

## TWISTOR SPACES WITH HERMITIAN RICCI TENSOR

JOHANN DAVIDOV AND OLEG MUŠKAROV

(Communicated by Jonathan M. Rosenberg)

**ABSTRACT.** The twistor space  $Z$  of an oriented Riemannian 4-manifold  $M$  admits a natural 1-parameter family of Riemannian metrics  $h_t$  compatible with the almost-complex structures  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  introduced, respectively, by Atiyah, Hitchin and Singer, and Eells and Salamon. In the present note we describe the (real-analytic) manifolds  $M$  for which the Ricci tensor of  $(Z, h_t)$  is  $J_n$ -Hermitian,  $n = 1$  or  $2$ . This is used to supply examples giving a negative answer to the Blair and Ianus question of whether a compact almost-Kähler manifold with Hermitian Ricci tensor is Kählerian.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a compact symplectic manifold  $M$ , one can consider the integrals  $\int_M s dV_g$  and  $\int_M (s - s^*) dV_g$ ,  $s$  (resp.  $s^*$ ) being the scalar (resp.  $*$ -scalar) curvature, as functionals on the set of metrics associated with the symplectic structure. D. E. Blair and S. Ianus [3] proved that the critical points of these functionals are the associated almost-Kähler metrics for which the Ricci tensor is Hermitian with respect to the corresponding almost-complex structure. Since the Kähler metrics satisfy this condition, Blair and Ianus raised the question of whether a compact almost-Kähler manifold with Hermitian Ricci tensor is Kählerian. A purpose of this note is to show that the twistor space of a compact oriented Riemannian 4-manifold which is Einstein, self-dual, and with negative scalar curvature supplies a negative answer to the question above.

The twistor space  $Z$  of an oriented Riemannian 4-manifold admits a natural 1-parameter family of Riemannian metrics  $h_t$  (cf., e.g. [8, 9, 13]) compatible with the almost-complex structures  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  on  $Z$  introduced, respectively, by Atiyah, Hitchin and Singer [1], and Eells and Salamon [7]. Motivated by the Blair and Ianus result, we consider the problem when the Ricci tensor of  $(Z, h_t)$  is  $J_n$ -Hermitian,  $n = 1$  or  $2$ , and prove the following theorem:

**Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be a connected oriented real-analytic Riemannian 4-manifold. If the Ricci tensor of the twistor space  $(Z, h_t)$  is  $J_n$ -Hermitian, then either*

---

Received by the editors August 7, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 53C25, 53C15.

© 1990 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/90 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

- (i)  $M$  is Einstein and self-dual  
 or  
 (ii)  $M$  is self-dual with constant scalar curvature  $s = 12/t$  and, for each point of  $M$ , at least three eigenvalues of its Ricci operator coincide.  
 Conversely, if  $M$  is a (smooth) Riemannian 4-manifold satisfying (i) or (ii), then the Ricci tensor of  $(Z, h_t)$  is  $J_n$ -Hermitian.

The proof is based on an explicit formula for the Ricci tensor of  $(Z, h_t)$  in terms of the curvature of  $M$  [4].

*Remarks.* (1) Let  $M$  be an oriented Riemannian 4-manifold. If  $M$  is Einstein, self-dual, and with negative scalar curvature  $s$ , then  $(h_t, J_2)$  for  $t = -12/s$  is an almost-Kähler structure on the twistor space  $Z$  [12]. This structure is not Kählerian since the almost-complex structure  $J_2$  is never integrable [7]. By the theorem, the Ricci tensor of  $(Z, h_t)$  is  $J_2$ -Hermitian, so, if  $M$  is compact,  $(Z, h_t, J_2)$  gives a negative answer to the Blair and Ianus question. Note that the only known examples of such manifolds  $M$  are compact quotients of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with the metric of constant negative curvature or the Bergman metric (cf., e.g. [13]).

On the other hand the classification of compact connected self-dual Einstein 4-manifolds  $M$  with nonnegative scalar curvature  $s$  is well known: If  $s > 0$ ,  $M$  is the unit sphere  $S^4$  or the complex projective space  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  with their standard metrics [9, 11]. If  $s = 0$ , the universal covering of  $M$  is a K3-surface with a Ricci flat Kähler metric or  $M$  is flat [10].

(2) Let  $S^1$  and  $S^3$  be the unit spheres of dimensions one and three. Then  $M = S^1 \times S^3$  with the product-metric is a non-Einstein manifold satisfying the conditions (ii) of the theorem. Other examples of such manifolds  $M$  can be obtained as warped-products of  $S^1$  and  $S^3$  (cf. [5]).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $M$  be an oriented Riemannian 4-manifold with metric  $g$ . Then  $g$  induces a metric on the bundle  $\wedge^2 TM$  of 2-vectors by  $g(A_1 \wedge A_2, A_3 \wedge A_4) = 1/2 \cdot \det(g(A_i, A_j))$ . Let  $\nabla$  be the Riemannian connection of  $(M, g)$ . For the curvature tensor  $R$  of  $\nabla$ , we adopt the following definition  $R(A, B) = \nabla_{[A, B]} - [\nabla_A, \nabla_B]$ . The curvature operator  $\mathcal{R}$  is the self-adjoint endomorphism of  $\wedge^2 TM$  defined by  $g(\mathcal{R}(A \wedge B), C \wedge D) = g(R(A, B)C, D)$ . The Hodge star operator defines an endomorphism  $*$  of  $\wedge^2 TM$  with  $*^2 = Id$ . Hence  $\wedge^2 TM = \wedge_+^2 TM \oplus \wedge_-^2 TM$  where  $\wedge_\pm^2 TM$  are the subbundles of  $\wedge^2 TM$  corresponding to the  $(\pm 1)$ -eigenvalues of  $*$ . Let  $(E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$  be a local oriented orthonormal frame of  $TM$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_1 &= E_1 \wedge E_2 - E_3 \wedge E_4, & \bar{s}_1 &= E_1 \wedge E_2 + E_3 \wedge E_4, \\
 s_2 &= E_1 \wedge E_3 - E_4 \wedge E_2, & \bar{s}_2 &= E_1 \wedge E_3 + E_4 \wedge E_2, \\
 s_3 &= E_1 \wedge E_4 - E_2 \wedge E_3, & \bar{s}_3 &= E_1 \wedge E_4 + E_2 \wedge E_3.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.1}$$

Then  $(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  (resp.,  $(\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2, \bar{s}_3)$ ) is a local oriented orthonormal frame of  $\Lambda_-^2 TM$  (resp.,  $\Lambda_+^2 TM$ ). The block-decomposition of  $\mathcal{R}$  with respect to the above splitting of  $\Lambda^2 TM$  is

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{bmatrix} s/6 \cdot Id + \mathcal{W}_+ & \mathcal{B} \\ t_B & s/6 \cdot Id + \mathcal{W}_- \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $s$  is the scalar curvature of  $M$ ;  $s/6 \cdot Id + \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_+ + \mathcal{W}_-$  represent the Ricci tensor and the Weyl conformal tensor, respectively. The manifold  $M$  is said to be self-dual (anti-self-dual) if  $\mathcal{W}_- = 0$  ( $\mathcal{W}_+ = 0$ ). It is Einstein exactly when  $\mathcal{B} = 0$ .

The twistor space of  $M$  is the 2-sphere bundle  $\pi: Z \rightarrow M$  consisting of the unit vectors of  $\Lambda_-^2 TM$ . The Riemannian connection of  $M$  gives rise to a splitting  $TZ = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$  of the tangent bundle of  $Z$  into horizontal and vertical components. We further consider the vertical space  $\mathcal{V}_\sigma$  at  $\sigma \in Z$  as the orthogonal complement of  $\sigma$  in  $\Lambda_-^2 T_p M$ ,  $p = \pi(\sigma)$ .

Each point  $\sigma \in Z$  defines a complex structure  $K_\sigma$  on  $T_p M$ ,  $p = \pi(\sigma)$ , by

$$(2.2) \quad g(K_\sigma A, B) = 2g(\sigma, A \wedge B), \quad A, B \in T_p M.$$

This structure is compatible with the metric  $g$  and the opposite orientation of  $M$  at  $p$ .

Denote by  $\times$  the usual vector product in the oriented three-dimensional vector space  $\Lambda_-^2 T_p M$ . Following [1] and [7], define two almost-complex structures  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  on  $Z$  by

$$\begin{aligned} J_n V &= (-1)^n \sigma \times V \quad \text{for } V \in \mathcal{V}_\sigma, \\ \pi_*(J_n X) &= K_\sigma(\pi_* X) \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known [1] that  $J_1$  is integrable (i.e. comes from a complex structure on  $Z$ ) iff  $M$  is self-dual. Unlike  $J_1$ , the almost-complex structure  $J_2$  is never integrable [7].

As in [9], define a pseudo-Riemannian metric  $h_t$  on  $Z$  by  $h_t = \pi^* g + t g^v$ , where  $t \neq 0$  and  $g^v$  is the restriction of the metric of  $\Lambda^2 TM$  on the vertical distribution  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $h_t$  is compatible with the almost-complex structures  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

**Lemma.** *The Ricci tensor  $c_Z$  of  $(Z, h_t)$  is Hermitian with respect to  $J_n$  iff for each point  $\sigma \in Z$  one has:*

$$(3.1) \quad (12 - ts(p) + 6tg(\mathcal{W}_-(\sigma), \sigma))\mathcal{B}(\sigma) = 0,$$

where  $p = \pi(\sigma)$  and  $s$  is the scalar curvature of  $M$ .

$$(3.2) \quad \|\mathcal{R}(\cdot)\| = \text{const on the fibre } Z_p \text{ through } \sigma.$$

$$(3.3) \quad g((\delta \mathcal{R})(X), \sigma \times V) = (-1)^{n+1} g((\delta \mathcal{R})(K_\sigma X), V)$$

for every  $X \in T_p M$  and  $V \in \mathcal{V}_\sigma$ . Here  $\delta\mathcal{R}$  is the codifferential of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $K_\sigma$  is the complex structure on  $T_p M$  determined by  $\sigma$  via (2.2).

*Proof.* If  $E \in TZ$ ,  $X = \pi_* E$  and  $V$  is the vertical component of  $E$ , then [4]

$$(3.4) \quad c_Z(E, E) = c(X, X) + tg((\delta\mathcal{R})(X), \sigma \times V) + (t^2/4)\|\mathcal{R}(\sigma \times V)\|^2 \\ - (t/2)\|i_X \circ \mathcal{R}_-\|^2 + (t/2)\|(i_X \circ \mathcal{R})(\sigma)\|^2 + \|V\|^2,$$

where  $c$  is the Ricci tensor of  $M$ ,  $i_X: \bigwedge^2 TM \rightarrow TM$  is the interior product and  $\mathcal{R}_-$  is the restriction of  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $\bigwedge_-^2 TM$ .

We first show that  $c_Z$  is  $J_n$ -Hermitian on horizontal vectors iff (3.1) holds for every  $\sigma \in Z$ . In fact, it follows from (3.4) that  $c_Z$  is  $J_n$ -Hermitian on the horizontal space  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$  iff

$$(3.5) \quad 2c(X, X) - t\|R(\tau)X\|^2 - t\|R(\sigma \times \tau)X\|^2 \\ = 2c(K_\sigma X, K_\sigma X) - t\|R(\tau)K_\sigma X\|^2 - t\|R(\sigma \times \tau)K_\sigma X\|^2$$

for  $X \in T_p M$  and  $\tau \in Z_p$ ,  $\tau \perp \sigma$ . Fix  $\tau \in Z_p$ ,  $\tau \perp \sigma$  and  $E \in T_p M$ ,  $\|E\| = 1$ . Since  $K_\sigma \circ K_\tau = -K_{\sigma \times \tau}$ ,  $(E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4) = (E, K_\sigma E, K_\tau E, K_{\sigma \times \tau} E)$  is an oriented orthonormal basis of  $T_p M$  such that  $\sigma = s_1$ ,  $\tau = s_2$ , and  $\sigma \times \tau = s_3$ , where  $s_1, s_2, s_3$  are defined by (2.1). For  $X \in T_p M$ , denote

$$V_i = X \wedge E_i - K_\sigma X \wedge K_\sigma E_i, \quad \bar{V}_i = X \wedge E_i + K_\sigma X \wedge K_\sigma E_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4.$$

Then

$$(3.6) \quad c(X, X) - c(K_\sigma X, K_\sigma X) = \sum_{i=1}^4 g(\mathcal{R}(V_i), \bar{V}_i) \\ \|R(\tau)X\|^2 - \|R(\tau)K_\sigma X\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 g(\mathcal{R}(\tau), V_i)g(\mathcal{R}(\tau), \bar{V}_i).$$

If  $X = \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i E_i$ , then

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} V_1 &= -\lambda_3 s_2 - \lambda_4 s_3, & \bar{V}_1 &= -\lambda_2(s_1 + \bar{s}_1) - \lambda_3 \bar{s}_2 - \lambda_4 \bar{s}_3, \\ V_2 &= \lambda_3 s_3 - \lambda_4 s_2, & \bar{V}_2 &= \lambda_1(s_1 + \bar{s}_1) - \lambda_3 \bar{s}_3 + \lambda_4 \bar{s}_2, \\ V_3 &= \lambda_1 s_2 - \lambda_2 s_3, & \bar{V}_3 &= -\lambda_4(\bar{s}_1 - s_1) + \lambda_1 \bar{s}_2 + \lambda_2 \bar{s}_3, \\ V_4 &= \lambda_1 s_3 + \lambda_2 s_2, & \bar{V}_4 &= \lambda_3(\bar{s}_1 - s_1) - \lambda_2 \bar{s}_2 + \lambda_1 \bar{s}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.6) and (3.7) into (3.5) and then varying  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4)$ , one sees that the identity (3.5) holds iff

$$(3.8) \quad (2 - tg(\mathcal{R}(\sigma), \sigma)\mathcal{B}(\sigma)) - tg(\mathcal{R}(\sigma), \tau)\mathcal{B}(\tau) = 0$$

for all  $\tau \in Z_p$ ,  $\tau \perp \sigma$ . Taking a point  $\tau \in Z_p$  such that  $\tau \perp \sigma$  and  $g(\mathcal{R}(\sigma), \tau) = 0$ , one obtains (3.1). Conversely, assume that the identity (3.1) holds for every  $\sigma \in Z$ . Fix a point  $p \in M$ . Then either  $\mathcal{B}_p = 0$  or  $12 - ts(p) + 6tg(\mathcal{W}_-(\sigma), \sigma) = 0$  for all  $\sigma \in Z_p$ . In the second case,  $12 - ts(p) = 0$

since  $\text{trace } \mathcal{W}_- = 0$  and therefore  $(\mathcal{W}_-)_p = 0$ . So  $g(\mathcal{R}(\sigma), \tau) = 0$  for every  $\sigma, \tau \in Z_p$ ,  $\sigma \perp \tau$ . In both cases (3.8) is fulfilled and  $c_Z$  is  $J_n$ -Hermitian on horizontal vectors.

It is obvious from (3.4) that  $c_Z$  is  $J_n$ -Hermitian on vertical vectors iff  $\|\mathcal{R}(\sigma)\| = \|\mathcal{R}(\tau)\|$  for every  $\sigma, \tau \in Z$  with  $\pi(\sigma) = \pi(\tau)$  and  $\sigma \perp \tau$ , which is equivalent to (3.2). Formula (3.4) also shows that  $c_Z(J_n E, J_n V) = c_Z(E, V)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{V}_\sigma$  iff (3.3) holds. Thus the lemma is proved.

To prove the theorem, first assume that the Ricci tensor  $c_Z$  of  $(Z, h_t)$  is  $J_n$ -Hermitian. Then the identity (3.1) of the lemma and the principle of analytic continuation imply that either  $\mathcal{B} \equiv 0$  or

$$(3.9) \quad 12 - t(s \circ \pi)(\sigma) + 6tg(\mathcal{W}_-(\sigma), \sigma) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } Z.$$

We shall show that in the first case  $M$  is self-dual. Consider  $\mathcal{W}_-$  as a self-adjoint endomorphism of  $\bigwedge_-^2 T_p M$ ,  $p \in M$ , and denote by  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  its eigenvalues. Since  $\mathcal{B} = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(\sigma) = (s/6)\sigma + \mathcal{W}_-(\sigma)$  for  $\sigma \in \bigwedge_-^2 T_p M$ , and the condition (3.2) of the lemma gives  $|\mu_1 + s/6| = |\mu_2 + s/6| = |\mu_3 + s/6|$ . Moreover,  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = \text{trace } \mathcal{W}_- = 0$ . Hence either  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 0$  or  $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\} = \{s/3, s/3, -2s/3\}$ . It follows that either  $\|\mathcal{W}_-\| \equiv 0$  or  $\|\mathcal{W}_-\| \equiv 2s^2/3$ . So we have to consider only the case when  $\|\mathcal{W}_-\| \equiv 2s^2/3$ . Since  $M$  is Einstein,  $\delta\mathcal{W}_- = 0$  (cf., e.g. [2, §16.5]) and Proposition 5, (iii) of [6] gives  $\nabla\mathcal{W}_- = 0$ . For every oriented Riemannian 4-manifold with  $\delta\mathcal{W}_- = 0$ , one has [2, §16.73]

$$\Delta\|\mathcal{W}_-\|^2 = -s\|\mathcal{W}_-\|^2 + 18\det\mathcal{W}_- - 2\|\nabla\mathcal{W}_-\|^2,$$

which implies in our case  $s = 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{W}_- = 0$ .

Now assume that the identity (3.9) is satisfied. Then  $s = 12/t$  since  $\text{trace } \mathcal{W}_- = 0$ . Therefore  $g(\mathcal{W}_-(\sigma), \sigma) \equiv 0$  which shows that  $\mathcal{W}_- = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{R}(\sigma) = (2/t)\sigma + \mathcal{B}(\sigma)$  for  $\sigma \in Z$ , and (3.2) of the lemma is equivalent to  $\|\mathcal{B}(\cdot)\|$  being constant on the fibre through each point  $\sigma \in Z$ . Let  $C: T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$ ,  $p \in M$ , be the Ricci operator and  $(E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$  an oriented orthonormal basis of  $T_p M$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $C$ . Denote by  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ , the corresponding eigenvalues. Let  $(\bar{s}_i, s_i)$  be the basis of  $\bigwedge^2 T_p M$  defined by (2.1). Since  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 = s$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X \wedge Y) = C(X) \wedge Y + X \wedge C(Y) - (s/2)X \wedge Y$ , one has  $\mathcal{B}(s_1) = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - s/2)\bar{s}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(s_2) = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 - s/2)\bar{s}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(s_3) = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_4 - s/2)\bar{s}_3$ . Therefore  $\|\mathcal{B}(\cdot)\| = \text{const}$  on  $Z_p$  iff  $|\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - s/2| = |\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 - s/2| = |\lambda_1 + \lambda_4 - s/2|$ , i.e. iff at least three eigenvalues of  $C$  coincide.

Conversely, let  $M$  be a (smooth) Einstein self-dual 4-manifold. Then  $\mathcal{R}(\sigma) = (s/6)\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \in Z$ ,  $\delta\mathcal{R} = 0$  (cf., e.g. [2, §16.3]), and the three conditions of the lemma obviously hold. Now, assume that  $M$  satisfies the condition (ii) of the theorem. Then (3.1) is obvious and (3.2) follows from the arguments above. Since  $s = 12/t$  and  $\mathcal{W}_- = 0$ , one has  $\delta\mathcal{R} = 2\delta\mathcal{W} = 2\delta\mathcal{W}_+$  ([2, §16.5]), so  $(\delta\mathcal{R})(X) \in \bigwedge_+^2 T_p M$ . Hence, (3.3) holds and the theorem is proved.

## REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin and I. M. Singer, *Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **362** (1978), 425–461.
2. A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
3. D. E. Blair and S. Ianus, *Critical associated metrics on symplectic manifolds*, in Nonlinear Problems in Geometry, Contemp. Math. **51** (1986), 23–29.
4. J. Davidov and O. Muškarov, *On the Riemannian curvature of a twistor space*, preprint series of the ICTP, Trieste, 1988.
5. A. Derdzinski, *Classification of certain compact Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature and non-parallel Ricci tensor*, Math. Z. **172** (1980), 273–280.
6. ———, *Self-dual Kähler manifolds and Einstein manifolds of dimension four*, Compositio Math. **49** (1983), 405–433.
7. J. Eells and S. Salamon, *Twistorial construction of harmonic maps of surfaces into four-manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **12** (1985), 589–640.
8. Th. Friedrich and R. Grunewald, *On Einstein metrics on the twistor space of a four-dimensional Riemannian manifold*, Math. Nachr. **123** (1985), 55–60.
9. Th. Friedrich and H. Kurke, *Compact four-dimensional self-dual Einstein manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Math. Nachr. **106** (1982), 271–299.
10. N. J. Hitchin, *Compact four-dimensional Einstein manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **9** (1974), 435–441.
11. ———, *Kählerian twistor spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **43** (1981), 133–150.
12. O. Muškarov, *Structures presque hermitiennes sur des espaces twistoriels et leur types*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **305** (1987), 307–309.
13. A. Vitter, *Self-dual Einstein metrics*, in Nonlinear Problems in Geometry, Comtemp. Math. **51** (1986), 113–120.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. "ACAD. G. BONTCHEV",  
BL.8, 1090-SOFIA, BULGARIA