UAV Cooperative Search in Dynamic Environment Based on Hybrid-Layered APF

Shao Rui（✉ srsorry@126.com ）
  Nanjing Research Institute of Electronic Technology: China Electronics Technology Group Corp 14th Research Institute   https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6043-4060

Rentuo Tao
  Nanjing Research Institute of Electronic Technology: China Electronics Technology Group Corp 14th Research Institute

Youda Liu
  Nanjing Research Institute of Electronic Technology: China Electronics Technology Group Corp 14th Research Institute

Yuhao Yang
  Nanjing Research Institute of Electronic Technology: China Electronics Technology Group Corp 14th Research Institute

Dasheng Li
  Nanjing Research Institute of Electronic Technology: China Electronics Technology Group Corp 14th Research Institute

Jianjun Chen
  Nanjing Research Institute of Electronic Technology: China Electronics Technology Group Corp 14th Research Institute

---

RESEARCH

UAV Cooperative Search in Dynamic Environment based on Hybrid-layered APF

Rui Shao[1,2*], Rentuo Tao[1,2], Youda Liu[1,2], Yuhao Yang[1,2], Dasheng Li[1,2] and Jianjun Chen[1,2]

---

*Correspondence: srsorry@126.com
[1]Nanjing Institute of Electronic Technology, , Nanjing, China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) detection has the advantages of flexible deployment, no casualties. It has become a force that cannot be ignored in the battlefield. Scientific and efficient mission planning can help improving the survival rate and mission completion rate of the UAV search in dynamic environments. Towards the mission planning problem of UAV collaborative search for multi-types of time-sensitive moving targets, a search algorithm based on hybrid layered artificial potential fields algorithm (HL-APF) was proposed. This paper utilized a new target attraction field function which was segmented by the search distance to quickly search for quickly targets searching. Moreover, in order to solve the problem of repeated search by the UAV in a short time interval, a search repulsion field generated by the UAV search path was proposed. Besides, in order to solve the unknown target search and improve the area coverage, a centralized layered scheduling algorithm controlled by the cloud server (CS) was added. CS divides the mission area into several sub-areas, and allocates UAV according to the priority function based on the search map. The CS activation mechanism can make full use of prior information, and the UAV assignment cool-down mechanism can avoid the repeated assignment of the same UAV. The simulation results show that compared with the hybrid artificial potential field and ant colony optimization (HAPF-ACO) and improved artificial potential field(IAPF), this method can significantly improve the found number of targets and mission area coverage. At the same time, comparative experiment results of CS mechanism proved the necessity of setting CS activation and cool-down for improving the search performance.

Keywords: UAV swarm; Cooperative search; Mission planning; Hybrid control; Artificial potential field; CS scheduling

1 Introduction

Due to the advantages of low cost of attacks and strong cooperative combat capabilities, UAV cooperative search has attracted much attention from various countries and been widely used in Dull, Dirty, Dangerous and Deep (4D) task. Especially in the dynamic environments, the UAV swarms can find dynamic targets faster rely on the swarm cooperative[1, 2, 3, 4].

The UAV collaborative search problem refers to a targets detecting and mission area uncertainty reducing process fulfilled by multiple UAVs that follow certain rules and prior information. Among them, the mission planning technology is the key to improving search efficiency and UAV survival rate in UAV collaborative

search[5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. UAV collaborative mission planning methods can be divided into two types: centralized control ones and distributed control ones respectively[10]. The centralized optimization approach act in a simple manner and have the ability of global optimal. Max-sum [11]is a classic centralized control architecture algorithm that can be used to solve the problem of UAV collaborative mission planning. It uses message passing that can be configured to work in an approximate mode. The main drawback of max-sum is that it cannot adapt to large-scale UAV swarm and online re-planning in dynamic environments. Genetic algorithm (GA) [12, 13]is another commonly used algorithm. According to biological evolution theory, mechanisms such as selection, evolution, and mutation can be used to improve the fitness of individuals. Zhenyue Jia et al.[14] proposed an improved GA to solve the multi-constrained task assignment problem of heterogeneous UAVs. Ramirez Atencia et al.[15] presents a new multi-objective genetic algorithm for solving complex mission planning problems involving a team of UAVs and a set of ground control stations. However, none of them considered online re-planning in dynamic environments.

Distributed planning algorithms[16, 17, 18] are capable of parallel computing, which means UAVs can dynamically join and exit. Thus, this type of planning algorithms has high robustness and can be applied to a dynamic environment. The auction-based is a classic distributed algorithm, which basic idea is that the auction item corresponds to the task. The assignment of the task and the receiver respectively auction and bid on the task according to its own revenue function and bidding strategy. However, the bidding negotiation overhead consumes more time and computational resources compared to other approaches. Swarm intelligence methods such as ant colony optimization (ACO)[19, 20, 21] have also been used to solve the problem of UAV collaborative search. ZHEN et al.[22, 23, 24] propose an intelligent cooperative mission planning scheme for UAV swarm, to search and attack the time-sensitive moving targets in uncertain dynamic environment, by HAPF-ACO. However, this method can easily fall into a local optimum and cannot cover the whole area. Alotaibi [25]consider the use of a team of multiple UAVs to accomplish a search and rescue (SAR) mission. In the context of search and rescue, the locust-inspired approaches for multi-UAV task allocation [26, 27]and layered search and rescue (LSAR) methods are studied separately. Among them, LIAM is a distributed architecture, and LSAR is a centralized architecture. Through the comparison of the two methods, it can be seen that when the number of UAVs swarm is small, LASR can achieve better results; while the number of UAVs swarm is larger, LIAM performs better.

In this paper, we proposed a hybrid control architecture that combine the advantages of both centralized and distributed architectures. Aiming at the UAV search problem in dynamic environments, based on a rasterized mission space, this paper considers multiple types of targets, and proposes a search algorithm based on a hybrid layered artificial potential fields algorithm.

The main contributions of this article are:
(1) An improved distributed APF algorithm, including a segmented target attraction field function to adapt to distributed multi-target multi-UAV search tasks and

a search repulsion field generated by the UAV search path to avoid intervals repeated searches in short time.

(2) A centralized CS scheduling algorithm, including a new type of search map used to help CS evaluate the search situation in sub-areas and a priority function to sort the scheduling priorities of sub-areas.At the same time, some mechanisms such as CS activation and UAV assignment cool-down are added, which can effectively improve the scheduling efficiency of CS.

(3) An HL-APF algorithm based on a hybrid control architecture, in which the centralized CS scheduling algorithm can be optimized globally to improve the overall area coverage and discover unknown targets; the distributed IAPF algorithm is optimized locally to increase the coverage of sub-area and discover targets with prior information.

2 Methods

In this section, the system Model, improved APF algorithm, CS Scheduling and UAV decision are introduced respectively.

2.1 System Model

The mission of dynamic target collaborative search with UAV swarm can be described as: there is a task area $D \in L^2$ which has N_T dynamic moving targets and N_p unknown threats. According to the prior information, the target information including position, speed and direction is partially known. There are also a swarm of UAVs connected through a cloud server to accomplish the mission. The UAVs in the swarm are isomorphic, which namely each UAV has the same functional and performance constraints.

As shown in Fig. 1, the mission area is discretized and grided and the length and width of each grid are L_x , L_y respectively. The five-pointed shape represents the target and the filled circle represents the threat. The detect performance of UAV is modeled as round and the detect radius is$R$. The targets and threats that appear in the detection range of the UAV can be detected by the UAV. Assume that the maximum turning angle of the UAV is φ_{max}, hence the possible positions of the UAV at the next moment are marked in dark.

The purpose of collaborative search of UAV swarm is to find as many targets as possible under constrained conditions. The target search benefit J_T can be defined as:

$$J_T(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_T} a_{ik} value_i \tag{1}$$

where N_T is the total number of targets, a_{ik} indicates whether the target is detected, and $value_i$ indicates the value of the target detected by the UAV. Define area search benefit J_E as:

$$J_E(k) = \sum_{m=1}^{N_x} \sum_{n=1}^{N_y} grid_{(m,n)}(k)/(N_x \times N_y) \tag{2}$$

Figure 1 Discrete mission area.

where,if the $grid_{(m,n)}$has been searched, $grid_{(m,n)}(k)$ is 1, otherwise it is 0, and the area search benefit is expressed as the search coverage of the mission area. The constraints are:

$$\begin{cases} C_c : d_{\min} - d_{ij}(k) \leq 0, (i, j = 1, 2, ..., N_V, i \neq j) \\ C_b : 0 \leq x, y \leq N_x, N_y \\ C_m : \Delta\varphi_i(k) - \varphi_{\max} \leq 0, (i = 1, 2, ..., N_V) \\ C_r : R_l - d_{il}(k) \leq 0, (i = 1, 2, ..., N_V; l = 1, 2, ..., N_p) \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where, C_m is the maximum turning radius constraint of the UAV, which is determined by the maneuverability, is the turning radius of the UAV at the moment k. C_c is the minimum safe distance constraint between the UAVs. The UAV need to maintain a reasonable safe distance to avoid collisions, and d_{min} is the minimum safe distance between the UAVs, $d_{ij}(k)$is the distance between the i-th UAV and j-th UAV at the moment k. C_b is the boundary constraint, $(x, y)$is the coordinates of the UAV in the mission area, and the UAV cannot fly out of the mission area during the execution of the mission.$C_r$is obstacle avoidance constraints and $R_l$is the threat radius, $d_{il}(k)$ is the distance between the i-th UAV and the l-th threat. For multi-UAV mission planning, the optimization model is:

$$U_i^*(k) = \arg \max_{U_i(k)} (\omega_1 J_{T_i}(X_i, \tilde{X}_i) + \omega_2 J_{E_i}(X_i, \tilde{X}_i))$$

$$\tilde{X}_i = \{X_j | j \in N_i^C\}$$

$$s.t. C_i \leq 0, i = 1, 2, ..., N_V \quad (4)$$

where, ω_1 and ω_2 respectively represent the weight coefficient of the target search benefit and area search benefit, the value of the coefficient is determined according to the specific combat mission, X_i and $\widetilde{X}_i$ respectively represent the status of the UAV and its communicable UAVs, $J_{T_i}(X_i, \widetilde{X}_i)$ and $J_{E_i}(X_i, \widetilde{X}_i)$ respectively are the target search benefit and area search benefit are the above-mentioned various constraints.

2.2 Improved APF algorithm

The basic idea of the artificial potential field[28, 29] is to regard the movement of the UAV in the task space as a force movement in the virtual force field. The target point forms a attraction field, which generates gravity and attracts the target to fly towards it. The no-fly zone or threat forms a repulsive force field, which generates a repulsive force to push the target away from the threat, and the UAV moves under the combined force of gravity and repulsive force. This section will introduce the search algorithm based on the improved artificial potential field, which mainly includes the target attraction field, the search repulsion field,

### 2.2.1 Target attraction field

Based on the APF method, the target will generate a gravitational field which can attract UAV. The basic gravitational field has a linear relationship with the distance between UAV's current position and the target distance. In a multi-target mission, this gravitational field will cause the UAV to be oscillate between targets. For this reason, the target attraction field is redefined as follows:

$$F_{att}(i, j) = \begin{cases} k_{i,att} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{j}}, d_{i,j} \leq L_{i,uc} \\ k_{i,att} \cdot \frac{L^2_{i,uc}}{d^2_{i,j}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i,j}}, L_{i,uc} < d_{i,j} \leq L_{i,max} \\ 0, d_{i,j} > L_{i,max} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where, $k_{i,att}$ is the magnitude of the target attraction field, which is proportional to the value of the i-th target; where, $k_{i,att}$ is the magnitude of the target attraction field, which is proportional to the value of the i-th target; $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{j}}$ is the unit vector of the movement direction of the j-th UAV; $d_{i,j}$ is the distance between the target and the UAV; $L_{i,uc}$ is the field range brought about by the uncertainty of the target, which can be set as UAV detect radius R. In this range, the direction of the target attraction field received by the UAV is determined by its own motion direction, and the field's amplitude is fixed. $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i,j}}$ is the unit vector of the direction from the UAV to the target; $L_{i,max}$ is the maximum range of the target attraction field, which can be set as:

$$L_{i,max} = \sqrt{N_{max}} + 2R \tag{6}$$

where N_{max} is the maximum number of iterations. The action of the target attraction field is shown in Figure 2. It can be seen that when the possible location of the target is within the detection range of the UAV, the UAV can maintain the current flight direction and complete the search. When the distance exceeds the maximum

Figure 2 Diagram of target attraction field.

range, the UAV will search for other targets at this time. The UAV has no "interest" in this target and is not affected by it. In other cases, the UAV receives a gravitational force in the direction of the potential target, so that the gravitational force drives the UAV to fly toward the target. Compared with the algorithm using target probability map, the method in this paper does not need to store and update the probability map, which reduces the computational complexity while ensuring the search efficiency.

2.2.2 Search repulsive field

In order to avoid repeated searches in a short time interval, the related work[24] is based on the ant colony algorithm, which reduces the pheromone in the searched path according to the rules, and increases the pheromone in the unsearched area to increase the probability of the drone flying to the undetected area. Each iteration needs to update the pheromone of the entire task area, which also has the problem of high computational complexity. Based on the artificial potential field algorithm, this paper designs a search repulsion field, so that the UAV will leave a series of search repulsion fields on its search path. At the same time, in order to adapt to the uncertainty of the moving target, the search repulsion field decays with time. This method reduces the amount of calculation while ensuring search efficiency. Define the k-th search repulsion field center position left by j-th UAV as:

$$Loc_{srp}(j,k) = \frac{1}{N_{srp}} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{srp}-1} Loc_{uav}(j, k{\cdot}N_{srp}+i) \tag{7}$$

where $N_{srp}$is the time interval constant of the search repulsive filed, which can be set as $\sqrt{\frac{N_x+N_y}{2}}$, $Loc_{uav}(j,k)$ indicates the position of the j-th UAV at time k. Define search repulsive field between the i-th search repulsive field and j-th UAV as:

$$F_{srp}(i,j,t) = \begin{cases} k_{srp}e^{-\beta t}\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{j}}, d_{i,j} \leq L_{srp1} \\ k_{srp}\frac{L^2_{srp1}}{d^2_{i,j}}e^{-\beta t}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i,j}}, L_{srp1} < d_{i,j} \leq L_{srp2} \\ 0, d_{i,j} > L_{srp2} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where, k_{srp} is the search repulsion field constant; L_{srp1} and L_{srp2} is the uncertainty range and the maximum range of action respectively; β is the time decay factor, which represents the uncertainty of the environment. The larger β is, the more likely the UAV will perform a second search in the same area.

2.2.3 Other repulsive field

According to references[24], the threat repulsive field between i-th threat and j-th UAV is designed as:

$$
F_{trp}(i,j) = \begin{cases} k_{trp}(\dfrac{1}{d_{i,j}^2} - \dfrac{1}{(d_{\max,i} - d_0)^2})\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i,j}}, d_{i,j} \leq d_{\max,i} \\ 0, d_{i,j} > d_{\max,i} \end{cases} \tag{9}
$$

where, k_{trp} is the threat repulsive field constant, $d_{\max,i}$ is the radius of action of i-th threat, d_0 is the minimum safe distance. The repulsive field between the i-th and j-th UAVs is designed as:

$$
F_{urp} = \frac{b \cdot e^{\frac{d_{i,j}}{c}}}{c \cdot (e^{\frac{d_{i,j}}{c}} - e^{\frac{d_{\min}}{c}})^2} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{i,j}} \tag{10}
$$

where, b and c are determined, the magnitude of the repulsion between the UAVs depends only on the distance.

2.3 CS Scheduling

In the first stage of mission, the improved artificial potential field algorithm can help the UAV to quickly search for the targets with prior knowledge (such as the start location). At this time, the target search benefit J_T increase faster. In the later stage, when most of targets with prior knowledge have been detected, the search efficiency of UAV swarm is reduced. In order to solve this problem, a layered scheduling algorithm determined by the cloud server is added.

2.3.1 Partitioning

According to the idea of the reference[25], the task area is partitioning, because there is no task center in this article, so the average partition is carried out. Divide the entire mission area into N*N sub-areas. The length and width of each sub-area are L/N.

2.3.2 Search Map

CS monitors which sub-regions are undetected by storing search graphs in order to make assignment decisions. Define the basic search graph as:

$$
s\_map(i,j) = la_{i,j} \tag{11}
$$

where $la_{i,j}=1$, if $grid(i,j)$ has been covered, otherwise $la_{i,j}=0$.

Algorithm 1 Search Map

1: **function** INITIAL
2:     $s\_map_0(i,j) \leftarrow 0$
3: **end function**
4: **function** UPDATE
5:     $s\_map_{k+1}(i,j) \leftarrow s\_map_k(i,j) \cdot \tau$
6:     **if** " $0 < s\_map_{k+1}(i,j) < \inf s\_map$" **then**
7:         $s\_map_{k+1}(i,j) \leftarrow \inf s\_map$
8:     **end if**
9:     **for** UAV_m in CS **do**
10:         **if** $loc_{i,j}$ in $UAV_m\_Detect\_Range$ **then**
11:             $s\_map_{k+1}(i,j) \leftarrow 1$
12:         **end if**
13:         **if** $loc_{i,j}$ in $UAV_m\_Assign\_Detect\_Range$ **then**
14:             $s\_map_{k+1}(i,j) \leftarrow 1$
15:         **end if**
16:     **end for**
17:     **for** $Threat_m$ in CS **do**
18:         **if** $loc_{i,j}$ in $Threat_m\_Range$ **then**
19:             $s\_map_{k+1}(i,j) \leftarrow \gamma$
20:         **end if**
21:     **end for**
22: **end function**

Here, considering dynamic targets, some area may need to be searched twice. After each iteration, the searched area needs to be time decayed. At the same time, in order to distinguish the searched area from the unsearched area, it is necessary to set infimum for the searched area. In addition, there are no-fly zones in the area, and the search map of the detected no-fly zone is set as a constant. This value is recommended to be between infimum and 1, which has been adapted to the UAV's search for the area. In addition, in order to solve the problem of repeated assignment of the same location, the future flight target point of the UAV is also regarded as the detected area. In summary, the improved search map is defined as Algorithm.1 where τ is the attenuation coefficient and is used to characterize the dynamic environment, γ is the threat search map constant. CS can obtain the global search coverage of the UAV swarm by storing and updating the search map. Therefore, CS can start from the overall situation and help the UAV swarm to jump out of the local optimum, thereby improving the overall coverage.

2.3.3 Sub-area priority
According to the priority of each sub-area, CS selects the closer and more idle UAV for the sub-area need to be detected. Define the search value of k-th sub-area $part\_value_k$ as the sum of the search map values of the sub-area: $part\_value_k = \sum s\_map(i,j), \text{grid(i,j)}$ in $part_k$ The smaller the $part\_value_k$, the more the sub-area needs to be detected. The idle state of each UAV is defined as proportional to the amplitude of its potential field force. The more force the UAV receives, the busier the UAV. Above all, define the k-th sub-area priority as:

$$priority\_value_k = w_{p0} \cdot part\_value_k + \min(w_{p1} * d_{i,k} + w_{p2} * F_i) \tag{12}$$

where w_{p0}, w_{p1}, w_{p2} are the search map, distance and field coefficient respectively. $d_{i,k}$ is the distance of i-th UAV and k-th sub-area. F_i is represents the magnitude of the potential field force of the i-th UAV. CS traverses all sub-area to get the

minimum value of the priority. It should be noted that the priority also implies the UAV to be assigned.

Figure 3 Diagram of target attraction field.

2.3.4 Flow chart of CS

Based on the above design, the flow of the CS Scheduling is shown in Fig. 3.In order to make full use of the target prior information, when the number of undetected targets with prior information is less than or equal to one, $CS\_ACT = 1$. The status of the UAV is ready means that the UAV has not been assigned and has not been assigned during the previous iterations. The purpose of setting the assigned cool-down period is to effectively search the sub-areas after the assigned UAV goes to the target sub-areas. When the number of UAV in the ready state is more than zero, CS get the minimum priority of sub-areas and set the assigned k-th UAV CS_flag true.

2.4 UAV decision

Under the hybrid control architecture, the UAV will fly as far as possible to the center of the k-th sub-area that needs to be detected under the condition of CS command and meeting the constraints.Assuming the current grid is s_i, the UAV decision when CS_FLAG is ture are designed as:

$$s_j = \underset{j \in \Omega}{\arg \min} (d_{jk}) \tag{13}$$

where s_j is the next grid. Ω is the candidate grid set that meeting the constraints. d_{jk} is the distance between s_j and the center of k-th sub-area.

When the UAV reaches the center of the designated sub-area or finds that the center of the sub-area is within the no-fly zone, CS_flag is set to 0. At this time, the UAV will enter the assigned cool-down state and will not be assigned by CS in the next N_{cool} iterations. At the same time, the UAV will make decisions based on the IAPF. When CS_flag is 0, the UAV get the total field of current grid and surrounding grid. When the field direction of the surrounding grid of the current grid is consistent, it means there may be targets or no-fly zones around.At this point, the UAV will make decisions based on the direction of the field. Otherwise, the UAV will keep the current flight direction.The UAV decision under IAPF is designed as:

$$s_j = \underset{j \in \Omega}{\arg \min} (\theta_j), std(F_i) < \varphi_{\max}/2 \tag{14}$$

where θ_j is the angle between the path from the current grid to the candidate grid s_j and the direction of the current grid potential field, $std(F_i)$ is the standard deviation of the field direction between the current grid and the surrounding grid.

3 Results and Discussion

In order to verify the superiority of the HL-ACO algorithm for the search problem of UAV swarm, the Python based simulations are carried out.

3.1 Mission scenarios and parameter settings

To set-up our simulation, we consider that the mission area is 100 km × 100 km and is discretized to 100 × 100 grids. The total target number is 20, divided into five types, each type has 4 target. The target parameters are shown in Table 1. The target 13-20 information is unknown, and the information in other target tables is known. To verify the obstacle avoidance performance of the mission planning algorithm, 5 unknown threats are added in the above mission area. The threat information is shown in Table 2.Assume that the speed of UAV is 100m/s and each decision step is 10s, so the UAV moves a grid in a single iteration. The UAV's maximum turning angle is 45°, and the detection distance is 3km. Some relevant parameters in the HL-APF algorithm are shown in Table 3.

3.2 Algorithm comparison

Assuming that 10UAVs perform the missions, the following three algorithms are investigated:

Algorithm 1. HAPF-ACO: It is based on a distributed ACO algorithm with an

Table 1 Target movement information

Label	Coordinate(km)	Speed(m/s)	Moving direction
1	(80,70)	random	random
2	(15,60)	random	random
3	(45,50)	random	random
4	(70,20)	random	random
5	(30,40)	30	random
6	(15,90)	20	random
7	(40,10)	40	random
8	(85,30)	50	random
9	(8,15)	10	1
10	(55,20)	20	1.7
11	(60,85)	25	4
12	(25,70)	30	5
13	(65,60)	0	0
14	(20,30)	0	0
15	(40,80)	0	0
16	(75,40)	0	0
17	(5,70)	random	random
18	(70,5)	random	random
19	(40,40)	random	random
20	(20,20)	random	random

Table 2 Threat information.

Threat label	Coordinate (km)	Radius (km)
1	(10,40)	3
2	(30,85)	4
3	(60,30)	6
4	(30,15)	3
5	(70,85)	3

Table 3 Description and value of the HL-ACO algorithm parameters.

Parameter name	Parameter value	Parameter description
k_{srp}	3	Search repulsion field constant
β	0.9	Time decay factor of search repulsion field
k_{trp}	20	Threat repulsive field constant
d_{max}	3	Radius of action of threat repulsive field
inf(s_map)	0.1	Infimum of search map
τ	0.9	Time decay factor of search map
γ	0.2	Threat search map constant
w_{p0}	10	Search map coefficient
w_{p1}	0.1	Distance coefficient
w_{p2}	1	Field coefficient

(a) HAPF-ACO   (b) IAPF   (c) HL-APF

Figure 4 Ten UAVs' paths generated after 250 iterations for the mission

(a) Comparison on number of found targets of three algorithms.

(b) Comparison on coverage rate of three algorithms.

Figure 5 Comparison after 250 iterations for the mission of three algorithms

improved transition rule considering the range constraint, and the APF is introduced considering the dynamic updating of the TPM.

Algorithm 2. IAPF: It is introduced in chapter2.2, based on four types of artificial potential field.

Algorithm 3. HL-APF: It is based on IAFP with CS scheduling.

The UAV search trajectory after 250 iterations obtained from the above three algorithm is shown in Fig.4. The solid line is the target's trajectory, the dotted line is the trajectory of the UAVs, and the "+" sign marks the location where the target was found. Furthermore, 100 simulations were performed for each algorithm, 250 iterations per iteration. Calculate and compare the number of discovered targets and area coverage average of the 100 experiments.The final statistical results shows in Fig. 5.

It can be seen from Fig. 4 that there is no patchy unsearched area in the HL-APF method, and both HAPF-ACO and IAPF have such problems.From the final statistical results, it can also be seen that compared to HAPF-ACO and IAPF, HL-APF has achieved more number of found target and better area coverage rate in the later stage of mission execution. This means that the addition of the CS assignment mechanism greatly improves the search efficiency of the UAV swarm.

3.3 Comparison of the number of UAVs

It is assumed that the swarm is composed of 10 UAVs ,15 and 20 UAVs respectively. The UAV search trajectory using HL-APF after 250 iterations obtained from the 15UAVs and 20 UAVs is shown in Fig.6.Furthermore, 100 simulations were performed for each set of numbers, 250 iterations per iteration. Calculate and compare the number of discovered targets and area coverage average of the 100 experiments. The statistical results are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8.

It can be seen from Fig. 7 that as the number of UAVs increases, the detection efficiency of the swarm also increases. This proves that this method is suitable for mission planning of large-scale UAV swarm. At the same time, it can also be seen that as the number of UAVs increases, the overall detection performance also has an

(a) 15UAV   (b) 20UAV

Figure 6 Different number of UAVs' paths generated after 250 iterations for the mission with HL-APF

(a) Comparison on number of found targets with different number of UAVS.

(b) Comparison on coverage rate with different number of UAVS.

Figure 7 Comparison after 250 iterations for the mission with HL-APF

upper limit. When the number of UAVs is 20,The average number of targets found is 19.9, and the average area coverage is 99.8%. It means that the UAVs swarm is close to completing the task perfectly. Furthermore, it can be seen from Fig.8 that compared with HAPF-ACO and IAPF, HL-APF achieves close to the performance of the first two under 20 UAVs when the number is 10, which is more explain the superiority of this method.

3.4 Comparison of the CS mechanisms

In is assumed that the swarm is composed of 10 UAVs.In order to compare the impact of CS_ACT and cool-down mechanisms performaning on search effectiveness, the following three groups of controlled experiments are set up. Calculate and compare the number of discovered targets and area coverage average of the 100 experiments, and the statistical results are shown in Table 4.

Set 1.CS_ACT + cool-down

Set 2.only cool-down

Set 3.only CS_ACT

It can be seen that the CS_ACT mechanism can help increase the number of target discoveries when achieving similar area coverage by comparing the results of set 1

(a) Comparison on number of found targets of three algorithms.
(b) Comparison on coverage rate of different three algorithms.

Figure 8 Comparison after 250 iterations for the mission of three algorithms with different number of UAVS.

Table 4 Comparison of the CS mechanisms

Set label	number of targets found	area coverage (%)
1	19.62	96.0
2	19.5	96.1
3	19.37	94.5

and set 2. This is because in the initial stage of the mission, IAPF can help UAVs to quickly approach those targets with prior information. At this time, the activation of CS will reduce the search efficiency. In the later stage of the mission, as most of targets with prior information are detected, the activation of CS can help increase coverage and discover unknown targets.The comparison between set 1 and set 3 proves the importance of cool-down in improving mission coverage and number of targets found. UAV with cool-down mechanism can fully search the sub-areas, and avoid the constant moving between different sub-areas.

4 Conclusions

This paper studies the mission planning of dynamic target cooperative search in UAV detection, considering multiple target types, and adopting the modeling of discrete rasterization of the task space, and proposes the HL-APF algorithm. First, the distributed APF is improved, and a new segmented gravitational field function is proposed, and the search repulsion field formed by the search path is added. Secondly, in order to solve the unknown target search and improve the area coverage, a centralized hierarchical task assignment algorithm controlled by CS is added, and an assignment priority function based on the search map is proposed.In addition, adding CS_ACT to control the activation of the CS scheduling, as well as the mechanism of assigning cool-down to control the UAVs state. Finally, a collaborative search process based on the hybrid control architecture is designed.

Simulation results show that compared with HAPF-ACO and IAPF without CS control, this method can significantly increase the number of target discovery and area coverage.At the same time, the CS mechanism comparison experiment proved the necessity of setting CS activation and cool-down to improve the search performance.

However, this paper does not consider the heterogeneity of UAV swarm such as differences in sensor and kinematics performance.It also ignores the multi-task scenario. Therefore, the study of heterogeneous multi-UAV multi-taskis is the next research goal and direction.

Abbreviations
UAV: Unmanned aerial vehicle; HL-APF:Hybrid layered artificial potential fields; CS: Cloud server; HAPF-ACO: Hybrid artificial potential field and ant colony optimization; IAPF:improved artificial potential field; 4D:Dull, Dirty, Dangerous and Deep; GA:Genetic algorithm; ACO:Ant colony optimization; LSAR:layered search and rescue

Availability of data and materials
All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
All procedures performed in this paper were in accordance with the ethical standards of research community. This paper does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions
SR and CJJ conceived and designed the study. SR, TRT and LDS designed the IAPF. CJJ, LYD and YYH designed the CS. SR and CJJ performed the experiments. SR and TRT wrote the paper. CJJ, LYD, LDS, YYH reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Authors' information
Rui Shao is currently a graduate student in nanjing research institute of electronic technology. His research interests are UAV mission planning, collaborative search and video processing.
Rentuo Tao received the Ph.D from the University of Science and Technology of China in 2020.His research interests include computer vision, representation learning, signal and information processing.
Youda Liu received the Eng.D from Tsinghua University. His research interests include radar signal processing, artificial intelligence and cyber-physical system.
Yuhao Yang received the Eng.D from University of Science and Technology of China in 2013.He is a professor-level senior engineer in nanjing research institute of electronic technology. His research interests include intelligent target recognition and collaborative detection.
Dasheng Li received M.S.degree from nanjing research institute of electronic technology in 2015. His research interests include radar target characteristics, new system imaging radar.
Jianjun Chen received the Eng.D degree from the Southeast University in 2010.He is a professor-level senior engineer in nanjing research institute of electronic technology. His research interests include new radar detection system, integrated communication and perception technology.

Author details
[1]Nanjing Institute of Electronic Technology, , Nanjing, China. [2]Key Laboratory of intelligent sensing technology of CETC, , Nanjing, China.

References
1. Nex, F., Remondino, F.: Uav for 3d mapping applications: a review. Applied geomatics **6**(1), 1–15 (2014)
2. Wu, Q., Zeng, Y., Zhang, R.: Joint trajectory and communication design for multi-uav enabled wireless networks. IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications **17**(3), 2109–2121 (2018)
3. Xiang, H., Tian, L.: Development of a low-cost agricultural remote sensing system based on an autonomous unmanned aerial vehicle (uav). Biosystems engineering **108**(2), 174–190 (2011)
4. Silvagni, M., Tonoli, A., Zenerino, E., Chiaberge, M.: Multipurpose uav for search and rescue operations in mountain avalanche events. Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk **8**(1), 18–33 (2017)
5. Evers, L., Dollevoet, T., Barros, A.I., Monsuur, H.: Robust uav mission planning. Annals of Operations Research **222**(1), 293–315 (2014)
6. George, J., Sujit, P., Sousa, J.B.: Search strategies for multiple uav search and destroy missions. Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems **61**(1), 355–367 (2011)
7. Doherty, P., Rudol, P.: A uav search and rescue scenario with human body detection and geolocalization. In: Australasian Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pp. 1–13 (2007). Springer
8. Manathara, J.G., Sujit, P., Beard, R.W.: Multiple uav coalitions for a search and prosecute mission. Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems **62**(1), 125–158 (2011)
9. Meng, W., He, Z., Su, R., Yadav, P.K., Teo, R., Xie, L.: Decentralized Multi-UAV Flight Autonomy for Moving Convoys Search and Track. IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology **25**(4), 1480–1487 (2017). doi:10.1109/TCST.2016.2601287

10. Shen, L., Niu, Y., Zhu, H., *et al.*: Research status of autonomous cooperative control of multiple uavs. In: Shen, L., Niu, Y., Zhu, H., *et al.*(eds.) Theories and Methods of Autonomous Cooperative Control for Multiple UAVs, 2nd edn., pp. 16–19. cience-Technology and Publication, BeiJing (2018)

11. Delle Fave, F.M., Rogers, A., Xu, Z., Sukkarieh, S., Jennings, N.R.: Deploying the max-sum algorithm for decentralised coordination and task allocation of unmanned aerial vehicles for live aerial imagery collection. In: 2012 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, pp. 469–476 (2012). IEEE

12. Jianqiang Li, Genqiang Deng, Chengwen Luo, Qiuzhen Lin, Qiao Yan, Ming, Z.: A Hybrid Path Planning Method in Unmanned Air/Ground Vehicle (UAV/UGV) Cooperative Systems. Ieee Transactions on Vehicular Technology **65**(12), 9585–9596 (2016)

13. Zheng, Z., Guo, J., Gill, E.: Onboard autonomous mission re-planning for multi-satellite system. Acta Astronautica **145**(September 2017), 28–43 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.actaastro.2018.01.017

14. Jia, Z., Yu, J., Ai, X., Xu, X., Yang, D.: Cooperative multiple task assignment problem with stochastic velocities and time windows for heterogeneous unmanned aerial vehicles using a genetic algorithm. Aerospace Science and Technology **76**, 112–125 (2018)

15. Ramirez-Atencia, C., Bello-Orgaz, G., R-Moreno, M.D., Camacho, D.: Solving complex multi-UAV mission planning problems using multi-objective genetic algorithms. Soft Computing **21**(17), 4883–4900 (2017)

16. Ni, J., Tang, G., Mo, Z., Cao, W., Yang, S.X.: An Improved Potential Game Theory Based Method for Multi-UAV Cooperative Search. IEEE Access **8**, 47787–47796 (2020)

17. Yao, W., Qi, N., Wan, N., Liu, Y.: An iterative strategy for task assignment and path planning of distributed multiple unmanned aerial vehicles. Aerospace Science and Technology **86**, 455–464 (2019). doi:10.1016/j.ast.2019.01.061

18. Saptharishi, M., Oliver, C.S., Diehl, C.P., Bhat, K.S., Dolan, J.M., Trebi-Ollennu, A., Khosla, P.K.: Distributed surveillance and reconnaissance using multiple autonomous ATVs: CyberScout. IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation **18**(5), 826–836 (2002). doi:10.1109/TRA.2002.804501

19. Yan, F.: Gauss interference ant colony algorithm-based optimization of UAV mission planning. Journal of Supercomputing **76**(2), 1170–1179 (2020). doi:10.1007/s11227-018-2540-1

20. Perez-Carabaza, S., Besada-Portas, E., Lopez-Orozco, J.A., de la Cruz, J.M.: Ant colony optimization for multi-UAV minimum time search in uncertain domains. Applied Soft Computing Journal **62**, 789–806 (2018)

21. Xia, C., Yongtai, L., Liyuan, Y., Lijie, Q.: Cooperative Task Assignment and Track Planning For Multi-UAV Attack Mobile Targets. Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems: Theory and Applications **100**(3-4), 1383–1400 (2020). doi:10.1007/s10846-020-01241-w

22. Zhen, Z., Xing, D., Gao, C.: Cooperative search-attack mission planning for multi-UAV based on intelligent self-organized algorithm. Aerospace Science and Technology **76**, 402–411 (2018)

23. ZHEN, Z., ZHU, P., XUE, Y., JI, Y.: Distributed intelligent self-organized mission planning of multi-UAV for dynamic targets cooperative search-attack. Chinese Journal of Aeronautics **32**(12), 2706–2716 (2019)

24. Zhen, Z., Chen, Y., Wen, L., Han, B.: An intelligent cooperative mission planning scheme of UAV swarm in uncertain dynamic environment. Aerospace Science and Technology **100**, 105826 (2020)

25. Alotaibi, E.T., Alqefari, S.S., Koubaa, A.: LSAR: Multi-UAV Collaboration for Search and Rescue Missions. IEEE Access **7**, 55817–55832 (2019). doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2912306

26. Kurdi, H.A., Aloboud, E., Alalwan, M., Alhassan, S., Alotaibi, E., Bautista, G., How, J.P.: Autonomous task allocation for multi-UAV systems based on the locust elastic behavior. Applied Soft Computing Journal **71**, 110–126 (2018)

27. Kurdi, H., AlDaood, M.F., Al-Megren, S., Aloboud, E., Aldawood, A.S., Youcef-Toumi, K.: Adaptive task allocation for multi-UAV systems based on bacteria foraging behaviour. Applied Soft Computing Journal **83**, 105643 (2019)

28. Park, M.G., Jeon, J.H., Lee, M.C.: Obstacle avoidance for mobile robots using artificial potential field approach with simulated annealing. In: ISIE 2001. 2001 IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics Proceedings (Cat. No. 01TH8570), vol. 3, pp. 1530–1535 (2001). IEEE

29. Chen, Y.-b., Luo, G.-c., Mei, Y.-s., Yu, J.-q., Su, X.-l.: Uav path planning using artificial potential field method updated by optimal control theory. International Journal of Systems Science **47**(6), 1407–1420 (2016)
.

# Figures

Figure 1

Discrete mission area.

Figure 2

Diagram of target attraction field.

Figure 3

Diagram of target attraction field.

**Figure 4**

Ten UAVs' paths generated after 250 iterations for the mission

(a) Comparison on number of found targets of three algorithms.

(b) Comparison on coverage rate of three algorithms.

Figure 5

Comparison after 250 iterations for the mission of three algorithms

(a) 15UAV

(b) 20UAV

Figure 6

Different number of UAVs' paths generated after 250 iterations for the mission with HL-APF


(a) Comparison on number of found targets with different number of UAVS.

(b) Comparison on coverage rate with different number of UAVS.

Figure 7

Comparison after 250 iterations for the mission with HL-APF

(a) Comparison on number of found targets of three algorithms.

(b) Comparison on coverage rate of different three algorithms.

Figure 8

Comparison after 250 iterations for the mission of three algorithms with different number of UAVS.