obtain for $s > 1$ the next Turán type inequality

$$b_s^2 > b_{s-1} b_{s+1}.$$

We note that the series b_p was considered by Ramanujan [9, p. 184-190] and for $p \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10\}$ its values were computed. For example, we have

$$b_2 = \arctan_2(1) = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad b_3 = \arctan_3(1) = \frac{1}{3} \log 2 + \frac{\pi}{3\sqrt{3}}, \quad b_4 = \arctan_4(1) = \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\log(\sqrt{2}-1)}{2\sqrt{2}},$$

and hence the above Turán type inequality for $s = 3$ becomes

$$0.6983089976\dots = \left(\frac{1}{3} \log 2 + \frac{\pi}{3\sqrt{3}}\right)^2 > \frac{\pi^2}{16\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot \frac{\log(\sqrt{2}-1)}{2\sqrt{2}} = 0.6809189919\dots$$

E. Finally, we consider the expression

$$\frac{\pi_{p,q}}{2} = \arcsin_{p,q}(1) = \int_0^1 (1-t^q)^{-1/p} dt = \frac{2}{q} B\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

Recall that Theorem 2.6 asserts that $p \mapsto \arcsin_{p,q}(x)$ is strictly geometrically convex and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ and $q > 0$. By tending with x to 1 we get that $p \mapsto \pi_{p,q}$ is strictly geometrically convex and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $q > 0$. Consequently, for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $p_1, p_2, q > 0$ such that $p_1 \neq p_2$ we have

$$\pi_{p_1^\alpha p_2^{1-\alpha}, q} < \pi_{p_1, q}^\alpha \pi_{p_2, q}^{1-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{\alpha p_1+(1-\alpha)p_2, q} < \pi_{p_1, q}^\alpha \pi_{p_2, q}^{1-\alpha}.$$

The first inequality implies that we have also

$$\pi_{p_1^\alpha p_2^{1-\alpha}, q} < \alpha \pi_{p_1, q} + (1-\alpha) \pi_{p_2, q}.$$

Observe that for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}, p_1 = s-1$ and $p_2 = s+1$ the second inequality reduces to the next Turán type inequality for $s > 1$ and $q > 0$

$$\pi_{s,q}^2 < \pi_{s-1,q} \pi_{s+1,q}.$$

These results extend the results from remark C. We also mention that by means of Theorem 2.6 the function $q \mapsto \pi_{p,q}$ is strictly log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $p > 0$ and the next Turán type inequality is valid for $s > 1$ and $p > 0$

$$\pi_{p,s}^2 < \pi_{p,s-1} \pi_{p,s+1}.$$

4. Lemmas and proofs of the main results

In this section our aim is to present the proofs of the main results together with the preliminary results which we use in the proofs.

Lemma 4.1. [29, Thm 2.1] *Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a differentiable, log-convex function and let $a \geq 1$. Then $g(x) = (f(x))^a / f(ax)$ decreases on its domain. In particular, if $0 \leq x \leq y$, then the following inequalities*

$$\frac{(f(y))^a}{f(ay)} \leq \frac{(f(x))^a}{f(ax)} \leq (f(0))^{a-1}$$

hold true. If $0 < a \leq 1$, then the function g is an increasing function on $(0, \infty)$ and inequalities are reversed.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $b > a > 0$. If the positive function $v \mapsto K(v, t)$ is (strictly) geometrically convex on $[a, b]$ for $t \in [0, x]$ with $x > 0$, then the function*

$$v \mapsto F_v(x) = \int_0^x K(v, t) dt$$

is also (strictly) geometrically convex on $[a, b]$.

Proof. [Proof of Lemma 4.2] The result follows immediately from the well-known Hölder-Rogers inequality for integrals. Namely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{v^\alpha \mu^{1-\alpha}}(x) &= \int_0^x K(v^\alpha \mu^{1-\alpha}, t) dt \leq \int_0^x K^\alpha(v, t) K^{1-\alpha}(\mu, t) dt \\ &\leq \left[\int_0^x K(v, t) dt \right]^\alpha \left[\int_0^x K(\mu, t) dt \right]^{1-\alpha} = F_v^\alpha(x) F_\mu^{1-\alpha}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $v, \mu \in [a, b]$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. \square

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 2.1] For the proof of part (1), let $t \in (0, x)$, $x \in (0, 1)$ be fixed. Let us consider the function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$f(p) = \log(1 - t^p)^{-1/p} = -\frac{1}{p} \log(1 - t^p).$$

Observe that for $p > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$

$$f'(p) = \frac{1}{p} \frac{t^p}{1 - t^p} \log t + \frac{1}{p^2} \log(1 - t^p) < 0,$$

$$f''(p) = -\frac{2}{p^2} \frac{t^p}{1 - t^p} \log t + \frac{t^p}{p} \left(\frac{\log t}{1 - t^p} \right)^2 - \frac{2}{p^3} \log(1 - t^p) > 0.$$

Consequently, the function f is strictly decreasing and convex, which in turn implies that $p \mapsto (1 - t^p)^{-1/p}$ is strictly decreasing and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$. In other words, the integrand of $\arcsin_p x$ is strictly decreasing and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$. Now, by using the fact that the integral preserves the monotonicity and log-convexity, it follows that the function $p \mapsto \arcsin_p x$ is strictly decreasing and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$. Now, observe that for $s(p) = e^{f(p)}$ we have

$$\frac{ps'(p)}{s(p)} = \frac{t^p}{1 - t^p} \log t + \frac{1}{p} \log(1 - t^p),$$

$$\left[\frac{ps'(p)}{s(p)} \right]' = -\frac{1}{p} \frac{t^p}{1 - t^p} \log t + t^p \left(\frac{\log t}{1 - t^p} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{p^2} \log(1 - t^p) > 0,$$

where $p > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. This means that the integrand of $\arcsin_p x$ is strictly geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$. By Lemma 4.2, it follows that $p \mapsto \arcsin_p x$ is strictly geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$.

Now, for $t \in (0, 1)$ fixed let us consider the function $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$g(p) = \log(1 - t^p)^{-1} = -\log(1 - t^p).$$

We get

$$g'(p) = \frac{t^p}{1 - t^p} \log t < 0, \quad g''(p) = t^p \left(\frac{\log t}{1 - t^p} \right)^2 > 0,$$

and consequently $p \mapsto (1 - t^p)^{-1}$ is strictly decreasing and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$. By using again the fact that the integral preserves the monotonicity and log-convexity, it follows that the function $p \mapsto \operatorname{arctanh}_p x$ is strictly decreasing and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed.

Thus, we proved that the functions $p \mapsto \arcsin_p(x)$ and $p \mapsto \operatorname{arctanh}_p(x)$ are indeed strictly decreasing and log-convex on $(0, \infty)$. Now, let us focus on the complete monotonicity. Recall (see [27]) that the composition of a completely monotonic function with a function whose derivative is completely monotone is also completely monotonic. This implies that for $t \in (0, 1)$ the function $p \mapsto g(p) = -\log(1 - t^p)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ since $p \mapsto -\log p$ is completely monotonic on $(0, 1)$ and $p \mapsto -t^p \log t$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. On the other-hand it is known that the product of completely monotonic functions is also completely monotonic (see [27]), which in turn implies that $p \mapsto f(p) = \frac{1}{p} \cdot (-\log(1 - t^p))$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. We note that the positive function φ is said to be logarithmically completely monotonic if it satisfies $(-1)^m [\log \varphi(x)]^{(m)} \geq 0$ for all $x > 0$ and $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. We also note that every logarithmically completely monotonic function is completely monotonic, and each completely monotonic function is log-convex, see [8] and [32, p. 167]. The above results imply that the functions $p \mapsto (1 - t^p)^{-1}$ and $p \mapsto (1 - t^p)^{-1/p}$ are logarithmically completely monotonic, and hence completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. These show that indeed the integrands of $\operatorname{arctanh}_p x$ and $\arcsin_p x$ are strictly completely monotonic and log-convex as functions of p on $(0, \infty)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$, and also for $t \in (0, x)$. Thus, by using the property that the integral preserves the complete monotonicity (see [27]), we proved that the functions $p \mapsto \arcsin_p(x)$ and $p \mapsto \operatorname{arctanh}_p(x)$ are indeed strictly completely monotonic and hence log-convex on $(0, \infty)$.

For the proof of part (2), let us consider the function $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $h(p) = (1 + t^p)^{-1}$, for fixed $t \in (0, 1)$. We have

$$h'(p) = -\frac{t^p}{(1 + t^p)^2} \log t > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad h''(p) = -\frac{t^p(1 - t^p)}{(1 + t^p)^3} (\log t)^2 < 0,$$

and consequently h is strictly increasing and concave. Consequently, the function $p \mapsto \operatorname{arctan}_p(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed. Moreover for $t \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $p, q > 0$ such that $p \neq q$ we have

$$h(\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q) > \alpha h(p) + (1 - \alpha)h(q),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{arctan}_{\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q}(x) &= \int_0^x h(\alpha p + (1 - \alpha)q) dt \\ &> \alpha \int_0^x h(p) dt + (1 - \alpha) \int_0^x h(q) dt = \alpha \operatorname{arctan}_p(x) + (1 - \alpha) \operatorname{arctan}_q(x), \end{aligned}$$

which means that $p \mapsto \operatorname{arctan}_p(x)$ is strictly concave on $(0, \infty)$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ fixed. Now, since the concavity is stronger than the log-concavity, it follows that $p \mapsto \operatorname{arctan}_p(x)$ is strictly log-concave on $(0, \infty)$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 2.6] We consider the two-variable functions $f, g : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, defined

$$f(p, q) = (1 - t^q)^{-1/p}, \quad g(p, q) = (1 + t^q)^{-1/p},$$

where $t \in (0, 1)$. Since $p \mapsto 1/p$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, the function $p \mapsto \log f(p, q) = -\frac{1}{p} \log(1 - t^q)$ for $q > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, and consequently the function $p \mapsto f(p, q)$ for $q > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ is also completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. This implies that the function $p \mapsto \arcsin_{p,q}(x)$ is completely monotonic, and hence log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $q > 0$ and $x \in (0, 1)$. According to the proof of Theorem 2.1, the function $q \mapsto \log f(p, q)$ for $p > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, and consequently the function $q \mapsto f(p, q)$ for $p > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ is also completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. This implies that the function $q \mapsto \arcsin_{p,q}(x)$ is completely monotonic, and hence log-convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $p > 0$ and $x \in (0, 1)$.

Since for $q > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ the function

$$p \mapsto \frac{p \frac{\partial f(p,q)}{\partial p}}{f(p,q)} = \frac{1}{p} \log(1 - t^q)$$

is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, by Lemma 4.2 we obtain that $p \mapsto \arcsin_{p,q}(x)$ is strictly geometrically convex on $(0, \infty)$ for $q > 0$ and $x \in (0, 1)$.

On the other hand, for $t \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\frac{\partial g(p, q)}{\partial p} = \frac{1}{p^2} (1 + t^q)^{-1/p} \log(1 + t^q) > 0, \quad \text{if } p, q > 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 g(p, q)}{\partial p^2} = \frac{1}{p^3} (1 + t^q)^{-1/p} (\log(1 + t^q) - 2p) \log(1 + t^q) < 0, \quad \text{if } p > \log \sqrt{2}, q > 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial g(p, q)}{\partial q} = -\frac{1}{p} (1 + t^q)^{-\frac{1}{p}-1} t^q \log t > 0, \quad \text{if } p, q > 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 g(p, q)}{\partial q^2} = -\frac{1}{p^2} (1 + t^q)^{-\frac{1}{p}-2} t^q (p - t^q) (\log t)^2 < 0, \quad \text{if } p > 1, q > 0.$$

Consequently the integrand of $\operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q}(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ with respect to p , and also with respect to q , when $p, q > 0$. Moreover, the integrand of $\operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q}(x)$ is strictly concave with respect to p on $(\log \sqrt{2}, \infty)$ for $q > 0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$; and is strictly concave with respect to q on $(0, \infty)$ for $p > 1$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. Since the integral preserves the monotonicity and concavity, it follows that $p \mapsto \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q}(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and concave on $(\log \sqrt{2}, \infty)$ for $q > 0$ and $x \in (0, 1)$; and $q \mapsto \operatorname{arcsinh}_{p,q}(x)$ is strictly decreasing and concave on $(0, \infty)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, $p > 0$, and $p > 1$ respectively. Finally, since the concavity implies the log-concavity, the proof of this theorem is complete. \square

Note added in proof

It is important to mention here that during the evaluation process of the present paper, the corresponding parts of Conjecture 2.5 for \sin_p , \sinh_p and \tanh_p have been already confirmed and extended by Karp and Prilepkina [24]. Moreover, the corresponding parts of Conjecture 2.5 for \tan_p and \cos_p were confirmed also, under the restriction $x \in (0, \log 2)$, see [24, Theorem 1].

References

[1] M. Abramowitz, I. Stegun, eds., Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs and mathematical tables, National Bureau of Standards, Dover, New York, 1965.

- [2] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy, M. Vuorinen, Generalized convexity and inequalities, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 335 (2007) 1294–1308.
- [3] Á. Baricz, Turán type inequalities for generalized complete elliptic integrals, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 256(4) (2007) 895–911.
- [4] Á. Baricz, Turán type inequalities for hypergeometric functions, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 136(9) (2008) 3223–3229.
- [5] Á. Baricz, Geometrically concave univariate distributions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 363(1) (2010) 182–196.
- [6] Á. Baricz, K. Raghavendar, A. Swaminathan, Turán type inequalities for q -hypergeometric functions, *Journal of Approximation Theory* 168 (2013) 69–79.
- [7] C. Bennewitz, Y. Saito, An embedding norm and the Lindqvist trigonometric functions, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 86 (2002) 1–6.
- [8] C. Berg, Integral representation of some functions related to the gamma function, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* 1(4) (2004) 433–439.
- [9] B. Berndt, *Ramanujan’s Notebooks, Part I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [10] B. A. Bhayo, M. Vuorinen, Inequalities for eigenfunctions of the p -Laplacian, *Issues of Analysis* 2(20) (2013) 14–37.
- [11] B. A. Bhayo, M. Vuorinen, On generalized trigonometric functions with two parameters, *Journal of Approximation Theory* 164 (2012) 1415–1426.
- [12] R. J. Biezuner, G. Ercole, E. M. Martins, Computing the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplacian via the inverse power method, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 257 (2009) 243–270.
- [13] R. J. Biezuner, G. Ercole, E. M. Martins, Computing the \sin_p function via the inverse power method, *Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics* 11(2) (2011) 129–140.
- [14] P. Binding, P. Drábek, Sturm-Liouville theory for the p -Laplacian, *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica* 40 (2003) 375–396.
- [15] B. M. Brown, W. Reichel, Eigenvalues of the radially symmetric p -Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^n , *Journal of London Mathematical Society* 69 (2004) 657–675.
- [16] P. J. Bushell, D. E. Edmunds, Remarks on generalised trigonometric functions, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics* 42 (2012) 25–57.
- [17] M. Del Pino, P. Drábek, R. F. Manásevich, The Fredholm alternative at the first eigenvalue for the one-dimensional p -Laplacian, *Journal of Differential Equations* 151 (1999) 386–419.
- [18] M. Del Pino, M. Elgueta, R. Manasevich, A homotopic deformation along p of a Leray-Schauder degree result and existence for $(|u'|^{p-2}u')' + f(t, u) = 0; u(0) = u(T) = 0; p > 1$, *Journal of Differential Equations* 80 (1989) 1–13.
- [19] P. Drábek, R. Manásevich, On the closed solution to some nonhomogeneous eigenvalue problems with p -Laplacian, *Differential Integral Equations* 12(6) (1999) 773–788.
- [20] W. Eberhart, Á. Elbert, On the eigenvalues of a half-linear boundary value problem, *Mathematische Nachrichten* 213 (2000) 57–76.
- [21] D. E. Edmunds, P. Gurka, J. Lang, Properties of generalized trigonometric functions, *Journal of Approximation Theory* 164 (2012) 47–56.
- [22] W. D. Jiang, M. K. Wang, Y. M. Chu, Y. P. Jiang, F. Qi, Convexity of the generalized sine function and the generalized hyperbolic sine function, *Journal of Approximation Theory* 174 (2013) 1–9.
- [23] D. Karp, S. M. Sitnik, Log-convexity and log-concavity of hypergeometric-like functions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 364(2) (2010) 384–394.
- [24] D. B. Karp, E. G. Prilepkina, Parameter convexity and concavity of generalized trigonometric functions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 421 (2015) 370–382.
- [25] P. Lindqvist, Some remarkable sine and cosine functions, *Ricerche di Matematica* 44 (1995) 269–290.
- [26] P. Lindqvist, J. Peetre, p -arclength of the q -circle, *The Mathematics Student* 72 (2003) 139–145.
- [27] K. S. Miller, S. G. Samko, Completely monotonic functions, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* 12(4) (2001) 389–402.
- [28] P. Montel, Sur les fonctions convexes et les fonctions sousharmoniques, *Journal de Mathématique Pures et Appliquées* 7(9) (1928) 29–60.
- [29] E. Neuman, Inequalities involving a logarithmically convex function and their applications to special functions, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics* 7(1) (2006) Art. 16.
- [30] R. Schilling, R. Song, Z. Vondraček, *Bernstein Functions, Theory and Applications*, Studies in Mathematics, vol. 37, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2010.
- [31] S. Takeuchi, Generalized Jacobian elliptic functions and their application to bifurcation problems associated with p -Laplacian, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 385 (2012) 24–35.
- [32] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1941.
- [33] X. Zhang, Y. Chu, The geometrical convexity and concavity of integral for convex and concave functions, *International Journal of Modern Mathematics* 3(3) (2008) 345–350.