# ULAM STABILITY FOR THE ORTHOGONALLY GENERAL EULER - LAGRANGE TYPE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

K. Ravi<sup>1</sup>, M. Arunkumar<sup>1</sup> and J. M. Rassias<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Sacred Heart College, Tirupattur - 635 601, TamilNadu, India e-mail: shckravi@yahoo.co.in, annarun2002@yahoo.co.in <sup>2</sup> Pedagogical Department E.E., Section of Mathematics and Informatics, National and Capodistrian University of Athens, 4, Agamemnonos Str., Aghia Paraskevi, Athens 15342, GREECE. e-mail: jrassias@primedu.uoa.gr

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper, J. M. Rassias introduces the general Euler - Lagrange type functional equation of the form

 $f(mx+y) + f(mx-y) = 2f(x+y) + 2f(x-y) + 2(m^2-2)f(x) - 2f(y)$ (\*)

for any arbitrary but fixed real constant m with  $m \neq 0$ ;  $m \neq \pm 1$ ;  $m \neq \pm \sqrt{2}$ . We investigate the Ulam stability for the orthogonally general Euler - Lagrange type functional equation (\*) controlled by the mixed type product-sum function

$$(x,y) \to \epsilon \left[ \parallel x \parallel_E^p \parallel y \parallel_E^p + \left( \parallel x \parallel_E^{2p} + \parallel y \parallel_E^{2p} \right) \right]$$

introduced by the third author of this paper, and by a non-negative function with  $x \perp y$ .

**Keywords:** Hyers - Ulam - Rassias stability , Ulam - Gavurta - Rassias stability, Orthogonally Euler -Lagrange functional equation, Orthogonality space, Quadratic mapping.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B55, 39B52, 39B82,46H25.

## 1 Introduction

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [27] raised the question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms:

Let  $G_1$  be a group and let  $G_2$  be a metric group with the metric  $\rho(.,.)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if a function  $h : G_1 \to G_2$  satisfies the inequality  $\rho(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , then there exists a homomorphism  $H : G_1 \to G_2$  with  $\rho(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in G_1$ ?

D. H. Hyers [6] answered this problem under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. Th. M. Rassias [24] generalized the theorem of Hyers for approximately linear mappings. The stability phenomenon that was proved by Th. M. Rassias [24] is called the Hyers - Ulam - Rassias Stability.

J. M. Rassias [11-23] solved the Ulam problem for different mappings and for many Euler-Lagrange type quadratic mappings. In 2005, J. M. Rassias [23] solved Euler- Lagrange type quadratic functional equation of the form

 $Q(m_1a_1x_1 + m_2a_2x_2) + m_1m_2Q(a_1x_1 - a_2x_2) = (m_1a_1^2 + m_2a_2^2)(m_1Q(x_1) + m_2Q(x_2))$ 

and discussed its Ulam stability problem.

The orthogonal Cauchy functional equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y), x \perp y$$
(1.1)

in which  $\perp$  is an abstract orthogonality symbol, was investigated by S. Gudder and D. Strawther [5]. R. Ger and J. Sikorska discussed the orthogonal stability of the equation (1.1) in [4].

We now introduce the concepts of orthogonality vector space, orthogonality space and orthogonality normed space and then proceed to prove our main results.

**Definition 1.1.** A vector space *X* is called an *orthogonality vector space* if there is a relation  $x \perp y$  on *X* such that

- (i)  $x \perp 0$ ,  $0 \perp x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- (ii) if  $x \perp y$  and  $x, y \neq 0$ , then x, y are linearly independent;
- (iii)  $x \perp y$ ,  $ax \perp by$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ;

(iv) if P is a two-dimensional subspace of X; then

(a) for every  $x \in P$  there exists  $0 \neq y \in P$  such that  $x \perp y$ ;

(b) there exists vectors  $x, y \neq 0$  such that  $x \perp y$  and  $x + y \perp x - y$ .

Any vector space can be made into an orthogonality vector space if we define  $x \perp 0, 0 \perp x$  for all x and for non zero vector x, y define  $x \perp y$  iff x, y are linearly independent. The relation  $\perp$  is called symmetric if  $x \perp y$  implies that  $y \perp x$  for all x,  $y \in X$ . The pair  $(x, \perp)$  is called an *orthogonality space*. It becomes *orthogonality normed space* when the orthogonality space is equipped with a norm.

**Definition 1.2.** Let *X* be an orthogonality space and *Y* be a real Banach space. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called *orthogonally quadratic* if it satisfies the so called orthogonally Euler-Lagrange (or Jordan - von Neumann) quadratic functional equation

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$
(1.2)

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \perp y$ , (see [15]).

The orthogonality Hilbert space for the orthogonally quadratic functional equation (1.2) was first investigated by F. Vajzovic [28]. Recently Ulam - Gavruta - Rassias stability for the orthogonally Euler - Lagrange type functional equation of the form

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 2f(x+y) + 2f(x-y) + 4f(x) - 2f(y)$$
(1.3)

was investigated by Ravi and Arunkumar [26].

In this paper, we investigate the Ulam stability for the orthogonally general Euler - Lagrange type functional equation

$$f(mx+y) + f(mx-y) = 2f(x+y) + 2f(x-y) + 2(m^2 - 2)f(x) - 2f(y)$$
(1.4)

for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \perp y$ , controlled by the mixed type product-sum function

$$(x,y) \to \epsilon \left\{ \| x \|_{E}^{p} \| y \|_{E}^{p} + \left( \| x \|_{E}^{2p} + \| y \|_{E}^{2p} \right) \right\},$$

a concept introduced by the third author of this paper, and by a general non-negative function. Note that the general Euler - Lagrange type functional equation (1.4) is equivalent to the standard Euler - Lagrange equation (1.2).

A mapping  $f : X \to Y$  is called orthogonally quadratic if it satisfies the quadratic functional equation (1.4) for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \perp y$  where X be an orthogonality space and Y be a real Banach space.

## 2 Stability of the Functional Equation (1.4)

In this section, let  $(E, \perp)$  denote an orthogonality normed space with norm  $\|\cdot\|_E$  and  $(F, \|\cdot\|_F)$  is a Banach space.

#### **Theorem 2.1.** Let $f: E \to F$ be a mapping which satisfying the inequality

$$\| f(mx+y) + f(mx-y) - 2f(x+y) - 2f(x-y) - 2(m^2 - 2)f(x) + 2f(y) \|_F$$
  
 
$$\leq \epsilon \left\{ \| x \|_E^p \| y \|_E^p + \left( \| x \|_E^{2p} + \| x \|_E^{2p} \right) \right\}$$
 (2.1)

for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $x \perp y$ , where  $\epsilon$  and p are constants with  $\epsilon, p > 0$  and either

$$m > 1; p < 1 \text{ or } m < 1; p > 1 \text{ with } m \neq 0; m \neq \pm 1; m \neq \pm \sqrt{2} \text{ and } -1 \neq |m|^{p-1} < 1.$$

Then the limit

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(m^n x)}{m^{2n}}$$
(2.2)

exists for all  $x \in E$  and  $Q : E \to F$  is the unique orthogonally Euler - Lagrange quadratic mapping such that

$$\| f(x) - Q(x) \|_{F} \le \frac{\epsilon}{2|m^{2} - m^{2p}|} \|x\|_{E}^{2p}$$
(2.3)

for all  $x \in E$ .

*Proof.* Replacing (x, y) with (0, 0) in (2.1), we obtain  $2|2-m^2| \parallel f(0) \parallel = 0$  or f(0) = 0 if  $m^2 \neq 2$ . Again substituting (x, y) by (x, 0) in (2.1), we get

$$\|f(mx) - m^2 f(x)\|_F \le \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \|x\|_E^{2p}$$

(*i.e*)., 
$$\left\|\frac{f(mx)}{m^2} - f(x)\right\|_F \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{m^2} \|x\|_E^{2p} \ (m \neq 0)$$
(2.4)

for all  $x \in E$ . Now replacing x by mx and dividing by  $m^2$  in (2.4) and then adding the resulting inequality with (2.4), we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{f(m^2x)}{m^4} - f(x)\right\|_F \le \frac{1}{2}\frac{\epsilon}{m^2}\left(1 + \frac{m^{2p}}{m^2}\right)\|x\|_E^{2p}$$
(2.5)

for all  $x \in E$ . Using induction on n we obtain that

$$\left\|\frac{f(m^{n}x)}{m^{2n}} - f(x)\right\|_{F} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{m^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{m^{2pk}}{m^{2k}} \|x\|_{E}^{2p}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{m^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{2pk}}{m^{2k}} \|x\|_{E}^{2p}$$
(2.6)

for all  $x \in E$ . In order to prove the convergence of the sequence  $\{f(m^n x)/m^{2n}\}$  replace x by  $m^l x$  and divide by  $m^{2l}$  in (2.6), for any n, l > 0, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(m^{n+l}x)}{m^{2(l+n)}} - \frac{f(m^{l}x)}{m^{2l}} \right\|_{F} &= \frac{1}{m^{2l}} \left\| \frac{f(m^{n+l}x)}{m^{2n}} - f(m^{l}x) \right\|_{F} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{m^{2}} \frac{1}{m^{2l(1-p)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{2pk}}{m^{2k}} \left\| x \right\|_{E}^{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.7)$$

Since  $m^{2(1-p)} < 1$ , the R.H.S of (2.7) tends to 0 as  $l \to \infty$  for all  $x \in E$ . Thus  $\{f(m^n x)/m^{2n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since *F* is complete, there exists a mapping  $Q: E \to F$  such that

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(m^n x)}{m^{2n}} \quad \forall x \in E$$

By letting  $n \to \infty$  in (2.6), we arrive the formula (2.3) for all  $x \in E$ . To prove Q satisfies (1.4), replace (x, y) by  $(m^n x, m^n y)$  in (2.1) and divide by  $m^{2n}$  then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{m^{2n}} \parallel f(m^n(mx+y)) + f(m^n(mx-y)) - 2f(m^n(x+y)) - 2f(m^n(x-y)) \\ &- 2(m^2 - 2)f(m^nx) + 2f(m^ny) \parallel_F \leq \frac{\epsilon}{m^{2n}} \left\{ \parallel m^nx \parallel_E^p \parallel m^ny \parallel_E^p + \left( \parallel m^nx \parallel_E^{2p} + \parallel m^ny \parallel_E^{2p} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, we get

$$\| Q(mx+y) + Q(mx-y) - 2Q(x+y) - 2Q(x-y) - 2(m^2-2)Q(x) + 2Q(y) \|_F \le 0.$$

which gives

$$Q(mx + y) + Q(mx - y) = 2Q(x + y) + 2Q(x - y) + 2(m^{2} - 2)Q(x) - 2Q(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $x \perp y$ . Therefore  $Q : E \to F$  is an orthogonally Euler - Lagrange quadratic mapping which satisfies (1.4). To prove the uniqueness of Q, let Q' be another orthogonally Euler - Lagrange quadratic mapping satisfying (1.4) and the inequality (2.3). We have

$$\begin{split} \left\| Q(x) - Q'(x) \right\|_{F} &= \quad \frac{1}{m^{2n}} \left\{ \| Q(m^{n}x) - f(m^{n}x) \|_{F} + \left\| f(m^{n}x) - Q'(m^{n}x) \right\|_{F} \right\} \\ &\leq \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{m^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2(k+n)(1-p)}} \| x \|_{E}^{2p} \\ &\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \end{split}$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Therefore Q is unique. This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f : E \to F$  be a mapping which satisfying the inequality

$$\| f(mx+y) + f(mx-y) - 2f(x+y) - 2f(x-y) - 2(m^2 - 2)f(x) + 2f(y) \|_F$$
  
 
$$\leq \epsilon \left\{ \| x \|_E^p \| y \|_E^p + \left( \| x \|_E^{2p} + \| x \|_E^{2p} \right) \right\}$$
 (2.8)

for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $x \perp y$ , where  $\epsilon$  and p are constants with  $\epsilon, p > 0$  and either

$$m > 1; p > 1 \text{ or } m < 1; p < 1 \text{ with } m \neq 0; m \neq \pm 1; m \neq \pm \sqrt{2} \text{ and } -1 \neq |m|^{1-p} < 1$$

Then the limit

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} m^{2n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^n}\right)$$
(2.9)

exists for all  $x \in E$  and  $Q : E \to F$  is the unique orthogonally Euler - Lagrange quadratic mapping such that

$$\| f(x) - Q(x) \|_F \le \frac{\epsilon}{2|m^{2p} - m^2|} \|x\|_E^{2p}$$
 (2.10)

for all  $x \in E$ .

*Proof.* Replacing x by  $\frac{x}{m}(m \neq 0)$  in (2.4), we get

$$\left\| f(x) - m^2 f\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \right\|_F \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{m^{2p}} \|x\|_E^{2p} \, (m \neq 0)$$
(2.11)

for all  $x \in E$ . Now replacing x by  $\frac{x}{m}$  and multiply by  $m^2$  in (2.11) and summing the resultant inequality with (2.11), we arrive

$$\left\| f(x) - m^4 f\left(\frac{x}{m^2}\right) \right\|_F \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{m^{2p}} \left( 1 + \frac{m^2}{m^{2p}} \right) \|x\|_E^{2p}$$
(2.12)

for all  $x \in E$ . Using induction on n we obtain that

$$\left\| f(x) - m^{2n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^n}\right) \right\|_F \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{m^{2p}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{m^{2k}}{m^{2pk}} \|x\|_E^{2p}$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{m^{2p}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{2k}}{m^{2pk}} \|x\|_E^{2p}$$
(2.13)

for all  $x \in E$ . In order to prove the convergence of the sequence  $\{m^{2n}f\left(\frac{x}{m^n}\right)\}$ , replace x by  $\frac{x}{m^l}$  and multiply by  $m^{2l}$  in (2.13), for any n, l > 0, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left\| m^{2(n+l)} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{l+n}}\right) - m^{2l} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{l}}\right) \right\|_{F} &= m^{2l} \left\| m^{2n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^{l+n}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{m^{l}}\right) \right\|_{F} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{m^{2p}} \frac{1}{m^{2l(p-1)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{2k}}{m^{2pk}} \|x\|_{E}^{2p} \,. \end{split}$$
(2.14)

Since  $m^{2(p-1)} < 1$ , the R.H.S of (2.14) tends to 0 as  $l \to \infty$  for all  $x \in E$ . Thus  $\{m^{2n}f(\frac{x}{m^n})\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since F is complete, there exists a mapping  $Q: E \to F$  such that

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} m^{2n} f\left(\frac{x}{m^n}\right) \qquad \forall x \in E.$$

By letting  $n \to \infty$  in (2.13), we arrive the formula (2.10) for all  $x \in E$ . To show that Q is unique and it satisfies (1.4), the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1

**Theorem 2.3.** Let *E* be a real orthogonality normed linear space and *F* be a real complete normed linear space. Assume in addition that  $f : E \to F$  is an approximately quadratic mappings for which there exists a constant  $\theta > 0$  such that *f* satisfies

$$\| f(mx+y) + f(mx-y) - 2f(x+y) - 2f(x-y) - 2(m^2 - 2)f(x) + 2f(y) \|_F$$
  
$$\leq \theta H(x,y), \quad x \perp y$$
(2.15)

for all  $(x, y) \in E^2, x \perp y$  and  $H : E^2 \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  is a non negative real valued function, such that

$$R(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(m^j x, 0)}{m^{2j}} (<\infty) (m \neq 0)$$
(2.16)

is a non negative function on x, with  $m \neq 0; m \neq \pm 1; m \neq \pm \sqrt{2}$  and the condition

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{H(m^k x, m^k y)}{m^{2k}} = 0$$
(2.17)

holds. Then there exists a unique orthogonally Euler - Lagrange quadratic mappings  $Q: E \rightarrow F$  such that

$$\| f(x) - Q(x) \|_F \le \frac{\theta}{2m^2} R(x) + \frac{\| f(0) \|_F}{|m^2 - 1|}$$
 (2.18)

for all  $x \in E$ . In addition  $f : E \to F$  is a mapping such that the transformation  $t \to f(tx)$  is continuous in real t for each fixed  $x \in E$ , then Q is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping.

*Proof.* Letting y = 0 in (2.15), we get

$$\left\|\frac{f(mx)}{m^2} - f(x) + \frac{f(0)}{m^2}\right\|_F \le \frac{\theta}{2 m^2} H(x, 0) \quad (m \ne 0)$$
$$\left\|f(x) - \frac{f(mx)}{m^2}\right\|_F \le \frac{\theta}{2 m^2} H(x, 0) + \frac{||f(0)||_F}{m^2} \quad (m \ne 0)$$
(2.19)

for all  $x \in E$ . Now replacing x by mx divide by  $m^2$  in (2.19), we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{f(mx)}{m^2} - \frac{f(m^2x)}{m^4}\right\|_F \le \frac{\theta}{2 m^4} H(mx, 0) + \frac{||f(0)||_F}{m^4}$$

Using (2.19) and the above inequality, we arrive

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{f(m^2 x)}{m^4} \right\|_F \le \frac{\theta}{2m^2} \left[ H(x,0) + \frac{H(mx,0)}{m^2} \right] + \frac{||f(0)||_F}{m^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{m^2} \right]$$
(2.20)

for all  $x \in E$ . Using the induction on n we obtain that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{f(m^n x)}{m^{2n}} \right\|_F \le \left\| \frac{\theta}{2m^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{H(m^j x, 0)}{m^{2j}} + \frac{||f(0)||_F}{m^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m^{2j}} \right\|_F$$
(2.21)

for all  $x \in E$ . In order to prove the convergence of the sequence  $\{\frac{f(m^n x)}{m^{2n}}\}$  replace x by  $m^l x$ and divided by  $m^{2l}$  in (2.21), for any n, l > 0, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left\| \frac{f(m^l x)}{m^{2l}} - \frac{f(m^{n+l} x)}{m^{2(n+l)}} \right\|_F &= \frac{1}{m^{2l}} \left\| f(m^l x) - \frac{f(m^{n+l} x)}{m^{2n}} - \right\|_F \\ &\leq \quad \frac{\theta}{2m^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{H(m^{j+l} x, 0)}{m^{2(j+l)}} + \frac{||f(0)||_F}{m^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m^{2(j+l)}} \\ &\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad l \to \infty \end{split}$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Thus  $\{\frac{f(m^n x)}{m^{2n}}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since F is complete, there exists a mapping  $Q: E \to F$  such that

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(m^n x)}{m^{2n}}, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in (2.21) and using the definition of Q(x) and (2.16), we arrive at the formula (2.18). Indeed

$$\begin{split} \|f(x) - Q(x)\|_F &\leq \quad \frac{\theta}{2m^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(m^j x, 0)}{m^{2j}} + \frac{||f(0)||_F}{m^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2j}} \\ &\leq \quad \frac{\theta}{2m^2} R(x) + \frac{||f(0)||_F}{m^2} \left[ \frac{m^2}{m^2 - 1} \right] \\ &\leq \quad \frac{\theta}{2m^2} R(x) + \frac{||f(0)||_F}{|m^2 - 1|} \end{split}$$

for all  $x \in E$ . To prove Q satisfies (1.4), replace (x, y) by  $(m^n x, m^n y)$  in (2.15) and divide by  $m^{2n}$  then it follows that

$$\frac{1}{m^{2n}} \| f(m^n(mx+y)) + f(m^n(mx-y)) - 2f(m^n(x+y)) - 2f(m^n(x-y)) - 2(m^2-2)f(m^nx) + 2f(m^ny) \|_F \le \frac{\theta}{m^{2n}} H(m^nx, m^ny)$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$  in the above inequality, we get

$$\| Q(mx+y) + Q(mx-y) - 2Q(x+y) - 2Q(x-y) - 2(m^2-2)Q(x) + 2Q(y) \|_F \le 0.$$

which gives

$$Q(mx + y) + Q(mx - y) = 2Q(x + y) + 2Q(x - y) + 2(m^{2} - 2)Q(x) - 2Q(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $x \perp y$ . Therefore  $Q : E \rightarrow F$  is an orthogonally Euler - Lagrange quadratic mapping which satisfies (1.4). To prove the uniqueness of Q, let Q' be another orthogonally Euler - Lagrange quadratic mapping satisfying (1.4) and the inequality (2.18). We have

$$\begin{split} \left\| Q(x) - Q'(x) \right\|_{F} &= \quad \frac{1}{m^{2n}} \left\{ \left\| Q(m^{n}x) - f(m^{n}x) \right\|_{F} + \left\| f(m^{n}x) - Q'(m^{n}x) \right\|_{F} \right\} \\ &\leq \quad \frac{1}{m^{2n}} \left\{ \frac{\theta}{m^{2}} R(x) + \frac{2||f(0)||_{F}}{|m^{2} - 1|} \right\} \\ &\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \end{split}$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Therefore Q is unique. This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let *E* be a real orthogonality normed linear space and *F* be a real complete normed linear space. Assume in addition that  $f : E \to F$  is an approximately quadratic mappings for which there exists a constant  $\theta > 0$  such that *f* satisfies

$$\| f(mx+y) + f(mx-y) - 2f(x+y) - 2f(x-y) - 2(m^2 - 2)f(x) + 2f(y) \|_F$$
  
$$\leq \theta H(x,y), \quad x \perp y$$
(2.22)

for all  $(x, y) \in E^2, x \perp y$  and  $H : E^2 \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$  is a non negative real valued function, such that

$$R(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m^{2j} H\left(\frac{x}{m^{j+1}}, 0\right) (<\infty) (m \neq 0)$$
(2.23)

is a non negative function on x, with  $m \neq 0$ ;  $m \neq \pm 1$ ;  $m \neq \pm \sqrt{2}$  and the condition

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} m^{2k} H\left(\frac{x}{m^k}, \frac{y}{m^k}\right) = 0$$
(2.24)

holds. Then there exists a unique orthogonally Euler - Lagrange quadratic mappings  $Q: E \rightarrow F$  such that

$$\| f(x) - Q(x) \|_{F} \le \frac{\theta}{2} R(x) + \frac{\| f(0) \|_{F}}{|1 - m^{2}|}$$
(2.25)

for all  $x \in E$ . In addition  $f : E \to F$  is a mapping such that the transformation  $t \to f(tx)$  is continuous in real t for each fixed  $x \in E$ , then Q is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear mapping.

*Proof.* Replacing x by  $\frac{x}{m}$  in (2.19) and using the proof of Theorem 2.3, we arrive at the desired result.

The following two analogous Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 can be obtained as two special cases: either m = 1 or m = -1. In these two cases the pertinent functional equations are obviously equivalent to the classical quadratic equation:

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$
(2.26)

for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $x \perp y$ .

# **Theorem 2.5.** Let $f : E \to F$ be a mapping satisfying the inequality

$$\| f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) \|_{F} \le \epsilon \left[ \| x \|_{E}^{p} \| y \|_{E}^{p} + \left( \| x \|_{E}^{2p} + \| x \|_{E}^{2p} \right) \right]$$
(2.27)

for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $x \perp y$ , where  $\epsilon$  and p are constants with  $\epsilon > 0$  and p < 1. Then the limit

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n}$$
(2.28)

exists for all  $x \in E$  and  $Q: E \to F$  is the unique Euler - Lagrange quadratic mapping such that

$$\| f(x) - Q(x) \|_{F} \le \frac{3 \epsilon}{4 - 2^{2p}} \|x\|_{E}^{2p}$$
(2.29)

for all  $x \in E$ .

*Proof.* Letting y = x in (2.27), we get

$$\left\|\frac{f(2x)}{4} - f(x)\right\|_{F} \le \frac{3}{4} \|x\|_{E}^{2p}$$
(2.30)

for all  $x \in E$ . Now Replacing x by 2x and dividing by 4 in (2.30) and summing the resultant inequality with (2.30), we arrive

$$\left\|\frac{f(2^2x)}{4^2} - f(x)\right\|_F \le \frac{3}{4} \epsilon \left(1 + \frac{2^{2p}}{4}\right) \|x\|_E^{2p}$$
(2.31)

for all  $x \in E$ . Using induction on n, we obtain that

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^{n}x)}{4^{n}} - f(x) \right\|_{F} \leq \frac{3}{4} \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{2^{2pk}}{4^{k}} \|x\|_{E}^{2p}$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{4} \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2pk}}{4^{k}} \|x\|_{E}^{2p}$$
(2.32)

for all  $x \in E$ . In order to prove the convergence of the sequence  $\{f(2^n x)/4^n\}$ , replace x by  $2^l x$ and divide by  $4^l$  in (2.32), for n, l > 0, we obtain

$$\left\|\frac{f(2^{n+l}x)}{4^{l+n}} - \frac{f(2^{l}x)}{4^{l}}\right\|_{F} = \frac{1}{4^{l}} \left\|\frac{f(2^{n+l}x)}{4^{n}} - f(2^{l}x)\right\|_{F}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4^{l}} \frac{3}{4} \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{2^{2pk}}{4^{k}} \left\|2^{l}x\right\|_{E}^{2p}$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{4} \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2p(k+l)}}{4^{(k+l)}} \left\|x\right\|_{E}^{2p}$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{4} \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2(1-p)(k+l)}} \left\|x\right\|_{E}^{2p}.$$
(2.33)

As p < 1, the R.H.S of (2.33) tends to 0 as  $l \to \infty$ . Thus  $\{f(2^n x)/4^n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since F is complete, there exists a mapping  $Q : E \to F$  and define

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Letting  $n \to \infty$  in (2.32), we arrive the formula (2.29) for all  $x \in E$ . To prove Q satisfies (1.4) and it is unique the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1. Hence the proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $f : E \to F$  be a mapping satisfying the inequality

$$\| f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) \|_{F} \le \epsilon \left[ \| x \|_{E}^{p} \| y \|_{E}^{p} + \left( \| x \|_{E}^{2p} + \| x \|_{E}^{2p} \right) \right]$$
(2.34)

for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $x \perp y$ , where  $\epsilon$  and p are constants with  $\epsilon > 0$  and p > 1. Then the limit

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \tag{2.35}$$

exists for all  $x \in E$  and  $Q : E \to F$  is the unique orthogonally Euler - Lagrange quadratic mapping such that

$$\| f(x) - Q(x) \|_{F} \le \frac{3 \epsilon}{2^{2p} - 4} \|x\|_{E}^{2p}$$
 (2.36)

for all  $x \in E$ .

*Proof.* Replacing x by  $\frac{x}{m}$  in (2.30) and using the proof of Theorem 2.5, we arrive at the desired result.

#### References

- J. Alonso and C. Benitez, 1988, Orthogonality in normed linear spaces: survey I. Main properties, Extracta Math. 3, 1-15.
- [2] J. Alonso and C. Benitez, 1989, Orthogonality in normed linear spaces: a survey II. Relations between main orthogonalities, Extracta Math. 4, 121-131.
- [3] J. Aczel and J. Dhombres, 1989, Functional Equations in Several Variables, (Cambridge Univ, Press, Cambridge).
- [4] R. Ger and J. Sikorska, 1995, Stability of the orthogonal additivity, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 43, 143-151.
- [5] S. Gudder and D. Strawther, 1975, Orthogonally additive and orthogonally increasing functions on vector spaces, Pacific J. Math. 58, 427-436.
- [6] D.H.Hyers, 1941, On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A., 27, 222-224.
- [7] J.C.James, 1945, Orthogonality in normed linear spaces, Duck Math. J. 12, 291-302.
- [8] P. Nakmahachalasint, 2007, On the generalized Ulam Gavruta Rassias stability of a mixed type linear and Euler - Lagrange - Rassias functional equation, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences: IJMMS, Volume 2007, Article ID 63239, 1-10, doi:10.1155/2007/63239, Hindawi Publ. Corporation., 2007.

- [9] P. Nakmahachalasint, 2007, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias and Ulam Gavruta Rassias stabilities of an additive functional equation in several variables, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences: IJMMS, Hindawi Publ. Corporation., 2007.
- [10] A. Pietrzyk, 2006, Stability of the Euler Lagrange Rassias functional equation, Demonstratio Mathematica, 39 (3), 523 - 530.
- [11] J.M.Rassias, 1982, On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, J. Funct. Anal. USA, 46, 126-130.
- [12] J.M.Rassias, 1984, On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, Bull. Sc. Math, 108, 445-446.
- [13] J. M. Rassias, 1989, Solution of problem of Ulam, J. Approx. Th. USA, 57, 268-273.
- [14] J. M. Rassias, 1992, Solution of a stability problem of Ulam, Discuss. Math. 12, 95-103.
- [15] J. M. Rassias, 1992, On the stability of the Euler-Lagrange functional equation, Chinese J. Math. 20, 185-190.
- [16] J. M. Rassias, 1994, On the stability of the non-linear Euler- Lagrange functional equation in real normed linear spaces, J. Math. Phys. Sci. 28, 231-235.
- [17] J. M. Rassias, 1994, Complete solution of the multi dimensional problem of Ulam, Discuss Math. 14, 101-107.
- [18] J. M. Rassias, 1996, On the stability of the general Euler- Lagrange functional equation, Demonstratio Math. 29, 755-766.
- [19] J. M. Rassias, 1998, Solution of the Ulam stability problem for Euler- Lagrange quadratic mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 220, 613-639.
- [20] J. M. Rassias, 1999, Generalizations of the Eulers theorem to Heptagons leading to a quadratic vector identity. In Advances in Equations and Inequalities, (Hadronic press, Inc.), (1999) 179-183.
- [21] J. M. Rassias, 1999, On the stability of the multi dimensional Euler- Lagrange functional equation, J. Ind. Math. Soc. 66, 1-9.
- [22] J. M. Rassias, 2002, On some approximately quadratic mappings being exactly quadratic, J. Ind. Math. Soc 69, 155-160.
- [23] J. M. Rassias and M. J. Rassias, 2005, On the Ulam stability for Euler- Lagrange type quadratic functional equations, Austral. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2, 1-10.
- [24] Th.M.Rassias, 1978, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72, 297-300.
- [25] J. Rätz, 1985, On orthogonally additive mappings, Aequationes Math. 28, 35-49.
- [26] K. Ravi and M. Arunkumar, 2007, On the Ulam- Gavruta- Rassias stability of the orthogonally Euler- Lagrange type functional equation, IJAMAS, Vol. 7, No. Fe 07, 143-156.
- [27] S.M.Ulam, 1960, Problems in Modern Mathematics, (Wiley, New York).
- [28] F. Vajzovic, 1967, Uber das Funktional H mit der Eigenschaft:  $(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow H(x+y) + H(x-y) = 2H(x) + 2H(y)$ , Glasnik Mat. Ser. III 2 (22), 73-81.