

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 216 013

TM 820 095

AUTHOR Lord, Frederic M.  
 TITLE Unbiased Estimators of Ability Parameters, of Their Variance, and of Their Parallel-Forms Reliability.  
 INSTITUTION Educational Testing Service, Princeton, N.J.  
 SPONS AGENCY Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Va. Personnel and Training Research Programs Office.  
 REPORT NO ETS-RR-81-50  
 PUB DATE Nov 81  
 CONTRACT N00014-80-C-0402  
 NOTE 42p.

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.  
 DESCRIPTORS \*Estimation (Mathematics); \*Latent Trait Theory; Mathematical Formulas; \*Maximum Likelihood Statistics; Scores; \*Statistical Bias  
 IDENTIFIERS \*Ability Parameters; \*Item Parameters

ABSTRACT

This paper is primarily concerned with determining the statistical bias in the maximum likelihood estimate of the examinee ability parameter in item response theory, and of certain functions of such parameters. Given known item parameters, unbiased estimators are derived for (1) an examinee's ability parameter and proportion-correct true score; (2) the variance of ability parameters across examinees in the group tested, and the variance of proportion-correct true scores; and (3) the parallel-forms reliability of the observed test score, the maximum likelihood estimator. These formulas apply in situations where the item parameters are known; they are not adequate for situations where the item parameters and ability parameters are estimated simultaneously from a single data set. (Author/BW)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made \*  
 \* from the original document. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

ED216013

RR-81-50

'UNBIASED ESTIMATORS' OF ABILITY PARAMETERS,  
OF THEIR VARIANCE, AND OF THEIR  
PARALLEL-FORMS RELIABILITY

Frederic M. Lord

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION  
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION  
CENTER (ERIC)

✓ This document has been reproduced as  
received from the person or organization  
originating it  
Minor changes have been made to improve  
reproduction quality

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-  
ment do not necessarily represent official NIE  
position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS  
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

The Office of  
Naval Research

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES  
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

This research was sponsored in part by the  
Personnel and Training Research Programs  
Psychological Sciences Division  
Office of Naval Research, under  
Contract No. N00014-80-C-0402

Contract Authority Identification Number  
NR No. 150-453

Frederic M. Lord, Principal Investigator



Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, New Jersey

November 1981

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted  
for any purpose of the United States Government.

Approved for public release; distribution  
unlimited.

TM 820 095

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1 REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4 TITLE (and Subtitle) Unbiased Estimators of Ability Parameters, of Their Variance, and of Their Parallel-Forms Reliability		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report	
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER Research Report 81-50	
7 AUTHOR(s)  Frederic M. Lord		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)  N00014-80-C-0402	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Educational Testing Service Princeton, NJ 08541		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS  NR 150-453	
11 CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Personnel and Training Research Programs Office of Naval Research, (Code 458)		12. REPORT DATE November 1981	
		13 NUMBER OF PAGES 29	
14 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15 SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Bias (statistical), Estimation, Item Response Theory, Ability, True Score, Reliability, Maximum Likelihood, Mental Test Theory, Unbiased Estimate, Standard Error, Asymptotics			
20 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Given known item parameters, unbiased estimators are derived 1) for an examinee's ability parameter $\theta$ and for his proportion-correct true score $\zeta$ ; 2) for $s_{\theta}^2$ the variance of $\theta$ across examinees in the group tested, also for $s_{\zeta}^2$ , and 3) for the parallel-forms reliability of the observed test score, the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}$ .			

## Abstract

Given known item parameters, unbiased estimators are derived

- 1) for an examinee's ability parameter  $\theta$  and for his proportion-correct true score  $\zeta$ , 2) for  $s_{\theta}^2$  the variance of  $\theta$ , across examinees in the group tested, also for  $s_{\zeta}^2$ , and 3) for the parallel-forms reliability of the observed test score, the maximum likelihood estimator,

$\hat{\theta}$ .

Unbiased Estimators of Ability Parameters, of Their Variance,  
and of Their Parallel-Forms Reliability

This paper is primarily concerned with determining the statistical bias in the maximum likelihood estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  of the examinee ability parameter  $\theta$  in item response theory (IRT) [Lord, 1980]; also of certain functions of such parameters. We will deal only with unidimensional tests composed of dichotomously scored items. We assume the item response function is three-parameter logistic (2).

Available results for the sampling variance of  $\hat{\theta}$  are currently limited to the case where the item parameters are known; the present derivations are limited to this case also. This limitation is tolerable in situations where the item parameters are predetermined, as in item banking and tailored testing.

In the absence of a prior distribution for  $\theta$ , it is well known that examinees with perfect scores have  $\hat{\theta} = \infty$ ; also that examinees who perform near or below the chance level on multiple-choice items may be given large negative values of  $\hat{\theta}$ . This (correctly) suggests that  $\hat{\theta}$  is positively biased for high-ability examinees and negatively biased for low-ability examinees. Will a correction of  $\hat{\theta}$  for bias be helpful in such cases?

---

\*This work was supported in part by contract N00014-80-C-0402, project designation NR 150-453 between the Office of Naval Research and Educational Testing Service. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

It is also 'well known' that for any ordinary group of examinees, the variance ( $s_{\hat{\theta}}^2$ ) of  $\hat{\theta}$  across examinees is larger than the variance ( $s_{\theta}^2$ ) of the true  $\theta$ . The ratio  $s_{\hat{\theta}}^2/s_{\theta}^2$  is closely related to the classical-test-theory reliability of  $\hat{\theta}$  considered as the examinee's test score. Thus it is not enough for us to know that  $s_{\hat{\theta}}^2 \rightarrow s_{\theta}^2$  as the number  $n$  of test items becomes large; we need to know how the relation of  $s_{\hat{\theta}}^2$  to  $s_{\theta}^2$  varies as a function of  $n$ . We also need a better estimate of  $s_{\theta}^2$  than its maximum-likelihood estimator  $s_{\hat{\theta}}^2$ . These objectives can be achieved by correcting  $s_{\hat{\theta}}^2$  for bias.

The methods used to derive formulas for correction for bias are presented here in detail for at least two reasons: 1) experience with similar derivations has shown that it is easy to reach erroneous results if details are not spelled out. 2) The general methods used here are easily transferred to solve other problems, such as a) correction of item parameters for bias, b) obtaining higher-order approximations to the sampling variance of  $\hat{\theta}$ .

### 1. Statistical Bias in $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\zeta}$

The method used here to find the bias of  $\hat{\theta}$  is adapted from the 'adjusted order of magnitude' procedure detailed by Shenton and Bowman (1977). They assume their data to be a sample from a population divided into a denumerable number of subsets. For them, the population proportion of observations in a given subset is a known function of the parameter  $\theta$  whose value they wish to estimate. Their sample estimate of  $\theta$  is therefore a function of observed sample proportions in the

various subsets. Since our data do not readily fit this picture, we cannot use their final published formulas but must instead derive our own.

Throughout Section 1, we deal with a single fixed examinee whose ability  $\theta$  is the parameter to be estimated. All item parameters are assumed known.

### 1.1 Preliminaries

The maximum likelihood estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  is obtained by solving the likelihood equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - \hat{P}_i) \hat{P}'_i / \hat{P}_i \hat{Q}_i = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $u_i = 0$ , or  $1$  is the examinee's response to item  $i$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ),  $P_i \equiv P_i(\theta)$  is the response function for item  $i$ ,  $Q_i \equiv 1 - P_i$ ,  $P'_i$  is the derivative of  $P_i$  with respect to  $\theta$ , and a caret indicates that the function is to be evaluated at  $\hat{\theta}$ . We deal with the case

where  $P_i$  is the three-parameter logistic function

$$P_i \equiv c_i + \frac{1 - c_i}{1 + e^{-A_i(\theta - b_i)}} \quad (2)$$

where  $A_i$ ,  $b_i$ , and  $c_i$  are item parameters describing item  $i$ .

We will assume

1.  $\theta$  is a bounded variable,
2. the item parameters  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are bounded,
3.  $c_i$  is bounded away from 1,

(thus  $P_i$  and  $Q_i$  are bounded away from 0 and 1);

4. as  $n$  becomes large, the statistical characteristics of the test stabilize.

Rather than trying to define this last assumption formally, the reader may substitute the more restrictive assumption usually made in mental test theory: that a test is lengthened by adding strictly parallel forms.

With these assumptions, the conditions of Bradley and Gart (1962) are satisfied. It follows from their theorems that  $\hat{\theta}$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$  and that  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$  is asymptotically normally distributed with mean zero and variance  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n P_i^2}{P_i Q_i}$ . The existence of this limit is guaranteed by assumption 4.

For compactness, we will rewrite (1) as

$$\hat{L}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{r}_{li} = 0 \quad (3)$$

where by definition



$$\Gamma_{1i} \equiv (u_i - P_i) P_i' / P_i Q_i \quad (4)$$

Now  $\hat{L}_1$  considered as a function of  $\hat{\theta}$  can be expanded formally in powers of  $\hat{\theta} - \theta$ , as follows:

$$\hat{L}_1 \equiv \sum_i \Gamma_{1i} + (\hat{\theta} - \theta) \sum_i \Gamma_{2i} + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 \sum_i \Gamma_{3i} + \dots$$

where we define

$$\Gamma_{si} \equiv \frac{d^s}{d\theta^s} \log P_i^{u_i} Q_i^{1-u_i} \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (5)$$

This definition is consistent with (3).

Let  $x \equiv \hat{\theta} - \theta$ ,  $\Gamma_s \equiv \sum_i \Gamma_{si}$ . Rather than proving the convergence of the power series, let us use a closed form that is always valid:

$$\hat{L}_1 \equiv \Gamma_1 + x\Gamma_2 + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \Gamma_3 + \frac{1}{6} x^3 \Gamma_4 + \frac{\delta}{24} x^4 \bar{\Gamma}_5 \quad (6)$$

where  $\bar{\Gamma}_5 \equiv \text{Max}_{\theta} \Gamma_5$  and  $|\delta| < 1$ .

## 1.2 Derivatives and Expectations

To proceed further, it is necessary to evaluate the  $\Gamma_{ki}$ . It is found that

$$\Gamma'_{ki} = (-A_i)^{k-1} \frac{P_i^{k-1}}{Q_i} \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} s! G_{k-1}^s \left( \frac{-Q_i}{1-c_i} \right)^s \left( -1 + \frac{u_i c_i^s}{P_i^{s+1}} \right) \quad (7)$$

where  $G_{k-1}^s$  is a Stirling number of the second kind (Jordan, 1947, pp. 31-32, 168).

Define

$$Y_{si} \equiv \hat{\epsilon} \Gamma_{si} \quad (8)$$

$$\epsilon_{si} \equiv \Gamma_{si} - \hat{\epsilon} \Gamma_{si} \quad (9)$$

Since  $\hat{u}_i = P_i$ , we find that

$$Y_{1i} = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$Y_{2i} = -\frac{P_i^2}{P_i Q_i} \quad (11)$$

$$Y_{3i} = \frac{A_i^2}{(1-c_i)^2} \frac{P_i}{P_i^2} (P_i - c_i) [2(P_i^2 - c_i) - P_i(1-c_i)] \quad (12)$$

$$\epsilon_{1i} = \Gamma_{1i} = (u_i - P_i) P_i / P_i Q_i \quad (13)$$

$$\epsilon_{2i} = \frac{A_i c_i}{(1-c_i)} \frac{P_i (u_i - P_i)}{P_i^2} \quad (14)$$

Let

$$Y_s = \sum_i Y_{si} / n, \quad \epsilon_s = \sum_i \epsilon_{si} / n \quad (15)$$

We will denote the Fisher information by

$$I \equiv -E(dL_1/d\theta) = -ny_2 = \sum_i P_i^2 / P_i Q_i \quad (16)$$

Setting (6) equal to zero, the likelihood equation can now be written in terms of the  $\gamma_s$  and the  $\epsilon_s$  as

$$-\epsilon_1 = x(\gamma_2 + \epsilon_2) + \frac{1}{2} x^2 (\gamma_3 + \epsilon_3) + \frac{1}{6} x^3 (\gamma_4 + \epsilon_4) + \frac{\delta}{24} x^4 \gamma_5 \quad (17)$$

We will need some information about the order of magnitude of the terms such as those in (17). It may be seen from (7) that each  $\epsilon_s$  has the form

$$\epsilon_s = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i K_{si} (u_i - P_i)$$

where  $K_{si}$  does not depend on  $n$  or on  $u_i$ . Since  $P_i$ ,  $Q_i$  and  $1 - c_i$  are bounded, the  $K_{si}$  and thus  $\epsilon_s$  is bounded. By assumption (4), the bound does not depend on  $n$ . The same conclusion holds for  $\gamma_s$ .

Since  $\sqrt{n}x$  is asymptotically normally distributed with zero mean and finite variance, it follows that  $\epsilon x^r$  ( $r = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is of order  $n^{-r/2}$ . A similar statement is true of  $\sqrt{n}\epsilon_s$ . Thus finally  $\epsilon x^r \epsilon_s^t \leq (\epsilon x^{2r} \epsilon_s^{2t})^{1/2}$  so that  $\epsilon x^r \epsilon_s^t$  is of order  $n^{-(r+t)/2}$  ( $r, t = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

### 1.3 First-Order Variance of $\hat{\theta}$

To clarify the procedure, let us derive from (17) the familiar formula for the asymptotic variance of  $\hat{\theta}$ . Square (17) and take expectations to obtain

$$\xi \epsilon_1^2 = \gamma_2^2 \xi x^2 + 2\gamma_2 \xi x^2 \epsilon_2 + \xi x^2 \epsilon_2^2 + \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \xi x^3 + \gamma_2 \xi \epsilon_3 x^3 + \dots \quad (18)$$

If we wish to neglect terms  $o(n^{-1})$  (of higher order than  $n^{-1}$ ), equation (18) becomes

$$\xi x^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma_2^2} \xi \epsilon_1^2 + o(n^{-1}) \quad (19)$$

By (13) and (16), because of local independence,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \epsilon_1^2 &= \xi \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_i \frac{P'_i}{P_i Q_i} (u_i - P'_i) \sum_j \frac{P'_j}{P_j Q_j} (u_j - P'_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_i \sum_j \frac{P'_i P'_j}{P_i Q_i P_j Q_j} \xi (u_i - P'_i) (u_j - P'_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_i \frac{P_i'^2}{P_i Q_i^2} \text{Var } u_i \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_i \frac{P_i'^2}{P_i Q_i} \\ &= \frac{F}{n^2} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Thus, finally

$$\text{Var } \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{I} + o(n^{-1}) \quad (21)$$

a well-known result. It is derived here to clarify the reasoning to be used subsequently. If  $\hat{\theta}$  is substituted for  $\theta$  on the right side of (21), the formula will still be correct to the specified order of approximation.

#### 1.4 Statistical Bias of $\hat{\theta}$

Take the expectation of (17) to obtain

$$-\xi_1 \varepsilon_1 = \gamma_2 \xi_1 x + \xi_1 x \varepsilon_2 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_3 \xi_1 x^2 \quad (22)$$

where  $\xi_1$  indicates an expectation in which only terms of order  $n^{-1}$  are to be retained. Also multiply (17) by  $\varepsilon_2$  and take expectations to obtain

$$-\xi_1 \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 = \gamma_2 \xi_1 x \varepsilon_2 \quad (23)$$

By (9)

$$\xi \varepsilon_r = 0 \quad r = 1, 2, \dots \quad (24)$$

From (13) and (14)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\epsilon}_1 \epsilon_2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \frac{A_i c_i}{1 - c_i} \frac{P_i^2}{P_i Q_i} \epsilon_i (u_i - P_i)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \frac{A_i c_i}{(1 - c_i)} \frac{P_i^2}{P_i} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Substituting (16) and (25) into (23), we have the covariance

$$\hat{\epsilon}_1 x \epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{nI} \sum_i \frac{A_i c_i}{(1 - c_i)} \frac{P_i^2}{P_i} \quad (26)$$

Finally, substituting (16), (21), (24), and (26) into (22) and solving for  $\hat{\epsilon}_1 x_1$ , we have the bias

$$B_1(\hat{\theta}) \equiv \hat{\epsilon}_1(\hat{\theta} - \theta) = \frac{1}{I^2} \left( \sum_i \frac{A_i c_i}{1 - c_i} \frac{P_i^2}{P_i} + \frac{1}{2} n \gamma_3 \right) \quad (27)$$

This may be rewritten as

$$B_1(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{I^2} \sum_{i=1}^n A_i I_i \left( \phi_i - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (28)$$

where

$$\phi_i \equiv \frac{P_i - c_i}{1 - c_i} \quad \text{and} \quad I_i \equiv \frac{P_i^2}{P_i Q_i} \quad (29)$$

Since  $I$  is of order  $n$ ,  $B_I(\theta)$  is of order  $n^{-1}$ . It may be of interest to note that in the special case where all items are equivalent (all  $P_i$  are the same), the bias simplifies to  $B_1(\theta) = P/nP'$ .

### 1.5 Numerical Results

A hypothetical test was designed to approximate the College Entrance Examination Board's Scholastic Aptitude Test, Verbal Section. This test is composed of  $n = 90$  five-choice items. Some information about the distributions of the parameters of the 90 hypothetical items is given in Table 1.

The standard error and bias of  $\hat{\theta}$  were computed from (21) and from (27) respectively for various values of  $\theta$ . The results are shown in Table 2. It appears that the bias in  $\hat{\theta}$  is negligible for moderate values of  $\theta$ , but is sizable for extreme values. Note that the bias is positively correlated with  $\theta$ . Because of guessing, zero bias does not occur at  $\theta = 0$  but at  $\theta = .34$  approximately.

### 1.6 Variance and Bias of Estimated True Score

Since the ability scale is not unique, any monotonic transformation of  $\theta$  can serve as a measure of ability. Two transformations are particularly useful:  $e^\theta$  and

$$\zeta \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(\theta) \quad (30)$$

the proportion-correct true score (the number-right true score divided

TABLE 1

Range and Quartiles of the Item Parameters  
in 90-Item Hypothetical Test

	$\frac{a_i = A_i}{1.7}$	$b_i$	$c_i$
Highest value	1.88	2.32	.47
$Q_1$	1.07	1.15	.20
Median	.83	.38	.15
$Q_3$	.69	-.41	.13
Lowest value	.41	-3.94	.01



by the number of items). One important reason for using the latter transformation is the following.

Ordinarily, as in Table 2, we find large standard errors of  $\theta$  where  $\theta$  is extreme. Usually these large standard errors are no more harmful to the user than are the smaller standard errors found when  $\theta$  is near the level aimed at by the test. There is a reason why this is so: If it were not, the user should have designed his test so as to reduce those standard errors that were troublesome to him.

We see that from this point of view the size of a difference on the  $\theta$  scale does not correspond to its importance. The discrepancy is greatly reduced, however, if we measure ability on the  $\zeta$  scale instead of on the  $\theta$  scale. This is one reason, among several, why we are interested in the variance and bias of

$$\hat{\zeta} \equiv \sum_i P_i(\theta)/n \quad (31)$$

Although the proportion-correct true score

$$z \equiv \sum_i u_i/n \quad (32)$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $\zeta$ ,  $z$  is never a fully efficient estimator of  $\zeta$  unless  $c_i = 0$  and  $a_i = a_j$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ): the sampling variance

$$\text{Var } z = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n P_i Q_i \quad (33)$$

TABLE 2  
Standard Error and Statistical Bias in  $\hat{\theta}$

$\hat{\theta}$	$\sqrt{\text{Var } \hat{\theta}}$	$B(\hat{\theta})$
3.5	.60	.24
3.0	.43	.12
2.5	.31	.06
2.0	.23	.032
1.5	.19	.011
1.0	.19	.0032
0.5	.20	.0012
0	.22	-.0028
-0.5	.25	-.010
-1.0	.31	-.025
-1.5	.41	-.05
-2.0	.54	-.09
-2.5	.70	-.14
-3.0	.89	-.22
-3.5	1.09	-.31

is not as small as the sampling variance of  $\hat{\zeta}$ , which we must now derive.

By (31)

$$d\hat{\zeta} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P'_i d\hat{\theta} \quad (34)$$

Using the 'delta' method

$$\text{Var } \hat{\zeta} = \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n P'_i \right)^2 \text{Var } \hat{\theta}$$

By (21) and (16)

$$\text{Var } \hat{\zeta} = \frac{(\sum_i P'_i)^2}{n^2 \sum_i \frac{P_i^2}{P_i Q_i}} \quad (35)$$

To find the bias of  $\hat{\zeta}$ , we expand it in powers of  $x \equiv \hat{\theta} - \theta$ :

$$\hat{\zeta} - \zeta \equiv \frac{x}{n} \sum_i P'_i + \frac{x^2}{2n} \sum_i P''_i + \dots \quad (36)$$

where

$$P_i'' \equiv d^2 P_i / d\theta^2$$

Taking expectations, and neglecting higher-order terms, we have for the bias

$$B_1(\hat{\zeta}) \equiv E(\hat{\zeta} - \zeta) = \frac{1}{n} [B(\hat{\theta}) \Sigma P_i' + \frac{1}{2} \text{Var } \hat{\theta} (\Sigma P_i'')] \quad (37)$$

This can be rewritten as

$$B_1(\hat{\zeta}) = \frac{\zeta'}{I^2} \left( \Sigma \frac{A_i c_i P_i'^2}{(1 - c_i) P_i^2} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma \gamma_{3i} \right) + \frac{\zeta''}{2I} \quad (38)$$

where  $\zeta' \equiv \Sigma_i P_i' / n$  and  $\zeta'' \equiv \Sigma_i P_i'' / n$ . Let us note is passing that when all items are equivalent (all  $P_i(\theta)$  are the same),  $\hat{\zeta} = z$  and its bias (38) is zero.

### 1.7 Numerical Results

Table 3 shows the bias in  $\hat{\zeta}$  for the same hypothetical test considered in Section 1.5. The biases are all positive. However, they are negligible at all except the lowest ability levels. This tends to confirm our choice of the  $\zeta$  scale of ability rather than the  $\theta$  scale for many purposes.

TABLE 3

Standard Error of  $\hat{z}$  and of  $\hat{\zeta}$   
and Statistical Bias of  $\hat{\zeta}$

$\hat{\theta}$	$\hat{\zeta}$	$\sqrt{\text{Var } z}$	$\sqrt{\text{Var } \hat{\zeta}}$	$B(\hat{\zeta})$
3.5	.981	.014	.014	.00045
3.0	.966	.019	.018	.00052
2.5	.937	.024	.023	.00064
2.0	.891	.031	.029	.00059
1.5	.812	.037	.035	.00021
1.0	.715	.042	.040	.00026
0.5	.608	.045	.042	.00061
0	.506	.046	.043	.00061
-0.5	.416	.047	.042	.00062
-1.0	.344	.046	.038	.00061
-1.5	.291	.045	.037	.00085
-2.0	.254	.044	.033	.0014
-2.5	.227	.042	.029	.0020
-3.0	.211	.042	.025	.0024
-3.5	.199	.041	.021	.0026

As a matter of incidental interest, for selected values of true score Table 3 compares the standard error (35) of the maximum-likelihood estimator  $\hat{\zeta}$  with the standard error (33) of the unbiased estimator  $z$  (proportion-correct score). There is little difference in accuracy between the two estimators for  $\zeta \geq .5$ . At low true-score levels, the maximum-likelihood estimator is much better than the proportion of correct answers.

## 2. Unbiased Estimation of $s_{\theta}^2$ , of $s_{\zeta}^2$ ; Test Reliability

The symbols  $s_{\theta}^2$  and  $s_{\zeta}^2$  are used for the sample variance of  $\theta$  and of  $\zeta$  across the  $N$  examinees in the sample:

$$s_{\theta}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^N \theta_a^2 - \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^N \theta_a \right)^2 \quad (39)$$

The maximum-likelihood estimators of  $s_{\theta}^2$  and  $s_{\zeta}^2$  are  $\hat{s}_{\theta}^2$  and  $\hat{s}_{\zeta}^2$ , the sample variances across examinees of  $\hat{\theta}$ , and of  $\hat{\zeta}$ .

### 2.1 Asymptotically Unbiased Estimator of $\sigma_{\theta}^2$

Assume that our examinees are a random sample of  $N$  from some population. Denote by  $\sigma_{\theta}^2$  the population variance of  $\theta$ . Then  $Ns_{\theta}^2/(N-1)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma_{\theta}^2$ . Since  $s_{\theta}^2$  is unobservable, our first task is to find a function of  $\hat{\theta}$ , that is an asymptotically unbiased estimator of  $\sigma_{\theta}^2$ .

By the formula for the variance of a sum we have

$$\sigma_{\theta}^2 \equiv \sigma_{\theta+x}^2 \equiv \sigma_{\theta}^2 + \sigma_x^2 + 2\sigma_{\theta x} \quad (40)$$

where  $\sigma^2$  denotes a variance across all examinees in the population and  $\sigma_{\theta x}$  is the corresponding population covariance. By a well-known identity from the analysis of variance

$$\sigma_x^2 \equiv \xi_{\theta} \sigma_{x|\theta}^2 + \sigma_{\xi(x|\theta)}^2 \quad (41)$$

where  $\xi_{\theta}$  denotes an expectation across all examinees in the population.

Similarly,

$$\sigma_{\theta x} \equiv \sigma_{\theta, \xi(x|\theta)} \quad (42)$$

Substituting (41) and (42) into (40), transposing, writing  $B_1 \equiv \xi_1(x|\theta)$  as in (28), and dropping the subscript from  $B_1$  for convenience, we have

$$\sigma_{\theta}^2 \equiv \sigma_{\theta}^2 - 2\sigma_{\theta B} - \xi_{\theta} \sigma_{x|\theta}^2 - \sigma_B^2 \quad (43)$$

Since by (28)  $B$  is of order  $n^{-1}$ , its variance is of order  $n^{-2}$ , so  $\sigma_B^2$  can be neglected in (43). Since Section 1 deals with a single fixed examinee, the symbol  $\sigma_{x|\theta}^2$  in (43) has the same meaning as  $\text{Var } \hat{\theta}$  in (21):

$$\sigma_{x|\theta}^2 = \frac{1}{I(\theta)} + o(n^{-1})$$

where  $I \equiv I(\theta)$  is given by (16). Since  $\sigma_{x|\theta}^2$  is of order  $n^{-1}$ , the effect of replacing  $\theta$  by  $\hat{\theta}$  on the right is negligible:

$$\xi_{\theta} \sigma_{x|\theta}^2 = \xi_{\theta} \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta})} + o(n^{-1})$$

By similar reasoning, we may replace  $\sigma_{\theta B}$  in (43) by  $\sigma_{\hat{\theta} \hat{B}}$  where  $\hat{B}$  is defined by (27) with  $\theta$  replaced by  $\hat{\theta}$ . The result of these approximations is that

$$\sigma_{\theta}^2 = \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2 - 2\sigma_{\hat{\theta} \hat{B}} \xi_{\theta} \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta})} + o(n^{-1}) \quad (44)$$

A useful estimator of  $\sigma_{\theta}^2$  can be calculated from

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\theta}^2 = \frac{N}{N-1} s_{\hat{\theta}}^2 - \frac{2N}{N-1} s_{\hat{\theta} \hat{B}} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta}_a)} \quad (45)$$

where

$$s_{\hat{\theta} \hat{B}} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^N \hat{\theta}_a \hat{B}_a - \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^N \hat{\theta}_a \right) \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^N \hat{B}_a \right)$$

and  $\hat{B}_a$  is given by (27) with  $\theta$  replaced by  $\hat{\theta}_a$ . If we wish to estimate the sample variance of ability  $s_{\theta}^2$ , rather than the population variance  $\sigma_{\theta}^2$ , we can use

$$\hat{s}_{\theta}^2 \equiv s_{\hat{\theta}}^2 - 2s_{\hat{\theta} \hat{B}} - \frac{N-1}{N^2} \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta}_a)} \quad (46)$$



The second and third terms of (44) are of order  $n^{-1}$ , an order of magnitude smaller than the first term but larger than the neglected terms. The covariance of  $\hat{\theta}$  and  $B$  is usually positive, as can be readily seen from Table 2. Since  $I(\hat{\theta})$  is necessarily positive, it appears that usually  $\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2 < \sigma_{\hat{\theta}'}^2$ , an inequality that is frequently assumed without proof. It is not clear whether this inequality is necessarily true.

## 2.2 The Reliability of $\hat{\theta}$

Consider the parallel-forms reliability coefficient  $\rho_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}'}$ , the correlation between scores  $\hat{\theta}$  and  $\hat{\theta}'$  on two parallel tests. For present purposes, two tests are parallel when for each item in one test there is an item in the other test with the same item response function. Let us estimate

$$\rho_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}'} = \frac{\sigma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}'}}{\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}\sigma_{\hat{\theta}'}} = \frac{\sigma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}'}}{\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2} \quad (47)$$

from a single test administration by substituting asymptotically unbiased estimators of the numerator and of the denominator into (47).

As in (41),

$$\sigma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}'} \equiv \sigma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}'|\theta} + \sigma_{\xi(\hat{\theta}|\theta), \xi(\hat{\theta}'|\theta)} \quad (48)$$

Because of local independence, the first term on the right vanishes.

Because of parallelism, the two expectations in the last term are identical, so this term is a variance. We thus have

$$\sigma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} = \sigma_{\xi(\hat{\theta}|\theta)}^2 \equiv \sigma_{B+\theta}^2 \equiv \sigma_B^2 + \sigma_{\theta}^2 + 2\sigma_{\theta B} \quad (49)$$

From (49) and (43),

$$\sigma_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} = \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2 - \xi_{\theta} \sigma_x^2 \quad (50)$$

We see that the parallel-forms reliability of  $\hat{\theta}$  is

$$\rho_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \xi_{\theta} \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta})} + o(n^{-1}) \quad (51)$$

Priority in obtaining this result belongs to Sympson [Note 1].

Replacing population values on the right by the corresponding sample statistics, we have a sample estimator of the parallel-forms reliability coefficient of  $\hat{\theta}$ :

$$\hat{\rho}_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}} \equiv 1 - \frac{N-1}{N^2 s_{\hat{\theta}}^2} \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{1}{I(\hat{\theta}_a)} \quad (52)$$

Since  $\hat{\theta}$  is neither unbiased nor uncorrelated with  $\theta$ , we should not expect the usual reliability formulas of classical test theory to apply. A similar but not identical case is discussed in

Lord and Novick (1968, Section 9.8). Thus  $\rho_{\hat{\theta}\hat{\theta}}$ ,  $\rho_{\hat{\theta}\theta}^2$ , and  $\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2/\sigma_{\theta}^2$  are not interchangeable definitions of reliability. Since correlational measures are hard to interpret in the absence of linearity and homoscedasticity, we will not now push this investigation of reliability further.

### 2.3 Corresponding Results for True Score

By the same reasoning used to obtain (44) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\zeta}^2 &\equiv \sigma_{\hat{\zeta}}^2 - 2\sigma_{\zeta, B(\hat{\zeta})} - \epsilon_{\theta}^2 \sigma_{\hat{\zeta}|\zeta}^2 - \sigma_{B(\hat{\zeta})}^2 \\ &= \sigma_{\hat{\zeta}}^2 - 2\sigma_{\hat{\zeta}, \hat{B}(\hat{\zeta})} - \epsilon_{\theta}^2 \frac{\hat{\zeta}^2}{I(\hat{\theta})} + o(n^{-1})\end{aligned}\quad (53)$$

A useful estimator of  $\sigma_{\zeta}^2$  can be calculated from

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\zeta}^2 \equiv \frac{N}{N-1} s_{\hat{\zeta}}^2 - \frac{2N}{N-1} s_{\hat{\zeta}, \hat{B}(\hat{\zeta})} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{\hat{\zeta}_a^2}{I(\hat{\theta}_a)} \quad (54)$$

To estimate  $s_{\zeta}^2$ , we can use

$$\hat{s}_{\zeta}^2 \equiv s_{\hat{\zeta}}^2 - 2s_{\hat{\zeta}, \hat{B}(\hat{\zeta})} - \frac{N-1}{N^2} \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{\hat{\zeta}_a^2}{I(\hat{\theta}_a)} \quad (55)$$

As in (50) - (52) we have

$$\sigma_{\hat{\zeta}\hat{\zeta}}^2 = \sigma_{\zeta}^2 - \xi_{\theta} \sigma_{\zeta}^2 \quad (56)$$

$$\rho_{\hat{\zeta}\hat{\zeta}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \xi_{\theta} \frac{\hat{\zeta}^2}{\sigma_{\zeta}^2 I(\hat{\theta})} + o(n^{-1}) \quad (57)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{\hat{\zeta}\hat{\zeta}} = 1 - \frac{N-1}{N^2} \frac{\sum_{a=1}^N \hat{\zeta}_a^2}{\sigma_{\zeta}^2 I(\hat{\theta}_a)} \quad (58)$$

#### 2.4 Numerical Results for True Scores

At moderate ability levels, (28) provides adequate but usually negligible corrections for bias in  $\hat{\theta}$ . Experience shows that at very low ability levels, the usual test length ( $n$ ) of 50 or 100 items is not long enough for the asymptotic results of (28) to apply. For example, an examinee whose true  $\theta$  is  $-3$  may easily obtain an estimated ability  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $-30$  or of  $-\infty$ . For sufficiently long tests, such extreme values of  $\hat{\theta}$  would have negligible probability, but with the usual values of  $n$ , equation (28) is totally inadequate for correcting  $\hat{\theta}$  for bias at low ability levels.

This same difficulty carries over to the unbiased estimation of  $\sigma_{\theta}^2$  using (46). Since all ability levels are involved in (46), the formula is useless in practice for any group that contains even a few low-ability examinees. Fortunately, this difficulty does not

carry over to the estimation of ability on the true-score ( $\zeta$ ) scale.

The hypothetical SAT Verbal Test of Tables 1-3 was administered to a typical group of 2995 hypothetical examinees. The bias in  $\hat{\zeta}_i$  was estimated for each examinee and a corrected  $\hat{\zeta}$  obtained from (51):

$$\text{corrected } \hat{\zeta} \equiv \hat{\zeta} - B_1(\hat{\zeta})$$

In a few cases where the corrected  $\hat{\zeta}$  would have been below the chance level  $\sum_1^n c_i$ , the corrected  $\hat{\zeta}$  was set equal to  $\sum_1^n c_i$ .

The mean of the 2995 true  $\zeta$  used to generate the data was .5280, the mean of the uncorrected  $\hat{\zeta}$  was .5294, the mean of the corrected  $\hat{\zeta}$  was .5288. Thus the correction was in the right direction, but not large enough. The uncorrected mean  $\hat{\zeta}$  was already so accurate as to leave little room for improvement.

Next, (55) was used to estimate  $s_{\zeta}$ . The true value was  $s_{\zeta} = .1610$ , the standard deviation of  $\hat{\zeta}$  was  $s_{\hat{\zeta}} = .1660$ , the corrected estimate from (55) was  $\hat{s}_{\zeta} = .1614$ . The correction worked very well here.

The parallel-forms reliability of  $\zeta$  was estimated from (58) to be  $\rho_{\hat{\zeta}\hat{\zeta}} = .9420$ . We have no 'true' value against which this can be compared, but the estimate seems a reasonable one. The Kuder-Richardson formula-20 reliability of number-right scores for these data is .9275.

It should be remembered that both the formulas and the numerical results in this report apply in situations where the item parameters are known. These formulas may be satisfactory for situations where the item parameters have been estimated from large groups not containing the examinees whose ability estimates are to be corrected for bias. These formulas will not be adequate for situations where the item parameters and ability parameters are estimated simultaneously from a single data set.

Reference Note

1. Sympson, J. B. Estimating the reliability of adaptive tests from a single test administration. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Boston, April 1980.

## References

Bradley, R. A. & Gart, J. J. The asymptotic properties of ML estimators when sampling from associated populations. Biometrika, 1962, 49, 205-214.

Jordan, C. Calculus of finite differences (2nd ed.). New York: Chelsea, 1947.

Lord, F. M. Applications of item response theory to practical testing problems. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1980.

Lord, F. M. & Novick, M. R. Statistical theories of mental test scores. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1968.

Shenton, L. R. & Bowman, K. O. Maximum likelihood estimation in small samples. Monograph No. 38. New York: Macmillan, 1977.



DISTRIBUTION LIST

Navy

- 1 Dr. Ed Aiken  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. Jack R. Borsting  
Provost and Academic Dean  
U.S. Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 Dr. Robert Breaux  
Code N-711  
NAVTRAEQUIPCEN  
Orlando, FL 32813
- 1 Chief of Naval Education and  
Training Liason Office  
Air Force Human Resource Laboratory  
Flying Training Division  
Williams Air Force Base, AZ 85224
- 1 CDR Mike Curran  
Office of Naval Research  
800 North Quincy Street  
Code 270  
Arlington, VA 22217
- 1 Dr. Richard Elster  
Department of Administrative Sciences  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 Dr. Pat Federico  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Mr. Paul Foley  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. John Ford  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. Patrick R. Harrison  
Psychology Course Director  
Leadership and Law Department (7b)  
Division of Professional Development  
U.S. Naval Academy  
Annapolis, MD 21402
- 1 Dr. Norman J. Kerr  
Chief of Naval Technical Training  
Naval Air Station Memphis (75)  
Millington, TN 38054
- 1 Dr. William L. Maloy  
Principal Civilian Advisor for  
Education and Training  
Naval Training Command, Code 00A  
Pensacola, FL 32508
- 1 Dr. Kneale Marshall  
Scientific Advisor to DCNO(MPT)  
Op01L  
Washington, DC 20370
- 1 Dr. James McBride  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. William Montague  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Mr. William Nordbrock  
Instructional Program Development  
Building 90  
NET-PDCD  
Great Lakes NTC, IL 60088
- 1 Library, Code P201L  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152

- 1 Technical Director  
Navy Personnel R & D  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 6 Commanding Officer  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 2627  
Washington, DC 20390
- 1 Psychologist  
ONR Branch Office  
Building 114; Section D  
666 Summer Street  
Boston, MA 02210
- 1 Office of Naval Research  
Code 437  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217
- 5 Personnel and Training Research  
Programs  
Code 458  
Office of Naval Research  
Arlington, VA 22217
- 1 Psychologist  
ONR Branch Office  
1030 East Green Street  
Pasadena, CA 91101
- 1 Office of the Chief of Naval Operations  
Research Development and Studies Branch  
OP-115  
Washington, DC 20350
- 1 LT Frank C. Petho, MSC; USN (Ph.D.)  
Selection and Training Research Division  
Human Performance Sciences Department  
Naval Aerospace Medical Research Lab.  
Pensacola, FL 32508
- 1 Dr. Bernard Rimland (03B)  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Mr. Arnold Rubenstein  
Office of Naval Technology  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217
- 1 Dr. Worth Scanland, Director  
Research, Development, Test  
and Evaluation  
N-5  
Naval Education and Training Command  
NAS  
Pensacola, FL 32508
- 1 Dr. Robert G. Smith  
Office of Chief of Naval Operations  
OP-987H  
Washington, DC 20350
- 1 Dr. Alfred F. Smode  
Training Analysis and Evaluation Group  
Department of the Navy  
Orlando, FL 32813
- 1 Dr. Richard Sorensen  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Mr. J. B. Sympson  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Dr. Ronald Weitzman  
Code 54 WZ  
Department of Administrative Services  
U.S. Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 Dr. Robert Wherry  
562 Mallard Drive  
Chalfont, PA 18914

1 Dr. Robert Wisner  
Code 309,  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152

1 Dr. Martin F. Wiskoff  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152

1 Mr. Ted M. I. Yellen  
Technical Information Office  
Code 201  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152

Army

1 Technical Director  
U.S. Army Research Institute for the  
Behavioral and Social Sciences  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

1 Dr. Myron Fischl  
U.S. Army Research Institute for the  
Social and Behavioral Sciences  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

1 Dr. Dexter Fletcher  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

1 COL Frank Hart  
Army Research Institute for the  
Behavioral & Social Sciences  
5001 Eisenhower Blvd.  
Alexandria, VA 22333

1 Dr. Michael Kaplan  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

1 Dr. Milton S. Katz  
Training Technical Area  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

1 Dr. Harold F. O'Neil, Jr.  
Attn: PERI-OK  
Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

1 Dr. Robert Sasmor  
U.S. Army Research Institute for  
the Social and Behavioral Sciences  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

1 Commandant  
U.S. Army Institute of Administration  
Attn: Dr. Sherrill  
Ft. Benjamin Harrison, IN 46256

1 Dr. Frederick Steinheiser  
Department of the Navy  
Chief of Naval Operations  
OP-113  
Washington, DC 20350

1 Dr. Joseph Ward  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Air Force

1 Air Force Human Resources Laboratory  
AFHRL/MPD  
Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78285

1 U.S. Air Force Office of  
Scientific Research  
Life Sciences Directorate  
Bolling Air Force Base  
Washington, DC 20332

1 Air University Library  
AUL/LSE 76/443  
Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112

1 Dr. Earl A. Alluisi  
HQ, AFHRL (AFSC)  
Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235

1 Mr. Raymond E. Christal  
AFHRL/MO  
Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235

1 Dr. Genevieve Haddad  
Program Manager  
Life Sciences Directorate  
AFOSR  
Bolling Air Force Base  
Washington, DC 20332

1 Dr. Ross L. Morgan  
AFHRL/LR  
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

1 Research and Measurement Division  
Research Branch, AFMPC/MPCYPR  
Randolph Air Force Base, TX 78148

1 Dr. Malcolm Ree  
AFHRL/MP  
Brooks Air Force Base, TX 78235

1 Dr. Marty Rockway  
Technical Director  
AFHRL(OT)  
Williams Air Force Base, AZ 58224

Marines

1 Dr. H. William Greenup  
Education Advisor (E031)  
Education Center, MCDEC  
Quantico, VA 22134

1 Director, Office of Manpower  
Utilization  
HQ, Marine Corps (MPU)  
BCB, Building 2009  
Quantico, VA 22134

1 MAJ Michael L. Patrow, USMC  
Headquarters, Marine Corps  
Code MPI-20  
Washington, DC 20380

1 Dr. A. L. Slafkosky  
Scientific Advisor  
Code RD-1  
HQ, U.S. Marine Corps  
Washington, DC 20380

Coast Guard

1 Mr. Thomas A. Warm  
U.S. Coast Guard Institute  
P.O. Substation 18  
Oklahoma City, OK 73169

Other DoD

1 DARPA  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

12 Defense Technical Information Center  
Cameron Station, Building 5  
Attn: TC  
Alexandria, VA 22314

1 Dr. William Graham  
Testing Directorate  
MEPCOM/MEPCT-P  
Ft. Sheridan, IL 60037

1 Military Assistant for Training  
and Personnel Technology  
Office of the Under Secretary of  
Defense for Research and Engineering  
Room 3D129, The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301

1 Dr. Wayne Sellman  
Office of the Assistant Secretary  
of Defense (MRAL)  
2B2b9 The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301

Civil Government

1 Dr. Susan Chipman  
Learning and Development  
National Institute of Education  
1200 19th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20208

1 Mr. Richard McKillip  
Personnel R & D Center  
Office of Personnel Management  
1900 E Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20415

1 Dr. Arthur Melmed  
National Institute of Education  
1200 19th Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20208

1 Dr. Andrew R. Molnar  
Science Education Development  
and Research  
National Science Foundation  
Washington, DC 20550

1 Dr. Vern W. Urry  
Personnel R & D Center  
Office of Personnel Management  
1900 E Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20415

1 Dr. Joseph L. Young, Director  
Memory and Cognitive Processes  
National Science Foundation  
Washington, DC 20550

Non-Government

1 Dr. Erling B. Andersen  
Department of Statistics  
Studiestraede 6  
1455 Copenhagen  
DENMARK

1 Psychological Research Unit  
Department of Defense (Army Office)  
Campbell Park Offices  
Canberra, ACT 2600  
AUSTRALIA

1 Dr. Alan Baddeley  
Medical research Council  
Applied Psychology Unit  
15 Chaucer Road  
Cambridge CB2 2EF  
ENGLAND

1 Dr. Isaac Bejar  
Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, NJ 08541

1 Dr. Menucha Birenbaum  
School of Education  
Tel Aviv University  
Tel Aviv, Ramat Aviv 69978  
ISRAEL

1 Dr. Werner Birke  
DezWPs im Streitkraefteamt  
Postfach 20 50 3  
D-5300 Bonn 2  
WEST GERMANY

- 1 Dr. R. Darrell Bock  
Department of Education  
University of Chicago  
Chicago, IL 60637
- 1 Liaison Scientists  
Office of Naval Research  
Branch Office, London  
Box 39  
FPO, NY 09510
- 1 Dr. Robert Brennan  
American College Testing Programs  
P.O. Box 168  
Iowa City, IA 52240
- 1 Dr. John B. Carroll  
Psychometric Laboratory  
University of North Carolina  
Davie Hall 013A  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514
- 1 Charles Myers Library  
Livingstone House  
Livingstone Road  
Stratford  
London E15 2LJ  
ENGLAND
- 1 Dr. Kenneth E. Clark  
College of Arts and Sciences  
University of Rochester  
River Campus Station  
Rochester, NY 14627
- 1 Dr. Norman Cliff  
Department of Psychology  
University of Southern California  
University Park  
Los Angeles, CA 90007
- 1 Dr. William E. Coffman  
Director, Iowa Testing Programs  
334 Lindquist Center  
University of Iowa  
Iowa City, IA 52242
- 1 Dr. Allan M. Collins  
Bolt, Beranek, & Newman, Inc.  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138
- 1 Dr. Meredith P. Crawford  
American Psychological Association  
1200 17th Street, N  
Washington, DC 20036
- 1 Dr. Hans Crombag  
Education Research Center  
University of Leyden  
Boerhaavelaan 2  
2334 EN Leyden  
THE NETHERLANDS
- 1 Dr. Fritz Drasgow  
Yale School of Organization and  
Management  
Yale University  
Box 1A  
New Haven, CT 06520
- 1 LCOL J. C. Eggenberger  
Directorate of Personnel  
Applied Research  
National Defence Hq.  
101 Colonel By Drive  
Ottawa, KIA 0K2  
CANADA
- 1 Dr. Benjamin A. Fairbank, Jr.  
McFann-Gray and Associates, Inc.  
5825 Callaghan  
Suite 225  
San Antonio, TX 78228

- 1 Dr. Leonard Feldt  
Lindquist Center for Measurement  
University of Iowa  
Iowa City, IA 52242
- 1 Dr. Richard L. Ferguson  
The American College Testing Program  
P.O. Box 168  
Iowa City, IA 52240
- 1 Dr. Victor Fields  
Department of Psychology  
Montgomery College  
Rockville, MD 20850
- 1 Univ. Prof. Dr. Gerhard Fischer  
Psychologisches Institut der  
Universität Wien  
Liebiggasse-5/3  
A 1010 Wien  
AUSTRIA
- 1 Prof. Donald Fitzgerald  
University of New England  
Armidale, New South Wales 2351  
AUSTRALIA
- 1 Dr. Edwin A. Fleishman  
Advanced Research Resources Organization  
Suite 900  
4330 East West Highway  
Washington, DC 20014
- 1 Dr. John R. Frederiksen  
Bolt, Beranek, and Newman  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138
- 1 Dr. Robert Glaser  
LRDC  
University of Pittsburgh  
3939 O'Hara Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
- 1 Dr. Bert Green  
Department of Psychology  
Johns Hopkins University  
Charles and 34th Streets  
Baltimore, MD 21218
- 1 Dr. Ron Hambleton  
School of Education  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, MA 01002
- 1 Dr. Lloyd Humphreys  
Department of Psychology  
University of Illinois  
Champaign, IL 61820
- 1 Library  
HumRRO/Western Division  
27857 Berwick Drive  
Carmel, CA 93921
- 1 Dr. Steven Hunka  
Department of Education  
University of Alberta  
Edmonton, Alberta  
CANADA
- 1 Dr. Earl Hunt  
Department of Psychology  
University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98105
- 1 Dr. Jack Hunter  
2122 Coolidge Street  
Lansing, MI 48906
- 1 Dr. Huynh Huynh  
College of Education  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208
- 1 Mr. Marlin Kroger  
1117 Via Goleta  
Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274



- 1 Dr. Michael Levine  
Department of Educational Psychology  
210 Education Building  
University of Illinois  
Champaign, IL 61801
- 1 Dr. Charles Lewis  
Faculteit Sociale Wetenschappen  
Rijksuniversiteit Groningen  
Oude Boteringestraat 23  
9712GC Groningen  
NETHERLANDS
- 1 Dr. Robert Linn  
College of Education  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, IL 61801
- 1 Dr. James Lumsden  
Department of Psychology  
University of Western Australia  
Nedlands, Western Australia 6009  
AUSTRALIA
- 1 Dr. Gary Marco  
Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, NJ 08541
- 1 Dr. Scott Maxwell  
Department of Psychology  
University of Houston  
Houston, TX 77004
- 1 Dr. Samuel F. Mayo  
Loyola University of Chicago  
820 North Michigan Avenue  
Chicago, IL 60611
- 1 Dr. Allen Munro  
Behavioral Technology Laboratories  
1845 Elena Avenue  
Fourth Floor  
Redondo Beach, CA 90277
- 1 Dr. Melvin R. Novick  
356 Lindquist Center for Measurement  
University of Iowa  
Iowa City, IA 52242
- 1 Dr. Jesse Orlansky  
Institute for Defense Analyses  
400 Army Navy Drive  
Arlington, VA 22202
- 1 Dr. Wayne M. Patience  
American Council on Education  
GED Testing Service, Suite 20  
One Dupont Circle, NW  
Washington, DC 20036
- 1 Dr. James A. Paulson  
Portland State University  
P.O. Box 751  
Portland, OR 97207
- 1 Mr. Luigi Petruccio  
2431 North Edgewood Street  
Arlington, VA 22207
- 1 Dr. Diane M. Ramsey-Klee  
R-K Research and System Design  
3947 Ridgmont Drive  
Malibu, CA 90265
- 1 Mr. Minrat M. L. Rauch  
P. II 4  
Bundesministerium der Verteidigung  
Postfach 1328  
D-53 Bonn 1  
GERMANY
- 1 Dr. Mark D. Reckase  
Educational Psychology Department  
University of Missouri-Columbia  
4 Hill Hall  
Columbia, MO 65211



- 1 Dr. Leonard L. Rosenbaum, Chairman  
Department of Psychology  
Montgomery College  
Rockville, MD 20850
- 1 Dr. Ernst Z. Rothkopf  
Bell Laboratories  
600 Mountain Avenue  
Murray Hill, NJ 07974
- 1 Dr. Lawrence Rudner  
403 Elm Avenue  
Takoma Park, MD 20012
- 1 Dr. J. Ryan  
Department of Education  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208
- 1 Prof. Fumiko Samejima  
Department of Psychology  
University of Tennessee  
Knoxville, TN 37916
- 1 Dr. Frank L. Schmidt  
Department of Psychology  
Building GG  
George Washington University  
Washington, DC 20052
- 1 Dr. Robert J. Seidel  
Instructional Technology Group  
HumRRO  
300 North Washington Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314
- 1 Committee on Cognitive Research  
c/o Dr. Lonnie K. Sherrod  
Social Science Research Council  
605 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10016
- 1 Dr. Kazuo Shigemasu  
University of Tohoku  
Department of Educational Psychology  
Kawauchi, Sendai 980  
JAPAN
- 1 Dr. Edwin Shirkey  
Department of Psychology  
University of Central Florida  
Orlando, FL 32816
- 1 Dr. Richard Snow  
School of Education  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305
- 1 Dr. Robert Sternberg  
Department of Psychology  
Yale University  
Box 11A, Yale Station  
New Haven, CT 06520
- 1 Dr. Albert Stevens  
Bolt, Beranek, and Newman, Inc.  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138
- 1 Dr. Hariharan Swaminathan  
Laboratory of Psychometric and  
Evaluation Research  
School of Education  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, MA 01003
- 1 Dr. Kikumatsu Tsuoka  
Computer Based Education Research  
Laboratory  
252 Engineering Research Laboratory  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, IL 61801
- 1 Dr. David Thissen  
Department of Psychology  
University of Kansas  
Lawrence, KS 66044

- 1 Dr. Robert Tsutakawa  
Department of Statistics  
University of Missouri  
Columbia, MO 65201
- 1 Dr. David Vale  
Assessment Systems  
Corporation  
2395 University Avenue  
Suite 306  
St. Paul, MN 55114
- 1 Dr. Howard Wainer  
Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, NJ 08541
- 1 Dr. Thomas Wallsten  
Psychometric Laboratory  
Davie Hall 013A  
University of North Carolina  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514
- 1 Dr. Phyllis Weaver  
Graduate School of Education  
Harvard University  
200 Larsen Hall, Appian Way  
Cambridge, MA 02138
- 1 Dr. David J. Weiss  
Nob0 Elliott Hall  
University of Minnesota  
75 East River Road  
Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 1 Dr. Susan E. Whitely  
Psychology Department  
University of Kansas  
Lawrence, KS 66044
- 1 Dr. Wolfgang Wildgrube  
Streitkraefteamt  
Box 20 50 03  
D-5300 Bonn 2  
WEST GERMANY