| 1 | Ultrasonic vocalizations of female Norway rats (Rattus norvegicus) in response to |
|---|---|
| 2 | social partners |
| 3 | Annegret Börner ¹ , Rebecca Hjemdahl ¹ , Thomas Götz ² and Gillian R. Brown ¹ |
| 4 | ¹ School of Psychology & Neuroscience, University of St Andrews, U.K. |
| 5 | ² School of Biology, University of St Andrews, U.K. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | In press: Journal of Comparative Psychology |

- 8 Annegret Börner is currently based at the Institute of Psychology, Christian-Albrechts University
- 9 of Kiel, Germany.
- 10 Funding was provided by School of Psychology & Neuroscience and Institute of Behavioural
- 11 and Neural Sciences, University of St Andrews.
- 12 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Gillian R. Brown, School of
- 13 Psychology & Neuroscience, University of St Andrews, South Street, St Andrews, Fife, KY16
- 14 9JP, U.K. E-mail: grb4@st-andrews.ac.uk

15 Abstract

In many species of animals, male vocalizations function to attract mating partners and coordinate 16 sexual interactions. While male vocalizations have been well studied in several species, the 17 18 function of female vocalizations in mating contexts is not fully understood. In Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*), both males and females produce ultrasonic vocalizations (USVs) during 19 sexual encounters with opposite-sex partners. The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis 20 that female vocalizations play a role in sociosexual interactions by examining how rates of 21 22 50kHz USV production vary in relation to the sex and gonadal status of the partner, and by examining whether the proportion of frequency modulated (FM) and constant frequency calls 23 24 differs between these categories of social partner. The results showed that females produced a higher total number of 50kHz USVs to intact males than castrated males, and produced similar 25 26 numbers of calls to both categories of females. Females also produced a higher proportion of FM 27 calls to male partners than to female partners, and spent more time in the vicinity of male than female partners, regardless of the partners' gonadal status. Female USVs therefore potentially 28 29 provide a measure of sexual motivation and may function to promote female mate choice in this 30 species with multi-male mating and a high risk of infanticide.

31 Keywords Communication, rodents, 50kHz, frequency modulated calls

32 Introduction

33 In many animal species, male vocalizations are important in mate attraction and courtship (Bradbury & Vehrencamp, 2011), and sexual selection theory has provided convincing 34 35 explanations for the evolution of male vocal traits (Andersson, 1994). In contrast, questions regarding female vocalizations have been somewhat neglected, despite growing evidence that 36 37 females produce vocalizations in mating contexts in several taxonomic groups, including reptiles 38 (Young, Mathevon, & Tang, 2014), birds (Odom et al., 2014) and mammals (Neunuebel, Taylor, Arthur, & Egnor, 2015; Pradhan, Engelhardt, van Schaik, & Maestripieri, 2006). Some studies 39 have shown that female vocalization rates vary according to the stage of the reproductive cycle 40 41 (Langmore & Davies, 1997; Matochik, White, & Barfield, 1992a; Schön et al., 2007), which raises the possibility that selection will have favoured males that allocate mating effort on the 42 basis of female vocal characteristics. Both male and female mating partners are likely to benefit 43 44 from using USVs to co-ordinate mating encounters during the fertile period. However, in situations where conflicts of interest occur over matings, females could also benefit from 45 46 vocalizing by promoting male-male competition, gaining matings with multiple partners and encouraging sperm competition (Pradhan et al., 2006). Thus, a greater understanding of female 47 vocalizations could shed light on how sexual selection has acted on between-sex communication. 48

The ultrasonic vocalizations (USVs) produced by rodents provide opportunities to study 49 vocalizations in a laboratory setting. Rodent USVs are elicited in a range of social situations 50 (Wöhr & Schwarting, 2013), and production of USVs provides an indicator of affective state 51 (Brudzynski, 2013). Male rodents produce USVs around 50kHz in frequency during courtship 52 53 (McIntosh & Barfield, 1980), and females respond to playbacks of these calls with approach behavior (Willadsen, Seffer, Schwarting, & Wöhr, 2014). Different sub-types of 50kHz USVs 54 have been recorded: frequency modulated (FM) 50kHz USVs are the most commonly produced 55 56 calls during mating interactions (Burgdorf et al., 2008), while constant frequency 50kHz calls are more often given during aggressive encounters (Burgdorf et al., 2008). Female Norway rats
(*Rattus norvegicus*) have also been reported to produce 50kHz USVs during sexual interactions
(Thomas & Barfield, 1985; White & Barfield, 1989; White, Colona, & Barfield, 1991).
However, whether female vocalizations function to attract mating partners remains unclear
(Snoeren & Ågmo, 2013), and the sub-types of 50kHz USVs given by female rats in mating
contexts have yet to be fully investigated.

Here, we tested the hypothesis that female 50kHz USVs play a role in sociosexual 63 interactions by examining how rates and sub-types of 50kHz USV production vary in relation to 64 the sex and gonadal status of the partner. USVs were recorded from female rats following brief 65 66 exposure to male or female partners that were either gonadally intact or had been gonadectomised. Rates of 50kHz USV production were predicted to be higher in response to 67 male than female partners, and higher for gonadally intact than castrated males, as in previous 68 69 studies (White et al., 1991; McGinnis & Vakulenko, 2003). In addition, we examined whether the proportion of FM 50kHz calls was higher for male than female partners, as previous studies 70 71 have used bat detectors that do not allow discrimination of call sub-types (White et al., 1991; 72 McGinnis & Vakulenko, 2003). USVs were recorded following removal of the partner (as in McGinnis & Vakulenko, 2003; Yang, Loureiro, Kalikhman, & Crawley, 2013) to ensure that 73 74 vocalizations were recorded from the subject only. Time spent in the vicinity of the partner prior to removal was also measured and was predicted to be highest for intact males. 75

76

77 Methods

78 Subjects and stimuli animals

The subjects were eight female Lister-hooded rats, and the stimuli animals were eight Listerhooded rats: two intact males, two castrated males, two intact females, two ovariectomized

females (all animals were supplied by Harlan, UK; gonadectomies were carried out by the
supplier). All animals were housed as same-sex pairs with *ad libitum* access to food and water.
Housing rooms were on a 12hr light/dark cycle (lights on 07:00) with temperature and humidity
control. All appropriate guidelines regulations were observed, as set out in the Principles of
Laboratory Animal Care (NIH, Publication No. 85-23, revised 1985) and the UK Home Office
Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. (UK Home Office Animals (Scientific Procedures)
Act 1986).

88

89 Apparatus

Tests were conducted in a rectangular arena (length=70cm, width=48cm, height=45cm; Figure 90 **1a**) with grey-painted wooden walls, a solid floor and transparent lid, located in a testing room 91 92 with dim white lighting (15lux). The arena was divided into a larger section (length=50cm) and a smaller section (length=20cm) using a removable, transparent partition with small air holes. The 93 lid of the larger section was marked half-way to visually distinguish the half closest to the 94 partition. Real-time behavioral data were collected on a computer running in-house software. 95 USVs were recorded using an UltraSoundGate Condenser Microphone CM16/CMPA (Avisoft-96 97 Bioacoustics, Germany; frequency range 10–200kHz), which was suspended above the larger section of the arena (40cm above floor level) through a hole in the lid. The analogue microphone 98 99 output was digitized using an Edirol FA101 sound card (Roland Corp., Japan; 192kHz sampling rate in 24-bit format) and stored as a wave file. The sound card was operated using open source 100 101 software (Pamguard, version Beta1.11.02).

102

104 Experimental design

Each female subject animal (henceforth 'subject') was tested eight times over a two-week 105 period, once with each stimulus animal (henceforth 'partner'), with order of exposure counter-106 107 balanced across subjects. At the start of a test, a subject and partner were transported to the testing room in separate boxes. The partner was placed into the smaller section of the arena, with 108 the partition lowered, before the subject was placed into the larger section, and the lid closed. For 109 the first 5 minutes, the position of the subject was recorded in real-time (i.e., subject located in 110 the half of the larger section nearest to the partition or in the half of the larger section furthest 111 from the partition). The partner was then removed from the arena and testing room and the 112 113 partition raised. For the next 5 minutes, the subject had access to the whole arena, and USVs were recorded. The arena was cleaned after each test with 70% alcohol. 114

115

116 Behavioral and USV analysis

117 For behavioral data, we calculated the percentage of time spent in the half of the section nearest to the partition during the 5 minutes when the partner was present. For USV data, we examined 118 119 the number and sub-type of USV produced by subjects during the 5 minutes after the partner had been removed. Wave files were visualised in spectrographic displays using Audacity (version 120 2.0.1.). Spectrograms were computed using Fast Fourier Transformations with a Hanning 121 window (50% overlap frame) and an FFT size of 512. Each USV was labelled as either a 22kHz 122 call (near constant frequency of ~20-25kHz) or 50kHz call (range of ~35-75kHz, with mean 123 124 frequency of ~50kHz) (based on Burgdorf et al. 2008; Wright, Gourdon, & Clarke, 2010). 125 Vocalizations that did not fall into either of these two categories (<1.5%) were excluded, and 126 22kHz calls (<1% of remaining calls) were not further analysed. All 50kHz vocalizations were 127 categorised as either FM (i.e., bandwidth >8kHz) or constant frequency (i.e., bandwidth \leq 8kHz),

based on visual estimation of previously published calls (Wright et al., 2010). Inter-observer reliability scores were found to be robust (intraclass correlation coefficient ≥ 0.95).

130

131 Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted in SPSS (version 22). After checking the assumptions of 132 normality and sphericity (using Kolmogorov-Smirnoff and Mauchly's tests), all data were 133 analysed using parametric statistics. Percentage of time spent in the half of the section nearest to 134 the partition was compared to chance (50%) across all subjects using a one-sample t-test. All 135 136 other data were analysed using two-way within-subject, repeated measures ANOVAs, with partner's sex, gonadal status and the interaction term as categorical predictor variables. 137 138 Significant interactions were further analysed using simple effects *post hoc* tests. Effect sizes were calculated as partial eta squared (η_p^2) for main effects and interactions, and as Cohen's d 139 for pair-wise comparisons. Data are presented as means and 95% confidence intervals (CI). 140

141

142 **Results**

143 *Time spent near to partner*

Across all partner categories, subjects spent more time in the half of the section nearest to the partition than expected by chance (75.2%, CI [72.5, 77.9]; t_{31} =18.99, p<0.001). Subjects also spent a significantly higher percentage of time next to the partition when the partner was male rather than female, although the difference was relatively small ($F_{1,7}$ =8.54, p=0.022, η_p^2 =0.55; **Figure 1b**). The main effect of the partner's gonadal status was not significant ($F_{1,7}$ =0.96, p=0.360, η_p^2 =0.12), and the interaction between sex and gonadal status was also not significant (F_{1,7}=0.51, p=0.497, η²_p=0.07; intact male = 79.3%, CI [75.1, 83.5]; castrated male = 75.4%, CI
[70.34, 80.5]; intact female = 73.2%, CI [64.2, 82.1]; ovariectomized female = 73.0%, CI [67.4, 78.6]).

153

154 Total number of USVs

The total number of USVs made by the subjects differed significantly according to the sex of the partner ($F_{1,7}$ =6.93, p=0.034, η_p^2 =0.50), and the interaction term between sex and gonadal status was also significant ($F_{1,7}$ =7.53, p=0.029, η_p^2 =0.52; **Figure 2a**). Simple effects tests revealed that females gave significantly more USVs to intact males than castrated males (p=0.039, d=1.14), while the total number of calls given to intact and ovariectomized female did not differ (p=0.323, d=0.50). The main effect of gonadal status was not significant ($F_{1,7}$ =2.32, p=0.172, η_p^2

161 =0.25).

162

163 Proportion of 50kHz USVs that were FM

The proportion of 50kHz calls that were FM, rather than constant frequency, was significantly higher when the partner was male (0.62, CI [0.56, 0.69]) than when the partner was female (0.51, CI [0.39, 0.62]; main effect of sex: $F_{1,7}$ =8.88, p=0.021, η_p^2 =0.56; **Figure 2b**). The main effect of gonadal status was not significant ($F_{1,7}$ =0.81, p=0.397, η_p^2 =0.10), and the interaction between sex and gonadal status was also not significant ($F_{1,7}$ =0.07, p=0.801, η_p^2 =0.01; intact male = 0.59, CI [0.48, 0.70]; castrated male = 0.66, CI [0.55, 0.76]; intact female = 0.49, CI [0.34, 0.64]; ovariectomized female = 0.52, CI [0.31, 0.74]).

171 Discussion

172 The results showed that production of 50kHz USVs by female Norway rats varied with the sex and gonadal status of the partner, with intact male partners eliciting the highest total number of 173 174 calls. In comparison, the number of 50kHz USVs given to castrated males was relatively low and similar to that given to female partners, while the rate of calling to females did not differ with the 175 partners' gonadal status. The finding that females produce higher rates of 50kHz USVs to intact 176 than castrated males is consistent with two earlier studies that were conducted using a different 177 strain of Norway rat (Long Evans: White et al., 1991; McGinnis & Vakulenko, 2003). Female 178 subjects were potentially responding to multiple cues from intact males, including vocal, 179 180 olfactory and visual cues, which all vary with male hormonal status (Harding & Velotta, 2011). The current study also provided novel evidence that the proportion of FM 50kHz USVs was 181 higher for male than female partners, regardless of the partner's gonadal status. High rates of 182 183 female 50kHz USV production, particularly FM calls, are potentially indicative of high female sexual motivation. Contrary to our prediction, females did not spend more time next to partition 184 185 with intact male partners compared to castrated males, which suggests that 50kHz USVs could 186 provide a better measure of female sexual motivation than proximity measures alone.

While the ovarian status of the female subjects was not investigated in the current study, 187 rates of 50kHz calling by female rats have been shown to be highest during the fertile phase of 188 the ovarian cycle (Matochik et al., 1992a) and to be elicited by estrogen and progesterone 189 treatment (Matochik, Barfield, & Nyby, 1992b). Previous studies have also shown that 190 devocalizing female rats disrupts sociosexual behavior (White & Barfield, 1987; 1989) and that 191 192 playbacks of female USVs facilitate mating interactions with male partners (White & Barfield, 193 1989). Female vocalizations could function to signal sexual motivation in female rats and also to 194 attract multiple mating partners and promote sperm competition, which potentially benefits 195 females by confusing paternity and reducing the risk of male infanticide (Ebensperger &

196 Blumstein, 2007). In support of this hypothesis, female rats mate with multiple males during an ovarian cycle (Solomon & Keane, 2007) and can have litters sired by several different males 197 (Miller et al., 2010). Where females mate with multiple partners during a single cycle and sperm 198 199 competition is therefore high, males are predicted to allocate mating effort selectively according to likely reproductive payoffs (Ramm & Stockley, 2014). Female USVs could thus be used in 200 201 male mate choice. Rather than focusing on the mutual benefits that both sexes are likely to gain from co-ordinating mating activities, this alternative perspective highlights the potential role that 202 USVs could play in situations where conflicts of interest occur over matings. 203

Future studies could examine whether male rats preferentially attend to 50kHz FM USVs 204 205 and whether female traits that are correlated with fertility status, such as 50kHz USVs, influence 206 male mating strategies. A recent study reported that female USV playbacks do not evoke more approach behavior by male rats than background noise (Snoeren & Ågmo, 2013). However, this 207 208 negative result could have been influenced by the open shape of the testing arena (c.f., Willadsen et al., 2014), which may have prevented the playback stimulus from having clear directionality. 209 210 The role of 50kHz USVs in female-female interactions in rats could also be investigated further. 211 In the current study, the rates of calling did not differ for intact versus ovariectomized female partners, in contrast to a previous study reporting that female rats called more to females that had 212 213 been primed with estrogen and progesterone than to ovariectomized partners (McGinnis & 214 Vakulenko, 2003). The difference in results between the two studies could reflect the fact that 215 the hormone-primed stimulus females in the study by McGinnis and Vakulenko (2003) produced 216 a different set of vocal, olfactory and visual cues than the intact females in the current study. In 217 summary, the current evidence indicates that 50kHz USVs provide a valuable insight into hormone-related vocal communication patterns in rats. 218

220 Acknowledgements

| 221 | We are grateful to Charles Snowdon and three anonymous reviewers for comments on the |
|-----|--|
| 222 | manuscript. |

223

224 **References**

- Andersson, M. (1994). Sexual selection. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- 226 Bradbury, J. V., & Vehrencamp, S. L. (2011). *Principles of animal communication*, 2nd ed.
- 227 Sunderland, MA: Sinauer.
- 228 Brudzynski, S. M. (2013). Ethotransmission: communication of emotional states through
- 229 ultrasonic vocalizations in rats. *Current Opinions in Neurobiology*, 23, 310–317.
- doi:10.1016/j.conb.2013.01.014
- Burgdorf, J., Kroes, R. A., Moskal, J. R., Pfaus, J. G., Brudzynski, S. M., & Panksepp, J. (2008).
- 232 Ultrasonic vocalizations of rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) during mating, play, and aggression:
- behavioral concommitants, relationship to reward, and self-administration of playback.
- 234 Journal of Comparative Psychology, 122, 357–367. doi:10.1037/a0012889
- Ebensperger, L. A., & Blumstein, D. T. (2007). Nonparental infanticide. In J. O. Wolff, & P. W.
- 236 Sherman (Eds.), *Rodent societies: An ecological and evolutionary perspective* (pp. 267–279).
- 237 Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Harding, S. M., & Velotta, J. P. (2011). Comparing the relative amount of testosterone required
- to restore sexual arousal, motivation, and performance in male rats. *Hormones & Behavior*,
- 240 59, 666–673. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.09.009

- 241 Langmore, N. E., Davies, N. B. (1997). Female dunnocks use vocalizations to compete for
- 242 males. Animal Behaviour, 53, 881–890. doi:10.1006/anbe.1996.0306
- 243 Matochik, J. A., White, N. R., & Barfield, R. J. (1992a). Variations in scent marking and
- 244 ultrasonic vocalizations by Long-Evans rats across the estrous cycle. *Physiology & Behavior*,
- 245 51, 783–786. doi:10.1016/0031-9384(92)90116-J
- 246 Matochik, A. J., Barfield, R. J., & Nyby, J. (1992b). Regulation of sociosexual communication
- in female Long-Evans rats by ovarian hormones. *Hormones & Behavior*, 26, 545–555.
- 248 doi:10.1016/0018-506X(92)90021-M
- 249 McGinnis, M. Y., & Vakulenko, M. (2003). Characterization of 50-kHz ultrasonic vocalizations

in male and female rats. *Physiology & Behavior*, 80, 81–88. doi:10.1016/S00319384(03)00227-0

- 252 McIntosh, T. K., & Barfield, R. J. (1980). The temporal patterning of 40-60 kHz ultrasonic
- vocalizations and copulation in the rat (*Rattus norvegicus*). Behavioral & Neural Biology, 29,

254 349–358. doi:10.1016/S0163-1047(80)90259-9

- Miller, S. D., Russell, J. C., MacInnes, H. E., Abdelkrim, J., & Fewster, R. M. (2010). Multiple
 paternity in wild populations of invasive *Rattus* species. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology*, 34,
 360–363.
- Neunuebel, J. P., Taylor, A. L., Arthur, B. J., & Egnor, S. R. (2015). Female mice ultrasonically
 interact with males during courtship displays. *eLife*, 4, e06203.
- Odom, K. J., Hall, M. L., Riebel, K., Omland, K. E., & Langmore, N. E. (2014). Female song is
 widespread and ancestral in songbirds. *Nature Communications*, 5, 3379.
- 262 doi:10.1038/ncomms4379

- 263 Pradhan, G. R., Engelhardt, A., van Schaik, C. P., & Maestripieri, D. (2006). The evolution of
- female copulation calls in primates: a review and a new model. *Behavioral Ecology &*

265 *Sociobiology*, 59, 333–343. doi:10.1007/s00265-005-0075-y

- Ramm, S. A., & Stockley, P. (2014). Sequential male mate choice under sperm competition risk.
 Behavioral Ecology, 25, 660–667. doi:10.1093/beheco/aru037
- Schön, P. C., Hämel, K., Puppe, B., Tuchscherer, A., Kanitz, W., & Manteuffel, G. (2007).
 Altered vocalization rate during the estrous cycle in dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 90, 202–206.
- 271 Solomon, N. G., & Keane, B. (2007). Reproductive strategies in female rodents. In J. O. Wolff,
- 272 & P. W. Sherman (Eds.), *Rodent societies: An ecological and evolutionary perspective* (pp.
- 42–56). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Snoeren, E. M. S., & Ågmo, A. (2013). Female ultrasonic vocalizations have no incentive value
 for male rats. *Behavioral Neuroscience*, 127, 439–450. doi:10.1037/a0032027
- 276 Thomas, D. A., & Barfield, R. J. (1985). Ultrasonic vocalizations of the female rat (*Rattus*
- 277 norvegicus) during mating. Animal Behaviour, 33, 720–725. doi:10.1016/S0003-
- 278 3472(85)80002-6
- 279 White, N. R., & Barfield, R. J. (1987). Role of the ultrasonic vocalization of the female rat
- 280 (*Rattus norvegicus*) in sexual behavior. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 101, 73–81.
- 281 doi:10.1037/0735-7036.101.1.73
- White, N. R., & Barfield, R. J. (1989). Playback of female rat ultrasonic vocalizations during
 sexual behavior. *Physiology & Behavior*, 45, 229–233.

| 284 White, N. R., Colona, L. C., & Barfield, R. J. (1991). Sensory cues that elicit ultrason | |
|--|---|
| 285 | vocalizations in female rats (Rattus norvegicus). Behavioral & Neural Biology, 55, 154-165. |
| 286 | doi:10.1016/0163-1047(91)80136-3 |

- 287 Willadsen, M., Seffer, D., Schwarting, R. K. W., & Wöhr, M. (2014). Rodent ultrasonic
- 288 communication: male prosocial 50-kHz ultrasonic vocalizations elicit social approach
- behavior in female rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). Journal of Comparative Psychology, 128, 56–64.
 doi:10.1037/a0034778
- 291 Wöhr, M., & Schwarting, R. K. W. (2013). Affective communication in rodents: ultrasonic
- vocalizations as a tool for research on emotion and motivation. *Cell & Tissue Research*, 354,
- 293 81–97. doi:10.1007/s00441-013-1607-9
- Wright, J. M., Gourdon, J. C., & Clarke, P. B. S. (2010). Identification of multiple call categories
 within the rich repertoire of adult rat 50-kHz ultrasonic vocalizations: effects of amphetamine
 and social context. *Psychopharmacology*, 211, 1–13. doi:10.1007/s00213-010-1859-y
- 297 Yang, M., Loureiro, D., Kalikhman, D., & Crawley, J. N. (2013). Male mice emit distinct
- 298 ultrasonic vocalizations when the female leaves the social interaction arena. Frontiers in
- 299 Behavioral Neuroscience, 7, 159. doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2013.00159
- 300 Young, B. A., Mathevon, N., & Tang, Y. (2014). Reptile auditory neuroethology: What do
- reptiles do with their hearing? In C. Köppl, G. A. Manley, A. N. Popper, & R. R. Fay (Eds.)
- 302 *Insights from comparative hearing research* (pp. 323–345). New York: Springer.

| 303 | Figure 1 | legends |
|-----|-----------------|---------|
|-----|-----------------|---------|

| 305 | Figure 1a) Testing arena showing the partner animals in smaller section and subject in larger |
|-----|---|
| 306 | section, separated by a transparent partition with holes along the lower edge. b) Percentage of |
| 307 | time spent by the subject next to the partition when the partner was male or female, where the |
| 308 | dashed line represents the 50% chance level (means±SEMs; * p<0.05). |
| 309 | |
| 310 | Figure 2a) Total number of 50kHz USVs given by subjects per minute following exposure to |
| 311 | male or female partners that were either intact (grey bars) or gonadectomised (white bars) |
| 312 | (means±SEMs; * p<0.05). b) Proportion of 50kHz USVs that were FM following exposure to |
| 313 | male or female partners (means±SEMs; * p<0.05). |



Male partner Female partner

Figure 2

