Open access • Proceedings Article • DOI:10.1364/CLEOPR.2018.TH4J.1

Unconditional Shot-Noise-Limit Violation in Photonic Quantum Metrology — Source link

Geoff J. Pryde, Sergei Slussarenko, Morgan M. Weston, Helen M. Chrzanowski ...+3 more authors

Institutions: Griffith University, University of Oxford, National Institute of Standards and Technology

Published on: 01 Jul 2018 - Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics

Topics: Quantum metrology, Interferometry, Metrology, Photonics and Ideal (set theory)

Unconditional Shot-noise-limit Violation in Photonic Quantum Metrology

G. J. Pryde,[1,*] **S. Slussarenko**,[1] **M.M. Weston**,[1] **H.M. Chrzanowski**,[1,2] **L.K. Shalm**,[3] **V.B. Verma**,[3] **S.W. Nam**[3]

[1]*Centre for Quantum Dynamics, Griffith University, Brisbane, QLD 4111 Australia*
[2]*Clarendon Laboratory, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, UK*
[3]*National Institute of Standards and Technology, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305, USA*
** g.pryde@griffith.edu.au*

Abstract: We demonstrate the first unconditional violation of the shot noise limit in photonic NOON-state interferometry. Using ultrahigh-efficiency source and detectors we outperform ideal classical measurement without employing postselection, or correction for loss and imperfections.

OCIS codes: (270.5585) Quantum information and processing, (120.5050) Phase measurement

Quantum metrology exploits quantum correlations to perform measurements with precision higher than can be achieved with classical approaches [1]. Photonic approaches promise transformative advances in the family of interferometric phase measurement techniques, a vital toolset used to precisely determine quantities including distance, velocity, acceleration and materials properties. Without quantum enhancement, the precision limit in optical phase sensing (i.e. the minimum uncertainty) is the shot noise limit (SNL): $\Delta\varphi = 1/\sqrt{N}$, where N is the number of resources (e.g. photons) used. Entangled photons promise sensitivity surpassing the shot noise limit achievable with classical probes. The maximally phase-sensitive state is the NOON state [2], a path-entangled state of definite photon number N

$$|\Psi_{NOON}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|N\rangle|0\rangle + |0\rangle|N\rangle\right) \qquad (1)$$

Despite theoretical proposals stretching back decades [3], no measurement using such photonic (i.e. definite photon number) states has unconditionally surpassed the shot noise limit: by contrast, all such demonstrations employed postselection to discount photon loss in the source, interferometer or detectors. Here, we use the state of art single photon generation and detection technology to respectively make and measure a two-photon NOON state, and use it to perform unconditional phase sensing beyond the shot noise limit — that is, without artificially correcting for loss or any other source of imperfection [4].



Fig. 1. Experimental setup. Spontaneous parametric downconversion source, based on a periodically-poled KTP (ppKTP) nonlinear crystal, was used to generate 1550 nm wavelength photon pairs in a maximally-engangled polarisation NOON state. The photons were used to sample a birefringent phase shift φ in a polarisation interferometer, and the output was detected with high efficiency superconducting nanowire single photon detectors (SNSPDs).

We performed a two-photon NOON state polarisation interferometry measurement on a birefringent test phase. Our experimental setup (Fig. 1), uses photons generated from a high-heralding-efficiency, high purity source of telecom-wavelength photon pairs [5], and we employ high efficiency superconducting photon detectors [6] for photon counting at the output of the measurement setup. Unlike previous experiments, our apparatus does not require postselection to achieve phase uncertainty below that achievable in an ideal, lossless classical interferometer.

For our experimental apparatus, we expected an interference fringe visibility of > 0.98 and symmetrical interferometer arm efficiencies around 0.8 (which includes the detector efficiency), which is sufficient for beating the SNL with N=2 NOON states [7, 8].



Fig. 2. Fisher information. The black curve is determined from uncorrected experimental interferometric data. The dashed blue line is the naïve shot noise limit (SNL) for this scheme, and the red curve is the SNL taking into account actual photon source and detector characteristics. Shading represents uncertainties. The experimentally-determined Fisher information surpasses the SNL over certain phase ranges.

Our results (Fig. 2) show a clear violation (for a range of phases) of the stringent SNL bound, $F_{SNL} = 2.09635$, that takes into account the information in unrecorded trials arising from loss and higher order terms — making our demonstration unconditional. We also performed a direct phase sensing measurement and observed phase uncertainties more than 10 standard deviations below the SNL [4]. Our results enable quantum-enhanced phase measurements at low photon flux and open the door to the next generation of optical quantum metrology advances.

References

[1] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, “Advances in quantum metrology,” Nat. Photon. **5**, 222–229 (2011).

[2] J. P. Dowling, “Quantum optical metrology–the lowdown on high-N00N states,” Contemp. Phys. **49**, 125–143 (2008).

[3] R. Demkowicz-Dobrzanski, M. Jarzyna, and J. Kołodynski, “Quantum limits in optical interferometry,” Prog. Opt. **60**, 345–435 (2015).

[4] S. Slussarenko, M. M.Weston, H. M. Chrzanowski, L. K. Shalm, V. B. Verma, S.W. Nam, and G. J. Pryde, “Unconditional violation of the shot noise limit in photonic quantum metrology,” Nat. Photon. **11**, 700-703 (2017).

[5] M. M. Weston, H. M. Chrzanowski, S. Wollmann, A. Boston, J. Ho, L. K. Shalm, V. B. Verma, M. S. Allman, S. W. Nam, R. B. Patel, S. Slussarenko, and G. J. Pryde, “Efficient and pure femtosecond-pulse length source of polarization-entangled photons,” Opt. Express **24**, 10,869–10,879 (2016).

[6] F. Marsili, V. B. Verma, J. A. Stern, S. Harrington, A. E. Lita, T. Gerrits, I. Vayshenker, B. Baek, M. D. Shaw, R. P. Mirin, and S. W. Nam, “Detecting single infrared photons with 93% system efficiency,” Nat. Photon. **7**, 210–214 (2013).

[7] K. J. Resch, K. L. Pregnell, R. Prevedel, A. Gilchrist, G. J. Pryde, J. L. O’Brien, and A. G. White, “Time reversal and super-resolving phase measurements,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 223601 (2007).

[8] A. Datta, L. Zhang, N. Thomas-Peter, U. Dorner, B. J. Smith, and I. A. Walmsley, “Quantum metrology with imperfect states and detectors,” Phys. Rev. A **83**, 063836 (2011).